Skull Base Tumors: Viewpoint—Fractionated Radiotherapy or Stereotactic Radiotherapy

 40

René-O. Mirimanoff and Laura Negretti

Introduction

 Skull base tumors account for up to a third of all intracranial tumors. The most common ones are represented by meningioma, pituitary adenoma, acoustic nerve schwannoma, and craniopharyngioma; less frequent types comprise chordoma and chondrosarcoma, PGL or chemodectoma, and very occasionally rare bone tumors like bone lymphoma, giant cell tumors, and carcinoma of the skull base can be found. Some, like the meningioma, can involve almost any part of the anterior, middle, or posterior fossa, whereas others, like the PGL, arise from well-defined anatomic structures. Surgery still remains the cornerstone in the management of most skull base tumors $[1]$. However, in spite of a better understanding of the natural behavior and biology of these skull base tumors, continuous progress in anesthesiology, and the refinements in surgical techniques, a complete surgical removal is extremely difficult in many instances or made at a price of considerable neurologic deficits. This is why noninvasive treatments, such as high-precision radiotherapy with intensity-modulated radiation therapy (IMRT), radiosurgery (RS), stereotactic fractionated radiotherapy (STRT), and particle therapy, play an increasing role in the multidisciplinary management of most skull base tumors. We will focus here on chordoma and chondrosarcoma on the one hand, and on the PGL category on the other, with particular emphasis on their treatment by fractionated external beam radiotherapy, particle therapy, and STRT.

Radiation Oncology Department,

Clinique de La Source, Vinet Avenue 30, 1004 Lausanne, Switzerland e-mail[: rene-olivier.mirimanoff@chuv.ch](mailto: rene-olivier.mirimanoff@chuv.ch)

L. Negretti, M.D. Radiation Oncology Department, CHUV, University Hospital Lausanne, Clinica Luganese, via Moncucco 10, 6900 Lugano, Ticino, Switzerland

Chordomas and Chondrosarcomas

Chordomas: General Features

 Chordomas are rare tumors: according to the SEER data, the incidence of these tumors is around 0.08 per 100,000 with a peak incidence between 50 and 60 years of age; they represent only 0.1–0.2 % of all primary intracranial neoplasms [2]. Chordomas are of neuroectodermal origin and are pre-sumed to develop from notochordal remnants (Fig. [40.1](#page-1-0)). The discovery of a gene duplication in the transcription factor T gene (brachyury) in familial chordoma seems to support this hypothesis $[3]$. There are several histopathologic subcategories, with or without predominance of particular cell types. Those include the physaliferous type, the epithelioid and the chondroid cell types [4].

 Immunohistochemistry shows that almost all tumors stain positively for the epithelial membrane antigen, cytokeratins, S-100 proteins, and are negative for HMB-45 and desmin [4]. One third of all chordomas arise from the skull base, the remainder from the sacrum and the spine. Although they do grow slowly, and rarely metastasize, they are quite often lethal due to their local progression. They commonly involve vital neural or bony structures (Fig. 40.2), thus compromising the effectiveness of surgical or radiation therapy $[5]$. Intracranial chordomas typically arise from the clivus and can invade the dura, extend in any direction, for example toward the foramen magnum, the petrous bone, the craniospinal junction or compress the brain stem, or infiltrate anteriorly the cavernous sinus $[6]$.

Chondrosarcomas: General Features

 Chondrosarcomas are also rare tumors that can arise from the skull base. Like chordomas, they represent 0.15 % of all intracranial tumors [7] although many series suggest that they are even less common than chordomas $[8-10]$.

R.-O. Mirimanoff, M.D. (\boxtimes)

CHUV, University Hospital Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland

 Fig. 40.1 Pathology slide of a chordoma

 Fig. 40.2 MRI of a skull base chordoma

Most chondrosarcomas arise de novo although they can occur in Ollier's disease, Paget's disease, or in osteochondromas. It is thought that they originate from primitive mesenchymal cells or embryonal remnants of the cartilaginous matrix of the cranium $[11]$ (Fig. 40.3). They are sometimes mistakenly diagnosed as chordoma, but it is quite important to distinguish them from the latter as they have a better prognosis $[6, 8, 12-16]$ $[6, 8, 12-16]$ $[6, 8, 12-16]$. Several subtypes have been proposed that include the hyaline, the myxoid, and mixed hyalinemyxoid; the mesenchymal and dedifferentiated subtypes are less common and disclose a more aggressive behavior, whereas the clear cell subtype is extremely rare [17, [18](#page-8-0)].

 Fig. 40.3 Pathology slide of a skull base chondrosarcoma

 On immunohistochemistry, almost all chondrosarcomas stain for S-100 protein, but none for keratin and less than 10 % for epithelial membrane antigens $[18]$. Thus, tumor markers are helpful adjuncts to differentiate between chordoma and chondrosarcoma: chordomas express cytokeratin and epithelial membrane antigens, whereas chondrosarcomas lack the former and rarely stain for the latter. Like chordomas, chondrosarcomas tend to invade local structures. Distant metastases are uncommon; Hassounah et al. in their literature review found five cases of metastases out of 50 reported patients [19].

Standard Treatment of Chordomas and Chondrosarcomas

 Although they have a good number of features in common and their overall management is relatively similar, chordoma and chondrosarcoma of the skull base present with important differences in histopathology, biological behavior, and outcome $[6, 18, 20, 21]$ $[6, 18, 20, 21]$ $[6, 18, 20, 21]$. For example, in an important series of 109 patients, recurrence and death rates were much higher in patients with chordomas than in patients with chondrosarcomas [6]. Two excellent papers were recently published on chordomas $[20]$ and chondrosarcomas $[21]$, which review the management and outcome of these tumors. There is no question that surgery remains the cornerstone of treatment. The surgeon's goal should be, whenever possible, to carry out an en bloc resection with a gross total removal $[6, 22]$ $[6, 22]$ $[6, 22]$. Major advances in surgical techniques and microsurgery in particular have allowed neurosurgeons to perform more macroscopically complete resections, with clearly improved outcomes [22]. However en bloc resections are rarely possible with clival chordoma and thus a maximally safe aggressive

surgery with neurological preservation should be the goal [20]. With extensive surgery, Crockard et al. have reported 5-year survival rates of 77 % and 93 %, respectively, for chordoma and chondrosarcoma $[15, 16]$. Gay et al. obtained a 67 % total or near total resection rate, and for these an 84 % recurrence-free rate was achieved [8].

