

# Chapter 7

## Group Actions and Permutation Representations

In this chapter we link representation theory with the theory of group actions and permutation groups. Once again, we are only able to provide a brief glimpse of these connections; see [3] for more. In this chapter all groups are assumed to be finite and all actions of groups are taken to be on finite sets.

### 7.1 Group Actions

Let us begin by recalling the definition of a group action. If  $X$  is a set, then  $S_X$  will denote the symmetric group on  $X$ . We shall tacitly assume  $|X| \geq 2$ , as the case  $|X| = 1$  is uninteresting.

**Definition 7.1.1 (Group action).** An *action* of a group  $G$  on a set  $X$  is a homomorphism  $\sigma: G \rightarrow S_X$ . We often write  $\sigma_g$  for  $\sigma(g)$ . The cardinality of  $X$  is called the *degree* of the action.

*Example 7.1.2 (Regular action).* Let  $G$  be a group and define  $\lambda: G \rightarrow S_G$  by  $\lambda_g(x) = gx$ . Then  $\lambda$  is called the *regular action* of  $G$  on  $G$ .

A subset  $Y \subseteq X$  is called  *$G$ -invariant* if  $\sigma_g(y) \in Y$  for all  $y \in Y$ ,  $g \in G$ . One can always partition  $X$  into a disjoint union of minimal  $G$ -invariant subsets called *orbits*.

**Definition 7.1.3 (Orbit).** Let  $\sigma: G \rightarrow S_X$  be a group action. The *orbit* of  $x \in X$  under  $G$  is the set  $G \cdot x = \{\sigma_g(x) \mid g \in G\}$ .

Clearly, the orbits are  $G$ -invariant. A standard course in group theory proves that distinct orbits are disjoint and the union of all the orbits is  $X$ , that is, the orbits form a partition of  $X$  (cf. [11]). Of particular importance is the case when there is just one orbit.

**Definition 7.1.4 (Transitive).** A group action  $\sigma: G \rightarrow S_X$  is *transitive* if, for all  $x, y \in X$ , there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $\sigma_g(x) = y$ . Equivalently, the action is transitive if there is one orbit of  $G$  on  $X$ .

*Example 7.1.5 (Coset action).* If  $G$  is a group and  $H$  a subgroup, then there is an action  $\sigma: G \rightarrow S_{G/H}$  given by  $\sigma_g(xH) = gxH$ . This action is transitive.

An even stronger property than transitivity is that of 2-transitivity.

**Definition 7.1.6 (2-transitive).** An action  $\sigma: G \rightarrow S_X$  of  $G$  on  $X$  is *2-transitive* if given any two pairs of distinct elements  $x, y \in X$  and  $x', y' \in X$ , there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $\sigma_g(x) = x'$  and  $\sigma_g(y) = y'$ .

*Example 7.1.7 (Symmetric groups).* For  $n \geq 2$ , the action of  $S_n$  on  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  is 2-transitive. Indeed, let  $i \neq j$  and  $k \neq \ell$  be pairs of elements of  $X$ . Let  $X = \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i, j\}$  and  $Y = \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{k, \ell\}$ . Then  $|X| = n - 2 = |Y|$ , so we can choose a bijection  $\alpha: X \rightarrow Y$ . Define  $\tau \in S_n$  by

$$\tau(m) = \begin{cases} k & m = i \\ \ell & m = j \\ \alpha(m) & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $\tau(i) = k$  and  $\tau(j) = \ell$ . This establishes that  $S_n$  is 2-transitive.

Let us put this notion into a more general context.

**Definition 7.1.8 (Orbital).** Let  $\sigma: G \rightarrow S_X$  be a transitive group action. Define  $\sigma^2: G \rightarrow S_{X \times X}$  by

$$\sigma_g^2(x_1, x_2) = (\sigma_g(x_1), \sigma_g(x_2)).$$

An orbit of  $\sigma^2$  is termed an *orbital* of  $\sigma$ . The number of orbitals is called the *rank* of  $\sigma$ .

Let  $\Delta = \{(x, x) \mid x \in X\}$ . As  $\sigma_g^2(x, x) = (\sigma_g(x), \sigma_g(x))$ , it follows from the transitivity of  $G$  on  $X$  that  $\Delta$  is an orbital. It is called the *diagonal* or *trivial orbital*.

*Remark 7.1.9.* Orbitals are closely related to graph theory. If  $G$  acts transitively on  $X$ , then any non-trivial orbital can be viewed as the edge set of a graph with vertex set  $X$  (by symmetrizing). The group  $G$  acts on the resulting graph as a vertex-transitive group of automorphisms.

**Proposition 7.1.10.** Let  $\sigma: G \rightarrow S_X$  be a group action (with  $X \geq 2$ ). Then  $\sigma$  is 2-transitive if and only if  $\sigma$  is transitive and  $\text{rank}(\sigma) = 2$ .

*Proof.* First we observe that transitivity is necessary for 2-transitivity since if  $G$  is 2-transitive on  $X$  and  $x, y \in X$ , then we may choose  $x' \neq x$  and  $y' \neq y$ .

