Evolving IT Management Frameworks Towards a Sustainable Future

Marcel Korte, Kevin Lee, and Chun Che Fung

Abstract Information Technology (IT) Management Frameworks are a fundamental tool used by IT professionals to efficiently manage IT resources and are globally applied to IT service delivery and management. Sustainability is a recent notion that describes the need for economic, environmental and social development without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs; this applies to businesses as well as society in general. Unfortunately, IT Management Frameworks do not take sustainability into account. To the practitioner this chapter demonstrates sustainability integration which allows CIOs and IT managers to improve the sustainability of their organisation. To the researcher this chapter argues that sustainability concerns need to be provided through its integration into the mainstream of IT Management Frameworks. This is demonstrated through the highlevel integration of sustainability in Six Sigma, COBIT, ITIL and PRINCE2.

1 Introduction

Information Technology Management Frameworks are being widely used in the IT industry and most other market sectors worldwide. While some of these frameworks target a specialised audience or focus on specific business processes, others take a more high-level approach and are being applied across many industries.

Most of these frameworks have been developed during the 1970s and 1980s, in times affected by a strong focus on shareholder value and profit gain. Despite their

M. Korte (🖂) • K. Lee • C.C. Fung

School of Information Technology, Murdoch University, Murdoch, WA 6150, Australia e-mail: consulting@marcelkorte.com; kevin.lee@murdoch.edu.au; l.fung@murdoch.edu.au

popularity and the fast pace of change in the IT market current IT Management Frameworks lack a focus on the challenges and concepts of sustainability.

Markets, in particularly in wealthy western countries, have undergone a change in business focus recently. Driven by an increasing public demand and pressure for environmental protection and increasing awareness of social discrepancy, the concept of stakeholder value has become more and more prevalent. The long established profit-driven focus of shareholder value is experiencing an expansion to include ecological and social issues. This is often called the triple bottom line (TBL) or simply phrased "people, planet, profit" [6].

The term "sustainability"—in public use—has become a positive buzzword with no tangible definition. However, throughout the scientific community sustainability is concisely defined by the Brundtland Commission of the United Nations as economic, environmental and social development meeting the needs of today without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs [26, 27]. This chapter uses the term "sustainability" in the context of this definition.

IT is a significant and growing part of the environmental problem. Its carbon footprint is estimated to account for 2–3 % of global carbon dioxide emissions—approximately as much as the aviation industry [2, 12]. However, sustainably managed, Information Systems—the utilisation of IT and organisational activities to further enable operations, management and decision making—offer multiple options to reduce the environmental burden other business processes create and contribute to the reduction of environmental concerns. The use of IT is projected to eliminate 7.8 metric gigatons of greenhouse gasses annually by 2020 [15]. Unfortunately, the issues of maintaining low cost IT operation, building green reputation capital and supporting corporate green strategies haven't been actively researched [16]. While the social impact of growing technological pervasion is widely discussed [7], the opportunities such as in health care and rural development have just begun to unfold. IT is believed to have potential to transform industries [16].

With the IT industry's ongoing growth and increasing impact, it is increasingly challenged to take the concept of sustainable management into account [23]. Information Systems will be a major element in the transition to a sustainable economy [8]; however, it is widely lacking a scientific foundation on how to address this complex demand. This chapter argues that management of all resources in the IT domain needs to integrate sustainability into its supporting frameworks to become the driver towards a sustainable business. It propose integrating ideas from sustainability research into existing IT Management Frameworks.

The remainder of this chapter is structured as follows. Section 2 presents a background on the currently leading IT Management Frameworks and outlines the challenges for researchers and practitioners alike. Section 3 discusses the methodology used and core concepts of sustainability from an IT Management perspective and attempts a high-level application to Six Sigma, COBIT, ITIL and PRINCE2. Section 4 discusses the resulting implications. Finally, Sect. 5 presents some conclusions.

