

## In Situ Hybridization of Breast Cancer Markers

Li Min and Chengchao Shou

### Abstract

In situ hybridization is an important technique in breast cancer research, which is widely applied in detection of specific nucleic acid sequences. Here, we describe the detailed protocol of fluorescence in situ hybridization and chromogenic in situ hybridization in detection of gene HER2/*neu* amplification in breast cancer tissues.

**Key words** In situ hybridization, Breast cancer, HER2, FISH, CISH

---

### 1 Introduction

Recently, in situ hybridization is widely applied in detection of specific nucleic acid sequences [1–3].

The mechanism of in situ hybridization is based on complementarity of single-strand nucleic acid [1]. The procedure of in situ hybridization can be summarized as three main steps: preparing radioactive or nonradioactive targeted exogenous nucleic acid (i.e. probe), hybridizing pretreated sample DNA or RNA on the tissue, cell, or chromosome with probes, detecting and mapping the specific hybrid nucleic acid [4, 5].

In breast cancer research, many types of hybridization methods have been used, such as Dot blot, Southern blot, Northern blot, Tissue in situ hybridization, Genome in situ hybridization [2, 6]. Based on different probes and visualization reagents, in situ hybridization can be classified into fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH), chromogenic in situ hybridization (CISH), radiolabeled in situ hybridization, dual-color silver-enhanced in situ hybridization and et al [7–10]. FISH and CISH are the methods being most widely used in breast cancer research.

Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) is one of the most three important breast cancer markers [11, 12]. HER2 gene amplification occurs in more than 20 % breast cancer cases

and was identified as an important prognostic biomarker [13]. HER2 gene amplification can be identified by many different methods, and FISH has become the “Gold Standard” of HER2 gene amplification detection in clinical practice [14, 15]. Recently, CISH was gradually widely studied and used as a viable alternative to FISH in HER2 gene amplification detection [16–18]. In this chapter, we summarized regular protocol of FISH and CISH in detection of HER2, as representative protocols of in situ hybridization of breast cancer markers.

---

## 2 Materials

Use ultrapure water (purified deionized water, sensitivity: 18 M $\Omega$ ·cm at 25 °C) to prepare all buffers and other solutions. Store all solutions at room temperature (about 25 °C) unless otherwise indicated. All reagents used in the experiment should be analytical grade pure unless otherwise indicated.

### 2.1 Equipment and Reagent

1. 100-W Epifluorescence microscope with appropriate filters (*see Note 1*).
2. Water baths set.
3. Microwave oven with appropriate size (for heat pretreatment).
4. Thermal plate set.
5. Silanized microscope slides.
6. “Probe check” quality control slides (Abbott Inc., UK), (*see Note 2*).
7. Reveal target unmasking solution (Biocare Inc., Walnut Creek, CA).
8. Staining dishes with 100 % xylene.
9. Staining dishes with 100 %, 90 %, 80 %, 70 % ethanol (*see Note 3*).
10. Phosphate buffered saline (PBS), pH 7.4: 0.01 M phosphate buffer, 0.0027 M KCl, 0.137 M NaCl. Dissolve 8 g NaCl, 0.2 g KCl, 1.42 g Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.27 g KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> in 800 mL ultrapure water, adjust pH to 7.4 with 10 M NaOH, make up to 1 L with ultrapure water and then autoclave.
11. 2 $\times$  SSC solution, pH 7.0: 3 M NaCl, 0.3 M sodium citrate. Dissolve 175.3 g NaCl and 88.2 g sodium citrate (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>Na<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O) in 800 mL ultrapure water, adjust pH to 7.0 with 10 M NaOH, make up to 1 L with ultrapure water and then autoclave, dilute 1:10 with ultrapure water for 2 $\times$  SSC.

12. Vectashield DAPI solution (Vectorlabs, UK), with 200 ng/mL of 4,6-diamidino-2 phenylindole-2 hydrochloride (Sigma, UK) added.
13. Omnislid hybridization platform (Thermo-Hybrid) with dark plastic lid.
14. 8 % (w/v) Sodium thiocyanate (*see Note 4*).
15. Pepsin solution (Digest-All III; Zymed Inc.), (*see Note 5*).

