Chapter 25 Distances Defined by Zonoids

The goals of this chapter are to:

- Introduce N-distances defined by zonoids,
- Explain the connections between \mathfrak{N} -distances and zonoids.

Notation introduced in this chapter:

Notation	Description
h(K, u)	Support function of a convex body
$K_1 \oplus K_2$	Minkowski sum of sets K_1 and K_2
S^{d-1}	Unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^d

25.1 Introduction

Suppose that \mathfrak{X} is a metric space with the distance ρ . It is well known (Schoenberg 1938) that \mathfrak{X} is isometric to a subspace of a Hilbert space if and only if ρ^2 is a negative definite kernel. The so-called \mathfrak{N} -distance (Klebanov 2005) is a variant of a construction of a distance on a space of measures on \mathfrak{X} such that \mathfrak{N}^2 is a negative definite kernel. Such a construction is possible if and only if ρ^2 is a strongly negative definite kernel on \mathfrak{X} .

In this chapter, we show that the supporting function of any zonoid in \mathbb{R}^d is a negative definite first-degree homogeneous function. The inverse is also true. If the support of a generating measure of a zonoid coincides with the unit sphere, then the supporting function is strongly negative definite, and therefore it generates a distance on the space of Borel probability measures on \mathbb{R}^d .

25.2 Main Notions and Definitions

Here we review some known definitions and facts from stochastic geometry.¹

Let \mathfrak{C} (resp. \mathfrak{C}') be the system of all compact convex sets (resp. nonempty compact convex sets) in \mathbb{R}^d . A set $K \in \mathfrak{C}'$ is called a convex body if $K \in \mathfrak{C}'$; then for each $u \in S^{d-1}$ there is exactly one number h(K, u) such that the hyperplane

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle x, u \rangle - h(K, u) = 0\}$$
(25.2.1)

intersects K, and $\langle x, u \rangle - h(K, u) \leq 0$ for each $x \in K$. This hyperplane is called the *support hyperplane*, and the function h(K, u), $u \in S^{d-1}$ (where S^{d-1} is the unit sphere), is the *support function* (restricted to S^{d-1}) of K. Equivalently, one can define

$$h(K, u) = \sup\{\langle x, u \rangle, \ x \in K\}, \ u \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

$$(25.2.2)$$

Its geometrical meaning is the signed distance of the support hyperplane from the coordinate origin.

An important property of h(K, u) is its additivity:

$$h(K_1 \oplus K_2, u) = h(K_1, u) + h(K_2, u),$$

where $K_1 \oplus K_2 = \{a + b : a \in K_1, b \in K_2\}$ is the Minkowski sum of K_1 and K_2 . For $K \in \mathfrak{C}'$ let $\check{K} = \{-k, k \in K\}$. We say that K is *centrally symmetric* if $K' = \check{K}'$ for some translate K', i.e., if K has a center of symmetry.

The Minkowski sum of finitely many centered line segments is called a *zonotope*. Consider a zonotope

$$\mathcal{Z} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} a_i [v_i, -v_i],$$
(25.2.3)

where $a_i > 0, v_i \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. Its support function is given by

$$h(\mathcal{Z}, u) = h_{\mathcal{Z}}(u) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i |\langle u, v_i \rangle|.$$
 (25.2.4)

We use the notation \mathcal{K}' for the space of all compact subsets of \mathbb{R}^d with the Hausdorff metric

$$d_H(K_1, K_2) = \max\{\sup_{x \in K_1} \operatorname{dist}(x, K_2), \sup_{y \in K_2} \operatorname{dist}(y, K_1)\},$$
(25.2.5)

where $dist(x, K) = inf_{z \in K} ||x - z||$.

¹See, for example, Ziegler (1995) and Beneš and Rataj (2004).

A set $\mathcal{Z} \in \mathfrak{C}'$ is called a *zonoid* if it is a limit in a d_H distance of a sequence of zonotopes.

