Chapter 4 Role of MicroRNAs in Stem Cell Regulation and Tumorigenesis in *Drosophila*

Stephanie Rager, Brian Chan, Lyric Forney and Shree Ram Singh

Abstract MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are small noncoding RNAs that modulate the expression of target mRNA. They are involved in many biological processes such as developmental timing, differentiation, cell death, immune response, stem cell behavior, and cancer. Growing evidence suggests that miRNAs play vital roles in regulating several aspects of stem cell biology in *Drosophila* including cell division, self-renewal, and differentiation. In recent years, miRNAs have emerged as collaborating factors that promote the activity of oncogenes in tumor development. Here, we present a brief overview on the role of miRNAs in the regulation of stem cell behavior and tumorigenesis in *Drosophila*.

Keywords MicroRNA · Stem cells · Tumorigenesis · Drosophila

1 Introduction

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are small ~22-nucleotide (nt)-long noncoding RNAs, which bind to the 3' untranslated region (UTR) of target mRNAs to regulate gene expression through translational repression and mRNA degradation [1–4]. miRNA biogenesis is a multistep process [5, 6]. miRNAs are initially transcribed in the nucleus as a primary miRNA transcript (pri-mRNA) by RNA polymerase II [7], which are then processed into precursor miRNAs (pre-mRNAs) by a microprocessor protein complex, the nuclear RNase III Drosha, and a double-stranded RNA-binding domain (dsRBD) protein Pasha [8–13]. The pre-miRNAs are then exported to the cytoplasm by the guanosine triphosphate-bound Ran (RanGTP)-dependent transporter protein Exportin 5 [14, 15], where they are further cleaved by RNase III enzyme Dicer [16–18] and its dsRBD partner Loquacious (Loqs) [19] to generate ~22-nt-long miRNA: miRNA* duplex. Finally, the one strand of this duplex (miRNA) is transferred to the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC), containing

S. R. Singh $(\boxtimes) \cdot$ S. Rager \cdot B. Chan \cdot L. Forney

Mouse Cancer Genetics Program, and Basic Research Laboratory, Center for Cancer Research, National Cancer Institute, Frederick, MD 21702, USA e-mail: singhshr@mail.nih.gov

S. R. Singh, P. Rameshwar (eds.), *MicroRNA in Development* and in the Progression of Cancer, DOI 10.1007/978-1-4899-8065-6_4, © Springer Science+Business Media New York 2014

Argonaute-1 (Ago-1) for targeting gene expression, and releases the other strand (miRNA*) that undergoes degradation [20, 21].

The first miRNA gene, *lin-4*, and its target *lin-14* were discovered in a screening for genes that control developmental timing in *Caenorhabditis elegans* [22, 23]. Since then a large number of miRNAs conserved from worms to mammals have been identified [24–33]. Experimental studies in the past two decades have demonstrated that miRNAs play a regulatory role in various biological processes including development, tissue homeostasis, cell proliferation, tissue growth, cell death, neurogenesis, metabolism, immunity, cell fate determination, stem cell maintenance, aging, and several diseases including cancer [4, 24, 34–45]. Dysregulation of miRNA pathway results in developmental defects, several human diseases, and cancer. In this chapter, we will mainly focus on the role of miRNAs in regulation of stem cell self-renewal, differentiation, and tumorigenesis in *Drosophila*.

2 miRNAs in Stem Cell Regulation

Stem cells play a critical role in tissue development and homeostasis. There are two major classes of stem cells reported, embryonic stem (ES) cells and adult stem cells (including somatic and germ line). Stem cells are undifferentiated cells and have an enormous capacity for self-renewal and differentiation to form specialized cell types. Stem cells follow both asymmetric and symmetric division. Asymmetric division of stem cells results in the formation of two daughter cells, one retaining the stem cell characteristics and other one differentiating into specialized cell types [46, 47]. Stem cell self-renewal divisions are controlled by intrinsic and extrinsic (niche cells) factors [46, 47]. Failure of stem cell function of tissue maintenance results in tumor development and cancer [47]. Stem cells offer a great opportunity to study the growth and differentiation of individual cells into tissues and recent studies suggest that they can be used in the treatment of degenerative diseases and cancer [47].

Studies in recent years demonstrated that miRNAs play an important role in self-renewal and differentiation of stem cells in a variety of animal model systems [4, 41, 48–56]. Here, we focus only on the role of miRNAs in stem cell self-renewal and differentiation of germ-line stem cells (GSCs) and somatic stem cells (SSCs) in *Drosophila*.

3 miRNAs in *Drosophila* GSCs: Self-Renewal and Differentiation

GSCs are a self-renewing population of germ cells that generate haploid gametes. In *Drosophila* ovary and testis, GSCs are anchored around the niche cells (hub cells in testis and cap cells in ovary). Several signaling pathways regulate both male and

