

Chapter 7

q -Complex Operators

In the recent years applications of q -calculus in the area of approximation theory and number theory are an active area of research. Several researchers have proposed the q -analogue of exponential, Kantorovich- and Durrmeyer-type operators. Also Kim [106] and [105] used q -calculus in the area of number theory. Recently, Gupta and Wang [94] proposed certain q -Durrmeyer operators in the case of real variables. The aim of this present chapter is to present the recent results [5] on q -Durrmeyer operators to the complex case. The main contributions for the complex operators are due to Sorin G. Gal; in fact, several important results have been compiled in his recent monograph [76]. Also very recently, Gal and Gupta [78, 79], and [80] have studied some other complex Durrmeyer-type operators, which are different from the operators considered in the present article.

7.1 Summation-Integral-Type Operators in Compact Disks

In this section we shall study approximation results for the complex q -Durrmeyer operators (introduced and studied in the case of real variable by Gupta–Wang [94]), defined by

$$M_{n,q}(f; z) = [n + 1]_q \sum_{k=1}^n q^{1-k} p_{n,k}(q; z) \int_0^1 f(t) p_{n,k-1}(q; qt) d_q t + f(0) p_{n,0}(q; z), \tag{7.1}$$

where $z \in \mathbb{C}, n = 1, 2, \dots; q \in (0, 1)$ and $(a - b)_q^m = \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (a - q^j b)$, q -Bernstein basis functions are defined as

$$p_{n,k}(q; z) := \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q z^k (1 - z)_q^{n-k}$$

and also in the above q -beta functions [104] are given as

$$B_q(m, n) = \int_0^1 t^{m-1} (1 - qt)_q^{n-1} d_q t, \quad m, n > 0.$$

This section is based on [94]. Throughout the present section we use the notation $D_R = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < R\}$, and by $H(D_R)$, we mean the set of all analytic functions on $f : D_R \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k z^k$ for all $z \in D_R$. The norm $\|f\|_r = \max\{|f(z)| : |z| \leq r\}$. We denote $\pi_{p,n}(q; z) = M_{n,q}(e_p; z)$ for all $e_p = t^p, p \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

7.1.1 Basic Results

To prove the results of next subsections, we need the following basic results.

Lemma 7.1. *Let $q \in (0, 1)$. Then, $\pi_{m,n}(q; z)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq \min(m, n)$, and*

$$\pi_{m,n}(q; z) = \frac{[n+1]_q!}{[n+m+1]_q!} \sum_{s=1}^m c_s(m) [n]_q^s B_{n,q}(e_s; z),$$

where $c_s(m) \geq 0$ are constants depending on m and q , and $B_{n,q}(f; z)$ is the q Bernstein polynomials given by $B_{n,q}(f; z) = \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(q; z) f([k]_q/[n]_q)$.

Proof. By definition of q -beta function, with $B_q(m, n) = \frac{[m-1]_q! [n-1]_q!}{[m+n-1]_q!}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{m,n}(q; z) &= [n+1]_q \sum_{k=1}^n q^{1-k} p_{n,k}(q; z) \int_0^1 p_{n,k-1}(q; qt) t^m d_q t \\ &= [n+1]_q \sum_{k=1}^n q^{1-k} p_{n,k}(q; z) \int_0^1 \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix}_q (qt)^{k-1} (1 - qt)_q^{n-k+1} t^m d_q t \\ &= [n+1]_q \sum_{k=1}^n p_{n,k}(q; z) \frac{[n]_q!}{[k-1]_q! [n-k+1]_q!} B_q(k+m, n-k+2) \\ &= \frac{[n+1]_q!}{[n+m+1]_q!} \sum_{k=1}^n p_{n,k}(q; z) \frac{[k+m-1]_q!}{[k-1]_q!}. \end{aligned}$$

For $m = 1$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{1,n}(q; z) &= \frac{[n+1]_q!}{[n+2]_q!} \sum_{k=1}^n p_{n,k}(q; z) [k]_q = \frac{1}{[n+2]_q} \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(q; z) [n]_q \frac{[k]_q}{[n]_q} \\ &= \frac{1}{[n+2]_q} \sum_{s=1}^1 [n]_q^s B_{n,q}(e_s; z); \end{aligned}$$

thus, the result is true for $m = 1$ with $c_1(1) = 1 > 0$.

Next for $m = 2$, with $[k+1]_q = 1 + q[k]_q$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{2,n}(q; z) &= \frac{[n+1]_q!}{[n+3]_q!} \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(q; z) (1 + q[k]_q) [k]_q \\ &= \frac{[n+1]_q!}{[n+3]_q!} [[n]_q B_{n,q}(e_1; z) + q[n]_q^2 B_{n,q}(e_2; z)] \\ &= \frac{[n+1]_q!}{[n+3]_q!} \sum_{s=1}^2 c_s(2) [n]_q^s B_{n,q}(e_s; z); \end{aligned}$$

thus the result is true for $m = 2$ with $c_1(2) = 1 > 0$, $c_2(2) = q > 0$.

Similarly for $m = 3$, using $[k+2]_q = [2]_q + q^2[k]_q$ and $[k+1]_q = 1 + q[k]_q$, we have

$$\pi_{3,n}(q; z) = \frac{[n+1]_q!}{[n+4]_q!} \sum_{s=1}^3 c_s(3) [n]_q^s B_{n,q}(e_s; z),$$

where $c_1(3) = [2]_q > 0$, $c_2(3) = 2q^2 + q > 0$, and $c_3(3) = q^3 > 0$.

Continuing in this way the result follows immediately for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. ■

Lemma 7.2. *Let $q \in (0, 1)$. Then, for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have the inequality*

$$\frac{[n+1]_q!}{[n+m+1]_q!} \sum_{s=1}^m c_s(m) [n]_q^s \leq 1.$$

Proof. By Lemma 7.1, with $e_m = t^m$, we have

$$\pi_{m,n}(q; 1) = \frac{[n+1]_q!}{[n+m+1]_q!} \sum_{s=1}^m c_s(m) [n]_q^s B_{n,q}(e_s; 1) = \frac{[n+1]_q!}{[n+m+1]_q!} \sum_{s=1}^m c_s(m) [n]_q^s.$$

Also

$$p_{n,k}(q; z) = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q z^k (1-z)(1-qz)(1-q^2z) \dots (1-q^{n-k-1}z).$$

It immediately follows that $p_{n,k}(q; 1) = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1,$ and $p_{n,n}(q; 1) = 1.$ Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{m,n}(q; 1) &= [n + 1]_q p_{n,n}(q; 1) q^{1-n} \int_0^1 p_{n,n-1}(q; qt) t^m d_q t \\ &= [n + 1]_q \int_0^1 [n]_q t^{n+m-1} (1 - qt) d_q t \\ &= [n + 1]_q [n]_q \left[\frac{t^{n+m}}{[n + m]_q} - q \frac{t^{n+m+1}}{[n + m + 1]_q} \right]_0^1 \\ &= \frac{[n + 1]_q [n]_q}{[n + m]_q [n + m + 1]_q} \leq 1. \end{aligned} \quad \blacksquare$$

Corollary 7.1. *Let $r \geq 1$ and $q \in (0, 1).$ Then, for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $|z| \leq r,$ we have $|\pi_{m,n}(q; z)| \leq r^m.$*

Proof. By using the methods [76], p. 61, proof of Theorem 1.5.6, we have $|B_{n,q}(e_s; z)| \leq r^s.$ By Lemma 7.2 and for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $|z| \leq r,$

$$\begin{aligned} |\pi_{m,n}(q; z)| &\leq \frac{[n + 1]_q!}{[n + m + 1]_q!} \sum_{s=1}^m c_s(m) [n]_q^s |B_{n,q}(e_s; z)| \\ &\leq \frac{[n + 1]_q!}{[n + m + 1]_q!} \sum_{s=1}^m c_s(m) [n]_q^s r^s \leq r^m. \end{aligned} \quad \blacksquare$$

Lemma 7.3. *Let $q \in (0, 1);$ then for $z \in \mathbb{C},$ we have the following recurrence relation:*

$$\pi_{p+1,n}(q; z) = \frac{q^p z (1 - z)}{[n + p + 2]_q} D_q \pi_{p,n}(q; z) + \frac{q^p [n]_q z + [p]_q}{[n + p + 2]_q} \pi_{p,n}(q; z).$$