 In spite of these improvements in surgery, it appears that a genuine curative resection with clear margins is not often realistic, and ultimately a majority of patients will recur and die from their disease if no adjuvant radiation is administered. For example, in a series of 13 operated patients with chondrosarcomas from the Netherlands, only three received postoperative photon (2) or proton (1) radiotherapy, and the recurrence-free survival was only 43 $%$ at 5 years [11]. In a systematic literature review of intracranial chondrosarcoma, Bloch et al. found that the 5-year mortality rate was 25 % without and 9 % with postoperative radiotherapy $[21]$.

 Therefore, adjuvant, high-dose postoperative radiotherapy has been considered and used for many years both for chordomas and chondrosarcomas. In spite of old claims that chondrosarcomas and chordomas are radioresistant tumors [23], conventional external beam radiotherapy was shown to provide useful and prolonged palliation in overt residual disease [5]. It was however suggested for a long time that these tumors, in order to be controlled, need to receive relatively high-dose radiotherapy and that the total dose should be increased to $70-80$ Gy $[24-27]$. Currently, with highprecision external beam photon radiotherapy, the dose to the PTV can be escalated to 65–70 Gy, with local control rates comparable to those obtained with particle therapy $[26]$.

 Because of the presence of neighboring critical structures like the brain stem or the temporal lobe, with older techniques the total dose was limited to 50–60 Gy, which was clearly insufficient to control even microscopic residual disease in the long term. Charged particles such as protons, helium, or neons or carbon ions are well suited for extremely precise localization of radiation and permit an increase of the total dose from 15 to 35 % compared with conventional X-rays [10]. In their experience with charged particle irradiation of tumors of the skull base, Castro et al. have treated 53 chordomas and 27 chondrosarcomas, from 1977 to 1992, with a mean dose of 65 Gray equivalent (GyE) (range, 60–85 GyE) $[10]$. Five-year local control was 63 % for chordomas and 78 % for chondrosarcomas $[10]$. Since then, a number of patients were treated with particle therapy, generally proton beam therapy in the United States, France, Japan, Switzerland, and Germany, with protocols using high doses of irradiation.

 At the Massachusetts General Hospital (MGH), where chordomas and chondrosarcomas were treated with 160 MV proton beams, with a median dose of 69 CGE (Cobalt-Gray-Equivalent), it was found that chordomas had a 31 % rate of failure of which 95 % were local $[24, 25]$ $[24, 25]$ $[24, 25]$. It was also shown that the 10-year local control was the highest with

chondrosarcomas, followed by male chordomas and female chordomas, with 94 %, 65 %, and 42 % local control rates, respectively [14]. At Loma Linda University, 58 patients with chordomas and chondrosarcomas received proton beam radiotherapy with a mean dose of 70 CGE, with a local control rate of 92 % for chondrosarcomas and 78 % for chordomas [13]. An update of the French series at Orsay on 100 patients confirmed their previous results with a combination of photons and proton beams (201 MV with a median dose of 67 CGE). In this report, the 2- and 4-year local control rates were 86 $\%$ and 54 $\%$, respectively [9]. Patients with chordomas treated with proton beam therapy at Tsukuba University received a median dose of 72 Gy with 5-year local control and local-specific survivals of 42 $\%$ and 72 $\%$, respectively [27]. At the Paul Scherrer Institute, Switzerland, 64 patients with skull base chordomas (42) and chondrosarcomas (22) were treated with the spot-scanning-based proton radiotherapy technique with a median dose of 75.5 Gy for chordomas and 68.4 Gy for chondrosarcomas $[28]$. Actuarial 5-year control rates were 81 % for chordomas and 94 % for chondrosarcomas; the 5-year freedom from high-grade toxicity was 94 $\%$ [28]. With carbon ion radiotherapy, the experience from Heidelberg and GSI in Darmstadt on 96 chordoma patients disclosed a 70 % local control and 88 % survival at 5 years $[29]$. The same group obtained a 90 % 4-year local control and 98 % 5-year survival in a series of 54 patients with chondrosarcomas $[30]$. In the experience with proton beam and carbon ion radiotherapy, late grade 3–4 toxicities were generally low, given the high radiotherapy dose delivered, and were reported to be between 4.5 and 7% [13, 25, [28](#page-9-0)–31. In conclusion, high-precision, high-dose particle radiotherapy is followed by an excellent local control for chondrosarcoma and a reasonably good local control for chordoma, with a low toxicity. Postoperative proton beam radiotherapy is often considered now as the standard treatment for these tumors. We will examine next if high-precision and high-dose STRT can be considered as alternative treatments to proton beam therapy.

Fractionated Stereotactic Radiotherapy of Chordomas and Chondrosarcomas

Patient Selection

 As previously emphasized, the standard management of chordomas and chondrosarcomas should include a maximal surgical resection, followed by postoperative high-precision, high-dose radiotherapy, if one wants to maximize the chances of local control $[6, 15, 16, 20-30]$ $[6, 15, 16, 20-30]$ $[6, 15, 16, 20-30]$ $[6, 15, 16, 20-30]$ $[6, 15, 16, 20-30]$ $[6, 15, 16, 20-30]$ $[6, 15, 16, 20-30]$.

 The indications for STRT are essentially the same as those for RS (see chapter on RS), except that STRT combines the precision of stereotactic positioning with the radiobiological advantage of fractionation, especially in large tumors [12].

 Table 40.1 Treatment outcomes, chordomas

Thus, in tumors larger than 30 mm in diameter, there is an advantage of using STRT rather than RS, at least in theory. In the series from Heidelberg, where STRT was used for chordomas and chondrosarcomas of the skull base, the median target volume was 56 cm^3 (range, $17-215 \text{ cm}^3$) for chordomas and 102 cm^3 (range, $24-237 \text{ cm}^3$) for chondrosarcomas [12]. This is in sharp contrast with the mean or median volumes described in the RS series, which ranged from 4.6 cm^3 (range, 0.98– 10.8 cm³) to 14.6 cm³ (range, 2.9–52 cm³) [32–36].

