

Josselin Houenou and Louise Emsell

---

### Learning Points

- DTI is not used routinely in clinical practice owing to special challenges inherent to defining psychopathology, the practical issues associated with scanning patients and the lack of sensitivity and specificity of DTI measures. In the future, it could be used to inform invasive neurosurgical treatments of psychiatric illness, such as deep brain stimulation.
- DTI is increasingly used as clinical research tool in psychiatry. It can be used to inform

neurobiological models of psychiatric illness, such as those based on “connectivity.” DTI metrics can be used in combination with other neuroimaging data as potential biomarkers that may aid patient stratification and improve treatment.

- DTI studies in psychiatry face a number of issues. Specifically, the categorical classification of mental disorders is subjective and definitions are continually evolving. Clinical samples are therefore highly heterogeneous with regard to clinical history, psychiatric and medical comorbidity, active symptoms, and medication. Alcohol misuse may represent a significant confound in studies of psychiatric populations.
- Scanning psychiatric patients presents some practical challenges, including obtaining informed consent, reduced compliance with procedures owing to anxiety or hyperactivity, and increased movement within the scanner compared to healthy subjects.
- DTI has been used to investigate a number of psychiatric disorders, including, but not limited to schizophrenia, mood, anxiety, personality and neurodevelopmental disorders. Findings are largely nonspecific and suggest varying degrees of white matter microstructural abnormality in cortical and subcortical cognitive and limbic networks.

---

J. Houenou, MD, PhD  
INSERM U955 Team 15 “Translation Psychiatry”,  
Fondation Fondamental, APHP, Hôpitaux  
Universitaires Mondor, Créteil, France

NeuroSpin Neuroimaging Center, UNIACT Lab,  
Psychiatry Team, CEA Saclay, Gif-Sur-Yvette,  
France

L. Emsell, PhD (✉)  
Translational MRI, Department of Imaging and  
Pathology, KU Leuven, and Radiology, University  
Hospitals, Leuven, Belgium

Universitair Psychiatrisch Centrum (UPC),  
KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium  
e-mail: [louise.emsell@med.kuleuven.be](mailto:louise.emsell@med.kuleuven.be)

## Role of DTI in Psychiatry

### Clinical Research

Presently, DTI is not used routinely in clinical psychiatric practice. There are a number of reasons for this, which broadly relate to both the complex nature of defining psychopathology and the practical challenges associated with scanning patients with mental illness. These issues are discussed in more detail in the body of this chapter. Nevertheless, DTI does have an increasingly significant role in psychiatry, and that is in the field of clinical research.

Early clinical neuroimaging studies in psychiatry used computed tomography (CT) and subsequently classical structural magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) (using T1, T2, and fluid attenuation inversion recovery—FLAIR—sequences) [1]. These studies have allowed us to better understand the volumetric changes present in psychiatric disorders such as schizophrenia, mood disorders (bipolar and unipolar disorders), anxiety disorders, addiction, personality disorders, autism, and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). As an example, in schizophrenia, we now know from these neuroimaging studies that global brain volumes are decreased in patients compared to controls, even before the first clinical episode [2, 3]. Regional volumes are also decreased, especially in the prefrontal cortex [4]. High-risk subjects are also a population of interest in these pathologies and are generally defined as healthy relatives of patients. They thus share some common genetic risk with the patients, but without the expression of the disease *per se* and without some confounding factors such as medication and number of episodes. Usually, these high-risk subjects share most of the same features regarding brain volumes, though at a lower amplitude than patients [5]. However, although such computational morphometry based studies are useful, they are unable to provide information beyond total and regional white matter volume, density, and shape.

Functional MRI has also provided insight into the mechanisms of psychiatric disorders, via the identification of over- or under-active areas during the completion of specific tasks in groups of patients [6].

Strikingly, T1, T2, FLAIR, and fMRI studies point to crucial abnormalities of white matter in major psychiatric disorders. On T1 scans, total white matter volume has been found to be reduced in schizophrenia, whilst regional volumetric reduction (e.g., corpus callosum) has also been reported in schizophrenia and in other conditions such as bipolar disorder [7]. In mood disorders, white matter hyperintensities observed on T2 and FLAIR are the most commonly reported abnormalities, especially in bipolar disorder and late-life depression [1]. Altered functional connectivity between brain areas, as measured by inter-regional BOLD levels correlations, has been reported in schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, and anxiety disorders, both during the completion of specific tasks and at rest [8]. Some authors believe that schizophrenia and even bipolar disorder can be conceptualized as “connectivity disorders.” Schizophrenia, for example, is characterized by a global alteration in brain connectivity [9]. This could explain the widespread cognitive deficits characteristic of the disorder. Neurobiological models of mood disorders assume compromised functional regulation of prefrontal-limbic connectivity. As functional connectivity is obviously linked to structural connectivity, there is a need to precisely explore and characterize white matter in the context of psychiatric illness [10].

This is why DTI has steadily gained importance as an investigative tool in psychiatric disorders. Its unique ability to examine WM microstructure *in vivo* provides a means to build upon findings from previous classical MR studies. When integrated with findings from functional neuroimaging studies and molecular biology, it can be used to refine neurobiological models of psychiatric disorders. A brief review of DTI findings in selected psychiatric conditions is provided at the end of this chapter.

### The Development of Imaging Biomarkers

The assessment of psychiatric disorders is currently based entirely on clinical evaluation, without any possibility of laboratory tests. Diagnosis,

prediction of the transition to illness, course, and outcome of major psychiatric illnesses thus continue to be very challenging and remain difficult to predict using classical clinical instruments. The absence of an objective biomarker to assess the evolution and severity of the illnesses leads to mismanagement and increased burden [11]. There is therefore a strong need to develop biomarkers of outcome to perform more personalized healthcare plans. Recent studies have raised hopes of identifying possible biomarkers that are usable at an individual level [12]. The most promising predictive biomarkers include neuroimaging features such as white matter abnormalities. The development and use of such biomarkers of prognosis may help to identify patients that should receive specific targeted interventions [13].

One technique to achieve the development of individual neuroimaging biomarkers usable at the bedside is “Machine learning” [14]. Techniques such as support vector machines have been developed in recent years and have already shown potential to classify patients with psychiatric disorders using neuroimaging data [15–17]. In such machine learning multivariate algorithms, the computer applies a specific mathematical method (e.g., support vector machine algorithms) to find specific patterns in a “learning dataset” (group information supplied to the computer) that form the basis of rules for distinguishing the MRI scans of different groups (e.g., patients from those of healthy controls). The computer then applies these rules to new datasets (e.g., for the automatic classification of patients and healthy subjects within the sample). Therefore, a biomarker is constructed, with measurable metrics such as specificity, sensitivity, positive and negative predictive values, and accuracy.