### **2.2 Fluorescence In Situ Hybridization Components**

1. HER2/chromosome 17 probe mixture (Pathvysion™ kit).
2. Denaturing solution, pH 7.0: Mix 49 mL Ultrapure formamide (Fluka, UK), 7 mL 2× SSC, with 14 mL distilled water, and then adjust pH to 7.0 with 10 M NaOH.
3. 0.5× SSC: Autoclave 2× SSC solution, dilute 1:4 with ultrapure water for 0.5× SSC.
4. Temporary “coverslips”: Cut Parafilm to make temporary coverslips. Temporary “coverslips” are made by cutting regular parafilm into appropriate size, and then add the denaturation solution.
5. 22×22 mm slip.
6. Rubber cement.

### **2.3 Chromogenic In Situ Hybridization Components**

1. A ready-to-use probe mixture for HER2 (SpotLight probe series; Zymed Inc., CA).
2. CISH-UnderCover Slips (Zymed Inc.).
3. 0.5× SSC: Autoclave 2× SSC solution, dilute 1:4 with ultrapure water for 0.5× SSC.
4. Hematoxylin solution: There are various methods of hematoxylin dye preparation. The method of Heidenhain [19] is preferred for CISH.
5. Mouse anti-digoxigenin antibody (Roche Biochemicals).
6. Powervision + detection kit (ImmunoVision Inc., CA).

---

## **3 Methods**

All procedures should be performed at room temperature unless expressly indicated.

### **3.1 Basic Sample Handling**

1. Cut tissue to 5- $\mu$ m sections on silanized slides and then bake at 60 °C overnight. Store sections at room temperature (about 25 °C) until required (*see Note 6*).
2. Immerse slides in xylene for 10 min (*see Note 7*), twice.
3. Transfer slides into 100 % ethanol for 5 min, twice.

4. Rehydrate slides in graded ethanol (90 %, 80 %, 70 % ethanol, each for 1 min).
5. Place slides in 0.2 N HCl for 20 min (*see Note 8*).
6. Wash slides in distilled water for 5 min.
7. Wash slides in PBS wash buffer for 5 min, twice (*see Note 9*).
8. Place slides in 8 % sodium thiocyanate (Vysis, UK or Sigma, UK) in distilled water at 80 °C for 30 min (*see Note 4*).
9. Wash slides in distilled water for 1 min.
10. Wash slides in PBS wash buffer for 5 min, twice (*see Note 9*).
11. Place in protease buffer at 37 °C for 20 min (*see Note 10*).
12. Immerse slides in 2× SSC buffer for 5 min, twice.
13. Dehydrate slides in graded ethanol (70 %, 80 %, 90 %, 100 % ethanol, each for 1 min), (*see Note 3*).
14. Air-dry slides in an oven at 50 °C.
15. Apply 10 µL DAPI in mountant and cover the slides by temporary coverslips.
16. Assess digestion of tissue with a filter specific for DAPI (*see Note 11*).
17. Place all slides in 2× SSC buffer until the temporary coverslips fall off.
18. Air-dry slides in an oven at 50 °C.

### **3.2 Fluorescence In Situ Hybridization**

All procedures in this section should be performed after completed Basic Sample Handling procedures.

1. Apply 100 µL denaturing solution to each slide in a fume hood. Cover with temporary coverslips.
2. Denature slides for 5 min at 94 °C.
3. Remove temporary coverslips in a fume hood.
4. Dehydrate slides in graded ethanol (70 %, 80 %, 90 %, 100 % ethanol, each for 1 min), (*see Note 3*).
5. Air-dry slides in an oven at 50 °C.
6. Apply 10 µL of HER2/chromosome 17 probe mixture to a 22×22 mm slip.
7. Invert slides and gently lower them onto 22×22 mm slips with probe mixture.
8. Seal slides with rubber cement.
9. Hybridize slides overnight at 37 °C, shielded from light (*see Note 12*).
10. Remove rubber cement, place slides in 0.5× SSC buffer at room temperature to make the cover slip fall off.
11. Place slides into 0.5× SSC buffer at 72 °C for 2 min.