Proof. By simple computation, we have

$$z(1 - z) D_q (p_{n,k}(q; z)) = ([k]_q - [n]_q z) p_{n,k}(q; z)$$

and

$$t(1 - qt) D_q (p_{n,k-1}(q; qt)) = ([k - 1]_q - [n]_q qt) p_{n,k-1}(q; qt).$$

Using these identities, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 z(1-z)D_q(\pi_{p,n}(q;z)) &= [n+1]_q \sum_{k=1}^n q^{1-k} \left([k]_q - [n]_q z \right) p_{n,k}(q;z) \int_0^1 p_{n,k-1}(q;qt) t^p d_q t \\
 &= [n+1]_q \sum_{k=1}^n q^{1-k} p_{n,k}(q;z) \int_0^1 \left(1 + q[k-1]_q - [n]_q q^2 t + [n]_q q^2 t \right) p_{n,k-1}(q;qt) t^p d_q t \\
 &\quad - z[n]_q [n+1]_q \sum_{k=1}^n q^{1-k} p_{n,k}(q;z) \int_0^1 p_{n,k-1}(q;qt) t^p d_q t \\
 &= q[n+1]_q \sum_{k=1}^n q^{1-k} p_{n,k}(q;z) \int_0^1 (D_q p_{n,k-1}(q;qt)) t(1-qt) t^p d_q t \\
 &\quad + \pi_{p,n}(q;z) + [n]_q q^2 \pi_{p+1,n}(q;z) - z[n]_q \pi_{p,n}(q;z).
 \end{aligned}$$

Let us denote $\delta(t) = \frac{t}{q}(1-t) \left(\frac{t}{q} \right)^p = \frac{1}{q^{p+1}} (t^{p+1} - t^{p+2})$. Then, the last q -integral becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^1 D_q(p_{n,k-1}(q;qt)) t(1-qt) t^p d_q t &= \int_0^1 D_q(p_{n,k-1}(q;qt)) \delta(qt) d_q t \\
 &= \delta(t) p_{n,k-1}(q;qt) \Big|_0^1 - \int_0^1 p_{n,k-1}(q;qt) D_q \delta(t) d_q t \\
 &= -q^{-p-1} \int_0^1 p_{n,k-1}(q;qt) D_q (t^{p+1} - t^{p+2}) d_q t \\
 &= -q^{-p-1} [p+1]_q \int_0^1 p_{n,k-1}(q;qt) t^p d_q t \\
 &\quad + q^{-p-1} [p+2]_q \int_0^1 p_{n,k-1}(q;qt) t^{p+1} d_q t,
 \end{aligned}$$

and hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 z(1-z)D_q \pi_{p,n}(q;z) &= -q^{-p} [p+1]_q \pi_{p,n}(q;z) + q^{-p} [p+2]_q \pi_{p+1,n}(q;z) \\
 &\quad + \pi_{p,n}(q;z) + [n]_q q^2 \pi_{p+1,n}(q;z) - z[n]_q \pi_{p,n}(q;z).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \pi_{p+1,n}(q;z) &= \frac{z(1-z)}{q^{-p} [p+2]_q + [n]_q q^2} D_q \pi_{p,n}(q;z) + \frac{[n]_q z + q^{-p} [p+1]_q - 1}{q^{-p} [p+2]_q + [n]_q q^2} \pi_{p,n}(q;z) \\
 &= \frac{q^p z(1-z)}{[p+2]_q + [n]_q q^{p+2}} D_q \pi_{p,n}(q;z) + \frac{q^p [n]_q z + [p]_q}{[p+2]_q + [n]_q q^{p+2}} \pi_{p,n}(q;z).
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, using the identity $[p + 2]_q + [n]_q q^{p+2} = [n + p + 2]_q$, we get the required recurrence relation. ■

7.1.2 Upper Bound

If $P_m(z)$ is a polynomial of degree m , then by the Bernstein inequality and the complex mean value theorem, we have

$$|D_q P_m(z)| \leq \|P'_m\|_r \leq \frac{m}{r} \|P_m\|_r \quad \text{for all } |z| \leq r.$$

The following theorem gives the upper bound for the operators (7.1):

Theorem 7.1. *Let $f(z) = \sum_{p=0}^\infty a_p z^p$ for all $|z| < R$ and let $1 \leq r \leq R$; then for all $|z| \leq r$, $q \in (0, 1)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$|M_{n,q}(f; z) - f(z)| \leq \frac{K_r(f)}{[n + 2]_q},$$

where $K_r(f) = (1 + r) \sum_{p=1}^\infty |a_p| p(p + 1) r^{p-1} < \infty$.

Proof. First we shall show that $M_{n,q}(f; z) = \sum_{p=0}^\infty a_p \pi_{p,n}(q; z)$. If we denote $f_m(z) = \sum_{j=0}^m a_j z^j$, $|z| \leq r$ with $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then by the linearity of $M_{n,q}$, we have

$$M_{n,q}(f_m; z) = \sum_{p=0}^m a_p \pi_{p,n}(q; z).$$

Thus, it suffice to show that for any fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $|z| \leq r$ with $r \geq 1$, $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} M_{n,q}(f_m, z) = M_{n,q}(f; z)$. But this is immediate from $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|f_m - f\|_r = 0$ and by the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & |M_{n,q}(f_m; z) - M_{n,q}(f; z)| \\ & \leq |f_m(0) - f(0)| \cdot |(1 - z)^n| + [n + 1]_q \sum_{k=1}^n |p_{n,k}(q; z)| q^{1-k} \int_0^1 p_{n,k-1}(q; qt) |f_m(t) - f(t)| d_q t \\ & \leq C_{r,n} \|f_m - f\|_r, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C_{r,n} = (1 + r)^n + [n + 1]_q \sum_{k=1}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q (1 + r)^{n-k} r^k \int_0^1 p_{n,k-1}(q; qt) d_q t.$$

Since, $\pi_{0,n}(q; z) = 1$, we have

$$|M_{n,q}(f; z) - f(z)| \leq \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} |a_p| \cdot |\pi_{p,n}(q; z) - e_p(z)|.$$

Now using Lemma 7.3, for all $p \geq 1$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{p,n}(q; z) - e_p(z) &= \frac{q^{p-1}z(1-z)}{[n+p+1]_q} D_q(\pi_{p-1,n}(q; z)) \\ &+ \frac{q^{p-1}[n]_q z + [p-1]_q}{[n+p+1]_q} (\pi_{p-1,n}(q; z) - e_{p-1}(z)) \\ &+ \frac{q^{p-1}[n]_q z + [p-1]_q}{[n+p+1]_q} z^{p-1} - z^p \\ &= \frac{q^{p-1}z(1-z)}{[n+p+1]_q} D_q(\pi_{p-1,n}(q; z)) \\ &+ \frac{q^{p-1}[n]_q z + [p-1]_q}{[n+p+1]_q} (\pi_{p-1,n}(q; z) - e_{p-1}(z)) \\ &+ \frac{[p-1]_q}{[n+p+1]_q} z^{p-1} + \frac{q^{p-1}[n]_q - [n+p+1]_q}{[n+p+1]_q} z^p. \end{aligned}$$

However,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{q^{p-1}[n]_q - [n+p+1]_q}{[n+p+1]_q} z^p \right| &= \left| \frac{q^{p-1}[n]_q - [p-1]_q - q^{p-1}[n]_q - q^{n+p-1} - q^{n+p}}{[n+p+1]_q} z^p \right| \\ &\leq \frac{[p+1]_q}{[n+p+1]_q} r^p. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above relations and inequalities, we find

$$\begin{aligned} |\pi_{p,n}(q; z) - e_p(z)| &\leq \frac{r(1+r)}{[n+2]_q} \cdot \frac{p-1}{r} \|\pi_{p-1,n}(q; z)\|_r \\ &+ r |\pi_{p-1,n}(q; z) - e_{p-1}(z)| + \frac{[p+1]_q}{[n+2]_q} r^{p-1} (1+r) \\ &\leq \frac{(1+r)(p-1)}{[n+2]_q} r^{p-1} + r |\pi_{p-1,n}(q; z) - e_{p-1}(z)| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ \frac{[p+1]_q}{[n+2]_q} r^{p-1} (1+r) \\
 &\leq 2p \frac{(1+r)}{[n+2]_q} r^{p-1} + r |\pi_{p-1,n}(q; z) - e_{p-1}(z)|.
 \end{aligned}$$

From the last inequality, inductively it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\pi_{p,n}(q; z) - e_p(z)| &\leq r \left(r |\pi_{p-2,n}(q; z) - e_{p-2}(z)| + \frac{2(p-1)}{[n+2]_q} (1+r) r^{p-2} \right) \\
 &+ 2p \frac{(1+r)}{[n+2]_q} r^{p-1} \\
 &= r^2 |\pi_{p-2,n}(q; z) - e_{p-2}(z)| + 2 \frac{(1+r)}{[n+2]_q} r^{p-1} (p-1+p) \\
 &\leq \dots \leq \frac{(1+r)}{[n+2]_q} p(p+1) r^{p-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$|M_{n,q}(f; z) - f(z)| \leq \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} |a_p| \cdot |\pi_{p,n}(q; z) - e_p(z)| \leq \frac{1+r}{[n+2]_q} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} |a_p| p(p+1) r^{p-1},$$

which proves the theorem. ■

Remark 7.1. Let $q \in (0, 1)$ be fixed. As, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{[n+2]_q} = 1 - q$, Theorem 7.1 is not a convergence result. To obtain the convergence, one can choose $0 < q_n < 1$ with $q_n \nearrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In that case, $\frac{1}{[n+2]_{q_n}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (see Videnskii [152], formula (2.7)); from Theorem 7.1 we get $M_{n,q_n}(f; z) \rightarrow f(z)$, uniformly for $|z| \leq r$ and for any $1 \leq r < R$.