Proof-of-concept of such approaches in psychiatry has already been demonstrated in schizophrenia and autism. In 2005, Davatzikos and colleagues [18] applied such an automated classification technique to T1 MRI scans from 69 patients with schizophrenia and 79 healthy controls. They achieved a classification accuracy of 81 %.

Such techniques have also proven capable of predicting clinical outcome with MRI data in

neuropsychiatric disorders in recent studies. Koutsouleris et al. used multivariate machine learning algorithms to predict disease transition in schizophrenia: using T1 MRI scans from at-risk subjects, they were able to predict transition to psychosis 4 years later, with an accuracy of 82 % [16]. They performed this study with only 15 subjects having a transition to psychosis and 18 without such a transition.

In mood disorders, a recent study has highlighted the utility of such approaches to predict relapses. Farb et al. [19] recruited 16 remitted unipolar depressed patients who underwent fMRI while viewing sad and neutral film clips. They used a receiver operating characteristic analysis to determine signal cutoffs for predicting relapse. Within the depressed group, relapse was predicted by medial prefrontal cortical activity and contraindicated by visual cortical activity with sensitivity and specificity scores all above 80 %. This study clearly demonstrates the feasibility of discovering neuroimaging-based predictors of clinical outcome in mood disorders. It must be noted however that the sample size of this study was quite small.

A few studies have used DTI data as an entry point for such machine learning algorithms in psychiatry [20]. Such studies have achieved very high rates of accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity [21–23] and are a promising application of DTI in future psychiatric research.

## Planning Psychosurgical Procedures

Neurosurgical treatments of severe, intractable psychiatric disorders using procedures that destroy or disconnect brain tissue have a controversial history and despite their reported efficacy are not widely used. A major criticism of such procedures is that the pathways involved in psychiatric illness are ill defined and therefore reliable surgical targets are lacking, resulting in widely variable postsurgical outcomes. Nevertheless, four major techniques are in use, which are generally accepted as safe and efficacious: anterior cingulotomy, subcaudate tractotomy, limbic leucotomy, and anterior capsulotomy [24].

All these procedures target the limbic territory and its connections.

Another promising surgical approach, particularly in the treatment of depression, is deep brain stimulation (DBS). This technique involves the targeted stimulation of brain tissue via an electrode in order to modulate neurotransmission. In the case of depression, improvements have been reported when using DBS to target the subcallosal cingulate, ventral striatum, and anterior limb of the internal capsule (ALIC). The ALIC has also been targeted in obsessive-compulsive disorder [25].

Given the ability of DTI to virtually delineate major pathways, it can be used to investigate the connectivity profile of ablation and electrode target sites in order to understand more about the biological basis of the therapeutic and unwanted effects associated with the procedures, and about the neural circuitry involved in different aspects of psychopathology. For example, recent DTI tractography studies have found that typical psychosurgical lesion and DBS sites share similar fiber bundles within various cortical and subcortical circuits involving the prefrontal cortex and limbic networks, including, for example, the medial forebrain bundle and anterior thalamic radiation [26–28].

As the neurocircuitry of psychiatric disorders is unraveled, DTI could also be informative in guiding neurosurgical placement of the electrode in DBS (see Chap. 14) and for refining psychosurgical targets. Although presently such applications are very much in their infancy, in the future, DTI or advanced versions of the technique such as HARDI (see Chap. 21) may rejuvenate modern surgical interventions in psychiatry [29].

---

### Special Challenges in the Application of DTI in Psychiatry

The application of DTI, and neuroimaging in general in psychiatry, is an exciting challenge. Nevertheless, specific caveats must be kept in mind, which are related to the current classification systems in psychiatry and to the psychiatric

condition *per se*. These caveats are not all specific to DTI, but are generally common to all neuroimaging studies of patients in psychiatry.

### Diagnosis and Patient Stratification Presently Based on Clinical Assessment, Not Biomarkers

To date, diagnoses in psychiatry are solely based on clinical assessment. The classification and definition of the illnesses rely on guidelines and manuals approved by the psychiatry community such as the “Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders” (DSM; current version DSM-V) of the American Psychiatric Association and the “International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems” (ICD; current version ICD-10) of the World Health Organization.

These classification systems define a mental disorder based on a collection of clinical signs and symptoms (“a syndrome”) and their consequences. As an example, the DSM-V defines a mental disorder as a syndrome that occurs in an individual, the consequences of which are clinically significant distress or disability, that must not be merely an expectable response to common stressors and losses or a culturally sanctioned response to a particular event, that reflects an underlying psychobiological dysfunction and is not primarily a result of social deviance or conflicts with society. Other accepted validity criteria for psychiatric disorders include those established by Robins and Güze in 1970 that are a common clinical description, the exclusion of other disorders, longitudinal studies (for stability over time), familial studies, and laboratory tests.

These definitions and the classification systems thus rely largely on statistical clustering of symptoms in individuals. No single pathophysiological process is assumed for a disease definition such as in other medical fields. The “underlying psychobiological dysfunction” is vague and secondary, largely because psychiatry presently has no unitary pathophysiological model for most diseases (schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, autism etc.).

The issue with such a situation is that neuroimaging and DTI studies currently investigate groups of patients based on clinical classifications only. As an example, when we compare a group of 30 patients with “schizophrenia” with “healthy controls,” one cannot know if the 30 patients share a common underlying etiological mechanism or various physiopathological processes. This may explain some false negatives (because of the inclusion of patients with heterogeneous neurobiology). This situation may also explain the heterogeneity of the results if different groups studying the same “disease” have included non-comparable groups. Indeed, the DSM-V authors state that an inter-rater kappa for most diagnoses between 0.4 and 0.6 would be a realistic goal, and 0.2 and 0.4 would be acceptable [30]. Therefore, one cannot assume that groups of patients with an identical diagnosis are similar between studies.

