Chapter 10

LINE-1 Cultured Cell Retrotransposition Assay

Huira C. Kopera, Peter A. Larson, John B. Moldovan, Sandra R. Richardson, Ying Liu, and John V. Moran

Abstract

The Long INterspersed Element-1 (LINE-1 or L1) retrotransposition assay has facilitated the discovery and characterization of active (i.e., retrotransposition-competent) LINE-1 sequences from mammalian genomes. In this assay, an engineered LINE-1 containing a retrotransposition reporter cassette is transiently transfected into a cultured cell line. Expression of the reporter cassette, which occurs only after a successful round of retrotransposition, allows the detection and quantification of the LINE-1 retrotransposition efficiency. This assay has yielded insight into the mechanism of LINE-1 retrotransposition. It also has provided a greater understanding of how the cell regulates LINE-1 retrotransposition and how LINE-1 retrotransposition impacts the structure of mammalian genomes. Below, we provide a brief introduction to LINE-1 biology and then detail how the LINE-1 retrotransposition assay is performed in cultured mammalian cells.

Key words LINE-1 , Alu , Retrotransposition assay , *trans*-complementation assay , Mammalian cultured cells

1 Introduction

Sequences derived from Long INterspersed Element-1 (LINE-1) or L1) comprise approximately 17 % of human genomic DNA [[1](#page-14-0)]. Although greater than 99.9 % of human L1s have been rendered inactive by mutational processes, a small cohort of active L1s can move to new genomic locations by a "copy-and-paste" process known as retrotransposition (reviewed in Ref. $[2]$). Here, we refer to these L1s as retrotransposition-competent or RC-L1s .

A full-length RC-L1 is ~6 kb in length. Human RC-L1s contain a 5′ untranslated region (UTR), two nonoverlapping open reading frames (ORFs) that are separated by a short 63 bp inter-ORF sequence, and a 3' UTR that ends in an adenosine-rich tract $[3, 4]$ $[3, 4]$. The human L1 5′ UTR contains an internal RNA polymerase II promoter that directs the initiation of transcription at or near the first base of the element $[5-7]$; it also has an ill-defined antisense

Jose L. Garcia-Pérez (ed.), *Transposons and Retrotransposons: Methods and Protocols*, Methods in Molecular Biology, vol. 1400, DOI 10.1007/978-1-4939-3372-3_10, © Springer Science+Business Media New York 2016

promoter $[8]$. L1 ORF1 encodes an ~40 kDa RNA binding protein (ORF1p) that has nucleic acid chaperone activity $[9-17]$. ORF2 encodes an \sim 150 kDa protein (ORF2p) that has endonuclease (EN) and reverse transcriptase (RT) activities that are critical for retrotransposition $[18-25]$. ORF2p also contains a cysteine-rich domain (C) that is required for retrotransposition, although its function requires elucidation $[24, 26]$ $[24, 26]$ $[24, 26]$. The L1 3' UTR contains a guanosine-rich polypurine tract, a weak RNA polymerase II polyadenylation signal, and ends in an A-rich tract $[27-30]$. Experiments in cultured cells have demonstrated that the L1 3′ UTR is not strictly required for retrotransposition [18, [24\]](#page-15-0).

L1 elements retrotranspose by target site-primed reverse transcription (TPRT) $[20, 31, 32]$ $[20, 31, 32]$ $[20, 31, 32]$ $[20, 31, 32]$. As a result of TPRT, genomic L1s typically have the following structural hallmarks: (1) they are truncated at their $5'$ ends; (2) they are flanked by target-site duplications (TSDs) that vary both in their length and sequence; (3) they end in an A-rich tract; and (4) they generally insert into an L1 ORF2p endonuclease consensus cleavage site $(5'$ -TTTT $/A$ -3' and variations of that sequence, where "/" denotes the endonucleolytic nick) $[1, 33-40]$ $[1, 33-40]$ $[1, 33-40]$.

The proteins encoded by L1s (ORF1p and/or ORF2p) occasionally act *in trans* to retrotranspose Short INterspersed Element (SINE) RNAs (e.g., the RNAs transcribed from Alu and SINE-VNTR-Alu (SVA) elements), noncoding RNAs (e.g., uracil-rich small nuclear RNAs (snRNAs) and small nucleolar RNAs (snoRNAs)), and RNA polymerase II transcribed messenger RNAs (mRNAs) [41-51]. In aggregate, these sequences comprise at least ~11 % of human genomic $DNA ([1], reviewed in Ref. [2]). Thus, LINE-1 mediated retrotrans$ position events account for approximately one-third, or one billion base pairs of human genomic DNA. Ongoing LINE-1 retrotransposition continues to affect both interindividual and intraindividual human genetic diversity and has caused ~100 sporadic cases of genetic disease $([52]$, reviewed in Refs. $[2, 53]$ $[2, 53]$).

The development of the cultured cell retrotransposition assay represented a seminal moment in LINE-1 biology because it allowed the experimental study of LINE-1 retrotransposition in "real time" $[24]$. The rationale of the assay is built upon experimental strategies to examine retrotransposon mobility in yeast and mammalian cells $[54-57]$. Briefly, a retrotransposition indicator cassette is introduced into the L1 3' UTR in the opposite orientation of the L1 transcript $[24]$. The reporter cassette consists of a reporter gene (e.g., neomycin phosphotransferase in the *mneoI* reporter cassette) equipped with a heterologous promoter and polyadenylation signal(Fig. [1a\)](#page-2-0). The reporter gene is interrupted by an intron that is in the same orientation with respect to L1 transcription. Thus, the reporter gene can only be expressed upon transcription of the L1, splicing of the intron in the reporter cassette, and the reverse transcription of the L1 RNA to introduce a