By 2-transitivity there exists  $g \in G$  with  $\sigma_g(x) = y$  and  $\sigma_g(x') = y'$ . This shows that  $\sigma$  is transitive. Next observe that

$$(X \times X) \setminus \Delta = \{(x, y) \mid x \neq y\}$$

and so the complement of  $\Delta$  is an orbital if and only if, for any two pairs  $x \neq y$  and  $x' \neq y'$  of distinct elements, there exists  $g \in G$  with  $\sigma_g(x) = x'$  and  $\sigma_g(y) = y'$ , that is,  $\sigma$  is 2-transitive.  $\square$

Consequently the rank of  $S_n$  is 2. Let  $\sigma: G \rightarrow S_X$  be a group action. Then, for  $g \in G$ , we define

$$\text{Fix}(g) = \{x \in X \mid \sigma_g(x) = x\}$$

to be the set of *fixed points* of  $g$ . Let  $\text{Fix}^2(g)$  be the set of fixed points of  $g$  on  $X \times X$ . The notation is unambiguous because of the following proposition.

**Proposition 7.1.11.** *Let  $\sigma: G \rightarrow S_X$  be a group action. Then the equality*

$$\text{Fix}^2(g) = \text{Fix}(g) \times \text{Fix}(g)$$

*holds. Hence  $|\text{Fix}^2(g)| = |\text{Fix}(g)|^2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ . Then  $\sigma_g^2(x, y) = (\sigma_g(x), \sigma_g(y))$  and so  $(x, y) = \sigma_g^2(x, y)$  if and only if  $\sigma_g(x) = x$  and  $\sigma_g(y) = y$ . We conclude  $\text{Fix}^2(g) = \text{Fix}(g) \times \text{Fix}(g)$ .  $\square$

## 7.2 Permutation Representations

Given a group action  $\sigma: G \rightarrow S_n$ , we may compose it with the standard representation  $\alpha: S_n \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  to obtain a representation of  $G$ . Let us formalize this.

**Definition 7.2.1 (Permutation representation).** Let  $\sigma: G \rightarrow S_X$  be a group action. Define a representation  $\tilde{\sigma}: G \rightarrow GL(\mathbb{C}X)$  by setting

$$\tilde{\sigma}_g \left( \sum_{x \in X} c_x x \right) = \sum_{x \in X} c_x \sigma_g(x) = \sum_{y \in X} c_{\sigma_{g^{-1}}(y)} y.$$

One calls  $\tilde{\sigma}$  the *permutation representation* associated to  $\sigma$ .

*Remark 7.2.2.* Notice that  $\tilde{\sigma}_g$  is the linear extension of the map defined on the basis  $X$  of  $\mathbb{C}X$  by sending  $x$  to  $\sigma_g(x)$ . Also, observe that the degree of the representation  $\tilde{\sigma}$  is the same as the degree of the group action  $\sigma$ .

*Example 7.2.3 (Regular representation).* Let  $\lambda: G \rightarrow S_G$  be the regular action. Then one has  $\tilde{\lambda} = L$ , the regular representation.

The following proposition is proved exactly as in the case of the regular representation (cf. Proposition 4.4.2), so we omit the proof.

**Proposition 7.2.4.** *Let  $\sigma: G \rightarrow S_X$  be a group action. Then the permutation representation  $\tilde{\sigma}: G \rightarrow GL(\mathbb{C}X)$  is a unitary representation of  $G$ .*

Next we compute the character of  $\tilde{\sigma}$ .

**Proposition 7.2.5.** *Let  $\sigma: G \rightarrow S_X$  be a group action. Then*

$$\chi_{\tilde{\sigma}}(g) = |\text{Fix}(g)|.$$

*Proof.* Let  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  and let  $[\tilde{\sigma}_g]$  be the matrix of  $\tilde{\sigma}$  with respect to this basis. Then  $\tilde{\sigma}_g(x_j) = \sigma_g(x_j)$ , so

$$[\tilde{\sigma}_g]_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & x_i = \sigma_g(x_j) \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} [\tilde{\sigma}_g]_{ii} &= \begin{cases} 1 & x_i = \sigma_g(x_i) \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 & x_i \in \text{Fix}(g) \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and so  $\chi_{\tilde{\sigma}}(g) = \text{Tr}([\tilde{\sigma}_g]) = |\text{Fix}(g)|$ . □

Like the regular representation, permutation representations are never irreducible (if  $|X| > 1$ ). To understand better how it decomposes, we first consider the trivial component.

**Definition 7.2.6 (Fixed subspace).** Let  $\varphi: G \rightarrow GL(V)$  be a representation. Then

$$V^G = \{v \in V \mid \varphi_g(v) = v \text{ for all } g \in G\}$$

is the *fixed subspace* of  $G$ .

One easily verifies that  $V^G$  is a  $G$ -invariant subspace and the subrepresentation  $\varphi|_{V^G}$  is equivalent to  $\dim V^G$  copies of the trivial representation. Let us prove that  $V^G$  is the direct sum of all the copies of the trivial representation in  $\varphi$ .

