

Victor Wünsch Filho

Keywords

Oral cancer • Pharyngeal cancer • Occupation • Asbestos • Diesel engine exhaust • Leather dust • Cotton dust • Occupational risk factors • Formaldehyde • Wood dust • Welding fumes

Overview

Oral Cavity and Pharynx Cancer

Cancer of the oral cavity and pharynx is one of the ten most common in the world. In 2008, the age-standardized incidence rate was 8.7 per 100,000 men and 3.4 per 100,000 women, and mortality rate was 7.0 per 100,000 men and 1.7 per 100,000 women [1]. About 90 % of oral cavity and pharynx tumors are histological squamous cell type. Incidence increases with age and peaks between ages of 50 and 70 years. Incidence is higher in more developed regions for males than less developed regions, while mortality is similar between the more and less developed regions. In women, incidence and mortality are higher in less developed regions [2]. In India, these tumors are a heavy health burden in both urban and rural areas. They are responsible for the highest cancer mortality rates in men and are third after breast and uterine cervix tumors in women [1]. Other high-incidence areas are Eastern, Western, and Southern Europe, Australia and New Zealand, and Melanesia [3]. Latin America and the Caribbean have intermediate incidence rates of oral and pharynx cancers; however, rates vary widely between countries in the region and even within those countries [4, 5]. In Brazil, mortality rates from oral cavity cancer are stable in both men and women; however, pharynx cancer is increasing [6].

Increasing incidence of oral and pharynx cancers has been observed in some Western Europe countries [7–10]. Incidence of oral cavity and pharynx tumors in the United States has been decreasing over the last 30 years [11]. However, increasing incidence in cancer of the tongue, base of the tongue, and the tonsils has been observed in patients under 45 years of age [12, 13]. Nordic countries have also shown increasing incidence of tongue cancer in both male and female young adults [14, 15].

Nasopharynx Cancer

Incidence of nasopharynx cancer is higher for those in their 50s. Worldwide incidence rates are about 1.7 per 100,000 in men and 0.8 per 100,000 in women, and mortality rates are 1.1 and 0.4 per 100,000, respectively, in men and women [1]. However, incidence is much higher in Southern China, Southeast Asia, the Middle East, and North Africa. In some regions of Southern China, such as Hong Kong, incidence in men reached levels higher than 20 per 100,000, but there has been a remarkable continuous downward trend for these tumors [16–18]. This can mainly be attributed to changes in environmental risk factors within the Chinese population, such as a diet that has been changing to a more Western style; thus, preserved salted fish is no longer a common food for most Chinese households [16]. This downward trend has also been seen in the United States among Chinese Americans living in California [19, 20]. The World Health Organization classifies nasopharyngeal carcinoma into three types according to histology: squamous cell carcinoma (Type I), nonkeratinizing carcinoma (Type II), and undifferentiated carcinoma (Type III). Nonkeratinizing and undifferentiated

V. Wünsch Filho, MD, PhD
Department of Epidemiology,
University of São Paulo School of Public Health,
Av. Dr. Arnaldo, 715, São Paulo, SP 01246-904, Brazil
e-mail: wunsch@usp.br

carcinomas are the most common (>90 %) in high-incidence areas [20–22], and squamous cell carcinoma is the most common (>70 %) in low-incidence regions [20, 21, 23].

Nonoccupational Risk Factors

Oral Cavity and Pharynx Cancer

For a long time, tobacco smoking and alcohol consumption have been recognized as the main causal factors for oral cavity and pharynx tumors; recent pooled analysis and multicenter studies have confirmed this [24–26]. Tobacco smoking and alcohol intake have a dose-response relationship in the occurrence of these tumors; there is also an evident interaction between these two risk factors [27]. Environmental exposure to tobacco smoke in homes and workplace is also associated with oral cavity and pharynx tumors. A pooled analysis of case-control studies has shown the carcinogenic effect of involuntary smoking on head and neck anatomical sites, particularly the pharynx and larynx [28]. Incidence of oral cavity and pharynx cancer is higher among groups of low socioeconomic status [29]. This can be partly explained by higher prevalence of smoking and alcohol consumption in individuals from socially disadvantaged groups [30]. Other factors related to these tumors have also been reported: for instance, diet, where consumption of fruit and vegetables is inversely associated to the risk of these tumors [31]; being underweight, where individuals with low BMI are at increased risk of head and neck cancer (including oral cavity, pharynx, and larynx) [32, 33]; chewing betel quid with or without tobacco, where products commercially available in India are considered carcinogenic to humans, affecting the oral cavity and pharynx with tobacco and just the oral cavity without tobacco [34–36]; and periodontal disease and regular gum bleeding, as well as daily mouthwash use, which may be independent risk factors for oral cavity and pharynx cancer [37, 38]. Poor mouth condition and missing teeth indicate low mouth health care and limited access to dental assistance, both correlated with low socioeconomic status. Human papillomavirus (HPV), particularly HPV16, is associated with oropharyngeal cancer [39]. Increasing incidence of tongue and tonsil tumors seen among those under 45 has been attributed to increasing prevalence of HPV infection in developing countries, practice of oral sex, and number of sexual partners [2, 40, 41]. In addition to environmental factors, familial clustering of oral cavity and pharynx cancer is related to increased risk of oral cavity and pharynx cancer [42].

Nasopharynx Cancer

Nasopharynx cancer is a complex disease; some environmental factors are involved in its origin, probably interacting, and there is also some type of genetic susceptibility.

Consumption of salted fish starting in childhood is an important cause of nasopharyngeal cancer in the Chinese population [35, 36], but in contrast to salted fish and other preserved foods, frequent consumption of fresh fruit and vegetables has been linked to a lower risk [21]. Epstein-Barr virus is associated with nasopharynx cancer, but other cofactors must also be present for the disease to manifest [20, 39, 43]. Other factors also associated with nasopharynx cancer are previous chronic ear or nose diseases, such as chronic rhinitis or otitis media [23, 44]; active and passive smoking [23, 36, 44–47]; and the use of Chinese nasal oil and traditional herbal medicine [45, 48]. Additionally, family history of nasopharynx cancer has also been related to increased risk of nasopharynx cancer [44, 45, 49].

Occupational Risk Factors

Oral Cavity and Pharynx Cancer

Some studies have investigated the relationship between occupation and oral cavity and pharynx cancer considering broad categories; Garrote et al. [50] divided subjects into white-collar and blue-collar workers, farmers and housewives, and others, and Menvielle et al. [51] classified their study population into three groups, manual, nonmanual, and agricultural. Tables 4.1 and 4.2 describe 20 case-control and 24 cohort studies which reported an association between specific occupations and industries and oral cavity and pharynx cancer. Several occupations, work in specific industries, and exposure to specific agents have been screened for their carcinogenic potential.

Formaldehyde

Formaldehyde is widely used to manufacture building materials and household products. Most of formaldehyde production is for manufacture of resins, used to make adhesives for pressed wood products. Formaldehyde is also used as a preservative in medical laboratories and mortuaries.

Three of the four case-control studies in Table 4.1, which examined the effect of exposure to formaldehyde in oral and pharynx cancers, found relative risks (RR) of around 1.0 [52–54], whereas Vlajinac et al. [55] found a high risk (RR 4.4, 95 % confidence interval [95 % CI] 0.6–31.6). In a cohort study of workers from ten formaldehyde-producing or formaldehyde-using plants in the United States, Blair et al. [56] found standardized mortality ratio (SMR) of 443 ($p < 0.05$) for oropharynx cancer in those exposed to cumulative doses of 0.5 parts per million-years (ppm-years) or less; however, SMRs were lower than 100 for those exposed to higher cumulative dose levels. Gardner et al. [57] in a cohort study of six formaldehyde-producing companies in the United Kingdom observed increased SMRs for oral and pharynx cancers in those employed before 1965 and for

Table 4.1 Case-control studies on occupation and cancer of the oral cavity and pharynx

Reference, study location and period	Characteristics of cases	Characteristics of controls	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No cases (controls)	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment for potential confounders	Comments
Decoufle [74], United States, 1956–1965	Oral cavity and pharynx cancer patients from a single New York clinical center	Patients without cancer conditions	Employment in the leather industry	Men Ever employed at least for 5 years	18 (?) 12 (?)	3.22 ($p < 0.01$) 3.58 ($p < 0.01$)	RR adjusted for tobacco smoking	
Winn et al. [88], United States, 1975–1978	232 women with oral and pharynx cancer residents in certain central North Carolina counties (156 from 5 hospitals and 99 from death certificates)	502 controls matched by admission date, age, race, and county of residence (from the same institutions as cases and from death certificate lists). Controls were not eligible if they had a diagnosis of mental disorder; neoplasms of the oral cavity or pharynx, esophagus, or larynx; or other noninfectious oral or pharyngeal diseases	Employment in textile, apparel, and hosiery industries. It was examined also exposure to dust in textile industry	<i>Textile</i> No Yes 1–4 years 5–9 years 10–19 years 20 years or more <i>Apparel</i> No Yes 1–4 years 5–9 years 10 years or more	192 (344) 40 (66) 13 (16) 6 (9) 6 (18) 13 (16) 233 (392) 9 (18) 3 (7) 1 (4) 4 (5)	1.0 1.1 (0.7–1.7) 1.5 (0.7–3.1) 1.2 (0.4–3.4) 0.6 (0.3–1.6) 1.5 (0.7–3.1) 1.0 0.9 (0.4–2.0) 0.8 (0.2–3.0) 0.6 (0.1–3.7) 1.4 (0.4–5.1)	RR crude. Authors referred that adjusting for smoking and snuff consumption did not appreciably reduce the association	
				<i>Hosiery</i> No Yes 1–4 years 5–9 years 10 years or more <i>Textile dust</i> Never (work <6 months) 1–4 years 5–9 years 10+ years	225 (384) 7 (26) 3 (7) 2 (5) 1 (11) 204 (373) 9 (4) 4 (5) 14 (26)	1.0 0.5 (0.2–1.1) 0.8 (0.2–2.9) 0.8 (0.2–3.5) 0.2 (0.0–1.2) 1.0 3.9 (1.2–12.0) 1.5 (0.4–5.3) 1.0 (0.5–1.9)		

(continued)

Table 4.1 (continued)

Reference, study location and period	Characteristics of cases	Characteristics of controls	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No cases (controls)	Relative risk (95 % CI)	Adjustment for potential confounders	Comments
Vaughan et al. [114], United States, 1980–1983	285 cases (186 men, 99 women) of oro- and hypopharynx cancer ($n=205$), nasopharynx ($n=27$), sinus, and nasal cavity ($n=53$) selected from a population-based cancer registry	552 controls (327 men, 225 women) were identified via random digit dialing	Occupational formaldehyde exposure was assessed via a job-exposure matrix	<i>Oro-hypopharynx</i> Exposure level Background Low Medium High Number of years exposed 0 1–9 10+ Exposure score (all years) 0–4 5–19 20+ Exposure score induction (excluding 15 years before diagnosis) 0–4 5–19 20+	147 (381) 41 (121) 13 (42) 4 (8) 147 (381) 32 (127) 26 (44) 170 (464) 14 (59) 21 (29) 174 (490) 16 (40) 15 (22)	1.0 0.8 (0.5–1.4) 0.8 (0.4–1.7) 0.6 (0.1–2.7) 1.0 0.6 (0.3–1.0) 1.3 (0.7–2.5) 1.0 0.6 (0.3–1.2) 1.5 (0.7–3.0) 1.0 0.9 (0.4–1.8) 1.3 (0.6–3.1)	Odds ratio adjusted for age, sex, cigarette smoking, and alcohol consumption	
Oreggia et al. [96], Uruguay, 1977–1981	242 cases of men with oral cavity, pharynx, and hypopharynx cancer ($n=236$) and larynx cancer ($n=6$) selected in a single center	322 controls matched by age among patients from the same hospital of cases, excluding those with lung, bladder, pancreas, and kidney cancer	Occupation with the longest duration was codified according to the International Classification of Occupations	<i>Oro-hypopharynx</i> Farmers Baristas Mechanics workers Agriculture workers Butchers Blacksmiths Bricklayers Drivers Telephone Operators Electricians Railwaymen	16 (72) 2 (6) 2 (7) 2 (6) 7 (8) 3 (3) 18 (23) 6 (13) 3 (2) 2 (2) 2 (1)	0.9 (0.3–2.2) 1.0 (0.1–7.0) 1.5 (0.3–9.3) 1.9 (0.3–10.7) 2.0 (0.4–9.5) 2.8 (0.5–16.0) 2.8 (1.1–7.6) 3.1 (0.7–14.0) 4.2 (0.5–34.9) 5.5 (0.7–40.2) 5.7 (0.6–51.3)	RR adjusted for tobacco smoking and alcohol consumption	Reference category: administrative, commerce, and professional workers
Franco et al. [75], Brazil, 1986–1988	232 cases (201 men, 31 women) with oral cavity cancer from three clinical centers in three Brazilian cities	Controls selected among patients of the same hospitals of cases or from neighboring general hospitals, matched to cases by sex, age, and period of hospital admission	Never/ever employed in selected occupational settings	Textile Wood Paper Mining Leather Metal Sugar/alcohol Rubber	12 (40) 27 (39) 6 (5) 10 (27) 7 (13) 23 (70) 7 (11) 7 (11)	0.5 (0.3–1.1) 1.2 (0.7–2.2) 2.1 (0.6–7.3) 0.8 (0.3–1.8) 1.3 (0.4–3.7) 0.6 (0.3–1.0) 0.9 (0.3–2.5) 1.5 (0.5–4.8)	Adjusted for tobacco smoking and alcohol consumption	Reference category: never exposed

Vaughan [82], United States, 1980–1983	183 cases (121 men, 62 women) of oro- and hypopharynx squamous cell carcinoma selected from a population-based cancer registry	552 controls (327 men, 225 women) were identified via random digit dialing, matched on age and gender	Jobs were broadly classified into 31 industrial and 59 occupations classified via the US Census Bureau. Never/ever considering all years and induction period (excluding 15 years before diagnosis). Presented here are only the odds ratios equal or higher than 1.5 for both situations “all years” and “induction period”	OR (all years)	Occupation/industry	n	Adjusted for age, gender, race, and smoking	Induction period = duration in job calculated after excluding the most recent 15 years before reference date (diagnosis for cases, interview for controls)
				3.6 (0.9–13.9)	Writers, entertainers, athletes in entertainment and recreation	8 (8)		
				2.2 (0.8–6.0)	Administrative support in personal services	3 (4)		
				3.8 (0.2–57.2)	Food service in transportation and communication	3 (1)		
				1.9 (1.0–3.6)	Food service in retail trade	41 (67)		
				2.0 (0.4–9.2)	Personal service in personal services	4 (10)		
				3.5 (0.8–14.5)	Personal service in professional services	4 (9)		
				2.5 (0.8–8.3)	Vehicle mechanics in repair services	5 (9)		
				31.0 (3.0–315.1)	Industrial mechanics in all industries	4 (1)		
				1.5 (0.7–3.4)	Carpenters in construction	10 (17)		
				2.3 (0.4–14.4)	Painters in all industries	4 (5)		
				2.2 (0.3–14.3)	Painters in construction	3 (4)		
				1.5 (0.4–5.6)	Other construction in construction	6 (10)		
				1.7 (0.8–3.7)	Precision metal workers in all industries	13 (33)		
				4.6 (1.0–21.8)	Precision metal workers in metal product manufacturing	3 (3)		
				9.7 (1.6–59.9)	Precision metal workers in public administration	5 (4)		
				3.3 (0.6–19.7)	Metal working machine operator in metal product manufacturing	3 (3)		
				1.6 (0.5–5.3)	Other machine operators in agriculture, forestry, and fisheries	6 (11)		
				4.4 (0.7–28.3)	Other machine operators in lumber and wood product manufacturing	4 (3)		
				5.4 (1.0–28.4)	Motor vehicle operators in public administration	4 (3)		
				1.7 (0.7–4.5)	Other transportation workers in transportation communication	8 (9)		
				1.6 (0.6–4.7)	Handlers, cleaners, and laborers in lumber and wood product manufacturing	8 (18)		

(continued)

Table 4.1 (continued)

Reference, study location and period	Characteristics of cases	Characteristics of controls	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No cases (controls)	Relative risk (95 % CI)	Adjustment for potential confounders	Comments
				<i>OR (induction period)</i>				
				Occupation/industry	8 (8)	4.0 (1.0–16.1)		
				Writers, entertainers, and athletes in entertainment and recreation				
				Administrative support in personal services	3 (4)	3.0 (1.1–7.9)		
				Food service in transportation and communication	3 (1)	3.8 (0.2–57.2)		
				Food service in retail trade	41 (67)	2.1 (1.1–4.0)		
				Personal service in personal services	4 (10)	2.2 (0.5–10.4)		
				Personal service in professional services	4 (9)	9.1 (1.9–44.8)		
				Vehicle mechanics in repair services	5 (9)	2.6 (0.8–8.8)		
				Industrial mechanics in all industries	4 (1)	31.0 (3.0–315.1)		
				Carpenters in construction	10 (17)	1.8 (0.7–4.8)		
				Painters in all industries	4 (5)	2.3 (0.4–14.4)		
				Painters in construction	3 (4)	2.2 (0.3–14.3)		
				Other construction in construction	6 (10)	1.7 (0.4–6.4)		
				Precision metal workers in all industries	13 (33)	1.7 (0.8–3.7)		
				Precision metal workers in metal product manufacturing	3 (3)	4.6 (1.0–21.8)		
				Precision metal workers in public administration	5 (4)	7.6 (1.1–54.0)		
				Metal working machine operator in metal product manufacturing	3 (3)	3.3 (0.6–19.7)		
				Other machine operators in agriculture, forestry, and fisheries	6 (11)	1.6 (0.5–5.4)		
				Other machine operators in lumber and wood product manufacturing	4 (3)	2.8 (0.3–24.0)		
				Motor vehicle operators in public administration	4 (3)	5.4 (1.0–28.4)		
				Handlers, cleaners, and laborers in lumber and wood product manufacturing	8 (9)	1.5 (0.6–4.0)		
					8 (18)	1.6 (0.6–4.7)		

