Chapter 26

Analysis of the lmmunity-Related Oxidative Bursts by a Luminol-Based Assay

Marco Trujillo

Abstract

The rapid production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in response to biotic and abiotic cues is a conserved hallmark of plant responses. The detection and quantification of ROS generation during immune responses is an excellent readout to analyze signaling triggered by the perception of pathogens. The assay described here is easy to employ and versatile, allowing its use in a multitude of variations. For example, ROS production can be analyzed using different tissues including whole seedlings, roots, leaves, protoplasts, and cultured cells, which can originate from different ecotypes or mutants. Samples can be tested in combination with any ROS-inducing elicitors, such as the FLS2-activating peptide flg22, but also lipids or even abiotic stresses. Furthermore, early (PAMP-triggered) and late (effector-triggered) ROS production induced by virulent and avirulent bacteria, respectively, can also be assayed.

Key words Reactive oxygen species (ROS) , NADPH oxidase , Immunity , fl g22 , *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000 (*Pst*)

1 Introduction

The enhanced production of ROS is a hallmark response of plants to diverse stress stimuli. The production of ROS in response to pathogen attack, also known as the oxidative burst, was first described by Doke [1]. Doke described the production of superoxide $(O_2^{\bullet -})$ in potato tubers triggered by the infection of the oomycete *Phytophthora infestans* and also in potato tuber protoplasts in response to hyphal cell wall components $[1, 2]$ $[1, 2]$ $[1, 2]$. The generation of ROS has since been proposed to have various functions, such as antimicrobial activity, cross-linking of cell wall polymers at sites of attempted penetration by fungi, as a local signal to mediate stomatal closure, or as systemic secondary messengers to activate immune responses in distal tissues $\lceil 3-5 \rceil$.

Early studies showed that the ROS production during an infection can be biphasic (Fig. [1](#page-1-0)). Virulent as well as avirulent pathogens trigger a general but relatively weak first oxidative burst that

Paula Duque (ed.), *Environmental Responses in Plants: Methods and Protocols*, Methods in Molecular Biology, vol. 1398, DOI 10.1007/978-1-4939-3356-3_26, © Springer Science+Business Media New York 2016

 Fig. 1 ROS burst patterns during immune responses. The ROS production pattern differs depending on whether it is triggered by a purified minimal elicitor, such as flg 22, or by pathogens. Leaf discs treated with flg 22 react with a fast production of ROS which peaks at approximately 10 min after elicitation (*dark blue*). The response to virulent bacteria results in a weaker and delayed ROS generation, which peaks at approximately 30 min and is characteristic for the initiation PTI (*light blue*). The initial ROS burst of plants inoculated with avirulent bacteria is similar to that of virulent bacteria. By contrast, only avirulent bacteria activate a second massive production of ROS (red), which is characteristic for ETI

takes place within the first hour after infection. However, only avirulent pathogens trigger a second, massive, and prolonged oxidative burst, which is restricted to specific host cultivars and pathogen race combinations (Fig. 1) $[4]$. The early oxidative burst was first described as being a "general unspecific reaction." However, it has become clear that this reaction is the result of the perception of pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) by plasma membrane located receptor-like kinases (RLKs). The best described example is the flagellin-sensitive 2 (FLS2) receptor of the bacterial flagellin $[6, 7]$ $[6, 7]$ $[6, 7]$. Activation of RLKs by the perception of PAMPs is the initial step in the activation of ROS production and PAMPtriggered immunity (PTI) $\lceil 3, 5 \rceil$ $\lceil 3, 5 \rceil$ $\lceil 3, 5 \rceil$.

The second oxidative burst, on the other hand, is dependent on the recognition of pathogen virulence factors, so-called effectors, which are perceived directly or indirectly, by a resistance (R)-gene product, generally a nucleotide-binding leucine-rich repeat (NB-LRR) protein $[8]$. These intracellular sensors mediate the activation of effector-triggered immunity(ETI). The second stronger ROS production is a hallmark of the hypersensitive response (HR) which includes cell death $[8]$.