12. Air-dry slides in an oven shielded from light at 50 °C.
13. Mount slide in mountant with 0.2 ng/mL DAPI and seal with resin glue (*see Note 13*).
14. Carefully remove excess glue with a soft paper, and ready to evaluate the slide by microscopy.

### **3.3 Chromogenic In Situ Hybridization**

All procedures in this section should be performed after completed Basic Sample Handling procedures.

1. Apply 10 µL probe solution (Zymed Spot-Light series) and seal the slides under UnderCover Slips (*see Note 14*).
2. Denature probe and target DNA, 94 °C for 5 min (*see Note 15*).
3. Hybridize slides overnight at 37 °C, shielded from light (*see Note 12*).
4. Remove UnderCover Slips.
5. Wash slides with 0.5× SSC buffer for 5 min at 75 °C.
6. Wash slides with PBS buffer, 5 min.
7. Incubate slides for 45 min at room temperature, using mouse antidigoxigenin antibody (diluted 1: 500 in the Powervision+ blocking solution).
8. Wash slides with PBS buffer, 5 min.
9. Incubate slides for 20 min at room temperature, using Powervision+ post antibody blocking solution (*see Note 16*).
10. Wash slides with PBS buffer, 5 min.
11. Incubate slides for 30 min at room temperature, using Powervision+ poly-HRP-goat-x-mouse polymer (*see Note 16*).
12. Wash slides with PBS buffer, 5 min.
13. Incubate slides for 5 min at room temperature, using the Powervision+ DAB solution (1000 µL distilled water+50 µL DAB reagent A+50 µL DAB reagent B, prepared ready for use).
14. Wash slides with distilled water, 1–2 min.
15. Stain slides with standard hematoxylin, 1–5 s.
16. Wash slides with distilled water, 5–10 s.
17. Dehydrate slides in graded ethanol (70 %, 80 %, 90 %, 100 % ethanol, each for 1 min), (*see Note 3*).
18. Immerse slides in xylene for 10 min, twice.
19. Mount slides in 0.2 ng/mL DAPI.
20. Cover with a cover slip and seal with resin glue.
21. Carefully remove excess glue with a soft paper, and ready to evaluate the slide by microscopy.

---

## 4 Notes

1. Filters specific for DAPI and different spectrums are required and a 100× objective application was preferred.
2. Regularly, both internal and external controls are required for FISH. For large-scale sample scanning, both control sections should be included within each diagnostic run.
3. It is best to prepare this series of graded ethanol fresh each time before use. When used for 10 batches of slides, it is also best to replace them with fresh ones.
4. Sodium thiocyanate is used to break the protein–protein disulfide bonds, which could facilitate the subsequent process of digestion.
5. Fresh pepsin should be added to digestion buffers before starting digestion, and additional pepsin should also be added each 30 min during the whole process of digestion.
6. Even though nearly no attenuation of FISH signals was found when stored for prolonged periods, use of slides within 4 months of cutting is strongly preferred.
7. A fume hood should be used when handling xylene. Some nonorganic solutions (Hemo-de solution for example) may be used as an alternative.
8. As a pretreatment permeabilization process, the use of 0.2 N HCl to acid deproteination allows preservation of better tissue morphology.
9. After washing slides in wash buffer, gently touch the slide edge by absorbent paper to remove remaining fluid.
10. This step is very crucial to achieve clear images, because exposure of slides to protease buffer could ensure adequate pretreatment of tissues prior to application of probes.
11. If digestion of tissue is optimal, proceed to next steps. Otherwise, proceed to **steps 17** and **18** and then repeat the protease treating steps for 1–15 min digestion depending on the detailed condition (*see step 11–16*).
12. To ensure the hybridization efficiency, duration of this step should be more than 12 h.
13. Transparent resin glue could be used to prevent slides from drying out.
14. Conventional repeat-containing DNA probes also work well in CISH, as an alternative to Zymed probes.
15. Denaturation of probe and the target DNA is carried out shortly after applying the probes on slides.
16. Probe detection is crucial in all CISH steps. To increase sensitivity, a two-layer antibody approach was applied.