7.1.3 Asymptotic Formula and Exact Order

The following result is the quantitative Voronovskaja-type asymptotic result:

Theorem 7.2. *Suppose that $f \in H(D_R), R > 1$. Then, for any fixed $r \in [1, R]$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, |z| \leq r$ and $q \in (0, 1)$, we have*

$$\left| M_{n,q}(f; z) - f(z) - \frac{z(1-z)f''(z) - 2zf'(z)}{[n]_q} \right| \leq \frac{M_r(f)}{[n]_q^2} + 2(1-q) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| k r^k,$$

where $M_r(f) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| k B_{k,r} r^k < \infty$, and

$$B_{k,r} = (k-1)(k-2)(2k-3) + 8k(k-1)^2 + 6(k-1)k^2 + 4k(k-1)^2(1+r).$$

Proof. In view of the proof of Theorem 7.1, we can write $M_{n,q}(f; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \pi_{k,n}(q; z)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| M_{n,q}(f; z) - f(z) - \frac{z(1-z)f''(z) - 2zf'(z)}{[n]_q} \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| \left| \pi_{k,n}(q; z) - e_k(z) - \frac{(k(k-1) - k(k+1)z)z^{k-1}}{[n]_q} \right|, \end{aligned}$$

for all $z \in D_R, n \in \mathbb{N}$. If we denote

$$E_{k,n}(q; z) = \pi_{k,n}(q; z) - e_k(z) - \frac{(k(k-1) - k(k+1)z)z^{k-1}}{[n]_q},$$

then $E_{k,n}(q; z)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq k$, and by simple calculation and using Lemma 7.3, we have

$$E_{k,n}(q; z) = \frac{q^{k-1}z(1-z)}{[n+k+1]_q} D_q E_{k-1,n}(q; z) + \frac{q^{k-1}[n]_q z + [k-1]_q}{[n+k+1]_q} E_{k-1,n}(q; z) + X_{k,n}(q; z),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} X_{k,n}(q; z) &= \frac{z^{k-2}}{[n]_q [n+k+1]_q} \left[q^{k-1}(k-1)(k-2)[k-2]_q + [k-1]_q(k-1)(k-2) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + z \left(q^{k-1}[n]_q [k-1]_q - q^{k-1}(k-1)(k-2)[k-2]_q - q^{k-1}k(k-1)[k-1]_q \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + q^{k-1}[n]_q(k-1)(k-2) + [k-1]_q [n]_q - [k-1]_q k(k-1) - k(k-1)[n+k+1]_q \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. z^2 \left(k(k+1)[n+k+1]_q - [n]_q [n+k+1]_q - q^{k-1}[n]_q k(k-1) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + q^{k-1}[n]_q^2 + q^{k-1}k(k-1)[k-1]_q - q^{k-1}[n]_q [k-1]_q \right) \right] \\ & =: \frac{z^{k-2}}{[n]_q [n+k+1]_q} \left(X_{1,q,n}(k) + zX_{2,q,n}(k) + z^2X_{3,q,n}(k) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Obviously as $0 < q < 1$, it follows that

$$|X_{1,q,n}(k)| \leq (k-1)(k-2)(2k-3).$$

Next with $[n+k+1]_q = [k-1]_q + q^{k-1}[n]_q + q^{n+k-1} + q^{n+k}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} X_{2,q,n}(k) &= [n]_q \left(q^{k-1}[k-1]_q + [k-1]_q - 2q^{k-1}(k-1) \right) \\ &\quad - q^{k-1}(k-1)(k-2)[k-2]_q - q^{k-1}k(k-1)[k-1]_q \\ &\quad - [k-1]_q k(k-1) - k(k-1)[k-1]_q - k(k-1)q^{n+k-1} - k(k-1)q^{n+k} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &[n]_q \left(q^{k-1}[k-1]_q + [k-1]_q - 2q^{k-1}(k-1) \right) \\ &= [n]_q q^{k-1} ([k-1]_q - (k-1)) + [n]_q \left([k-1]_q - q^{k-1}(k-1) \right) \\ &= [n]_q q^{k-1} (q-1) \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} [j]_q + [n]_q (1-q) \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} [j]_q q^{k-1-j} \\ &= q^{k-1} (q^n - 1) \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} [j]_q + (1 - q^n) \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} [j]_q q^{k-1-j}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |X_{2,q,n}(k)| &\leq (k-1)[k-2]_q + (k-1)[k-1]_q \\ &\quad + (k-1)(k-2)[k-2]_q + k(k-1)[k-1]_q + [k-1]_q k(k-1) \\ &\quad + k(k-1)[k-1]_q + k(k-1) + k(k-1) \\ &\leq 8k(k-1)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now we will estimate $X_{3,q,n}(k)$:

$$\begin{aligned} X_{3,q,n}(k) &= k(k+1)[n+k+1]_q - [n]_q[n+k+1]_q - q^{k-1}[n]_q k(k-1) \\ &\quad + q^{k-1}[n]_q^2 + q^{k-1}k(k-1)[k-1]_q - q^{k-1}[n]_q[k-1]_q \\ &= k(k+1) \left([k-1]_q + q^{k-1}[n]_q + q^{n+k-1} + q^{n+k} \right) \\ &\quad - [n]_q \left([k-1]_q + q^{k-1}[n]_q + q^{n+k-1} + q^{n+k} \right) - q^{k-1}[n]_q k(k-1) \\ &\quad + q^{k-1}[n]_q^2 + q^{k-1}k(k-1)[k-1]_q - q^{k-1}[n]_q[k-1]_q \\ &= k(k+1)[k-1]_q + k(k+1) \left(q^{n+k-1} + q^{n+k} \right) - [n]_q[k-1]_q \\ &\quad - [n]_q \left(q^{n+k-1} + q^{n+k} \right) + 2kq^{k-1}[n]_q \\ &\quad + q^{k-1}k(k-1)[k-1]_q - q^{k-1}[n]_q[k-1]_q \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= [n]_q \left(-q^{k-1} [k-1]_q - [k-1]_q + q^{k-1} (2k) - q^{n+k-1} - q^{n+k} \right) \\
&+ k(k+1) [k-1]_q + k(k+1) \left(q^{n+k-1} + q^{n+k} \right) + q^{k-1} k(k-1) [k-1]_q \\
&= -[n]_q q^{k-1} ([k-1]_q - (k-1)) + [n]_q q^{k-1} (1 - q^n) + [n]_q \left(kq^{k-1} - [k-1]_q - q^{n+k} \right) \\
&+ k(k+1) [k-1]_q + k(k+1) \left(q^{n+k-1} + q^{n+k} \right) + q^{k-1} k(k-1) [k-1]_q \\
&= -[n]_q q^{k-1} ([k-1]_q - (k-1)) + [n]_q q^{k-1} (1 - q^n) - [n]_q \left([k-1]_q - (k-1) q^{k-1} \right) \\
&- [n]_q \left(q^{n+k} - q^{k-1} \right) + k(k+1) [k-1]_q + k(k+1) \left(q^{n+k-1} + q^{n+k} \right) + q^{k-1} k(k-1) [k-1]_q \\
&= -q^{k-1} (q^n - 1) \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} [j]_q - (1 - q^n) \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} [j]_q q^{k-1-j} + q^{k-1} (1 - q^n) [n]_q \\
&- [n]_q \left(q^{n+k} - q^{k-1} \right) + k(k+1) [k-1]_q + k(k+1) \left(q^{n+k-1} + q^{n+k} \right) + q^{k-1} k(k-1) [k-1]_q.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
|X_{3,q,n}(k)| &\leq (k-1) [k-2]_q + (k-1) [k-1]_q + (1 - q^n) [n]_q \\
&+ (1 - q^{n+1}) [n]_q + k(k+1) [k-1]_q + 2k(k+1) + k(k-1) [k-1]_q \\
&\leq 6(k-1) k^2 + (1 - q^n) [n]_q + (1 - q^{n+1}) [n]_q.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
|X_{k,n}(q; z)| &\leq \frac{r^{k-2}}{[n]_q^2} \left((k-1)(k-2)(2k-3) + r8k(k-1)^2 + r^2 6(k-1)k^2 \right) \\
&+ \frac{r^k}{[n]_q} (1 - q^n) + \frac{r^k}{[n+1]_q} (1 - q^{n+1}) \\
&= \frac{r^{k-2}}{[n]_q^2} \left((k-1)(k-2)(2k-3) + r8k(k-1)^2 + r^2 6(k-1)k^2 \right) + 2r^k (1 - q)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $k \geq 1, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $|z| \leq r$.

