# **Central Venous Access**

Kwame S. Amankwah

# **Indications**

 VA can be broadly divided into two categories: peripheral and central. Peripheral access is for short-term treatment needs usually involving intravenous (IV) fluids, blood sampling, and infusion of IV medications. Some medications cannot be given though peripheral access because of their tendency to cause vein inflammation (phlebitis). Indications for central VA span a wide range of clinical conditions including:

- Poor vascular access
- Need for hemodynamic monitoring
- Use of medications known to be venous sclerosants
- Repeated venous sampling
- Prolonged administration of chemotherapy, TPN, or antibiotics
- Need for short-term dialysis, aphaeresis, or plasmapheresis
- **Emergency situations**

# **Contraindications**

 Most contraindications to central VA are relative and depend on the urgency of the situation and the available alternatives. Agitated and uncooperative patients may be more safely treated using peripheral access as opposed to trying to cannulate the central veins. Central venous cannulation should be avoided in the presence of overlying cellulitis or infection and at sites with indwelling intravascular hardware such as a dialysis catheter or pacemaker. Distorted anatomy as a result

of trauma, surgery, obesity, or previous catheterizations also represents a relative contraindication to central VA.

 Central venous access procedures performed on patients with coagulopathy can result in prolonged bleeding from the vein, subcutaneous tunnel, or accidental arterial puncture. Hass et al. evaluated the safety of tunneled central venous catheter insertions in patients with an INR of greater than or equal to 1.5 or a platelet count lower than  $50,000$ /dL [6]. They concluded that a platelet count between 25,000 and 50,000/ dL and/or an INR between 1.5 and 2 is safe even without coagulation product transfusion. Other authors have reported that thrombocytopenia (platelets less than 50,000/dL) carries a higher risk of catheter-related bleeding than prolonged clotting times [7]. Overall, coagulopathy represents a relative contraindication to central venous access placement that requires careful consideration of the indications and potential risks and benefits. If possible, patients with coagulopathy should be treated by the most experienced proceduralist available to minimize the bleeding risks. Hemophiliacs who need central venous access require replacement coagulation products, and the correction of clotting factors should be maintained for 48 h prior to the procedure  $[8]$ .

 Patients with cardiac conduction disturbances can have unanticipated complications during placement of a central venous catheter. Contact between the guide wire and the side of the ventricular septum can induce right bundle branch block during the VA procedure  $[5, 9, 10]$  $[5, 9, 10]$  $[5, 9, 10]$ . Although this complication rarely causes hemodynamic instability, complete heart block can ensue in patients with preexisting left bundle branch block  $[10]$ . An external pacemaker should therefore be available when performing central VA procedures in patients with preexisting left bundle branch block.

# **Types of Venous Access Devices**

Central VA catheters come in a variety of sizes, configurations, and materials. Decisions about the location and type of access catheter should be tailored to the clinical scenario of

K.S. Amankwah, MD, MSc, FACS ( $\boxtimes$ )

Division of Vascular Surgery, Department of Surgery, SUNY Upstate Medical University, University Hospital,

<sup>750</sup> East Adams Street, Syracuse, NY 13210, USA

Department of Surgery and Radiology, Veterans Administration Hospital Upstate Healthcare Network, Syracuse, NY, USA e-mail: [amankwak@upstate.edu](mailto:amankwak@upstate.edu)

<span id="page-1-0"></span>each patient. Factors that influence the choice of central VA include the predicted duration of the access, the indications for use, and the patient's individual anatomy.

 VA devices can be broadly categorized as tunneled catheters (TC) and non-tunneled catheters (Table  $17.1$ ). The first decision point in choosing a catheter involves the predicted duration of vascular access. Short-term, temporary access usually warrants placement of a non-TC, while patients needing long-term, permanent access require a TC. Patients with short-term access needs should not be exposed to the risks associated with placement of a TC. In contrast, a patient who requires long-term access for medications such as chemotherapy benefits from a TC with an implanted port. The subcutaneous placement of the port enhances patient comfort by allowing for normal activities in between therapeutic infusion sessions. Implanted ports and TCs have lower rates of infection compared to non-TCs. Potential drawbacks of an implanted port include the inconvenience of needing to puncture the skin for access and the small caliber of the catheter which limits the infusion rate.

 **Table 17.1** Categorizations of catheters

Tunneled catheters	Non-tunneled catheters	
Dialysis catheter with a cuff	Dialysis catheters non-cuffed	
(permanent)	(acute not permanent)	
Implantable access ports	Central line	
Hickman	Swan-Ganz catheters	
<b>Broviac</b>	Peripherally inserted central catheter (PICC)	

 Vascular access devices have single or multi-lumen catheters. Multi-lumen catheters allow for the simultaneous infusion of more than one blood product or medication. This advantage must be balanced against the increased morbidity associated with multiple lumen catheters as documented in several reports [11, 12]. Decisions regarding the diameter of the catheter depend on the patient's access needs and the clinical scenario. Although largebore catheters facilitate rapid infusion rates during emergency situations, they have an increased risk of catheter-related thrombosis. In general the smallest-diameter catheter that is feasible for the patient's infusion needs should be chosen  $[13]$ . Smaller catheters can often be placed less traumatically and may have a lower risk of triggering venous stenosis [14].

# **Vascular Access Site Selection**

 Choosing the location of central VA depends on several factors including: operator skill and preference, catheter and device type, patient anatomy, and indications for placement  $[7, 15, 16]$  $[7, 15, 16]$  $[7, 15, 16]$  $[7, 15, 16]$  $[7, 15, 16]$ . The most common sites for central VA are the internal jugular, subclavian, and common femoral veins. Practitioners placing central VA should be familiar with the anatomic landmarks and understand the advantages and drawbacks of each location for central VA (Fig.  $17.1$ ). Specific techniques regarding placement will be discussed later.



**Fig. 17.1** Enlarged view of the anatomy of the subclavian and jugular vein area

#### **Internal Jugular Vein Approach**

 The internal jugular vein remains the most common site for central VA with a 95–99 % success rate and few complica-tions [17, [18](#page-13-0)]. Percutaneous access to the internal jugular vein is relatively straightforward compared to the subclavian vein. This technical advantage may explain the lower rate of lung injury (pneumothorax and hemothorax) after internal jugular vein access compared to the subclavian approach [7]. In contrast, a systematic review reported equivalent complication rates for internal jugular and subclavian vein catheters despite a historically higher incidence of complications associated with the subclavian vein approach  $[4]$ . The right internal jugular vein follows a straight course into the central venous circulation making the right side the preferred choice over the left side. Internal jugular vein catheterization also poses a lower risk of venous stenosis and thrombosis compared to the subclavian vein  $[19]$ . Minimizing the risk of catheter-associated venous stenosis is an important consideration in patients with chronic renal failure in whom central venous stenosis is a leading cause of arteriovenous access failure.

 The few drawbacks of internal jugular vein access involve technical challenges associated with placement and patient comfort level. Patients with pain or inadequate sedation during access insertion often tense their sternocleidomastoid (SCM) muscle making it difficult to advance the catheter. Despite being in a compressible location, internal jugular vein puncture can result in a hematoma in a coagulopathic patient potentially compromising the airway. Once in place, non-TCs in the internal jugular vein can cause discomfort during movement of the head and neck.

 Ultrasound guidance during VA placement can identify the target vein and provide real-time imaging of the needle entering the vein. Data suggest that using ultrasound to cannulate the internal jugular vein makes the procedure quicker and safer. Lemeris et al. showed that ultrasound guidance reduced failure of catheter placement and complication rates related to insertion by 86 and 57  $\%$ , respectively [20]. A large meta-analysis confirmed the enhanced safety profile ultrasound-guided central VA demonstrating significant reductions in the risk of: insertion failure (relative risk [RR] 0.32), complication rates from insertion (RR0.22), and the need for multiple insertion attempts  $(RR 0.60)$  [21]. In a prospective study of 900 patients, ultrasound-guided catheter placement in the internal jugular vein not only increased success rates and decreased complication rates but also reduced catheterassociated infections  $[18]$ . The well-documented safety track record of ultrasound prompted the UK National Institute for Clinical Excellence (NICE) [\(www.nice.org.uk\)](http://www.nice.org.uk/) to recommend routine use of ultrasound guidance during internal jugular catheterization.

#### **Subclavian Vein Approach**

 In the past, surgeons preferentially used the subclavian vein to establish central VA. The subclavian vein is unique in that the vein can be cannulated from an infraclavicular or supraclavicular approach. The supraclavicular technique poses a greater risk of complications, and some authors recommend that only experienced operators attempt this approach [17]. Relative contraindications include bilateral pulmonary disorders, high-pressure ventilation, and altered local anatomy (i.e., after sternotomy). Using ultrasonography to assist with supraclavicular cannulation significantly decreases the risk of placement failure and the need for multiple attempts by up to 86  $\%$  [20, 22]. Catheterization of the subclavian vein regardless of the approach has an overall success rate of 90–96 % [ [23 ,](#page-14-0) [24 \]](#page-14-0).

 Compared to the internal jugular vein, the subclavian vein has a predictable course allowing reliable venipuncture using anatomical landmarks. The subclavian location for central VA has the advantage of easy access to the catheter and a more acceptable cosmetic appearance for the patient. Although observational studies suggest that subclavian vein access decreases infection risk, these results have not been validated in prospective trials [25].

 The anatomic location of the subclavian vein has several drawbacks which limit its routine use for VA. The subclavian vein functions as the primary route for venous drainage from the arm. Thrombosis of the subclavian vein from catheter placement can cause acute arm pain and edema requiring treatment with anticoagulation, thrombolytic therapy, or catheter removal [26–28]. Long-term subclavian vein catheter placement increases the risk of venous stenosis which can compromise future arteriovenous access attempts in the same upper extremity [19]. The subclavian vein should therefore be avoided as the site for central VA in patients with renal dysfunction who may eventually require hemodialysis. An exception to this rule would be if the upper extremity were unsuitable for use as a site for dialysis access, for example, a patient with contracture of the arm following a stroke. Other complications associated with subclavian vein cannulation involve injuries that can be sustained during needle puncture. The reported rate of pneumothorax and hemothorax ranges from 0 to 12 % and seems to depend on operator experience [29].