MicroRNA pathway	Function	References
Stem cells		
dicer-1	Reduction in germ-line cyst production and delayed GSC division in ovary	[57]
	Maintenance of GSC and SSC population in ovary	[59]
loqs	GSC maintenance in ovary	[48, 53]
bantam	GSC maintenance and repress PGC differentiation	[60, 64]
	Intestinal stem cell proliferation	[91]
Ago-1	GSC fate, oocyte formation, and GSC division in ovary	[61, 62, 66]
miR-7, miR-278	GSC division and differentiation in ovary and testis	[65, 68]
Mei-P26	Restricts growth and proliferation in the ovarian stem cell lineage	[63, 71]
	Regulates germ cell differentiation in ovary by geneti- cally interacting with vasa	[67]
miR-184	GSC development and differentiation	[69]
miR-275, miR-306	Control stem cell differentiation by regulating Bam in testis	[73]
miR-310/13	Regulation of germ and somatic cell differentiation in testis	[74]
miR-124, let-7, miR-8/ miR-200	Neuroblast stem cell division and differentiation	[87–90]
Tumorigenesis		
bantam	Promotes growth by limiting expression of Socs36E	[112]
	Regulates cell proliferation, cell death, and tissue growth	[107, 108, 115, 116]
miR-278	Misexpression in the developing eye causes massive overgrowth because of inhibition of apoptosis	[109]
miR-8/200	Growth inhibition by inducing apoptosis and blocking cell proliferation	[110]
miR-7	Enhances Notch pathway-induced eye overgrowth	[113]

Table 4.1 MicroRNA pathway and its function in Drosophila stem cells and tumorigenesis

female GSC systems. Recent studies demonstrated that the miRNA pathway plays a crucial role in the GSCs in *Drosophila* reproductive organs [48, 57–75] (Table 4.1).

3.1 miRNA and Female GSC

In the adult *Drosophila* ovary, the anterior tip of each germarium contains two to three GSCs, escort stem cells (ESCs), and follicle stem cells (FSCs). Each germarium contains five to seven nondividing somatic cap cells that physically anchor GSCs. Anterior to the cap cells are eight to ten terminal filament (TF) cells and inner germarium sheath (IGS) cells. GSC through asymmetric division produces a self-renewing GSC, and a differentiating cystoblast (CB) cell, which form an interconnected 16-cell cyst by incomplete cytokinesis. These germ cells become an oocyte and the nurse cells. In addition to GSCs, two to three FSCs reside in the middle of each germarium to proliferate and produce an egg chamber and follicle cells [76].

The role of miRNAs in Drosophila stem cells was first demonstrated using ovary GSC systems, where they promote cell division and maintenance of GSCs in their niche [48, 57–62] (Table 4.1). Hatfield et al. [57], using Drosophila ovarian GSC systems demonstrated that loss of *dicer-1*, the dsRNaseIII required for miRNA biogenesis, results in marked depletion of developing egg chambers because of the reduction in germ-line cyst production. Further, they found that reduction in cyst production in *dicer-1* mutant GSCs was not only due to loss of GSCs or a change in their identity but due to a delayed G1-S-phase transition that is dependent on the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor Decapo [57]. It has been shown that normal processing of pre-miRNA by Dicer-1 required the dsRBD protein Logs, which is further demonstrated to be involved in GSC maintenance in *Drosophila* ovary [48]. Further, it has been found that Logs, Dicer-1, and Ago-1 intrinsically control the self-renewal of GSCs [53, 59]. In addition, Jin and Xie [59] found that Dicer-1 is also required for FSC maintenance in Drosophila ovary. Yang et al. [61, 62] found that overexpression of Ago-1 protein leads to GSC overproliferation; however, loss of Ago-1 results in loss of GSCs, which suggests that Ago-1 plays an essential and intrinsic role in GSC fate, oocyte formation, and GSC division [66]. Further, they showed that Ago-1 is not required for bag of marbles (bam) silencing and proposed that an Ago-1-dependent miRNA pathway may play a crucial role in repressing GSC/CB [61, 62]. In addition to the role of Dicer-1 in adult GSC maintenance, Shcherbata et al. [60] found that *bantam* miRNA is extrinsically required for GSC maintenance.

Several studies suggest that the miRNA pathway regulates GSC maintenance by repressing *bam* in *Drosophila* [53, 59, 61, 62]. However, the miRNA pathway that controls the balance between self-renewal and differentiation was not clear until Neumuller et al. [63] demonstrated that *mei-p26*, a trim-NHL protein, together with *bam* and by interacting with Ago-1 through the NHL domain inhibits miRNA expression and controls germ cell differentiation [63]. Further, they also demonstrated that *mei-P26* regulates several miRNAs including *bantam*. Further, Liu et al. [67] have demonstrated that vasa promotes germ cell differentiation by genetically interacting with Mei-P26 and activating its translation by binding directly to a (U)-rich motif in its 3' UTR. Furthermore, Li et al. [71] have shown that Mei-P26 regulates the fates of both GSCs and their differentiating daughters by promoting bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) signaling.

Yu et al. [65] reported that extrinsic signals from the insulin receptor (InR) pathway control Dacapo (Dap) expression through Dicer-1 to regulate GSC division. They found that *dicer-1* can directly regulate Dap levels through the *dap* 3' UTR in GSCs. Further, in a luciferase assay, they found that *dap* 3' UTR is targeted by *miR-7*, *miR-278*, and *miR-309*. Among these miRNAs, they showed that the GSC cell cycle is regulated through *dap* 3' UTR by *miR-7* and *miR-278*. Furthermore, they showed that *miR-7* and *miR-278* and Dap-based cell cycle regulation in GSCs are controlled by InR signaling [65]. Lovino et al. (69) have demonstrated that *miR-184* controls GSC differentiation by translational repression of

decapentaplegic (DPP) receptor Saxophone (Sax) protein levels. Yang et al. [61, 62] have shown that fragile X mental retardation protein (FMRP) interacts with Ago-1 and bantam and is required for GSC maintenance and repressing differentiation, and also needed for repressing primordial germ cell (PGC) differentiation and functions as an extrinsic factor for GSC maintenance in *Drosophila* ovary [64]). Recently, Wang et al. [70] provided the evidence that artificial miRNAs can effectively downregulate endogenous target genes (in this case, *bam, mad, ote,* and *dpp*) in GSCs and somatic cells in *Drosophila* ovary. More recently, Joly et al. [75] identified *mei-P26* mRNA as a direct and major target of Nos/Pum/CCR4-mediated translational repression for *Drosophila* female GSC self-renewal.