Haguenoer et al. [78], France, 1983	283 men with nose ($n=14$), lips ($n=16$), oral cavity ($n=64$), pharynx ($n=114$) and larynx ($n=54$), and other and multiple site ($n=21$) cancer diagnosed in the 1st semester of 1983 in a regional cancer center in North of France	Two controls per case were chosen from patients without cancer in general hospitals in the same geographical area, matched by age, gender, ethnic group, area of residence, and smoking and alcohol drinking history	Types of occupation classified in 11 groups	Oral cavity		
				Mining	11 (11)	3.5 ($p<0.5$)
				Building industry	12 (14)	1.9 ($p>0.5$)
				Metal work and mechanics	13 (22)	1.2 ($p>0.5$)
				Agriculture	1 (7)	0.3 ($p>0.5$)
				Road transport	4 (10)	0.8 ($p>0.5$)
				Shipping and seamen	3 (9)	0.5 ($p>0.5$)
				Textile industry	2 (5)	0.7 ($p>0.5$)
				Woodwork	2 (3)	1.3 ($p>0.5$)
				Road works	5 (5)	2.2 ($p>0.5$)
				Service	11 (39)	0.5 ($p>0.5$)
				Others	1 (3)	0.7 ($p>0.5$)
				<i>Pharynx</i>		
				Mining	17 (28)	1.4 ($p>0.5$)
				Building industry	21 (23)	2.0 ($p<0.5$)
				Metal work and mechanics	16 (42)	0.7 ($p>0.5$)
				Agriculture	4 (15)	0.5 ($p>0.5$)
				Road transport	6 (15)	0.8 ($p>0.5$)
				Shipping and seamen	5 (10)	1.0 ($p>0.5$)
				Textile industry	12 (11)	2.4 ($p<0.5$)
				Woodwork	4 (1)	–
				Road works	5 (6)	1.8 ($p>0.5$)
				Service	20 (67)	0.5 ($p<0.5$)
				Others	5 (10)	1.0 ($p>0.5$)

(continued)

Table 4.1 (continued)

Reference, study location and period	Characteristics of cases	Characteristics of controls	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No cases (controls)	Relative risk (95 % CI)	Adjustment for potential confounders	Comments
Merletti et al. [53], Italy, 1982–1984	86 men with oral cavity and pharynx cancer diagnosed among residents of Turin	Random sample stratified by gender and age from the files of residents of the city of Turin (1980–1984)	Job titles and economic activities were coded according to the International Standard Classification of Occupations of the International Labour Office and the International Standard Industrial Classification of the United Nations, respectively. For each occupational category and each industry, the subjects were classified as ever versus never employed. Duration was calculated for each period of employment. A latency analysis was also carried; then, occupational history after 1967 was ignored. A job-exposure matrix for 13 agents which are or may be related to respiratory cancer and three nonspecific exposures was applied to this database. Presented here are only the odds ratios (OR) with 95 % confidence intervals included	<p>Occupation</p> <p>Service workers</p> <p>Working proprietors</p> <p>Cooks, waiters, and bartenders</p> <p>Service workers</p> <p>Production and related workers and transport equipment</p> <p>Chemical processors and related workers</p> <p>Tailors, dressmakers, and sewers</p> <p>Machinery fitters and assemblers and precision instrument makers (except electrical)</p> <p>Plumbers and pipe fitters</p> <p><i>Activity branch</i></p> <p>Railway equipment production</p> <p>Building industry</p> <p>Restaurant, bars, and hotels</p> <p>Social services</p> <p>Recreational and cultural private services</p>	<p>15 (36)</p> <p>3 (1)</p> <p>3 (3)</p> <p>3 (4)</p> <p>69 (235)</p> <p>4 (2)</p> <p>3 (8)</p> <p>18 (43)</p> <p>6 (5)</p> <p>3 (2)</p> <p>29 (67)</p> <p>6 (4)</p> <p>10 (26)</p> <p>3 (1)</p>	<p>2.7 (1.0–4.6)</p> <p>14.7 (1.0–206.5)</p> <p>10.3 (1.3–81.2)</p> <p>5.9 (1.0–35.4)</p> <p>2.3 (1.1–5.0)</p> <p>8.3 (1.3–55.0)</p> <p>6.8 (1.4–38.7)</p> <p>2.0 (1.0–4.0)</p> <p>5.0 (1.8–21.5)</p> <p>7.7 (1.0–63.2)</p> <p>2.5 (1.3–4.5)</p> <p>14.5 (2.8–75.7)</p> <p>2.8 (1.1–6.7)</p> <p>34.6 (2.3–524.0)</p>	<p>Odds ratios adjusted for age, education, area of birth, tobacco smoking, and alcohol drinking</p>	<p>Analysis considering probable or definitive exposure to asbestos, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, chromium, nickel, arsenic, man-made mineral fibers, wood dust, leather dust, isopropyl alcohol, dimethyl sulfate, naphthalene, sulfuric acid, formaldehyde, dusts, gases, and solvents were conducted, but all RR had 95 % confidence intervals excluded</p>

Vaughan and Davis [79], United States, 1983–1987	183 cases of oropharynx squamous cell carcinoma diagnosed in a single center	Controls were selected by random digit dialing matched to the cases by age and sex	Lifetime occupational histories were obtained, including a description of the duties involved in each job, as well as the associated industry. Jobs considered to entail significant exposure to wood dust included carpenters, forestry and logging workers, precision woodworkers (including patternmakers, cabinetmakers, and other furniture makers and finishers), and woodworking machine operators	Ever employed in wood-related occupations	14 12 No information	0.6 (0.3–1.1) 0.5 (0.2–1.2) 1.5 (0.4–5.5)	RR adjusted for age, sex, cigarette smoking, and race	Reference category: never exposed
(continued)								

Table 4.1 (continued)

Reference, study location and period	Characteristics of cases	Characteristics of controls	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No cases (controls)	Relative risk (95 % CI)	Adjustment for potential confounders	Comments
Huebner et al. [83], 1984–1985	1,114 incident cases of the oral cavity and oropharynx (762 men, 352 women) of ages 18–79 years	1,268 controls (837 men, 431 women) matched by gender, race, age, and study area	Employment history information was coded using four-digit job and industry codes (respectively, Standard Occupational Classification and Standard Industrial Classification). A list of 14 suspected high-risk jobs was elaborated; also a list of employment categories was examined according to specific anatomical sites. Ever/never employment or job was considered	<p><i>Suspected high-risk jobs</i></p> <p>Men</p> <p>Carpet installer</p> <p>Semiconductor manufacturing</p> <p>Resistor/transistor manufacturing</p> <p>Brake repair work</p> <p>Embalming fluid use/manufacturing</p> <p>Urea/phenol/resin manufacturing</p> <p>Paint/varnish use/manufacturing</p> <p>Telegraph/telephone power work</p> <p>TV/radio tube manufacturing</p> <p>Other TV/radio parts manufacturing</p> <p>Insulation manufacturing/work</p> <p>Women</p> <p>Other TV/radio parts manufacturing</p> <p>Resistor/transistor manufacturing</p> <p>Paint/varnish use manufacturing</p>	<p>23 (4)</p> <p>12 (10)</p> <p>14 (10)</p> <p>41 (42)</p> <p>16 (15)</p> <p>6 (8)</p> <p>125 (116)</p> <p>24 (28)</p> <p>8 (11)</p> <p>7 (12)</p> <p>31 (44)</p> <p>6 (7)</p> <p>5 (6)</p> <p>13 (17)</p>	<p>7.68 (2.37–24.9)</p> <p>2.27 (0.83–6.19)</p> <p>1.43 (0.56–3.65)</p> <p>1.15 (0.68–1.92)</p> <p>1.09 (0.48–1.52)</p> <p>1.02 (0.30–3.55)</p> <p>0.99 (0.71–1.38)</p> <p>0.79 (0.41–1.52)</p> <p>0.71 (0.25–2.03)</p> <p>0.60 (0.20–1.81)</p> <p>0.54 (0.31–0.97)</p> <p>1.06 (0.29–3.90)</p> <p>0.94 (0.23–3.82)</p> <p>0.89 (0.37–2.14)</p>	RR adjusted for age, race, smoking, alcohol, and study location	Self-reported exposures to formaldehyde and to asbestos were also analyzed. But low OR were observed among men (formaldehyde 0.73, asbestos 0.82) and women (formaldehyde 0.36, asbestos 0.71)

Employment categories

Tongue			
Males			
Boiler/furnace operator	6 (14)	2.32 (0.80–6.79)	
Furniture/fixture industry worker	3 (26)	0.57 (0.16–2.04)	
Iron/steel worker	6 (17)	1.23 (0.41–3.70)	
Machinist	10 (31)	1.22 (0.51–2.93)	
Painter	5 (18)	0.97 (0.33–2.84)	
Petroleum industry worker	8 (11)	3.20 (1.15–8.90)	
Primary metal industry worker	8 (35)	0.75 (0.30–1.89)	
Transportation worker	23 (82)	0.92 (0.52–1.64)	
Woodworking machine worker	1 (9)	0.34 (0.04–3.28)	
Women			
Transportation equipment manufacturing worker	3 (27)	0.66 (0.19–2.34)	
Mouth			
Males			
Boiler/furnace operator	8 (14)	1.67 (0.58–4.84)	
Furniture/fixture industry worker	8 (26)	0.94 (0.37–2.40)	
Iron/steel worker	6 (17)	1.08 (0.36–3.20)	
Machinist	11 (31)	1.15 (0.51–2.59)	
Painter	5 (18)	0.71 (0.22–2.34)	

(continued)

Table 4.1 (continued)

Reference, study location and period	Characteristics of cases	Characteristics of controls	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No cases (controls)	Relative risk (95 % CI)	Adjustment for potential confounders	Comments
				Petroleum industry worker	1 (11)	0.41 (0.05–3.46)		
				Primary metal industry worker	13 (35)	1.03 (0.47–2.23)		
				Transportation worker	41 (82)	1.65 (1.04–2.61)		
				Woodworking machine worker	2 (9)	0.51 (0.09–2.96)		
				Women				
				Transportation equipment manufacturing worker	8 (27)	0.76 (0.29–1.98)		
				Pharynx				
				Males				
				Boiler/furnace operator	8 (14)	1.92 (0.63–5.83)		
				Furniture/fixture industry worker	14 (26)	2.17 (1.01–4.66)		
				Iron/steel worker	12 (17)	2.03 (0.83–5.13)		
				Machinist	15 (31)	1.93 (0.93–4.00)		
				Painter	12 (18)	2.03 (0.87–4.71)		
				Petroleum industry worker	7 (11)	2.31 (0.75–7.15)		
				Primary metal industry worker	22 (35)	2.22 (1.16–4.25)		
				Transportation worker	22 (82)	0.65 (0.36–1.18)		
				Woodworking machine worker	6 (9)	2.27 (0.69–7.44)		
				Women				
				Transportation equipment manufacturing worker	13 (27)	2.75 (1.13–6.66)		
Tisch et al. [126], Germany, 1988–1991	100 incident cases of oral cavity cancer	400 randomized controls	Ever/never employment as machine workers	Exposed or nonexposed as machine workers	22/34	3.4 (1.7–7.0)	Adjusted for tobacco and alcohol consumption	

Gustavsson et al. [54], Sweden, 1988–1990	Incident cases of cancers of the oral cavity (<i>n</i> = 128), pharynx (<i>n</i> = 138), esophagus (<i>n</i> = 122), and larynx (<i>n</i> = 157) of all Swedish men aged 40–79 years living in two regions of Sweden	641 controls were selected stratified by age and region as a random sample of the population base	Occupational history included all jobs held for more than 1 year over lifetime, recording the times of starting and stopping, job title, job tasks, and company for each job. An occupational hygienist examined the intensity and probability of the exposure to 17 specific occupational exposure factors	Oral cavity PAHs Low High Asbestos Low High Dust Low High Wood dust Quartz Metal dust Oil mist Welding fumes Formaldehyde MMMF Paper dust Textile dust Chromium Phenoxy acids Nickel Acid mist Leather dust	25 41 17 16 21 16 16 20 19 15 18 14 6 6 4 7 7 6 3 3	0.99 (0.57–1.73) 1.39 (0.86–2.25) 0.64 (0.35–1.20) 0.67 (0.36–1.25) 1.76 (0.98–3.16) 1.35 (0.70–2.60) 0.70 (0.38–1.29) 0.85 (0.48–1.50) 0.76 (0.43–1.36) 0.69 (0.37–1.29) 0.88 (0.48–1.60) 1.28 (0.64–2.54) 0.51 (0.20–1.32) 0.63 (0.24–1.64) 0.80 (0.26–2.48) 1.60 (0.63–4.06) 1.61 (0.61–4.24) 1.53 (0.57–4.16) 1.39 (0.34–5.58) 2.15 (0.54–8.67)	Adjusted for geographical region, age, alcohol consumption, and tobacco smoking

(continued)

Table 4.1 (continued)

Reference, study location and period	Characteristics of cases	Characteristics of controls	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No cases (controls)	Relative risk (95 % CI)	Adjustment for potential confounders	Comments
				<i>Pharynx</i>				
				PAHs				
				Low	28	1.06 (0.61–1.82)		
				High	44	1.52 (0.94–2.45)		
				Asbestos				
				Low	24	1.01 (0.57–1.80)		
				High	22	1.08 (0.62–1.91)		
				Dust				
				Low	15	1.06 (0.55–2.05)		
				High	17	1.42 (0.74–2.72)		
				Wood dust	14	0.52 (0.27–0.99)		
				Quartz	27	1.29 (0.77–2.18)		
				Metal dust	31	1.40 (0.84–2.33)		
				Oil mist	19	0.78 (0.43–1.41)		
				Welding fumes	28	1.57 (0.91–2.71)		
				1–8 years		1.12 (0.53–2.35)		
				>8 years		2.26 (1.09–3.69)		
				Formaldehyde	13	1.01 (0.49–2.07)		
				MMMF	7	0.56 (0.23–1.38)		
				Paper dust	7	0.68 (0.27–1.69)		
				Textile dust	3	0.53 (0.14–1.93)		
				Chromium	3	0.66 (0.18–2.41)		
				Phenoxy acids	0	–		
				Nickel	2	0.45 (0.10–2.11)		
				Acid mist	4	1.21 (0.35–4.23)		
				Leather dust	5	2.83 (0.79–10.20)		

Schildt et al. [84], Sweden, 1980–1988	410 cases (276 men, 134 women) of squamous cell carcinoma of the oral cavity diagnosed and reported to Cancer Registry in four most northern counties in Sweden	410 controls matched by age, sex, and of the same county as cases	A questionnaire covered lifetime occupational history up to date of diagnosis and asked about exposure for some agents. All occupations were classified according to the Nordic Working Classification system	OR	Occupations (10 or more subjects) Secretary and typist 4 (10) Miner and rock blaster 5 (11) Delivery boy 4 (9) Welder 7 (3) Wood and wood product workers 12 (3) Pulp industry worker 18 (6) Storemen 10 (5) <i>Agents (10 or more subjects)</i> Organic solvents 69 (60) All pesticides 49 (43) Phenoxyacetic acids 20 (12) Herbicides 4 (6) DDT 8 (12) Mercurial seed dressing 6 (4) Impregnating agents 17 (16) Diesel oil 8 (8) Oil 7 (11) Chlorine 4 (6) Producer gas 6 (6) Sulfur compounds 7 (6) Plastics 7 (7)	0.4 (0.1–1.3) 0.4 (0.1–1.4) 0.4 (0.1–1.5) 2.3 (0.6–9.1) 5.5 (1.2–25) 4.0 (1.3–12) 2.2 (0.6–7.4) 1.2 (0.8–1.8) 1.2 (0.7–1.8) 1.7 (0.8–3.5) 0.7 (0.1–2.4) 0.6 (0.2–1.7) 1.5 (0.4–5.3) 1.1 (0.5–2.3) 1.0 (0.3–2.7) 0.6 (0.2–1.7) 0.6 (0.1–2.6) 1.0 (0.3–3.2) 1.2 (0.3–3.5) 1.0 (0.3–2.9)
--	---	---	---	----	---	--

(continued)

Table 4.1 (continued)

Reference, study location and period	Characteristics of cases	Characteristics of controls	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No cases (controls)	Relative risk (95 % CI)	Adjustment for potential confounders	Comments	
Marchand et al. [100], France, 1989–1991	206 incident cases of hypopharynx cancer in men recruited from 15 hospitals in six French cities	305 men hospital-based controls with other (non-respiratory) cancers	Subjects' past occupational exposure to asbestos and to four types of MMVF (mineral wool, refractory ceramic fibers, glass filaments, and microfibers) was evaluated through a job-exposure matrix	Asbestos	40 (110)	1.0	RR adjusted for age, smoking, and alcohol consumption. In the analysis of joint effect of exposure to asbestos and smoking, RR adjusted for age and alcohol consumption		
				Never exposed	161 (185)	1.80 (1.08–2.99)			
Garrote et al. [50], Cuba, 1996–1999	200 incident cases of oral cavity and oropharynx cancer (143 men, 57 women)	200 hospital controls (136 men, 64 women) matched by age and gender with cases	Four major occupational groups	Cumulative level	52 (67)	1.92 (1.03–3.57)	Adjusted for gender, age, area of residence, education, smoking, and drinking habits		
				Low	52 (67)	1.40 (0.74–2.63)			
				Intermediate	57 (51)	2.14 (1.14–4.01)			
				High	1.0				
				Asbestos	3.95 (2.16–7.24)				
				None/low and smoking <30 pack-years	1.19 (0.61–2.32)				
				None/low and smoking >30 pack-years	6.22 (3.41–11.36)				
				Intermediate/high and smoking <30 pack-years					
				Intermediate/high and smoking >30 pack-years					
				Mineral wools	99 (99)	1.55 (0.99–2.41)			
				Ever exposed	7 (9)	0.78 (0.26–2.38)			
				Ceramic fibers	8 (11)	0.91 (0.30–2.76)			
Ever exposed	7 (9)	0.78 (0.26–2.38)							
Glass filaments	51 (85)	1.0							
Ever exposed	68 (57)	1.73 (0.97–3.09)							
Microfibers	37 (19)	2.22 (0.97–5.10)							
Ever exposed	35 (30)	1.94 (0.87–4.33)							