**Proposition 7.2.7.** *Let  $\varphi: G \rightarrow GL(V)$  be a representation and let  $\chi_1$  be the trivial character of  $G$ . Then  $\langle \chi_\varphi, \chi_1 \rangle = \dim V^G$ .*

*Proof.* Write  $V = m_1 V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus m_s V_s$  where  $V_1, \dots, V_s$  are irreducible  $G$ -invariant subspaces whose associated subrepresentations range over the distinct equivalence

classes of irreducible representations of  $G$  (we allow  $m_i = 0$ ). Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $V_1$  is equivalent to the trivial representation. Let  $\varphi^{(i)}$  be the restriction of  $\varphi$  to  $V_i$ . Now if  $v \in V$ , then  $v = v_1 + \cdots + v_s$  with the  $v_i \in m_i V_i$  and

$$\varphi_g v = (m_1 \varphi^{(1)})_g v_1 + \cdots + (m_s \varphi^{(s)})_g v_s = v_1 + (m_2 \varphi^{(2)})_g v_2 + \cdots + (m_s \varphi^{(s)})_g v_s$$

and so  $g \in V^G$  if and only if  $v_i \in m_i V_i^G$  for all  $2 \leq i \leq s$ . In other words,

$$V^G = m_1 V_1 \oplus m_2 V_2^G \oplus \cdots \oplus m_s V_s^G.$$

Let  $i \geq 2$ . Since  $V_i$  is irreducible and not equivalent to the trivial representation and  $V_i^G$  is  $G$ -invariant, it follows  $V_i^G = 0$ . Thus  $V^G = m_1 V_1$  and so the multiplicity of the trivial representation in  $\varphi$  is  $\dim V^G$ , as required.  $\square$

Now we compute  $\mathbb{C}X^G$  when we have a permutation representation.

**Proposition 7.2.8.** *Let  $\sigma: G \rightarrow S_X$  be a group action. Let  $\mathcal{O}_1, \dots, \mathcal{O}_m$  be the orbits of  $G$  on  $X$  and define  $v_i = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{O}_i} x$ . Then  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{C}X^G$  and hence  $\dim \mathbb{C}X^G$  is the number of orbits of  $G$  on  $X$ .*

*Proof.* First observe that

$$\tilde{\sigma}_g v_i = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{O}_i} \sigma_g(x) = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{O}_i} y = v_i$$

as is seen by setting  $y = \sigma_g(x)$  and using that  $\sigma_g$  permutes the orbit  $\mathcal{O}_i$ . Thus  $v_1, \dots, v_m \in \mathbb{C}X^G$ . Since the orbits are disjoint, we have

$$\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = \begin{cases} |\mathcal{O}_i| & i = j \\ 0 & i \neq j \end{cases}$$

and so  $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$  is an orthogonal set of non-zero vectors and hence linearly independent. It remains to prove that this set spans  $\mathbb{C}X^G$ .

Suppose  $v = \sum_{x \in X} c_x x \in \mathbb{C}X^G$ . We show that if  $z \in G \cdot y$ , then  $c_y = c_z$ . Indeed, let  $z = \sigma_g(y)$ . Then we have

$$\sum_{x \in X} c_x x = v = \tilde{\sigma}_g v = \sum_{x \in X} c_x \sigma_g(x) \tag{7.1}$$

and so the coefficient of  $z$  in the left-hand side of (7.1) is  $c_z$ , whereas the coefficient of  $z$  in the right-hand side is  $c_y$  since  $z = \sigma_g(y)$ . Thus  $c_z = c_y$ . It follows that there are complex numbers  $c_1, \dots, c_m$  such that  $c_x = c_i$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{O}_i$ . Thus

$$v = \sum_{x \in X} c_x x = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{x \in \mathcal{O}_i} c_x x = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i \sum_{x \in \mathcal{O}_i} x = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i v_i$$

and hence  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  span  $\mathbb{C}X^G$ , completing the proof.  $\square$

Since  $G$  always has at least one orbit on  $X$ , the above result shows that the trivial representation appears as a constituent in  $\tilde{\sigma}$  and so if  $|X| > 1$ , then  $\tilde{\sigma}$  is not irreducible. As a corollary to the above proposition we prove a useful result, commonly known as *Burnside's lemma*, although it seems to have been known earlier to Cauchy and Frobenius. It has many applications in combinatorics to counting problems. The lemma says that the number of orbits of  $G$  on  $X$  is the average number of fixed points.

**Corollary 7.2.9 (Burnside's lemma).** *Let  $\sigma: G \rightarrow S_X$  be a group action and let  $m$  be the number of orbits of  $G$  on  $X$ . Then*

$$m = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} |\text{Fix}(g)|.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\chi_1$  be the trivial character of  $G$ . By Propositions 7.2.5, 7.2.7 and 7.2.8 we have

$$m = \langle \chi_{\tilde{\sigma}}, \chi_1 \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_{\tilde{\sigma}}(g) \overline{\chi_1(g)} = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} |\text{Fix}(g)|$$

as required. □

As a corollary, we obtain two formulas for the rank of  $\sigma$ .