3.2 miRNAs and male GSC

The *Drosophila* testis tip harbors two types of stem cells, GSCs and SSCs. Each testis has six to nine GSCs, which are encysted by two SSCs [77, 78]. Both GSCs and SSCs are physically attached to a group of 12 nondividing somatic hub cells [79–82]. Each GSC divides asymmetrically to form two daughter cells, one retaining GSC identity and the other one called gonialblast (GB) initiating differentiation [83, 84] In a similar way, SSCs self-renew and give rise to daughters that differentiate into somatic cyst cells [85]. The GBs undergo four rounds of mitotic division with incomplete cytokinesis to form 16 interconnected spermatogonia; however, the SSCs will grow without further division and form a thin layer around the spermatogonial cyst [86]. Germ cells form spermatocytes and finally undergo meiosis and differentiate into sperm [82].

In addition to their role in GSC self-renewal and differentiation, miRNAs are also known to play a crucial role in GSC and somatic cell differentiation and GSCniche aging in Drosophila testis [68, 72–74] (Table 4.1). Pek and colleagues [68] have shown that Maelstrom (Mael) represses the expression of *miR*-7 that targets bam through its 3' UTR. They found that overexpression of miR-7 in mael mutant testes leads to Bam repression, resulting in a differentiation defect. This suggests that Mael ensures proper differentiation of GSC lineage by repressing miR-7 [68]. Recently, Eun et al. [73] have shown that in the Drosophila male GSC lineage, bam mRNA, but not Bam, is present in spermatocytes. They found that repression of Bam accumulation is attained by miR-275 and miR-306 through the bam 3' UTR. Further, they found that failure to block Bam protein expression in spermatocytes results in spermiogenesis defects and male sterility, which suggests that miR-275 and miR-306 downregulate Bam expression to ensure proper spermatid terminal differentiation [73]. Pancratov et al. [74] in a functional screen identified miR-310/13 cluster (miR-310 to miR-313) as a novel antagonist of the Wingless pathway that directly targets the 3' UTR of armadillo (arm) and pangolin (pan). Interestingly, they found that the miR-310/13 mutant flies show abnormal germ and somatic cell differentiation in the Drosophila testis [74]. In addition to the role of miRNAs in male GSC and somatic cell differentiation, Toledano et al. [72] have

demonstrated that the IGF-II messenger RNA-binding protein (Imp) counteracts with Ago-2 and Dicer-2 to regulate *unpaired* (upd) levels and GSC maintenance. Further, they found that Imp expression decreases in the hub cells of aged males because of the targeting of *Imp* by *let-7*, which suggests that proper expression of Imp is essential to protecting *upd* mRNA from degradation [72].

4 miRNAs in Drosophila SSCs

In the past few years, miRNAs have emerged as a major player in stem cell regulation in *Drosophila* GSC systems with only very rare reports have described its function in other characterized *Drosophila* stem cell (neuroblast, intestinal and hematopoietic) systems. There are few reports that demonstrated the role of miRNAs in regulation of *Drosophila* neuroblast stem cells; these include *miR-124* [87, 88], *let-7* [89], and *miR-8/miR-200* [90]. Recently, Huang et al. [91] showed that bantam miRNA, which is highly expressed in *Drosophila* intestinal precursor cells (intestinal stem cells (ISCs), enteroblast (EB) cells) and enteroendocrine (ee) cells and weakly expressed in enterocytes (ECs), is essential for *Drosophila* ISC proliferation in response to the Hippo (hpo) signaling pathway. Tokusumi et al. [92] have shown that the germ-line differentiation factor Bam and *miR-7* antagonize the differentiation-promoting function of Yan to maintain the stem-like hematopoietic progenitor state during hematopoiesis in *Drosophila*.

5 miRNAs in Tumorigenesis in Drosophila

Emerging evidence suggests that dysfunction of miRNAs is correlated with various human diseases including cancer. It is known that cancer is the result of genetic alternations in oncogenes and tumor suppressors [93, 94]. Recent studies demonstrated that miRNAs are also involved in tumor formation and function as tumor suppressors or oncogenes by modulating the activity of evolutionarily conserved signaling pathways, which are usually dysregulated in human cancers [94–98]. It is also suggested that miRNAs may promote tumorigenesis by regulating the expression of some very important class of genes involved in tumor cell proliferation and apoptosis [99]. Kumar et al. [100] demonstrated that repressing the miRNA maturation by blocking the miRNA biogenesis components, particularly in cancer cells, can promote cell growth, transformation, and tumorigenesis.