Coble et al. [127], Puerto Rico, 1992–1995	327 incident cases (286 men, 41 women) of oral cavity and pharynx cancer among Puerto Rican residents aged 21–79 years	499 population-based controls (413 men, 86 controls) matched on age, gender, and area of residence with cases	Occupation classified according to industry categories and occupation categories. A job-exposure matrix was used to examine the potential exposure to dusts, metals, and solvents	<p><i>Oral cavity</i></p> <p>Agriculture industry 36 (64)</p> <p>Sugarcane industry 16 (13)</p> <p>Sugarcane farmers 14 (10)</p> <p><i>Pharynx</i></p> <p>Agriculture industry 24 (64)</p> <p>Sugarcane industry 5 (13)</p> <p>Sugarcane farmers 3 (10)</p> <p><i>Oral cavity and pharynx</i></p> <p>Dusts</p> <p>None 119 (197)</p> <p>Low 25 (51)</p> <p>Medium 60 (70)</p> <p>High 82 (94)</p> <p>Metals</p> <p>None 234 (350)</p> <p>Low 20 (23)</p> <p>Medium 24 (32)</p> <p>High 8 (7)</p> <p>Solvents</p> <p>None 205 (321)</p> <p>Low 28 (33)</p> <p>Medium 41 (54)</p> <p>High 12 (4)</p>	<p>1.4 (0.8–2.6)</p> <p>3.4 (1.2–9.4)</p> <p>4.4 (1.4–13.6)</p> <p>1.6 (0.8–3.2)</p> <p>1.0 (0.3–3.8)</p> <p>0.8 (0.2–4.0)</p> <p>1.0</p> <p>0.5 (0.3–1.0)</p> <p>1.3 (0.7–2.1)</p> <p>1.1 (0.7–1.7)</p> <p>1.0</p> <p>1.1 (0.5–2.6)</p> <p>1.2 (0.6–2.5)</p> <p>2.7 (0.7–10.6)</p> <p>1.0</p> <p>1.0 (0.5–2.1)</p> <p>1.6 (0.9–2.9)</p> <p>3.2 (0.8–12.6)</p>	Adjusted for age, smoking, and alcohol consumption. P-value for trend: dusts 0.5, metals 0.2, and solvents 0.03
Menvielle et al. [51], France, 1989–1991	504 incident cases of hypopharynx (n = 201) and larynx cancer (n = 303) in men from 15 French hospitals in six cities	242 hospital-based controls, men with non-respiratory cancers	Occupation classified in ever manual or never manual	<p><i>Hypopharynx</i></p> <p>Never manual 27</p> <p>Ever manual 174</p> <p>Adjusted</p> <p>Age</p> <p>+ alcohol and tobacco 3.50 (2.14–5.73)</p> <p>+ occupational 2.65 (1.51–4.66)</p> <p>exposure 1.84 (0.97–3.48)</p>	<p>1.0</p> <p>1.0</p> <p>1.6 (0.9–2.9)</p> <p>3.2 (0.8–12.6)</p> <p>1.0</p> <p>1.0 (0.5–2.1)</p> <p>1.6 (0.9–2.9)</p> <p>3.2 (0.8–12.6)</p>	RR adjusted by alcohol intake, smoking, and occupational exposures: asbestos, coal dust (ever/never), and formaldehyde (maximal probability of exposure)
Andreotti et al. [80], Brazil, 1999–2001	325 incident cases of oral cavity and pharynx (274 men, 51 women) from seven hospitals in the city of Sao Paulo	362 hospital-based controls without cancer, matched by age and gender	Occupation classified according to industry categories and occupation categories and considering periods of exposure in each category (>10 years, >10 years, and >20 years of latency)	<p><i>Working in vehicle maintenance shop</i></p> <p>Ever exposed 26 (12)</p> <p>>10 years 21 (3)</p> <p>>10 years and >20 latency years 19 (3)</p> <p><i>Vehicle repair worker</i></p> <p>Ever exposed 14 (7)</p> <p>>10 years 13 (1)</p> <p>>10 years and >20 latency years 11 (1)</p>	<p>2.45 (1.14–5.27)</p> <p>7.90 (2.03–30.72)</p> <p>7.38 (1.88–28.98)</p> <p>2.10 (0.78–5.68)</p> <p>26.21 (2.34–294.06)</p> <p>24.46 (2.10–284.60)</p>	Adjusted for age, smoking, and alcohol consumption

(continued)

Table 4.1 (continued)

Reference, study location and period	Characteristics of cases	Characteristics of controls	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No cases (controls)	Relative risk (95 % CI)	Adjustment for potential confounders	Comments
Vlajinac et al. [55], Serbia and Montenegro, 1998–2000	100 incident cases (89 men, 11 women) of oropharynx cancer (including base of the tongue, neoplasm of palate, neoplasm of the tonsils) recruited in a single center	100 controls recruited among patients treated in the same period as cases of some nonmalignant diseases of head and neck matched with cases according to age, sex, and place of residence	Occupational exposure was assessed by asking the participants whether they have ever been exposed to the items listed	OR High temperature Low temperature High humidity Dry air Coal dust Cement dust Aniline dyes Wood dust Metal dust Chemical dust or smoke Nickel and chromium Mortar Formaldehyde	49 (52) 56 (56) 52 (45) 57 (73) 16 (14) 16 (12) 11 (7) 22 (12) 23 (30) 24 (30) 6 (5) 16 (11) 8 (2)	0.51 (0.12–2.09) 0.82 (0.42–1.58) 1.04 (0.53–2.05) 0.30 (0.14–0.64) 0.88 (0.36–2.14) 1.58 (0.58–4.33) 1.41 (0.44–4.44) 2.33 (0.96–5.66) 0.57 (0.27–1.18) 0.71 (0.34–1.48) 1.33 (0.30–5.90) 1.68 (0.57–4.97) 4.48 (0.63–31.63)	OR adjusted for education, BMI, smoking, alcohol consumption, and family history of oropharynx cancer	
Jayaprakash et al. [81], United States, 1982–1988	1,522 men of upper aerodigestive and respiratory cancers (241 oral and pharynx)	1,522 controls matched on age and smoking, selected from hospital visitors with a cancer suspicion and those who were screened as outpatients in the same hospital of cases, but not diagnosed with any malignant or benign tumors	Wood dust exposure was assessed through several questions about prior exposure at work. If exposed, they were queried about the frequency and duration of exposure and also the year of first exposure	<i>Oral and pharynx cancers</i> Wood dust exposure Never Occasionally Regularly <i>Squamous cell carcinoma of oral cavity and pharynx</i> Wood dust exposure Never Occasionally Regularly	188 (1,153) 32 (262) 21 (107) 169 (1,153) 28 (262) 21 (107)	1.0 0.72 (0.48–1.08) 1.14 (0.68–1.92) 1.0 0.68 (0.44–1.06) 1.27 (0.76–2.14)	RR adjusted for age, pack-years of smoking, body mass index, smoking status, education, and alcoholic drinks per week and year of enrollment	

Table 4.2 Cohort studies on occupation and cancer of the oral cavity and pharynx

Reference, location	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Tumor site	No of cases/deaths	Exposure categories	SIR, SMR, IRR, or RR (95% CI)	Adjustment for potential confounders	Comments
Moulin et al. [98], France	1,374 men workers of a single man-made mineral fibers present at the factory (1975–1984) and who had been working for at least one full year. No clear definition of period of beginning of exposure, but the first production lines started in 1940. Cancer incidence follow-up (1975–1984)	Environmental surveys were performed in 1981 in order to measure the pollution by fibers	Oral cavity and pharynx	Oral cavity 9 Pharynx 5	SIR	3.01 1.40		Both SIR estimates were not statistically significant
Blair et al. [56], United States	26,561 workers first employed in 10 formaldehyde-producing or formaldehyde-using plants before 1996. Mortality follow-up (1980)	A job-exposure matrix was prepared for industrial hygienists considering each job-work area-calendar year combination. Measures of exposure to formaldehyde used in the analysis included level or intensity, duration, average, cumulative or peak exposure, presence of particulates, and measures that excluded recent exposures from the exposure index	Several tumors	Several tumors	SMR Oral cavity and pharynx			Black women were excluded from analysis because of small numbers ($n = 26$). SMR based on US national rates. NS = nonstatistically significant
					White men Exposed Nonexposed White women Exposed Nonexposed Black men Exposed Nonexposed Intensity of exposure (white men) 0 >0–<0.1 0.1–0.4 0.5–1.9 >2.0 <i>Lip</i> Cumulative formaldehyde exposure	96 (57–152) 54 (11–157) – – – – 89 (NS) 30 (NS) 59 (NS) 130 (NS) –		

(continued)

Table 4.2 (continued)

Reference, location	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Tumor site	No of cases/ deaths	Exposure categories	SIR, SMR, IRR, or RR (95 % CI)	Adjustment for potential confounders	Comments
				1	0 ppm-year	-		
				0	<0.5 ppm-year	477 (NS)		
				1	0.51-5.5 ppm-year	-		
					>5.5 ppm-year	764 (NS)		
				0	<i>Tongue</i> Cumulative formaldehyde exposure			
				0	0 ppm-year	-		
				2	<0.5 ppm-year	-		
				0	0.51-5.5 ppm-year	96 (NS)		
					>5.5 ppm-year	-		
					<i>Gum, floor, and other mouth sites</i> Cumulative formaldehyde exposure			
				0	0 ppm-year	-		
				1	<0.5 ppm-year	66 (NS)		
				0	0.51-5.5 ppm-year	-		
				1	>5.5 ppm-year	88 (NS)		
					<i>Oropharynx</i> Cumulative formaldehyde exposure			
				0	0 ppm-year	-		
				4	<0.5 ppm-year	443 ($p < 0.05$)		
				1	0.51-5.5 ppm-year	95 (NS)		
				0	>5.5 ppm-year	-		
					<i>Hypopharynx</i> Cumulative formaldehyde exposure			
				1	0 ppm-year	594 (NS)		
				1	<0.5 ppm-year	172 (NS)		
				0	0.51-5.5 ppm-year	-		
				0	>5.5 ppm-year	-		
					<i>Other pharynx</i> Cumulative formaldehyde exposure			
				0	0 ppm-year	-		
				1	<0.5 ppm-year	73 (NS)		
				0	0.51-5.5 ppm-year	-		
				0	>5.5 ppm-year	-		

Study	Population	Comparison	Number of Cases	SMR	Significance	Notes
Stayner et al. [62], United States	11,030 workers from three garment manufacturers who had been working for at least 3 months (1955–1977). Mortality follow-up until end of 1982	Workers of the cohort were compared with the US population. Exposure assessment considered duration of employment, latency, and first-year exposure	All tumors	Oral cavity	343 (118–786)	Workers who were employed only in clerical or administrative positions were excluded from the analysis. We reported here only risk estimates for oral cavity and pharynx tumors. NS = nonstatistically significant
				White men	—	
				White women	485 ($p < 0.01$)	
				Nonwhite men	—	
				Nonwhite women	—	
				Plant 1	306 (NS)	
				Plant 2	886 ($p < 0.01$)	
				Plant 3	—	
				Latency period (3 months–9 years)	—	
				Duration of exposure	—	
				3 months–3 years	—	
				4–9 years	—	
				10+ years	—	
				Overall latency period (10–19 years)	—	
				Duration of exposure	—	
				3 months–3 years	—	
				4–9 years	—	
				10+ years	822 ($p < 0.01$)	
				Overall latency period (20+ years)	357 (NS)	
				Duration of exposure	—	
				3 months–3 years	—	
				4–9 years	315 (NS)	
				10+ years	654 (NS)	
				Overall year of first exposure	705 ($p < 0.01$)	
				1955–1962	—	
				1963–1970	—	
				1971–1978	440 ($p < 0.01$)	
				Pharynx	—	
				White men	—	
				White women	359 (NS)	
Nonwhite men	239 (NS)					
Nonwhite women	86 (NS)					
Plant 1	—					
Plant 2	100 (NS)					
Plant 3	—					
Latency period (3 months–9 years)	—					
Duration of exposure	—					
3 months–3 years	—					
4–9 years	—					
10+ years	—					
Overall latency period (10–19 years)	—					
Duration of exposure	—					
3 months–3 years	368 (NS)					
4–9 years	490 (NS)					
10+ years	—					
Overall latency period (20+ years)	233 (NS)					
Duration of exposure	—					
3 months–3 years	—					
4–9 years	—					
10+ years	—					
Overall year of first exposure	—					
1955–1962	76 (NS)					
1963–1970	273 (NS)					
1971–1978	—					

(continued)

Table 4.2 (continued)

Reference, location	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Tumor site	No of cases/deaths	Exposure categories	SIR, SMR, IRR, or RR (95 % CI)	Adjustment for potential confounders	Comments
Lyngse and Thygesen [128], Denmark	Cohort included people aged 20–64 years at the census on 9 November 1970. Ten-year follow-up for cancer incidence (1970–1980)	Industry and occupation were recorded only for people economically active at the time of census, through the International Standard Industrial Classification and a special Danish code, respectively	Pharynx cancer	12 8 6 5 4 3 3 2 1 6 0 3 10	RR Occupation/industry Waiter Bricklayer Painter Dock laborer Self-employed/groceries Chief engineer at sea Cashier Other banking staff Selected occupational groups Skilled workers and painter in metal industry Skilled workers and painter in paint workshop Skilled workers and painter/other industries Self-employed and painter in paint workshop All painters	12.08 (6.26–21.17) 2.69 (1.16–5.31) 3.30 (1.21–7.18) 5.23 (1.69–12.16) 3.89 (1.06–9.94) 5.00 (1.03–14.62) 8.94 (1.82–25.79) 9.90 (1.21–36.10) 1.95 (0.05–10.92) 3.30 (1.21–7.18) – 1.73 (0.34–5.07) 2.27 (1.09–4.18)		Here we reported only RR with 95 % confidence interval excluded 1
Gardner et al. [57], United Kingdom	14,017 men employed in six of formaldehyde-producing or formaldehyde-using plants (7,660 first employed before 1965, 6,357 first employed after 1964); mortality follow-up until the end of 1989	Exposure to formaldehyde was on the basis of recorded titles of jobs undertaken by each of the men before 1982. Exposures have been qualitatively classified after detailed discussions on past working conditions	All tumors	3 7 1 0	SMR Employed before 1965 Oral cavity Pharynx Employed after 1964 Oral cavity Pharynx	137 (28–401) 147 (59–303) 190 (5–10.59) –		Here we reported only the risks for oral cavity and pharynx cancer
Johnson [94], United States	Cohort with 10,841 members of meatcutter union in Baltimore (6,906 men, 3,935 women) employed for at least 1 year; mortality follow-up (1949–1989)	Subjects who ever worked in the meat department of supermarkets or grocery stores	Oral cavity and pharynx		SMR Meat department Men Women Other departments Men Women	1.8 (1.0–3.0) 1.5 (0.3–4.4) 1.7 (0.8–3.2) 0.5 (0.0–2.6)		

Table 4.2 (continued)

Reference, location	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Tumor site	No of cases/ deaths	Exposure categories	SIR, SMR, IRR, or RR (95 % CI)	Adjustment for potential confounders	Comments				
Boffetta et al. [99], Denmark, Finland, Norway, Sweden	6,296 workers employed >1 year in 9 factories (3,685 rock-slag wool; 2,611 glass wool) (1933–1961); follow-up (1995) (94.2 % of the cohort)	Medium and high exposure to wood dust; employment duration: <10 years, 10–19 years, > and 20 years; formaldehyde exposure (no/possible)	Oral cavity and pharynx	38 27 11	SIR Total cohort Rock-slag wool Glass wool	1.65 (1.17–2.26) 1.84 (1.22–2.68) 1.31 (0.65–2.34)						
									SIR Men Oral cavity Pharynx Medium exposure Oral cavity Pharynx High exposure Oral cavity Pharynx <10 years Oral cavity Pharynx 10–19 years Oral cavity Pharynx >20 years Oral cavity Pharynx Women Oral cavity Pharynx Medium exposure Oral cavity Pharynx High exposure Oral cavity Pharynx <10 years Oral cavity Pharynx 10–19 years Oral cavity Pharynx >20 years Oral cavity Pharynx Formaldehyde exposure (men and women) No Oral cavity Pharynx Possible Oral cavity Pharynx	1.22 (0.63–2.13) 1.82 (0.83–3.46) 3.66 (1.19–8.55) 4.02 (0.83–11.75) 0.76 (0.28–1.65) 1.51 (0.58–3.29) 1.50 (0.68–2.84) 2.18 (0.88–4.49) 1.36 (0.28–3.98) 2.12 (0.26–7.66) 0.00 0.00 1.84 (0.50–4.71) 0.00 2.47 (0.30–8.91) 0.00 1.61 (0.19–5.81) 0.00 0.75 (0.02–4.20) – 0.00 – 8.10 (1.67–23.68) – 1.58 (0.43–4.05) 3.57 (0.97–9.14) 1.25 (0.62–2.23) 1.17 (0.38–2.73)		