Fig. 1 LINE-1 retrotransposition assay. (a) A full-length retrotransposition-competent LINE-1 contains the *mneoI* reporter cassette (*orange box*) in the 3' UTR in the opposite orientation with respect to LINE-1 (pJM101/ L1.3) transcription. The reporter gene, neomycin phosphotransferase (*backwards* NEO) is interrupted by an intron (*hatched box*), which is in the same orientation with respect to LINE-1 transcription. The reporter cassette has its own promoter *(upside down black arrow)* and polyadenylation signal *(upside down small black lollipop*). The pCEP4 plasmid backbone encodes for the EBNA-1 (EBNA-1) viral protein and contains an origin of viral replication (oriP) and hygromycin B-resistance gene (HYGR) for plasmid replication and hygromycinselection, respectively, in mammalian cultured cells. The backbone also has a bacterial origin of replication (ori) and an ampicillin-resistance gene (AMP^R) for replication and ampicillin-selection, respectively, in *E. coli*. The LINE-1 is transcribed from the CMV promoter (*black triangle* labeled "CMV") or its promoter in the 5′ UTR (*gray arrow*) and transcription is terminated at an SV40 polyadenylation signal (*large black lollipop*). Once transcribed, the intron is spliced out and L1 ORF1p (*yellow circles*) and ORF2p (*blue circle*) are translated from the L1 mRNA (*gray*, *yellow*, *blue*, and *orange line* followed by "AAAA_n"). Only upon reverse transcription and integration into a genomic locus (5['] truncated *blue* and *orange box*), which is flanked by target-site duplications (TSD , *red wavy lines*), can the NEO gene be expressed to confer drug-resistance. (**b**) A timeline of the assay is depicted and described in Subheading [3](#page-6-0) . Days of the protocol are noted above and the corresponding days post-transfection (d0–14) are noted below. The end result of the assay is depicted under d14. The pJJ101/L1.3 construct is a wild type LINE-1 with a blasticidin deaminase (*mblastI*) reporter cassette and its retrotransposition results in many drug- resistant colonies. The pJJ105/L1.3 construct contains a LINE-1 reverse transcriptase mutant, cannot complete retrotransposition, and does not result in any drug-resistant colonies

new L1 copy and the reporter cassette into the genome [24]. Once integrated into the genome, the cells harboring new retrotransposition events can be identified by either selecting or screening for reporter gene expression. The number of colonies or cells expressing the reporter genes allows the quantification of the LINE-1 retrotransposition efficiency.

Since the initial publication of the LINE-1 retrotransposition assay $[24]$, several adaptations have made the assay more efficient [58] and applicable to study an array of biological questions. For example, the *mneoI* cassette and a derivative of the cassette, neo-*Tet*, which allows the detection of a retrotransposed RNA polymerase III transcribed RNA, have been used to study the retrotransposition of Aluand SVA SINERNAs, U6 snRNA, and cellular mRNAs $[33, 43, 45-47, 49, 51]$ $[33, 43, 45-47, 49, 51]$ $[33, 43, 45-47, 49, 51]$. Modified versions of the *mneoI* cassette have been used to recover LINE-1 retrotransposition events from genomic DNA, enabling detailed analyses of how LINE-1 retrotransposition events impact the genome $\left[33, 34, \right]$ $\left[33, 34, \right]$ $\left[33, 34, \right]$ $\left[33, 34, \right]$ $\left[33, 34, \right]$ [38\]](#page-15-0). Indeed, these studies revealed that de novo retrotransposition events from engineered L1 constructs resemble endogenous L1 insertions in their structure. Moreover, they revealed that L1 is not simply an insertional mutagen, but that LINE-1 retrotransposition events also can generate intrachromosomal deletions, intrachromosomal duplications, and perhaps interchromosomal translocations [[33,](#page-15-0) [34,](#page-15-0) [38\]](#page-15-0).

Other variations on the retrotransposition reporter cassette include incorporation of an enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) reporter gene (*mEGFPI*), which has been used to assay LINE-1 retrotransposition both in vitro and in vivo $[59-66]$. The subsequent development of the blasticidin S-resistance reporter cassette (*mblastI*) was used to examine DNA repair deficient Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cell lines for retrotransposition $[36, 67-69]$. Blasticidin S kills cells more efficiency than G418 and may be used for cell lines that have a high tolerance for G418. More recently, luciferase- based retrotransposition indicator cassettes, which may be amenable for high-throughput screening, have been developed to assay for retrotransposition [70].

In sum, the LINE-1 cultured cell retrotransposition assay has been used successfully in multiple human and other mammalian cell lines (summarized in Ref. $[71]$). The following protocol has been adapted from previously published assays [24, [36,](#page-15-0) [43,](#page-15-0) [58,](#page-16-0) [66\]](#page-16-0) and is optimized for HeLa cells. Importantly, the necessary experimental controls required to correctly interpret retrotransposition assay results (e.g., transfection efficiency, cell viability, and off-target effects) are highlighted in Subheading 4 of this protocol.

2 Materials

2.1 Cell Culture Media and Transfection Reagents

- 1. Two clonal HeLa cell lines support retrotransposition . HeLa-JVM cells are used to assay LINE-1 retrotransposition $[24]$. HeLa-HA are used to assay either LINE-1 or Alu retrotransposition $\left[72 \right]$.
- 2. Cultured cell growth medium for HeLa cells.
	- (a) To assay LINE-1 retrotransposition(*in cis*), HeLa-JVM cells are grown in DMEM $(4.5 g/L d$ -glucose) containing 10 % FBS , and 1× Pen–Strep, glutamine (100 U/mL penicillin, 100 μg/mL streptomycin, and 292 μg/mL glutamine). This is called HeLa-JVM DMEM growth medium in the protocol below.
	- (b) To assay Alu retrotransposition(*in trans*), HeLa-HA cells are grown in MEM (with Earle's salts) containing 10 % FBS, $1 \times$ MEM nonessential amino acids, and $1 \times$ Pen– Strep, glutamine (100 U/mL penicillin, 100 μg/mL streptomycin, and 292 μg/mL glutamine). This is called HeLa-HA MEM growth medium in the protocol below.
- 3. 1× Phosphate-buffer saline (PBS), pH 7.4, sterilized.
- 4. 0.05 % Trypsin–EDTA.
- 5. A cell counter (e.g., Countess® Automated Cell Counter, Life Technologies) or a hemocytometer.
- 6. Tissue culture dishes or flasks (6-well plates, $T-75$ flasks, or 10 cm dishes).
- 7. FuGENE[®] 6 transfection reagent (Promega).
- 8. Opti-MEM® I (Life Technologies).
- 9. Geneticin (G418, 50 mg/mL stock), blasticidin S-HCl (10 mg/mL stock) , or puromycin (10 mg/mL stock) .
- 10. A flow cytometer (e.g., the Accuri C6 Flow Cytometer).

1. To assay LINE-1 retrotransposition, *in cis* (Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0): *2.2 Plasmids*

- (a) The pCEP4 mammalian expression episomal plasmid (Life Technologies), which generally is the backbone of the LINE-1 expression plasmids.
- (b) A LINE-1 expression plasmid that is tagged with a retrotransposition reporter cassette (e.g., pJM101/L1.3 (*mneoI*), pJJ101/L1.3 (*mblastI*), or pLRE3-mEGFPI $(mEGFPI)$ [67, 73–76]).
- (c) A reporter plasmid (e.g., hrGFP (Agilent)) to monitor transfection efficiency.
- (d) A plasmid expressing a cDNAof interest. This plasmid should not contain the same selectable marker as the L1 reporter cassette.