2 IT Management Frameworks

Management Frameworks are structured, descriptive tools that standardise the management process or sub-processes. They can be high-level, utilising existing best practices, umbrella frameworks that utilise other management tools or descriptive approaches to domain specific processes. IT Management Frameworks provide organisations with tools and guidelines to deliver technical, organisational and legal support for its IT provision. A wide range of frameworks are used extensively.

2.1 Categorisation

A Categorisation of IT Management Frameworks (based on [20]) is as follows. The vast majority of these frameworks were developed in the 1970s and 1980s [20]. This study chose to focus on Six Sigma, COBIT, ITIL and PRINCE2 as these are the most prominent frameworks in each category:

- 1. Quality Management and Improvement Frameworks
- 2. IT Governance Frameworks
- 3. Information Management Frameworks
- 4. Project Management Frameworks

Six Sigma (6σ) [4] has its origins in the introduction of the normal curve by Gauss and Shewhart's work in process quality control. As a further development from Total Quality Management the term "Six Sigma" was phrased in the early 1980s by Motorola. While its origins lie in manufacturing, Six Sigma is now used in multiple industries including IT. It uses a wide range of quality improvement tools (see [19]) and an umbrella methodology. Six Sigma aims to be used beyond process improvement to include business operation, aiming to reduce the variation in the business and take customer-focused, data-driven decisions. Six Sigma focuses on eliminating variability, defects and waste to realise its goal of increasing profits.

COBIT (Control OBjectives for Information and related Technologies) [10, 11] focuses on harmonising the elements of IT Governance and is the de facto standard process model for good practices in IT. It focuses on what should be achieved rather than how to achieve it through effective governance, management and control. It defines IT Governance as consisting of strategic alignment, value delivery, risk management, resource management and performance management.

ITIL (IT Infrastructure Library) [1], developed in 1980 by Central Computer and Telecommunications Agency in the UK, has undergone several revisions being currently at version 3 published in 2006. It focuses on improving the overall QoS to business within cost constraints, while improving the overall economic effectiveness and efficiency of IT. ITIL is a collection of best practices that describe the

realisation of IT service management and has become the global de facto standard in this area. ITIL defines five general main activities.

PRINCE2 [13] (PRojects In Controlled Environments) is a project management method developed in 1979; it has undergone its last revision in 2009. PRINCE2 is the de facto best practice project management standard in the UK and is globally widely in use. At its highest level PRINCE2 describes eight main processes explaining what should happen, when it should be done and by which role. One of its key principles is the need for a valid business case agreed on by all project stakeholders. Projects are reviewed constantly, and loses justification when the business case is not given anymore and is discontinued.

2.2 Lack of Sustainability Focus

As the importance of IT is rising globally, top management is increasingly being required to justify the needs for IT services and show evidence that investments pay off. They are expected to account for costs as well as identifying and delivering value to the business. To secure project funding and sustain departmental budgets, CIOs and IT managers are required to align their activities to the organisation's business objectives, to provide effective and efficient planning, ensure competent risk management and demonstrate profitable life cycle management. These requirements are best addressed by applying proven best practices and standardised models [20].

These frameworks mostly focus on increasing profit and improving financial efficiency. The numerous success stories measure their success in increased return on investment (ROI), e.g. million dollars reduced cost. While these frameworks on lower levels mention people, they still consider the human resource from a mere economic perspective. Social and environmental aspects are not explicitly mentioned in any of these frameworks. The lack of TBL integration hinders the operations' transformation towards sustainable business practice. This results into several research questions, affecting the practitioner and the researcher alike:

- 1. Do the four exemplarily chosen frameworks hinder any transformation towards sustainable business at all or is it possible to conserve their proven advantages and extend their capabilities?
- 2. If (1) can be answered positively, how will these frameworks need to be transformed to operate in a sustainable business?