Boffetta et al. [95], Sweden	25,049 men butchers or meat workers from 1960 to 1970; follow-up for incidence (1971–1989)	Three categories: butchers in the meat industry, butchers employed in other industries, and non-butchers in meat industry	Oral cavity and pharynx	SIR Butcher or meat workers Butcher in meat industry RR Butchers in meat industry Butcher in other industries Non-butchers in meat industry	73 14 14 2 5	1.1 (0.8–1.3) 1.5 (0.8–2.5) 1.6 (1.0–2.7) 1.3 (0.3–5.1) 0.7 (0.3–1.6)	RR reference category: other workers, excluding other animal-related jobs
Boffetta et al. [93], Sweden	Exposed men (7,400,000 person-years) and women (240,000) to diesel engine emissions according to 1960 Census occupation and industry of employment of Swedish population; mortality follow-up (1971–1989)	Job-exposure matrix elaborated according to jobs and industry titles of cohort members (men and women with available occupational data from Census of 1960 and 1970) considering probability and intensity of exposure to diesel engine emissions	Oral cavity and pharynx	SIR Men Women RR Men Probability Low Medium High Intensity Low Medium High Women Probability Low Medium High Intensity Low Medium-high	1,733 31 633 559 439 1,150 280 201 18 4 6 22 6	1.05 (1.00–1.10) 1.64 (1.11–2.33) 1.2 (1.11–1.26) 1.11 (0.99–1.18) 1.1 (0.99–1.21) 1.2 (1.11–1.26) 1.2 (0.95–1.21) 0.98 (0.85–1.13) 1.4 (0.91–2.30) 1.2 (0.46–3.31) 1.7 (0.75–3.74) 1.4 (0.77–2.84) 1.7 (0.77–3.84)	RR reference category: unexposed to diesel engine emissions

(continued)

Table 4.2 (continued)

Reference, location	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Tumor site	No of cases/deaths	Exposure categories	SIR, SMR, IRR, or RR (95 % CI)	Adjustment for potential confounders	Comments
Marsh et al. [59], United States	7,328 workers (6,859 men, 469 women) employed at a plastic-producing plant (1941–1984); mortality follow-up until 1998	Exposure estimation was based on an examination of the available sampling data and job descriptions and verbal descriptions of jobs and tasks	Oral cavity and pharynx		SMR Cancer			
				31	Oral cavity + pharynx	1.80 (1.22–2.55)		
				1	Lip	3.23 (0.08–18.0)		
				3	Tongue	0.76 (0.16–2.22)		
				3	Gum and other mouth sites	1.20 (0.25–3.51)		
				2	Floor of the mouth	2.07 (0.25–7.48)		
				22	Pharynx (including nasopharynx)	2.63 (1.65–3.98)		
				5	Oropharynx	2.17 (0.71–5.07)		
				3	Hypopharynx	2.25 (0.46–6.58)		
				7	Pharynx, unspecified	2.11 (0.85–4.35)		
					All pharyngeal cancers (including nasopharyngeal)			
				12	Short term (<1 year)	2.35 (1.22–4.11)		
				10	Long term (1+ years)	2.10 (1.01–3.86)		
					Year of hire			
				1	1941–1946	0.46 (0.01–2.56)		
				18	1947–1956	3.24 (1.92–5.12)		
				3	1957+	1.41 (0.29–4.12)		
					DOE (year) (all workers)			
				12	<1	2.34 (1.21–4.09)		
				5	1–9	1.89 (0.61–4.42)		
				5	10+	2.36 (0.76–5.50)		
					TSFE (year)			
				4	<20	1.41 (0.38–3.61)		
				7	20–29	2.32 (0.93–4.78)		
				11	30+	2.75 (1.37–4.92)		
					Formaldehyde exposure			

Brown et al. [87], Sweden	Cohort of individuals identified in Census of 1960 and 1970 in Sweden. Participants were employed in painting trades and paint manufacturing cohorts: men painters (42,433), men lacquerers (12,531), men artists (6,662), men employed in paint and varnish plants (5,741), women lacquerers (974), women glazers (882), women artists (2,136), and alive and free of cancer in 1971. Follow-up (1971–1989) for cancer incidence	The jobs of individuals at either Census (1960, 1970) were classified according to Swedish Occupational Classification	Oral cavity	2	Unexposed		1.24 (0.15–4.49)	
				20	Exposed		2.42 (1.48–3.74)	
				11	Duration of exposure			
				5	>0–<1		2.35 (1.17–4.21)	
				6	1–9		1.81 (0.49–4.63)	
				6	10+		3.65 (1.18–8.52)	
				6	Cumulative exposure			
				7	>0–<0.004		3.31 (1.22–7.21)	
				7	0.004–0.129		2.06 (0.83–4.24)	
				7	0.22+		2.30 (0.92–4.73)	
				6	AIE to formaldehyde (ppm)			
				7	>0–<0.03		2.02 (0.74–4.40)	
				7	0.03–0.159		3.82 (1.54–7.88)	
				7	0.16+		2.03 (0.82–4.19)	
				8	Formaldehyde >0.2 ppm			
				14	Unexposed		1.72 (0.74–3.39)	
				6	Exposed		2.68 (1.46–4.49)	
				6	Duration (year)			
				3	>0–<1		2.19 (0.80–4.77)	
				5	1–9		1.68 (0.34–4.90)	
16	10+		7.35 (2.39–17.16)					
6	Formaldehyde >0.7 ppm							
4	Unexposed		2.12 (1.21–3.45)					
2	Exposed		2.55 (0.94–5.56)					
2	Duration (year)							
2	>0–<1		2.58 (0.70–6.61)					
2	1+		2.50 (0.30–9.03)					
		SIR						
		Men						
		Painters	1.0 (0.8–1.1)					
		Any lacquerers	1.0 (0.7–1.5)					
		Metal lacquerers	0.9 (0.6–1.4)					
		Wood lacquerers	2.1 (1.0–3.9)					
		Artists	1.5 (1.0–2.1)					
		Paint/varnish workers	0.8 (0.4–1.3)					
		Women						
		Lacquerers	3.8 (1.0–9.7)					
		Glazers	4.6 (1.2–11.8)					
		Artists	0.9 (0.1–3.2)					

(continued)

Table 4.2 (continued)

Reference, location	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Tumor site	No of cases/deaths	Exposure categories	SIR, SMR, IRR, or RR (95 % CI)	Adjustment for potential confounders	Comments
Coggon et al. [60], United Kingdom	14,014 men employed in six formaldehyde-producing or formaldehyde-using plants; each individual was followed starting at the latest of 1 January 1941; mortality follow-up until the end of 2000	Exposure to formaldehyde was on the basis of recorded titles of jobs undertaken by each of worker occupational history. A separate job-exposure matrix was constructed for each factory. Exposure to formaldehyde was classified as follows: background (<0.1 ppm), low (0.1–0.5 ppm), moderate (0.6–2.0 ppm), high (>2.0 ppm), and unknown	All tumors	Total cohort (1941–2000) 4 6 15 3 2 6	SMR Tongue Oral cavity Pharynx Men with high exposure (1941–2000) Tongue Oral cavity Pharynx	0.84 (0.23–2.14) 1.28 (0.47–2.78) 1.55 (0.87–2.56) 1.91 (0.39–5.58) 1.32 (0.16–4.75) 1.91 (0.70–4.17)		This study is an update of the cohort of Gardner et al. [57]. See above in this table. Here we reported only the risks for oral cavity and pharynx cancer
Pinkerton et al. [63], United States	11,039 workers (2,015 men, 9,024 women) exposed to formaldehyde in three garment manufacturers who had been working for at least 3 months (1955–1977). Mortality follow-up until the end of 1998	Workers of the cohort were compared with the US population. Exposure assessment considered duration of employment, latency, and first-year exposure	All tumors		SMR Original study period (1955–1982) Oral cavity + pharynx Oral cavity Pharynx Updated period (1983–1998) Oral cavity + pharynx Oral cavity Pharynx Total study period (1955–1998) Oral cavity + pharynx Oral cavity Pharynx	1.58 (0.58–3.45) 3.53 (0.96–9.02) 1.15 (0.14–4.15) 0.31 (0.04–1.14) – 0.34 (0.01–1.87) 0.79 (0.34–1.55) 1.33 (0.36–3.41) 0.64 (0.13–1.86)		This study is an update of the cohort of Stayner et al. [129]. See above in this table. Here we reported only the risks for oral cavity and pharynx cancer

<p>Hauptmann et al. [61], United States</p>	<p>Cohort of 25,619 workers (22,493 men, 3,126 women) employed in 10 US formaldehyde-producing or formaldehyde-using plants prior 1966; mortality from solid cancer follow-up until the end of 1994</p>	<p>Exposure to formaldehyde (nonexposed and exposed) was estimated from job titles, tasks, visits to the plants, discussion with workers and managers, by industrial hygienists, and defining average intensity; peak of exposure, cumulative exposure, and duration of exposure</p>	<p>Oral cavity</p>	<p>13</p>	<p>SMR Nonexposed Exposed RR</p>	<p>0.99 (0.58–1.71) 1.01 (0.77–1.34)</p>	<p>RR adjusted for calendar year, age, gender, race (black/white), and pay category (salary/wage)</p>	<p>This study is an update of the cohort of Blair et al. [56]. See above in this table. (*) 95 % confidence interval does not include 1. (NS) 95 % confidence interval include 1</p>
<p>Intensity (ppm)</p>								
<p>0 2.42 (*)</p>								
<p>>0–<0.5 1.0</p>								
<p>0.5–<1.0 2.41 (*)</p>								
<p>>1.0 1.89 (NS)</p>								
<p>Peak (ppm)</p>								
<p>0 2.08 (NS)</p>								
<p>>0–<2.0 1.0</p>								
<p>2.0–<4.0 1.07 (NS)</p>								
<p>>4.0 1.83 (NS)</p>								
<p>Cumulative (ppm-year)</p>								
<p>0 1.98 (NS)</p>								
<p>>0–<1.5 1.0</p>								
<p>1.5–<5.5 1.59 (NS)</p>								
<p>>5.5 1.74 (NS)</p>								
<p>Duration (years)</p>								
<p>0 1.87 (NS)</p>								
<p>>0–<5 1.0</p>								
<p>5–<15 1.74 (NS)</p>								
<p>>15 0.95 (NS)</p>								
<p>Ji and Hemminki [89], Sweden</p>	<p>Swedish population economically active (men, 1960 Census, 1,644,958; women, 1970 Census, 1,154,091); follow-up of incidence of upper aerodigestive tract cancer until the end of 2000</p>	<p>Occupation was coded according to national adaptations of the Nordic Occupational Standard Classification of Occupation. We defined 53 occupational groups</p>	<p>Tongue, oral cavity, and pharynx</p>	<p>7 90 36 5 13 8 9</p>	<p>SIR Men (1960 Census) Tongue cancer Dentists Sale Shop Beverage Cooks Waiters Hairdressers Oral cancer Sale Painter Printer Cooks</p>	<p>2.88 (1.14–5.41) 1.36 (1.09–1.65) 1.44 (1.01–1.94) 5.95 (1.88–12.31) 4.83 (2.56–7.82) 5.41 (2.31–9.80) 2.41 (1.09–4.25) 1.27 (1.06–1.50) 1.40 (1.01–1.85) 1.52 (1.00–2.15) 2.27 (1.03–4.00)</p>	<p>We reported here only occupations with SIR statistically significant</p>	

(continued)

Table 4.2 (continued)

Reference, location	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Tumor site	No of cases/deaths	Exposure categories	SIR, SMR, IRR, or RR (95% CI)	Adjustment for potential confounders	Comments
Purdue et al. [101], Sweden	Cohort of 307,799 Swedish men workers in Swedish construction industry; follow-up (1971–2001)	Exposure to diesel exhaust, asbestos, organic solvents, metal dust, asphalt, wood dust, stone dust, mineral wool, and cement dust were assessed through a semi-quantitative job-exposure matrix	Oral cavity or pharynx	173	Pharynx cancer	0.85 (0.73–0.98) 1.87 (1.22–2.66) 1.19 (1.02–1.39) 1.52 (1.20–1.97) 1.85 (1.06–2.87) 1.53 (1.08–2.06) 1.83 (1.17–2.64)	Adjusted for potential confounders	
					Technical			
					Artistic			
					Sale			
					Shop			
					Seamen			
					Printer			
					Laundrers			
					Women (1970 census)			
					Pharynx cancer			
					Artistic			
					Mechanics			
					Hairdressers			
Purdue et al. [101], Sweden	Cohort of 307,799 Swedish men workers in Swedish construction industry; follow-up (1971–2001)	Exposure to diesel exhaust, asbestos, organic solvents, metal dust, stone dust, mineral wool, and cement dust were assessed through a semi-quantitative job-exposure matrix	Oral cavity or pharynx	92	RR	3.83 (1.63–6.94) 2.27 (1.24–3.62) 2.49 (1.13–4.39)	Adjusted for age, smoking status, and snuff use	Included only occupational exposures with RR equal or higher than 1.5 in some level of exposure
					RR			
					Oral cavity			
					Asbestos			
					Never			
					Ever			
					Moderate			
					High			
					Pharynx			
					Cement dust			
					Never			
					Ever			
					Moderate			
High								
Asphalt								
Never								
Ever								
Krstev et al. [85], United States	Cohort of all workers employed at the US Coast Guard shipyard workers (4,413 men; 289 women) (1950–1964); mortality follow-up until the end of 2001 (completed for 93.3% of cohort)	Occupation groups. An exposure inventory identifying agents, such as specific metals, solvents, acids, asbestos, and others in order to classify workers as exposed or nonexposed in shipyard	Oral cavity, pharynx, and nasopharynx	18	SMR	0.89 (0.53–1.40) 0.64 (0.28–1.27) 1.28 (0.61–2.35) 0.94 (0.56–1.49)	Adjusted for age, sex, and race	We reported here only occupational groups with SMR >1.5 SMR was observed for a group of tumors including oral cavity, pharynx, and nasopharynx taken together. RR reference category: no occupational exposure
					Men			
					≤10 years job			
					>10 years job			
					Exposed in shipyard			
					Occupational groups			
					Carpenters			
					Machinists			
					Woodworkers			
					Professionals			
					Women			
					0			
					–			

Marsh et al. [107], United States	7,345 workers employed at a plastic-producing plant (1941–1984); mortality follow-up until 2003 (obtained for 98 % and cause of death for 95 % of the cohort)	Exposure assessment obtained by work histories	Tongue, gum and other oral sites	SMR Tongue Gum and other oral sites unspecified Floor of mouth	1.08 (0.55–2.53) 1.36 (0.37–3.50) 1.91 (0.23–6.92) 2.38 (1.51–3.57)	SIR reported here only for equal or higher than age, calendar period and social class. Reference total Finnish population
Tarvainen et al. [69], Finland	Cohort comprised all Finns (725,868 men and 825,528 women) born during 1906–1945; incidence follow-up (1971–1995)	Occupational branches, specific occupations, and national job-exposure matrix (FINJEM) for 43 chemical agents; cumulative exposure (annual average of exposed people X mean level of exposure in the occupation) for every 5-year birth cohort	Oral cavity and pharynx (excluding nasopharynx)	SIR Men Lawyers Authors Journalists Performing artists Musicians Electronics, telefitters Painters, building Building hands Dockers Hotel porters Women Private secretaries Dressmakers Shoemakers and cobblers Waiters Pursers and stewardesses Men and women Cumulative exposure Lowest Asbestos Iron Lead Cadmium Carbon monoxide Diesel engine exhaust Gasoline engine exhaust Fungicides Middle	5 4 2 Pharynx 23 4 2 10 4 7 6 21 48 15 7 9 12 2 23 2 105 104 164 90 185 102 130 38	5.70 (1.55–14.59) 9.32 (1.13–33.65) 3.28 (1.57–6.03) 5.60 (1.53–14.35) 3.03 (1.15–5.90) 2.92 (1.07–6.35) 1.63 (1.01–2.49) 1.58 (1.16–2.09) 2.28 (1.28–3.76) 3.51 (1.41–7.23) 2.20 (1.00–4.17) 2.42 (1.25–4.23) 17.42 (2.11–62.94) 1.80 (1.14–2.70) 9.56 (1.16–34.52) 1.32 (1.08–1.60) 1.23 (1.00–1.49) 1.32 (1.12–1.53) 1.45 (1.17–1.78) 1.26 (1.08–1.45) 1.26 (1.03–1.53) 1.28 (1.07–1.52) 1.48 (1.05–2.04)

(continued)

Table 4.2 (continued)