 Fig. 2 Alu retrotransposition (*trans* -complementation) assay. Retrotransposition of Alu requires the LINE-1 ORF2 protein [43]. For the Alu *trans*-complementation assay, the reporter plasmid contains the Alu sequence (*light blue box*) and is tagged with a modified NEO retrotransposition indicator cassette (neo *Tet*) interrupted by a self- splicing group I intron (*checkered box*) [\[81 \]](#page-17-0). The neo *Tet* cassette is followed by a variably sized poly A tract (A_n) and the RNA pol III terminator sequence (*red lollipop*). The Alu plasmid must be co-transfected with a plasmid (pCEP5′UTR-ORF2pΔneo) expressing LINE-1 ORF2p (*blue circle*) to detect retrotransposition in HeLa cells. The resulting G418 -resistant colonies generally contain de novo full-length Alu retrotransposition events flanked by target-site duplications (TSD, red wavy lines)

- 2. To assay Alu retrotransposition, *in trans* (Fig. 2):
	- (a) A "reporter" retrotransposition plasmid that contains an Alu element and a modified *mneoI* cassette (e.g., pAluneo *Tet*) that allows the detection of a retrotransposed RNA polymerase III transcribed RNA [43].
	- (b) A "driver" LINE-1 expression plasmid (i.e., either a fulllength LINE-1 or a plasmid that expresses LINE-1 ORF2p(e.g., pJM101/L1.3Δneo or pCEP5′UTR-ORF2p Δ neo [51, [77\]](#page-17-0))) that lacks the retrotransposition reporter cassette.
	- (c) A reporter plasmid (e.g., hrGFP) to monitor transfection efficiency.
- 3. To test if cellular proteins affect LINE-1 retrotransposition $(Fig. 3; [78-80])$ $(Fig. 3; [78-80])$ $(Fig. 3; [78-80])$:
	- (a) A LINE-1 expression plasmid that is tagged with a retrotransposition reporter cassette(e.g., *mneoI*, *mblastI*, or *mEGFPI*).
	- (b) A non-LINE-1 control plasmid (e.g., pU6iNEO $[79]$) that expresses an intact (i.e., intronless) version of the same selectable or screenable marker present in the LINE-1 retrotransposition reporter cassette .
	- (c) An expression vector containing the cDNA that is being tested for its effect on retrotransposition(e.g., pK_A3A that expresses APOBEC3A [78, [79\]](#page-17-0)).
	- (d) A negative control cDNA expression vector that expresses a protein that does not significantly affect retrotransposition (e.g., pK_ β -arrestin [78, [79\]](#page-17-0)).

 Fig. 3 Co-transfection of LINE-1 with cDNAs. The LINE-1 retrotransposition assay is carried out as described in Fig. [1](#page-2-0) except that a plasmid expressing pK_A3A (*purple box*) is co-transfected with a LINE-1 expression construct (pJM101/L1.3, *see* Fig. [1a](#page-2-0) for details). In parallel, pK_A3A is co-transfected with a reporter control, pU6iNEO, which expresses the neomycin phosphotransferase gene without the requirement for retrotransposition (i.e., the neomycin phosphotransferase gene lacks an intron). Both sets of co-transfected cells are grown under drug-selection and the resulting drug-resistant colonies (*dark purple circles*) are counted. The parallel pU6iNEO experiment is essential to determine to what extent the effects of A3A overexpression are LINE-1 specific. Note the greater decrease in G418-resistant colonies in "LINE-1 + A3A" than "pU6iNEO + A3A" [79]

3 Methods

Standard practices for handling cultured cells should be applied, such as use of laminar flow biosafety hoods and sterile techniques for all reagents. The transfection efficiency and the concentration of drugs used for genetic selection should first be optimized for each cell type used in this assay. The rationale and a timeline of the assay are presented in Fig. [1.](#page-2-0) Cultured cell lines are routinely verified/authenticated by short tandem repeat (STR) analyses and checked for mycoplasma to ensure their integrity and lack of contamination, respectively.

3.1 LINE-1 Retrotransposition Assay in HeLa-JVM Cells

- 1. Day 1—Plate cells: Seed 2×10^4 HeLa-JVM cells in each well of a 6-well tissue culture plate in HeLa-JVM DMEM growth media. Cells are grown in a humidified incubator at 37 $\mathrm{^{\circ}C}$ with 7 % CO 2 (*see* **Note 1**).
- 2. Day 2—Transfect cells: Cells typically are transfected 14–16 h post-plating, day zero (d0) (Fig. [1b\)](#page-2-0), using the FuGENE[®] 6 transfection reagent following the manufacturer's instructions. Every retrotransposition assay should include the following transfection conditions: (1) a vector-only (pCEP4) or mock transfection; (2) a wild type LINE-1 retrotransposition plasmid (e.g., pJM101/L1.3, pJJ101/L1.3, or pLRE3- *mE*GFPI), which serves as a positive control; and (3) a mutant LINE-1 plasmid (e.g., pJM105/L1.3 has a mutation in the RT domain of L1 $[51]$, which serves as a negative control. To assay for retrotransposition, prepare a transfection mix in a 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tube containing 1 μg pCEP4 or a LINE-1 expression plasmid (Fig. [1a](#page-2-0)) and $3-4$ μ L of FuGENE[®] 6 in 100 μL of Opti-MEM ® I. Incubate the solution at room temperature for 20 min. Add the transfection mix to the growth medium of one well of cells in a 6-well tissue culture plate. To determine the transfection efficiency, prepare an additional transfection mix(es) in a 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tube containing 1 μg pCEP4 or a LINE-1 expression plasmid, 0.5 μg of an hrGFP expression plasmid, and $4-6$ μ L of FuGENE[®] 6 in 100 μL of Opti-MEM[®] I. Incubate the solution for 20 min at room temperature. Then, add the transfection mix to the growth medium of one well of cells in a 6-well tissue culture plate; these transfections will be used to calculate transfection efficiencies. Transfect three wells in each plate for each transfection condition to yield three technical replicates. It is important to calculate transfection efficiency and adjust retrotransposition assay results for each L1 plasmid individually, as subtle differences in L1 plasmid size and transfection mix composition can affect transfection efficiency. These differences could impact the final result of the retrotransposition assay (*see* **Note 2**).
- 3. Day 3—Stop the transfection: Approximately 16–24 h posttransfection, 1 day post-transfection (d1) (Fig. [1b](#page-2-0)), aspirate the medium from the cells and add fresh HeLa-JVM DMEM growth medium to the cells.
- 4. Day 4-Determine the transfection efficiency: 2 days posttransfection $(d2)$ (Fig. [1b](#page-2-0)), trypsinize the hrGFP-transfected cells and collect the cells from each well in separate microcentrifuge tubes (*see* **Note 3**). Spin the cells at $2000 \times g$ at 4° C for 5 min and aspirate the medium. Wash the cells in $1 \times PBS$, spin at $2000 \times g$ at 4° C for 5 min, then aspirate the PBS. Resuspend the cell pellet in $250-500 \mu L$ 1× PBS. Determine the number (i.e., percentage) of hrGFP-expressing cells, gating for live

cells, on a flow cytometer (Accuri Flow Cytometer or similar; *see* **Note 4**). The number of live cells that express hrGFP serves as an indication of the percentage of cells successfully transfected with plasmids (i.e., transfection efficiency).