Because more than 68% of the genes involved in human cancer are conserved in *Drosophila* [101, 102], it has become a useful model organism to study cancer research [103–106]. Several key cancer events such as loss of cell polarity, the competition between tumor and normal cells, and metastasis have been demonstrated using *Drosophila* as a model system in the recent years. In the past few years, several miRNA pathways have been identified to regulate the tissue growth, cell proliferation, tumorigenesis, and metastasis in the *Drosophila* tumor model [107–114]. Several studies demonstrated that bantam miRNA interacts with Hippo. and epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) pathways to control tissue growth, cell proliferation, and tumorigenesis [108, 111, 112, 114–116]. Herranz et al. [112] identified growth regulatory miRNA bantam and its target. Suppressor of cytokine signaling at 36E (Socs36E), a negative regulator of the Janus kinase/signal transducers and activators of transcription (JAK-STAT) signaling pathway, as cooperating factors in EGFR-driven tumorigenesis and metastasis in a Drosophila model for epithelial-to-mensenchymal transformation (EMT). In a misexpression study, it has been found that Drosophila miR-278/mirvana in the developing eye causes massive overgrowth, which is partly because of the inhibition of apoptosis [109]. In an overexpression screen, Vallejo et al. [110] identified Drosophila miR-8 as a potent inhibitor of Notch-induced overgrowth and tumor metastasis. They found that *miR-8* could repress growth by inducing apoptosis and blocking cell proliferation via repressing *serrate* (Ser), a notch ligand. In a recent study, Da Ros et al. [113] identified the conserved miRNA *miR*-7 that enhances Notch pathway-induced eye overgrowth in Drosophila. They found that the interference hedgehog (ihog) gene is the functional target of *miR-7* in Notch-mediated tumorigenesis. Further, they found that *miR*-7 and Notch pathway cooperatively dampen hedgehog (Hh) signaling through downregulation of its receptors *ihog* and *brother of ihog* (boi). Their study suggests that the genetic cooperation of miR-7, Notch, and Hh is probably participating in the development of certain human tumors [113].

6 Conclusion

miRNAs are the key regulatory molecules in several biological processes. miRNAs play crucial roles in the self-renewal and differentiation of stem cells. miRNAs function as oncogenes or tumor suppressors. Abnormal expression of miRNAs results in developmental defects, loss of tissue homeostasis, and tumorigenesis. *Drosophila* provides an ideal model system to study stem cell regulation and tumor formation. Since miRNAs regulate stem cells, tumor-initiating cells, tumor growth, and metastasis, they have an enormous potential to be used as therapeutic targets for human cancers.

Acknowledgements This research was supported by the Intramural Research Program, National Cancer Institute of the National Institutes of Health. Lyric Forney is supported by Werner H. Kirsten Student Intern Program (WHK SIP) of National Cancer Institute at Frederick.

References

- 1. Plasterk RH. Micro RNAs in animal development. Cell. 2006;124:877-81.
- Vasudevan S, Tong Y, Steitz JA. Switching from repression to activation: microRNAs can up-regulate translation. Science. 2007;318(5858):1931–4.
- Flynt AS, Lai EC. Biological principles of microRNA-mediated regulation: shared themes amid diversity. Nat Rev Genet. 2008;9(11):831–42.