**Corollary 7.2.10.** *Let  $\sigma: G \rightarrow S_X$  be a transitive group action. Then the equalities*

$$\text{rank}(\sigma) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} |\text{Fix}(g)|^2 = \langle \chi_{\tilde{\sigma}}, \chi_{\tilde{\sigma}} \rangle$$

*hold.*

*Proof.* Since  $\text{rank}(\sigma)$  is the number of orbits of  $\sigma^2$  on  $X \times X$  and the number of fixed points of  $g$  on  $X \times X$  is  $|\text{Fix}(g)|^2$  (Proposition 7.1.11), the first equality is a consequence of Burnside's lemma. For the second, we compute

$$\langle \chi_{\tilde{\sigma}}, \chi_{\tilde{\sigma}} \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} |\text{Fix}(g)| \overline{|\text{Fix}(g)|} = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} |\text{Fix}(g)|^2$$

completing the proof. □

Assume now that  $\sigma: G \rightarrow S_X$  is a transitive action. Let  $v_0 = \sum_{x \in X} x$ . Then  $\mathbb{C}X^G = \mathbb{C}v_0$  by Proposition 7.2.8. Since  $\tilde{\sigma}$  is a unitary representation,  $V_0 = \mathbb{C}v_0^\perp$  is a  $G$ -invariant subspace (cf. the proof of Proposition 3.2.3). Usually,  $\mathbb{C}v_0$  is called the *trace* of  $\sigma$  and  $V_0$  the *augmentation* of  $\sigma$ . Let  $\tilde{\sigma}'$  be the restriction of  $\tilde{\sigma}$  to  $V_0$ ; we call it the *augmentation representation* associated to  $\sigma$ . As  $\mathbb{C}X = V_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}v_0$ , it follows that  $\chi_{\tilde{\sigma}} = \chi_{\tilde{\sigma}'} + \chi_1$  where  $\chi_1$  is the trivial character. We now characterize when the augmentation representation  $\tilde{\sigma}'$  is irreducible.

**Theorem 7.2.11.** *Let  $\sigma: G \rightarrow S_X$  be a transitive group action. Then the augmentation representation  $\tilde{\sigma}'$  is irreducible if and only if  $G$  is 2-transitive on  $X$ .*

*Proof.* This is a simple calculation using Corollary 7.2.10 and the fact that  $G$  is 2-transitive on  $X$  if and only if  $\text{rank}(\sigma) = 2$  (Proposition 7.1.10). Indeed, if  $\chi_1$  is the trivial character of  $G$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \chi_{\tilde{\sigma}'}, \chi_{\tilde{\sigma}'} \rangle &= \langle \chi_{\tilde{\sigma}} - \chi_1, \chi_{\tilde{\sigma}} - \chi_1 \rangle \\ &= \langle \chi_{\tilde{\sigma}}, \chi_{\tilde{\sigma}} \rangle - \langle \chi_{\tilde{\sigma}}, \chi_1 \rangle - \langle \chi_1, \chi_{\tilde{\sigma}} \rangle + \langle \chi_1, \chi_1 \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (7.2)$$

Now by Proposition 7.2.8  $\langle \chi_{\tilde{\sigma}}, \chi_1 \rangle = 1$  because  $G$  is transitive. Therefore,  $\langle \chi_1, \chi_{\tilde{\sigma}} \rangle = 1$ . Also,  $\langle \chi_1, \chi_1 \rangle = 1$ . Thus (7.2) becomes, in light of Corollary 7.2.10,

$$\langle \chi_{\tilde{\sigma}'}, \chi_{\tilde{\sigma}'} \rangle = \text{rank}(\sigma) - 1$$

and so  $\chi_{\tilde{\sigma}'}$  is an irreducible character if and only if  $\text{rank}(\sigma) = 2$ , that is, if and only if  $G$  is 2-transitive on  $X$ .  $\square$

*Remark 7.2.12.* The decomposition of the standard representation of  $S_3$  in Example 4.3.17 corresponds precisely to the decomposition into the direct sum of the augmentation and the trace.

With Theorem 7.2.11 in hand, we may now compute the character table of  $S_4$ .

*Example 7.2.13 (Character table of  $S_4$ ).* First of all  $S_4$  has five conjugacy classes, represented by  $Id, (1\ 2), (1\ 2\ 3), (1\ 2\ 3\ 4), (1\ 2)(3\ 4)$ . Let  $\chi_1$  be the trivial character and  $\chi_2$  the character of the sign homomorphism. As  $S_4$  acts 2-transitively on  $\{1, \dots, 4\}$ , Theorem 7.1.10 implies that the augmentation representation is irreducible. Let  $\chi_4$  be the character of this representation; it is the character of the standard representation minus the trivial character so  $\chi_4(g) = |\text{Fix}(g)| - 1$ . Let  $\chi_5 = \chi_2 \cdot \chi_4$ . That is, if  $\tau$  is the representation associated to  $\chi_4$ , then we can define a new representation  $\tau^{\chi_2}: S_4 \rightarrow GL_3(\mathbb{C})$  by  $\tau_g^{\chi_2} = \chi_2(g)\tau_g$ . It is easily verified that  $\chi_{\tau^{\chi_2}}(g) = \chi_2(g)\chi_4(g)$  and  $\tau^{\chi_2}$  is irreducible. This gives us four of the five irreducible representations. How do we get the fifth? Let  $d$  be the degree of the missing representation. Then