- 5. Days 5–16—Select cells for retrotransposition events: Begin drug selection 3 days post-transfection (d3) and continue until 14 days post-transfection (d14) (Fig. [1b\)](#page-2-0).
	- (a) For μ *meoI*-based assays, add 400 μ g/mL G418 to the HeLa-JVM DMEM growth medium. Change the G418 containing medium every day until 14 days post-transfection (d14).
	- (b) For *mblastI*-based assays, add $10 \mu g/mL$ blasticidin S-HCl to HeLa-JVM DMEM growth medium and change the blasticidin S-HCl-containing medium once at 8 days posttransfection (d8) (*see* **Note 5**).
	- (c) For $mEGFPI$ -based assays, add 5 μ g/mL puromycin to HeLa-JVM DMEM growth medium. Change the puromycin- containing medium every other day until 9 days post- transfection (d9) to select for cells that have the L1 expression plasmid.
- 6. Quantification of the LINE-1 retrotransposition assay.
	- (a) For *mneoI* and *mblastI* assays, 14 days post-transfection (d14) rinse the cells with $1 \times PBS$ and fix the cells (using Fix solution, Subheading [2.3,](#page-6-0) **item 1**) for 30 min to 1 h at room temperature or longer at 4 °C. Rinse the cells in water and stain (using one of the three Stain solutions, Subheading [2.3](#page-6-0), **item 2**) at room temperature for 1 h. Rinse the cells with water and let dry (*see* **Note 5**). Count the stained foci in each well.
	- (b) For *mEGFPI* assays, 9 days post-transfection (d9), trypsinize the cells and collect the cells from each well in separate microcentrifuge tubes. Collect the cells by centrifugation at $2000 \times g$ at 4 °C for 5 min. Aspirate the medium. Rinse the cells with $1 \times PBS$ and spin the cells again at $2000 \times g$ at $4^{\circ}C$ for 5 min. Aspirate the PBS and resuspend the cell pellet in 250–500 μL 1 \times PBS. Analyze the number of EGFPexpressing cells, gating for live cells, on a flow cytometer. The number of live cells that express EGFP serves as an indication of the number of cells that have successfully undergone a round of de novo retrotransposition .
- 7. To calculate the retrotransposition efficiency, drug-resistant colonies or EGFP-expressing cells are counted and adjusted for transfection efficiency (see Note 6).
	- (a) For G418- or blasticidin S-resistant colonies, calculate the mean colony counts (from **step 6a**) for the three wells of

the same transfection condition (three technical replicates). To calculate the adjusted retrotransposition mean, divide the mean colony counts and the standard deviation by the transfection efficiency (calculated in **step 4**) (*see* **Note 6**). To express the adjusted retrotransposition values as a percentage of the wild type control (i.e., the retrotransposition efficiency), divide the adjusted retrotransposition mean of an experimental sample (e.g., the number of retrotransposition events generated from a mutant L1 expression construct) by the adjusted retrotransposition mean of wild type L1 (e.g., pJM101/L1.3) and then multiply by 100 (*see* **Note 7**).

(b) For EGFP-expressing cells, divide the mean percentage of EGFP-expressing cells (calculated in **step 6b**) and the standard deviation from three wells per transfection condition (three technical replicates) by the transfection efficiency (calculated in **step 4**) to calculate the adjusted retrotransposition mean (*see* **Note 6**). The adjusted retrotransposition mean for *mEGFPI* retrotransposition assays represents the percentage of puromycin-resistant cells that express EGFP. Again, at least three biological replicates, each containing three technical replicates, should be done.

Retrotransposition *in trans* occurs at a lower frequency than *in cis* $[43, 51]$ $[43, 51]$. Therefore, the retrotransposition assay detailed above is scaled-up. Please note that transfection conditions need to be optimized when using larger tissue culture plates or flasks (*see* Fig. [2](#page-5-0) for rationale).

- 1. Day 1—Plate cells: Seed 5×10^5 HeLa-HA cells in 10 cm tissue culture dish or T-75 flask in HeLa-HA MEM growth medium.
- 2. Day 2—Transfect cells: Cells typically are transfected 14–16 h post-plating, day zero (d0) (Fig. [1b\)](#page-2-0), using the FuGENE[®] 6 transfection reagent following the manufacturer's instructions. To assay for Alu retrotransposition, prepare a transfection mix containing 4 μg of a reporter plasmid (e.g., pAlu-neo *Tet*), 4 μg driver plasmid (e.g., pCEP5′UTR-ORF2pΔneo; Fig. [2](#page-5-0)), and $24-32 \mu L$ of FuGENE[®] 6 in 500 μL of Opti-MEM[®] I. Incubate the solution at room temperature for 20 min. Add the transfection mix to the growth medium of the cells in the tissue culture dish or flask. To determine transfection efficiencies, prepare a transfection mix containing 0.5 μg each of the reporter plasmid, the driver plasmid, and hrGFP plasmid and 4–6 μL of FuGENE[®] 6 in 100 μL of Opti-MEM[®] I. Incubate the transfection mix at room temperature for 20 min. Transfect cells with the hrGFP- containing transfection mix in 6-well plates (as stated in Subheading [3.1](#page-7-0), **step 2**).

3.2 Alu Retrotransposition Assay in HeLa-HA Cells (in trans)

- 3. Day 3—Stop the transfection: Approximately 24 h posttransfection, 1 day post-transfection (d1) (Fig. [1b](#page-2-0)), aspirate the medium from the cells and add fresh HeLa-HA MEM growth medium to the cells.
- 4. Day 4—Determine the transfection efficiency: same as Subheading [3.1,](#page-7-0) **step 4**.
- 5. Days 5–16—Select the cells for retrotransposition events: same as Subheading [3.1](#page-7-0), **step 5a**.
- 6. Quantitate the LINE-1 retrotransposition assay: same as Subheading [3.1,](#page-7-0) **step 6a**.
- 7. Calculate the retrotransposition efficiency: same as Subheading [3.1,](#page-7-0) **step 7a**.