Reference, location	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Tumor site	No of cases/ deaths	Exposure categories	SIR, SMR, IRR, or RR (95 % CI)	Adjustment for potential confounders	Comments
Andersen et al. [130], Denmark	3.22 million Danish born 1925–1973 and aged >30 years in the incidence period 1994–2003				Chlorinated hydrocarbon	1.42 (1.00–1.96)	RR included age, calendar period, socioeconomic status and all three occupational exposure (high, middle, low)	RR reference category: no occupational exposure
					Engine exhaust	1.34 (1.08–1.66)		
					Gasoline engine exhaust	1.37 (1.02–1.80)		
					Highest			
					Aliphatic and alicyclic hydrocarbons	1.97 (1.23–2.98)		
					Petroleum-based products	1.60 (1.02–2.41)		
					Asbestos	1.26 (1.01–1.55)		
					Engine exhaust	1.68 (1.09–2.48)		
					Diesel engine exhaust	1.62 (0.99–2.50)		
					RR			
					Men and women			
					High level of cumulative exposure			
					Aliphatic and alicyclic hydrocarbons	1.69 (1.06–2.71)		
					Pesticides	1.92 (1.00–3.68)		
					Engine exhaust	1.37 (0.90–2.09)		
					IRR			
					Men			
					Affiliation to work market			
					Working	1.00		
					Unemployed early retirement	2.98 (2.63–3.37)		
					Retirement	4.52 (4.01–5.11)		
					Social class			
Creative core	0.69 (0.52–0.90)							
Creative professional	0.65 (0.56–0.76)							
Bohemian	1.80 (1.15–2.82)							
Service	0.92 (0.83–1.03)							
Manual	1.00							
Agricultural	0.31 (0.23–0.42)							
Unknown	0.95 (0.81–1.12)							
Women								
Affiliation to work market								
Working	1.00							
Unemployment	2.46 (2.02–2.99)							
Early retirement	3.90 (3.22–4.72)							
Social class								
Creative core	0.70 (0.37–1.33)							
Creative professional	0.60 (0.42–0.87)							
Bohemian	0.47 (0.07–3.37)							
Service	0.81 (0.63–1.04)							
Manual	1.00							
Agricultural	0.12 (0.03–0.47)							
Unknown	1.20 (0.92–1.57)							

SIR standardized incidence ratio, SMR standardized mortality ratio, IRR incidence rate ratio, RR relative risk

oral cancer in those employed after 1964. Andjelkovich et al. [58] found SMR 131 (95 % CI 48–286) for workers exposed to formaldehyde in an automotive iron foundry. Marsh et al. [59] in a cohort of workers in a plastic-producing plant found for oral and pharynx cancers SMR of 1.80 (95 % CI 1.22–2.55). Other results from this study, including exposure duration and cumulative exposure to formaldehyde, are difficult to interpret as analysis for pharynx cancer included nasopharyngeal carcinoma. A cohort study in the United Kingdom [60] found SMRs below 2.0 for men with high exposures (estimated as greater than 2.0 ppm). Hauptmann et al. [61] expanded the follow-up from previously cited Blair et al.'s [56] cohort study. Even though risks for oral cavity cancer above 2.0 were found for some average-intensity exposure levels, no dose-response effect was detected. Stayner et al. [62] conducted a mortality cohort study in garment manufacturers, as workers in this industry are potentially exposed to formaldehyde. They concluded that there was a possible relationship between formaldehyde and oral cavity cancer (SMR 343, 95 % CI 118–786). An extension of this cohort until 1998 [63] confirmed increased risks, particularly for oral cavity cancer SMR (3.53, 95 % CI 0.96–9.02), for the original cohort exposure period, but revealed decreased SMRs for the updated period. Several other cohorts were conducted specifically with formaldehyde-exposed professionals, such as pathologists, anatomists, medical laboratory technicians, embalmers, and funeral directors [64–68], but revealed decreased risks of oral and pharynx cancers. A Finnish cohort population [69] did not find any increased risk at the lowest, middle, or highest exposure levels to formaldehyde for oral cavity and pharynx cancer. Innos et al. [70] in a cohort of furniture workers in Estonia detected slight risks for those with possible exposure to formaldehyde. Bosetti et al. [71] conducted the most recent meta-analysis of cohort studies on formaldehyde and cancer risk; related to oral cavity and pharynx, they found RR of 1.09 (95 % CI 0.88–1.34) for industrial workers and RR of 0.96 (95 % CI 0.75–1.23) for professionals. The results of all available studies are inconsistent, and no clear association could be established between exposure to formaldehyde and oral and pharynx cancers [72, 73].

Leather Dust and Leather Industry Work

A case-control study conducted in the United States [74] showed evidence of oral and pharynx cancers in leather industry workers (RR 3.58; $p < 0.01$). However, three subsequent case-control studies reporting specifically on the leather industry or exposure to leather dust showed less emphatic results: in Brazil [75] the risk was low (RR 1.3), in Italy [53] risk deficits were observed (RR 0.4 for any exposure to leather dust and RR 0.9 for probable or definitive exposure), and in Sweden [54] risks higher than 2.0 were observed, but they were not statistically significant. A cohort

study in Finland [69] reported increase risk of oral and pharynx cancers from exposure to leather dust as standardized incidence ratio (SIR) 1.75 (95 % CI 0.36–5.13) for those with medium-category exposure, as no cases were observed at high exposure level. There is no specific cohort study with workers in leather industry. Even though leather dust has been classified by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) as definitively carcinogenic for humans, into Group 1 [76, 77], from results of available case-control and cohort studies which have investigated exposure to leather dust and oral and pharynx cancers, no conclusive association can be assumed.

Wood Dust and Wood Industry Work

Many case-control studies that have reported an association between wood dust exposure or jobs in wood-related work and oral and pharynx cancers have revealed decreased risks or risks around unity [53, 54, 75, 78–81]. Other studies have found risks ranging from 1.5 to 2.0 for pharyngeal cancer [55, 82, 83]. A case-control study [84] observed a high risk for oral cavity cancer in wood and wood product workers (RR 5.5, 95 % CI 1.2–25.0); and other case-control study [53] found increased risk (RR 5.5, 95 % CI 0.7–44.6) for those exposed for 16 years or more in wood furniture production. A cohort study of United States Coast Guard shipyard workmen [85] detected a high risk (RR 6.20, 95 % CI 2.27–13.50) of oral and pharynx cancers in woodworkers, but analysis included nasopharynx cancer. In Finland, two cohort studies did not reveal any risks of oral and pharynx cancers in woodworkers. In the first [86], decreased risks for oral cancer in construction carpenters and pharynx cancer in woodworkers were found. In the second [69], authors found a protection (SIR 0.79, 95 % CI 0.62–0.99) for oral and pharynx cancers in woodworkers but SIR 1.3 (95 % CI 0.7–2.1) for men and women in the highest category of exposure to hardwood dust. Innos et al. [70] conducted a cohort study in two large furniture factories; they found increased risk of pharynx cancer in men (RR 1.82, 95 % CI 0.83–3.46) and oral cavity cancer in women (RR 1.84, 95 % CI 0.50–4.71). Brown et al. [87] observed increased risk of oral cancer in male wood lacquerers (SIR 2.1, 95 % CI 1.0–3.9), but probably in the context of this cohort study, agents other than those habitually used in wood industry were involved, such as the basic components of paint, varnish, and lacquer, including pigments, resins, and solvents. In general, the risks of oral and pharynx cancers for wood dust or wood industry exposure were imprecise, and no dose-response effect could be observed considering level or time of exposure.

Gustavsson et al. [54] speculated that lowered risk to wood dust exposure could be due to residual confounding from low smoking levels in these workers because of the obvious fire hazard in this activity; however, after subdividing smoking habits into eight increasing cumulative tobacco

classes, low RR associated with exposure to wood dust persisted. IARC has classified wood dust in Group 1 [77]; however, specifically for oral and pharynx cancers, results from studies conducted until now do not allow conclusive answers on a causal relationship between wood dust exposure and oral and pharynx cancers.

Cotton Dust and Textile Work

A case-control study of women in the United States [88] found increased risk (RR 3.9, 95 % CI 1.2–12.0) of oral cancer in those with presumed exposure to dust in textile industry for 1–4 years, but no risk was observed for those exposed for 10 years or more. Increased risks were also found in France [78] for pharynx cancer (RR 2.4, 95 % CI 1.0–5.7) and in Italy [53] for oral cancer (RR 2.5, 95 % CI 0.5–9.9). However, many other case-control studies have revealed risk deficits or close to unity values for oral and pharynx cancers in textile dust exposure or textile work [54, 75, 80, 82, 83]. Tarvainen et al. [69] in a cohort study also found risk deficits in men and women exposed to any level of textile dust. This inconsistency in results does not allow the supposition of a causal relationship between cotton dust exposure or textile work and oral and pharynx cancers.

Welding Fumes and Welding as an Occupation

There are many different welding methods which involve exposure to chemicals, such as irritant gases, chromium, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, and metal dust. A case-control study in Sweden [54] found risk excess of pharynx cancer (RR 2.26, 95 % CI 1.09–3.69) in workers exposed to welding fumes for more than 8 years. In another case-control study in Sweden [84], increased risk of oral cancer was detected in welders (RR 2.3, 95 % CI 0.6–9.1). There is little consistency between these findings and results from other case-control [53, 80, 82, 83] and cohort studies [85, 89]. Exposure to welding fumes clearly needs further investigation in order to arrive at a definite conclusion of carcinogenicity for the oral cavity and pharynx anatomical regions.

Diesel Engine Exhaust and Vehicle Repair Mechanics

Recently, IARC considered the evidences of causal association between diesel engine exhaust and cancer as sufficient, particularly for lung cancer [90]. This decision was taken considering a large US National Cancer Institute/National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health study conducted among underground miners [91, 92].

Boffetta et al. [93] conducted a cohort study to evaluate exposure to diesel engine emissions in a Swedish population and found a general SIR of 1.64 (95 % CI 1.11–2.33) for oral and pharynx cancers in women, but no risk was detected for men. Using a job-exposure matrix, exposure was categorized

according to probability and intensity as low, medium, and high. Some tenuous positive relative risks were observed, but without a dose-response effect. A cohort study in Finland [69] detected increased risks of mouth and pharynx cancer in men and women with medium levels of exposure to engine exhaust (SIR 1.34, 95 % CI 1.08–1.66) and at the highest level of exposure to engine exhaust (SIR 1.68, 95 % CI 1.09–2.48). Vaughan [82] in a case-control study found a risk higher than 2.0 for vehicle mechanics in repair services, but they examined oral cavity, pharynx, and nasopharynx tumors all together. In a case-control study in Brazil, Andreotti et al. [80] found increased risks, greater than 2.0, for oral and pharynx cancers in every occupation of vehicle mechanic, and for every vehicle repair service job, these risks were augmented considering the restrictions of 10 or more years of exposure and induction period (equal to or greater than 20 years before diagnosis). Vehicle mechanics are potentially exposed to diesel and gasoline engine exhaust, but they are also exposed to other hazardous agents, such as solvents, mineral oils, strong acid fumes, and metal dust. They are therefore exposed to a complex mixture of potential carcinogens. Vehicle mechanics in repair and diesel and gasoline exhaust services are potentially at increased risk of contracting oral and pharynx cancers, but more studies are needed to confirm this relationship.

Other Occupations

Several other occupations, industries, and agents have been linked to oral cavity and pharynx cancer. Certainly, all these circumstances require further studies before a definitive view can be taken on their possible role in the causal chain for the disease.

Exposures in meat industry, such as viruses, nitrosamines, and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, may contribute for elevated cancer risks. Two cohorts have identified increased risks for oral and pharynx cancers in butchers. Johnson et al. [94] in a mortality cohort in the United States found increased risk of oral cavity and pharynx cancer in male meat cutters working in supermarket or grocery store meat departments (SMR 1.8, 95 % CI 1.0–3.0); there was also increased risk for those working as meat cutters in other departments (SMR 1.7, 95 % CI 0.8–3.2). Boffetta et al. [95] in a cohort of butchers and meat workers in Sweden found increased risk for butchers in meat industry (RR 1.6, 95 % CI 1.0–2.7). Also, a case-control study in Uruguay [96] found increased risk of oral and pharynx cancers in butchers (RR 2.0, 95 % CI 0.4–9.5). In contrast, Coggon and Wield [97] in a cohort study in England and Wales found deficit risks for oral and pharynx cancers in butchers.

Moulin et al. [98] in a cohort study of workers at a man-made mineral fiber (MMM) factory in France found SIRs of 3.0 for oral and 1.4 for pharynx cancer, both not statistically significant. A Scandinavian cohort of employees in

nine factories producing rock-slag wool and glass wool [99] found increased risk of oral cavity and pharynx cancer in those exposed to rock-slag wool (SIR 1.84, 95 % CI 1.22–2.68) but a lower risk for glass wool exposure (SIR 1.31, 95 % CI 0.65–2.34). Two case-control studies reported results for exposure to MMMF; the first [54] found risk deficits for oral or pharynx cancer, and the second [100] reported increased risk for hypopharynx cancer in those ever exposed to mineral wools (RR 1.55, 95 % CI 0.99–2.41).

Marchand et al. [100] found increased risks for those with any exposure to asbestos once (RR 1.80, 95 % CI 1.08–2.99) or cumulative low (RR 1.92, 95 % CI 1.03–3.57) or high exposure (RR 2.14, 95 % CI 1.14–4.01). However, previous case-control studies did not find increased risks of oral and pharynx cancers associated to asbestos [53, 54, 83]. A cohort of Finns born between 1906 and 1945 [69] found increased risks with cumulative asbestos exposure at the lowest (SIR 1.32, 95 % CI 1.08–1.60) and highest levels (SIR 1.26, 1.01–1.55). In a cohort study with construction industry workers [101], an RR of 1.7 was detected (95 % CI 0.9–3.3) for those with moderate exposure to asbestos, but the risk dropped for those with high exposure (RR 0.5, 95 % CI 0.1–5.2).

Several other agents such as chromium, nickel, lead, iron, cadmium, phenoxy acids, solvents, cement dust, asphalt, pesticides, and aliphatic and alicyclic hydrocarbons; occupations such as blacksmiths, bricklayers, drivers, electricians, railway workers, industrial mechanics, painters, metal workers, chemical workers, plumbers and pipe fitters, plastic transformation workers, printers, carpet installers, boiler, furnace and petroleum industry workers, dockers, shoemakers and cobblers, sugarcane farmers, glazers, cutting/sewing workers, hairdressers, dentists, and journalists; and industries such as rubber, paper, pulp, plastics, mining, and building have all been cited as presenting increased risks for oral and pharynx cancers in different cohort and case-control studies. In general, these increased risks were tenuous and imprecise and based in small number of observed cases.

Cooks, waiters, and bartenders, as well as workers at restaurants, bars, and hotels, have shown consistently increased risks of oral and pharynx cancers through some case-control and cohort studies [53, 69, 86]. However, the main hypothesis for these increased risks is the higher prevalence of heavy tobacco smoking and alcohol consumption among these workers.

Nasopharynx Cancer

Tables 4.3 and 4.4 show results of 14 case-control and 7 cohort studies, which examined the association between occupation or exposure to some agents and nasopharynx cancer. Formaldehyde and wood dust showed strong evidence of carcinogenicity to nasopharynx; however, the effect

for some other agents and occupations was inconclusive because of results inconsistency among studies.

Formaldehyde

The first epidemiological evidence suggesting an association between exposure to formaldehyde and nasopharynx cancer came in 1986. A mortality cohort study of workers in ten plants producing or using formaldehyde [56] found increased SMRs for different formaldehyde exposure levels. Also a case-control study [52] found increased relative risks for longer exposures. These epidemiological studies were conducted after research with animal models had indicated nasal squamous cell carcinomas occurring in rodents submitted to formaldehyde vapor inhalation [102, 103]. A case-control study in the Philippines [104] has found increased risk for those with long induction period (25 or more years since first exposure). In a cohort study with 14,014 British chemical workers exposed to formaldehyde and followed up for almost 60 years [60], the only death from nasopharynx cancer was of a man whose exposure was classified as low. However, there was evidence of increased death rates from nasopharynx cancer in a cohort of formaldehyde-industry workers by Hauptmann et al. [61], an update of the Blair et al. cohort [56]. This cohort revealed an exposure-response effect for peak and cumulative exposure to formaldehyde, but not for average-intensity exposure or duration.

The study by Hauptmann et al. [61] was a major component in the epidemiological evidence evaluated by the IARC when making their decision on classifying formaldehyde as a definite carcinogen for humans in 2004 [105–107]. Some criticisms on the Haptmann et al.'s [61] cohort study have been addressed, such as the detected association was mainly from one cluster of deaths in a single plant, where five of nine nasopharynx deaths occurred [107]. However, as pointed out by Cogliano et al. [106], in order to classify an agent as carcinogenic, if evidence in humans is insufficient, one should consider that mechanistic evidence and sufficient evidence in experimental animals led to the agent being classified in IARC Group 1. This decision has been upheld in a recent new IARC evaluation [72, 73], and formaldehyde was listed as a known human carcinogen in the 12th Report on Carcinogens of the US National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences [108].

Wood Dust and Wood Industry Work

As well as dust, workers in wood industry may also be exposed to formaldehyde, chlorophenol, and other chemical substances, giving them increased risk of nasopharyngeal cancer. Even so, this increased risk seems to be attributable to wood dust exposure independent to other exposures in the workplace, as the other chemicals do not present relative risks of the magnitude associated to wood dust exposure [77, 109].