Next, using the estimate in the proof of Theorem 7.1, we have

$$|\pi_{k,n}(q; z) - e_k(z)| \leq \frac{(1+r)k(k+1)r^{k-1}}{[n+2]_q},$$

for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}, |z| \leq r$, with $1 \leq r$.

Hence, for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 1$ and $|z| \leq r$, we have

$$|E_{k,n}(q; z)| \leq \frac{q^{k-1}r(1+r)}{[n+k+1]_q} |E'_{k-1,n}(q; z)| + \frac{q^{k-1}[n]_q r + [k-1]_q}{[n+k+1]_q} |E_{k-1,n}(q; z)| + |X_{k,n}(q; z)|.$$

However, since $\frac{q^{k-1}r(1+r)}{[n+k+1]_q} \leq \frac{r(1+r)}{[n+k+1]_q}$ and $\frac{q^{k-1}[n]_q r + [k-1]_q}{[n+k+1]_q} \leq r$, it follows that

$$|E_{k,n}(q; z)| \leq \frac{r(1+r)}{[n+k+1]_q} |E'_{k-1,n}(q; z)| + r|E_{k-1,n}(q; z)| + |X_{k,n}(q; z)|.$$

Now we shall compute an estimate for $|E'_{k-1,n}(q; z)|, k \geq 1$. For this, taking into account the fact that $E_{k-1,n}(q; z)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq k-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |E'_{k-1,n}(q; z)| &\leq \frac{k-1}{r} ||E_{k-1,n}||_r \\ &\leq \frac{k-1}{r} \left[||\pi_{k-1,n} - e_{k-1}||_r + \left| \left| \frac{\{(k-1)(k-2) - k(k-1)e_1\} e_{k-2}}{[n]_q} \right| \right|_r \right] \\ &\leq \frac{k(k-1)}{r} \left[\frac{(1+r)(k-1)kr^{k-2}}{[n+2]_q} + \frac{r^{k-2}k(k-1)(1+r)}{[n]_q} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{k(k-1)^2}{[n]_q} [2r^{k-2} + 2r^{k-2}] = \frac{4k(k-1)^2 r^{k-2}}{[n]_q}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\frac{r(1+r)}{[n+k+1]_q} |E'_{k-1,n}(q; z)| \leq \frac{4k(k-1)^2(1+r)r^{k-1}}{[n]_q^2}$$

and

$$|E_{k,n}(q; z)| \leq \frac{4k(k-1)^2(1+r)r^k}{[n]_q^2} + r|E_{k-1,n}(q; z)| + |X_{k,n}(q; z)|,$$

where

$$|X_{k,n}(q; z)| \leq \frac{r^k}{[n]_q^2} A_k + 2r^k(1-q),$$

for all $|z| \leq r, k \geq 1, n \in \mathbb{N}$, where

$$A_k = (k-1)(k-2)(2k-3) + 8k(k-1)^2 + 6(k-1)k^2.$$

Hence, for all $|z| \leq r, k \geq 1, n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$|E_{k,n}(q; z)| \leq r|E_{k-1,n}(q; z)| + \frac{r^k}{[n]_q^2} B_{k,r} + 2r^k(1-q),$$

where $B_{k,r}$ is a polynomial of degree 3 in k defined as

$$B_{k,r} = A_k + 4k(k - 1)^2(1 + r).$$

But $E_{0,n}(q; z) = 0$, for any $z \in C$, and therefore by writing the last inequality for $k = 1, 2, \dots$, we easily obtain step by step the following:

$$|E_{k,n}(q; z)| \leq \frac{r^k}{[n]_q^2} \sum_{j=1}^k B_{j,r} + 2r^k(1 - q) \leq \frac{kr^k}{[n]_q^2} B_{k,r} + 2r^k k(1 - q).$$

Therefore, we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| M_{n,q}(f; z) - f(z) - \frac{z(1 - z)f''(z) - 2zf'(z)}{[n]_q} \right| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| |E_{k,n}(q; z)| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{[n]_q^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| kB_{k,r}r^k + 2(1 - q) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| kr^k. \end{aligned}$$

As $f^{(4)}(z) = \sum_{k=4}^{\infty} a_k k(k - 1)(k - 2)(k - 3)z^{k-4}$ and the series is absolutely convergent in $|z| \leq r$, it easily follows that $\sum_{k=4}^{\infty} |a_k| k(k - 1)(k - 2)(k - 3)r^{k-4} < \infty$, which implies that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| kB_{k,r}r^k < \infty$. This completes the proof of theorem. ■

Remark 7.2. For $q \in (0, 1)$ fixed, we have $\frac{1}{[n]_q} \rightarrow 1 - q$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$; thus Theorem 7.2 does not provide convergence. But this can be improved by choosing $1 - \frac{1}{n^2} \leq q_n < 1$ with $q_n \nearrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed, since in this case $\frac{1}{[n]_{q_n}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $1 - q_n \leq \frac{1}{n^2} \leq \frac{1}{[n]_{q_n}^2}$ from Theorem 7.2, we get

$$\left| M_{n,q_n}(f; z) - f(z) - \frac{z(1 - z)f''(z) - 2zf'(z)}{[n]_{q_n}} \right| \leq \frac{M_r(f)}{[n]_{q_n}^2} + \frac{2}{[n]_{q_n}^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| kr^k.$$

Our next main result is the exact order of approximation for the operator (7.1).

Theorem 7.3. *Let $1 - \frac{1}{n^2} \leq q_n < 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $R > 1$, and let $f \in H(D_R)$, $R > 1$. If f is not a polynomial of degree 0, then for any $r \in [1, R)$, we have*

$$\|M_{n,q_n}(f; \cdot) - f\|_r \geq \frac{C_r(f)}{[n]_{q_n}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where the constant $C_r(f) > 0$ depends on f , r and on the sequence $(q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, but it is independent of n .

Proof. For all $z \in \mathbb{D}_R$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$M_{n,q_n}(f; z) - f(z) = \frac{1}{[n]_{q_n}} \left[z(1-z)f''(z) - 2zf'(z) + \frac{1}{[n]_{q_n}} \left\{ [n]_{q_n}^2 \left(M_{n,q_n}(f; z) - f(z) - \frac{z(1-z)f''(z) - 2zf'(z)}{[n]_{q_n}} \right) \right\} \right].$$

We use the following property:

$$\|F + G\|_r \geq \|F\|_r - \|G\|_r \geq \|F\|_r - \|G\|_r$$

to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|M_{n,q_n}(f; \cdot) - f\|_r \\ & \geq \frac{1}{[n]_{q_n}} \left[\|e_1(1 - e_1)f'' - 2e_1f'\|_r - \frac{1}{[n]_{q_n}} \left\{ [n]_{q_n}^2 \left\| M_{n,q_n}(f; \cdot) - f - \frac{e_1(1 - e_1)f'' - 2e_1f'}{[n]_{q_n}} \right\|_r \right\} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By the hypothesis, f is not a polynomial of degree 0 in D_R ; we get $\|e_1(1 - e_1)f'' - 2e_1f'\|_r > 0$. Supposing the contrary, it follows that $z(1 - z)f''(z) - 2zf'(z) = 0$ for all $|z| \leq r$, that is, $(1 - z)f''(z) - 2f'(z) = 0$ for all $|z| \leq r$ with $z \neq 0$. The last equality is equivalent to $[(1 - z)f'(z)]' - f'(z) = 0$, for all $|z| \leq r$ with $z \neq 0$. Therefore, $(1 - z)f'(z) - f(z) = C$, where C is a constant, that is, $f(z) = \frac{Cz}{1-z}$, for all $|z| \leq r$ with $z \neq 0$. But since f is analytic in \overline{D}_r and $r \geq 1$, we necessarily have $C = 0$, a contradiction to the hypothesis.