3 Sustainability and Existing IT Management Frameworks

There is a wide range and variety of IT Management Frameworks ranging from horizontally focused frameworks, vertically focused frameworks, frameworks specialised in a single area and frameworks with a wider focus. Each framework only



Fig. 1 The coverage of Common IT Management Frameworks in relation to the Generic Framework for Information Management extended by a dimension of sustainability (adapted from [14])

covers a sub-domain or aspect of the whole field of Information Technology Management. This means that any approach to integrate sustainability into IT Management Frameworks must target multiple frameworks to be successful.

3.1 Methodology

IT managers aiming to drive corporate sustainability have to manage their economic, natural and social capital. They need to: (a) contribute to the organisation producing a consistent return to their shareholders while ensuring sufficient cash flow for liquidity; (b) ensure the use of natural resources at a lower rate than their reproduction or the development of substitutes, assure an emission rate below the capacity of the environment to absorb and assimilate these and refrain from activities degrading eco-system services; (c) increase the organisations human capital add value to the community it is operating in and manage social capital in a way that all stakeholders can understand and agree with the organisations activities [5]. To integrate the goals of sustainability in IT means integrating them into the pervasive IT Management Frameworks. An analysis of the leading frameworks shows that ecological and social aspects are not being taken into account.

The Generic Framework for Information Management is a high level model that encapsulates all IT Management activities. Figure 1 illustrates the complexity of the most utilised IT Management Frameworks. The elliptic circles represent the operational areas of 11 of the most used IT management frameworks (from [20]) and their functional orientation related to the Generic Framework for Information Management [14]. The figure illustrates that integrating sustainability effects the entire business



and not just the IT department while also showing the variety and complexity of existing frameworks.

As individual frameworks target different areas of IT Management, sustainability extensions need to target different aspects of IT Management. The leading frameworks cover one aspect of sustainability—the business case—therefore there is a need to extend IT Management Frameworks by the ecological and social dimensions.

The existence of a business case for sustainability has been widely discussed in the literature [18, 24, 29]. According to [21] a business case for sustainability needs to fulfill three requirements as follows: (a) The activity has to be voluntary or mainly voluntary and aim to solve or improve a societal or environmental problem. (b) The activity has to create a positive ROI or ramification on corporate success which is measurable or at least a convincing reasoning. (c) A convincing conclusion must be drawn that a certain activity has or will lead to the outcome. The following sections illustrate the integration of sustainability into the categories introduced in Sect. 2.1.

3.2 Quality Management and Improvement: Six Sigma

Figure 2 illustrates the Six Sigma DMAIC project life cycle [9] and highlights those processes that lack a focus on sustainability. To successfully integrate sustainability in Six Sigma a focus on these areas is required.

The *Define* process defines the problem (such as excessive consumption of hazardous substances), the customer (internal and external) and the project goals specifically.

Fig. 2 Sustainability's influence on the Six Sigma project DMAIC life cycle

So far the current definition of the define process lacks a thorough analysis of all project stakeholders which needs to be seen as a precondition for TBL success, e.g. it could be more effective to outsource a production line to a third world country yet the overall sustainability accounting might be negative due to considerably lower environmental standards and slack work regulations.

Data collection and project assessment is one of the main activities of the *Measure* process. Based on this collection, project key performance indicators (KPIs) are defined and their values measured to determine types of defects and metrics. These activities need to be expanded beyond the focus of economical data towards a view including ecological and social aspects. This raises the question of how to quantify social and environmental aspects in order to allow them to be measured and monitored. As indicated above such measurements cannot always be found and in case need to be replaced by solid and well-founded reasoning. In such cases the statistics driven approach of Six Sigma cannot be applied.

Analysis is focused on the cause and effect of aspects of the project life cycle. Analysing cause and effect has always been a core activity in industrial production processes, analysing cohesion in ecological and social terms is increasingly complex and challenging. Often verifying and quantification of cause and effect correlation for sustainability is little or malpracticed [3, 22], other times it needs to be substituted by solid reasoning. As Six Sigma relies on solid measurable data, it lacks the ability to significance test improvement hypothesis in some cases of ecological or social improvement so cannot be applied to the entirety of sustainable activities. *Improve* and *Control* are not further affected by an expansion towards sustainability.