It is known that a convex body \mathcal{Z} is a zonoid if and only if its support function has a representation

$$h(\mathcal{Z}, u) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |\langle u, v \rangle| \mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathcal{Z}}(v)$$
 (25.2.6)

for an even measure $\mu_{\mathcal{Z}}$ on \mathbb{S}^{d-1} . The measure $\mu_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is called the *generating measure* of \mathcal{Z} . It is known that the generating measure is unique for each zonoid \mathcal{Z} .

25.3 N-Distances

Suppose that $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{A})$ is a measurable space and \mathcal{L} is a strongly negative definite kernel on \mathfrak{X} . Denote by $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{L}}$ the set of all probabilities μ on $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{A})$ for which there exists the integral

$$\int_{\mathfrak{X}} \int_{\mathfrak{X}} \mathcal{L}(x, y) \mathrm{d}\mu(x) \mathrm{d}\mu(y) < \infty.$$
(25.3.1)

For $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{L}}$ consider

$$\mathcal{N}(\mu, \nu) = 2 \int_{\mathfrak{X}} \int_{\mathfrak{X}} \mathcal{L}(x, y) d\mu(x) d\nu(y) - \int_{\mathfrak{X}} \int_{\mathfrak{X}} \mathcal{L}(x, y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y) - \int_{\mathfrak{X}} \int_{\mathfrak{X}} \mathcal{L}(x, y) d\nu(x) d\nu(y).$$
(25.3.2)

It is known (Klebanov 2005) that

$$\mathfrak{N}(\mu,\nu) = \left(\mathcal{N}(\mu,\nu)\right)^{1/2}$$

is a distance on $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{L}}$.

Described below are some examples of negative definite kernels.

Example 25.3.1. Let $\mathfrak{X} = \mathbb{R}^1$. For $r \in [0, 2]$ define

$$\mathcal{L}_r(x, y) = |x - y|^r.$$

The function \mathcal{L}_r is a negative definite kernel. For $r \in (0, 2)$, \mathcal{L}_r is a strongly negative definite kernel.

For the proof of the statement in this example and the statement in the next example (Example 25.3.2), see Klebanov (2005).

Example 25.3.2. Let $\mathcal{L}(x, y) = f(x - y)$, where f(t) is a continuous function on \mathbb{R}^d , f(0) = 0, f(-t) = f(t). \mathcal{L} is a negative definite kernel if and only of

$$f(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(1 - \cos\langle t, u \rangle \right) \frac{1 + \|u\|^2}{\|u\|^2} d\Theta(u),$$
(25.3.3)

where Θ is a finite measure on \mathbb{R}^d . Representation (25.3.3) is unique. Kernel \mathcal{L} is strongly negative definite if the support of the measure Θ coincides with the whole space \mathbb{R}^d .

We will give an alternative proof for the fact that |x - y| is a negative definite kernel. For the case $\mathfrak{X} = \mathbb{R}^1$ define

$$\mathcal{L}(x, y) = 2\max(x, y) - x - y = |x - y|.$$
(25.3.4)

Then \mathcal{L} is a negative definite kernel.

Proof. It is sufficient to show that max(x, y) is a negative definite kernel. For arbitrary $a \in \mathbb{R}^1$ consider

$$u_a(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x < a, \\ 0, & x \ge a. \end{cases}$$
(25.3.5)

It is clear that

$$u_a(\max(x, y)) = u_a(x)u_a(y)$$

Let F(a) be a nondecreasing bounded function on \mathbb{R}^1 . Define

$$\mathcal{K}(x, y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_a(\max(x, y)) \mathrm{d}F(a).$$

For any integer n > 1 and arbitrary c_1, \ldots, c_n under condition $\sum_{j=1}^n c_j = 0$ we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathcal{K}(x_i, x_j) c_i c_j = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} u_a(x_i) u_a(x_j) c_i c_j dF(a)$$
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_a(x_i) c_i \right)^2 dF(a) \ge 0.$$

But

$$\mathcal{K}(x, y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_a(\max(x, y)) dF(a)$$
$$= F(+\infty) - F(\max(x, y)).$$

Let us fix arbitrary A > 0 and apply the previous equality to the function

$$F(a) = F_A(a) = \begin{cases} A & \text{for } a > A, \\ a & \text{for } -A \le a \le A, \\ -A & \text{for } a < -A. \end{cases}$$
(25.3.6)

In this case, $\mathcal{K}(x, y) = A - \max(x, y)$ for $x, y \in [-A, A]$, and, as $A \to \infty$, we obtain that $\max(x, y)$ is a negative definite kernel.