Several sources for ROS production have been proposed or identified. However, it is important to differentiate between extracellular (apoplastic) and the intracellular generation of ROS [[3\]](#page-5-0). In *Arabidopsis thaliana* (hereafter *Arabidopsis*), the apoplastic ROS production triggered by RLKs such as FLS2 is completely dependent on the NADPH oxidase respiratory burst homologue $(RBOH)D [9]$, but may also rely after NADPH oxidase activation on the cell wall peroxidases (PRX)33 and 34 $[10]$. NADPH oxidases catalyze the generation of superoxide, which can either spontaneously or enzymatically be converted into hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) by superoxide dismutases or peroxidases. By contrast, the second ROS burst triggered during ETI is likely to include sources such as chloroplasts, peroxidases, and mitochondria [3].

ROS production, or to be precise, hydrogen peroxide generation, can be quantified using luminol. Luminescence from luminol requires its activation by an oxidant which in this case is the indirect NADPH oxidase -dependent generation hydrogen peroxide and hydroxide ions. In the presence of the horseradish peroxidase, which acts as a catalyst, the hydrogen peroxide is decomposed to form oxygen and water. The reaction of luminol with the hydroxide ion results in the formation of a dianion. The oxygen released from the hydrogen peroxide subsequently reacts with the luminol dianion. As a result, an unstable organic peroxide is generated, electrons change from the excited to the ground state, and finally energy is emitted in the form of a photon which can be quantified by a luminescence detector.

2 Materials

- 2. Seedlings: 10 days after germination, pass two to three seedlings into a well from a 96-well plate, also containing halfstrength MS such that they stay submerged. Allow to grow for another 2 days before measurement.
- 3. Roots: Prepare root tissue by cutting into in 0.5 cm long pieces using a new scalpel blade and place two to three pieces per well in a 96-well plate. Use the lower half of the roots and exclude the meristematic tissue area at the tip.
- 1. Prepare samples as described above 1 day before assaying ROS production. *3.4 Measurement*
	- 2. Float samples overnight at room temperature in 150 μl of sterilized tap water.
	- 3. Immediately before starting the assay, prepare the reaction solution containing 20 μg/ml peroxidase and 30 μg/ml luminol.
	- 4. Carefully remove the water in which the samples were incubated overnight without damaging sample tissue (critical).
	- 5. Swiftly add 120 μl of the aqueous reaction solution using a multichannel pipet to avoid desiccation (critical) and incubate for 20 min at room temperature. Be careful not to stress the samples mechanically.
	- 6. Add 120 μl of reaction solution containing double concentration of elicitor (e.g., peptide or bacteria) using a multichannel pipet and mix carefully (*see* **Note 4**).
	- 7. Place samples in the microtiter plate reader or photon counting camera and wait for 1 min before starting to allow autofluorescence to cease.
	- 8. Start monitoring luminescence (*see* **Note 5**).

4 Notes

- 1. The main drawback of the ROS assay is its variability. However, there are several steps that can be taken which will help to considerably increase reproducibility. Most important are the growth conditions; these need to be identical, especially light conditions. Use seeds harvested from plants grown simultaneously. It is imperative that plants do not have any sort of infection or wounding. Also, infestation with dark-winged fungus gnats, which produce larvae that will feed on leaves and roots, will result in considerable variability between samples.
- 2. We always obtained the most consistent results with plants older than 6 weeks and grown under short day. However,

attention must be paid to that there are no senescent leaves present on the plants.

- 3. The measured luminescence that is produced by the reaction of luminol with hydrogen peroxide in leaf discs originates from the edges resulting from cutting. Two points are pivotal: first, avoid desiccation of the edges and second, always use very sharp biopsy punchers which will reduce wounding responses.
- 4. Duration of the measurement is dependent on the elicitor, the bacteria strain, and the ROS burst type. Most elicitors which are perceived by RLKs, including flg22, elf18, but also chitin and oligogalacturonides, induce the production of ROS very quickly. Within 5–10 min, the ROS burst peaks and ebbs off in the next 50 min. However, when using virulent bacteria such as *Pst*, PAMP-dependent ROS production is triggered after 30–60 min and ebbs off within the next 30 min (Fig. [1](#page-1-0)) $[12, 1]$ [13](#page-6-0)]. By contrast, when measuring the oxidative burst which is triggered by the recognition of effectors by R-proteins such as NB-LRRs, ROS production starts 6–8 h after inoculation.
- 5. Luminol-based measurement of ROS production can be carried out with a variety of equipment that is able to detect luminescence. However, the bottleneck is always the sensitivity. Two settings may help to improve sensitivity: (1) increased binning when using a camera, although at the cost of a reduced resolution, and (2) increasing the integration time, which results in an increased dwelling time on each sample.