Treatment Techniques

 Planning techniques are similar to those used for any RS or STRT of other intracranial lesions. Immobilization systems including various stereotactic head frames (RS) or relocatable head masks (STRT) are used for imaging studies under stereotactic guidance and for treatment. All patients should undergo high-resolution computed tomography (CT) and if not contra-indicated magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), with image fusion for treatment planning. In both cases, ultrathin slices are required. With regard to fractionated STRT, the Heidelberg group has defined the clinical target volume (CTV) as the visible tumor on CT and MRI and the potential residual tumor, taking preoperative imaging into account [12].

They added a 2-mm safety margin for the planning target volume (PTV). The median prescribed dose at isocenter was 66.6 Gy for chordomas and 64.3 Gy for chondrosarcomas, with a median daily dose of 1.8 Gy $[12]$. More recently, Boguci et al. have used image-guided intensity-modulated fractionated STRT, with a median dose of 66.6 Gy prescribed at the 90 % isodose, so that the dose at the isocenter was 74 Gy [37]. Figure 40.4a, b shows an example of linac-based STRT treatment plan at our institution (CHUV) for a 59-year-old patient who was not operated due to important comorbidities. The patient, with a skull base chordoma, received a total dose of 63 at 1.8 Gy per fraction, using a micro-multileaf collimator with six fixed beams from a 6-MV linear accelerator.

Treatment Outcomes

 Because of the rare occurrence of chordomas and chondrosarcomas, the published experience with either RS or STRT is still limited. Table 40.1 summarizes some recent series with RS and STRT for chordomas and Table [40.2](#page-4-0) the experience with chondrosarcomas. As can be seen, these series of Gamma Knife radiosurgery (GKRS) and STRT have gathered a limited number of cases of chordomas $[12, 32, 33, 36-40]$ $[12, 32, 33, 36-40]$ $[12, 32, 33, 36-40]$ and very few cases of chondrosarcomas [12, [32](#page-9-0)–34, [36](#page-9-0)].

Series	Year	No. of patients	Technique	Median prescribed dose(Gy)	5-year local control	5-year survival	Median follow-up	Comments
Krishnan et al. [33]	2005	4	GKRS	15	100%		4.8 years	
Feigl et al. [34]	2005	10	GKRS	17	100 $%$	NA	17 months	One patient had 2 GKRS
Martin et al. $[32]$	2007	10	GKRS	15	88 % (6 years)	88%	7.7 years	1.8 Gy per fraction
Hasegawa et al. [36]	2007		GKRS	14	80%	83%	6 years	
Debus et al. $[12]$	2000	8	Linac STRT	64.9	100%	$100\ \%$	19 months	

 Table 40.2 Treatment outcomes, chondrosarcomas

Overall, these results confirm the known differences in outcome between chordomas and chondrosarcomas. Results on local controls and survivals are fairly similar to those obtained after postoperative proton beam radiotherapy. For chordomas, the 5-year local control rates varied between 38 and 72 %, whereas for chondrosarcomas, it was between 80 and 100 %. The rather wide variations between the different series are probably due to differences in surgical techniques, tumor size, and in the patients' populations, some reports having included both primary treatments and treatments for recurrence. The experience at the University of Heidelberg and at the Kaiser Permanente in Los Angeles with STRT showed encouraging local control rates, which were comparable to those obtained with RS $[12, 37]$ $[12, 37]$ $[12, 37]$. It should also be re-emphasized that patients treated by STRT had on average a much larger residual tumor volume compared with those who received GKRS (vide supra). STRT yields the same excellent local control rates for chondrosarcomas as with RS, but admittedly the number of patients was quite low $[12]$.

 Functional outcome after RS was reported in the rather large North America Gamma Knife consortium: of 57 patients with prior neurological deficits, 17 improved, 18 were unchanged, and 16 deteriorated [39].

 Radiation-induced complications are generally moderate; however, cranial nerve dysfunction, pituitary insufficiency, and occasionally brain necroses were reported [32, 33]. Similarly, the complication rates after STRT are quite low: from the 45 patients treated with STRT in Heidelberg, only one presented with symptomatic infarction of the pons [12].

Conclusion

 In conclusion, RS, either with GKRS or linac RS, and STRT appear to be safe treatments as postoperative complement to surgery or for recurrent chordomas and chondrosarcomas of the base of the skull. It is thus reasonable to state that, in the absence of a nearby proton beam therapy facility, fractionated STRT represents a possible, safe and cheaper alternative treatments for these skull base tumors.

 Fig. 40.5 Pathology slide of a case of paraganglioma

Paragangliomas

General Features

 PGLs are neuroendocrine tumors originating from the autonomic nervous system derived from neural crest cells. Approximately 80–85 % of these tumors are located in the adrenal medulla and called pheochromocytomas (PCCs), whereas $15-20\%$ grow in the extra-adrenal chromaffin tissue and are referred to as secreting paraganglioma (sPGLs). These tumors are rare and occur in 2–8 per 1,000,000, with a peak incidence in the third to the fourth decade of life, with an almost equal distribution between female and male patients; familial tumors occur at an earlier age [41]. They are generally slow growing, highly vascular, with a high intracellular liquid content and with frequent intratumoral cysts $[42-45]$ (Fig. 40.5). Depending on their origin, PGLs can be divided into two groups: sympathetic and parasympathetic tumors. PCCs fall into the former category. Sympathetic PGLs can be found anywhere along the sympathetic ganglia, in the thorax, abdomen, and pelvis, and they tend to hypersecrete catecholamines, like adrenal PCC. In contrast, parasympathetic PGLs are principally located in the head and

 Fig. 40.6 MRI of a case of paraganglioma

neck region (HNPGLs) and base of the skull, and in their majority are nonsecretory. They can be multicentric, especially in familial cases $[45]$. In some instances, they can reach very large sizes and are referred to as giant tumors [45]. One quarter of PGLs are malignant, defined by the presence of chromaffin tissue in sites where it is normally absent, such as lymph nodes, bone, liver, and lung [41]. Unfortunately, there are currently no consistent methods to differentiate benign from malignant forms, and malignancy is revealed by distant metastases $[46]$. The clinical behavior of PGLs is generally indolent with long time intervals between the first symptom and the diagnosis $[42, 45]$. In case of sPGLs, hypertension is the main symptom, which can be continuous, intermittent, or paroxysmal. HNPGLs are characterized by a mass effect or the infiltration of adjacent anatomical structures such as the temporal bone, the middle ear, the clivus, the jugular vein, the internal carotid artery, the cavernous sinus, the hypoglossal canal, and cranial nerves V–XII $[43, 44, 47–52]$ (Fig. 40.6). A palpable neck mass, as well as dysphagia, pain, tinnitus, or cranial nerve palsies can also occur $[52]$. The several classifications take into account tumor location, extent, and size. The Fisch classification is one of the most commonly used [50]. The clinical behavior of malignant PGLs may also be associated with systemic symptoms, including fatigue, weight loss, and anorexia, or clinical manifestations due to metastatic disease, such as bone metastases. Metastases can occur at the outset or after many years. Population-based studies have established that approximately one third of patients having sporadic PGL have a germline mutation in a known susceptibility gene [53–56]. Ten known susceptibility genes for PGL have been identified to date: three genes for three distinct cancer susceptibility syndromes, VHL for von Hippel–Lindau disease,