Directly from the definition of a negative definite kernel and Example 25.3.1 we obtain the next example.

Example 25.3.3. Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^1$. Define

$$\mathcal{L}(x, y) = |f(x) - f(y)|.$$

Then \mathcal{L} is a negative definite kernel.

Of course, the mixture of negative definite kernels is again a negative definite kernel.

Example 25.3.4. Let us choose and fix a vector $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and consider the kernel

$$\mathcal{L}_{\theta}(x, y) = |\langle x, \theta \rangle - \langle y, \theta \rangle|.$$

From previous considerations it is clear that \mathcal{L}_{θ} is a negative definite kernel on \mathbb{R}^d , and for the σ -finite measure Ξ

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Xi}(x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \mathcal{L}_{\theta}(x, y) d\Xi(\theta)$$
(25.3.7)

is, again, a negative definite kernel.

Consider expression (25.3.2) constructed on the basis of (25.3.7). Let us rewrite (25.3.2) in a different form. Suppose that X and Y are two random vectors in \mathbb{R}^d with distributions μ and ν , respectively. We write $\mathcal{N}(X, Y)$ instead of $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \nu)$, so that

$$\mathcal{N}(X,Y) = 2E\mathcal{L}_{\Xi}(X,Y) - E\mathcal{L}_{\Xi}(X,X') - E\mathcal{L}_{\Xi}(Y,Y'),$$

where $X' \stackrel{d}{=} X$ and $Y' \stackrel{d}{=} Y$ are independent copies of X and Y, respectively. Note that we use the sign $\stackrel{d}{=}$ for the equality in a distribution. We have

$$\mathcal{N}(X,Y) = E \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} [4 \max(\langle X,\theta\rangle, \langle Y,\theta\rangle) - 2 \max(\langle Y,\theta\rangle, \langle Y',\theta\rangle)] d\Xi(\theta).$$

Denote $X_{\theta} = \langle X, \theta \rangle, Y_{\theta} = \langle Y, \theta \rangle$. Then

$$\mathcal{N}(X,Y) = 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \lim_{A \to \infty} E \int_{-A}^{A} (u_a(X_\theta) u_a(X_\theta') + u_a(Y_\theta) u_a(Y_\theta') - 2u_a(X_\theta) u_a(Y_\theta)) dF_A(a) d\Xi(\theta).$$

But $Eu_a(X_\theta) = \Pr\{X_\theta < a\}$, and therefore

$$\mathcal{N}(X,Y) = 2 \lim_{A \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \mathrm{d}\Xi(\theta) \int_{-A}^{A} \left(\mathrm{Pr}\{X_{\theta} < a\} \mathrm{Pr}\{X_{\theta}' < a\} + \mathrm{Pr}\{Y_{\theta} < a\} \mathrm{Pr}\{Y_{\theta}' < a\} - 2\mathrm{Pr}\{X_{\theta} < a\} \mathrm{Pr}\{Y_{\theta} < a\} \right) \mathrm{d}F_{A}(a)$$
$$= 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \mathrm{d}\Xi(\theta) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(F_{\theta}(a) - G_{\theta}(a) \right)^{2} \mathrm{d}a,$$

where $F_{\theta}(a) = \Pr\{X_{\theta} < a\}, G_{\theta}(a) = \Pr\{Y_{\theta} < a\}$. So finally we have

$$\mathcal{N}(X,Y) = 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \mathrm{d}\Xi(\theta) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(F_{\theta}(a) - G_{\theta}(a) \right)^2 \mathrm{d}a.$$
(25.3.8)

If the support of Ξ coincides with \mathbb{S}^{d-1} , then $\mathfrak{N}(X,Y) = \left(\mathcal{N}(X,Y)\right)^{1/2}$ is a distance between the distributions of *X* and *Y*.