3.3 IT Governance: COBIT

As an IT Governance Framework COBIT is less directly focused on immediate economical profit but rather set the way to allow for financial success. The framework aims to ensure that IT enables the business and maximises benefits. COBIT focus primarily on what is required rather than how to undertake the activities themselves. Therefore it is less reliant on implementing best practice and more focused on understanding the business requirements for IT. For users of COBIT to meet the aims of more sustainable ways of doing business, sustainability must be integrated. However, it is not COBIT itself needing to undergo a change, it is rather that practitioners when applying COBIT need to assure that they actively account sustainability within the four COBIT process steps. COBIT's core processes are well designed to be able to do this without any additional change.

Figure 3 illustrates the COBIT framework and indicates those steps that need reviewing for sustainable operation. An example of this involves strategic planning—part of the *Plan and Organise* component—that needs sustainability added as technological direction. IT risk management activities and HRM need to expand



Fig. 3 Sustainability extended COBIT (adapted from [11])

and cover all aspects of sustainability. Examples include the risk of the energy provider reaching its supply limits or the support of academic institutions to ensure sufficient skill availability. In *AI* acquirement, procurement and operation enablement need to be reviewed to assure they are balanced in economic, ecological and social parameters. With a stakeholder focused business approach this is not just within operational limits but also include suppliers and contractors, e.g. ensuring the amounts or types of hazardous materials in the supply chain are kept to a minimum. *ME* will have to ensure compliance with external requirements by assessing all stakeholder pressures—only then will it be able to achieve its full sustainability potential.

Figure 3 shows that COBIT draws from and influences objectives outside the framework itself. Sustainability goals and values have to be agreed on by top-level management making them part of the business objectives. These lead to governance objectives catering for sustainability being the main input factor for COBIT. This top-down approach is beneficial to sustainability improvement. A study of



Fig. 4 Sustainability extended ITIL

179 large US firms shows that sustainability strategies are typically top-down [28]; the most effective being those when top management is clearly committed to the strategy.

3.4 Information Management: ITIL

ITIL aims to improve the overall QoS of the business within imposed cost constraints whilst improving the overall effectiveness and efficiency of IT. It needs to extend its orientation by ecological as well as social constraints instead of focusing on financial objectives. Similar to COBIT, ITIL has to assure that sustainability principles are catered for in its core process. As process grows on process, the objectives lead to a "greening" of the entire process cycle.

The ITIL process cycle (Fig. 4) undergoes constant iterations through *Continual Strategy Improvement*. This process is profit driven and therefore needs to expand its orientation to the TBL. Although the ITIL framework describes an iterative, continuous process, it still takes an initial top-down approach *Service Strategy* \rightarrow

Service Design \rightarrow Service Transition \rightarrow Service Operation \rightarrow CSI. Service Design process shows the lack of a holistic sustainable perspective in ITIL. The "4Ps of Service Design"—People, Processes, Partner, Products—indicate a social or stake-holder view, yet the driver here is profit; the effectiveness of the service rather than stakeholder value creation.

3.5 Project Management: PRINCE2

PRINCE2 takes a industry-independent perspective that follows an approach neutral to the resulting product described as "management by exemption". It is not effected by sustainability values; however, the project input and the organisation need to cater for sustainability. However, if senior management only take action triggered by exemption, then this leads to a requirement to integrate specific controls for sustainability in PRINCE2's *Controls* and *Plans* components as well as the underlying product-based planning process. Another component that needs attention is *Organisation*. As organisations evolve to more sustainable practices, they undergo change [16, 17] which needs to be reflected in the projects as well (Fig. 5).