- Gangaraju VK, Lin H. MicroRNAs: key regulators of stem cells. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2009;10(2):116–25.
- Lee Y, Jeon K, Lee JT, Kim S, Kim VN. MicroRNA maturation: stepwise processing and subcellular localization. EMBO J. 2002;21(17):4663–70.
- Thomson T, Lin H. The biogenesis and function of PIWI proteins and piRNAs: progress and prospect. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol. 2009;25:355–76.
- Lee Y, Kim M, Han J, Yeom KH, Lee S, Baek SH, Kim VN. MicroRNA genes are transcribed by RNA polymerase II. EMBO J. 2004;23(20):4051–60.
- Lee Y, Ahn C, Han J, Choi H, Kim J, Yim J, Lee J, Provost P, Rådmark O, Kim S, Kim VN. The nuclear RNase III Drosha initiates microRNA processing. Nature. 2003;425(6956):415–9.
- Denli AM, Tops BB, Plasterk RH, Ketting RF, Hannon GJ. Processing of primary microR-NAs by the Microprocessor complex. Nature. 2004;432(7014):231–5.
- Landthaler M, Yalcin A, Tuschl T. The human DiGeorge syndrome critical region gene 8 and its *D. melanogaster* homolog are required for miRNA biogenesis. Curr Biol. 2004;14(23):2162–7.
- Gregory RI, Yan KP, Amuthan G, Chendrimada T, Doratotaj B, Cooch N, Shiekhattar R. The Microprocessor complex mediates the genesis of microRNAs. Nature. 2004;432(7014): 235–40.
- Han J, Lee Y, Yeom KH, Nam JW, Heo I, Rhee JK, Sohn SY, Cho Y, Zhang BT, Kim VN. Molecular basis for the recognition of primary microRNAs by the Drosha-DGCR8 complex. Cell. 2006;125(5):887–901.
- Martin R, Smibert P, Yalcin A, Tyler DM, Schäfer U, Tuschl T, Lai EC. Drosophila pasha mutant distinguishes the canonical microRNA and mirtron pathways. Mol Cell Biol. 2009;29(3):861–70.
- Yi R, Qin Y, Macara IG, Cullen BR. Exportin-5 mediates the nuclear export of pre-microR-NAs and short hairpin RNAs. Genes Dev. 2003;17(24):3011–6.
- Bohnsack MT, Czaplinski K, Gorlich D. Exportin 5 is a RanGTP-dependent dsRNA-binding protein that mediates nuclear export of pre-miRNAs. RNA. 2004;10(2):185–91.
- Hutvágner G, McLachlan J, Pasquinelli AE, Bálint E, Tuschl T, Zamore PD. A cellular function for the RNA-interference enzyme Dicer in the maturation of the let-7 small temporal RNA. Science. 2001;293(5531):834–8.
- 17. Carmell MA, Hannon GJ. RNase III enzymes and the initiation of gene silencing. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2004;11(3):214–8.
- Zhang H, Kolb FA, Jaskiewicz L, Westhof E, Filipowicz W. Single processing center models for human Dicer and bacterial RNase III. Cell. 2004;118(1):57–68.
- 19. Saito K, Ishizuka A, Siomi H, Siomi MC. Processing of pre-microRNAs by the Dicer-1-Loquacious complex in Drosophila cells. PLoS Biol. 2005;3(7):e235.
- Okamura K, Ishizuka A, Siomi H, Siomi MC. Distinct roles for Argonaute proteins in small RNA-directed RNA cleavage pathways. Genes Dev. 2004;18(14):1655–66.
- Chendrimada TP, Gregory RI, Kumaraswamy E, Norman J, Cooch N, Nishikura K, Shiekhattar R. TRBP recruits the Dicer complex to Ago2 for microRNA processing and gene silencing. Nature. 2005;436(7051):740–4.
- 22. Lee RC, Feinbaum RL, Ambros V. The *C. elegans* heterochronic gene *lin-4* encodes small RNAs with antisense complementarity to *lin-14*. Cell. 1993;75:843–54.
- 23. Wightman B, Ha I, Ruvkun G. Posttranscriptional regulation of the heterochronic gene *lin-14* by *lin-4* mediates temporal pattern formation in *C. elegans*. Cell. 1993;75:855–62.
- Reinhart BJ, Slack FJ, Basson M, Pasquinelli AE, Bettinger JC, Rougvie AE, Horvitz HR, Ruvkun G. The 21-nucleotide *let-7* RNA regulates developmental timing in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Nature. 2000;403:901–6.
- Pasquinelli AE, Reinhart BJ, Slack F, Martindale MQ, Kuroda MI, Maller B, Hayward DC, Ball EE, Degnan B, Muller P, et al. Conservation of the sequence and temporal expression of *let-7* heterochronic regulatory RNA. Nature. 2000;408:86–9.
- Lagos-Quintana M, Rauhut R, Lendeckel W, Tuschl T. Identification of novel genes coding for small expressed RNAs. Science. 2001;294:853–8.

- Farh KK, Grimson A, Jan C, Lewis BP, Johnston WK, Lim LP, Burge CB, Bartel DP. The widespread impact of mammalian MicroRNAs on mRNA repression and evolution. Science. 2005;310(5755):1817–21.
- Chen K, Rajewsky N. Natural selection on human microRNA binding sites inferred from SNP data. Nat Genet. 2006;38(12):1452–6.
- 29. Friedman RC, Farh KK, Burge CB, Bartel DP. Most mammalian mRNAs are conserved targets of microRNAs. Genome Res. 2009;19(1):92–105.
- Berezikov E. Evolution of microRNA diversity and regulation in animals. Nat Rev Genet. 2011;12(12):846–60.
- Berezikov E, Robine N, Samsonova A, Westholm JO, Naqvi A, Hung JH, Okamura K, Dai Q, Bortolamiol-Becet D, Martin R, Zhao Y, Zamore PD, Hannon GJ, Marra MA, Weng Z, Perrimon N, Lai EC. Deep annotation of *Drosophila melanogaster* microRNAs yields insights into their processing, modification, and emergence. Genome Res. 2011;21(2):203–15.
- Xia J, Zhang W. A meta-analysis revealed insights into the sources, conservation and impact of microRNA 5⁺²-isoforms in four model species. Nucleic Acids Res. 2013;1–15. doi:10.1093/nar/gkt967.
- 33. Mohammed J, Flynt AS, Siepel A, Lai EC. The impact of age, biogenesis, and genomic clustering on *Drosophila* microRNA evolution. RNA. 2013;19(9):1295–308.
- 34. O'Connell RM, Rao DS, Chaudhuri AA, Baltimore D. Physiological and pathological roles for microRNAs in the immune system. Nat Rev Immunol. 2010;10(2):111–22.
- 35. Fullaondo A, Lee SY. Identification of putative miRNA involved in *Drosophila melanogaster* immune response. Dev Comp Immunol. 2012;36(2):267–73.
- Wienholds E, Kloosterman WP, Miska E, Alvarez-Saavedra E, Berezikov E, de Bruijn E, Horvitz HR, Kauppinen S, Plasterk RH. MicroRNA expression in zebrafish embryonic development. Science. 2005;309(5732):310–1.
- Murchison EP, Partridge JF, Tam OH, Cheloufi S, Hannon GJ. Characterization of Dicerdeficient murine embryonic stem cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2005;102(34):12135–40.
- Jovanovic M, Hengartner MO. miRNAs and apoptosis: RNAs to die for. Oncogene. 2006;25(46):6176–87.
- Baltimore D, Boldin MP, O'Connell RM, Rao DS, Taganov KD. MicroRNAs: new regulators of immune cell development and function. Nat Immunol. 2008;9(8):839–45.
- Dumortier O, Hinault C, Van Obberghen E. MicroRNAs and metabolism crosstalk in energy homeostasis. Cell Metab. 2013;18(3):312–24.
- Mathieu J, Ruohola-Baker H. Regulation of stem cell populations by microRNAs. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2013;786:329–51.
- 42. Di Leva G, Croce CM. miRNA profiling of cancer. Curr Opin Genet Dev. 2013;23(1):3-11.
- Sun K, Lai EC. Adult-specific functions of animal microRNAs. Nat Rev Genet. 2013;4(8):535–48.
- He L, Thomson JM, Hemann MT, Hernando-Monge E, Mu D, Goodson S, Powers S, Cordon-Cardo C, Lowe SW, Hannon GJ, Hammond SM. A microRNA polycistron as a potential human oncogene. Nature. 2005;435(7043):828–33.
- O'Donnell KA, Wentzel EA, Zeller KI, Dang CV, Mendell JT. c-Myc regulated microRNAs modulate E2F1 expression. Nature. 2005;435(7043):839–43.
- Lin H. Cell biology of stem cells: an enigma of asymmetry and self-renewal. J Cell Biol. 2008;180(2):257–60.
- 47. Singh SR. Stem cell niche in tissue homeostasis, aging and cancer. Curr Med Chem. 2012;19(35):5965-74.
- Förstemann K, Tomari Y, Du T, Vagin VV, Denli AM, Bratu DP, Klattenhoff C, Theurkauf WE, Zamore PD. Normal microRNA maturation and germ-line stem cell maintenance requires Loquacious, a double-stranded RNA-binding domain protein. PLoS Biol. 2005;3(7):e236.
- Shcherbata HR, Hatfield S, Ward EJ, Reynolds S, Fischer KA, Ruohola-Baker H. The MicroRNA pathway plays a regulatory role in stem cell division. Cell Cycle. 2006;5(2):172–5.