Let us return to the kernel

$$\mathcal{L}_{\theta}(x, y) = 2 \max(\langle x, \theta \rangle, \langle y, \theta \rangle) - \langle x, \theta \rangle - \langle y, \theta \rangle.$$

Choose arbitrary $\theta_o \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, and consider the measure

$$\Xi_o = rac{1}{2}ig(\delta_{ heta_o} + \delta_{- heta_o}ig),$$

where δ_{θ_o} is the measure concentrated at point θ_o . Then

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Xi_{\theta_o}}(x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \mathcal{L}_{\theta}(x, y) d\Xi_o(\theta)$$

= max($\langle x, \theta_o \rangle, \langle y, \theta_o \rangle$) + max($-\langle x, \theta_o \rangle, -\langle y, \theta_o \rangle$)
= $|\langle x - y, \theta \rangle|.$

Now, if we have an arbitrary even measure Ξ_s on sphere \mathbb{S}^{d-1} , then

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Xi_s}(x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \mathcal{L}_{\theta}(x, y) d\Xi_s(\theta)$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |\langle x - y, \theta \rangle| d\Xi_s(\theta)$$

is a negative definite kernel. Let us note that the function

$$h(z) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |\langle z, \theta \rangle| d\Xi_s(\theta), \ z \in \mathbb{R}^d$$
(25.3.9)

is the support function of a zonoid with generating measure Ξ_s .

Summarizing all the preceding relations we may formulate the following result.

Theorem 25.3.1. Each zonoid Z generates a negative definite kernel on \mathbb{R}^d

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Z}}(x,y) = h_{\mathcal{Z}}(x-y) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |\langle x-y,\theta\rangle| \mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathcal{Z}}(\theta).$$
(25.3.10)

This kernel is strongly negative definite if the support of $\mu_{\mathcal{Z}}$ coincides with the whole sphere \mathbb{S}^{d-1} , and

$$\mathcal{N}(\mu,\nu) = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Z}}(x,y) d\mu(x) d\nu(y) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Z}}(x,y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Z}}(x,y) d\nu(x) d\nu(y)$$

is the square of a distance between measures $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{L}}$. This distance has the following representation:

$$\mathfrak{N}(\mu,\nu) = \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathcal{Z}}(\theta) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (F_{\theta}(a) - G_{\theta}(a))^2 \mathrm{d}a\right)^{1/2}, \qquad (25.3.11)$$

where

$$\mu(\mathcal{A}) = \Pr\{X \in \mathcal{A}\}, \ \nu(\mathcal{A}) = \Pr\{Y \in \mathcal{A}\},\$$

$$F_{\theta}(a) = \Pr\{\langle X, \theta \rangle < a\}, \ G_{\theta}(a) = \Pr\{\langle Y, \theta \rangle < a\}.$$
 (25.3.12)

According to Example 25.3.2, the function $h_{\mathcal{Z}}(u)$ from (25.3.10) may be represented in the form (25.3.3). Let us investigate the connection between $\mu_{\mathcal{Z}}$ in (25.3.10) and Θ in (25.3.3). To do so, we will use the following identity:

$$|z| = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty (1 - \cos(zt)) \frac{dt}{t^2}.$$
 (25.3.13)

We have

$$h_{\mathcal{Z}}(u) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \int_0^\infty (1 - \cos\langle u, \theta \rangle) \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t^2} \mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathcal{Z}}(\theta)$$
$$= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 - \cos\langle u, v \rangle) \frac{1 + \|v\|^2}{\|v\|^2} \mathrm{d}\Theta(v).$$

So

$$d\Theta(v) = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{1}{1+t^2} dt d\mu(\theta),$$

$$v = t \cdot \theta, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}, \quad t \ge 0.$$
(25.3.14)

If $h_{\mathcal{Z}}(u)$ is a support function of a zonoid \mathcal{Z} , then clearly

$$h_{\mathcal{Z}}(\tau \cdot u) = \tau h_{\mathcal{Z}}(u)$$

for all $\tau > 0$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and, as was shown previously, $h_{\mathcal{Z}}(x - y)$ is a negative definite kernel. The inverse is also true.