The experimental design is similar to the assay above except cells are transfected with an L1 and a cDNA expression construct. When co-transfecting an L1 plasmid with a cDNA expression construct, it is essential to monitor side effects of cDNA overexpression. In order to monitor potential cDNA off-target effects, HeLa cells should also be co-transfected with the cDNA expression plasmid and a control reporter plasmid that expresses an intact copy of the same selectable marker (i.e., no intron disrupting the reporter) as the LINE-1 retrotransposition plasmid. The LINE-1 retrotransposition assay and control reporter assay must be done in parallel (i.e., at the same time) $[79, 80]$ $[79, 80]$. For example, the effect of APOBEC3A (A3A) on LINE-1 retrotransposition (*mneoI* reporter cassette) and on a control reporter plasmid (pU6iNEO), as described in Richardson et al., is described below [79]. Retrotransposition efficiency is corrected to the reporter control assay (*see* Fig. [3](#page-6-0) and **Note 8**).

- 1. Day 1—Plate cells: Seed 2×10^4 HeLa-JVM cells in each well of a 6-well tissue culture plate in HeLa-JVM DMEM growth medium (as stated above in Subheading [3.1](#page-7-0), **step 1**) (*see* **Note 1**).
	- 2. Day 2—Transfect cells: Cells typically are transfected 14–16 h post-plating, day zero (d0) (Fig. [1b\)](#page-2-0), using the FuGENE[®] 6 transfection reagent following the manufacturer's instructions. Experimental conditions for L1 transfection include: (1) pCEP4 plus pK_β-arrestin; (2) pJM101/L1.3 plus pK_βarrestin, (which has no significant effect on LINE-1 retrotransposition and is a control for the co-transfected cDNA) $[78]$; (3) pJM101/L1.3 plus pK_A3A; (4) pJM105/L1.3 plus pK_β- arrestin or pK_A3A; and (5) mock transfected cells or cells transfected only with pCEP4. In parallel, experimental conditions for the reporter control plasmid include: (1) pU6iNEO plus pK_β-arrestin and (2) pU6iNEO plus pK_ A3A. Prepare a transfection mix in a 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tube containing either an L1 expression or control vector

3.3 LINE-1 Retrotransposition Assay Co-transfected with cDNAs

 $(0.5 \mu g)$ of the pCEP4 vector, 0.5 μg of an L1 expression plasmid, or 0.5 μg pU6iNEO) and a cDNA expression vector (0.5 μg pK_β-arrestin or 0.5 μg of an pK_A3A expression plasmid) with $3-4$ µL of FuGENE[®] 6 in 100 µL of Opti-MEM[®] I. Incubate the solution at room temperature for 20 min. Add the transfection mix to the growth medium of one well of cells in a 6-well tissue culture plate. To calculate the transfection efficiency, prepare a transfection mix in a 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tube containing the above reagents and 0.5 μg of an hrGFP expression plasmid (as described above in Subheading [3.1,](#page-7-0) **step 2**).

- 3. Day 3—Stop the transfection: Approximately 24 h posttransfection, 1 day post-transfection (d1) (Fig. [1b](#page-2-0)), aspirate the medium from the cells and add fresh HeLa-JVM DMEM growth medium to the cells.
- 4. Day 4—Determine the transfection efficiency: same as Subheading [3.1,](#page-7-0) **step 4**.
- 5. Days 5–16—Select the cells for retrotransposition events: same as Subheading [3.1](#page-7-0), **step 5a**.
- 6. Determine the LINE-1 retrotransposition efficiency and the effect of cDNA expression on the ability of the reporter construct (pU6iNEO) to form drug resistant foci: same as Subheading [3.1,](#page-7-0) **step 6a**.
- 7. To calculate the corrected retrotransposition mean, the adjusted retrotransposition mean of L1 plus pK_β-arrestin is divided by the adjusted retrotransposition mean of pU6iNEO plus pK_β- arrestin (*see* **Note 8**). Similarly, the adjusted retrotransposition mean of L1 plus pK_A3A is divided by the adjusted retrotransposition mean of pU6iNEO plus pK_ A3A. This corrected calculation accounts for any cytotoxic and/or off-target effects pK_A3A may have on the cell.
- 8. To calculate the corrected retrotransposition efficiency, divide the corrected retrotransposition mean of L1 plus pK_A3A expression vector by the corrected retrotransposition mean of L1 plus pK_β-arrestin and multiply by 100 (*see* **Note 9**). The retrotransposition efficiency reported reflects the specific inhibition of pK_A3A on LINE-1 retrotransposition (*see* Note 10).

4 Notes

- 1. Each cell line must be optimized for cell plating density, plasmid concentrations, transfection reagents, and the drug concentration needed for selection. The experiment also can be scaled up into flasks:
	- (a) For 75 cm² flasks: transfect 2×10^6 cells with 8 µg DNA, 32 μL FuGENE[®] 6, and 500 μL of Opti-MEM[®] I.
- (b) For 175 cm² flasks: transfect 6×10^6 cells with 10–25 µg DNA, $40-100 \mu L$ FuGENE[®] 6, and 1 mL of Opti-MEM[®] I.
- 2. Assays are done with three technical replicates in order to calculate a standard deviation for each experimental variable. Each assay is repeated at least three independent times, yielding three biological replicates.
- 3. Typically, co-transfect 1 μg L1 plasmid and 0.5 μg hrGFP plasmid for each well of a 6-well plate to calculate transfection efficiency. A mock-transfected cell sample should always be included to properly gate true GFP-expressing and nonexpressing cells. Using *mEGFPI* does not interfere with detection of hrGFP expression because GFP expression from *mEGFPI* resulting from retrotransposition is detectable between 7 and 9 days post-transfection (d7-d9) [66]. GFP expression from hrGFP is detectable 1–3 days post transfection (d1–d3). Importantly, GFP expression from the retrotransposed *mEGFPI* reporter cassette is analyzed in cells transfected without hrGFP, as these transfections are done in parallel. Alternatively, a plasmid expressing mCherry can be used to determine transfection efficiency.
- 4. Retrotransposition efficiency can only be calculated from cells that are transfected with an L1 construct. It is important to account for slight variations in transfection efficiencies when calculating retrotransposition efficiencies. Use of Lipofectamine® (Life Technologies) also has been reported for L1 studies, following manufacturer's directions [24]. Transfection efficiencies will vary among cell lines.
- 5. Blasticidin S selection was previously reported as starting 5 days post-transfection (d5) in Chinese hamster ovary cells [36]. Selection with blasticidin S should be optimized when using different cell lines. HeLa-JVM cells die sooner under blasticidin S-selection than G418 -selection. Blasticidin S-resistant colonies can be fixed and stained 10–12 days posttransfection (d10–d12).
- 6. Adjusted retrotransposition mean = (average number of drugresistant colonies)/(fraction of hrGFP-positive cells).
- 7. Retrotransposition efficiency = $100 \times$ (adjusted retrotransposition mean)/(adjusted retrotransposition mean of the wild type L1). For example, if the adjusted retrotransposition mean of wild type L1 is 88, then the retrotransposition efficiency is $100 \times (88/88) = 100$ %. Similarly, if the adjusted retrotransposition efficiency of an EN mutant L1 from the same experiment is 1, then the retrotransposition efficiency of the EN mutant L1 is $100 \times (1/88) = 1.1$ %.
- 8. Corrected retrotransposition mean = (adjusted L1 plus expression plasmid retrotransposition mean)/(mean number of colonies from the reporter control plus the same expression plasmid). For example, the corrected retrotransposition mean of L1 + pK_β-arrestin is calculated by dividing the adjusted retrotransposition mean of L1 + pK_β-arrestin by the mean number of colonies from pU6iNEO+ pK_β-arrestin. Similarly, the corrected retrotransposition mean of L1 + pK_A3A is calculated by dividing the adjusted retrotransposition mean of L1 + pK_A3A by the mean number of colonies from pU6iNEO+ pK_A3A.
- 9. Corrected retrotransposition efficiency = $100 \times$ (corrected retrotransposition mean for L1 plus cDNA expression plasmid)/ (corrected retrotransposition mean of the wild type L1 plus an empty vector or pK_β-arrestin expression plasmid control).
- 10. Co-transfections involving cDNAs expressing host factors must be assayed for off-target effects (e.g., cell toxicity) before interpreting the effects on retrotransposition. For example, overexpression of A3A decreases the number of G418-resistant colonies in cells co-transfected with a control G418-resistance plasmid (pU6iNEO), but dramatically decreases the number of G418-resistant colonies in cells co-transfected with an L1/*mneoI* plasmid [79] (Fig. [3](#page-6-0)). A possible interpretation of these data is that the decrease in LINE-1 retrotransposition is due to the cytotoxicity of A3A overexpression. However, the cytotoxic effects of A3A overexpression are not solely responsible for the reduction in LINE-1 retrotransposition (LINE-1/*mneoI* plus A3A) because the retrotransposition efficiency is corrected to the control plasmid (pU6iNEO plus A3A) to account for the A3A cytotoxic contribution. This correction of the data reveals a clearer representation of the specific effect of A3A on LINE-1 retrotransposition by accounting for the nonspecific, off-target effects of A3A overexpression. Testing the same conditions on a non-L1 plasmid containing the same selectable or screenable marker (i.e., drug-resistance or GFP, respectively) would account for any indirect, off-target effects from data interpretation.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Nancy Leff for helpful comments during the preparation of this manuscript. This work was supported in part by NIH grant GM060518 to J.V.M. The authors were supported in part by fellowships from the American Cancer Society #PF-07-059-01GMC (H.C.K.), NIGMS #5-T32-GM07544 (P.A.L. and S.R.R.), and NIGMS #T32-GM007315 (J.B.M.). J.V.M. is an investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