One of the core principles of PRINCE2 is the ongoing reviewing and focus on the project's business case. Projects lacking a valid positive business case are not undertaken. Furthermore, the initial business case is being reviewed as part of each single step in the framework's process flow. Thus, PRINCE2 is subject to the same challenges considering the business case for sustainability as COBIT and ITIL.

3.6 Summary

This section has argued that integrating sustainability into IT Management Frameworks requires both the definition of a business case for sustainability and the integration into multiple frameworks. The requirement to integrate sustainability into multiple IT Management Frameworks is because there is no individual framework that covers all aspects of IT Management. It has been illustrated that sustainability can be added to the most popular IT Management Frameworks.

4 Analysis

Sustainability is not just about managing in an environmentally friendly way, but also about contributing to the long-term well-being of organisations, individuals, society and future generations [25]. In their individual characteristics each framework is affected differently—horizontally as well as vertically. There is no common



Fig. 5 PRINCE2 processes, components and techniques. Highlighted are those that require attention by concepts of sustainability (adapted from [20])

approach for all IT Management Frameworks; each framework needs to be analysed and extended individually. While some valid business case for sustainability activities can be expressed in testable business metrics, other cases will need to rely on convincing arguments and solid reasoning. The frameworks analysed in this study demonstrate their versatility and high measure of flexibility.

Sustainability can be integrated without redesigning the entire framework. All frameworks analysed need to extend their perspective beyond a profit-driven perspective to ensure compliance with ecological, ethical and societal requirements. There is a need for sustainable business objectives and governance objectives from the organisational structures. This needs to be addressed by extending the governance drivers from a rather regulatory focus to consider all stakeholder's demand, e.g. interacting with local environmental groups in strategic discussions and acknowledge societal goals such as emissions targets.

Governance frameworks such as COBIT gain from a change in business objectives, leading to extended governance objectives. COBIT draws on these and ensures that environmental and societal policies need to be catered for just like economic aspects. As successful sustainability is lived top-down, a Sustainable-COBIT as well as a Sustainable-ITIL have potential to accelerate the business transformation.

Integrating sustainability into the IT Management of an organisation is more than just extending the current frameworks; there are implications for the organisation to further develop these changes down to operational level. Substantial effort has been expended on the implementation of IT Management Frameworks, which will need to be reviewed, updated and extended to reflect the change of focus towards sustainability. This involves updating policies, operational models, software support packages, management systems and management practices. This transformation includes the need for change in the organisational structure and HR skill set.

For these goals to be viable, project definitions need to include the business case for sustainability in normal planning. These can be social improvements including employee childcare facilities which can reduce staff turnover and make the company more attractive to university graduates, improve brand image and employee productivity. A new focus on sustainability issues and business goals leads to a requirement for measuring of business activities in areas not traditionally measured and monitored. New data needs to be collected across the organisation, e.g. the volume of hazardous substances present in the IT hardware assets or greenhouse gas emissions per service. This data will advise the continuing business planning process.

The supply chain (suppliers and subcontractors) and the life cycle of the organisation's products must be considered. This can lead to new reasoning in the choice for suppliers s.a. electricity providers and hardware vendors, in some cases to a change of the business partner. Communication and interaction with the public become increasingly important as decision makers—including CIOs and IT managers—need to balance and mitigate between economic goals and diverse stakeholder interests.

5 Conclusion

This chapter argued for the need for the integration of sustainability with IT Management to allow organisations to address the demands of a sustainable future. It has demonstrated with popular IT management frameworks that although they do not take into account ecological or social aspects, they do not stand in the way of organisations transforming towards a more sustainable way of doing business. Furthermore, it has shown that the concept of sustainability affects every framework in a different way, demanding a integrative approach opposed to extending elements catering for the additional social and environmental aspects. Practitioners benefit from the discussion of the operational implications of a sustainability integration and the implementation of such modified frameworks. For the researcher, the effect of sustainability aspects in IT management has been demonstrated through the high-level integration into the representative frameworks of Six Sigma, COBIT, ITIL and PRINCE2. However, further research is needed how the notion of sustainability affects every level of each framework. An in-depth analysis towards a generic approach can further leverage the acceptance in the IT industry and academia alike.