Theorem 25.3.2. Suppose that f is a continuous function on \mathbb{R}^d such that f(0) = 0, f(-u) = f(u). Then the following facts are equivalent:

Fact 1. $f(\tau \cdot u) = \tau f(u)$ and f(x - y) is a negative definite kernel. Fact 2. f is a support function of a zonoid.

Proof. Previously we saw that Fact 2 implies Fact 1, and we must prove only that Fact 1 implies Fact 2. According to Example 25.3.2,

$$f(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(1 - \cos\langle u, v \rangle \right) \mathrm{d}\Theta_1(v), \qquad (25.3.15)$$

where

$$d\Theta_1(v) = \frac{1 + \|v\|^2}{\|v\|^2} d\Theta(v),$$

and Θ is the measure from (25.3.3).

We have

$$f(\tau \cdot u) = \tau f(u) \tag{25.3.16}$$

for any $\tau > 0$, $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Substituting (25.3.15) into (25.3.16) and using the uniqueness of the measure Θ in (25.3.3) we obtain

References

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 - \cos\langle \tau \cdot u, v \rangle) d\Theta_1(v) = \tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 - \cos\langle u, v \rangle) d\Theta_1(v),$$
$$(1 - \cos\langle u, v \rangle) d\Theta_1(v/\tau) = \tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 - \cos\langle u, v \rangle) d\Theta_1(v)$$

and

$$\Theta_1(v/\tau) = \tau \Theta_1(v).$$

We write here $v = r \cdot w$ for r > 0 and $w \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. We have

$$\Theta_1(r\tau\cdot w)=\tau\Theta_1(r\cdot w)$$

and, finally, for $\tau = r$,

$$\Theta_1(r \cdot w) = \frac{1}{r} \Theta_1(w).$$
 (25.3.17)

It is clear that representation (25.3.15) for Θ_1 of the form (25.3.17) coincides with (25.3.14).²

Note that the \mathfrak{N} -distance can be bounded by the Hausdorf distance. Let \mathcal{Z}_{μ} and \mathcal{Z}_{ν} be two zonoids with generating measures μ and ν , respectively. The following inequality holds for their supporting functions $h(\mathcal{Z}_{\mu}, u)$ and $h(\mathcal{Z}_{\nu}, u)$:

$$|h(\mathcal{Z}_{\mu}, u) - h(\mathcal{Z}_{\nu}, u)| \le d_H(\mathcal{Z}_{\mu}, \mathcal{Z}_{\nu}).$$

Obviously, from this inequality it follows that

$$\mathcal{N}(\mu,\nu) \leq 2d_H(\mathcal{Z}_\mu,\mathcal{Z}_\nu),$$

and therefore

$$\mathfrak{N}(\mu,\nu) \le (2d_H(\mathcal{Z}_\mu,\mathcal{Z}_\nu))^{1/2}.$$
(25.3.18)

Note that each \mathfrak{N} -distance generated by a zonoid is an ideal distance of degree 1/2.

References

Beneš V, Rataj J (2004) Stochastic geometry: selected topics. Kluwer, Boston

Burger M (2000) Zonoids and conditionally positive definite functions. Portugaliae Mathematica 57:443–458

Klebanov LB (2005) N-distances and their applications. Karolinum Press, Prague

Schoenberg IJ (1938) Metric spaces and positive definite functions. Trans Am Math Soc 44:552–563

Ziegler GM (1995) Lectures on polytopes. Springer, New York

²An alternative proof of Theorem 25.3.2 is provided in Burger (2000).