But by Remark 7.2, we have

$$[n]_{q_n}^2 \left\| M_{n,q_n}(f; \cdot) - f - \frac{e_1(1 - e_1)f'' - 2e_1f'}{[n]_{q_n}} \right\|_r \leq M_r(f) + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|kr^k,$$

with $\frac{1}{[n]_{q_n}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, it follows that there exists an index n_0 depending only on f, r and on the sequence $(q_n)_n$, such that for all $n \geq n_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|e_1(1 - e_1)f'' - 2e_1f'\|_r \\ & - \frac{1}{[n]_{q_n}} \left\{ [n]_{q_n}^2 \left\| M_{n,q_n}(f; \cdot) - f - \frac{e_1(1 - e_1)f'' - 2e_1f'}{[n]_{q_n}} \right\|_r \right\} \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2} \|e_1(1 - e_1)f'' - 2e_1f'\|_r, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\|M_{n,q_n}(f;\cdot) - f\|_r \geq \frac{1}{2[n]_{q_n}} \|e_1(1 - e_1)f'' - 2e_1f'\|_r, \forall n \geq n_0.$$

For $1 \leq n \leq n_0 - 1$, we clearly have

$$\|M_{n,q_n}(f;\cdot) - f\|_r \geq \frac{c_{r,n}(f)}{[n]_{q_n}},$$

where $c_{r,n}(f) = [n]_{q_n} \cdot \|M_{n,q_n}(f;\cdot) - f\|_r > 0$, which finally implies

$$\|M_{n,q_n}(f;\cdot) - f\|_r \geq \frac{C_r(f)}{[n]_{q_n}}, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where

$$C_r(f) = \min\{c_{r,1}(f), c_{r,2}(f) \dots, c_{r,n_0-1}(f), \frac{1}{2}\|e_1(1 - e_1)f'' - 2e_1f'\|_r\}. \quad \blacksquare$$

Combining Theorem 7.3 with Theorem 7.1, we get the following.

Corollary 7.2. *Let $1 - \frac{1}{n^2} < q_n < 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $R > 1$ and suppose that $f \in H(D_R)$. If f is not a polynomial of degree 0, then for any $r \in [1, R)$, we have*

$$\|M_{n,q_n}(f;\cdot) - f\|_r \sim \frac{1}{[n]_{q_n}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where the constants in the above equivalence depend on $f, r, (q_n)_n$, but are independent of n .

The proof follows along the lines of [80].

Remark 7.3. For $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta$, we can define the Stancu-type generalization of the operators (7.1) as

$$\begin{aligned} M_{n,q}^{\alpha,\beta}(f; z) &= [n+1]_q \sum_{k=1}^n q^{1-k} p_{n,k}(q; z) \int_0^1 f\left(\frac{[n]_q t + \alpha}{[n]_q + \beta}\right) p_{n,k-1}(q; qt) d_q t \\ &\quad + f\left(\frac{\alpha}{[n]_q + \beta}\right) p_{n,0}(q; z). \end{aligned}$$

The analogous results can be obtained for such operators. As analysis is different, it may be considered elsewhere.

7.2 q -Gauss–Weierstrass Operator

In this section we study a complex q -Gauss–Weierstrass integral operators taking into consideration the operators introduced by Anastassiou and Aral in [17]. We show that these operators are an approximation process in some subclasses of analytic functions giving Jackson-type estimates in approximation. Furthermore, we give q -calculus analogues of some shape-preserving properties for these operators satisfied by classical complex Gauss–Weierstrass integral operators. The results of this section were discussed in [36].

7.2.1 Introduction

In a recent study, Anastassiou and Aral [17] introduced a new q -analogue of Gauss–Weierstrass operators, which for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $q \in (0, 1)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function, defined as

$$\mathcal{W}_n(f; q, x) := \frac{\sqrt{[n]_q}(q+1)}{2\Gamma_{q^2}(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{[n]_q}\sqrt{1-q^2}}{\sqrt{[n]_q}\sqrt{1-q^2}}} f(x+t) E_{q^2}\left(-q^2[n]_q \frac{t^2}{4}\right) d_{q^2}t. \tag{7.2}$$

The goal of the present section is to introduce complex q -Gauss–Weierstrass operators and to obtain Jackson-type estimates in approximation by these operators. Also, we prove shape-preserving properties and some geometric properties of the operators using q -derivative.

Note that geometric and approximation properties of some complex convolution polynomials, complex singular integrals, and complex variant of well known operators were studied in detail in [76]. Also shape-preserving approximation by real or complex polynomials in one or several variables was given in [75].

Definition 7.1. Let $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; |z| < 1\}$ be the open unit disk and $A(\overline{\mathbb{D}}) = \{f : \overline{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; f \text{ is analytic on } \mathbb{D}, \text{ continuous on } \overline{\mathbb{D}}, f(0) = 0, D_q f(0) = 1\}$. For $\xi > 0$, $q \in (0, 1)$, the complex q -Gauss–Weierstrass integral of $f \in A(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{W}_\xi(f; q, z) := \frac{(q+1)}{\sqrt{\xi}\Gamma_{q^2}(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{1-q^2}}} f(ze^{-it}) E_{q^2}\left(-q^2 \frac{t^2}{\xi}\right) d_{q^2}t \tag{7.3}$$

for $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$.

Remark 7.4. Noting that the complex q -Gauss–Weierstrass operators $\mathcal{W}_\xi(f)(z)$ given by (7.3) can be rewritten via an improper integral, we can easily see that

$E_q\left(-\frac{q^n}{1-q}\right) = 0$ for $n \leq 0$. Thus, we may write

$$\mathcal{W}_\xi(f; q, z) = \frac{(q+1)}{\sqrt{\xi}\Gamma_{q^2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} \int_0^{\sqrt{\frac{\infty}{(1-q^2)^{\xi}}}} f(ze^{-u}) E_{q^2}\left(-q^2\frac{t^2}{\xi}\right) d_q t$$

7.2.2 Approximation Properties

In this section, we obtain Jackson-type rate in approximation by complex operators given (7.3) and global smoothness preservation properties of them.

Lemma 7.4. *We have*

$$\mathcal{W}_\xi(1; q, z) = 1.$$

Proof. We can write the q -derivative of the equality $t = \sqrt{\xi}\sqrt{u}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} D_{q^2}(t) &= \sqrt{\xi} \frac{\sqrt{u} - \sqrt{q^2u}}{(1-q^2)u} \\ &= \sqrt{\xi} \frac{1}{(q+1)\sqrt{u}}. \end{aligned} \tag{7.4}$$

Also, using the change of variable formula for q -integral with $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\sqrt{\xi}} \frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{1-q^2}} E_{q^2}\left(-q^2\frac{t^2}{\xi}\right) d_q t &= \frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{(q+1)} \int_0^{\frac{1}{1-q^2}} u^{-\frac{1}{2}} E_{q^2}(-q^2u) d_{q^2} u \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{(q+1)} \Gamma_{q^2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right), \end{aligned}$$

which proves $\mathcal{W}_\xi(1; q, z) = 1$. ■

Theorem 7.4. *Let $f \in A(\mathbb{D})$.*

(i) *For $z \in \mathbb{D}$, $\xi \in (0, 1]$, we have*

$$|\mathcal{W}_\xi(f; q, z) - f(z)| \leq \omega_1\left(f; \sqrt{\xi}\right)_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Gamma_{q^2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}\right),$$

where

$$\omega_1(f; \xi)_{\partial\mathbb{D}} = \sup \left\{ \left| f\left(e^{i(x-t)}\right) - f\left(e^{-it}\right) \right|; x \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq t \leq \xi \right\}.$$

(ii) We have

$$\omega_1(\mathcal{W}_\xi(f; q, z); \delta)_{\mathbb{D}} \leq C\omega_1(f; \delta)_{\mathbb{D}}, \forall \delta > 0, \xi > 0,$$

where

$$\omega_1(f; \delta)_{\mathbb{D}} = \sup \{ |f(z_1) - f(z_2)|; z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{D}, |z_1 - z_2| \leq \delta \}.$$

Proof.