### **Femoral Vein Approach**

 The common femoral vein is the easiest site to establish central venous access. With its relatively straight course and large lumen, the common femoral vein allows infusion and removal of large volumes of fluid such as that required in renal replacement therapy or plasmapheresis [7]. In most patients, percutaneous access to the common femoral



 **Fig. 17.2** ( **a** ) Venogram of the right chest intercostal veins with drainage into the vena cava. ( **b** ) Completion of catheter placement from subclavian, through the intercostal veins with catheter tip in the IVC

vein is straightforward as the vein is close to the skin and relatively isolated from other vital structures. Despite its advantages, the common femoral vein is the least used access site due to the increased incidence of complications, especially infection and thrombosis [30, 31]. Morbid obesity can obscure the anatomic landmarks of the groin making catheterization of the common femoral vein more difficult. Moving the pannus cephalad and to the control lateral side with the aid of an assistant or tape can help determine the appropriate area for percutaneous access to the femoral vein. Similar to other VA sites, ultrasound guidance decreases the risk of failed femoral catheter placement by up to 71  $\%$  [20, [22](#page-14-0)]. Femoral catheters should be removed as soon as possible to minimize the risk of catheter-associated complications.

# **Alternative Venous Access**

 Although most surgeons do not place central VA at nonconventional locations, the surgeon must be aware that alternative techniques and access sites do exist. Over time, patients with prolonged central VA often develop stenosis and occlusions of the large veins en route to the central venous system. In these patients, the subclavian and internal jugular veins no longer provide an unobstructed pathway to the central venous system. In the past, overcoming this technical challenge often required open surgical exposure with direct catheter placement in the right atrium, superior vena cava (SVC), or inferior vena cava (IVC)  $[17]$ . More recently, central VA routes have been described involving percutaneous access to

nonconventional veins including the external jugular, hepatic, intercostal, azygos, and IVC [32-35]. Other techniques involve catheter placement in collateral neck or chest veins or recanalization of chronically occluded veins [36]. Catheters placed at these unconventional sites follow an unusual course when visualized on abdominal or chest radiographs (Fig.  $17.2$ ). The distal tip of the catheters terminates in traditional locations such as the right atrium, the lower SVC, or the upper portion of the IVC [37]. Patients receiving long-term hemodialysis or total parental nutrition are more likely to eventually require alternative forms of central VA.

### **Preparation of the Patient**

# **Consent**

 Informed consent should be obtained for all elective central VA insertion procedures. A discussion with the patient and/ or healthcare proxy should include a description of the procedure as well as its indications, benefits, and acute and long-term complications (Table 17.2). In emergency situations, the consent is implied.

# **Monitoring**

 Central VA procedures performed at the bedside or in the angiography suite require some form of monitoring. Cardiac telemetry and pulse oximetry can detect acute changes in the

<span id="page-4-0"></span> **Table 17.2** Immediate and delayed complications

Immediate	Delayed
Air embolism	Infection
Great vessel perforation/puncture	Venous stenosis
Catheter malposition	<b>Thrombotic</b>
Pneumothorax	

cardiorespiratory status of the patient, such as a dysrhythmia due to wire or catheter placement.

# **Positioning**

 Regardless of the access site, the patient's position should fulfill two criteria:  $(1)$  maximize the comfort of the patient; and (2) allow the operator to remain comfortable throughout the procedure. The Trendelenburg position facilitates venous filling for internal jugular and subclavian access and may reduce the risk of air embolism [38-40]. Patients who cannot tolerate Trendelenburg but can follow commands should be asked to perform the Valsalva maneuver which temporarily increases the caliber of the internal jugular, subclavian, and femoral veins [41].

#### **Sterile Technique**

 Minimizing the risk of infectious complications begins with patient preparation and choice of venue. VA procedures should be performed in an environment conducive to aseptic techniques. Randomized controlled trials suggest that prophylactic intravenous antibiotics reduce catheter-related infections (CRI) and sepsis in high-risk immunosuppressed cancer patients; however, current evidence does not support the routine use of antibiotics for central venous catheter placement  $[42, 43]$ .

 Aseptic preparation of the patient and members of the healthcare team plays an important role in infection control. Most institutions mandate the use of surgical masks and caps, sterile gloves and gowns, and a large full-body drape for all central line procedures. Observational studies support the use of these maximal barrier precautions and hand washing as effective measures to reduce the rate of central VA infections  $[44-46]$ .

 Skin preparation options include chlorhexidine, povidoneiodine, and alcohol-based solutions. A study comparing povidone-iodine without alcohol to 2 % chlorhexidine without alcohol reported equivocal findings regarding catheter colonization and catheter-related bacteremia [47]. Studies comparing chlorhexidine with alcohol to povidoneiodine with alcohol have been inconclusive. Consensus from several studies favors the use of chlorhexidine with alcohol for skin preparation [48, 49].

### **Use of Ultrasound**

 First described in 1978, ultrasound guidance for central VA now has a solid foundation of evidence supporting its use  $[22, 50, 51]$  $[22, 50, 51]$  $[22, 50, 51]$ . Several randomized trials show that using ultrasound for central VA reduces the time to cannulation and decreases the risk of complications and the number of failed attempts  $[20-22, 50-52]$ . By clarifying anatomy and providing real-time imaging, ultrasound guidance narrows the performance gap between experienced and inexperienced operators [53]. In the hands of skilled practitioners, ultrasound often proves to be the key to successful catheter placement in patients who are otherwise difficult to cannulate [54]. Current practice guidelines recommend using ultrasound guidance for central VA via the internal jugular and femoral veins when expertise and equipment are available  $[22, 52]$ . In contrast, cannulating the subclavian vein does not appear to benefit from ultrasound guidance. Recent studies on subclavian vein access using an axillary and infraclavicular approach have determined that ultrasound does not improve success rate and may increase the time of the procedure when compared to the traditional technique using anatomic landmarks [55–57].

### **Technique**

### **Internal Jugular Vein**

#### **Central Venous Line**

 After the patient is positioned, the left and right internal jugular veins should be evaluated for patency using the ultrasound. A patent internal jugular vein appears to be a large, echolucent vessel that completely compresses when gentle pressure is applied through the ultrasound probe. The neck and infraclavicular area should then be prepped with chlorhexidine and draped using sterile technique.

 The following describes a micropuncture technique; however, using the larger caliber needle provided in most central line kits is also acceptable. When the vein has been localized with ultrasound, the long axis of the probe is placed against the superior portion of the clavicle (Fig.  $17.3a$ ) with the image of the internal jugular vein centered on the monitor. Under ultrasound guidance, the area is infiltrated with lidocaine before puncturing the skin with a 21-gauge needle. The angle of puncture is important when using ultrasound guidance. The needle should be almost parallel to the probe, angled only a few degrees from vertical. This permits the tip of the needle to be visualized along its course from skin entry to venipuncture (Fig.  $17.3<sub>b</sub>$ ). The needle is exchanged over a 0.018-in. guidewire for the coaxial 3-French (F) and 5-F micropuncture sheath. The 0.018-in. wire and 3-F dilator are removed from the 5-F micropuncture sheath, and a 0.035-in. wire is inserted with the tip in the SVC. The 5-F sheath is then removed over

<span id="page-5-0"></span>



**Fig. 17.3** (a) Ultrasound use to visualize the jugular vein prior to access placement. (**b**) Sagittal view of the jugular access. The ultrasound is positioned with the inferior portion resting against the clavicle

and an acute angle of the needle to the probe to visual the track of the access needle as it enters the jugular vein

the guidewire, and a dilator is inserted to dilate the skin track. The non-tunneled central venous catheter is placed over the guidewire and advanced into the SVC. The guidewire is removed and the catheter secured. All of the catheter lumen should be flushed, and the catheter may be locked with dilute heparinized saline depending on individual hospital protocol. A chest x-ray should be performed to confirm catheter tip location and evaluate for pneumothorax or hemothorax. Procedures performed with ultrasound guidance and under fluoroscopy do not usually require a final chest x-ray.

### **Chest Port Insertion**

 Single- or dual-lumen implantable ports are best suited for patients who require intermittent vascular access over a long period of time. Preparation and positioning are the same as described for central venous line placement. The long axis of the ultrasound probe is placed against the superior portion of the clavicle (Fig.  $17.3a$ ) with the image of the internal jugular centered on the monitor. Placing the ultrasound probe against the superior portion of the clavicle allows the needle to puncture the internal jugular vein approximately 1 cm above the clavicle. Vein puncture at this level allows the catheter to follow a gentle curve from the vein to its connection with the reservoir on the anterior chest. A higher vein puncture site may cause the catheter to kink, while a lower puncture risks injury to the great vessels and lung. After achieving ultrasound-guided access to the internal jugular vein as previously described, the micropuncture sheath, dilator, and 0.018-in. wire are left in place and temporarily secured to the drape.

The next several steps involve creation of the subcutaneous pocket and tunnel. An imaginary line at an angle of about 45° is drawn from the puncture site in the neck to the anterior chest below the clavicle (Fig. [17.4](#page-6-0) ). This area will be the site of the subcutaneous pocket for the port. After establishing a field block with lidocaine, the skin is incised, and a 1-cm deep pocket is created on the anterior chest wall with blunt finger dissection. The overall size of the pocket should be limited to a few millimeters larger than the port itself. Keeping the subcutaneous pocket small minimizes the chance of the port moving or rotating. The pocket should extend to the level of the fascia for stable fixation points.

 The pocket and the neck incision are then connected subcutaneously using the tunneling tool usually provided in the access kit. Attention is then returned to the neck access site. After removing the 3-F dilator and 0.018-in. wire, an 0.035-in. wire is advanced under fluoroscopic guidance into the SVC. Serial dilators are then passed over the wire before placing the peelaway sheath into the SVC. Most peel-away sheaths now have a protective cap, which prevents the sheath from entraining air when the wire and inner dilator are removed. If a protective cap is not in place, caution must be taken to avoid an air embolism when advancing the catheter into the peel-away sheath. The catheter tip should be positioned just within the right atrium. If the catheter triggers cardiac irritability on the monitor, it should be pulled back a few millimeters. The rationale for placing the catheter within the right atrium has to do with the fact that the patient is in a supine position. When the patient is upright, the heart will assume a lower position, and the tip of the catheter will most likely be located at the atrial-caval junction.