Acknowledgment

The author would like to acknowledge the funding from the Leibniz Association and the state of Saxony-Anhalt.

References

- 1. Doke N (1983) Involvement of superoxide anion generation in the hypersensitive response of potato tuber tissues to infection with an incompatible race of Phytophthora infestans and to the hyphal wall components. Physiol Plant Pathol 23:345–357
- 2. Doke N (1983) Generation of superoxide anion by potato tuber protoplasts during the hypersensitive response to hyphal cell wall components of Phytophthora infestans and specific inhibition of the reaction by suppressors of hypersensitivity. Physiol Plant Pathol 23:359–367
- 3. Wrzaczek M, Brosche M, Kangasjarvi J (2013) ROS signaling loops—production, perception, regulation. Curr Opin Plant Biol 16(5):575– 582. doi[: 10.1016/j.pbi.2013.07.002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2013.07.002)
- 4. Lamb C, Dixon RA (1997) The oxidative burst in plant disease resistance. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol 48:251–275
- 5. Kadota Y, Sklenar J, Derbyshire P, Stransfeld L, Asai S, Ntoukakis V, Jones JD, Shirasu K, Menke F, Jones A, Zipfel C (2014) Direct regulation of the NADPH oxidase RBOHD by the PRR-associated kinase BIK1 during plant immunity. Mol Cell 54(1):43–55. doi[: 10.1016/j.molcel.2014.02.021](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2014.02.021)
- 6. Gomez-Gomez L, Boller T (2000) FLS2: an LRR receptor-like kinase involved in the perception of the bacterial elicitor flagellin in *Arabidopsis*. Mol Cell 5(6):1003–1011
- 7. Chinchilla D, Bauer Z, Regenass M, Boller T, Felix G (2006) The *Arabidopsis* receptor kinase

FLS2 binds flg22 and determines the specificity of flagellin perception. Plant Cell 18(2): 465–476

- 8. Bent AF, Mackey D (2007) Elicitors, effectors, and R genes: the new paradigm and a lifetime supply of questions. Annu Rev Phytopathol
45:399-436. doi:10.1146/annurev. 45:399–436. doi[: 10.1146/annurev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.phyto.45.062806.094427) [phyto.45.062806.094427](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.phyto.45.062806.094427)
- 9. Mersmann S, Bourdais G, Rietz S, Robatzek S (2010) Ethylene signaling regulates accumulation of the FLS2 receptor and is required for the oxidative burst contributing to plant immunity. Plant Physiol 154(1):391–400. doi: [10.1104/pp. 110.154567](http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp. 110.154567)
- 10. Daudi A, Cheng Z, O'Brien JA, Mammarella N, Khan S, Ausubel FM, Bolwell GP (2012) The apoplastic oxidative burst peroxidase in *Arabidopsis* is a major component of pattern-

triggered immunity. Plant Cell 24(1):275–287. doi[: 10.1105/tpc.111.093039](http://dx.doi.org/10.1105/tpc.111.093039)

- 11. Chen Z, Kloek AP, Boch J, Katagiri F, Kunkel BN (2000) The Pseudomonas syringae avr-Rpt2 gene product promotes pathogen virulence from inside plant cells. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 13(12):1312-1321. doi:10.1094/ [MPMI.2000.13.12.1312](http://dx.doi.org/10.1094/MPMI.2000.13.12.1312)
- 12. Trujillo M, Ichimura K, Casais C, Shirasu K (2008) Negative regulation of PAMP-triggered immunity by an E3 ubiquitin ligase triplet in *Arabidopsis*. Curr Biol 18(18):1396–1401
- 13. Smith JM, Heese A (2014) Rapid bioassay to measure early reactive oxygen species production in *Arabidopsis* leave tissue in response to living Pseudomonas syringae. Plant Methods 10(1):6. doi:10.1186/1746-4811-10-6