NF1 for Neurofibromatosis Type 1, and RET for Multiple Endocrine Neoplasia Type 2 (MEN2); others comprise the succinate dehydrogenase (SDH) complex subunit genes (SDHA, SDHB, SDHC, SDHD), the SDH complex cofactors (SDHAF2), and two newly recognized susceptibility genes, TMEM127 and MAX [57]. SDHB mutations are usually linked to a higher morbidity and mortality than mutations in the other SDH genes [58]. A recent meta-analysis of studies concerning SDHB-mutated patients has emphasized that 31 $\%$ of their tumors were malignant [59]. Notably, VHL- and SDH-mutated PGLs are associated with angiogenesis, hypoxia, and reduced oxidative response $[60]$ while the group containing RET- and NF1-mutated tumors is linked to an abnormal activation of kinase-signaling pathways, such as RAS/RAF/MAPK and PI3K/AKT/mTOR [61, [62](#page-9-0)]. Also TMEM127- and MAX-mutated tumors have been associated with the activation of mTOR-signaling pathway [63, 64]. The recommended screening test for initial evaluation of PGLs is the measurement of plasma-free metanephrines or urine-deconjugated differential metanephrines [65]. Metanephrines have a higher sensitivity, ranging around 98–99 %, in comparison to plasma or urine catecholamines and vanilmandelic acid $[66, 67]$. The biochemical phenotype does not allow to differentiate malignant from benign PGLs. However, the presence of predominantly noradrenalineproducing PGLs and high levels of plasma dopamine or its metabolite methoxytyramine may suggest malignancy [66, 68]. Plasma chromogranin A (CgA), which is a protein stored and cosecreted with catecholamines, is frequently increased in functioning and non-functioning PGLs $[69]$. CgA shows a sensitivity of 83–89 %, and very high plasma levels of CgA are generally related to malignancy [66]. Computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance (MRI) are major tools for the first imaging approach in patients with PGLs. MRI shows a good accuracy, with a sensitivity of 90–100 % and specificity of $50-100\%$, especially for the detection of extraadrenal disease $[70]$. Ultrasound is used for the detection of HNPGLs, whereas for other disease sites its role is limited [70, [71](#page-10-0)]. Concerning functional imaging, 131I- or 123Imetaiodobenzylguanidine (MIBG) scintigraphy has been used as the first-line nuclear medicine technique but 123I-MIBG is superior to 131I-MIBG, with a sensitivity of 83–100 % and a specificity of 95–100 $%$ [70]. Regarding PET imaging, somatostatin analogues labelled with gallium-68 can be used. This tracer seems to be superior to 18F-labelled fluorodeoxyglucose (18F-FDG) in identifying malignant sPGLs [72].

Standard Treatment for Paragangliomas

 The treatment of choice of PGL is a matter of controversy. Surgery has an important role but it is by far not the only option. With recent imaging tools, innovative surgical techniques, and cranial nerve monitoring, results have improved regarding the possibility of complete removal, and immediate complications have decreased. However, like for chordomas and chondrosarcomas of the skull base, a genuine total resection without sacrifice of cranial nerves is rarely possible. Therefore, postoperative cranial nerve damage can be quite substantial, with potential impairment of nerves VII and IX–XII [45, 48, [49](#page-9-0)]. For example, in one series, preoperative deficit of cranial nerves X–XII was between 20 and 30 $%$ and postoperative deficits increased to 25–50 %, although facial function recovery was observed in 95 % of patients $[49]$. The rate of complete surgical removal is quite variable and is claimed to be between 40 and 96 % [\[48 , 49 \]](#page-9-0). In general, data regarding resection rates and the actual occurrence of complications are difficult to interpret due to the heterogeneity and limited size of most series. There are only few data on local control and long-term survival after surgery. Some surgeons have claimed that in their hands, the recurrence rate was quite low. Nora et al. have reported on 59 carotid body tumors, of which only 3 (6 %) presented with a recurrence after surgery, and only one developed metastatic disease [73]. Pareschi et al. in their series of 37 glomus jugulare tumors, of which 96 % had a complete resection, found no relapse, but the mean follow-up was only 4.9 years [48]. Amongst 28 patients with the so-called complex glomus jugulare tumors, Al-Mefty and Teixeira were able to perform a complete resection in 24 patients and observed altogether two recurrences [45]. However, in other studies, local control was much lower, with 70–100 % recurrence rates after surgery alone [74, 75]. Because these tumors tend to recur after many years, the actual failure rates are underestimated, especially with short follow-ups. In addition many patients are lost to follow-up $[45,$ 48. Long-term mortality due to recurrence after surgery is not so trivial and was reported to be between 5 and 13 $%$ [76, [77](#page-10-0)]. Like for chordoma and chondrosarcoma, some authors have claimed that PGLs are radioresistant and that radiotherapy was associated with long-term complications [\[45](#page-9-0)]. These assertions were based on old studies in which ancient orthovoltage techniques were used. Indeed, with very conformal linac-based techniques, fractionated external beam radiotherapy represents an excellent alternative, or sometimes a complementary treatment to surgery. Cole et al. have treated 32 tumors of the glomus jugulare or glomus vagale with megavoltage units with doses of 45 Gy in 5 weeks [78]. Their very long-term results have yielded a local control rate of 94 $%$ at 10 years [78]. Konefal et al. have treated 26 patients with 45–50 Gy; amongst these, 15 of 16 glomus tympanicum and four of six glomus jugulare tumors achieved a long-term control, with a mean follow-up period of 10.5 years $[79]$. In a large series, 80 PGLs of the temporal bone, carotid body, or glomus vagale were treated by radiotherapy alone (72) or postoperatively (8) $[80]$. Local control was 94 % and only 5 local recurrences were observed between 2.6 and 18.8 years, of which two could be salvaged by surgery [80]. Other groups could obtain good local