<span id="page-6-0"></span> **Fig. 17.4** Diagram demonstrates orientation for position of subcutaneous pocket. This can also be utilized for placement of a tunneled catheter



 The peel-away sheath can then be partially removed to allow a small straight clamp to be placed on the catheter. The rest of the sheath is then peeled away, and a second clamp is placed on the catheter as it exits the tunnel into the subcutaneous port pocket. Placing these clamps establishes two fixed points on the catheter ensuring that the catheter position cannot be changed and that an appropriate length of catheter remains in the SVC. The catheter can then be attached to the port after trimming its excess length. The port is inserted into the pocket; the small straight clamps are removed; and the port is flushed. The skin incision can be closed with absorbable subcutaneous and subcuticular sutures. If vascular access is needed immediately, a Huber (non-coring) needle can be left in the port. A final fluoroscopic image should document the position of the catheter and port.

#### **Tunneled Catheter Insertion**

 Most TCs are used for dialysis access, long-term TPN, or chemotherapy. Note that different catheters have been specifically designed for each of these needs. The risk of infection and thrombosis increases with the number of catheter lumens [11, 12]. Therefore, the lowest number of lumens necessary to fulfill the clinical needs should dictate catheter choice.

 The technique for inserting a TC parallels the steps described for placing a chest port with a few pertinent details. For internal jugular vein placement, keeping the needle puncture site approximately 1 cm above the clavicle allows the catheter to take a gentle bend as it exits the subcutaneous tunnel and enters the vein. This prevents kinking of the catheter that invariably leads to catheter malfunction. After placing the micropuncture catheter in the vein, fluoroscopy can

help choose the appropriate catheter length. The tip of the wire is advanced under fluoroscopy to the desired catheter location; the wire is then marked where it exits the skin, removed, and measured to determine the distance from the atrial-caval junction to the vein puncture site. This distance can be added to the distance from the puncture site to the anterior chest wall to determine the appropriate catheter length. The anterior chest wall is then anesthetized along the route toward the internal jugular puncture site. To minimize bleeding from the tunnel, a purse-string suture can be placed at the chosen chest wall exit site. A small incision is then made in the center of the purse-string suture, and the catheter is tunneled subcutaneously towards the internal jugular puncture site. The catheter is then placed using the peelaway sheath technique previously described. Fluoroscopy during and after catheter placement allows accurate placement of the catheter tip and ensures that the catheter is not kinked  $[58]$ . The catheter is then secured in place by tightening and tying the purse-string suture around the hub of the catheter.

### **Subclavian Vein**

#### **Infraclavicular Approach**

 The anatomic relationship between the subclavian vein and the clavicle changes with shoulder position  $[59-61]$ . Magnetic resonance imaging shows that passive retraction of the shoulders by placing a rolled towel between the shoulder blades compresses the subclavian vein between the first rib and clavicle which impedes successful cannulation of the vein [62].



 **Fig. 17.5** ( **a** ) Supraclavicular approach to subclavian vein cannulation. ( **b** ) Cross-sectional view through the medial third of the clavicle. The micropuncture needle is directed anterior to avoid inadvertent injury to the subclavian artery and pleural of the lung

The angle between the subclavian and internal jugular veins increases when the patient's head is rotated to the contralateral side. This change in angle may increase the chance of passing the catheter from the subclavian into the ipsilateral internal jugular vein rather than into the SVC  $[62]$ . In other studies, having the patient's head rotated towards the cannulation side failed to improve positioning of the catheter into the SVC [63, [64](#page-14-0)]. Trendelenburg position does not affect the caliber of the subclavian vein, which is held open in the flat, supine position by the fibrous attachments to the clavicle. Placing the patient in Trendelenburg still provides benefit by increasing venous filling and minimizing the risk of air embolism  $[65]$ .

 In preparation for subclavian vein cannulation, the patient is placed in a supine position in 10–15° of Trendelenburg. Adducting the patient's ipsilateral arm will move the subclavian vein closer to the underside of the clavicle. The shoulders typically assume a more cephalad orientation with the patient in Trendelenburg position which can distort the anatomic landmarks. This tendency should be countered by the use of gentle caudal arm traction.

 The goal of subclavian venipuncture is to pass the needle below the clavicle and above the first rib to puncture the subclavian vein as it courses over the first rib. The appropriate course for the needle passes immediately beneath the junction of the medial one-third and lateral two-thirds of the clavicle (Fig. 17.5 ). This junction or so-called "break" of the clavicle is the point at which the anterior convexity of the medial clavicle transitions into an anterior concavity laterally  $[65]$ . The needle should be inserted 1–2 cm inferior and lateral to this transition point, aiming the needle slightly deep to the sternal notch. The needle tip may initially come into contact with the clavicle. The needle should be "walked down" the clavicle to reach its underside. Keeping the needle parallel to the floor

(the coronal plane) allows it to slide under the clavicle and enter the vein without injuring the lung or pleura. If the attempt is unsuccessful, these steps should be repeated with the needle pointed more cephalad.

 Kilbourne and colleagues examined video recordings of surgery and emergency medicine residents performing subclavian cannulation during trauma resuscitation. They identified six common technical errors, five of which involved anatomic considerations including cutaneous puncture too close to the clavicle, passage of the needle through the clavicular periosteum, too shallow a trajectory beneath the clavicle, failure to identify landmarks properly, and orientation of the needle in a cephalad direction away from the sternal notch. The final error was extravascular displacement of the needle after successful venipuncture but before introducing the guidewire [66]. Being aware of these errors can improve the teaching effectiveness of experienced operators and encourage safe practice among clinicians learning the procedure.

#### **Supraclavicular Approach**

 Bannon and colleagues published an excellent description of subclavian vein cannulation using a supraclavicular approach (Fig.  $17.5a$ , b) [67, 68]. The essential landmark for the supraclavicular approach is the lateral border of the clavicular head of the SCM muscle as it attaches to the clavicle. Turning the patient's head to the contralateral side accentuates the posterior head of the SCM and provides unobstructed access to the subclavian vein  $[67]$ . The point of cutaneous puncture lies 1 cm superior and 1 cm lateral to the SCM attachment site. The junction of the SCM with the clavicle forms the claviculosternomastoid angle. The needle tip should be angled posteriorly 5–15° off a coronal plane and advanced along a line that bisects the claviculosternomastoid angle.

This technique will lead to subclavian venipuncture between the clavicle and the anterior scalene muscle. Other authors suggest cutaneous puncture directly at the claviculosternomastoid angle. The needle is then advanced along the claviculosternomastoid angle bisector parallel and inferior to the clavicle to enter the vein at an insertion depth of 1–2 cm [63]. Anatomic data from three-dimensional computed tomography reconstructions suggest that, with the SCMclavicular junction as a cutaneous puncture point, the needle should be oriented approximately 11° medially and 35° posteriorly as the needle is advanced approximately 1.4 cm to enter the vein. Unfortunately relatively large variation associated with these mean values limits their clinical utility  $[69]$ . In contrast to the infraclavicular approach, supraclavicular subclavian cannulation can be facilitated by ultrasound guidance.

### **Femoral Vein**

 The femoral vein is not routinely used for long-term permanent vascular access due to the higher rate of infection and thrombosis compared to the subclavian and internal jugular veins. Despite its drawbacks, femoral vein cannulation can be the only reasonable access site for patients with extensive upper extremity trauma and/or venous thrombosis and in dialysis patients with central venous occlusion (SVC and innominate vein). During cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR), the femoral vein has the advantage of allowing cannulation and access placement without interrupting CPR. Other indications for femoral vein access include the emergent need for hemodialysis or plasmapheresis.

 To cannulate the femoral vein, the patient is placed in a supine position with the lower extremity extended and slightly abducted at the hip. If available, ultrasound should evaluate the patency of the common femoral vein before preparing the sterile field. A patent femoral vein should completely compress with pressure from the ultrasound probe; if it is not compressible, the contralateral femoral vein should be evaluated and considered for access. If ultrasound is not available, anatomic landmarks should be defined to facilitate femoral vein cannulation. The level of the inguinal ligament can be located by drawing an imaginary line from the anterior superior iliac spine to the top of the pubic tubercle. One or two fingerbreadths below this line mark the inferior border of the inguinal ligament. The maximal pulsation of the femoral artery should be identified, and the access needle should be inserted 1 cm medial to the femoral artery pulse. It is critical to ensure that the femoral vein is punctured below the level of the inguinal ligament. Needle puncture above the inguinal ligament is actually a puncture of the external iliac vein which quickly becomes a deep retroperitoneal structure making it difficult to compress and achieve hemostasis if bleeding occurs  $[65]$ .

 Morbid obesity poses a technical challenge for femoral vein access. A common pitfall is to confuse the inguinal crease formed by the overlying pannus with the inguinal ligament. With the pannus retracted cephalad, the anatomic landmarks marking the inguinal ligament can be identified. Failure to identify the true level of the inguinal ligament often results in an inappropriately low skin puncture which is associated with a higher rate of access failure or inadvertent arterial injury. The previously described micropuncture technique involving a 21-gauge needle, 0.018-in. wire, and coaxial 3- and 5-F catheters can be used for femoral venipuncture as well. Many central line kits also provide an introducer syringe with a wire lumen coming through the plunger of the syringe. The needle and attached syringe should be introduced at a nearly 90° angle. Once the vein has been punctured, the angle should be dropped to 45° to allow the wire to easily advance through the syringe and into the femoral vein. The syringe is then removed, and a small skin incision is made with an 11 blade scalpel. After dilating the skin tract with a series of over-the-wire dilatators, the catheter can be inserted, secured, and flushed. Hemodialysis and plasmapheresis catheters should be long enough to reach the IVC. Short catheters that terminate in the iliac venous system often fail to provide adequate venous flow and are more prone to thrombosis and malfunction.

 Ultrasound guidance can increase the safety and speed of femoral venous catheter placement. Using a technique similar to that described for internal jugular vein cannulation, ultrasonography performed during the procedure can identify the femoral vein and provide real-time imaging of the needle puncturing the vein. The femoral vein typically appears as larger caliber, easily compressible structure anteromedial to the pulsatile femoral artery.

### **Complications**

 Central VA continues to evolve with improvements in catheter material technology and the widespread acceptance of safer insertion techniques. Despite these advances, central venous catheter placement can still cause acute and delayed complications (Table [17.1](#page-1-0) ). Rare injuries, including brachial plexus and laryngeal palsy, have also been reported during short- and long-term follow-up of patients with central VA [58].