Table 4.3 Case-control studies on occupation and nasopharynx cancer

Reference, study location, and period	Characteristics of cases	Characteristics of controls	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No cases (controls)	Relative risk (95 % CI)	Adjustment for potential confounders	Comments
Henderson et al. [121], United States	156 cases of nasopharynx squamous cell carcinoma (105 men, 51 women) diagnosed (1960–1974)	267 controls (179 men, 88 women) recruited in hospitals from the same two cities of cases	Detailed occupational history was obtained through questionnaire, and for each occupation each subject was asked if he was usually exposed to fumes, dust, smoke, chemicals, or heat	RR Fumes Ever <10 years >10 years Dust Ever <10 years >10 years Smoke		2.0 ($p=0.006$) 1.9 (NS) 1.7 ($p<0.05$) 1.5 ($p=0.07$) 1.3 (NS) 1.2 (NS) 3.0 ($p=0.008$) 1.5 (NS) 7.5 ($p<0.005$) 2.4 ($p=0.006$) 2.3 ($p<0.05$) 2.7 ($p<0.05$) 1.6 (0.053) 1.4 ($p<0.05$) 1.6 ($p<0.05$)	RR adjusted for age at diagnosis, race, sex, socioeconomic class, and city of residence	Reference category: never exposed. NS = nonsignificant
Armstrong [110], Malaysia	100 cases of nasopharynx squamous cell carcinoma (65 men, 35 women), Malaysian Chinese, diagnosed (1973–1980)	100 neighborhood controls matched by age and sex	Occupational history obtained through interviews using a questionnaire	RR Ever Smoke Wood, paper, and grass smoke Other smokes Dust Wood dust and sawdust Construction-related dust Quarry dust Road dust Other dusts Smoke and/or dust Men	13 (3) 8 (2) 5 (1) 52 (25) 17 (10) 15 (9) 10 (1) 11 (2) 17 (8) 58 (26) 26 (46) 19 (11) 22 (8)	6.0 ($p=0.006$) 7.0 ($p=0.04$) 5.0 ($p=0.11$) 4.0 ($p<0.001$) 2.2 ($p=0.08$) 1.9 ($p=0.13$) ∞ ($p=0.002$) 5.5 ($p=0.01$) 2.3 ($p=0.05$) 5.0 ($p<0.001$)	RR adjusted for salted fish intake	Reference category: never exposed. NS = nonsignificant

Women	RR	RR adjusted for salted fish intake	Reference category:
Never	18 (28)		never exposed, NS = nonsignificant
1-9 years	8 (4)	1.0	
10+ years	9 (3)	3.5 (NS)	
Under age 45		∞ (NS)	
Never	19 (43)	1.0	
1-9 years	20 (11)	4.3 (NS)	
10+ years	17 (2)	∞ (NS)	
Age 45+			
Never	23 (31)	1.0	
1-9 years	7 (4)	2.7 (NS)	
10+ years	14 (9)	3.3 (NS)	
Total			
Never	42 (74)	1.0	
1-9 years	27 (15)	3.4 (NS)	
10+ years	31 (11)	11.4 ($p < 0.001$)	
Occupational history obtained through interviews using a questionnaire			
Domestic exposure			
Wood cooking fuel 3 years ago	183 (161)	1.4 (NS)	
Incense	186 (170)	1.4 (NS)	
Antimosquito coils	61 (58)	2.4 (NS)	
At age 10 years			
Incense	208 (193)	0.9 (NS)	
Antimosquito coils	78 (76)	1.8 (NS)	
At birth (by mother)			
Incense	108 (107)	0.6 (NS)	
Antimosquito coils	29 (37)	0.8 (NS)	
Carried subject while cooking			
Occasionally	52 (63)	0.8 (NS)	
Often	40 (41)	0.7 (NS)	
Occupational exposure			
Smoke	10 (3)	0.6 (NS)	
Dust	59 (41)	1.2 (NS)	
Chemical fumes	75 (49)	0.7 (NS)	

(continued)

Yu et al. [49],
Hong Kong
250 cases of nasopharynx carcinoma (160 men, 90 women) of Chinese in Hong Kong, diagnosed after 1981
250 controls friend of cases, matched by sex and age
Occupational history obtained through interviews using a questionnaire

Table 4.3 (continued)

Reference, study location, and period	Characteristics of cases	Characteristics of controls	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No cases (controls)	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment for potential confounders	Comments
Vaughan et al. [52], United States	285 cases (186 men, 99 women) of oro- and hypopharynx cancer ($n=205$), nasopharynx cancer ($n=27$), and sinus and nasal cavity cancer ($n=53$) selected from a population-based cancer registry (1980–1983)	552 controls (327 men, 225 women) were identified via random digit dialing	Occupational formaldehyde exposure was assessed via a job-exposure matrix	Nasopharynx Exposure level Background Low Medium High Number of years exposed 0 1–9 10+ Exposure score (all years) 0–4 5–19 20+ Exposure score induction (excluding 15 years before diagnosis) 0–4 5–19 20+ OR	41 (381) 9 (121) 3 (42) 0 (8) 41 (381) 9 (127) 3 (44) 48 (464) 3 (59) 2 (29) 48 (490) 4 (40) 1 (22)	1.0 0.8 (0.5–1.4) 0.8 (0.4–1.7) 0.6 (0.1–2.7) 1.0 0.6 (0.3–1.0) 1.3 (0.7–2.5) 1.0 0.6 (0.3–1.2) 1.5 (0.7–3.0) 1.0 0.9 (0.4–1.8) 1.3 (0.6–3.1)	Odds ratio adjusted for age, sex, cigarette smoking, and alcohol consumption	
Roush et al. [117], United States	173 men with nasopharynx cancer registered by the Connecticut Tumor Registry and dying (of any cause) in the period 1935–1975	605 controls sampled from Connecticut death certificates in the same time period as cases of deaths	An industrial hygienist classified each subject according to potential formaldehyde exposure on the basis of job title, industry, specific employment, and year of employment. Analysis was conducted as yes/no exposed to each of the four potential levels of exposure to formaldehyde	<i>I</i> Probably exposed to some level for most of working life <i>II</i> Probably exposed to some level for most of working life and probably exposed to some level at 20+ years prior to death <i>III</i> Probably exposed to some level for most of working life and probably exposed to high level in some year <i>IV</i> Probably exposed to some level for most of working life and probably exposed to high level at 20+ years prior to death	21 (79) 17 (51) 9 (27) 7 (14)	1.0 (0.6–1.7) 1.3 (0.7–2.4) 1.4 (0.6–3.1) 2.3 (0.9–6.0)	OR adjusted for age at death, year at death, and availability of occupational information	
Kawachi et al. [112], New Zealand	19,904 cases of cancer in men of 20 years of age or older at the time of registration in the New Zealand Cancer Registry (1980–1984)	For each cancer site examined, registrants from other tumor sites formed the control group	Exposed and nonexposed in wood-related occupations and specific type of woodwork	<i>All woodwork</i> Type of woodwork Forestry and logging Sawmiller, pulp, and paper mill Cabinet makers Carpenters	2.46 (0.86–6.60) 2 (102) 0 (108) 0 (91) 5 (741)	5 (741) 6.02 (1.01–28.41) – – 2.51 (0.62–8.53)	Adjusted for age	

Yu et al. [118], China	306 cases of nasopharynx carcinoma (209 men, 97 women) in residents in Guangzhou City under the age of 50 years diagnosed in a main hospital, primary facility for treatment of this disease (1983–1985)	For each case, a control was matched for sex and date of birth (within 5 years) from neighborhood of case residence at the time of diagnosis	Subjects were asked to indicate, for each job that was held for longer than 6 months, whether exposure to dust, smoke, or chemical fumes had occurred and the name of the specific substances involved. Additionally, an industrial hygienist checked the exposure based on the job title and the industry of employment and also reviewed all specific substances named by each study participant	RR Dust Subject response	145 (134) 74 (71) 46 (33) 25 (30) 138 (146) 67 (78) 41 (45) 30 (23)	1.2 (NS) 1.2 (NS) 1.6 (NS) 0.9 (NS) 1.0 (NS) 1.0 (NS) 1.0 (NS) 1.1 (NS)	Reference category: never exposed. NS = nonsignificant. For products of combustion and cotton dust, there are no information if assessment were done from subject response or by occupational hygienist	RR adjusted for intake frequencies of salted fish, moldy bean curd, preserved plum, and tomatoes at age 10 years
					62 (37) 31 (28) 31 (9)	2.4 ($p < 0.05$) 1.6 (NS) 7.6 ($p < 0.05$)		
					72 (50) 39 (35) 33 (15)	1.8 ($p < 0.05$) 1.4 (NS) 2.7 ($p < 0.05$)		
					111 (81) 54 (44) 39 (28) 18 (9)	1.7 ($p < 0.05$) 1.5 (NS) 1.8 ($p < 0.05$) 2.7 (NS)		
					138 (139) 67 (85) 53 (40) 18 (14)	1.1 (NS) 0.9 (NS) 1.5 (NS) 1.3 (NS)		
					63 (33) 32 (24) 31 (9)	2.7 ($p < 0.05$) 1.9 ($p < 0.05$) 10.1 ($p < 0.05$)		
					6 (19) 5 (12) 1 (7)	0.3 ($p < 0.05$) 0.4 (NS) 0.2 (NS)		

(continued)

Table 4.3 (continued)

Reference, study location, and period	Characteristics of cases	Characteristics of controls	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No cases (controls)	Relative risk (95 % CI)	Adjustment for potential confounders	Comments
Vaughan and Davis [79], United States	21 cases of nasopharynx squamous cell carcinoma diagnosed in a single center (1983–1987)	Controls were selected by random digit dialing matched to the cases by age and sex	Lifetime occupational histories were obtained, including a description of the duties involved in each job, as well as the associated industry. Jobs considered to entail significant exposure to wood dust included carpenters, forestry and logging workers, precision woodworkers (including patternmakers, cabinetmakers, and other furniture makers and finishers), and woodworking machine operators	OR Ever employed in wood-related occupations Employed in wood-related occupations > 15 years before diagnosis Employment for 10 or more years taking place at least 15 years before the reference date	3 3 No information	1.2 (0.2–4.6) 1.5 (0.2–6.1) 7.3 (1.4–34.2)	OR adjusted for age, sex, cigarette smoking, and race	Reference category: never exposed
Sriamporn et al. [113], Thailand	120 cases (80 men, 40 women) diagnosed (1987–1990) in a single hospital in Thailand	120 controls were recruited in the same hospital admitted for other diseases excluding neoplasms and respiratory diseases, matched by sex and age	Several potential factors for the etiology of nasopharynx cancer were studied including occupation. Then, occupations reported were grouped into a few broad categories, but employment in sawmills and jobs such as wood cutting or rice husking were investigated specifically, since these entail high exposures to dust	Not agriculture, not wood cutting Wood cutting, not agriculture Agriculture, not wood cutting Agriculture and wood cutting	20 (47) 5 (3) 76 (64) 19 (6)	1.0 4.1 (0.8–22.1) 2.8 (1.3–6.2) 8.0 (2.3–28.2)	Adjusted for alcohol intake, type of cigarette, salted fish consumption, education, and area of residence	

Table 4.3 (continued)

Reference, study location, and period	Characteristics of cases	Characteristics of controls	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No cases (controls)	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment for potential confounders	Comments
				<i>Chemicals</i>				
				Biocides	6.7% (6.7%)	0.93 (0.74–1.17)		
				Dyes	2.1% (1.8%)	1.07 (0.73–1.58)		
				Formaldehyde	9.9% (8.2%)	0.88 (0.70–1.12)		
				Glues	11.0% (6.7%)	1.09 (0.88–1.34)		
				Other chemicals	7.1% (3.2%)	1.20 (0.92–1.56)		
				Motor fuel and oil	29.4% (19.9%)	1.05 (0.91–1.22)		
				Paints and varnishes	16.0% (9.6%)	1.08 (0.91–1.29)		
				Resins	2.8% (4.6%)	0.74 (0.54–1.01)		
				<i>Heat</i>				
				Solar	18.1% (11%)	1.02 (0.89–1.18)		
				Industrial	17% (6.7%)	1.21 (1.01–1.45)		
Vaughan et al. [114], United States	196 incident cases of nasopharynx cancer (133 men, 63 women), aged 18–74 years, identified at five population-based cancer registries from 1987 to 1991	244 controls were selected over the same period from the general population through random digit dialing and frequency matched to the cases by age, sex, and cancer registry	Exposure to formaldehyde and wood dust was carried with the occupational history (job-by-job basis for each subject) including industry description, job title, duties, and dates started and stopped	Formaldehyde Ever	61 (79)	1.6 (1.0–2.8)	OR for formaldehyde adjusted for age, sex, race, cancer registry, smoking, proxy status, and education. OR for wood dust adjusted beyond all these variables, adjusted for cumulative exposure to formaldehyde	Reference category: never exposed
				Possible, probable, or definite	27 (30)	2.1 (1.1–4.2)		
				Probable or definite	10 (2)	13.3 (2.5–70.0)		
				Definite				
				Possible, probable, or definite				
				Duration				
				1–5 years	16 (41)	0.9 (0.4–2.1)		
				6–17 years	20 (19)	1.9 (0.9–4.4)		
				18+ years	25 (19)	2.7 (1.2–6.0)		
				Cumulative exposure (ppm-year)				
				0.05–0.40	15 (40)	0.9 (0.4–2.0)		
				>0.40–1.10	22 (20)	1.8 (0.8–4.1)		
				>1.10	24 (19)	3.0 (1.3–6.6)		
				Wood dust				
				Ever				
				Maximum exposure (mg/m ³)	17 (24)	1.2 (0.5–2.7)		
				>0.00–0.55	10 (15)	1.3 (0.5–3.6)		
				>0.55–1.50	6 (4)	2.0 (0.5–8.1)		
				>1.50	1 (5)	0.2 (0.0–2.1)		
				Duration				
				1–4 years	8 (12)	1.4 (0.5–4.4)		
				5–13 years	4 (6)	1.3 (0.3–5.4)		
				14+ years	5 (6)	0.8 (0.2–3.7)		
				Cumulative exposure (mg/m ³ -years)				
				>0.0–2.75	5 (13)	0.7 (0.2–2.5)		
				>2.75–15.70	10 (5)	3.0 (0.9–9.8)		
				>15.70	2 (6)	0.4 (0.1–2.3)		

Hildesheim et al. [22], Taiwan	375 incident cases (260 men, 115 women) of nasopharynx cancer recruited in two hospitals in Taipei (1991–1994)	325 controls (233 men, 92 women) recruited from the lists of the National Household Registration System and matched on age, sex, and district/township of residence	Occupational history was obtained through a questionnaire and was reviewed by an industrial hygienist. Each job was classified according to industry and occupation classification. The probability and intensity of exposure to formaldehyde, wood, and organic solvents were evaluated. Years of exposure, intensity and probability of exposure, cumulative exposure, age at first exposure, and years since first exposure were considered.	RR All subjects Wood exposure	RR adjusted for age, sex, education, and ethnicity	Reference category not exposed. Authors conducted an analysis only with subjects (cases and controls) who are Epstein-Barr virus positive. For wood exposure and solvent exposures, the results did not suffer important changes; however, for formaldehyde exposure, some relevant modifications were observed: ever exposed RR=2.7 (95% CI 1.2–6.2); years since first exposure (>20 year) RR=2.8 (95% CI 1.1–7.6); and age at first exposure (<25 years) RR=2.6 (95% CI 1.1–6.5)
			Duration of exposure was recalculated after exclusion of exposures occurring in the 10 years preceding diagnosis (cases) and interview (controls)	Ever exposed 52 (26)	1.7 (1.0–3.0)	
			<10 years	21 (15)	1.2 (0.60–2.5)	
			>10 years	31 (11)	2.4 (1.1–5.0)	
			Duration (excluding 10 years before diagnosis/interview)			
			<10 years	26 (14)	1.7 (0.85–3.5)	
			>10 years	21 (8)	2.2 (0.93–5.3)	
			Cumulative exposure (intensity X duration)			
			<25	20 (15)	1.2 (0.58–2.5)	
			>25	32 (11)	2.4 (1.2–5.1)	
			Years since first exposure			
			<20 years	21 (8)	2.4 (1.0–5.8)	
			>20 years	31 (18)	1.4 (0.75–2.7)	
			Age at first exposure			
			<25 years	37 (15)	2.3 (1.2–4.4)	
			>25 years	15 (11)	1.1 (0.47–2.5)	
			Formaldehyde exposure			
			Ever exposed	74 (41)	1.4 (0.93–2.2)	
			Duration (overall)			
			<10 years	31 (21)	1.3 (0.69–2.3)	
			>10 years	43 (20)	1.6 (0.91–2.9)	

(continued)

Table 4.4 Cohort studies on occupation and nasopharynx cancer

Reference, location	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	No of cases/deaths	Exposure categories	SIR, SMR, IRR, or RR (95% CI)	Adjustment for potential confounders	Comments
Blair et al. [56], United States	26,561 workers first employed in 10 formaldehyde-producing or formaldehyde-using plants before 1996. Mortality follow-up (1980)	A job-exposure matrix was prepared for industrial hygienists considering each job-work area-calendar year combination. Measures of exposure to formaldehyde used in the analysis included level or intensity, duration, average, cumulative or peak exposure, presence of particulates, and measures that excluded recent exposures from the exposure index	1 2 2 2	SMR Cumulative formaldehyde exposure 0 ppm-year <0.5 ppm-year 0.51–5.5 ppm-year >5.5 ppm-year	530 271 256 433		Black women were excluded from analysis because of small numbers ($n=26$). All SMRs were not statistically significant
Malger et al. [125], Sweden	Cohort of men in occupational groups defined by employment in 1960; incidence follow-up (1961–1979)	Occupation and industries defined according to job title	3 3 5 5 4	SIR Occupation Glassmaker Bookbinders Shoemaker Industries Shoe repair Fiberboard plant	6.2 ($p < 0.05$) 6.1 ($p < 0.05$) 3.8 ($p < 0.05$) 4.0 ($p < 0.05$) 3.9 ($p < 0.05$)	Adjusted for age and region	Only statistically significant increases of SIR are reported for specific occupations and industries

(continued)

Table 4.4 (continued)