$$24 = |S_4| = 1^2 + 1^2 + d^2 + 3^2 + 3^2 = 20 + d^2$$

and so  $d = 2$ . Let  $\chi_3$  be the character of the missing irreducible representation and let  $L$  be the regular representation of  $S_4$ . Then

$$\chi_L = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + 2\chi_3 + 3\chi_4 + 3\chi_5$$

so for  $Id \neq g \in S_4$ , we have

$$\chi_3(g) = \frac{1}{2}(-\chi_1(g) - \chi_2(g) - 3\chi_4(g) - 3\chi_5(g)).$$

In this way we are able to produce the character table of  $S_4$  in Table 7.1.

**Table 7.1** Character table of  $S_4$

	Id	(1 2)	(1 2 3)	(1 2 3 4)	(1 2)(3 4)
$\chi_1$	1	1	1	1	1
$\chi_2$	1	-1	1	-1	1
$\chi_3$	2	0	-1	0	2
$\chi_4$	3	1	0	-1	-1
$\chi_5$	3	-1	0	1	-1

The reader should try to produce a representation with character  $\chi_3$ . As a hint, observe that  $K = \{Id, (1\ 2)(3\ 4), (1\ 3)(2\ 4), (1\ 4)(2\ 3)\}$  is a normal subgroup of  $S_4$  and that  $S_4/K \cong S_3$ . Construct an irreducible representation by composing the surjective map  $S_4 \rightarrow S_3$  with the degree 2 irreducible representation of  $S_3$  coming from the augmentation representation for  $S_3$ .

### 7.3 The Centralizer Algebra and Gelfand Pairs

Let  $\sigma: G \rightarrow S_X$  be a transitive group action. Our goal in this section is to study the ring  $\text{Hom}_G(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\sigma})$ . We only scratch the surface of this topic in this section. Much more information, as well as applications to probability and statistics, can be found in [3].

Let us assume that  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ . Define a matrix representation  $\varphi: G \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  by  $\varphi_g = [\tilde{\sigma}_g]_X$ . Then  $\varphi \sim \tilde{\sigma}$  and so  $\text{Hom}_G(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\sigma}) \cong \text{Hom}_G(\varphi_g, \varphi_g)$ . Next observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_G(\varphi, \varphi) &= \{A \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) \mid A\varphi_g = \varphi_g A, \forall g \in G\} \\ &= \{A \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) \mid \varphi_g A \varphi_g^{-1} = A, \forall g \in G\}. \end{aligned}$$

From now on we denote  $\text{Hom}_G(\varphi, \varphi)$  by  $C(\sigma)$  and call it the *centralizer algebra* of  $\sigma$ .

**Proposition 7.3.1.**  $C(\sigma)$  is a unital subring of  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ .

*Proof.* Trivially,  $\varphi_g I_n \varphi_g^{-1} = I_n$  for all  $g \in G$ . If  $A, B \in C(\sigma)$ , then

$$\varphi_g(A + B)\varphi_g^{-1} = \varphi_g A \varphi_g^{-1} + \varphi_g B \varphi_g^{-1} = A + B$$

for all  $g \in G$ , and similarly  $\varphi_g(AB)\varphi_g^{-1} = \varphi_g A \varphi_g^{-1} \varphi_g B \varphi_g^{-1} = AB$ . Thus  $C(\sigma)$  is indeed a unital subring of  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . □

We aim to show that  $\dim C(\sigma) = \text{rank}(\sigma)$  and exhibit an explicit basis. Let  $V = M_n(\mathbb{C})$  and define a representation  $\tau: G \rightarrow GL(V)$  by  $\tau_g(A) = \varphi_g A \varphi_g^{-1}$ . The reader should perform the routine verification that  $\tau$  is indeed a representation. Notice that

$$V^G = \{A \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) \mid \varphi_g A \varphi_g^{-1} = A, \forall g \in G\} = C(\sigma).$$



Let  $\sigma^2: G \rightarrow \widetilde{S}_{X \times X}$  be as per Definition 7.1.8. We exhibit an explicit equivalence between  $\tau$  and  $\widetilde{\sigma}^2$ . We can then use Proposition 7.2.8 to obtain a basis for  $C(\sigma)$ .

**Proposition 7.3.2.** *Define a mapping  $T: M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(X \times X)$  by*

$$T(a_{ij}) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x_i, x_j)$$

where we have retained the above notation. Then  $T$  is an equivalence between  $\tau$  and  $\widetilde{\sigma}^2$ .