- 50. Hatfield S, Ruohola-Baker H. microRNA and stem cell function. Cell Tissue Res. 2008;331(1):57-66.
- 51. Stadler BM, Ruohola-Baker H. Small RNAs: keeping stem cells in line. Cell. 2008;132(4): 563–6.
- 52. Li Q, Gregory RI. MicroRNA regulation of stem cell fate. Cell Stem Cell. 2008;2(3):195-6.
- 53. Park JK, Liu X, Strauss TJ, McKearin DM, Liu Q. The miRNA pathway intrinsically controls self-renewal of Drosophila germline stem cells. Curr Biol. 2007;17(6):533–8.
- Wang Y, Baskerville S, Shenoy A, Babiarz JE, Baehner L, Blelloch R. Embryonic stem cellspecific microRNAs regulate the G1-S transition and promote rapid proliferation. Nat Genet. 2008;40(12):1478–83.
- 55. Murashov AK. A brief introduction to RNAi and microRNAs in stem cells. Methods Mol Biol. 2010;650:15–25.
- Huang XA, Lin H. The microRNA regulation of stem cells. Wiley Interdiscip Rev Dev Biol. 2012;1(1):83–95.
- Hatfield SD, Shcherbata HR, Fischer KA, Nakahara K, Carthew RW, Ruohola-Baker H. Stem cell division is regulated by the microRNA pathway. Nature. 2005;435(7044):974–8.
- Jiang F, Ye X, Liu X, Fincher L, McKearin D, Liu Q. Dicer-1 and R3D1-L catalyze microRNA maturation in Drosophila. Genes Dev. 2005, 19(14):1674–9.
- 59. Jin Z, Xie T. Dcr-1 maintains Drosophila ovarian stem cells. Curr Biol. 2007;17(6):539-44.
- Shcherbata HR, Ward EJ, Fischer KA, Yu JY, Reynolds SH, Chen CH, Xu P, Hay BA, Ruohola-Baker H. Stage-specific differences in the requirements for germline stem cell maintenance in the Drosophila ovary. Cell Stem Cell. 2007;1(6):698–709.
- 61. Yang L, Chen D, Duan R, Xia L, Wang J, Qurashi A, Jin P, Chen D. Argonaute 1 regulates the fate of germline stem cells in Drosophila. Development. 2007;134(23):4265–72.
- Yang L, Duan R, Chen D, Wang J, Chen D, Jin P. Fragile X mental retardation protein modulates the fate of germline stem cells in Drosophila. Hum Mol Genet. 2007;16(15):1814–20.
- Neumüller RA, Betschinger J, Fischer A, Bushati N, Poernbacher I, Mechtler K, Cohen SM, Knoblich JA. Mei-P26 regulates microRNAs and cell growth in the *Drosophila* ovarian stem cell lineage. Nature. 2008;454(7201):241–5.
- 64. Yang Y, Xu S, Xia L, Wang J, Wen S, Jin P, Chen D. The bantam microRNA is associated with *Drosophila* fragile X mental retardation protein and regulates the fate of germline stem cells. PLoS Genet. 2009;5(4):e1000444.
- Yu JY, Reynolds SH, Hatfield SD, Shcherbata HR, Fischer KA, Ward EJ, Long D, Ding Y, Ruohola-Baker H. Dicer-1-dependent Dacapo suppression acts downstream of Insulin receptor in regulating cell division of Drosophila germline stem cells. Development. 2009;136(9):1497–507.
- 66. Azzam G, Smibert P, Lai EC, Liu JL. *Drosophila* Argonaute 1 and its miRNA biogenesis partners are required for oocyte formation and germline cell division. Dev Biol. 2012;365(2):384–94.
- 67. Liu N, Han H, Lasko P. Vasa promotes Drosophila germline stem cell differentiation by activating mei-P26 translation by directly interacting with a (U)-rich motif in its 3' UTR. Genes Dev. 2009;23(23):2742–52.
- Pek JW, Lim AK, Kai T. *Drosophila* maelstrom ensures proper germline stem cell lineage differentiation by repressing microRNA-7. Dev Cell. 2009;17(3):417–24.
- Iovino N, Pane A, Gaul U. miR-184 has multiple roles in Drosophila female germline development. Dev Cell. 2009;17(1):123–33.
- Wang H, Mu Y, Chen D. Effective gene silencing in *Drosophila* ovarian germline by artificial microRNAs. Cell Res. 2011;21(4):700–3.
- Li Y, Maines JZ, Tastan OY, McKearin DM, Buszczak M. Mei-P26 regulates the maintenance of ovarian germline stem cells by promoting BMP signaling. Development. 2012;139(9):1547–56.
- Toledano H, D'Alterio C, Czech B, Levine E, Jones DL. The let-7-Imp axis regulates ageing of the *Drosophila* testis stem-cell niche. Nature. 2012;485(7400):605–10.