References

- 1. APM Group Limited (2011) What is ITIL? Website. URL http://www.itil-officialsite.com/ AboutITIL. Accessed 15 Dec 2011
- Boccaletti G, Löffler M, Oppenheim JM (2008) How IT can cut carbon emissions. McKinsey Quarterly, 1–5
- Burritt R, Hahn T, Schaltegger S (2002) Towards a comprehensive framework for environmental management accounting—links between business actors and environmental management accounting tools. Aust Account Rev 12(27):39–50
- 4. businessballs.com (2011) Six sigma. URL http://www.businessballs.com/sixsigma.htm. Accessed 12 Nov 2011
- Dyllick T, Hockerts K (2002) Beyond the business case for corporate sustainability. Bus Strateg Environ 11(2):130–141
- 6. Elkington J (1998) Cannibals with forks: the triple bottom line of 21st century business. Capstone Publishing, Oxford
- Epstein MJ (2008) Making sustainability work: best practices in managing and measuring corporate social, environmental and economic impacts. Berrett-Koehler Publishers, San Francisco, USA
- 8. Esty DC, Winston AS (2009) Green to gold: how smart companies use environmental strategy to innovate, create value, and build competitive advantage. Wiley, New York
- 9. Harry M, Schroeder R (1999) Six Sigma: The Breakthrough Management Strategy Revolutionizing the World's Top Corporations. Doubleday, New York
- 10. IT Governance Institute (2011) Cobit. URL http://www.isaca.org/cobit. Accessed 10 Dec 2011
- 11. IT Governance Institute (2007) Cobit 4.1 excerpt and executive summary
- 12. Kumar R, Mieritz L (2007) Conceptualizing 'green' it and data center power and cooling issues
- Limited AG (2011) What is PRINCE2? URL http://www.prince-officialsite.com/ AboutPRINCE2. Accessed 15 Dec 2011

- 14. Maes R (1999) A generic framework for information management. Department of Accountancy & Information Management, Universiteit van Amsterdam, Amsterdam
- 15. McKinsey (2009) It's carbon footprint
- Molla A, Cooper V (2009) Green it readiness: a framework and preliminary proof of concept. Australas J Inf Syst 16(2)
- 17. van Osch W, Avital M (2010) From green it to sustainable innovation. In: AMCIS 2010
- Porter M (2003) Csr a religion with too many priests. In: Interview with Mette Morsing, European Business Forum, vol. 15
- 19. Pyzdek T (2003) The six sigma handbook. McGraw-Hill, New York
- 20. Rozemeijer E (2007) Frameworks for IT Management. Van Haren Publishing, Netherland
- 21. Schaltegger E, Wagner M (2008) Managing the business case for sustainability. In: EMAN-EU 2008 conference, p 7
- 22. Schaltegger S, Bennett M, Burritt, R (2006) Sustainability accounting and reporting, vol 21. Springer, Berlin
- Schmidt NH, Erek K, Kolbe LM, Zarnekow R (2009) Towards a procedural model for sustainable information systems management. In: 42nd Hawaii international conference on system sciences
- 24. Shrivastava P (1996) Greening business: profiting the corporation and the environment. Thomson Executive Press, Cincinnati
- 25. Thatchenkery T (2010) Positive design and appreciative construction: from sustainable development to sustainable value, vol 3. Emerald Group Publishing. Bingley, UK
- 26. UN (1987) Report of the world commission on environment and development: our common future
- 27. United Nations (2005) 2005 world summit outcome
- Wisner PS, Epstein MJ, Bagozzi RP (2006) Organizational antecedents and consequences of environmental performance, vol 3. Emerald Group Publishing Limited, Bingley, UK, pp 143–167
- 29. Zadek S (1999) Stalking sustainability. Greener Manag Int (26):21-31