controls with exclusive or postoperative radiotherapy [74, 75]. Powell et al. have treated 46 patients with glomus jugulare or glomus tympanicum tumors with doses between 45 and 50 Gy and a 75 % actuarial control was achieved at 25 years [75]. Notably, in these radiotherapy series, between 18 and 30 % were previously, and sometimes heavily pretreated by surgery. Contrarily to older reports, complication rates were low with the most recent radiotherapy techniques [78–80]. Previously, it was thought that these tumors were "radioresistant" because after irradiation, they shrink but rarely disappear completely on follow-up [78]. Therefore, local control after radiotherapy should be defined as the absence of clinical and radiological progression. Springate et al. have reviewed the outcome of PGL after various treatments [81]. They noted that local control after surgery, surgery combined with radiotherapy, or radiotherapy alone was 86 %, 90 %, and 92 %, respectively. In the same review, treatment-related morbidity after surgical excision was frequent, whereas late complications were rare after radiotherapy $[81]$. This was more recently confirmed by Huy et al. who compared in a retrospective and comparative analysis radiotherapy and surgery in 88 cases of jugular PGLs, in terms of function and tumor control $[82]$. Forty-seven patients with type C or D jugular PGLs underwent surgery after endovascular embolization between 1984 and 1998, and were followed up on average during 66 months. Forty-one patients with type C jugular PGLs were treated by external beam conformal radiotherapy between 1998 and 2003 with a total mean dose of 45 Gy (range, 44–50 Gy); the mean follow-up was 50 months [82]. This study demonstrated that with radiotherapy, a 96 % local control was achieved, whereas with surgery it was 86 % [[82](#page-10-0)]. After surgery, postoperative complications included dysphagia, aspiration, and facial paralysis. Patients treated by radiotherapy developed only minor sequelae. In a British retrospective study, 21 patients with PGL of the head and neck region received fractionated external beam radiotherapy with a median dose of 50 Gy in 30 fractions $[83]$. With a median follow-up of 55 months, a 92 % 5-year local control rate was observed [83]. Another retrospective study, with a median follow-up of 9 years, demonstrated that treatment of PGL by external beam radiotherapy yielded a 5-year local control rate of 96 $\%$ and a 10- and 15-year local control rate of 90 $\%$ [84].

Fractionated Stereotactic Radiotherapy of Paraganglioma

Patient Selection

 Since external beam radiotherapy is an effective alternative to surgery, newer, high-precision, high-dose radiotherapy techniques such as STRS represent a logical further option. With STRS, a smaller volume of normal tissue exposed to the effect of radiation can be expected $[47]$. The criteria for patient selection for RS or STRS for PGL are comparable to

 Table 40.3 Treatment outcomes, paraganglioma

those used for external beam radiotherapy. RS and STRT can be used either as primary treatment, at progression after one or multiple surgeries, after embolization, postoperatively, or even after previous external beam radiotherapy $[42-44, 47]$ $[42-44, 47]$ $[42-44, 47]$, [85](#page-10-0). The indications for STRT are essentially the same as those for RS, except that STRT combines the precision of stereotactic positioning with the radiobiological advantage of fractionation, especially in large tumors $[12, 44]$. In the Heidelberg experience with STRT for PGL, the median target volume was 71.8 cm^3 (range, $10.5-212 \text{ cm}^3$) [44], which is in sharp contrast with the median volumes described in the RS series, which ranged from 5.7 cm to 10.8 cm³ (range $0.5-$ 27 cm³) [42, 43, 47, 85, 86].

Treatment Techniques

 Pretreatment imaging includes high-resolution CT, MRI, and preferably both and wherever needed angiography [43, [47](#page-9-0)]. For treatment planning, CT and MRI fusion are required, especially with linac-based RS/STRT. In both cases, ultrathin slices are required. Head frames (RS) or relocatable head masks (STRT) are used for imaging under stereotactic guidance and treatment. For STRT, the Heidelberg group

defined the CTV as the macroscopic tumor on MRI plus a 10-mm margin along the involved vessels [44]. They added a 2-mm safety margin for the PTV. The median total dose was 57.6 Gy at a median daily dose of 1.8 Gy $[44]$.

Treatment Outcomes

 Because of the rare occurrence of PGL, the published experience with either RS or STRT is still rather limited. Table 40.3 $[42, 43, 47, 85, 86]$ $[42, 43, 47, 85, 86]$ $[42, 43, 47, 85, 86]$ $[42, 43, 47, 85, 86]$ $[42, 43, 47, 85, 86]$ $[42, 43, 47, 85, 86]$ $[42, 43, 47, 85, 86]$ summarizes the available data with RS and STRT. Altogether, each RS studies have gathered a lim-ited number of cases mainly by GKRS [42, [43](#page-9-0), [47](#page-9-0), 86–90] and less by linac-based RS $[85]$ (Table 40.3). The results disclosed a good to excellent local control, especially in the most recent series. Overall, response rates included 41 % of partial responses, 57 % of stable lesions, and only 3 % of progressions. When reported, 5-year actuarial local control rates varied between 90 and 100 %. The neurological status was improved in 40 %, stable in 55 %, and worse in 5 % of cases. Information on long-term survivals is more limited, but it appears that when described, the 5-year overall survival was between 80 and 100 %. Chen et al. have conducted a systematic review evaluating GKRS for PGLs: their review