Conflict of Interest

J.V.M. is an inventor on the patent: "Kazazian, H.H., Boeke, J.D., Moran, J.V., and Dombrowski, B.A. Compositions and methods of use of mammalian retrotransposons. Application No. 60/006,831; Patent No. 6,150,160; Issued November 21, 2000." J.V.M. has not made any money from this patent and voluntarily discloses this information.

References

- 1. Lander ES, Linton LM, Birren B et al (2001) Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. Nature 409:860–921
- 2. Beck CR, Garcia-Perez JL, Badge RM, Moran JV (2011) LINE-1 elements in structural variation and disease. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet 12:187–215
- 3. Dombroski BA, Mathias SL, Nanthakumar E, Scott AF, Kazazian HH Jr (1991) Isolation of an active human transposable element. Science 254:1805–1808
- 4. Scott AF, Schmeckpeper BJ, Abdelrazik M, Comey CT, O'Hara B, Rossiter JP, Cooley T, Heath P, Smith KD, Margolet L (1987) Origin of the human L1 elements: proposed progenitor genes deduced from a consensus DNA sequence. Genomics 1:113–125
- 5. Athanikar JN, Badge RM, Moran JV (2004) A YY1-binding site is required for accurate LINE-1 transcription initiation. Nucleic Acids Res 32:3846–3855
- 6. Becker KG, Swergold GD, Ozato K, Thayer RE (1993) Binding of the ubiquitous nuclear transcription factor YY1 to a cis regulatory sequence in the human LINE-1 transposable element. Hum Mol Genet 2:1697–1702
- 7. Swergold GD (1990) Identification, characterization, and cell specificity of a human LINE-1 promoter. Mol Cell Biol 10:6718–6729
- 8. Speek M (2001) Antisense promoter of human L1 retrotransposon drives transcription of adjacent cellular genes. Mol Cell Biol 21: 1973–1985
- 9. Callahan KE, Hickman AB, Jones CE, Ghirlando R, Furano AV (2012) Polymerization and nucleic acid-binding properties of human L1 ORF1 protein. Nucleic Acids Res 40:813–827
- 10. Hohjoh H, Singer MF (1996) Cytoplasmic ribonucleoprotein complexes containing human LINE-1 protein and RNA. EMBO J 15:630–639
- 11. Hohjoh H, Singer MF (1997) Ribonuclease and high salt sensitivity of the ribonucleoprotein complex formed by the human LINE-1 retrotransposon. J Mol Biol 271:7–12
- 12. Holmes SE, Singer MF, Swergold GD (1992) Studies on p40, the leucine zipper motifcontaining protein encoded by the first open reading frame of an active human LINE-1 transposable element. J Biol Chem 267: 19765–19768
- 13. Khazina E, Weichenrieder O (2009) Non-LTR retrotransposons encode noncanonical RRM domains in their first open reading frame. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106: 731–736
- 14. Kolosha VO, Martin SL (1997) In vitro properties of the first ORF protein from mouse LINE-1 support its role in ribonucleoprotein particle formation during retrotransposition. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 94:10155–10160
- 15. Kolosha VO, Martin SL (2003) High-affinity, non-sequence-specific RNA binding by the open reading frame 1 (ORF1) protein from long interspersed nuclear element 1 (LINE-1). J Biol Chem 278:8112–8117
- 16. Martin SL (1991) Ribonucleoprotein particles with LINE-1 RNA in mouse embryonal carcinoma cells. Mol Cell Biol 11:4804–4807
- 17. Martin SL, Bushman FD (2001) Nucleic acid chaperone activity of the ORF1 protein from the mouse LINE-1 retrotransposon. Mol Cell Biol 21:467–475
- 18. Doucet AJ, Hulme AE, Sahinovic E, Kulpa DA, Moldovan JB, Kopera HC, Athanikar JN, Hasnaoui M, Bucheton A, Moran JV, Gilbert N (2010) Characterization of LINE-1 ribonucleoprotein particles. PLoS Genet 6:e1001150
- 19. Ergun S, Buschmann C, Heukeshoven J, Dammann K, Schnieders F, Lauke H, Chalajour F, Kilic N, Stratling WH, Schumann GG (2004) Cell type-specific expression of LINE-1 open reading frames 1 and 2 in fetal and adult human tissues. J Biol Chem 279:27753–27763
- 20. Feng Q, Moran JV, Kazazian HH Jr, Boeke JD (1996) Human L1 retrotransposon encodes a conserved endonuclease required for retrotransposition. Cell 87:905–916
- 21. Goodier JL, Ostertag EM, Engleka KA, Seleme MC, Kazazian HH Jr (2004) A poten-