*Proof.* The map  $T$  is evidently bijective and linear with inverse

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x_i, x_j) \mapsto (a_{ij}).$$

Let us check that it is an equivalence. Let  $g \in G$  and let  $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Put  $B = \tau_g A$ ; say  $B = (b_{ij})$ . Define an action  $\gamma: G \rightarrow S_n$  by  $\sigma_g(x_i) = x_{\gamma_g(i)}$  for  $g \in G$ . Then

$$b_{ij} = \sum_{k=1, \ell=1}^n \varphi(g)_{ik} a_{k\ell} \varphi(g^{-1})_{\ell j} = a_{\gamma_g^{-1}(i), \gamma_g^{-1}(j)}$$

because

$$\varphi(g)_{ik} = \begin{cases} 1 & x_i = \sigma_g(x_k) \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(g^{-1})_{\ell j} = \begin{cases} 1 & x_\ell = \sigma_g^{-1}(x_j) \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T\tau_g A &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n b_{ij}(x_i, x_j) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{\gamma_g^{-1}(i), \gamma_g^{-1}(j)}(x_i, x_j) \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(\sigma_g(x_i), \sigma_g(x_j)) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \sigma_g^2(x_i, x_j) = \widetilde{\sigma}_g^2 T A \end{aligned}$$

and so  $T$  is an equivalence, as required.  $\square$

We can now provide a basis for  $C(\sigma)$ . If  $\Omega$  is an orbital of  $\sigma$ , define a matrix  $A(\Omega) \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  by

$$A(\Omega)_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & (x_i, x_j) \in \Omega \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

**Corollary 7.3.3.** *Let  $\sigma: G \rightarrow S_X$  be a transitive group action. We retain the above notation. Let  $\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_r$  be the orbitals of  $\sigma$  where  $r = \text{rank}(\sigma)$ . Then the set  $\{A(\Omega_1), \dots, A(\Omega_r)\}$  is a basis for  $C(\sigma)$  and consequently  $\dim C(\sigma) = \text{rank}(\sigma)$ .*

*Proof.* Proposition 7.2.8 implies that a basis for  $\mathbb{C}(X \times X)^G$  is given by the elements  $v_1, \dots, v_r$  where

$$v_k = \sum_{(x_i, x_j) \in \Omega_k} (x_i, x_j).$$

Clearly,  $A(\Omega_k) = T^{-1}v_k$ . As  $T$  restricts to an equivalence of  $C(\sigma) = M_n(\mathbb{C})^G$  and  $\mathbb{C}(X \times X)^G$  (cf. Exercise 7.7), it follows that  $\{A(\Omega_1), \dots, A(\Omega_r)\}$  is a basis for  $C(\sigma)$ , as required.  $\square$

An important notion in applications is that of a Gelfand pair; the reader is referred to [3, 4.7] and [7, 3.F] where a Fourier transform is defined in this context and applied to probability theory.

**Definition 7.3.4 (Gelfand pair).** Let  $G$  be a group and  $H$  a subgroup. Let  $\sigma: G \rightarrow S_{G/H}$  be the coset action. Then  $(G, H)$  is said to be a *Gelfand pair* if the centralizer algebra  $C(\sigma)$  is commutative.

*Example 7.3.5.* Let  $G$  be a group and let  $H = \{1\}$ . The coset action of  $G$  on  $G/H$  is none other than the regular action  $\lambda: G \rightarrow S_G$  and so  $\tilde{\lambda}$  is none other than the regular representation  $L$ . We claim that  $C(\lambda) \cong L(G)$ . For this argument, we identify the centralizer algebra with the ring  $\text{Hom}_G(L, L)$ .

Let  $T \in C(\lambda)$  and define  $f_T: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$T1 = \sum_{x \in G} f_T(x^{-1})x.$$

We claim that the mapping  $T \mapsto f_T$  is an isomorphism  $\psi: C(\lambda) \rightarrow L(G)$ . First note that, for  $g \in G$ , one has

$$Tg = TL_g1 = L_gT1 = L_g \sum_{x \in G} f_T(x^{-1})x.$$

Thus  $T$  is determined by  $f_T$  and hence  $\psi$  is injective. It is also surjective because if  $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is any function, then we can define  $T \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}G)$  on the basis by

$$Tg = L_g \sum_{x \in G} f(x^{-1})x.$$

First note that  $T$  belongs to the centralizer algebra because if  $g, y \in G$ , then

$$TL_yg = Tyg = L_yg \sum_{x \in G} f(x^{-1})x = L_yTg.$$

Also, we have

$$T1 = \sum_{x \in G} f(x^{-1})x$$

and so  $f_T = f$ . Thus  $\psi$  is surjective. Finally, we compute, for  $T_1, T_2 \in C(\lambda)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 T_2 1 &= T_1 \sum_{x \in G} f_{T_2}(x^{-1})x = \sum_{x \in G} f_{T_2}(x^{-1})T_1 L_x 1 \\ &= \sum_{x \in G} f_{T_2}(x^{-1})L_x \sum_{y \in G} f_{T_1}(y^{-1})y = \sum_{x, y \in G} f_{T_1}(y^{-1})f_{T_2}(x^{-1})xy. \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $g = xy$ ,  $u = x^{-1}$  (and hence  $y^{-1} = g^{-1}u^{-1}$ ) yields

$$T_1 T_2 1 = \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{u \in G} f_{T_1}(g^{-1}u^{-1})f_{T_2}(u)g = \sum_{g \in G} f_{T_1} * f_{T_2}(g^{-1})g.$$

Thus  $f_{T_1 T_2} = f_{T_1} * f_{T_2}$  and so  $\psi$  is a ring homomorphism. We conclude that  $\psi$  is an isomorphism.