which included also their own cases consisted in a pooled analysis from 11 selected studies and confirmed the excellent (90.5 %) success rate after GKRS treatment $[91]$. The Heidelberg series of STRT for PGL also discloses encouraging results, with a 32 % rate of partial response, 59 % of stable disease, and 9 % of progression $[44]$. The apparently slightly worse rate of progression is likely to be due on one hand to the longer follow-up than that of the RS series and on the other hand to tumors with much larger volumes (vide supra). The neurologic improvement was also quite good, with 59 % of patients who improved, 32 % who remained stable, and 9 % who deteriorated $[44]$. Altogether, the Heidelberg data are the most reliable in terms of length of follow-up and adequate actuarial reporting of local control and survival. The experience at Stanford on 41 lesions in 36 patients confirms also that STRT results in an excellent 100 % local control with very few complications $[92]$. As far as complications of RS are concerned, it is difficult to distinguish between neurologic worsening due to treatment and due to recurrence. However, the rate of reported complications appears to be low. Eustacchio et al. had no single case of complications in their 13 cases $[43]$. In the multiinstitutional report of Liscak et al., three cases of neurologic deficits out of 52 patients are described, 2 of which were permanent: facial nerve impairment and deafness in one case and facial nerve impairment and vertigo in another $[42]$. Two further cases of inner ear inflammatory complications are also noted $[42]$. From the Mayo Clinic experience, only one case of temporary vertigo is described [47]. Again, this low rate of complications should be interpreted with caution as the average median follow-up of the RS studies is altogether not very long. Out of the 22 patients who benefited from STRT, 3 experienced temporary xerostomia and 2 temporary taste impairment, 4 had middle-ear effusion, but none of these had more than a grade 2 CTC reaction, and all recuperated; no late adverse reactions from STRT were observed at follow-up $[44]$.

Conclusion

 In conclusion, fractionated external beam radiotherapy, RS, and STRT appear to be safe and efficient therapeutic modalities in the primary treatment or at progression for PGL. Yet available follow-ups remain limited for most RS series. With regard to STRT, the results also appear to be quite good, with longer time of evaluation, knowing also that on average, tumors were larger. External beam radiotherapy, RS, and STRT deserve continuous experience, but it is reasonable to state that they represent possible and safe alternative treatments for these skull base tumors.