(i) Since $\mathcal{W}_\xi(1; q, z) = 1$, for $z \in \mathbb{D}$, we get

$$|\mathcal{W}_\xi(f; q, z) - f(z)| \leq \frac{(q+1)}{\sqrt{\xi}\Gamma_{q^2}(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{1-q^2}}} |f(ze^{-it}) - f(z)| E_{q^2}\left(-q^2\frac{t^2}{\xi}\right) d_q t.$$

By the maximum modulus principle we can restrict our considerations to $|z| = 1$, and we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathcal{W}_\xi(f; q, z) - f(z)| \\ & \leq \frac{(q+1)}{\sqrt{\xi}\Gamma_{q^2}(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{1-q^2}}} \omega_1(f; |z||1 - e^{-it}|)_{\partial\mathbb{D}} E_{q^2}\left(-q^2\frac{t^2}{\xi}\right) d_q t \end{aligned}$$

Combined this with the inequality

$$|z||1 - e^{-it}| \leq 2 \left| \sin \frac{t}{2} \right| \leq t, \quad \forall t > 0,$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathcal{W}_\xi(f; q, z) - f(z)| \\ & = \frac{(q+1)}{\sqrt{\xi}\Gamma_{q^2}(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{1-q^2}}} \omega_1\left(f; 2 \left| \sin \frac{t}{2} \right| \right)_{\partial\mathbb{D}} E_{q^2}\left(-q^2\frac{t^2}{\xi}\right) d_q t \\ & \leq \frac{(q+1)}{\sqrt{\xi}\Gamma_{q^2}(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{1-q^2}}} \omega_1(f; t)_{\partial\mathbb{D}} E_{q^2}\left(-q^2\frac{t^2}{\xi}\right) d_q t \\ & \leq \frac{(q+1)}{\sqrt{\xi}\Gamma_{q^2}(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{1-q^2}}} \left(1 + \frac{t}{\sqrt{\xi}}\right) \omega_1\left(f; \sqrt{\xi}\right)_{\partial\mathbb{D}} E_{q^2}\left(-q^2\frac{t^2}{\xi}\right) d_q t \end{aligned}$$

$$= \omega_1 \left(f; \sqrt{\xi} \right)_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \left(1 + \frac{(q+1)}{\xi \Gamma_{q^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)} \int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{1-q^2}}} t E_{q^2} \left(-q^2 \frac{t^2}{\xi} \right) d_q t \right).$$

Also, using the change of variable formula for q -integral with $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{1-q^2}}} t E_{q^2} \left(-q^2 \frac{t^2}{\xi} \right) d_q t &= \frac{\xi}{(q+1)} \int_0^{\frac{1}{1-q^2}} E_{q^2} (-q^2 u) d_{q^2} u \\ &= \frac{\xi}{(q+1)} \Gamma_{q^2} (1) = \frac{\xi}{(q+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$|\mathcal{W}_\xi (f; q, z) - f(z)| \leq \omega_1 \left(f; \sqrt{\xi} \right)_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Gamma_{q^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)} \right).$$

(ii) For $z_1, z_2 \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, $|z_1 - z_2| \leq \delta$, we have following:

$$\begin{aligned} &|\mathcal{W}_\xi (f; q, z_1) - \mathcal{W}_\xi (f; q, z_2)| \\ &\leq \frac{(q+1)}{\sqrt{\xi} \Gamma_{q^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)} \int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{1-q^2}}} |f(z_1 e^{-it}) - f(z_2 e^{-it})| E_{q^2} \left(-q^2 \frac{t^2}{\xi} \right) d_q t \\ &\leq \omega_1 (f; |z_1 - z_2|)_{\overline{\mathbb{D}}} \mathcal{W}_\xi (1; q, z) \\ &\leq \omega_1 (f; \delta)_{\overline{\mathbb{D}}}. \end{aligned}$$

From which, we derive by passing supremum over $|z_1 - z_2| \leq \delta$

$$\omega_1 (\mathcal{W}_\xi (f; q, z); \delta)_{\overline{\mathbb{D}}} \leq \omega_1 (f; \delta)_{\overline{\mathbb{D}}} \quad \blacksquare$$

7.2.3 Shape-Preserving Properties

In this section, we deal with some properties of the complex operators given in Definition 7.1. Firstly we present following function classes:

$$\begin{aligned} S_2 &= \left\{ f \text{ is analytic on } \mathbb{D}, f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k, z \in \mathbb{D}, |a_1| \geq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k| \right\}, \\ S_3^q &= \{ f \in A(\overline{\mathbb{D}}); |D_q^2 f(z)| \leq 1, \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{D} \} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{P} = \{f : \overline{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; f \text{ is analytic on } \mathbb{D}, f(0) = 1, \operatorname{Re}[f(z)] > 0, \forall z \in \mathbb{D}\}.$$

Theorem 7.5. *If $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ is analytic in \mathbb{D} , then for $\xi > 0$, $\mathcal{W}_\xi(f)(z)$ is analytic in \mathbb{D} , and we have*

$$\mathcal{W}_\xi(f; q, z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k d_k(\xi, q) z^k, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{D}$$

where

$$d_k(\xi, q) = \frac{(q+1)}{\sqrt{\xi} \Gamma_{q^2}(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{1-q^2}}} e^{-ikt} E_{q^2}\left(-q^2 \frac{t^2}{\xi}\right) d_q t. \tag{7.5}$$

Also, if f is continuous on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$, then $\mathcal{W}_\xi(f)$ is continuous on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$.

Proof. For the continuity at $z_0 \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, let $z_n \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ be with $z_n \rightarrow z_0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. From (7.3), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathcal{W}_\xi(f; q, z_n) - \mathcal{W}_\xi(f; q, z_0)| \\ & \leq \frac{(q+1)}{\sqrt{\xi} \Gamma_{q^2}(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{1-q^2}}} |f(z_n e^{-it}) - f(z_0 e^{-it})| E_{q^2}\left(-q^2 \frac{t^2}{\xi}\right) d_q t \\ & \leq \frac{(q+1)}{\sqrt{\xi} \Gamma_{q^2}(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{1-q^2}}} \omega_1(f; |z_n e^{-it} - z_0 e^{-it}|)_{\overline{\mathbb{D}}} E_{q^2}\left(-q^2 \frac{t^2}{\xi}\right) d_q t \\ & = \frac{(q+1)}{\sqrt{\xi} \Gamma_{q^2}(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{1-q^2}}} \omega_1(f; |z_n - z_0|)_{\overline{\mathbb{D}}} E_{q^2}\left(-q^2 \frac{t^2}{\xi}\right) d_q t \\ & = \omega_1(f; |z_n - z_0|)_{\overline{\mathbb{D}}}, \end{aligned}$$

from which the continuity of f at $z_0 \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ immediately implies the continuity of $\mathcal{W}_\xi(f)$ too at z_0 .

Since $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k, z \in \mathbb{D}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_\xi(f; q, z) & = \frac{(q+1)}{\sqrt{\xi} \Gamma_{q^2}(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{1-q^2}}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k e^{-ikt} E_{q^2}\left(-q^2 \frac{t^2}{\xi}\right) d_q t \\ & = \frac{\sqrt{1-q^2}}{\Gamma_{q^2}(\frac{1}{2})} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k e^{-ik \frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{1-q^2}} q^n} E_{q^2}\left(-q^2 \frac{q^{2n}}{1-q^2}\right) q^n. \tag{7.6} \end{aligned}$$

If $g_{n,k}$ is absolutely summable, that is, if $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |g_{n,k}| < \infty$, then we know from *Fubini's theorem*:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_{n,k} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_{n,k}.$$

Since

$$\left| a_k e^{-ik \frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{1-q^2}} q^n} \right| = |a_k|,$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ is convergent, and it follows that the series

$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k e^{-ik \frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{1-q^2}} q^n}$ is uniformly convergent with respect to n . Also, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sqrt{1-q^2}}{\Gamma_{q^2}(\frac{1}{2})} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{q^2} \left(-q^2 \frac{q^{2n}}{1-q^2} \right) q^n &= \frac{1}{\Gamma_{q^2}(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^{\frac{1}{1-q^2}} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} E_{q^2}(-q^2 t) d_{q^2} t \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

These immediately imply that the series in (7.6) can be interchangeable by Fubini's theorem, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_{\xi}(f; q, z) &= \frac{\sqrt{1-q^2}}{\Gamma_{q^2}(\frac{1}{2})} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-ik \frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{1-q^2}} q^n} E_{q^2} \left(-q^2 \frac{q^{2n}}{1-q^2} \right) q^n \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k d_k(\xi, q) z^k, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} d_k(\xi, q) &= \frac{\sqrt{1-q^2}}{\Gamma_{q^2}(\frac{1}{2})} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-ik \frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{1-q^2}} q^n} E_{q^2} \left(-q^2 \frac{q^{2n}}{1-q^2} \right) q^n \\ &= \frac{(q+1)}{\sqrt{\xi} \Gamma_{q^2}(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{1-q^2}}} e^{-ikt} E_{q^2} \left(-q^2 \frac{t^2}{\xi} \right) d_{q^2} t. \end{aligned}$$



Theorem 7.6. For $\xi > 0$, it holds that

$$\mathcal{W}_{\xi}(S_2) \subset S_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{W}_{\xi}(\mathfrak{P}) \subset \mathfrak{P}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 7.5, we get

$$\mathcal{W}_\xi(f; q, z) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k d_k(\xi, q) z^k,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |d_k(\xi, q)| &\leq \frac{(q+1)}{\sqrt{\xi} \Gamma_{q^2}(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{1-q^2}}} |e^{-ikt}| E_{q^2}\left(-q^2 \frac{t^2}{\xi}\right) d_q t \\ &\leq \frac{(q+1)}{\sqrt{\xi} \Gamma_{q^2}(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{1-q^2}}} E_{q^2}\left(-q^2 \frac{t^2}{\xi}\right) d_q t \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f \in S_2$, it follows that

$$\sum_{k=2}^\infty |a_k d_k(\xi, q)| \leq \sum_{k=2}^\infty |a_k| \leq a_1.$$

Thus we have,

$$\mathcal{W}_\xi(f) \in S_2.$$

Let $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k z^k \in \mathfrak{P}$, that is, $a_0 = f(0) = 1$ and if $f(z) = U(x, y) + iV(x, y)$, $z = x + iy \in \mathbb{D}$, then $U(x, y) > 0$, for all $z = x + iy \in \mathbb{D}$.