In addition, the stability of psychiatric diagnoses over time is also open to debate. A very recent study explored this question in a cohort of 470 first-admission patients with psychotic disorders who were systematically assessed at baseline and during a 10-year follow-up [31]. Diagnoses were based on best-estimate consensus. In this report, 50.7 % of study participants’ diagnoses *changed* at some point during the study. Therefore, a study scanning patients with “first-episode schizophrenia” may include patients with first-episode schizophrenia, but also patients with other future diagnoses such as bipolar disorder.

Boundaries of diagnoses are also unclear. The distinction between schizophrenia and bipolar disorder has been debated since 1896, when Emil Kraepelin proposed that a fundamental dichotomy exists between those two diagnoses (the “Kraepelinian dichotomy”). The existence of mixed clinical forms (“schizoaffective disorders”), shared genetic vulnerability, diagnosis instability, and common risk factors have led several authors to consider those two illnesses as belonging to the same fundamental process [32, 33]. Some authors even include autism in this picture (Kanner, himself, firstly described autism as “early-onset schizophrenia”).

Finally, an additional layer of complexity comes from the variation in time of the diagnostic criteria used. As an example, diagnoses in the DSM-III and DSM-IV are not strictly identical, and thus, studies using these different manuals cannot be directly compared. Some diagnoses disappear from the classifications, while others arise.

On the other hand, neuroimaging may help to better define homogeneous and valid diagnostic groups, by identifying clear physiopathological processes involved. The initial goal of the DSM-V revision was indeed to define illnesses by using the new knowledge stemming from biological, including neuroimaging studies. To achieve this goal, large studies comparing patients across diagnoses are recommended.

### **Psychiatric and Medical Comorbidity, including Alcohol and Substance Abuse**

Heterogeneous results have been obtained in neuroimaging studies of psychiatric conditions. Several sources of heterogeneity can be identified. Amongst them, the heterogeneity of the clinical samples recruited is a crucial issue. One source of heterogeneity comes from the classification systems used (see previous paragraph). But potential biases are specifically present in neuroimaging of patients with psychiatric illnesses: comorbidity, heterogeneity of the illness, medication, impact of illness duration, and episodes and impact of symptoms.

### **Comorbidity**

Patients suffering from a psychiatric illness often exhibit high rates of psychiatric and somatic comorbidities. In schizophrenia, anxiety and depressive symptoms are very common with an estimated prevalence of 29 % for PTSD and 23 % for OCD. Depression occurs in 50 % of patients with schizophrenia and 47 % also have a lifetime diagnosis of substance abuse [34]. In patients with bipolar disorder, substance use comorbidities are present in up to 72 % of patients, along with anxiety or multiple comorbidities [35].

For somatic conditions, cardiovascular diseases are far more frequent than in general population in patients with bipolar disorder [36]. In schizophrenia, most of the common medical conditions are more frequent than in general population [37]. The cause for this is unclear. Two hypotheses are proposed: firstly, there is a delay in diagnosis and lack in the care of somatic conditions in patients with psychiatric illnesses. Secondly, some of these somatic conditions are inherent to the pathophysiology of psychiatric diseases [36].

The very high rates of comorbidities in psychiatric illnesses raise two challenges in DTI studies. First of all, the inclusion of patients with comorbidities may introduce a bias in the interpretation of the results. The differences found between patients and controls may be caused by the psychiatric illness itself or by its comorbidity. As an example, DTI differences between patients with bipolar disorder and controls may be linked to alcohol use disorder in these patients. Indeed, even detoxified subjects with alcohol use disorder exhibit DTI abnormalities [38], which are probably of larger magnitude than those of bipolar disorder. Even somatic conditions such as diabetes may bias the DTI results [39]. One solution to this issue may be the inclusion of comorbidity-free patients in DTI studies. However, this approach introduces a sampling bias as most of the patients have comorbidities and therefore, comorbidity-free patients may not be representative of typical patient populations.

### **Heterogeneity of Illness**

Another source of heterogeneity in the results of neuroimaging studies is the heterogeneity of the clinical samples, which probably confounds the observed results. The clinical characteristics of the patients studied are diverse, with, for example, different forms of schizophrenia (with or without hallucinations etc.) or different subtypes of BD (e.g., types I and II, rapid cycling) and differences in age at onset (early, intermediate, late). Unipolar depression is probably even more diverse. In anxiety disorders, PTSD may arise from various types of trauma. Some of these clinical features such as the presence or absence of

hallucinations in patients with schizophrenia have already been associated with specific DTI findings [40].

Illness duration, severity, number of episodes, and current symptoms may also vary between samples and are known to have an influence on DTI findings in most conditions.

A last source of heterogeneity is the recruitment mode. Patients recruited via the press, inpatient or outpatient facilities differ on many demographic and clinical characteristics.

### **Medication**

Another major confounding variable is psychotropic medication. For major psychiatric illnesses such as schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, or severe unipolar disorder, virtually all patients are taking one, or more usually, several psychotropic medications such as antipsychotics, mood stabilizers, antidepressants, and benzodiazepines. All these psychotropic medications may affect brain structure. The most common example is the neurotrophic effect of lithium on grey matter volumes. There is presently a lack of knowledge regarding the effect of these medications on brain white matter, but current evidence suggests a limited impact on DTI variables [41].

Similarly to the comorbidity issue, the recruitment of medication-free patients, apart from being very difficult, may lead to sampling issues.

### **Special Considerations in the Scanner: The Effect of Motion, Active Symptoms, and Informed Consent**

Another source of noise and bias that is crucial in DTI studies is the compliance of the patients to the instructions given by the scanning staff. More specifically, head motion is a major source of noise in most neuroimaging studies [42]. Patients are more prone to head motion than controls because of several factors including minor neurological signs associated with the disease itself, medication, motivation, and anxiety. In DTI, head motion is also a source of noise despite motion correction algorithms [43]. In movement



disorders, FA values have proven to be robust despite head motion, which is very encouraging for the psychiatric field [44].

Aside from motion, the nature of psychiatric symptoms that patients present with during scanning may hinder optimal data acquisition. For example, depressed subjects may be less motivated to attend scanning sessions or suffer higher levels of anxiety. Manic or actively psychotic individuals may be too restless or anxious to tolerate scanning. The resulting scans may suffer more motion artifacts or scanning may be terminated before the acquisition is complete. In all these cases, the most optimum results will be obtained by employing strategies to increase patient compliance with the scanning procedures. It is therefore extremely important that staff scanning subjects with active psychiatric disorders pay special attention to ensuring that patients receive clear and complete instructions on the scanning procedure and what they can expect to experience whilst in the scanner. Along these same lines, it is vital that the nontherapeutic investigation of psychiatric patients conforms to an ethical framework that takes into account the ability of the patient to provide informed consent [45].