tial role for the nucleolus in L1 retrotransposition. Hum Mol Genet 13:1041–1048

- 22. Hattori M, Kuhara S, Takenaka O, Sakaki Y (1986) L1 family of repetitive DNA sequences in primates may be derived from a sequence encoding a reverse transcriptase-related protein. Nature 321:625–628
- 23. Mathias SL, Scott AF, Kazazian HH Jr, Boeke JD, Gabriel A (1991) Reverse transcriptase encoded by a human transposable element. Science 254:1808–1810
- 24. Moran JV, Holmes SE, Naas TP, DeBerardinis RJ, Boeke JD, Kazazian HH Jr (1996) High frequency retrotransposition in cultured mammalian cells. Cell 87:917–927
- 25. Taylor MS, Lacava J, Mita P, Molloy KR, Huang CR, Li D, Adney EM, Jiang H, Burns KH, Chait BT, Rout MP, Boeke JD, Dai L (2013) Affinity proteomics reveals human host factors implicated in discrete stages of LINE-1 retrotransposition. Cell 155:1034–1048
- 26. Fanning T, Singer M (1987) The LINE-1 DNA sequences in four mammalian orders predict proteins that conserve homologies to retrovirus proteins. Nucleic Acids Res 15:2251–2260
- 27. Moran JV (1999) Human L1 retrotransposition: insights and peculiarities learned from a cultured cell retrotransposition assay. Genetica 107:39–51
- 28. Moran JV, DeBerardinis RJ, Kazazian HH Jr (1999) Exon shuffling by L1 retrotransposition. Science 283:1530–1534
- 29. Perepelitsa-Belancio V, Deininger P (2003) RNA truncation by premature polyadenylation attenuates human mobile element activity. Nat Genet 35:363–366
- 30. Usdin K, Furano AV (1989) The structure of the guanine-rich polypurine:polypyrimidine sequence at the right end of the rat L1 (LINE) element. J Biol Chem 264:15681–15687
- 31. Luan DD, Korman MH, Jakubczak JL, Eickbush TH (1993) Reverse transcription of R2Bm RNA is primed by a nick at the chromosomal target site: a mechanism for non-LTR retrotransposition. Cell 72:595–605
- 32. Cost GJ, Feng Q, Jacquier A, Boeke JD (2002) Human L1 element target-primed reverse transcription in vitro. EMBO J 21:5899–5910
- 33. Gilbert N, Lutz S, Morrish TA, Moran JV (2005) Multiple fates of L1 retrotransposition intermediates in cultured human cells. Mol Cell Biol 25:7780–7795
- 34. Gilbert N, Lutz-Prigge S, Moran JV (2002) Genomic deletions created upon LINE-1 retrotransposition. Cell 110:315–325
- 35. Jurka J (1997) Sequence patterns indicate an enzymatic involvement in integration of mammalian retroposons. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 94:1872–1877
- 36. Morrish TA, Gilbert N, Myers JS, Vincent BJ, Stamato TD, Taccioli GE, Batzer MA, Moran JV (2002) DNA repair mediated by endonuclease- independent LINE-1 retrotransposition. Nat Genet 31:159–165
- 37. Grimaldi G, Skowronski J, Singer MF (1984) Defining the beginning and end of KpnI family segments. EMBO J 3:1753–1759
- 38. Symer DE, Connelly C, Szak ST, Caputo EM, Cost GJ, Parmigiani G, Boeke JD (2002) Human l1 retrotransposition is associated with genetic instability in vivo. Cell 110:327–338
- 39. Myers JS, Vincent BJ, Udall H, Watkins WS, Morrish TA, Kilroy GE, Swergold GD, Henke J, Henke L, Moran JV, Jorde LB, Batzer MA (2002) A comprehensive analysis of recently integrated human Ta L1 elements. Am J Hum Genet 71:312–326
- 40. Beck CR, Collier P, Macfarlane C, Malig M, Kidd JM, Eichler EE, Badge RM, Moran JV (2010) LINE-1 retrotransposition activity in human genomes. Cell 141:1159–1170
- 41. Buzdin A, Gogvadze E, Kovalskaya E, Volchkov P, Ustyugova S, Illarionova A, Fushan A, Vinogradova T, Sverdlov E (2003) The human genome contains many types of chimeric retrogenes generated through in vivo RNA recombination. Nucleic Acids Res 31:4385–4390
- 42. Buzdin A, Ustyugova S, Gogvadze E, Vinogradova T, Lebedev Y, Sverdlov E (2002) A new family of chimeric retrotranscripts formed by a full copy of U6 small nuclear RNA fused to the 3' terminus of 11. Genomics 80:402–406
- 43. Dewannieux M, Esnault C, Heidmann T (2003) LINE-mediated retrotransposition of marked Alu sequences. Nat Genet 35:41–48
- 44. Dewannieux M, Heidmann T (2005) L1-mediated retrotransposition of murine B1 and B2 SINEs recapitulated in cultured cells. J Mol Biol 349:241–247
- 45. Esnault C, Maestre J, Heidmann T (2000) Human LINE retrotransposons generate processed pseudogenes. Nat Genet 24:363–367
- 46. Garcia-Perez JL, Doucet AJ, Bucheton A, Moran JV, Gilbert N (2007) Distinct mechanisms for trans-mediated mobilization of cellular RNAs by the LINE-1 reverse transcriptase. Genome Res 17:602–611
- 47. Hancks DC, Goodier JL, Mandal PK, Cheung LE, Kazazian HH Jr (2011) Retrotransposition

of marked SVA elements by human L1s in cultured cells. Hum Mol Genet 20:3386–3400