We have

$$\mathcal{W}_\xi(f)(0) = a_0 = 1$$

with the condition $a_0 = f(0) = 1$ and for $\forall z = re^{it}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{W}_\xi(f; q, z) \\ &= \frac{(q+1)}{\sqrt{\xi} \Gamma_{q^2}(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{1-q^2}}} U(r \cos(t-u), r \sin(t-u)) E_{q^2}\left(-q^2 \frac{u^2}{\xi}\right) d_q u \\ &\quad + i \frac{(q+1)}{\sqrt{\xi} \Gamma_{q^2}(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{1-q^2}}} V(r \cos(t-u), r \sin(t-u)) E_{q^2}\left(-q^2 \frac{u^2}{\xi}\right) d_q u, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &Re [\mathcal{W}_\xi(f; q, z)] \\ &= \frac{(q+1)}{\sqrt{\xi} \Gamma_{q^2}(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{1-q^2}}} U(r \cos(t-u), r \sin(t-u)) E_{q^2}\left(-q^2 \frac{u^2}{\xi}\right) d_q u > 0, \end{aligned}$$

that is, $\mathcal{W}_\xi(f; q, z) \in \mathfrak{P}$. ■

Remark 7.5. By [11], if $f \in S_2$, then f is starlike (and univalent) on \mathbb{D} . According to Theorem 7.6, the operators \mathcal{W}_ξ possess this property.

7.2.4 Applications of q -Derivative to Operators

In this section, we present some properties of the complex operators $\mathcal{W}_\xi f(z)$, $\xi > 0$ via q -derivative.

Lemma 7.5. *The $d_k(\xi, q)$ is defined as (7.5). We have*

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} d_k(\xi, q) = 1.$$

Proof. We can write

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} d_k(\xi, q) &= \lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} \frac{(q+1)}{\sqrt{\xi} \Gamma_{q^2}(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^{\sqrt{\xi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-q^2}} e^{-ikt} E_{q^2} \left(-q^2 \frac{t^2}{\xi} \right) d_q t \\ &= \lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sqrt{1-q^2}}{\Gamma_{q^2}(\frac{1}{2})} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-ik \frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{1-q^2}} q^n} E_{q^2} \left(-\frac{q^2}{1-q^2} q^{2n} \right) q^n. \end{aligned}$$

Since the series of above equality is uniform convergent, it follows that the series can be interchangeable with limit, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} d_k(\xi, q) &= \frac{\sqrt{1-q^2}}{\Gamma_{q^2}(\frac{1}{2})} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} e^{-ik \frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{1-q^2}} q^n} E_{q^2} \left(-\frac{q^2}{1-q^2} q^{2n} \right) q^n \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{1-q^2}}{\Gamma_{q^2}(\frac{1}{2})} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{q^2} \left(-\frac{q^2}{1-q^2} q^{2n} \right) q^n \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma_{q^2}(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^{\frac{1}{1-q^2}} u^{-\frac{1}{2}} E_{q^2}(-q^2 u) d_{q^2} u \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

■

Theorem 7.7. *For all $\xi > 0$,*

$$\frac{1}{d_1(\xi, q)} \mathcal{W}_\xi \left(S_{3, d_1(\xi, q)}^q \right) \subset S_3^q, \quad \frac{1}{d_1(\xi, q)} \mathcal{W}_\xi \left(S_M^q \right) \subset S_{\frac{M}{d_1(\xi, q)}}^q,$$

where

$$S_{3, d_1(\xi, q)}^q = \{ f \in S_3^q; |D_q^2 f(z)| \leq d_1(\xi, q) \}$$

and

$$S^q_{\frac{M}{d_1(\xi, q)}} = \left\{ f \in S^q_M; |D_q f(z)| \leq \frac{M}{d_1(\xi, q)} \right\}.$$

Proof. Let $f \in S^q_{3, d_1(\xi, q)}$. Since $f \in A(\mathbb{D})$, we know that $f(0) = a_0 = 0, D_q f(0) = a_1 = 1$. Also since $\mathcal{W}_\xi(f; q, z)$ is continuous from Theorem 7.5, we can take q -derivative of it. Thus, we have

$$\frac{1}{d_1(\xi, q)} \mathcal{W}_\xi(f; q, 0) = 0, \quad \frac{1}{d_1(\xi, q)} D_q \mathcal{W}_\xi(f; q, 0) = a_1 = 1.$$

Also, since

$$D_q^2 \mathcal{W}_\xi(f; q, z) = \frac{(q+1)}{\sqrt{\xi} \Gamma_{q^2}(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{1-q^2}}} D_q^2 f(z e^{-it}) e^{-2it} E_{q^2} \left(-q^2 \frac{t^2}{\xi} \right) d_q t,$$

and $|D_q^2 f(z)| \leq |d_1(\xi, q)|$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{1}{d_1(\xi, q)} D_q^2 \mathcal{W}_\xi(f; q, z) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{(q+1)}{|d_1(\xi, q)| \sqrt{\xi} \Gamma_{q^2}(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{1-q^2}}} |D_q^2 f(z e^{-it})| |e^{-2it}| E_{q^2} \left(-q^2 \frac{t^2}{\xi} \right) d_q t \\ & \leq \frac{(q+1)}{\sqrt{\xi} \Gamma_{q^2}(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{1-q^2}}} E_{q^2} \left(-q^2 \frac{t^2}{\xi} \right) d_q t = 1, \end{aligned}$$

that is, $\frac{1}{d_1(\xi, q)} \mathcal{W}_\xi(f) \in S^q_3$.

Now, let $f \in S^q_M$, that is, $|D_q f(z)| \leq M$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{1}{d_1(\xi, q)} D_q \mathcal{W}_\xi(f; q, z) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{(q+1)}{|d_1(\xi, q)| \sqrt{\xi} \Gamma_{q^2}(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{1-q^2}}} |D_q f(z e^{-it})| |e^{-it}| E_{q^2} \left(-q^2 \frac{t^2}{\xi} \right) d_q t \\ & \leq \frac{M}{|d_1(\xi, q)|} \frac{(q+1)}{\sqrt{\xi} \Gamma_{q^2}(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{1-q^2}}} E_{q^2} \left(-q^2 \frac{t^2}{\xi} \right) d_q t = \frac{M}{|d_1(\xi, q)|}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\frac{1}{d_1(\xi, q)} \mathcal{W}_\xi(f) \in S^q_{\frac{M}{d_1(\xi, q)}}$. ■

7.2.5 Exact Order of Approximation

For exact order of approximation, we give a modification of the operator (7.3).

For $\xi > 0$, $q \in (0, 1)$, the complex q -Gauss–Weierstrass integral of $f \in A(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{W}_\xi^*(f; q, z) := \frac{(q+1)}{2\sqrt{\xi}\Gamma_{q^2}(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{1-q^2}}} (f(ze^{-it}) + f(ze^{it})) E_{q^2} \left(-q^2 \frac{t^2}{\xi} \right) d_q t$$

for $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. The approximation properties of the $\mathcal{W}_\xi^*(f; q, z)$ are expressed by the following theorem.

Theorem 7.8. (i) Let $f \in A(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$. For all $\xi \in (0, 1]$ and $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, it follows

$$\left| \mathcal{W}_\xi^*(f; q, z) - f(z) \right| \leq C\omega_2 \left(f; \sqrt{\xi} \right)_{\partial\mathbb{D}}$$

(ii) Let us suppose that $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}_R$, $R > 1$. If f is not constant for $s = 0$ and not a polynomial of degree $\leq s - 1$ for $s \in \mathbb{N}$, then for all $1 \leq r < r_1 < R$, $\xi \in (0; 1]$, and $s \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$

$$\left\| \left(\mathcal{W}_\xi^* \right)^{(s)}(f) - f^{(s)} \right\|_r \sim \xi$$

where the constants in the equivalence depend only on f , q , p , r , r_1 .