---

### **DTI findings in Psychiatric Disorders**

Despite the inherent difficulties in acquiring good comparative data in psychiatric populations, research in psychiatry has greatly benefited from neuroimaging. Earlier work using CT, MRI, and PET fundamentally altered the perception of psychiatric illness from an intangible, unquantifiable, functional disturbance without organic pathology, to a collection of disorders for which measurable neurological changes in brain structure and biochemical function could be identified and visualized. With the ability to investigate white matter, DTI continues to advance our understanding of the nature of these structural changes. Since the advent of the technique, the role of white matter alterations as a core feature of mental illness pathophysiology has become apparent, and the concept of psychiatric disease

arising from altered structural connectivity has been strengthened.

### **Which Fiber Bundles Are of Interest in Psychiatric Disorders?**

DTI has been applied to the investigation of a number of psychiatric disorders to varying degrees and using a range of analysis methods to explore whole brain white matter, specific fiber tracts, and tract subregions. The most commonly reported deficits are found in frontal and temporal white matter and tracts that subservise the limbic system. Such tracts include the various subregions of the corpus callosum (CC), cingulum bundle (CB), superior (SLF) and inferior longitudinal fasciculi (ILF), thalamic radiations, and uncinate fasciculus (UF) [1, 46]. Impaired WM microstructure in these regions is hypothesized to contribute to a breakdown in the regulation of higher functions relating to cognition, emotion, and memory, which are typically compromised in psychiatric illness. Some ascending and descending fiber systems such as the corona radiata, internal capsules, cerebral and cerebellar peduncles feature more predominantly in neurodevelopmental disorders such as autism and ADHD, and also in schizophrenia, and may underlie the psychomotor features of these illnesses.

Reported alterations are however by no means limited to these areas and neither are such findings universal. This likely reflects the heterogeneity of both the clinical populations studied and the methodology employed to investigate them. Furthermore, several regions such as the WM of the medial temporal lobe and corpus callosum emerge consistently in meta-analyses of different disorders. This illustrates the lack of specificity of DTI changes in psychiatric illnesses and may be reflective of the considerable overlap in symptomatology between them. In this context, DTI metrics in isolation cannot be used diagnostically but provide useful additional data in a multimodal framework incorporating for example, genetic, neuropsychological, psychosocial, and clinical measures.

## DTI Findings in Selected Psychiatric Disorders

### Schizophrenia

Schizophrenia is a disorder of thought, perception, emotion, and behavior affecting an estimated 1 % of the population. Patients may experience both “positive” symptoms, such as hallucinations, delusions, altered thoughts and feelings of being controlled, and “negative” symptoms characterized by withdrawal, flattened affect, and anhedonia.

It is the most widely studied psychiatric disorder using DTI, with over 300 studies listed on PubMed at the time of writing (early 2015). There are few negative studies, with the majority reporting FA reductions in more than one brain region [47]. Although FA reduction in frontal and temporal WM appears most frequently reported, there are also reports of such decreases in parietal, occipital, and even cerebellar white matter, suggesting widespread diffuse whole brain pathology, consistent with findings of widespread grey matter reductions and functional impairments detected using other imaging modalities [10]. A recent meta-analysis of DTI studies in schizophrenia described two distinct regions where FA was reduced consistently: one in the left perigenual WM of the frontal lobe and a second region, in the medial temporal lobe [48]. The authors postulate that these regions represent two distinct networks that are compromised in schizophrenia, leading to a disconnection of important fronto-temporal grey matter functional areas.

### Mood Disorders

The next most widely investigated psychiatric conditions are **major depressive disorder (MDD)** and **bipolar disorder (BD)**. Depression is a common disorder affecting up to one in five people in their lifetime. It is characterized by extended periods of low mood, sadness, anhedonia, impaired concentration, altered sleep and appetite, feelings of guilt and worthlessness, and

in severe cases, suicidal thoughts. Bipolar disorder is less common, affecting 1 % of the population, and is characterized by alternating periods of severe depression and hypomania or mania. During (hypo) manic episodes, patients experience elevated mood, increased energy, reduced need for sleep, talk more quickly, may make unrealistic plans, overspend, engage in risky behavior, become irritable, aggressive, and abuse alcohol and drugs. Some patients may also experience psychosis, a state in which their perception of reality becomes distorted. In this context, symptoms of BD and schizophrenia overlap. It is interesting that DTI findings in BD also parallel those in schizophrenia. However, FA reductions are less widely reported across the whole brain in BD and there are considerably more negative studies. There are also some reports of regional FA increase [49]. Regionally, FA reductions tend to be found in frontal and temporal WM. Corpus callosum deficits feature strongly, particularly anterior (genu) and posterior (splenium) projections [50–52]. FA reductions also predominate in anatomically closely related tracts, such as portions of the SLF, ILF, IFOF, posterior thalamic radiation, and cingulum [53]. Such regions are classically associated with emotional regulation, working memory, and facial processing; functions that are impaired in BD. Interestingly, these regions, which emerged consistently in a meta-analysis of 11 DTI studies [46], parallel the two regions identified in the schizophrenia meta-analysis described above.

Findings in MDD are significantly more heterogeneous and overlap considerably with changes identified in BD. Strikingly, a recent meta-analysis [54] not only identified FA deficits in the callosal genu and body but also found them in precisely the same posterior WM region encompassing the right ILF, IFOF, and posterior thalamic radiation, as the meta-analysis of DTI studies in BD and schizophrenia, all performed by different authors [46, 48]. Findings diverge somewhat from BD and schizophrenia, where FA reductions in MDD are found in more dorsal regions of the PFC, compared to more ventral and perigenual PFC regions in BD and schizophrenia.



## Anxiety Disorders

Anxiety disorders are common, affecting one in ten people in their lifetime. They are characterized by both psychological effects, including increased worry, irritability, fear, and impaired sleep; and somatic complaints, such as dizziness, palpitations, trembling, sweating, rapid breathing, and gastrointestinal disruption. Some anxiety disorders are a permanent and disruptive feature of a patient's life such as **generalized anxiety disorder (GAD)**, **obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD)**, and **posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD)**. Other forms of anxiety disorder arise only in certain situations, which are typically stressful for the affected individual. For example, **panic disorder** is characterized by intense, isolated "attacks" that come on quickly, and **phobias**, which give rise to feelings of anxiety and fear when the sufferer is exposed to something that is not usually dangerous, such as house spiders or traveling by air. Neurobiological models of anxiety propose a disruption to key networks that modulate fear and attention and involve brain structures such as the medial prefrontal cortex, posterior cingulate cortex, insula, brain stem, hippocampus, and amygdala [55]. The cingulum bundle is central to these networks and features prominently in DTI studies of anxiety.