Consequently,  $(G, \{1\})$  is a Gelfand pair if and only if  $G$  is abelian because  $L(G)$  is commutative if and only if  $L(G) = Z(L(G))$ . But  $\dim Z(L(G)) = |Cl(G)|$  and  $\dim L(G) = |G|$ , and so  $Z(L(G)) = L(G)$  if and only if  $G$  is abelian.

It is known that  $(G, H)$  is a Gelfand pair if and only if  $\tilde{\sigma}$  is *multiplicity-free*, meaning that each irreducible constituent of  $\tilde{\sigma}$  has multiplicity one [3, Theorem 4.4.2]. We content ourselves here with the special case of so-called symmetric Gelfand pairs.

If  $\sigma: G \rightarrow S_X$  is a transitive group action, then to each orbital  $\Omega$  of  $\sigma$ , we can associate its transpose

$$\Omega^T = \{(x_1, x_2) \in X \times X \mid (x_2, x_1) \in \Omega\}.$$

It is easy to see that  $\Omega^T$  is indeed an orbital. Let us say that  $\Omega$  is *symmetric* if  $\Omega = \Omega^T$ . For instance, the diagonal orbital  $\Delta$  is symmetric. Notice that  $A(\Omega^T) = A(\Omega)^T$  and hence  $\Omega$  is symmetric if and only if the matrix  $A(\Omega)$  is symmetric (and hence self-adjoint, as it has real entries).

**Definition 7.3.6 (Symmetric Gelfand pair).** Let  $G$  be a group and  $H$  a subgroup with corresponding group action  $\sigma: G \rightarrow S_{G/H}$ . Then  $(G, H)$  is called a *symmetric Gelfand pair* if each orbital of  $\sigma$  is symmetric.

Of course, we must show that a symmetric Gelfand pair is indeed a Gelfand pair! First we provide some examples.

*Example 7.3.7.* Let  $H \leq G$  and suppose that the action of  $G$  on  $G/H$  is 2-transitive. Then the orbitals are  $\Delta$  and  $(G/H \times G/H) \setminus \Delta$ . Clearly, each of these is symmetric. Thus  $(G, H)$  is a symmetric Gelfand pair.

*Example 7.3.8.* Let  $n \geq 2$  and let  $[n]^2$  be the set of all two-element subsets of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . Then  $S_n$  acts on  $[n]^2$  as follows. Define  $\tau: S_n \rightarrow S_{[n]^2}$  by  $\tau_\sigma(\{i, j\}) = \{\sigma(i), \sigma(j)\}$ . This action is clearly transitive since  $S_n$  is 2-transitive on  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $H$  be the stabilizer in  $S_n$  of  $\{n-1, n\}$ . Notice that  $H$  is the internal direct product of  $S_{n-2}$  and  $S_{\{n-1, n\}}$  and so  $H \cong S_{n-2} \times S_2$ . The action of  $S_n$  on  $[n]^2$  can be identified with the action of  $S_n$  on  $S_n/H$ .

If  $\Omega$  is a non-trivial orbital, then a typical element of  $\Omega$  is of the form  $(\{i, j\}, \{k, \ell\})$  where these two subsets are different. There are essentially two cases. If  $i, j, k$ , and  $\ell$  are all distinct, then  $(i\ k)(j\ \ell)$  takes the above element to  $(\{k, \ell\}, \{i, j\})$  and so  $\Omega$  is symmetric. Otherwise, the two subsets have an element in common, say  $i = k$ . Then  $(j\ \ell)$  takes  $(\{i, j\}, \{i, \ell\})$  to  $(\{i, \ell\}, \{i, j\})$ . Thus  $\Omega$  is symmetric in this case, as well. We conclude  $(S_n, H)$  is a symmetric Gelfand pair.

The proof that a symmetric Gelfand pair is in fact a Gelfand pair relies on the following simple observation on rings of symmetric matrices.

**Lemma 7.3.9.** *Let  $R$  be a subring of  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  consisting of symmetric matrices. Then  $R$  is commutative.*

*Proof.* If  $A, B \in R$ , then  $AB = (AB)^T = B^T A^T = BA$  since  $A, B$ , and  $AB$  are assumed symmetric.  $\square$

And now we turn to the proof that symmetric Gelfand pairs are Gelfand.