### **Acute Complications**

#### **Air Embolism/Foreign Body Embolism**

 Air embolism is a rare but potentially lethal complication that can occur during central VA via the internal jugular or subclavian vein. Although it is an entirely preventable problem, air embolism will occur if the practitioner fails to take precautions during central venous catheter placement. In the most common clinical scenario, air enters the vascular system through the needle, dilator, or sheath when the patient suddenly inhales or coughs. Acutely aspirating more than 50–100 mL of air directly into the right atrium and ventricle can cause a fatal obstruction of the right side of the heart. Studies have demonstrated that negative intrathoracic pressure can quickly entrain a large amount of air into the vascular system. A pressure difference of  $4 \text{ cm H}_2$ O allows  $90 \text{ mL/s}$ of air to pass through a 4-cm, 18-gauge needle. Intubated patients have a lower risk of air embolism than spontaneously breathing patients due to the absence of negative intrathoracic pressure [7].

 To minimize the risk of air embolism, the lumen of the needle, dilator, or sheath should be covered at all times. Asking the patient to hum during catheter insertion decreases the chance of sudden inhalation or coughing  $[37]$ . Some catheter manufacturers have developed a sealing valve for the peel-away sheath that prevents air aspiration once the wire and dilator have been removed. Symptomatic air emboli can cause tachyarrhythmias, chest pain, cardiovascular collapse, dyspnea, coughing, and hypoxemia. In the event of an air embolism, the patient should be turned onto their left side and placed into the Trendelenburg position. This maneuver is designed to trap the air in the right ventricular apex; however, its effectiveness has not been rigorously studied. Aspirating through the central venous catheter if it is in place can potentially remove some of the intracardiac air. The patient should also be placed on 100 % oxygen to increase resorption of the air pocket. Supportive measures including fluid resuscitation and adrenergic agents should be used as needed.

 Misadventures involving the guidewire or catheter can result in foreign body embolization. During insertion, the guidewire can become knotted or entrapped inside the catheter leading to wire fracture and embolization. The catheter itself can also fracture and embolize due to the shear forces exerted by the wire  $[70-72]$ . Embolization of a wire or catheter segment can have severe consequences including perforation or infarction of the heart or occlusion of a great vessel. Retrieving an embolized foreign body can involve endovascular techniques using a loop snare device or open surgery to directly expose the affected vessel [73]. Removal of a small segment of catheter that fractured off and embolized is not always necessary if this foreign body does not pose an obvious danger [7]. Decisions about whether to intervene in these cases requires sound clinical judgment. Guidewires can also become entangled with a previously placed IVC filter causing displacement and structural compromise of the filter. Being aware of the IVC filter and using careful technique can usually prevent this complication [74].



 **Fig. 17.6** Chest x-ray after placement of jugular line. *Arrow* demonstrates catheter tip crossing midline with respect to the trachea

# **Great Vessel Perforation/Inadvertent Arterial Catheter Placement**

 Iatrogenic cardiac perforation and inadvertent injury to the great vessels during central VA placement can result in hemothorax, cardiac tamponade, and mediastinal hematoma. Failure to recognize any of these conditions, alone or in combination, can be fatal. Vessel perforation and arterial misplacement that occur more frequently during internal radiographic signs of these complications include an atypical catheter course and tip position, pleural effusion, or widened mediastinum (Fig. 17.6). Jugular and femoral vein catheter insertion than with subclavian access  $[4, 30, 75, 76]$  $[4, 30, 75, 76]$  $[4, 30, 75, 76]$  $[4, 30, 75, 76]$  $[4, 30, 75, 76]$  $[4, 30, 75, 76]$  $[4, 30, 75, 76]$ .

 Prospective studies report a 6 % incidence of carotid artery puncture during internal jugular vein access [77]. Higher rates of carotid injury (18–25 %) have been reported in the pediatric population  $[75, 78]$ . Approximately 40 % of carotid punctures cause a hematoma, and failure to control the bleeding with manual compression can lead to airway obstruction, dissection, arteriovenous fistula, cerebrovascu-lar compromise, and death [77, [79](#page-15-0)–83]. Puncture of the subclavian artery has a slightly lower incidence, occurring in 0.5–4 % of patients undergoing central VA placement  $[4, 6]$ [30](#page-14-0). Hemothorax resulting from inadvertent arterial injury complicates about 1 % of vascular access cases  $[4]$ . In an unusual case, a hemothorax following dialysis catheter insertion caused spinal cord infarction and quadriplegia [ [84 \]](#page-15-0).

 Perforation or cannulation of the carotid or subclavian artery by large-bore catheters occurs in up to 1 % of central VA procedures [85–87]. This complication can have serious and potentially fatal consequences including hemorrhage and stroke  $[87-89]$ . Stroke and other neurological events occur in approximately 27 % of patients who sustain unintentional arterial catheterization with an associated mortality rate of 20–40 %  $[85, 86]$  $[85, 86]$  $[85, 86]$ .

 Minimizing the risk of arterial injury during central venous catheter insertion has two components:

- 1. Avoiding needle puncture of the artery
- 2. Recognizing arterial cannulation before dilating or placing a large-bore catheter

 Ultrasound guidance offers the most effective safeguard against needle puncture of the artery. Visualizing the tip of the needle when entering the vein lumen with real-time ultrasound imaging significantly decreases the chance of arterial puncture [76, 90, [91](#page-15-0)]. It is important to understand that inadvertent arterial punctures can still occur even with the use of ultrasound guidance. These events usually involve incorrect identification of the vascular structures or manipulation of the needle after venipuncture. The needle can then penetrate the opposite wall of the vein and puncture the underlying artery. Bright red, pulsatile blood flow from the needle usually alerts the operator that an arterial puncture has occurred. Unfortunately, this warning sign is not always recognized especially in clinical circumstances involving hypotension and hypoxemia. If the location of the needle or micropuncture catheter is unclear, the catheter can be connected to a pressure transducer to confirm low venous pressures and the absence of arterial pulsations before dilating and placing a large-bore catheter [76, 92]. Other methods have been described to identify an arterial puncture; however, none are infallible  $[80, 93]$ . Perforation of the aorta during central VA can occur in conjunction with a SVC injury [94]. An injury at the pericardial reflection often leads to cardiac tamponade, which has a mortality rate exceeding 90  $\%$  [95, 96]. Aortic injuries can occur as a result of multiple venipuncture attempts or the improper use of large dilators [97, [98](#page-15-0)]. In a typical clinical scenario, forceful advancement of the dilator causes it to override the wire and perforate the central vein or SVC. The technique of frequently ensuring that the wire moves freely as the dilator is advanced can minimize the risk of this complication.

 Recognizing and treating a great vessel injury require a high index of suspicion and prompt diagnostic imaging. A chest x-ray can be misleading while ultrasound provides limited visualization of intrathoracic structures. Diagnosing a central vessel injury can require a contrast-enhanced CT scan or catheter-directed angiography depending on the clinical urgency. Balloon tamponade can provide temporary vascular control while preparing for open surgical repair or endovascular intervention using a stent graft or closure device [99-102].

Pseudoaneurysms and arteriovenous fistulas represent rare complications of inadvertent arterial cannulation or vessel

perforation  $[103, 104]$  $[103, 104]$  $[103, 104]$ . Arteriovenous fistulas have an incidence of 0.6 and 0.2 % after internal jugular and subclavian vein access, respectively  $[81, 105]$  $[81, 105]$  $[81, 105]$ . Clinical signs of an arteriovenous fistula include a palpable thrill or audible bruit in the neck. A pseudoaneurysm is a contained pocket of blood flow associated with an underlying arterial injury. Depending on the size and location, a pseudoaneurysm can present as a pulsatile mass or cause compressive symptoms on adjacent structures. Although fistulas and pseudoaneurysms can cause acute symptoms, they usually have a delayed clinical presentation  $[106]$ . Injury to the vertebral artery can also occur during subclavian or internal jugular vein access and may be associated with an adverse neurological event [107].

 In the past, open surgical repair was the only treatment option for pseudoaneurysms and arteriovenous fistulas resulting from VA procedures. Endovascular intervention including the use of stent grafts now offers an effective and less invasive alternative in many cases [\[ 106](#page-15-0) , [108](#page-15-0) ]. Ultrasoundguided thrombin injection has been reported in the treatment of a carotid artery pseudoaneurysm; however, this technique should be used with caution because of the potential for cerebral embolization [109].

#### **Catheter Malposition**

 For upper body central VA, the catheter tip should be positioned at the atrial-caval junction. In the absence of imaging guidance, catheter tip malposition occurs in 25–40 % of cases  $[76, 110]$  $[76, 110]$  $[76, 110]$ . The use of ultrasound and fluoroscopic guidance increases the rate of accurate catheter placement to 90–100 % [17]. Advancing the catheter too far so that its tip lies within the heart itself increases the risk of cardiac tamponade and dysrhythmia  $[91]$ . At the other extremes, failing to advance the catheter centrally and leaving the tip positioned in the more cephalad SVC can increase the risk of catheter malfunction and thrombosis which can also be life threatening if a pulmonary embolism occurs  $[169]$ . The angle of the catheter should also be considered during positioning. The left brachiocephalic vein joins the SVC at nearly a right angle [170]. Catheters inserted from the left side should therefore be advanced further to lie within the proximal right atrium in order to prevent the catheter tip from impinging on the SVC wall.

 Catheters placed via the internal jugular veins have fewer prospects for malposition compared to subclavian vein catheters [4]. Misplacement of the catheter from the subclavian vein, retrograde into the ipsilateral internal jugular vein reportedly occurs in up to 15 % of access placement  $[62, 112]$  $[62, 112]$  $[62, 112]$ . Maneuvers that can help avoid this complication involve turning the patient's head towards the insertion side and manual compression of the ipsilateral jugular vein during advancement of the wire  $[113]$ . Ultrasound guidance can also detect anatomic variation and assist in appropriate placement of the catheter tip in both children and adults  $[114]$ .