Proof. (i) We get

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{W}_\xi^*(f; q, z) - f(z) \\ &= \frac{(q+1)}{2\sqrt{\xi}\Gamma_{q^2}(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{1-q^2}}} (f(ze^{-it}) - 2f(z) + f(ze^{it})) E_{q^2} \left(-q^2 \frac{t^2}{\xi} \right) d_q t. \end{aligned}$$

For $|z| = 1$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \mathcal{W}_\xi^*(f; q, z) - f(z) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{(q+1)}{2\sqrt{\xi}\Gamma_{q^2}(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{1-q^2}}} |f(ze^{-it}) - 2f(z) + f(ze^{it})| E_{q^2} \left(-q^2 \frac{t^2}{\xi} \right) d_q t \\ & \leq \frac{(q+1)}{2\sqrt{\xi}\Gamma_{q^2}(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{1-q^2}}} \omega_2(f; t)_{\partial\mathbb{D}} E_{q^2} \left(-q^2 \frac{t^2}{\xi} \right) d_q t \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \omega_2 \left(f; \sqrt{\xi} \right)_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \frac{(q+1)}{2\sqrt{\xi}\Gamma_{q^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)} \int_0^{\sqrt{\frac{\xi}{1-q^2}}} \left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{\xi}} + 1 \right)^2 E_{q^2} \left(-q^2 \frac{t^2}{\xi} \right) d_q t.$$

We can write the q -derivative of the equality $t = \sqrt{\xi} \sqrt{u}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} D_{q^2}(t) &= \sqrt{\xi} \frac{\sqrt{u} - \sqrt{q^2 u}}{(1-q^2)u} \\ &= \sqrt{\xi} \frac{1}{(q+1)\sqrt{u}}. \end{aligned}$$

Also, using the change of variable formula for q -integral with $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(q+1)}{2\xi\sqrt{\xi}\Gamma_{q^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)} \int_0^{\sqrt{\frac{\xi}{1-q^2}}} t^2 E_{q^2} \left(-q^2 \frac{t^2}{\xi} \right) d_q t &= \frac{1}{2\Gamma_{q^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)} \int_0^{\frac{1}{1-q^2}} u^{\frac{1}{2}} E_{q^2} (-q^2 u) d_{q^2} u \\ &= \frac{\Gamma_{q^2} \left(\frac{3}{2} \right)}{2\Gamma_{q^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)} < \infty \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(q+1)}{\xi\Gamma_{q^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)} \int_0^{\sqrt{\frac{\xi}{1-q^2}}} t E_{q^2} \left(-q^2 \frac{t^2}{\xi} \right) d_q t &= \frac{1}{\Gamma_{q^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)} \int_0^{\frac{1}{1-q^2}} E_{q^2} (-q^2 u) d_{q^2} u \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma_{q^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have desired result.

- (ii) We follow here the ideas in the proof of [76, pp. 269–272]. We can easily see that for $r \geq 1$,

$$\omega_2 \left(f; \sqrt{\xi} \right) \leq C_{r,q}(f) \xi,$$

where

$$\omega_2 \left(f; \sqrt{\xi} \right)_{\partial \mathbb{D}_r} = \sup \left\{ \Delta_u^2 f(re^{it}) : |u| < \sqrt{\xi} \right\}.$$

From (i) we have

$$\left\| \mathcal{W}_\xi^*(f) - f \right\|_r \leq C_{r,q}(f) \xi$$

for all $\xi \in (0, 1]$ and $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}_r}$ (see [76]).

Now, we find the upper estimate in (ii) by using the Cauchy’s formulas. Let γ be a circle of radius $r_1 > 1$ and center 0. For $u \in \gamma$, we get

$$\left| f^{(s)}(z) - \mathcal{W}_\xi^{*(s)}(f)(z) \right| = \frac{s!}{2\pi} \left| \int_\gamma \frac{f(u) - (\mathcal{W}_\xi^*)(f)(u)}{(u-z)^{s+1}} du \right|.$$

This equality implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| D_q^{(s)} f - D_q^{(s)} \mathcal{W}_\xi^*(f) \right\|_r &\leq \left\| f^{(s)} - \mathcal{W}_\xi^{*(s)}(f) \right\|_r \\ &\leq C_{r_1,q}(f) \xi \frac{s!r_1}{(r_1-r)^{s+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

For the lower estimate in (ii), firstly, let us show the \mathcal{W}_ξ^* operator as series. Using (i), for the $\mathcal{W}_\xi^*(f)$ operator, we get

$$\mathcal{W}_\xi^*(f)(z) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k d_k^*(\xi, q) z^k,$$

where

$$d_k^*(\xi, q) = \frac{(q+1)}{\sqrt{\xi} \Gamma_{q^2}(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{1-q^2}}} \cos(kt) E_{q^2} \left(-q^2 \frac{t^2}{\xi} \right) d_{qt}.$$

By the mean value theorem applied to $h(t) = \cos kt$ on $[0, t]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |d_k^*(\xi, q)| &\leq \frac{(q+1)}{\sqrt{\xi} \Gamma_{q^2}(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{1-q^2}}} |\cos kt| E_{q^2} \left(-q^2 \frac{t^2}{\xi} \right) d_{qt} \\ &\leq \frac{(q+1)}{\sqrt{\xi} \Gamma_{q^2}(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{1-q^2}}} (1+kt) E_{q^2} \left(-q^2 \frac{t^2}{\xi} \right) d_{qt} \\ &= 1 + k \frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{\Gamma_{q^2}(\frac{1}{2})}. \end{aligned} \tag{7.7}$$

Using q -derivative and taking $z = re^{i\varphi}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[D_q^{(s)} f(z) - D_q^{(s)} (\mathcal{W}_\xi^*)(f)(z) \right] e^{-ip\varphi} \\ &= \sum_{k=s}^\infty a_k [k]_q [k-1]_q \dots [k-s+1]_q r^{k-s} e^{i(k-s-p)\varphi} [1 - d_k^*(\xi, q)]. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating from $-\pi$ to π , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left[D_q^{(s)} f(z) - D_q^{(s)} \left(\mathcal{W}_{\xi}^* \right) (f) (z) \right] e^{-ip\varphi} d\varphi \\ &= a_{s+p} [s+p]_q [s+p-1]_q \dots [p+1]_q r^p [1 - d_{s+p}^* (\xi, q)]. \end{aligned}$$

Then, passing to absolute value and using (7.7), we easily obtain for $\xi \in (0, 1]$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| D_q^{(s)} f - D_q^{(s)} \left(\mathcal{W}_{\xi}^* \right) (f) \right\|_r \\ & \geq |a_{s+p}| [s+p]_q [s+p-1]_q \dots [p+1]_q r^p |1 - d_{s+p}^* (\xi, q)| \\ & \geq |a_{s+p}| [s+p]_q [s+p-1]_q \dots [p+1]_q r^p |1 - |d_{s+p}^* (\xi, q)|| \\ & \geq |a_{s+p}| [s+p]_q [s+p-1]_q \dots [p+1]_q r^p (s+p) \frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{\Gamma_{q^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)} \\ & \geq |a_{s+p}| [s+p]_q [s+p-1]_q \dots [p+1]_q r^p (s+p) \frac{\xi}{\Gamma_{q^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using this inequality, we have for $p \geq 1$ and $\xi \in (0, 1]$

$$\left\| f - \mathcal{W}_{\xi}^* (f) \right\|_r \geq |a_p| r^p \frac{\xi}{\Gamma_{q^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)}.$$

Thus, we can say that if there exists a subsequence $(\xi_k)_k$ in $(0, 1]$ with $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \xi_k = 0$ and such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|f - \mathcal{W}_{\xi_k}^* (f)\|_r}{\xi_k} = 0$, then $a_p = 0$ for all $p \geq 1$, that is, f is constant on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}_r$.

Therefore, if f is not constant, then for $\xi \in (0, 1]$, there exists a constant $C_{r,q}(f) > 0$ such that $\left\| f - \mathcal{W}_{\xi}^* (f) \right\|_r \geq \xi C_{r,q}(f)$.

Now, we consider $s \geq 1$. We can write

$$\left\| D_q^{(s)} f - D_q^{(s)} \left(\mathcal{W}_{\xi}^* \right) (f) \right\|_r \geq |a_{s+p}| [s+p]_q [s+p-1]_q \dots [p+1]_q r^p (s+p) \frac{\xi}{\Gamma_{q^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)}$$

for $\xi \in (0, 1]$ and for all $p \geq 0$. Similarly, if there exists a subsequence $(\xi_k)_k$ in $(0, 1]$ with $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \xi_k = 0$ and such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|D_q^{(s)} f - D_q^{(s)} \left(\mathcal{W}_{\xi_k}^* \right) (f)\|_r}{\xi_k} = 0$, then $a_{s+p} = 0$ for all $p \geq 0$, that is, f is a polynomial degree $\leq s - 1$ on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}_r$.

Therefore, if f is not a polynomial of degree $\leq s - 1$, then for $\xi \in (0, 1]$, there exists a constant $C_{r,q}(f) > 0$ such that

$$\left\| D_q^{(s)} f - D_q^{(s)} \left(\mathcal{W}_{\xi}^* \right) (f) \right\|_r \geq \xi C_{r,q}(f). \quad \blacksquare$$