References

- 1. Prabhu S, Demonte F. Treatment of skull base tumors. Curr Opin Oncol. 2003;15:209–12.
- 2. Mc Master ML, Goldstein AM, Bromley CM, et al. Chordoma, incidence and survival patterns in the United States. Cancer Causes Control. 2001;12:1–12.
- 3. Yang XR, Ng D, Alcorta DA, et al. T (brachyury) gene duplication confers major susceptibility to familial chordoma. Nat Genet. 2009;41:1176–8.
- 4. Sell M, Sampaolo S, Di Lorio G, et al. Chordomas: a histological and immunohistochemical study of cases with or without recurrent tumors. Clin Neuropathol. 2004;23:277–85.
- 5. Catton C, O'Sullivan B, Bell R, et al. Chordoma: long-term follow up after radical photon irradiation. Radiother Oncol. 1995;41: 67–70.
- 6. Almfety K, Pravdenkova S, Coll BO, et al. Chordoma and Chondrosarcoma: similar but quite different, skull base tumors. Cancer. 2007;110:2457–67.
- 7. Cianfriglia F, Pompili A, Occhipiati E. Intercranial malignant cartilaginous tumors. Report of 2 cases and review of literature. Act Neurochir. 1978;45:163–75.
- 8. Gay E, Sekhar LN, Rubinstein E, et al. Chordomas and chondrosarcomas of the cranial base: results and follow-up of 60 patients. Neurosurgery. 1995;36:887–96.
- 9. Noel G, Feuvret L, Calugaru V, et al. Chordoma of the base of the skull and upper cervical spine. One hundred patients irradiated by a 3 D conformal technique combining photons and proton beams. Acta Oncol. 2005;44:700–8.
- 10. Castro JR, Linstadt DE, Bahary JP, et al. Experience in charged particle irradiation of tumors of the skull base: 1977–1992. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 1994;29:647–55.
- 11. Korten AGC, ter Berg HJW, Spincemaille GH, et al. Intracranial chondrosarcoma: review of the literature and report of 15 cases. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 1998;65:88–92.
- 12. Debus J, Schulz-Ertner D, Schad L, et al. Stereotactic fractionated radiotherapy for chordomas and chondrosarcomas of the skull base. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2000;47:591–6.
- 13. Hug EB, Loredo LN, Slater JD, et al. Proton radiation therapy for chordomas and chondrosarcomas of the skull base. J Neurosurg. 1999;91:432–9.
- 14. Munzenrider JE, Liebsch NJ. Proton therapy for tumors of the skull base. Strahlenther Onkol. 1999;S2(Suppl):57–63.
- 15. Crockard HA, Steel T, Plowman N, et al. A multidisciplinary team approach to skull base chordomas. J Neurosurg. 2001;95: 175–83.
- 16. Crockard HA, Cheeseman A, Steel T, et al. A multidisciplinary team approach to skull base chondrosarcomas. J Neurosurg. 2001; 95:184–9.
- 17. Koch BB, Karnell LH, Hoffman HT, et al. National Cancer database report on chondrosarcoma of the head and neck. Head Neck. 2000;22:408–25.
- 18. Roseberg AE, Nielsen GP, Keel SB, et al. Chondrosarcoma of the base of the skull: a clinicopathologic study of 200 cases with emphasis on its distinction from chordoma. Am J Surg Pathol. 1999;23:1370–8.
- 19. Hassounah M, Al-Mefty O, Akhtar M, et al. Primary cranial and intracranial chondrosarcoma. A survey Acta Neurochir. 1985;78: 123–32.
- 20. Walcott B, Nahed BV, Mohyeldin A, et al. Chordoma: current concepts, management and future directions. Lancet Oncol. 2012; 13:69–76.
- 21. Bloch OG, Jian BJ, Yiang I, et al. A systemic review of intracranial chondrosarcoma and survival. J Clin Neurosciences. 2009;16: 1547–51.
- 22. Tzortzidis F, Elali F, Wright D, et al. Patient outcome and long-term follow-up after aggressive microsurgical resection of cranial base chordoma. Neurosurgery. 2006;59:230–7.
- 23. Krayenbuhl H, Yasargil MG. Cranial chordomas. Prog Neurol Surg. 1975;6:380–434.
- 24. Suit HD, Goitein M, Munzenreider J, et al. Definitive radiation therapy for chordoma and chondrosarcoma of the base of skull and cervical spine. J Neurosurg. 1982;56:377–85.
- 25. Fagundes MA, Hug EB, Liebsch NJ, et al. Radiation therapy for chordomas of the base of skull and cervical spine: patterns of failure and outcome after relapse. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 1995;15:579–84.
- 26. Potluri S, Jefferies SJ, Jena K, et al. Residual post-operative tumor volume predicts outcome after high-dose radiotherapy for chordoma and chondrosarcoma of the skull base and spine. Clin Oncol. 2011;23:199–208.
- 27. Igaki H, Tokuuye K, Okumura T, et al. Clinical results of proton beam therapy for skull base chordoma. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2004;60:1120–6.
- 28. Ares C, Hug EB, Lomax AJ, et al. Effectiveness and safety of spotscanning proton radiation therapy for chordomas and chondrosarcomas of the skull base : first long-term report. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2009;75:1111–8.
- 29. Schulz-Ertner D, Karger CP, Feuerhake A, et al. Effectiveness of carbon ion radiotherapy in the treatment of skull-base chordoma. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2007;68:449–57.
- 30. Schultz-Ertner D, Nikoghosyan A, Hof H, et al. Carbon ion radiotherapy of skull-base chondrosarcoma. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2007;67:171–7.
- 31. Ricken S, Habemehl D, Nikoghosyan A, et al. Assessment of early toxicity and response in patients treated with proton and carbon ion therapy at the Heidelberg ion therapy center using the raster- scanning technique. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2011;81:793–801.
- 32. Martin JJ, Niranjan A, Kondziolka D, et al. Radiosurgery for chordomas and chondrosarcomas of the skull base. J Neurosurg. 2007; 107:758–69.
- 33. Krishnan S, Foote RL, Brown PD, et al. Radiosurgery for cranial base chordomas and chondrosarcomas. Neurosurgery. 2005;56:777–83.
- 34. Feigl GC, Bundschuh O, Gharabaghi A, et al. Evaluation of a new concept for the management of skull base chordomas and chondrosarcomas. J Neurosurg. 2005;S102(Suppl):165–70.
- 35. Kocher M, Voges J, Staar S, et al. Linear accelerator radiosurgery for recurrent malignant tumors of the skull base. Am J Clin Oncol. 1998;21:18–22.
- 36. Hasegawa T, Ishii D, Kida Y, et al. Gamma- knife surgery for skullbase chordomas and chondrosarcomas. J Neurosurg. 2007;107: 752–7.
- 37. Bugoci DM, Girvigian MR, Chen JCI, et al. Photon-based fractionated stereotactic radiotherapy for post-operative treatment of skull base chordomas. Am J Clin Oncol 2012; [Epub ahead]
- 38. Dassoulas K, Schlesinger D, Yen CP, et al. The role of Gammaknife surgery in the treatment of skull base chordomas. J Neurooncol. 2009;94:243–8.
- 39. Kano H, Iqbal F, Sheehan J, et al. Stereotactic radiosurgery for chordoma: a report from the North American Gamma Knife Consortium. Neurosurgery. 2011;68:379–89.
- 40. Henderson FC, Mc Cool K, Seigle J, et al. Treatment of chordomas with Cyberknife: Geortown University experience and treatment recommendations. Neurosurgery 2009; 64:(2 S) A 44–53
- 41. De Lellis RA, Lloyd RV, Heitz PU, et al. World Health Organization classification of tumours. Pathology and genetics of tumours of endocrine organs. In: DeLellis RA, Lloyd RV, Heitz PU, Eng C, editors. Lyon,:IARC Press; 2004
- 42. Liscak R, Vladyka V, Wowra B, et al. Gamma-knife radiosurgery of the glomus jugulare tumors—early multicentre experience. Acta Neurochir. 1999;141:1141–6.
- 43. Eustacchio S, Leber K, Trummer M, et al. Gamma-knife radiosurgery for glomus jugulare tumors. Acta Neurochir. 1999;141: 811–8.
- 44. Zabel A, Milker-Zabel S, Huber P, et al. Fractionated stereotactic conformal radiotherapy in the management of large chemodectomas of the skull base. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2004; 58:1445–50.