Most types of anxiety disorder have been investigated using DTI, but studies are less numerous than in the schizophrenia and mood disorders. Two studies have investigated panic disorder. One ROI analysis of the anterior and posterior cingulum reported increased FA in this structure [56]. The other utilized a voxel-based approach and found FA decreases in the right IFOF, left callosal body, and left SLF [57].

DTI studies of PTSD are limited and include investigations into the effect of childhood trauma measured during childhood and during adulthood, and also the effect of adult trauma. A meta-analysis of seven studies investigating trauma-exposed adults identified FA decreases in nine clusters and increases in six clusters, which included different regions of the cingulum. Interestingly, volumetric reductions have also been commonly reported in this structure [58].

The typical behaviors associated with OCD are hypothesized to reflect cortical dysregulation of cortico-thalamo-striatal circuits including the orbitofrontal cortex, cingulate, and caudate [59]. Positive DTI findings predominate, with FA changes, i.e., reductions and also increases reported in tracts associated with these regions, for example, in the cingulum bundle, internal capsule, anterior thalamic radiation, superior longitudinal fasciculus and inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus, as well as in the corpus callosum, frontal and parietal white matter [60, 61].

## Personality Disorders

Personality disorders are common, and arise from the abnormal expression of certain character traits that diverge from the sociocultural norm of the individual's environment. Such traits include being overly suspicious, impulsive, overly emotional, and anxious. These traits may lead those with the disorder to engage in destructive and harmful behavior to themselves and/or others, and they may find it difficult to function in healthy relationships and formal educational or employment settings.

Research using DTI to study personality disorders is limited. Two studies report reduced FA in orbitofrontal WM [62, 63], whilst another reports no FA decrease in borderline personality disorder patients compared to a control group [64]. However, interpretation of these findings is compromised by the inclusion of patients with different comorbid psychiatric conditions and analyses based on suboptimal DTI data. Only two studies have examined schizotypal personality disorder. The first used an automated ROI analysis of the uncinate fasciculus and cingulum bundle in DTI linescan data and found reduced FA in the uncinate only [65]. The second investigated a larger sample in a more elaborate analysis examining WM underlying Brodmann regions of the dorsolateral PFC, cingulate gyrus, and temporal lobe, and found both FA reductions in temporal WM and posterior cingulum and FA increase in the subgenual PFC [66].

## Neurodevelopmental Disorders

Neuroimaging studies in children have additional challenges beyond those described previously (see Chap. 13). Notably, the effect of age is likely to be an important confound in such studies. Given the different trajectories of white matter development both within and between typically developing children and children affected by psychopathology, cross-sectional studies including different age groups limit comparability between studies and generalizability of findings. In spite of such issues, DTI is providing data supporting dyconnectivity models of two key neurodevelopmental disorders.

### Autism

Autism (autism spectrum disorder/ASD) is a pervasive neurodevelopmental disorder emerging in early childhood that is characterized by impaired social and communication skills, repetitive, stereotyped behavior, and increased sensitivity to external stimuli. The dramatic increase in ASD diagnosis in recent years has been mirrored by an increase in neuroimaging research investigating the neurobiological basis of the condition. Studies employing active and resting state fMRI have demonstrated aberrant functional connectivity in ASD, which may be driven by impaired structural connectivity, i.e., WM pathology [67, 68]. However, DTI findings have been heterogeneous with a particular lack of consensus on the location of FA and MD changes in ASD. Most studies have found FA to be reduced; however few studies have reported this reduction in the same region and other studies have failed to find FA reductions in these regions [69]. A relatively large recent study including 39 young autistic children found widespread, minor FA reductions and MD increases in the order of 1–2 % compared to 39 typically developing children [69]. Notably, this study used two different voxel-based approaches and found discrepancies in the level of statistical significance in regions reported between the methods. The authors also identified image artifacts in their data that may have con-

tributed to the results, which they discussed in the context of potential confounds in such DTI studies and to caution against the use of DTI metrics as a biomarker for single-subject diagnosis.

### Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder

Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) is a neurodevelopmental, behavioral disorder affecting up to 5 % of school age children, characterized by impaired attention and concentration with increased impulsivity and hyperactivity. One neurobiological theory proposes that the disorder reflects abnormal fronto-striatal-cerebellar circuitry. Findings from DTI appear to support this model with several studies reporting both FA alterations in a range of tracts subserving these regions. For example, a meta-analysis including nine VBA studies of both pediatric and adult populations (173 ADHD patients and 169 healthy controls) identified five foci of altered FA within the callosal genu, anterior corona radiate, internal capsule, and cerebellar white matter [70]. ROI studies have also reported reduced anisotropy in overlapping regions, including the middle cerebellar peduncle [71], corticospinal tract [72], internal capsules, and corpus callosum [73, 74]. Anisotropy increases have also been reported in frontal and temporal white matter [75, 76]. Interestingly, one study of the basal ganglia did not find group differences in FA or MD, but found an increase in FA with age in the ADHD group that was absent in the controls, hinting at delayed WM development that normalizes in adulthood [77]. Indeed, many cases of ADHD resolve with increasing maturity, whilst others persist into adulthood, which may reflect different illness subtypes or pathophysiological mechanisms.