- 48. Hancks DC, Mandal PK, Cheung LE, Kazazian HH Jr (2012) The minimal active human SVA retrotransposon requires only the 5′-hexamer and Alu-like domains. Mol Cell Biol 32:4718–4726
- 49. Raiz J, Damert A, Chira S, Held U, Klawitter S, Hamdorf M, Lower J, Stratling WH, Lower R, Schumann GG (2012) The nonautonomous retrotransposon SVA is transmobilized by the human LINE-1 protein machinery. Nucleic Acids Res 40:1666–1683
- 50. Weber MJ (2006) Mammalian small nucleolar RNAs are mobile genetic elements. PLoS Genet 2:e205
- 51. Wei W, Gilbert N, Ooi SL, Lawler JF, Ostertag EM, Kazazian HH, Boeke JD, Moran JV (2001) Human L1 retrotransposition: cis preference versus trans complementation. Mol Cell Biol 21:1429–1439
- 52. Kazazian HH Jr, Wong C, Youssoufian H, Scott AF, Phillips DG, Antonarakis SE (1988) Haemophilia A resulting from de novo insertion of L1 sequences represents a novel mechanism for mutation in man. Nature 332:164–166
- 53. Hancks DC, Kazazian HH Jr (2012) Active human retrotransposons: variation and disease. Curr Opin Genet Dev 22:191–203
- 54. Boeke JD, Garfinkel DJ, Styles CA, Fink GR (1985) Ty elements transpose through an RNA intermediate. Cell 40:491–500
- 55. Curcio MJ, Garfinkel DJ (1991) Single-step selection for Ty1 element retrotransposition. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 88:936–940
- 56. Heidmann T, Heidmann O, Nicolas JF (1988) An indicator gene to demonstrate intracellular transposition of defective retroviruses. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 85:2219–2223
- 57. Freeman JD, Goodchild NL, Mager DL (1994) A modified indicator gene for selection of retrotransposition events in mammalian cells. Biotechniques 17:46, 48-49, 52
- 58. Wei W, Morrish TA, Alisch RS, Moran JV (2000) A transient assay reveals that cultured human cells can accommodate multiple LINE-1 retrotransposition events. Anal Biochem 284:435–438
- 59. Coufal NG, Garcia-Perez JL, Peng GE, Marchetto MC, Muotri AR, Mu Y, Carson CT, Macia A, Moran JV, Gage FH (2011) Ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM) modulates long interspersed element-1 (L1) retrotransposition in human neural stem cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108:20382–20387
- 60. Coufal NG, Garcia-Perez JL, Peng GE, Yeo GW, Mu Y, Lovci MT, Morell M, O'Shea KS, Moran JV, Gage FH (2009) L1 retrotransposition in human neural progenitor cells. Nature 460:1127–1131
- 61. Garcia-Perez JL, Morell M, Scheys JO, Kulpa DA, Morell S, Carter CC, Hammer GD, Collins KL, O'Shea KS, Menendez P, Moran JV (2010) Epigenetic silencing of engineered L1 retrotransposition events in human embryonic carcinoma cells. Nature 466:769–773
- 62. Kubo S, Seleme MC, Soifer HS, Perez JL, Moran JV, Kazazian HH Jr, Kasahara N (2006) L1 retrotransposition in nondividing and primary human somatic cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103:8036–8041
- 63. Muotri AR, Chu VT, Marchetto MC, Deng W, Moran JV, Gage FH (2005) Somatic mosaicism in neuronal precursor cells mediated by L1 retrotransposition. Nature 435:903–910
- 64. Muotri AR, Marchetto MC, Coufal NG, Oefner R, Yeo G, Nakashima K, Gage FH (2010) L1 retrotransposition in neurons is modulated by MeCP2. Nature 468:443–446
- 65. Ostertag EM, DeBerardinis RJ, Goodier JL, Zhang Y, Yang N, Gerton GL, Kazazian HH Jr (2002) A mouse model of human L1 retrotransposition. Nat Genet 32:655–660
- 66. Ostertag EM, Prak ET, DeBerardinis RJ, Moran JV, Kazazian HH Jr (2000) Determination of L1 retrotransposition kinetics in cultured cells. Nucleic Acids Res 28:1418–1423
- 67. Kopera HC, Moldovan JB, Morrish TA, Garcia-Perez JL, Moran JV (2011) Similarities between long interspersed element-1 (LINE-1) reverse transcriptase and telomerase. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108:20345–20350
- 68. Morrish TA, Garcia-Perez JL, Stamato TD, Taccioli GE, Sekiguchi J, Moran JV (2007) Endonuclease-independent LINE-1 retrotransposition at mammalian telomeres. Nature 446:208–212
- 69. Goodier JL, Zhang L, Vetter MR, Kazazian HH Jr (2007) LINE-1 ORF1 protein localizes in stress granules with other RNA-binding proteins, including components of RNA interference RNA-induced silencing complex. Mol Cell Biol 27:6469–6483
- 70. Xie Y, Rosser JM, Thompson TL, Boeke JD, An W (2011) Characterization of L1 retrotransposition with high-throughput dualluciferase assays. Nucleic Acids Res 39:e16
- 71. Rangwala SH, Kazazian HH Jr (2009) The L1 retrotransposition assay: a retrospective and toolkit. Methods 49:219–226
- 72. Hulme AE, Bogerd HP, Cullen BR, Moran JV (2007) Selective inhibition of Alu retrotransposition by APOBEC3G. Gene 390:199–205
- 73. Brouha B, Schustak J, Badge RM, Lutz-Prigge S, Farley AH, Moran JV, Kazazian HH Jr (2003) Hot L1s account for the bulk of retrotransposition in the human population. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 100:5280–5285
- 74. Sassaman DM, Dombroski BA, Moran JV, Kimberland ML, Naas TP, DeBerardinis RJ, Gabriel A, Swergold GD, Kazazian HH Jr (1997) Many human L1 elements are capable of retrotransposition. Nat Genet 16:37–43
- 75. Brouha B, Meischl C, Ostertag E, de Boer M, Zhang Y, Neijens H, Roos D, Kazazian HH Jr (2002) Evidence consistent with human L1 retrotransposition in maternal meiosis I. Am J Hum Genet 71:327–336
- 76. Garcia-Perez JL, Marchetto MC, Muotri AR, Coufal NG, Gage FH, O'Shea KS, Moran JV (2007) LINE-1 retrotransposition in human embryonic stem cells. Hum Mol Genet 16:1569–1577
- 77. Alisch RS, Garcia-Perez JL, Muotri AR, Gage FH, Moran JV (2006) Unconventional translation of mammalian LINE-1 retrotransposons. Genes Dev 20:210–224
- 78. Bogerd HP, Wiegand HL, Hulme AE, Garcia-Perez JL, O'Shea KS, Moran JV, Cullen BR (2006) Cellular inhibitors of long interspersed element 1 and Alu retrotransposition. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103:8780–8785
- 79. Richardson SR, Narvaiza I, Planegger RA, Weitzman MD, Moran JV (2014) APOBEC3A deaminates transiently exposed single-strand DNA during LINE-1 retrotransposition. Elife 3:e02008
- 80. Moldovan JB, Moran JV (2015) The zincfinger antiviral protein ZAP inhibits LINE and Alu retrotransposition. PLoS Genet 11(5): e1005121
- 81. Esnault C, Casella JF, Heidmann T (2002) A Tetrahymena thermophila ribozyme-based indicator gene to detect transposition of marked retroelements in mammalian cells. Nucleic Acids Res 30:e49