#### **Pneumothorax**

 Pneumothorax is a common and potentially life-threatening complication of central venous catheterization comprising up to 30  $\%$  of all mechanical adverse events [115, [116](#page-16-0)]. The rate of pneumothorax ranges from 0 to 6 %; however, rates as high as 12 % have been observed with inexperienced operators  $[117]$ . The risk of pneumothorax increases with the number of needle passes, emergency access indications, and insertion of large-diameter catheters such as the ones used for hemodialysis  $[110]$ .

 Although symptoms of an iatrogenic pneumothorax can become apparent as early as 6 h post procedure, not all patients have acute symptoms [118]. Delayed pneumothorax reportedly occurs in  $0.5-4$  % of insertions [116, [119](#page-16-0), [120](#page-16-0)]. Mitigating the risk of a pneumothorax requires heightened awareness and caution especially when catheter insertion proves to be technically challenging. A small pneumothorax often remains asymptomatic and may not require intervention if the visceral pleura is less than 2–3 cm from the parietal pleura [37]. Symptomatic or large pneumothoraces usually resolve after placing a pigtail catheter or smallcaliber chest tube with a Heimlich valve. A tension pneumothorax requires urgent decompression with a 14-gauge needle placed in the second intercostal space, midclavicular line followed quickly by chest tube insertion in the standard location. Awareness and observation for re-expansion pulmonary edema should also be part of the pneumothorax treatment algorithm, particularly if the patient is being treated on an outpatient basis  $[121]$ . Re-expansion pulmonary edema occurs in 1–14 % of patients with a pneumothorax [122, 123].

 Recent literature suggests that clinician performed bedside ultrasound can assist in the immediate diagnosis of a pneumothorax. Ultrasonography has greater sensitivity and accuracy than a supine chest x-ray making it more comparable to a chest CT scan  $[124-126]$ . The drawbacks of ultrasound include the dependency on operator skill and the limitations imposed by patient factors and equipment [127].

# **Delayed Complications**

### **Catheter-Related Infections**

 Infection, the most common long-term complication of central VA, occurs with an incidence of 5.3 per 1,000 catheter days and has an associated mortality rate as high as  $35\%$  [4, [128](#page-16-0) , [129 \]](#page-16-0). Multiple factors play a role in the pathogenesis of CRI. Approximately 50 % of CRI involve the patient's skin flora and are caused by coagulase-negative staphylococci. In contrast, gram-negative rods cause most of the infections associated with groin catheter insertions  $[130]$ . Although catheter removal is not necessary to eradicate coagulasenegative staphylococcus, CRI caused by *S. aureus* , *Candida* ,

*Pseudomonas* , or *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* usually warrant immediate catheter removal [131, 132]. The risk of CRI increases if the insertion site carries a heavy bacterial burden regardless of the aseptic measures taken during catheter placement  $[134]$ . During emergency situations with suboptimal sterile preparation, the risk of catheter infection increases, and catheters inserted under these conditions should be replaced or removed as soon as safely possible. Catheter insertion protocols that use maximum sterile barrier precautions reduce the risk of CRI [44-46]. Using chlorhexidine in place of iodine or alcohol appears to be more effective in reducing CRI and is recommended as the preferred method for skin disinfection [47–49].

 The risk of CRI increases when thrombus forms around the catheter tip or at the site where the catheter penetrates the vessel wall  $[135, 136]$  $[135, 136]$  $[135, 136]$ . Thrombus formation occurs in 30–70 % of patients when the catheter indwelling time exceeds 1 week  $[135, 137-139]$  $[135, 137-139]$  $[135, 137-139]$ . Although heparin coating may reduce the thrombogenicity of catheters, the potential risk of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia limits their use  $[140]$ . The risk of thrombosis also increases with the extent of vessel damage at the time of catheter placement [\[ 141](#page-16-0) ].

 Catheter indwelling time has a strong association with the risk of infection  $[130]$ . The risk of CRI is nearly zero for catheters in place less than 3 days. Infection risk increases to 3–5 % for catheters in place 3–7 days, and the overall cumulative risk is 5–10 % if a catheter is in place more than 7 days [ 136, 142, 143].

 The patient's underlying condition and comorbidities also influence the risk of CRI [144-146]. Patients suffering from neutropenia and those receiving immunosuppressive therapy other than steroids have an increased risk of CRI [\[ 145](#page-16-0) , [147 ,](#page-16-0) [148](#page-16-0)]. Long-term total parenteral nutrition and the presence of malignancy also increase the risk of CRI [149, [150](#page-16-0)]. Patients with a remote source of infection, such as the lower respiratory tract or urinary tract, are at increased risk for CRI; however, no studies suggest that patients with diabetes have an increased risk of CRI [151].

 The physical properties of central venous catheters can affect the risk of infection. Several reports demonstrate that infection rate increases with the number of catheter lumens [11, [12](#page-13-0), 136, [154](#page-16-0), 155]. This finding may result from the increased manipulation associated with multi-lumen catheters especially in the critically ill patient  $[4, 153]$ . Catheters can be made from silicone, polyurethane, Teflon, polypropylene, and polyvinylchloride. Each material has a different thrombotic tendency which may influence the risk of infection [7]. TCs have a Dacron cuff, which allows tissue in growth to immobilize the catheter below the skin surface. In theory, the cuff creates a barrier for bacterial migration which may explain why TCs have a lower risk of infection compared to non-cuffed catheters [128]. TCs offer a low maintenance and relatively durable solution for patients with

long-term vascular access needs. Tunneling offers protection when care and maintenance may not be optimal, such as treatment received in the home setting or when the catheter is in proximity to an open wound.

 For patients with chronic kidney disease, infection ranks second to cardiovascular disease as a leading cause of death [156]. Non-tunneled dialysis catheters are more susceptible to CRI compared to tunneled dialysis catheters (3.8–6.6 infection episodes/1,000 days vs. 1.6–5.5 infections/1,000 days) [58]. In patients receiving hemodialysis, TCs have a longer functional life span and a decreased incidence of infection [157]. Treatment strategies for catheter-related infections vary with the severity of the infection, the type of catheter involved, and the clinical presentation. Exit site infections may present with erythema, exudate, and crusting of the skin around the catheter. These infections rarely cause systemic illness, and blood cultures remain negative. Non-tunneled dialysis catheters with evidence of an exit site infection should be removed and replaced in 24–48 h under appropriate antibiotic coverage. Tunneled dialysis catheters with limited exit site infection can be treated with local wound care and topical antibiotics. Drainage around the catheter from the tunnel should be cultured and treated with antibiotics. Clinical deterioration of the patients or failure to respond to conservative management mandates immediate removal of the TC.

 Bloodstream infections are the most serious manifestation of CRI and represent a potentially lethal complication with an incidence of 1.5–5.5 episodes per 1,000 catheter days [151, 158]. Dialysis patients with catheter-related bacteremia can present with acute onset of fever, chills, or hypoglycemia. Immunosuppressed and elderly patients may present with atypical signs such as confusion, hypothermia, and lethargy. Quantitative cultures from the periphery and the catheter can aid in making the diagnosis. If the cultures from the catheter have five- to tenfold more bacterial colonies than the peripheral blood, the catheter is implicated as the source of infection  $[159]$ . All catheter-related bacteremias require antibiotic treatment initially directed at staphylococcus and streptococcus and then adjusted to the final culture results. In selected cases, antibiotic treatment alone may resolve the infection. Marr and associates salvaged 31 % of catheters using antibiotic therapy alone without any evidence of systemic complications [151].

 Antibiotics usually fail to eliminate catheter-associated infections because of the presence of biofilm on the catheter surface  $[160]$ . The absence of clinical improvement 36 h after initiation of antibiotic therapy warrants removal of the catheter. Several reports support the practice of exchanging the infected catheter over a guidewire as long as the infection does not involve the tunnel track or the exit site. A 2-year prospective observational study of patients with catheterrelated bacteremia evaluated three treatment strategies. Patients were treated by guidewire exchange, guidewire

exchange with a creation of a new tunnel, and catheter removal and replacement. All three treatment modalities had satisfactory and statistically equivalent cure rates (87.8, 75, and 86.5 %, respectively)  $[161]$ . Infections that persist after catheter exchange require removal and a 48–72-h "catheter holiday" before replacement.

#### **Venous Stenosis**

 Venous stenosis appears to start forming as soon as a catheter is inserted. Damage to the intima during needle puncture (vessel injury), venous stasis (decreased vessel diameter), and hypercoagulability (vessel injury and catheter composition) all contribute to venous stenosis. Subclavian vein catheters may have the highest incidence of stenosis [19]. As discussed earlier in the chapter, subclavian vein stenosis can have a significant negative impact on future attempts to establish ipsilateral upper extremity arteriovenous access. A subclavian vein catheter should therefore be avoided in patients that may require dialysis. Treatment options for venous stenosis have been discussed in Chap. [19.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-1326-8_19)

#### **Thrombotic Complications**

 Thrombotic complications of central VA vary in severity ranging from a fibrin sheath that forms only around the catheter itself to an occlusive clot that becomes a fatal venous thromboembolism. Several studies report a 33–67 % incidence of thrombus formation for central venous catheters with indwelling times of 1 week or more  $[137, 138, 162]$  $[137, 138, 162]$  $[137, 138, 162]$  $[137, 138, 162]$  $[137, 138, 162]$ . Overt symptoms of thrombosis occur in a smaller number of patients since many thrombotic catheter complications remain clinically silent [162]. The rate of catheter-related venous thrombosis appears to be lower in the subclavian vein as opposed to the internal jugular and femoral veins [76]. Trottier et al. reported a 22–29 % rate of thrombosis for femoral vein catheters compared to 2 % for subclavian vein access [163]. Patients with cancer have an even higher rate of catheter-associated vessel thrombosis (41 %) with pulmonary embolism developing in 11  $%$  of patients [164]. Although catheter-related thrombosis in cancer patients often responds to acute treatment, the underlying hypercoagulability makes it difficult to achieve long-term relief [165]. A review of the literature by Vescia and colleagues cautioned against routine prophylactic anticoagulation in cancer patients with venous catheters as a means of preventing catheter-induced thrombosis [166]. They suggested that each institution should assess its rate of catheter-associated thrombosis to facilitate a more individualized approach to thromboprophylaxis in patients with central VA. This clinical strategy can also be applied to patients without cancer.