**Theorem 7.3.10.** *Let  $(G, H)$  be a symmetric Gelfand pair. Then  $(G, H)$  is a Gelfand pair.*

*Proof.* As usual, let  $\sigma: G \rightarrow S_{G/H}$  be the action map. Denote by  $\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_r$  the orbitals of  $\sigma$ . Then because each  $\Omega_i$  is symmetric, it follows that each matrix  $A(\Omega_i)$  is symmetric for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . Since the symmetric matrices form a vector subspace of  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  and  $\{A(\Omega_1), \dots, A(\Omega_r)\}$  is a basis for  $C(\sigma)$  by Corollary 7.3.3, it follows that  $C(\sigma)$  consists of symmetric matrices. Thus  $C(\sigma)$  is commutative by Lemma 7.3.9 and so  $(G, H)$  is a Gelfand pair.  $\square$

## Exercises

**Exercise 7.1.** Show that if  $\sigma: G \rightarrow S_X$  is a group action, then the orbits of  $G$  on  $X$  form a partition  $X$ .

**Exercise 7.2.** Let  $\sigma: G \rightarrow S_X$  be a transitive group action with  $|X| \geq 2$ . If  $x \in X$ , let

$$G_x = \{g \in G \mid \sigma_g(x) = x\}. \quad (7.3)$$

$G_x$  is a subgroup of  $G$  called the *stabilizer* of  $x$ . Prove that the following are equivalent:

1.  $G_x$  is transitive on  $X \setminus \{x\}$  for *some*  $x \in X$ ;

2.  $G_x$  is transitive on  $X \setminus \{x\}$  for all  $x \in X$ ;
3.  $G$  acts 2-transitively on  $X$ .

**Exercise 7.3.** Compute the character table of  $A_4$ . (Hints:

1. Let  $K = \{Id, (12)(34), (13)(24), (14)(23)\}$ . Then  $K$  is a normal subgroup of  $A_4$  and  $A_4/K \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ . Use this to construct 3 degree one representations of  $A_4$ .
2. Show that  $A_4$  acts 2-transitively on  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ .
3. Conclude that  $A_4$  has four conjugacy classes and find them.
4. Produce the character table.)

**Exercise 7.4.** Two group actions  $\sigma: G \rightarrow S_X$  and  $\tau: G \rightarrow S_Y$  are isomorphic if there is a bijection  $\psi: X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $\psi\sigma_g = \tau_g\psi$  for all  $g \in G$ .

1. Show that if  $\tau: G \rightarrow S_X$  is a transitive group action,  $x \in X$  and  $G_x$  is the stabilizer of  $x$  (cf. (7.3)), then  $\tau$  is isomorphic to the coset action  $\sigma: G \rightarrow S_{G/G_x}$ .
2. Show that if  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are isomorphic group actions, then the corresponding permutation representations are equivalent.

**Exercise 7.5.** Let  $p$  be a prime. Let  $G$  be the group of all permutations  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  of the form  $x \mapsto ax + b$  with  $a \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}^*$  and  $b \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ . Prove that the action of  $G$  on  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  is 2-transitive.

**Exercise 7.6.** Let  $G$  be a finite group.

1. Suppose that  $G$  acts transitively on a finite set  $X$  with  $|X| \geq 2$ . Show that there is an element  $g \in G$  with no fixed points on  $X$ . (Hint: Assume that the statement is false. Use that the identity  $e$  has  $|X|$  fixed points to contradict Burnside's lemma.)
2. Let  $H$  be a proper subgroup of  $G$ . Prove that

$$G \neq \bigcup_{x \in G} xHx^{-1}.$$

(Hint: Use the previous part.)

**Exercise 7.7.** Let  $\varphi: G \rightarrow GL(V)$  and  $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(W)$  be representations and suppose that  $T: V \rightarrow W$  is an equivalence. Show that  $T(V^G) = W^G$  and the restriction  $T: V^G \rightarrow W^G$  is an equivalence.

**Exercise 7.8.** Show that if  $\Omega$  is an orbital of a transitive group action  $\sigma: G \rightarrow S_X$ , then the transpose  $\Omega^T$  is an orbital of  $\sigma$ .

**Exercise 7.9.** Suppose that  $G$  is a finite group of order  $n$  with  $s$  conjugacy classes. Suppose that one chooses a pair  $(g, h) \in G \times G$  uniformly at random. Prove that the probability  $g$  and  $h$  commute is  $s/n$ . (Hint: Apply Burnside's lemma to the action of  $G$  on itself by conjugation.)

**Exercise 7.10.** Give a direct combinatorial proof of Burnside's lemma, avoiding character theory.

**Exercise 7.11.** Let  $G$  be a group and define  $\Lambda: G \rightarrow GL(L(G))$  by putting  $\Lambda_g(f)(h) = f(g^{-1}h)$ .

1. Verify that  $\Lambda$  is a representation.
2. Prove that  $\Lambda$  is equivalent to the regular representation  $L$ .
3. Let  $K$  be a subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $L(G/K)$  be the subspace of  $L(G)$  consisting of functions  $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  that are *right  $K$ -invariant*, that is,  $f(gk) = f(g)$  for all  $k \in K$ . Show that  $L(G/K)$  is a  $G$ -invariant subspace of  $L(G)$  and that the restriction of  $\Lambda$  to  $L(G/K)$  is equivalent to the permutation representation  $\mathbb{C}(G/K)$ . (Hint: show that  $L(G/K)$  has a basis consisting of functions that are constant on left cosets of  $K$  and compute the character.)