- Eun SH, Stoiber PM, Wright HJ, McMurdie KE, Choi CH, Gan Q, Lim C, Chen X. MicroR-NAs downregulate Bag of marbles to ensure proper terminal differentiation in the *Drosophila* male germline. Development. 2013;140(1):23–30.
- Pancratov R, Peng F, Smibert P, Yang S Jr, Olson ER, Guha-Gilford C, Kapoor AJ, Liang FX, Lai EC, Flaherty MS, DasgGupta R. The miR-310/13 cluster antagonizes β-catenin function in the regulation of germ and somatic cell differentiation in the Drosophila testis. Development. 2013;140(14):2904–16.
- Joly W, Chartier A, Rojas-Rios P, Busseau I, Simonelig M. The CCR4 deadenylase acts with nanos and pumilio in the fine-tuning of Mei-P26 expression to promote germline stem cell self-renewal. Stem Cell Reports. 2013;1(5):411–24.
- Song X, Zhu CH, Doan C, Xie T. Germline stem cells anchored by adherens junctions in the Drosophila ovary niches. Science. 2002;296(5574):1855–7.
- 77. Singh SR, Chen X, Hou SX. JAK/STAT signaling regulates tissue outgrowth and male germline stem cell fate in *Drosophila*. Cell Res. 2005;15(1):1–5.
- Singh SR, Zheng Z, Wang H, Oh SW, Chen X, Hou SX. Competitiveness for the niche and mutual dependence of the germline and somatic stem cells in the Drosophila testis are regulated by the JAK/STAT signaling. J Cell Physiol. 2010;223(2):500–10.
- Wang H, Singh SR, Zheng Z, Oh SW, Chen X, Edwards K, Hou SX. Rap-GEF signaling controls stem cell anchoring to their niche through regulating DE-cadherin-mediated cell adhesion in the *Drosophila* testis. Dev Cell. 2006;10(1):117–26.
- Singh SR, Zhen W, Zheng Z, Wang H, Oh SW, Liu W, Zbar B, Schmidt LS, Hou SX. The Drosophila homolog of the human tumor suppressor gene BHD interacts with the JAK-STAT and Dpp signaling pathways in regulating male germline stem cell maintenance. Oncogene. 2006;25(44):5933–41.
- Singh SR, Liu Y, Kango-Singh M, Nevo E. Genetic, immunofluorescence labeling, and in situ hybridization techniques in identification of stem cells in male and female germline niches. Methods Mol Biol. 2013;1035:9–23.
- Fuller MT, Spradling AC. Male and female *Drosophila* germline stem cells: two versions of immortality. Science. 2007 Apr 20;316(5823):402–4.
- Yamashita YM, Mahowald AP, Perlin JR, Fuller MT. Asymmetric inheritance of mother versus daughter centrosome in stem cell division. Science. 2007;315(5811):518–21.
- Matunis EL, Stine RR, de Cuevas M. Recent advances in *Drosophila* male germline stem cell biology. Spermatogenesis. 2012;2(3):137–44.
- Gönczy P, DiNardo S. The germ line regulates somatic cyst cell proliferation and fate during Drosophila spermatogenesis. Development. 1996;122(8):2437–47.
- Voog J, D'Alterio C, Jones DL. Multipotent somatic stem cells contribute to the stem cell niche in the *Drosophila* testis. Nature. 2008;454(7208):1132–6.
- 87. Weng R, Cohen SM. Drosophila miR-124 regulates neuroblast proliferation through its target anachronism. Development. 2012;139(8):1427–34.
- Sun K, Westholm JO, Tsurudome K, Hagen JW, Lu Y, Kohwi M, Betel D, Gao FB, Haghighi AP, Doe CQ, Lai EC. Neurophysiological defects and neuronal gene deregulation in *Drosophila* mir-124 mutants. PLoS Genet. 2012;8(2):e1002515.
- Kucherenko MM, Barth J, Fiala A, Shcherbata HR. Steroid-induced microRNA let-7 acts as a spatio-temporal code for neuronal cell fate in the developing *Drosophila* brain. EMBO J. 2012;31(24):4511–23.
- Morante J, Vallejo DM, Desplan C, Dominguez M. Conserved miR-8/miR-200 defines a glial niche that controls neuroepithelial expansion and neuroblast transition. Dev Cell. 2013;27(2):174–87.
- Huang H, Li J, Hu L, Ge L, Ji H, Zhao Y, Zhang L. Bantam is essential for *Drosophila* intestinal stem cell proliferation in response to Hippo signaling. Dev Biol. 2014;385(2):211–9. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2013.11.008.
- Tokusumi T, Tokusumi Y, Hopkins DW, Shoue DA, Corona L, Schulz RA. Germ line differentiation factor Bag of Marbles is a regulator of hematopoietic progenitor maintenance during *Drosophila* hematopoiesis. Development. 2011;138(18):3879–84.