 The pathogenesis of catheter-associated thrombus formation involves injury to the endothelium, disruption of laminar blood flow induced by local trauma, and catheter thrombogenicity  $[7, 164]$  $[7, 164]$  $[7, 164]$ . The infusate traveling through the catheter and

<span id="page-13-0"></span>the patient's underlying disease process may also contribute to thrombus formation  $[167]$ . Although a fibrin sheath contributes to catheter malfunction and occlusion, it does not always portend vessel thrombosis  $[164]$ . The fibrin sheath is usually present 24 h after catheter insertion originating from the site of catheter insertion and slowly growing with fibrin and platelet deposit until it reaches the end of the catheter. At the catheter tip, the fibrin sheath can cause intermittent obstruction during aspiration while infusion through the catheter remains unimpeded. Postural changes or having the patient perform the Valsalva maneuver can often overcome the fibrin sheath allowing for blood aspiration  $[7]$ . If both aspiration and infusion are difficult, slow infusion of tissue plasminogen activator 1 mg/h for a few hours or 5,000–10,000 U of streptokinase may clear the catheter and restore patency  $[168]$ . If this fails, exchanging the catheter over a guidewire or stripping the fibrin sheath off of the catheter using an endovascular loop snare offers treatment alternatives.

### **Conclusion**

 Although it is often perceived as a minor procedure, placing a central venous catheter can have a significant impact on a patient's well-being. Access to the central venous system allows patients to receive lifesaving and life-sustaining therapy including resuscitation from shock, acute and long-term hemodialysis, total parenteral nutrition, and chemotherapy. Establishing safe and reliable central VA will therefore continue to play an essential role in healthcare delivery. Surgeons charged with placing central venous catheters must be aware of the indications, contraindications, and inherent risks associated with central VA placement. Decisions regarding the type of catheter and the access site location should be individualized based on the patient's specific needs and clinical condition. The most important technical aspects of central VA placement involve appropriate patient positioning, identifying anatomic landmarks, and the use of ultrasound imaging. Ultrasound guidance has a well-documented track record of reducing cannulation time, decreasing failed attempts, and enhancing safety. In many institutions ultrasound imaging for central VA has evolved from an adjunctive technique to the standard of care.

 Proper technique and safety precautions can minimize, but not eliminate the risk of catheter-associated complications. Surgeons must recognize and quickly respond to central VA insertion complications to avoid acute cardiovascular collapse. Non-life-threatening complications also require appropriate management to ensure reliable central VA in the long term without compromising future access needs. This chapter clarifies the key concepts and highlights the central role that surgeons play in safely and effectively establishing central VA.