## Acknowledgement

This work was supported by National Nature Science Foundation of China (No. 81230046) and 973 Program of National Basic Research Program of China (No. 2015CB553906).

## References

1. John MSB (2004) Fluorescence in situ hybridization: technical overview. *Molecular Diagnosis of Cancer Methods and Protocols*, Second edition 1, 71–77
2. Wolfe KQ, Herrington CS (1997) Interphase cytogenetics and pathology: a tool for diagnosis and research. *J Pathol* 181:359–361
3. Bell SM, Zuo J, Myers RM et al (1996) Fluorescence in situ hybridization deletion mapping at 4p16.3 in bladder cancer cell lines refines the localisation of the critical interval to 30 kb. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* 17:108–117
4. John MSB, Amanda F (2004) HER2 FISH in breast cancer. *Molecular Diagnosis of Cancer Methods and Protocols*, second edition 1, 89–103
5. Dale P, Jaana LD, Aparna K et al (2003) Molecular markers in ductal carcinoma in situ of the breast. *Mol Cancer Res* 1:362–375
6. Cole KD, He HJ, Wang L (2013) Breast cancer biomarker measurements and standards. *Proteomics Clin Appl* 7:17–29
7. Bartlett JMS, Going JJ, Mallon EA et al (2001) Evaluating HER2 amplification and overexpression in breast cancer. *J Pathol* 195:422–428
8. Young WK, Hee JL, Jong WL et al (2011) Dual-color silver-enhanced in situ hybridization for assessing HER2 gene amplification in breast cancer. *Mod Pathol* 24:794–800
9. Nitta H, Hauss-Wegrzyniak B, Lehrkamp M et al (2008) Development of automated brightfield double in situ hybridization (BDISH) application for HER2 gene and chromosome 17 centromere (Cen 17) for breast carcinomas and an assay performance comparison to manual dual color Her2 fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH). *Diagn Pathol* 3:41
10. Ulla H, Sven M, Andreas S (2011) Dual color CISH and FISH to CISH conversion. *IHC Staining Methods*, Fifth edition 14, 97–101
11. Howlander N, Chen VW, Ries LA et al (2014) Overview of breast cancer collaborative stage data items-their definitions, quality, usage, and clinical implications: a review of SEER data for 2004–2010. *Cancer* 120(Suppl 23):3771–3780
12. Poulsen TS, Espersen ML, Kofoed V et al (2013) Comparison of fluorescence in situ hybridization and chromogenic in situ hybridization for low and high throughput HER2 genetic testing. *Int J Breast Cancer* 2013:368731
13. Iqbal N, Iqbal N (2014) Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) in cancers: overexpression and therapeutic implications. *Mol Biol Int* 2014:852748
14. Mitchell MS, Press MF (1999) The role of immunohistochemistry and fluorescence in situ hybridization for HER-2/neu in assessing the prognosis of breast cancer. *Semin Oncol* 26:108–116
15. Hanna WM, Rüschoff J, Bilous M et al (2014) HER2 in situ hybridization in breast cancer: clinical implications of polysomy 17 and genetic heterogeneity. *Mod Pathol* 27:4–18
16. Jorma I, Minna T (2004) Chromogenic in situ hybridization in tumor pathology. *Molecular Diagnosis of Cancer Methods and Protocols*, second edition 1, 133–144
17. Tanner M, Gancberg D, Di Leo A et al (2000) Chromogenic in situ hybridization (CISH): a practical new alternative for FISH in detection of HER-2/neu oncogene amplification. *Am J Pathol* 157:1467–1472
18. Jacquemier J, Spyrtos F, Esterni B et al (2014) SISH/CISH or qPCR as alternative techniques to FISH for determination of HER2 amplification status on breast tumors core needle biopsies: a multicenter experience based on 840 cases. *BMC Cancer* 13:351
19. Shen EY (1969) Two-solution iron hematoxylin staining (Heidenhain) with HCl differentiation and tris-buffer neutralization. *Stain Technol* 44:209–210