- 45. Al-Mefty O, Teixeira A. Complex tumors of the glomus jugulare: criteria, treatment and outcome. J Neurosurg. 2002;97:1256–65.
- 46. Fassnacht M, Kreissl MC, Weismann D, et al. New targets and therapeutic approaches for endocrine malignancies. Pharmacol Ther. 2009;123(1):117–41.
- 47. Foote RL, Pollok BE, Gorman DA, et al. Glomus jugulare tumors: tumor control and complications after stereotactic radiosurgery. Head Neck. 2002;24:332–9.
- 48. Pareschi R, Righini S, Destifi D, et al. Surgery of glomus tumors. Skull Base. 2003;13:149–57.
- 49. Green JD, Brackmann DE, Nguyen CD, et al. Surgical management of previously untreated glomus jugulare tumors. Laryngoscope. 1994;104:917–21.
- 50. Fisch U, Fagan P, Valavanis A. The infratemporal fossa approach for the lateral skull base. Otolaryngol Clin North Am. 1984; 17:513–22.
- 51. Parenti G, Zampetti B, Rapizzi E, et al. Updated and new perspectives on diagnosis, prognosis, and therapy of malignant pheochromocytoma/paraganglioma. J Oncol 2012 [Epub ahead]
- 52. Erickson D, Kudva YC, Ebersold MJ, et al. Benign paragangliomas: clinical presentation and treatment outcomes in 236 patients. J of Clin Endocrinol and Metab. 2001;86:5210–6.
- 53. Neumann HP, Bausch B, McWhinney SR, et al. Germ-line mutations in nonsyndromic pheochromocytoma. N Engl J Med. 2002; 346:1459–66.
- 54. Bausch B, McWhinney SR. Germ-line mutations in nonsyndromic pheochromocytoma. N Engl J Med. 2002;346:1459–66.
- 55. Mannelli M, Castellano M, Schiavi F, et al. Clinically guided genetic screening in a large cohort of Italian patients with pheochromocytomas and/or functional or nonfunctional paragangliomas. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2009;94:1541–7.
- 56. Neumann HP, Erlic Z, Boedeker CC, et al. Clinical predictors for germline mutations in head and neck paraganglioma patients: cost reduction strategy in genetic diagnostic process as fall-out. Cancer Res. 2009;69:3650–6.
- 57. Fishbein L, Nathanson KL. Pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma: understanding the complexities of the genetic background. Cancer Genetics. 2012;205:1–11.
- 58. Gimenez-Roqueplo AP, Favier J, Rustin P, et al. Mutations in the SDHB gene are associated with extra-adrenal and/or malignant phaeochromocytomas. Cancer Res. 2003;63:5615–21.
- 59. Welander J. Söderkvist, Gimm O. Genetics and clinical characteristics of hereditary pheochromocytomas and paragangliomas. Endocr Rel Cancer. 2011;18:253–76.
- 60. Favier J, Brière J, Burnichon N, et al. The Warburg effect is genetically determined in inherited pheochromocytomas. PLoS One, 2009;4; 9, e7094,
- 61. Califano D, Rizzo C, D'Alessio A, et al. Signaling through Ras is essential for ret oncogene-induced cell differentiation in PC12 cells. J Biol Chem. 2000;275:9297–305.
- 62. Johannessen CM, Reczek EE, James MF, et al. The NF1 tumor suppressor critically regulates TSC2 and mTOR. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2005;102:8573–8.
- 63. Qin Y, Yao L, King EE, et al. Germline mutations in TMEM127 confer susceptibility to pheochromocytoma. Nat Genet. 2010;42: 229–33.
- 64. Comino-Méndez I, Gracia-Aznarez FJ, Schiavi F, et al. Exome sequencing identifies MAX mutations as a cause of hereditary pheochromocytoma. Nat Genet. 2011;43:663–7.
- 65. Lenders JWM, Pacak K, Walther MM, et al. Biochemical diagnosis of pheochromocytoma: which test is best? JAMA. 2002;287: 1427–34.
- 66. Grossman A, Pacak K, Sawka A, et al. Biochemical diagnosis and localization of pheochromocytoma: can we reach a consensus? Ann New York Acad Sci. 2006;1073:332–47.
- 67. Davidson FD. Phaeochromocytoma with normal urinary catecholamines: the potential value of urinary free metadrenalines. Ann Clin Biochem. 2002;39:557–66.
- 68. Van der Harst E, De Herder WW, De Krijger RR, et al. The value of plasma markers for the clinical behaviour of phaeochromocytomas. Eur J Endocr. 2002;147:85–94.
- 69. Guignat L, Bidart JM, Nocera M, et al. Chromogranin A and the α-subunit of glycoprotein hormones in medullary thyroid carcinoma and phaeochromocytoma. Br J Cancer. 2001;84:808–12.
- 70. Ilias I, Pacak K. Current approaches and recommended algorithm for the diagnostic localization of pheochromocytoma. Journal of Clin Endocr Metab. 2004;89:479–91.
- 71. Blake M A, Kalra M K, Maher M M, et al. Pheochromocytoma: an imaging chameleon. Radiographics 2004; 24 (S) S87-S99
- 72. Buchmann I, Henze M, Engelbrecht S, et al. Comparison of ⁶⁸Ga-DOTATOC PET and ¹¹¹In-DTPAOC (Octreoscan) SPECT in patients with neuroendocrine tumours. Eur J Nuc Med Molec Imag. 2007;34:1617–26.
- 73. Nora JD, Hallet JW, O'Brien PC, et al. Surgical resection of carotid body tumors: long-term survival, recurrence and metastasis. Mayo Clin Proc. 1988;63:348–52.
- 74. Reddy EK, Mansfield CM, Hartman GV. Chemodectoma of glomus jugulare. Cancer. 1983;52:337–40.
- 75. Powell S, Peters N, Harmer C, et al. Chemodectoma of the head and neck: results of treatment in 84 patients. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 1992;22:919–24.
- 76. Spector GJ, Sobol S. Surgery for glomus tumors at the skull base. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 1980;88:524–30.
- 77. Rosenwasser H. Long-term results of therapy of glomus jugulare tumors. Arch Otolaryngol. 1973;97:49–54.
- 78. Cole JM, Beiler D. Long-term results of treatment for glomus jugulare and glomus vagale tumors with radiotherapy. Laryngoscope. 1994;104:1461–5.
- 79. Konefal JB, Pilepich MV, Spector GJ, et al. Radiation therapy in the treatment of chemodectomas. Laryngoscope. 1987;97:1331–5.
- 80. Hinerman RW, Mendenhall WM, Amdar RJ, et al. Definitive radiotherapy in the management of chemodectoma arising in the temporal bone, carotid body and glomus vagale. Head and Neck. 2001; 23:363–71.
- 81. Springate SC, Haraf D, Weichselbaum RR. Temporal bone chemodectomas—comparing surgery and radiation therapy. Oncology. 1991;5:131–7.
- 82. Huy PT, Kania R, Duet M, et al. Evolving concepts in the management of jugular paraganglioma : a comparison of radiotherapy and surgery in 88 cases. Skull Base. 2009;19(1):83–91.
- 83. Lightowlers S, Benedict S, Jefferies SJ, et al. Excellent local control of paraganglioma in the head and neck with fractionated radiotherapy. Clin Oncol. 2010;22:382–9.
- 84. Chino JP, Sampson JH, Tucci DL, et al. Paraganglioma of the head and neck: Long-term local control with radiotherapy. Am J Clin Oncol. 2009;32:304–7.
- 85. Feigenberg SJ, Mendenhall WM, Hinerman RW, et al. Radiosurgery for paraganglioma of the temporal bone. Head Neck. 2002;24: 384–9.
- 86. Jordan J, Roland PS, McManus C, et al. Stereotactic radiosurgery for glomus jugulare tumors. Laryngoscope. 2000;110:35–8.
- 87. Feigl GC, Horstmann GA. Intracranial glomus jugulare tumors: volume reduction with Gamma Knife surgery. J Neurosurg. 2006; 105:161–7.
- 88. Ganz JC, Abdelkarim K. Glomus jugulare tumors: certain clinical and radiological aspects observed following Gamma Knife radiosurgery. Acta Neurochir. 2009;151:423–6.
- 89. Genç A, Bicer A, Abacioglu U, et al. Gamma Knife radiosurgery for the treatment of glomus jugulare tumors. J Neurooncol. 2010; 97:101–8.
- 90. Lee CC, Pan DHC, Wu JC, et al. Gamma knife radiosurgery for glomus jugulare and tympanicum. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg. 2011;89:291–8.
- 91. Chen PG, Nguyen JH, Payne SC, et al. Treatment of glomus jugulare tumors with gamma knife radiosurgery. Laryngoscope. 2010; 120:1856–62.
- 92. Lieberson RE, Adler JR, Soltys SG, et al. Stereotactic radiosurgery as the primary treatment for new and recurrent paraganglioma: is open surgical resection still the treatment of choice? World Neurosurg. 2012;77:745–61.