Reference, location	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	No of cases/deaths	Exposure categories	SIR, SMR, IRR, or RR (95 % CI)	Adjustment for potential confounders	Comments
Demers et al. [116], United Kingdom and United States	28,704 persons (26,506 men, 2,198 women) from five mortality cohort studies in wood-related industries (4 American and 1 British). These cohorts had different periods of entrance and also different follow-up periods. A total of 9 deaths by nasopharynx cancer were observed	The cohorts included in the pooled analysis differed in the amount and detail of data collected exposure. To analyze the risk of cancer associated with wood dust exposure, a special classification scheme was developed incorporating the exposure information available for each study	9 7 2 4 0 5 3 5 0 1 0 2 0 7 2 0 5	SMR Woodworkers combined Furniture workers Plywood workers Wood dust exposure for all woodworkers Possible Probable Definite Calendar period of first employment for all woodworkers Prior to 1940 1940–1949 1950–1959 1960 or later Years since first employment for all woodworkers <10 years 10–19 years 20–29 years >30 years Wood dust exposure for furniture workers Possible Probable Definite Calendar period of first employment for furniture workers	2.4 (1.1–4.5) 2.9 (1.2–5.9) 4.6 (0.6–16.4) 2.9 (0.8–7.5) – 5.3 (1.7–12.4) 7.7 (1.6–22.5) 5.3 (1.7–12.3) – 1.7 (0.0–9.3) – 1.6 (0.2–5.9) – 8.9 (3.6–18.3) 2.2 (0.3–7.8) – 7.3 (2.4–16.9)Z		

3	Prior to 1940	8.6 (1.8–25.1)
4	1940–1949	7.7 (2.1–19.7)
0	1950–1959	–
0	1960 or later	–
	Years since first employment for furniture workers	
0	<10 years	–
0	10–19 years	–
0	20–29 years	–
7	>30 years	10.8 (4.3–22.2)
	Wood dust exposure for plywood workers	
2	Possible	11.8 (1.4–42.5)
0	Probable	–
0	Definite	–
	Calendar period of first employment for plywood workers	
0	Prior to 1940	–
1	1940–1949	5.0 (0.1–27.9)
0	1950–1959	–
1	1960 or later	25.0 (0.6–99.9)
	Years since first employment for plywood workers	
0	<10 years	–
2	10–19 years	13.3 (1.6–48.2)
0	20–29 years	–
0	>30 years	–

(continued)

Table 4.4 (continued)

Reference, location	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	No of cases/deaths	Exposure categories	SIR, SMR, IRR, or RR (95% CI)	Adjustment for potential confounders	Comments
Marsh et al. [59], United States	7,328 workers (6,859 men, 469 women) employed at a plastic-producing plant (1941–1984); mortality follow-up until 1998	Exposure estimation was based on an examination of the available sampling data and job descriptions and verbal descriptions of jobs and tasks	7	SMR	4.94 (1.99–10.19)		
			4	Nasopharynx	5.35 (1.46–13.71)		
			3	Short term (<1 year)	4.59 (0.95–13.42)		
			0	Long term (1+ years)	–		
			6	Year of hire	8.13 (2.98–17.69)		
			1	1941–1946	2.63 (0.07–14.64)		
			4	1947–1956	5.33 (1.45–14.64)		
			1	1957+	2.62 (0.06–14.57)		
			2	DOE (year) (all workers)	7.49 (0.91–27.06)		
			2	<1	5.01 (0.61–18.08)		
			3	1–9	8.72 (1.80–25.48)		
			2	10+	3.04 (0.37–11.00)		
			0	TSEFE (year)	–		
7	<20	6.03 (2.42–12.42)					
4	20–29	5.84 (1.59–14.94)					
1	30+	3.17 (0.08–17.68)					
	<i>Formaldehyde exposure</i>						
	Unexposed						
	Exposed						
	Duration of exposure						
	>0–<1						
	1–9						

2	10+	12.46 (1.51–45.02)
	Cumulative exposure	
1	>0–<0.004	3.97 90.10–22.10
3	0.004–0.129	5.89 (1.22–17.22)
3	0.22+	7.51 (1.55–21.93)
	AIE to formaldehyde (ppm)	
1	>0–<0.03	2.41 (0.06–13.44)
4	0.03–0.159	15.27 (4.16–39.10)
2	0.16+	4.13 (0.50–14.91)
	Formaldehyde >0.2 ppm	
2	Unexposed	3.01 (0.36–10.87)
5	Exposed	6.79 (2.21–15.85)
	Duration (year)	
2	>0–<1	4.81 (0.58–17.37)
1	1–9	4.04 (0.10–22.51)
2	10+	27.61 (3.34–99.73)
4	Formaldehyde >0.7 ppm	3.64 (0.99–9.31)
3	Unexposed	9.98 (2.06–29.17)
	Exposed	
	Duration (year)	
2	>0–<1	9.51 (1.15–34.37)
1	1+	11.07 (0.28–61.67)

(continued)

Table 4.4 (continued)

Reference, location	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	No of cases/deaths	Exposure categories	SMR, SMR, IRR, or RR (95 % CI)	Adjustment for potential confounders	Comments
Hauptmann et al. [61], United States	Cohort of 25,619 workers (22,493 men, 3,126 women) employed in 10 US formaldehyde-producing or formaldehyde-using plants prior to 1966; mortality from solid cancer follow-up until the end of 1994	Exposure to formaldehyde (nonexposed and exposed) was estimated from job titles, tasks, visits to the plants, visits to the plant, discussion with workers and managers, by industrial hygienists, and defining average intensity, peak of exposure, cumulative exposure, and duration of exposure	2 8 2 0 1 6 2 0 0 7 2 3 1 3 2 4 1 2	SMR Nonexposed Exposed RR Intensity (ppm) 0 >0-<0.5 0.5-<1.0 >1.0 Peak (ppm) 0 >0-<2.0 2.0-<4.0 >4.0 Cumulative (ppm-year) 0 >0-<1.5 1.5 - ≤ 5.5 >5.5 Duration (years) 0 >0-<5 5-<15 >15	1.56 (0.39-6.23) 2.10 (1.05-4.21) 1.0 NA 0.38 1.67 1.0 NA NA 1.83 2.40 1.0 1.19 4.14 1.77 1.0 0.83 4.18	RR adjusted for calendar year, age, gender, race (black/white), and pay category (salary/wage)	None of RR were statistically nonsignificant

Li et al. [119], China	Cohort of 267,400 women in 526 factories in the Shanghai Textile Industry Bureau; all currently working and retired employees, who were born in 1925–1958 were eligible to participate; incidence follow-up (1989–1998)	Job categories; a job-exposure matrix was used for estimate exposure to several potential risk factors in textile industry	HR
		4	Job categories
		11	Cotton handling, processing, and spinning
			<10 years 1.9 (0.7–5.3)
			>10 years 1.3 (0.6–2.4)
		2	Mixed fiber handling, processing, and spinning process
			<10 years 0.8 (0.2–3.6)
		6	>10 years 1.0 (0.4–2.3)
			Dyeing
		1	<10 years 1.8 (0.2–14.1)
		3	>10 years 3.6 (1.0–12.1)
			Finishing
		1	<10 years 2.6 (0.3–21.3)
		1	>10 years 1.6 (0.2–12.5)
			Weaving
		4	<10 years 0.7 (0.3–2.1)
		12	>10 years 0.6 (0.3–1.2)
			Printing
		2	<10 years 9.2 (1.7–48.9)
		1	>10 years 2.0 (0.3–16.3)
			Cutting/sewing
		2	<10 years 0.9 (0.2–3.9)
		6	>10 years 1.3 (0.6–3.2)
			Warehouse, packing, and quality control
		3	<10 years 0.7 (0.2–2.1)
		5	>10 years 0.6 (0.3–1.6)
			Occupational exposures
			Cotton dust
		19	<1 year 1.0
		48	>1 year 1.8 (1.1–3.2)
			Wood dust
		61	<1 year 1.0
		6	>1 year 0.6 (0.3–1.5)
			Skill dust
		64	<1 year 1.0
		3	>1 year 0.9 (0.3–3.0)
			Synthetic fiber dust
		42	<1 year 1.0
		25	>1 year 0.9 (0.5–1.5)
			Non-textile dust
		61	<1 year 1.0
		6	>1 year 0.5 (0.2–1.2)

(continued)

Table 4.4 (continued)

Reference, location	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	No of cases/deaths	Exposure categories	SIR, SMR, IRR, or RR (95 % CI)	Adjustment for potential confounders	Comments
				Solvents			
			53	<1 year	1.0		
			14	>1 year	1.3 (0.7–2.5)		
				Bleaching agents			
			64	<1 year	1.0		
			3	>1 year	2.4 (0.7–8.2)		
				Acids, bases, and caustics			
			56	<1 year	1.0		
			11	>1 year	1.8 (0.9–3.6)		
				Dyes			
			62	<1 year	1.0		
			5	>1 year	2.1 (0.8–5.5)		
				Inks			
			63	<1 year	1.0		
			4	>1 year	5.0 (1.6–15.4)		
				Pesticides			
			65	<1 year	1.0		
			2	>1 year	1.9 (0.4–8.1)		
				Endotoxin			
			42	<1 year	1.0		
			25	>1 year	1.6 (0.9–2.6)		
Marsh et al. [107], United States	7,345 workers employed at a plastic-producing plant (1941–1984); mortality follow-up until 2003; vital status was obtained for 98 % and cause of death for 95 %	Exposure assessment obtained by work histories	7	SMR (United States) 4.34 (1.74–8.94) SMR (local country) 4.43 (1.78–9.13)			See results of a nested case-control study with these deaths by nasopharynx cancer in Table 4.3

SIR standardized incidence ratio, SMR standardized mortality ratio, IRR incidence rate ratio, RR relative risk

In 1983, Armstrong et al. [110] reported increased risk of nasopharynx squamous cell carcinoma in Malaysian Chinese ever exposed to wood dust (RR of 2.2, $p=0.08$). Another case-control study in the same population [111] found RR of 2.36 (95 % CI 1.33–4.19) for those exposed once to wood dust and RR of 1.24 (95 % CI 1.07–1.44) for those exposed to a tenfold increased exposure. Almost all other case-control studies that investigated the association between wood-related occupations and nasopharyngeal cancer have found increased risks [22, 79, 112, 113]. However, Vaughan et al. [114] did not find any evidence that exposure to wood dust increased the risk of nasopharyngeal carcinoma, as the modest crude association disappeared after controlling for potential exposure to formaldehyde. Also Siew et al. [115] in a large cohort of Finnish men born from 1906 to 1945 did not find any indication that wood dust and formaldehyde would increase the risk of nasopharyngeal cancer.

In a pooled reanalysis of four American cohorts and one British cohort of wood-related industries [116], excess risks of nasopharynx cancer were found for all combined woodworkers (SMR 2.4, 95 % CI 1.1–4.5), furniture workers (SMR 2.9 95 % CI 1.2–5.9), and plywood workers (SMR 4.6, 95 % CI 0.6–16.4). Mortality risk from nasopharynx cancer was higher in those employed in wood industry prior to 1940 (RR 7.7, 95 % CI 1.6–22.5), but this was restricted to workers from the British cohort as entry into the American cohorts only began in 1946. Increased risks were identified in workers definitively exposed to wood dust from any woodwork (RR 5.3, 95 % CI 1.7–12.4), for furniture workers definitively exposed to wood dust (RR 7.3, 95 % CI 2.4–16.9), and for plywood workers possibly exposed to wood dust (RR 11.8, 95 % CI 1.4–42.5).

The IARC has considered there is sufficient evidence that human exposure to wood dust is carcinogenic to the nasopharynx [109]. This was reaffirmed in a recent revision [77].

Cotton Dust and Textile Work

Several groups of chemicals are found in the textile manufacturing industry; these include flame retardants, textile dyes, solvents, preservatives, and textile prints. Some could be carcinogenic. Also some studies have suggested that cotton dust is a possible carcinogen for the nasopharynx.

In the United States, Roush et al. [117] found a deficit risk for nasopharynx cancer in textile work. In China, Yu et al. [118] also observed decreased risks for those ever exposed to cotton dust at any exposure duration. However, in Malaysia, Armstrong et al. [111] detected RR of 1.77 (95 % CI 0.76–4.11) for those ever exposed to cotton dust and RR of 1.16 (95 % CI 0.94–1.42) for those with a tenfold exposure to cotton dust. Li et al. [119] conducted a case-cohort study in Shanghai and detected increased risks of nasopharyngeal cancer for those exposed to cotton dust: RR of 2.7 (95 % CI 1.2–5.7) for less than 10 years and RR of 1.6 (95 % CI 0.9–

2.9) for 10 years or more. An RR of 3.6 (95 % CI 1.8–7.2) was found for those with the highest cumulative exposure to cotton dust category ($>143.4 \text{ mg/m}^3 \times \text{years}$). The same study has also found increased risks of nasopharynx cancer for those in the textile industry exposed to acids, bases and caustics, dyes, and inks.

The IARC classifies cotton dust and working in textile industry as possibly carcinogenic to humans (Group 2B) [120]. Results from case-control studies conducted during the last decade of the twentieth century were more incisive on the relationship between cotton dust exposure and nasopharyngeal cancer. Also workers in textile industry have a possible increased risk of nasopharyngeal cancer. Nevertheless, no definite conclusion could be taken at this point on this relationship.

Other Occupations

Evidence linking nasopharynx cancer to other occupational risk factors is less definitive, as the number of studies is limited and results are conflicting. Henderson et al. [121] in a case-control study found increased risks of nasopharynx cancer for fumes, smoke, and chemicals, but not for dusts. Yu et al. [118] found increased risks for smoke and chemical fumes, but not for dusts. Armstrong et al. [111] did not find risks for exposure to chemicals, fumes, or dusts.

Chlorophenols are classified by IARC as possibly carcinogenic to humans – Group 2B [122]. A series of case-control studies have found relationships between exposure to chlorophenols and nasopharynx cancer. Hardell et al. [123] found about a sevenfold risk of nasopharyngeal and nasal cancer analyzed together for exposure to chlorophenols in the wood industry. Mirabelli et al. [124] also found high risks for those classified as ever exposed to high levels of chlorophenols (RR 2.64, 95 % CI 1.10–5.78) and even higher risks for those exposed for less than 10 years (RR 3.52, 95 % CI 1.07–9.73) or 10 years or more (RR 9.07, 95 % CI 1.41–42.9). Zhu et al. [23] found increased risks of nasopharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma in people exposed to chlorophenol (RR 2.2, 95 % CI 1.1–4.3).

Two case-control studies have examined the effect of industrial heat on nasopharynx cancer. Henderson et al. [121] found increased risks, around 1.5, but these were not statistically significant. Armstrong et al. [111] also found increased risks of nasopharynx cancer for heat exposure of RR of 1.23 ($p=0.021$), after adjustment for wood dust, diet, and cigarette smoke. Increased risks of nasopharynx cancer were also found for exposure to combustion products in a case-control study in China [118] with RR of 2.7 ($p<0.05$) for those with occasional exposure and RR of 10.1 ($p<0.05$) for those exposed for 10 years or more. The limited number of studies does not permit definitive conclusions to be made on the effect of industrial heat on nasopharynx cancer.

A case-control study in Taiwan [22] explored the effect of organic solvents on nasopharynx cancer, but risks were low and imprecise, and no dose-response effect was detected.

Zhu et al. [23] found increased risk for all histological types of nasopharyngeal cancer in people working with or around cutting oil (RR 1.9, 95 % CI 1.1–3.1); and increased risk of squamous cell carcinoma was found for occupational exposure to chromium compounds or alloys (RR 2.6, 95 % CI 1.1–6.1). Malzer et al. [125] in a cohort study in Sweden found increased SIRs for glassmakers, bookbinders, and cobblers and in shoe repair and fiberboard industries.

Further studies are needed for all these occupational factors in order to have a clearer definition of their carcinogenic role on the nasopharynx.

Conclusion

The efforts to decrease major occupational risk factors for oral, pharyngeal, and nasopharyngeal cancers depend on the knowledge of potential carcinogen agents present in a particular occupation in different industry settings and the effective surveillance and prevention of workers' exposure to these agents. Table 4.5 shows the carcinogenicity evidence strength of some agents, occupations, or industries on oral cavity, pharyngeal, and nasopharyngeal anatomical sites.

Asbestos, diesel engine exhaust, leather and wood dust, man-made mineral fibers, and welding fumes have a possible association with oral and pharyngeal cancers. Waiters, cooks, and bartenders have a high risk of oral and

pharyngeal cancers; however, the prevalence of tobacco smoking and alcohol consumption is higher among these workers than those in other occupations, and this fact could be the straightest explanation for the risk observed. Butchers, vehicle repair mechanics, welders, and woodworkers have showed evidences of possible increased risk of oral and pharyngeal cancers. Regarding the type of industry, jobs in the leather industry, man-made mineral fiber manufacturing, meat industry, vehicle repair service, and wood industry have also presented a possible impact on oral and pharyngeal cancer incidence.

There are convincing documentation on the causal relationship of exposure to chlorophenols, formaldehyde, and wood dust with nasopharyngeal cancer, as well as among woodworkers and in garment manufacturing. The carcinogenicity evidences of cotton dust and heat in the workplace to the nasopharynx are limited.

Additional studies are necessary to confirm the association of many suspicious agents, occupations, and industries with oral cavity, pharyngeal, and nasopharyngeal cancers. Nevertheless, the knowledge accumulated so far enables prevention and safety at work. That can be triggered in the context of surveillance programs, particularly considering exposure to chlorophenols, formaldehyde, and wood dust. For example, a well-oriented control of exposure to dust in the wood industry would prevent not only many cases of nasopharyngeal and sinonasal cancers but also probably some cases of pharyngeal, laryngeal, and lung tumors.