- Esquela-Kerscher A, Slack FJ. Oncomirs—microRNAs with a role in cancer. Nat Rev Cancer. 2006;6(4):259–69.
- Wang D, Qiu C, Zhang H, Wang J, Cui Q, Yin Y. Human microRNA oncogenes and tumor suppressors show significantly different biological patterns: from functions to targets. PLoS One. 2010;5(9):e13067.
- Calin GA, Croce CM. MicroRNA signatures in human cancers. Nat Rev Cancer. 2006;6(11):857–66.
- Croce CM. Causes and consequences of microRNA dysregulation in cancer. Nat Rev Genet. 2009;10(10):704–14.
- Shenouda SK, Alahari SK. MicroRNA function in cancer: oncogene or a tumor suppressor? Cancer Metastasis Rev. 2009;28(3–4):369–78.
- 98. Costa PM, Pedroso de Lima MC. MicroRNAs as molecular targets for cancer therapy: on the modulation of microRNA expression. Pharmaceuticals. 2013;6(10):1195–220.
- Cheng AM, Byrom MW, Shelton J, et al. Antisense inhibition of human miRNAs and indications for an involvement of miRNA in cell growth and apoptosis. Nucleic Acids Res. 2005;33:1290–7.
- Kumar MS, Lu J, Mercer KL, et al. Impaired microRNA processing enhances cellular transformation and tumorigenesis. Nat Genet. 2007;39:673–7.
- Rubin GM, Hong L, Brokstein P, Evans-Holm M, Frise E, Stapleton M, Harvey DA. A Drosophila complementary DNA resource. Science. 2000;287(5461):2222–4.
- 102. Hombría JC, Serras F. Why should we care about fly tumors? The case of JAK-STAT and EGFR cooperation in oncogenesis. JAKSTAT. 2013;2(2):e23203.
- Miles WO, Dyson NJ, Walker JA. Modeling tumor invasion and metastasis in *Drosophila*. Dis Model Mech. 2011;4(6):753–61.
- 104. Stefanatos RK, Vidal M. Tumor invasion and metastasis in *Drosophila*: a bold past, a bright future. J Genet Genomics. 2011;38(10):431–8.
- Polesello C, Roch F, Gobert V, Haenlin M, Waltzer L. Modeling cancers in *Drosophila*. Prog Mol Biol Transl Sci. 2011;100:51–82.
- Rudrapatna VA, Cagan RL, Das TK. Drosophila cancer models. Dev Dyn. 2012;241(1): 107–18.
- Brennecke J, Hipfner DR, Stark A, Russell RB, Cohen SM. bantam encodes a developmentally regulated microRNA that controls cell proliferation and regulates the proapoptotic gene hid in *Drosophila*. Cell. 2003;113(1):25–36.
- Nolo R, Morrison CM, Tao C, Zhang X, Halder G. The bantam microRNA is a target of the hippo tumor-suppressor pathway. Curr Biol. 2006;16(19):1895–904.
- Nairz K, Rottig C, Rintelen F, Zdobnov E, Moser M, Hafen E. Overgrowth caused by misexpression of a microRNA with dispensable wild-type function. Dev Biol. 2006;291(2): 314–24.
- Vallejo DM, Caparros E, Dominguez M. Targeting Notch signalling by the conserved miR-8/200 microRNA family in development and cancer cells. EMBO J. 2011;30(4):756–69.
- Oh H, Irvine KD. Cooperative regulation of growth by Yorkie and Mad through bantam. Dev Cell. 2011;20(1):109–22.
- Herranz H, Hong X, Hung NT, Voorhoeve PM, Cohen SM. Oncogenic cooperation between SOCS family proteins and EGFR identified using a *Drosophila* epithelial transformation model. Genes Dev 2012, 26:1602–11.
- Da Ros VG, Gutierrez-Perez I, Ferres-Marco D, Dominguez M. Dampening the signals transduced through hedgehog via microRNA miR-7 facilitates notch-induced tumourigenesis. PLoS Biol. 2013;11(5):e1001554.
- Zhang Y, Lai ZC. Mob as tumor suppressor is regulated by bantam microRNA through a feedback loop for tissue growth control. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2013;439(4): 438–42.
- 115. Thompson BJ, Cohen SM. The Hippo pathway regulates the bantam microRNA to control cell proliferation and apoptosis in *Drosophila*. Cell. 2006;126(4):767–74.
- 116. Peng HW, Slattery M, Mann RS. Transcription factor choice in the Hippo signaling pathway: homothorax and yorkie regulation of the microRNA bantam in the progenitor domain of the *Drosophila* eye imaginal disc. Genes Dev. 2009;23(19):2307–19.