### Alcohol Use Disorders

The harmful use of alcohol is widespread across the globe, with many Eastern European countries, Thailand, Korea, and Columbia reporting

prevalence rates of over 10 % in men (WHO). In the context of abuse and dependence, alcohol misuse is a common and significant comorbidity in psychiatric disorders [78]. The damaging effect of alcohol on the brain through acute cytotoxicity and the sequelae of chronic overconsumption is well documented [79]. One DTI ROI based study investigating the acute effects of wine consumption on different brain regions at 0.5, 1, 2, and 3 h following consumption reported significant changes in ADC in the cerebral peduncles, thalamus and frontal WM, and in FA in frontal WM over time that may reflect the development of cytotoxic edema and subsequent recovery [80]. Another voxel-based study investigating 14 adolescent binge drinkers (defined as drinking five alcoholic beverages in one sitting) and equally matched controls reported widespread FA reductions that appeared to be dose dependent [81]. Studies of “uncomplicated alcoholics” have aimed to characterize long-term effects of chronic alcohol exposure and have reported regional FA reductions in anterior and superior association bundles and in the corpus callosum [82, 83]. One study investigating mesencephalic fiber tracts in detoxified subjects reported ADC increases and an 18 % reduction in reconstructed tracts per unit volume between the midbrain and pons [38]. Alcohol therefore appears to impact upon DTI metrics, whether consumed in moderate or large amounts, and these effects are measurable in both acute and chronic phases of overuse, as well as in states of detoxification. As such, alcohol use should be accounted for in DTI investigations and may represent an important confound in DTI studies of psychiatric disorders.

In summary, DTI is a valuable tool in the field of psychiatry, particularly in clinical research. It is not without its limitations, and implementing the technique properly in psychiatric populations requires careful attention. In the future, concurrent advances in both neuroimaging and biological psychiatry should converge with advances in allied disciplines in order to inform and improve clinical practice and the care of patients with psychiatric disorders.

## References

1. Emsell L, McDonald C. The structural neuroimaging of bipolar disorder. *Int Rev Psychiatry*. 2009;21:297–313.
2. Levitt JJ, Bobrow L, Lucia D, Srinivasan P. A selective review of volumetric and morphometric imaging in schizophrenia. *Curr Top Behav Neurosci*. 2010;4:243–81.
3. Thermenos HW, Keshavan MS, Juelich RJ, Molokotos E, Whitfield-Gabrieli S, Brent BK, et al. A review of neuroimaging studies of young relatives of individuals with schizophrenia: a developmental perspective from schizotaxia to schizophrenia. *Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet*. 2013;162B:604–35.
4. Fornito A, Yucel M, Patti J, Wood SJ, Pantelis C. Mapping grey matter reductions in schizophrenia: an anatomical likelihood estimation analysis of voxel-based morphometry studies. *Schizophr Res*. 2009;108:104–13.
5. Whalley HC, Harris JC, Lawrie SM. The neurobiological underpinnings of risk and conversion in relatives of patients with schizophrenia. *Int Rev Psychiatry*. 2007;19:383–97.
6. Linden DE. The challenges and promise of neuroimaging in psychiatry. *Neuron*. 2012;73:8–22.
7. Walterfang M, Malhi GS, Wood AG, Reutens DC, Chen J, Barton S, et al. Corpus callosum size and shape in established bipolar affective disorder. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry*. 2009;43:838–45.
8. Greicius M. Resting-state functional connectivity in neuropsychiatric disorders. *Curr Opin Neurol*. 2008;21:424–30.
9. Dauvermann MR, Whalley HC, Schmidt A, Lee GL, Romaniuk L, Roberts N, et al. Computational neuropsychiatry - schizophrenia as a cognitive brain network disorder. *Front Psychiatry*. 2014;5:30.
10. Fornito A, Zalesky A, Pantelis C, Bullmore ET. Schizophrenia, neuroimaging and connectomics. *Neuroimage*. 2012;62:2296–314.
11. Kupfer DJ. The increasing medical burden in bipolar disorder. *JAMA*. 2005;293:2528–30.
12. Singh I, Rose N. Biomarkers in psychiatry. *Nature*. 2009;460:202–7.
13. Houenou J, d’Albis MA, Vederine FE, Henry C, Leboyer M, Wessa M. Neuroimaging biomarkers in bipolar disorder. *Front Biosci (Elite Ed)*. 2012;4:593–606.
14. Lemm S, Blankertz B, Dickhaus T, Muller KR. Introduction to machine learning for brain imaging. *Neuroimage*. 2011;56:387–99.
15. Duchesnay E, Cachia A, Boddiaert N, Chabane N, Mangin JF, Martinot JL, et al. Feature selection and classification of imbalanced datasets: application to PET images of children with autistic spectrum disorders. *Neuroimage*. 2011;57:1003–14.
16. Koutsouleris N, Meisenzahl EM, Davatzikos C, Bottlender R, Frodl T, Scheuerecker J, et al. Use of neuroanatomical pattern classification to identify