### **References**

- 1. Aubaniac R. [Subclavian intravenous injection; advantages and technic]. Presse Med. 1952;60(68):1456.
- 2. Broviac JW, Cole JJ, Scribner BH. A silicone rubber atrial catheter for prolonged parenteral alimentation. Surg Gynecol Obstet. 1973;136(4):602–6.
- 3. Hickman RO, et al. A modified right atrial catheter for access to the venous system in marrow transplant recipients. Surg Gynecol Obstet. 1979;148(6):871–5.
- 4. Ruesch S, Walder B, Tramer MR. Complications of central venous catheters: internal jugular versus subclavian access–a systematic review. Crit Care Med. 2002;30(2):454–60.
- 5. Thomson IR, et al. Right bundle-branch block and complete heart block caused by the Swan-Ganz catheter. Anesthesiology. 1979; 51(4):359–62.
- 6. Haas B, Chittams JL, Trerotola SO. Large-bore tunneled central venous catheter insertion in patients with coagulopathy. J Vasc Interv Radiol. 2010;21(2):212–7.
- 7. Polderman KH, Girbes AJ. Central venous catheter use. Part 1: mechanical complications. Intensive Care Med. 2002;28(1):1–17.
- 8. Bishop L, et al. Guidelines on the insertion and management of central venous access devices in adults. Int J Lab Hematol. 2007; 29(4):261–78.
- 9. Morris D, Mulvihill D, Lew WY. Risk of developing complete heart block during bedside pulmonary artery catheterization in patients with left bundle-branch block. Arch Intern Med. 1987;147(11):2005–10.
- 10. Unnikrishnan D, Idris N, Varshneya N. Complete heart block during central venous catheter placement in a patient with pre-existing left bundle branch block. Br J Anaesth. 2003;91(5):747–9.
- 11. Farkas JC, et al. Single- versus triple-lumen central catheterrelated sepsis: a prospective randomized study in a critically ill population. Am J Med. 1992;93(3):277–82.
- 12. Dezfulian C, et al. Rates of infection for single-lumen versus multilumen central venous catheters: a meta-analysis. Crit Care Med. 2003;31(9):2385–90.
- 13. Rupp SM, et al. Practice guidelines for central venous access: a report by the American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Central Venous Access. Anesthesiology. 2012;116(3):539–73.
- 14. Knutstad K, Hager B, Hauser M. Radiologic diagnosis and management of complications related to central venous access. Acta Radiol. 2003;44(5):508–16.
- 15. Knebel P, et al. Insertion of totally implantable venous access devices: an expertise-based, randomized, controlled trial (NCT00600444). Ann Surg. 2011;253(6):1111–7.
- 16. Marik PE, Flemmer M, Harrison W. The risk of catheter-related bloodstream infection with femoral venous catheters as compared to subclavian and internal jugular venous catheters: a systematic review of the literature and meta-analysis. Crit Care Med. 2012; 40(8):2479–85.
- 17. Ganeshan A, Warakaulle DR, Uberoi R. Central venous access. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol. 2007;30(1):26–33.
- 18. Karakitsos D, et al. Real-time ultrasound-guided catheterisation of the internal jugular vein: a prospective comparison with the landmark technique in critical care patients. Crit Care. 2006; 10(6):R162.
- 19. Schillinger F, et al. Post catheterisation vein stenosis in haemodialysis: comparative angiographic study of 50 subclavian and 50 internal jugular accesses. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 1991;6(10): 722–4.
- 20. Lameris JS, et al. Percutaneous placement of Hickman catheters: comparison of sonographically guided and blind techniques. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 1990;155(5):1097–9.
- <span id="page-14-0"></span> 21. Randolph AG, et al. Ultrasound guidance for placement of central venous catheters: a meta-analysis of the literature. Crit Care Med. 1996;24(12):2053–8.
- 22. Hind D, et al. Ultrasonic locating devices for central venous cannulation: meta-analysis. BMJ. 2003;327(7411):361.
- 23. Tripathi M, Tripathi M. Subclavian vein cannulation: an approach with definite landmarks. Ann Thorac Surg.  $1996$ ; $61(1)$ : $238-40$ .
- 24. Moran SG, Peoples JB. The deltopectoral triangle as a landmark for percutaneous infraclavicular cannulation of the subclavian vein. Angiology. 1993;44(9):683–6.
- 25. Lorente L, et al. Central venous catheter-related infection in a prospective and observational study of 2,595 catheters. Crit Care. 2005;9(6):R631–5.
- 26. Kramer FL, Goodman J, Allen S. Thrombolytic therapy in catheter- related subclavian venous thrombosis. Can Assoc Radiol J. 1987;38(2):106–8.
- 27. Rooden CJ, et al. Deep vein thrombosis associated with central venous catheters – a review. J Thromb Haemost. 2005;3(11): 2409–19.
- 28. Macdonald S, et al. Comparison of technical success and outcome of tunneled catheters inserted via the jugular and subclavian approaches. J Vasc Interv Radiol. 2000;11(2 Pt 1):225–31.
- 29. Lockwood AH. Percutaneous subclavian vein catheterization. Too much of a good thing? Arch Intern Med. 1984;144(7):1407–8.
- 30. Merrer J, et al. Complications of femoral and subclavian venous catheterization in critically ill patients: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA. 2001;286(6):700–7.
- 31. Zaleski GX, et al. Experience with tunneled femoral hemodialysis catheters. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 1999;172(2):493–6.
- 32. Kenney PR, Dorfman GS, Denny Jr DF. Percutaneous inferior vena cava cannulation for long-term parenteral nutrition. Surgery. 1985;97(5):602–5.
- 33. Kaufman JA, Greenfield AJ, Fitzpatrick GF. Transhepatic cannulation of the inferior vena cava. J Vasc Interv Radiol. 1991; 2(3):331–4.
- 34. Forauer AR, et al. Placement of hemodialysis catheters through dilated external jugular and collateral veins in patients with internal jugular vein occlusions. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2000;174(2): 361–2.
- 35. Meranze SG, et al. Catheter placement in the azygos system: an unusual approach to venous access. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 1985; 144(5):1075–6.
- 36. Ferral H, et al. Recanalization of occluded veins to provide access for central catheter placement. J Vasc Interv Radiol. 1996;7(5): 681–5.
- 37. Funaki B. Central venous access: a primer for the diagnostic radiologist. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2002;179(2):309–18.
- 38. Parry G. Trendelenburg position, head elevation and a midline position optimize right internal jugular vein diameter. Can J Anaesth. 2004;51(4):379–81.
- 39. Bellazzini MA, et al. Ultrasound validation of maneuvers to increase internal jugular vein cross-sectional area and decrease compressibility. Am J Emerg Med. 2009;27(4):454–9.
- 40. Samy Modeliar S, et al. Ultrasound evaluation of central veins in the intensive care unit: effects of dynamic manoeuvres. Intensive Care Med. 2008;34(2):333–8.
- 41. Beddy P, et al. Valsalva and gravitational variability of the internal jugular vein and common femoral vein: ultrasound assessment. Eur J Radiol. 2006;58(2):307–9.
- 42. Spafford PS, et al. Prevention of central venous catheter-related coagulase-negative staphylococcal sepsis in neonates. J Pediatr. 1994;125(2):259–63.
- 43. Vassilomanolakis M, et al. Central venous catheter-related infections after bone marrow transplantation in patients with malignancies: a prospective study with short-course vancomycin prophylaxis. Bone Marrow Transplant. 1995;15(1):77–80.
- 44. Berenholtz SM, et al. Eliminating catheter-related bloodstream infections in the intensive care unit. Crit Care Med. 2004;32(10): 2014–20.
- 45. Pronovost P, et al. An intervention to decrease catheter-related bloodstream infections in the ICU. N Engl J Med. 2006;355(26): 2725–32.
- 46. Warren DK, et al. Preventing catheter-associated bloodstream infections: a survey of policies for insertion and care of central venous catheters from hospitals in the prevention epicenter program. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2006;27(1):8–13.
- 47. Maki DG, Ringer M, Alvarado CJ. Prospective randomised trial of povidone-iodine, alcohol, and chlorhexidine for prevention of infection associated with central venous and arterial catheters. Lancet. 1991;338(8763):339–43.
- 48. Noorani A, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of preoperative antisepsis with chlorhexidine versus povidone-iodine in clean-contaminated surgery. Br J Surg. 2010;97(11):1614–20.
- 49. Darouiche RO, et al. Chlorhexidine-alcohol versus povidoneiodine for surgical-site antisepsis. N Engl J Med. 2010;362(1): 18–26.
- 50. Troianos CA, et al. Special articles: guidelines for performing ultrasound guided vascular cannulation: recommendations of the American Society of Echocardiography and the Society of Cardiovascular Anesthesiologists. Anesth Analg. 2012;114(1): 46–72.
- 51. Rabindranath KS, et al. Use of real-time ultrasound guidance for the placement of hemodialysis catheters: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Am J Kidney Dis. 2011;58(6):964–70.
- 52. Rabindranath KS, et al. Ultrasound use for the placement of haemodialysis catheters. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2011;11, CD005279.
- 53. Geddes CC, et al. Insertion of internal jugular temporary hemodialysis cannulae by direct ultrasound guidance–a prospective comparison of experienced and inexperienced operators. Clin Nephrol. 1998;50(5):320–5.
- 54. Denys BG, et al. An ultrasound method for safe and rapid central venous access. N Engl J Med. 1991;324(8):566.
- 55. Abboud PA, Kendall JL. Ultrasound guidance for vascular access. Emerg Med Clin North Am. 2004;22(3):749–73.
- 56. Fragou M, et al. Real-time ultrasound-guided subclavian vein cannulation versus the landmark method in critical care patients: a prospective randomized study. Crit Care Med. 2011;39(7): 1607–12.
- 57. O'Leary R, et al. Ultrasound-guided infraclavicular axillary vein cannulation: a useful alternative to the internal jugular vein. Br J Anaesth. 2012;109(5):762–8.
- 58. Costanza MJ, et al. Angioaccess for hemodialysis. Curr Probl Surg. 2011;48(7):443–517.
- 59. Fortune JB, Feustel P. Effect of patient position on size and location of the subclavian vein for percutaneous puncture. Arch Surg. 2003;138(9):996–1000; discussion 1001.
- 60. Tan BK, et al. Anatomic basis of safe percutaneous subclavian venous catheterization. J Trauma. 2000;48(1):82–6.
- 61. Kitagawa N, et al. Proper shoulder position for subclavian venipuncture: a prospective randomized clinical trial and anatomical perspectives using multislice computed tomography. Anesthesiology. 2004;101(6):1306–12.
- 62. Unal AE, et al. Malpositioning of Hickman catheters, left versus right sided attempts. Transfus Apher Sci. 2003;28(1):9–12.
- 63. Jung CW, et al. Head position for facilitating the superior vena caval placement of catheters during right subclavian approach in children. Crit Care Med. 2002;30(2):297–9.
- 64. Sanchez R, et al. Misplacement of subclavian venous catheters: importance of head position and choice of puncture site. Br J Anaesth. 1990;64(5):632–3.
- <span id="page-15-0"></span> 65. Bannon MP, Heller SF, Rivera M. Anatomic considerations for central venous cannulation. Risk Manag Healthc Policy. 2011;4: 27–39.
- 66. Kilbourne MJ, et al. Avoiding common technical errors in subclavian central venous catheter placement. J Am Coll Surg. 2009; 208(1):104–9.
- 67. Nevarre DR, Domingo OH. Supraclavicular approach to subclavian catheterization: review of the literature and results of 178 attempts by the same operator. J Trauma. 1997;42(2):305–9.
- 68. Cunningham SC, Gallmeier E. Supraclavicular approach for central venous catheterization: "safer, simpler, speedier". J Am Coll Surg. 2007;205(3):514–6; author reply 516–7.
- 69. Jesseph JM, Conces Jr DJ, Augustyn GT. Patient positioning for subclavian vein catheterization. Arch Surg. 1987;122(10):1207–9.
- 70. Breznick DA, Ness WC. Acute arterial insufficiency of the upper extremity after central venous cannulation. Anesthesiology. 1993; 78(3):594–6.
- 71. Casserly IP, et al. Paradoxical embolization of a fractured guidewire: successful retrieval from left atrium using a snare device. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv. 2002;57(1):34–8.
- 72. Tewari P, Agarwal A. Spring guidewire sticks in the indwelling catheter during internal jugular vein catheterisation. Anaesthesia. 2000;55(8):832.
- 73. Furui S, et al. Intravascular foreign bodies: loop-snare retrieval system with a three-lumen catheter. Radiology. 1992;182(1):283–4.
- 74. Streib EW, Wagner JW. Complications of vascular access procedures in patients with vena cava filters. J Trauma. 2000;49(3):553– 7; discussion 557–8.
- 75. Oliver Jr WC, et al. The incidence of artery puncture with central venous cannulation using a modified technique for detection and prevention of arterial cannulation. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth. 1997;11(7):851–5.
- 76. McGee DC, Gould MK. Preventing complications of central venous catheterization. N Engl J Med. 2003;348(12):1123–33.
- 77. Reuber M, et al. Stroke after internal jugular venous cannulation. Acta Neurol Scand. 2002;105(3):235–9.
- 78. Verghese ST, et al. Ultrasound-guided internal jugular venous cannulation in infants: a prospective comparison with the traditional palpation method. Anesthesiology. 1999;91(1):71–7.
- 79. Anagnou J. Cerebrovascular accident during percutaneous cannulation of internal jugular vein. Lancet. 1982;2(8294):377–8.
- 80. Eckhardt WF, et al. Inadvertent carotid artery cannulation during pulmonary artery catheter insertion. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth. 1996;10(2):283–90.
- 81. Asteri T, et al. Beware Swan-Ganz complications. Perioperative management. J Cardiovasc Surg (Torino). 2002;43(4):467–70.
- 82. Defalque RJ, Fletcher MV. Neurological complications of central venous cannulation. JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr. 1988;12(4): 406–9.
- 83. Stewart RW, et al. Fatal outcome of jugular vein cannulation. South Med J. 1995;88(11):1159–60.
- 84. Williams A, et al. Spinal cord infarction following central-line insertion. Ren Fail. 2003;25(2):327–9.
- 85. Wicky S, et al. Life-threatening vascular complications after central venous catheter placement. Eur Radiol. 2002;12(4):901–7.
- 86. Robinson JF, et al. Perforation of the great vessels during central venous line placement. Arch Intern Med. 1995;155(11):1225–8.
- 87. Shah PM, et al. Arterial misplacement of large-caliber cannulas during jugular vein catheterization: case for surgical management. J Am Coll Surg. 2004;198(6):939–44.
- 88. Kron IL, et al. Arch vessel injury during pulmonary artery catheter placement. Ann Thorac Surg. 1985;39(3):223–4.
- 89. Jain U, et al. Subclavian artery laceration and acute hemothorax on attempted internal jugular vein cannulation. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth. 1991;5(6):608–10.
- 90. Denys BG, Uretsky BF, Reddy PS. Ultrasound-assisted cannulation of the internal jugular vein. A prospective comparison to the external landmark-guided technique. Circulation. 1993;87(5):1557–62.
- 91. Gann Jr M, Sardi A. Improved results using ultrasound guidance for central venous access. Am Surg. 2003;69(12):1104–7.
- 92. Jobes DR, et al. Safer jugular vein cannulation: recognition of arterial puncture and preferential use of the external jugular route. Anesthesiology. 1983;59(4):353–5.
- 93. Golden LR. Incidence and management of large-bore introducer sheath puncture of the carotid artery. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth. 1995;9(4):425–8.
- 94. Baumgartner FJ, et al. Central venous injuries of the subclavianjugular and innominate-caval confluences. Tex Heart Inst J. 1999; 26(3):177–81.
- 95. Greenall MJ, Blewitt RW, McMahon MJ. Cardiac tamponade and central venous catheters. Br Med J. 1975;2(5971):595–7.
- 96. Collier PE, Goodman GB. Cardiac tamponade caused by central venous catheter perforation of the heart: a preventable complication. J Am Coll Surg. 1995;181(5):459–63.
- 97. Barton BR, Hermann G, Weil 3rd R. Cardiothoracic emergencies associated with subclavian hemodialysis catheters. JAMA. 1983; 250(19):2660–2.
- 98. Fangio P, et al. Aortic injury and cardiac tamponade as a complication of subclavian venous catheterization. Anesthesiology. 2002;96(6):1520–2.
- 99. Walser EM, et al. Percutaneous tamponade of inadvertent transthoracic catheterization of the aorta. Ann Thorac Surg. 1996;62(3): 895–6.
- 100. Pikwer A, et al. Management of inadvertent arterial catheterisation associated with central venous access procedures. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg. 2009;38(6):707–14.
- 101. Patel SJ, Venn GE, Redwood SR. Percutaneous closure of an iatrogenic puncture of the aortic arch. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol. 2003;26(4):407–9.
- 102. Teichgraber UK, et al. Central venous access catheters: radiological management of complications. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol. 2003;26(4):321–33.
- 103. Brzowski BK, Mills JL, Beckett WC. Iatrogenic subclavian artery pseudoaneurysms: case reports. J Trauma. 1990;30(5):616–8.
- 104. Sato O, et al. Arteriovenous fistula following central venous catheterization. Arch Surg. 1986;121(6):729–31.
- 105. Chloroyiannis Y, Reul GJ. Iatrogenic left subclavian artery-to-left brachiocephalic vein fistula: successful repair without a sternotomy. Tex Heart Inst J. 2004;31(2):172–4.
- 106. Inamasu J, Guiot BH. Iatrogenic vertebral artery injury. Acta Neurol Scand. 2005;112(6):349–57.
- 107. Yu NR, et al. Vertebral artery dissection following intravascular catheter placement: a case report and review of the literature. Vasc Med. 2004;9(3):199–203.
- 108. Bernik TR, et al. Pseudoaneurysm of the subclavian-vertebral artery junction–case report and review of the literature. Vasc Endovascular Surg. 2002;36(6):461–4.
- 109. Holder R, et al. Percutaneous thrombin injection of carotid artery pseudoaneurysm. J Endovasc Ther. 2002;9(1):25–8.
- 110. Lefrant JY, et al. Risk factors of failure and immediate complication of subclavian vein catheterization in critically ill patients. Intensive Care Med. 2002;28(8):1036–41.
- 111. McGee WT, et al. Accurate placement of central venous catheters: a prospective, randomized, multicenter trial. Crit Care Med. 1993;21(8):1118–23.
- 112. Kidney DD, Nguyen DT, Deutsch LS. Radiologic evaluation and management of malfunctioning long-term central vein catheters. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 1998;171(5):1251–7.
- 113. Ambesh SP, et al. Manual occlusion of the internal jugular vein during subclavian vein catheterization: a maneuver to prevent

<span id="page-16-0"></span>misplacement of catheter into internal jugular vein. Anesthesiology. 2002;97(2):528–9.

- 114. Lefrant JY, et al. Pulsed Doppler ultrasonography guidance for catheterization of the subclavian vein: a randomized study. Anesthesiology. 1998;88(5):1195–201.
- 115. Mitchell SE, Clark RA. Complications of central venous catheterization. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 1979;133(3):467–76.
- 116. Plewa MC, Ledrick D, Sferra JJ. Delayed tension pneumothorax complicating central venous catheterization and positive pressure ventilation. Am J Emerg Med. 1995;13(5):532–5.
- 117. Sznajder JI, et al. Central vein catheterization. Failure and complication rates by three percutaneous approaches. Arch Intern Med. 1986;146(2):259–61.
- 118. Tyburski JG, et al. Delayed pneumothorax after central venous access: a potential hazard. Am Surg. 1993;59(9):587–9.
- 119. Plaus WJ. Delayed pneumothorax after subclavian vein catheterization. JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr. 1990;14(4):414–5.
- 120. Chang TC, Funaki B, Szymski GX. Are routine chest radiographs necessary after image-guided placement of internal jugular central venous access devices? AJR Am J Roentgenol. 1998;170(2): 335–7.
- 121. Gurley MB, Richli WR, Waugh KA. Outpatient management of pneumothorax after fine-needle aspiration: economic advantages for the hospital and patient. Radiology. 1998;209(3):717–22.
- 122. Rozenman J, et al. Re-expansion pulmonary oedema following spontaneous pneumothorax. Respir Med. 1996;90(4):235–8.
- 123. Beng ST, Mahadevan M. An uncommon life-threatening complication after chest tube drainage of pneumothorax in the ED. Am J Emerg Med. 2004;22(7):615–9.
- 124. Maury E, et al. Ultrasonic examination: an alternative to chest radiography after central venous catheter insertion? Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2001;164(3):403–5.
- 125. Simon BC, Paolinetti L. Two cases where bedside ultrasound was able to distinguish pulmonary bleb from pneumothorax. J Emerg Med. 2005;29(2):201–5.
- 126. Zhang M, et al. Rapid detection of pneumothorax by ultrasonography in patients with multiple trauma. Crit Care. 2006;10(4):R112.
- 127. Sistrom C. US in the detection of pneumothorax. Radiology. 2003;227(1):305–6; author reply 306.
- 128. O'Grady NP, et al. Guidelines for the prevention of intravascular catheter-related infections. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. MMWR Recomm Rep. 2002;51(RR-10):1–29.
- 129. Ryan Jr JA, et al. Catheter complications in total parenteral nutrition. A prospective study of 200 consecutive patients. N Engl J Med. 1974;290(14):757–61.
- 130. Polderman KH, Girbes AR. Central venous catheter use. Part 2: infectious complications. Intensive Care Med. 2002;28(1):18–28.
- 131. Mermel LA, et al. Guidelines for the management of intravascular catheter-related infections. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2001; 22(4):222–42.
- 132. Elting LS, Bodey GP. Septicemia due to Xanthomonas species and non-aeruginosa Pseudomonas species: increasing incidence of catheter-related infections. Medicine (Baltimore). 1990;69(5): 296–306.
- 133. Raad I, et al. Impact of central venous catheter removal on the recurrence of catheter-related coagulase-negative staphylococcal bacteremia. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 1992;13(4):215–21.
- 134. Snydman DR, et al. Predictive value of surveillance skin cultures in total-parenteral-nutrition-related infection. Lancet. 1982; 2(8312):1385–8.
- 135. Timsit JF, et al. Central vein catheter-related thrombosis in intensive care patients: incidence, risks factors, and relationship with catheter-related sepsis. Chest. 1998;114(1):207–13.
- 136. Reed CR, et al. Central venous catheter infections: concepts and controversies. Intensive Care Med. 1995;21(2):177–83.
- 137. Bernard RW, Stahl WM. Subclavian vein catheterizations: a prospective study. I. Non-infectious complications. Ann Surg. 1971; 173(2):184–90.
- 138. Randolph AG, et al. Benefit of heparin in central venous and pulmonary artery catheters: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Chest. 1998;113(1):165–71.
- 139. Mickley V. Central vein obstruction in vascular access. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg. 2006;32(4):439–44.
- 140. Laster JL, Nichols WK, Silver D. Thrombocytopenia associated with heparin-coated catheters in patients with heparin-associated antiplatelet antibodies. Arch Intern Med. 1989;149(10):2285–7.
- 141. Kohler TR, Kirkman TR. Central venous catheter failure is induced by injury and can be prevented by stabilizing the catheter tip. J Vasc Surg. 1998;28(1):59–65; discussion 65–6.
- 142. Sitzmann JV, et al. Septic and technical complications of central venous catheterization. A prospective study of 200 consecutive patients. Ann Surg. 1985;202(6):766–70.
- 143. Pittet D, Tarara D, Wenzel RP. Nosocomial bloodstream infection in critically ill patients. Excess length of stay, extra costs, and attributable mortality. JAMA. 1994;271(20):1598–601.
- 144. McKinley S, et al. Incidence and predictors of central venous catheter related infection in intensive care patients. Anaesth Intensive Care. 1999;27(2):164–9.
- 145. Howell PB, et al. Risk factors for infection of adult patients with cancer who have tunnelled central venous catheters. Cancer. 1995;75(6):1367–75.
- 146. Shaul DB, et al. Risk factors for early infection of central venous catheters in pediatric patients. J Am Coll Surg. 1998;186(6):654–8.
- 147. Donowitz GR, et al. Infections in the neutropenic patient–new views of an old problem. Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program. 2001:113–39.
- 148. Press OW, et al. Hickman catheter infections in patients with malignancies. Medicine (Baltimore). 1984;63(4):189–200.
- 149. Mollee P, et al. Catheter-associated bloodstream infection incidence and risk factors in adults with cancer: a prospective cohort study. J Hosp Infect. 2011;78(1):26–30.
- 150. Armstrong CW, et al. Prospective study of catheter replacement and other risk factors for infection of hyperalimentation catheters. J Infect Dis. 1986;154(5):808–16.
- 151. Marr KA, et al. Catheter-related bacteremia and outcome of attempted catheter salvage in patients undergoing hemodialysis. Ann Intern Med. 1997;127(4):275–80.
- 152. Kovacevich DS, et al. Association of parenteral nutrition catheter sepsis with urinary tract infections. JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr. 1986;10(6):639–41.
- 153. Rello J, et al. Evaluation of outcome of intravenous catheterrelated infections in critically ill patients. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2000;162(3 Pt 1):1027–30.
- 154. Hilton E, et al. Central catheter infections: single- versus triplelumen catheters. Influence of guide wires on infection rates when used for replacement of catheters. Am J Med. 1988;84(4): 667–72.
- 155. Lee RB, Buckner M, Sharp KW. Do multi-lumen catheters increase central venous catheter sepsis compared to single-lumen catheters? J Trauma. 1988;28(10):1472–5.
- 156. Feldman HI, Kobrin S, Wasserstein A. Hemodialysis vascular access morbidity. J Am Soc Nephrol. 1996;7(4):523–35.
- 157. Schwab SJ, et al. Prospective evaluation of a Dacron cuffed hemodialysis catheter for prolonged use. Am J Kidney Dis. 1988; 11(2):166–9.
- 158. Saad TF. Bacteremia associated with tunneled, cuffed hemodialysis catheters. Am J Kidney Dis. 1999;34(6):1114–24.
- 159. Fan ST, Teoh-Chan CH, Lau KF. Evaluation of central venous catheter sepsis by differential quantitative blood culture. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 1989;8(2):142–4.
- <span id="page-17-0"></span> 160. Schwab SJ, et al. Vascular access for hemodialysis. Kidney Int. 1999;55(5):2078–90.
- 161. Beathard GA. Management of bacteremia associated with tunneled- cuffed hemodialysis catheters. J Am Soc Nephrol. 1999; 10(5):1045–9.
- 162. Mughal MM. Complications of intravenous feeding catheters. Br J Surg. 1989;76(1):15–21.
- 163. Trottier SJ, et al. Femoral deep vein thrombosis associated with central venous catheterization: results from a prospective, randomized trial. Crit Care Med. 1995;23(1):52–9.
- 164. Kusminsky RE. Complications of central venous catheterization. J Am Coll Surg. 2007;204(4):681–96.
- 165. Sprouse 2nd LR, et al. Percutaneous treatment of symptomatic central venous stenosis [corrected]. J Vasc Surg. 2004;39(3):578–82.
- 166. Vescia S, et al. Management of venous port systems in oncology: a review of current evidence. Ann Oncol. 2008;19(1):9–15.
- 167. Fontes ML, Barash PG. "AAA" to the rescue? Crit Care Med. 1999;27(12):2827–9.
- 168. Bagnall HA, Gomperts E, Atkinson JB. Continuous infusion of low-dose urokinase in the treatment of central venous catheter thrombosis in infants and children. Pediatrics. 1989;83(6):963–6.
- 169. Puel V, Caudry M, Le Metayer P, et al. Superior vena cava thrombosis related to catheter malposition in cancer chemotherapy given through implanted ports. Cancer. 1993;72:2248–52.
- 170. Gravenstein N, Blackshear RH. In vitro evaluation of relative perforating potential of central venous catheters: comparison of materials, selected models, number of lumens and angles of incidence to simulated membrane. J Clin Monit. 1991;7:1–6.