- subjects in at-risk mental states of psychosis and predict disease transition. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2009;66:700–12.
17. Iwabuchi SJ, Liddle PF, Palaniyappan L. Clinical utility of machine-learning approaches in schizophrenia: improving diagnostic confidence for translational neuroimaging. *Front Psychiatry*. 2013;4:95.
  18. Davatzikos C, Shen D, Gur RC, Wu X, Liu D, Fan Y, et al. Whole-brain morphometric study of schizophrenia revealing a spatially complex set of focal abnormalities. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2005;62:1218–27.
  19. Farb NA, Anderson AK, Bloch RT, Segal ZV. Mood-linked responses in medial prefrontal cortex predict relapse in patients with recurrent unipolar depression. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2011;70:366–72.
  20. Wang P, Verma R. On classifying disease-induced patterns in the brain using diffusion tensor images. *Med Image Comput Comput Assist Interv*. 2008;11:908–16.
  21. Ingalhalikar M, Kanterakis S, Gur R, Roberts TP, Verma R. DTI based diagnostic prediction of a disease via pattern classification. *Med Image Comput Comput Assist Interv*. 2010;13:558–65.
  22. Ardekani BA, Tabesh A, Sevy S, Robinson DG, Bilder RM, Szeszko PR. Diffusion tensor imaging reliably differentiates patients with schizophrenia from healthy volunteers. *Hum Brain Mapp*. 2011;32:1–9.
  23. Besga A, Termenon M, Grana M, Echeveste J, Perez JM, Gonzalez-Pinto A. Discovering Alzheimer's disease and bipolar disorder white matter effects building computer aided diagnostic systems on brain diffusion tensor imaging features. *Neurosci Lett*. 2012;520:71–6.
  24. Mashour GA, Walker EE, Martuza RL. Psychosurgery: past, present, and future. *Brain Res Brain Res Rev*. 2005;48:409–19.
  25. Ward HE, Hwynn N, Okun MS. Update on deep brain stimulation for neuropsychiatric disorders. *Neurobiol Dis*. 2010;38:346–53.
  26. Gutman DA, Holtzheimer PE, Behrens TE, Johansen-Berg H, Mayberg HS. A tractography analysis of two deep brain stimulation white matter targets for depression. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2009;65:276–82.
  27. Schlaepfer TE, Bewernick BH, Kayser S, Madler B, Coenen VA. Rapid effects of deep brain stimulation for treatment-resistant major depression. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2013;73:1204–12.
  28. Schoene-Bake JC, Parpaley Y, Weber B, Panksepp J, Hurwitz TA, Coenen VA. Tractographic analysis of historical lesion surgery for depression. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2010;35:2553–63.
  29. Henderson JM. "Connectomic surgery": diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) tractography as a targeting modality for surgical modulation of neural networks. *Front Integr Neurosci*. 2012;6:15.
  30. Kraemer HC, Kupfer DJ, Clarke DE, Narrow WE, Regier DA. DSM-5: how reliable is reliable enough? *Am J Psychiatry*. 2012;169:13–5.
  31. Bromet EJ, Kotov R, Fochtmann LJ, Carlson GA, Tanenberg-Karant M, Ruggero C, et al. Diagnostic shifts during the decade following first admission for psychosis. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2011;168:1186–94.
  32. Burmeister M, McInnis MG, Zollner S. Psychiatric genetics: progress amid controversy. *Nat Rev Genet*. 2008;9:527–40.
  33. Kas MJ, Fernandes C, Schalkwyk LC, Collier DA. Genetics of behavioural domains across the neuropsychiatric spectrum; of mice and men. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2007;12:324–30.
  34. Buckley PF, Miller BJ, Lehrer DS, Castle DJ. Psychiatric comorbidities and schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull*. 2009;35:383–402.
  35. Bauer MS, Altshuler L, Evans DR, Beresford T, Williford WO, Hauger R. Prevalence and distinct correlates of anxiety, substance, and combined comorbidity in a multi-site public sector sample with bipolar disorder. *J Affect Disord*. 2005;85:301–15.
  36. Leboyer M, Soreca I, Scott J, Frye M, Henry C, Tamouza R, et al. Can bipolar disorder be viewed as a multi-system inflammatory disease? *J Affect Disord*. 2012;141:1–10.
  37. Laursen TM, Munk-Olsen T, Gasse C. Chronic somatic comorbidity and excess mortality due to natural causes in persons with schizophrenia or bipolar affective disorder. *PLoS One*. 2011;6:e24597.
  38. Chanraud S, Reynaud M, Wessa M, Penttila J, Kostogianni N, Cachia A, et al. Diffusion tensor tractography in mesencephalic bundles: relation to mental flexibility in detoxified alcohol-dependent subjects. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2009;34:1223–32.
  39. Antenor-Dorsey JA, Meyer E, Rutlin J, Perantie DC, White NH, Arbelaez AM, et al. White matter microstructural integrity in youth with type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes*. 2013;62:581–9.
  40. Allen P, Modinos G, Hubl D, Shields G, Cachia A, Jardri R, et al. Neuroimaging auditory hallucinations in schizophrenia: from neuroanatomy to neurochemistry and beyond. *Schizophr Bull*. 2012;38:695–703.
  41. Hafeman DM, Chang KD, Garrett AS, Sanders EM, Phillips ML. Effects of medication on neuroimaging findings in bipolar disorder: an updated review. *Bipolar Disord*. 2012;14:375–410.
  42. Van Dijk KR, Sabuncu MR, Buckner RL. The influence of head motion on intrinsic functional connectivity MRI. *Neuroimage*. 2012;59:431–8.
  43. Jones DK, Cercignani M. Twenty-five pitfalls in the analysis of diffusion MRI data. *NMR Biomed*. 2010;23:803–20.
  44. Muller HP, Sussmuth SD, Landwehrmeyer GB, Ludolph A, Tabrizi SJ, Kloppel S, et al. Stability effects on results of diffusion tensor imaging analysis by reduction of the number of gradient directions due to motion artifacts: an application to presymptomatic Huntington's disease. *PLoS Curr*. 2011;3:RRN1292.
  45. Gupta UC, Kharawala S. Informed consent in psychiatry clinical research: a conceptual review of issues, challenges, and recommendations. *Perspect Clin Res*. 2012;3:8–15.

46. Vederine FE, Wessa M, Leboyer M, Houenou J. A meta-analysis of whole-brain diffusion tensor imaging studies in bipolar disorder. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry*. 2011;35(8):1820–6.
47. Kyriakopoulos M, Frangou S. Recent diffusion tensor imaging findings in early stages of schizophrenia. *Curr Opin Psychiatry*. 2009;22:168–76.
48. Ellison-Wright I, Bullmore E. Meta-analysis of diffusion tensor imaging studies in schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res*. 2009;108:3–10.
49. Heng S, Song AW, Sim K. White matter abnormalities in bipolar disorder: insights from diffusion tensor imaging studies. *J Neural Transm*. 2010;117:639–54.
50. Sarrazin S, Poupon C, Linke J, Wessa M, Phillips M, Delavest M, et al. A multicenter tractography study of deep white matter tracts in bipolar I disorder: psychotic features and interhemispheric disconnectivity. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2014;71:388–96.
51. Emsell L, Langan C, Van Hecke W, Barker GJ, Leemans A, Sunaert S, et al. White matter differences in euthymic bipolar I disorder: a combined magnetic resonance imaging and diffusion tensor imaging voxel-based study. *Bipolar Disord*. 2013;15:365–76.
52. Emsell L, Leemans A, Langan C, Van Hecke W, Barker GJ, McCarthy P, et al. Limbic and callosal white matter changes in euthymic bipolar I disorder: an advanced diffusion magnetic resonance imaging tractography study. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2013;73:194–201.
53. Emsell L, Chaddock C, Forde N, Van Hecke W, Barker GJ, Leemans A et al. White matter microstructural abnormalities in families multiply affected with bipolar I disorder: a diffusion tensor tractography study. *Psychol Med*. 2013;10:2139–50
54. Liao Y, Huang X, Wu Q, Yang C, Kuang W, Du M, et al. Is depression a disconnection syndrome? Meta-analysis of diffusion tensor imaging studies in patients with MDD. *J Psychiatry Neurosci*. 2013;38:49–56.
55. Etkin A. Functional neuroanatomy of anxiety: a neural circuit perspective. *Curr Top Behav Neurosci*. 2010;2:251–77.
56. Han DH, Renshaw PF, Dager SR, Chung A, Hwang J, Daniels MA, et al. Altered cingulate white matter connectivity in panic disorder patients. *J Psychiatr Res*. 2008;42:399–407.
57. Lai CH, Wu YT. Fronto-occipital fasciculus, corpus callosum and superior longitudinal fasciculus tract alterations of first-episode, medication-naïve and late-onset panic disorder patients. *J Affect Disord*. 2013;146:378–82.
58. Daniels JK, Lamke JP, Gaebler M, Walter H, Scheel M. White matter integrity and its relationship to PTSD and childhood trauma--a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Depress Anxiety*. 2013;30:207–16.
59. Fineberg NA, Potenza MN, Chamberlain SR, Berlin HA, Menzies L, Bechara A, et al. Probing compulsive and impulsive behaviors, from animal models to endophenotypes: a narrative review. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2010;35:591–604.
60. Benedetti F, Giacosa C, Radaelli D, Poletti S, Pozzi E, Dallaspezia S, et al. Widespread changes of white matter microstructure in obsessive-compulsive disorder: effect of drug status. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol*. 2013;23:581–93.
61. Bora E, Harrison BJ, Fornito A, Cocchi L, Pujol J, Fontenelle LF, et al. White matter microstructure in patients with obsessive-compulsive disorder. *J Psychiatry Neurosci*. 2011;36:42–6.
62. Carrasco JL, Tajima-Pozo K, Diaz-Marsa M, Casado A, Lopez-Ibor JJ, Arrazola J, et al. Microstructural white matter damage at orbitofrontal areas in borderline personality disorder. *J Affect Disord*. 2012;139:149–53.
63. Grant JE, Correia S, Brennan-Krohn T, Malloy PF, Laidlaw DH, Schulz SC. Frontal white matter integrity in borderline personality disorder with self-injurious behavior. *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci*. 2007;19:383–90.
64. Rusch N, Weber M, Il'yasov KA, Lieb K, Ebert D, Hennig J, et al. Inferior frontal white matter microstructure and patterns of psychopathology in women with borderline personality disorder and comorbid attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Neuroimage*. 2007;35:738–47.
65. Nakamura M, McCarley RW, Kubicki M, Dickey CC, Niznikiewicz MA, Voglmaier MM, et al. Frontotemporal disconnectivity in schizotypal personality disorder: a diffusion tensor imaging study. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2005;58:468–78.
66. Hazlett EA, Goldstein KE, Tajima-Pozo K, Speidel ER, Zelmanova Y, Entis JJ, et al. Cingulate and temporal lobe fractional anisotropy in schizotypal personality disorder. *Neuroimage*. 2011;55:900–8.
67. Muller RA, Shih P, Keehn B, Deyoe JR, Leyden KM, Shukla DK. Underconnected, but how? A survey of functional connectivity MRI studies in autism spectrum disorders. *Cereb Cortex*. 2011;21:2233–43.
68. Verly M, Verhoeven J, Zink I, Mantini D, Peeters R, Deprez S, et al. Altered functional connectivity of the language network in ASD: role of classical language areas and cerebellum. *Neuroimage Clin*. 2014;4:374–82.
69. Walker L, Gozzi M, Lenroot R, Thurm A, Behseta B, Swedo S, et al. Diffusion tensor imaging in young children with autism: biological effects and potential confounds. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2012;72:1043–51.
70. van Ewijk H, Heslenfeld DJ, Zwiers MP, Buitelaar JK, Oosterlaan J. Diffusion tensor imaging in attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*. 2012;36:1093–106.
71. Bechtel N, Kobel M, Penner IK, Klarhofer M, Scheffler K, Opwis K, et al. Decreased fractional anisotropy in the middle cerebellar peduncle in children with epilepsy and/or attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a preliminary study. *Epilepsy Behav*. 2009;15:294–8.
72. Hamilton LS, Levitt JG, O'Neill J, Alger JR, Luders E, Phillips OR, et al. Reduced white matter integrity

- in attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Neuroreport*. 2008;19:1705–8.
73. Pavuluri MN, Yang S, Kamineni K, Passarotti AM, Srinivasan G, Harral EM, et al. Diffusion tensor imaging study of white matter fiber tracts in pediatric bipolar disorder and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2009;65:586–93.
  74. Cao Q, Sun L, Gong G, Lv Y, Cao X, Shuai L, et al. The macrostructural and microstructural abnormalities of corpus callosum in children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a combined morphometric and diffusion tensor MRI study. *Brain Res*. 2010;1310:172–80.
  75. Peterson DJ, Ryan M, Rimrodt SL, Cutting LE, Denckla MB, Kaufmann WE, et al. Increased regional fractional anisotropy in highly screened attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). *J Child Neurol*. 2011;26:1296–302.
  76. Davenport ND, Karatekin C, White T, Lim KO. Differential fractional anisotropy abnormalities in adolescents with ADHD or schizophrenia. *Psychiatry Res*. 2010;181:193–8.
  77. Silk TJ, Vance A, Rinehart N, Bradshaw JL, Cunnington R. Structural development of the basal ganglia in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a diffusion tensor imaging study. *Psychiatry Res*. 2009;172:220–5.
  78. Hasin D, Kilcoyne B. Comorbidity of psychiatric and substance use disorders in the United States: current issues and findings from the NESARC. *Curr Opin Psychiatry*. 2012;25:165–71.
  79. Harper C. The neuropathology of alcohol-related brain damage. *Alcohol Alcohol*. 2009;44:136–40.
  80. Kong LM, Zheng WB, Lian GP, Zhang HD. Acute effects of alcohol on the human brain: diffusion tensor imaging study. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2012;33:928–34.
  81. McQueeney T, Schweinsburg BC, Schweinsburg AD, Jacobus J, Bava S, Frank LR, et al. Altered white matter integrity in adolescent binge drinkers. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res*. 2009;33:1278–85.
  82. Pfefferbaum A, Rosenbloom M, Rohlfing T, Sullivan EV. Degradation of association and projection white matter systems in alcoholism detected with quantitative fiber tracking. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2009;65:680–90.
  83. Harris GJ, Jaffin SK, Hodge SM, Kennedy D, Caviness VS, Marinkovic K, et al. Frontal white matter and cingulum diffusion tensor imaging deficits in alcoholism. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res*. 2008;32:1001–13.

---

## Suggested Reading

- Thomason ME, Thompson PM. Diffusion imaging, white matter, and psychopathology. *Annu Rev Clin Psychol*. 2011;7:63–85.