Table 4.5 Strength of evidence (low, possible, high) of association of exposure to some agents, occupations or industries, and oral cavity, pharynx, and nasopharynx cancers

Agent	Evidence	Occupation	Evidence	Industry	Evidence
<i>Oral and pharynx cancers</i>					
Asbestos	Possible	Butcher	Possible	Garment manufacture	Low
Diesel engine exhaust	Possible	Textile worker	Low	Leather industry	Possible
Dust		Vehicle repair mechanic	Possible	Man-made mineral fiber factory	Possible
Cotton	Low	Waiters and cooks	High	Meat industry	Possible
Leather	Possible	Welder and cutter	Possible	Textile industry	Low
Wood	Possible	Woodworker	Possible	Vehicle repair service	Possible
Formaldehyde	Low			Wood industry	Possible
Man-made mineral fibers	Possible				
Welding fumes	Possible				
<i>Nasopharynx cancer</i>					
Chlorophenols	High	Textile worker	Possible	Garment manufacture	High
Formaldehyde	High	Woodworker	High	Textile industry	Possible
Dust				Wood industry	High
Cotton	Possible				
Wood	High				
Industrial heat	Possible				

References

1. Ferlay J, Shin H-R, Bray F, Forman D, Mathers C, Parkin DM. Estimates of worldwide burden of cancer in 2008: GOBLOCAN 2008. *Int J Cancer*. 2010;127:2893–917.
2. Curado MP, Hashibe M. Recent changes in the epidemiology of head and neck cancer. *Curr Opin Oncol*. 2009;21:194–200.
3. Curado MP, Edwards B, Shin HR, Ferlay J, Heaune M, Boyle P, Storm H. Cancer incidence in five continents, IARC Scientific Publication, No 160, vol. IX. Lyon: IARC Press; 2009.
4. Wünsch Filho V, Camargo EA. The burden of mouth cancer in Latin America and the Caribbean: epidemiological issues. *Semin Oncol*. 2001;28:158–68.
5. Wünsch FV. The epidemiology of oral and pharynx cancer in Brazil. *Oral Oncol*. 2002;38:737–46.
6. Boing AF, Peres MA, Antunes JL. Mortality from oral and pharyngeal cancer in Brazil: trends and regional patterns, 1979–2002. *Rev Panam Salud Publica*. 2006;20:1–8.
7. Robinson KL, Macfarlane GJ. Oropharyngeal cancer incidence and mortality in Scotland: are rates still increasing? *Oral Oncol*. 2003;39:31–6.
8. Conway DI, Stockton DL, Warnakulasuriya KA, Ogden G, Macpherson LM. Incidence of oral and oropharyngeal cancer in United Kingdom (1990–1999) – recent trends and regional variation. *Oral Oncol*. 2006;41:586–92.
9. Hammarstedt L, Dahlstrand H, Lindquist D, Onelov L, Ryott M, Luo J, Dalianis T, Ye W, Munk-Wikland E. The incidence of tonsillar cancer in Sweden is increasing. *Acta Otolaryngol*. 2007;127:988–92.
10. Braakhuis BJ, Visser O, Leemans CR. Oral and oropharyngeal cancer in The Netherlands between 1989 and 2006: increasing incidence, but not in young adults. *Oral Oncol*. 2009;45:e85–9.
11. Kingsley K, O'Malley S, Ditmyer M, Chino M. Analysis of oral cancer epidemiology in the US reveals state-specific trends: implications for oral cancer prevention. *BMC Public Health*. 2008;8:87.
12. Schantz SP, Yu GP. Head and neck cancer incidence trends in young Americans, 1973–1997, with a special analysis for tongue cancer. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. 2002;128:268–74.
13. Shiboski CH, Schimidt BL, Jordan RC. Tongue and tonsil carcinoma: increasing trends in the U.S. population ages 20–44 years. *Cancer*. 2005;103:1843–9.
14. Annertz K, Anderson H, Björklund A, Möller T, Kantola S, Mork J, Olsen JH, Wennerberg J. Incidence and survival of squamous cell carcinoma of the tongue in Scandinavia, with special reference to young adults. *Int J Cancer*. 2002;101:95–9.
15. Gillison ML. Current topics in the epidemiology of oral cavity and oropharyngeal cancers. *Head Neck*. 2007;29:779–92.
16. Lee AWM, Foo W, Mang O, Sze WM, Chappell R, Lau WH, Ko WM. Changing epidemiology of nasopharyngeal carcinoma in Hong Kong over a 20-year period (1980–99): an encouraging reduction in both incidence and mortality. *Int J Cancer*. 2003;103:680–5.
17. Yang L, Parkin DM, Li L, Chen Y. Time trends in cancer mortality in China: 1987–1999. *Int J Cancer*. 2003;106:771–83.
18. Wei K-R, Yu Y-L, Yang Y-Y, Ji M-F, Yu B-H, Liang Z-H, Reng X. Epidemiological trends of nasopharyngeal carcinoma in China. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*. 2010;11:29–32.
19. Sun LM, Epplein M, Li CI, Vaughan TL, Weiss NS. Trends in the incidence rates of nasopharyngeal carcinoma among Chinese Americans living in Los Angeles County and the San Francisco Metropolitan Area, 1992–2002. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2005;162:1174–8.
20. Chang ET, Adami HO. The enigmatic epidemiology of nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*. 2006;15:1765–77.
21. Hildesheim A, Levine PH. Etiology of nasopharyngeal carcinoma: a review. *Epidemiol Rev*. 1993;15:466–85.
22. Hildesheim A, Dosemeci M, Chan CC, Chen CJ, Cheng YJ, Hsu MM, Chen IH, Mittl BF, Sun B, Levine PH, Chen JY, Brinton LA, Yang CS. Occupational exposure to wood, formaldehyde, and solvents end risk of nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*. 2001;10:1145–53.
23. Zhu K, Levine RS, Brann EA, Hall I, Caplan LS, Gnep DR. Case-control study evaluating the homogeneity and heterogeneity of risk factors between sinonasal and nasopharyngeal cancers. *Int J Cancer*. 2002;99:119–23.
24. Hashibe M, Brennan P, Benhamou S, Castellsague X, Chen C, Curado MP, Dal Maso L, Daudt AW, Fabianova E, Fernandez L, Wünsch-Filho V, Franceschi S, Hayes RB, Herrero R, Koifman S, La Vecchia C, Lazarus P, Levi F, Mates D, Matos E, Menezes A, Muscat J, Eluf-Neto J, Olshan AF, Rudnai P, Schwartz SM, Smith E, Sturgis EM, Szeszenia-Dabrowska N, Talamini R, Wei Q, Winn DM, Zaridze D, Zatonski W, Zhang ZF, Berthiller J, Boffetta P. Alcohol drinking in never users of tobacco, cigarette smoking in never drinkers, and the risk of head and neck cancer: pooled analysis in the International Head and Neck Cancer Epidemiology Consortium. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2007;99:777–89.
25. Ide R, Mizoue T, Fujino Y, Hoshiyama Y, Sakata K, Tamakoshi A, Yoshimura T, JACC Study Group. Cigarette smoking, alcohol drinking, and oral and pharyngeal cancer mortality in Japan. *Oral Dis*. 2008;14:314–9.
26. Purdue MP, Hashibe M, Berthiller J, La Vecchia C, Dal Maso L, Herrero R, Franceschi S, Castellsague X, Wei Q, Sturgis EM, Morgenstern H, Zhang ZF, Levi F, Talamini R, Smith E, Muscat J, Lazarus P, Schwartz SM, Chen C, Neto JE, Wünsch-Filho V, Zaridze D, Koifman S, Curado MP, Benhamou S, Matos E, Szeszenia-Dabrowska N, Olshan AF, Lence J, Menezes A, Daudt AW, Mates IN, Pilarska A, Fabianova E, Rudnai P, Winn D, Ferro G, Brennan P, Boffetta P, Hayes RB. Type of alcoholic beverage and risk of head and neck cancer – a pooled analysis within the INHANCE Consortium. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2009;169:132–42.
27. Hashibe M, Brennan P, Chuang SC, Boccia S, Castellsague X, Chen C, Curado MP, Dal Maso L, Daudt AW, Fabianova E, Fernandez L, Wünsch-Filho V, Franceschi S, Hayes RB, Herrero R, Kelsey K, Koifman S, La Vecchia C, Lazarus P, Levi F, Lence JJ, Mates D, Matos E, Menezes A, McClean MD, Muscat J, Eluf-Neto J, Olshan AF, Purdue M, Rudnai P, Schwartz SM, Smith E, Sturgis EM, Szeszenia-Dabrowska N, Talamini R, Wei Q, Winn DM, Shangina O, Pilarska A, Zhang ZF, Ferro G, Berthiller J, Boffetta P. Interaction between tobacco and alcohol use and the risk of head and neck cancer: pooled analysis in the International Head and Neck Cancer Epidemiology Consortium. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*. 2009;18:541–50.
28. Lee YC, Boffetta P, Sturgis EM, Wei Q, Zhang ZF, Muscat J, Lazarus P, Matos E, Hayes RB, Winn DM, Zaridze D, Wünsch-Filho V, Eluf-Neto J, Koifman S, Mates D, Curado MP, Menezes A, Fernandez L, Daudt AW, Szeszenia-Dabrowska N, Fabianova E, Rudnai P, Ferro G, Berthiller J, Brennan P, Hashibe M. Involuntary smoking and head and neck cancer risk: pooled analysis in the International Head and Neck Cancer Epidemiology Consortium. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*. 2008;17:1974–81.
29. Conway DI, Petticrew M, Marlborough H, Berthiller J, Hashibe M, Macpherson LM. Socioeconomic inequalities and oral cancer risk: a systematic review and meta-analysis of case-control studies. *Int J Cancer*. 2008;122:2811–9.
30. Boing AF, Ferreira Antunes JL, de Carvalho MB, de Góis Filho JF, Kowalski LP, Michaluart Jr P. Head and Neck Genome Project/GENCAPO, Eluf-Neto J, Boffetta P, Wünsch-Filho V. How much do smoking and alcohol consumption explain socioeconomic

- inequalities in head and neck cancer risk? *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2011;65:708–14.
31. Freedman ND, Park Y, Subar AF, Hollenbeck AR, Leitzmann MF, Schatzkin A, Abnet CC. Fruit and vegetable intake and head and neck cancer risk in a large United States prospective cohort study. *Int J Cancer*. 2008;122:2330–6.
 32. Peters ES, Lockett BG, Applebaum KM, Marsit CJ, McClean MD, Kelsey KY. Dairy products, leanness and head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Head Neck*. 2008;30:1193–205.
 33. Gaudet MM, Olshan AF, Chuang SC, Berthiller J, Zhang ZF, Lissowska J, Zaridze D, Winn DM, Wei Q, Talamini R, Szeszenia-Dabrowska N, Sturgis EM, Schwartz SM, Rudnai P, Eluf-Neto J, Muscat J, Morgenstern H, Menezes A, Matos E, Bucur A, Levi F, Lazarus P, La Vecchia C, Koifman S, Kelsey K, Herrero R, Hayes RB, Franceschi S, Wünsch-Filho V, Fernandez L, Fabianova E, Daudt AW, Dal Maso L, Curado MP, Chen C, Castellsague X, Benhamou S, Boffetta P, Brennan P, Hashibe M. Body mass index and risk of head and neck cancer in a pooled analysis of case-control studies in the International Head and Neck Cancer Epidemiology (INHANCE) Consortium. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2010;39:1091–102.
 34. IARC. Betel-quid and areca-nut chewing and some areca-nut-derived nitrosamines, IARC monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risk to humans, vol. 85. Lyon: IARC Press; 2004.
 35. Secretan B, Straif K, Baan R, Grosse Y, El Ghissassi F, Bouvard V, Benbrahim-Tallaa L, Guha N, Freeman C, Galichet L, Coglianov V. A review of human carcinogens – part E: tobacco, areca nut, alcohol, coal smoke, and salted fish. *Lancet Oncol*. 2009;10:1033–4.
 36. IARC. A review of human carcinogens: personal habits and indoor combustions, IARC monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risk to humans, vol. 100E. Lyon: IARC Press; 2012.
 37. Guha N, Boffetta P, Wünsch Filho V, Eluf Neto J, Shangina O, Zaridze D, Curado MP, Koifman S, Matos E, Menezes A, Szeszenia-Dabrowska N, Fernandez L, Mates D, Daudt AW, Lissowska J, Dikshit R, Brennan P. Oral health and risk of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck and esophagus: results of two multicentric case-control studies. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2007;166:1159–73.
 38. Marques LA, Eluf-Neto J, Figueiredo RA, Góis-Filho JF, Kowalski LP, Carvalho MB, Abrahão M, Wünsch-Filho V. Oral health, hygiene practices and oral cancer. *Rev Saude Publica*. 2008;42:471–9.
 39. Bouvard V, Baan R, Straif K, Grosse Y, Secretan B, El Ghissassi F, Benbrahim-Tallaa L, Guha N, Freeman C, Galichet L, Coglianov V. A review of human carcinogens – part B: biological agents. *Lancet Oncol*. 2009;10:321–2.
 40. Chaturvedi AK, Engels EA, Anderson WF, Gillison ML. Incidence trends for human papillomavirus-related and – unrelated oral squamous cell carcinoma in the United States. *J Clin Oncol*. 2008;26:612–9.
 41. Marur S, D'Souza G, Westra WH, Forastiere AA. HPV-associated head and neck cancer: a virus-related cancer epidemic. *Lancet Oncol*. 2010;11:781–9.
 42. Negri E, Boffetta P, Berthiller J, Castellsague X, Curado MP, Dal Maso L, Daudt AW, Fabianova E, Fernandez L, Wünsch-Filho V, Franceschi S, Hayes RB, Herrero R, Koifman S, Lazarus P, Lence JJ, Levi F, Mates D, Matos E, Menezes A, Muscat J, Eluf-Neto J, Olshan AF, Rudnai P, Shangina O, Sturgis EM, Szeszenia-Dabrowska N, Talamini R, Wei Q, Winn DM, Zaridze D, Lissowska J, Zhang ZF, Ferro G, Brennan P, La Vecchia C, Hashibe M. Family history of cancer: pooled analysis in the International Head and Neck Cancer Epidemiology Consortium. *Int J Cancer*. 2009;124:394–401.
 43. IARC. A review of human carcinogens: biological agents, IARC monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risk to humans, vol. 100B. Lyon: IARC Press; 2011.
 44. Yuan JM, Wang XL, Xiang YB, Gao YT, Ross RK, Yu MC. Non-dietary risk factors for nasopharyngeal carcinoma in Shanghai, China. *Int J Cancer*. 2000;85:364–9.
 45. Lin TM, Chen KP, Lin CC, Hsu MM, Tu SM, Chiang TC, Jung PF, Hirayama T. Retrospective study on nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 1973;51:1403–8.
 46. Mabuchi K, Bross DS, Kessler II. Cigarette smoking and nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Cancer*. 1985;55:2874–6.
 47. Nam JM, McLaughlin JK, Blot WJ. Cigarette smoking, alcohol, and nasopharyngeal carcinoma: a case-control study among U.S. whites. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 1992;84:610–22.
 48. Hirayama T, Ito Y. A new view of the etiology of nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Prev Med*. 1981;10:614–22.
 49. Yu MC, Ho JHC, Lai SH, Henderson BE. Cantonese-style salted fish as a cause of nasopharyngeal carcinoma: report of a case-control study in Hong Kong. *Cancer Res*. 1986;46:956–61.
 50. Garrote LF, Herrero R, Reyes RMO, Vaccarella S, Anta JL, Ferbeyre L, Muñoz N, Franceschi S. Risk factors for cancer of the oral cavity and oro-pharynx in Cuba. *Br J Cancer*. 2001;85:46–54.
 51. Menvielle G, Luce D, Goldberg P, Leclerc A. Smoking, alcohol drinking, occupational exposures and social inequalities in hypopharyngeal and laryngeal cancer. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2004;33:799–806.
 52. Vaughan TL, Strader C, Davis S, Daling JR. Formaldehyde and cancers of the pharynx, sinus and nasal cavity: I Occupations exposures. *Int J Cancer*. 1986;38:677–83.
 53. Merletti F, Boffetta P, Ferro G, Pisani P, Terracini B. Occupation and cancer of the oral cavity or oropharynx in Turin, Italy. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 1991;17:248–54.
 54. Gustavsson P, Jakobsson R, Johansson H, Lewin F, Norell S, Rutkvist LE. Occupational exposures and squamous cell carcinoma of the oral cavity, pharynx, larynx, and oesophagus: a case-control study in Sweden. *Occup Environ Med*. 1998;55:393–400.
 55. Vlainjac HD, Marinkovic JM, Sipetic SB, Andrejic DM, Adanja BJ, Stosic-Divjak SL. Case-control study of oropharyngeal cancer. *Cancer Detect Prev*. 2006;30:152–7.
 56. Blair A, Stewart P, O'Berg M, Gaffey W, Walrath J, Ward J, Bales R, Kaplan S, Cubit D. Mortality among industrial workers exposed to formaldehyde. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 1986;76:1071–84.
 57. Gardner MJ, Pannett B, Winter PD, Cruddas AM. A cohort study of workers exposed to formaldehyde in the British chemical industry: an update. *Br J Ind Med*. 1993;50:827–34.
 58. Andjelkovich DA, Janszen DB, Brown MH, Richardson RB, Miller FJ. Mortality of iron foundry workers: IV. Analysis of a subcohort exposed to formaldehyde. *J Occup Environ Med*. 1995;37:826–37.
 59. Marsh GM, Youk AO, Buchanich JM, Cassidy LD, Lucas LJ, Esmen NA, Gathuru IM. Pharyngeal cancer mortality among chemical plant workers exposed to formaldehyde. *Toxicol Ind Health*. 2002;18:257–68.
 60. Coggon D, Harris EC, Poole J, Palmer KT. Extended follow-up of a cohort of British chemical workers exposed to formaldehyde. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2003;95:1608–15.
 61. Hauptmann M, Lubin JH, Stewart PA, Hayes RB, Blair A. Mortality from solid cancers among workers in formaldehyde industries. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2004;159:1117–30.
 62. Stayner LT, Elliot L, Blade L, Keenlyside R, Halperin W. A retrospective cohort mortality study of workers exposed to formaldehyde in the garment industry. *Am J Ind Med*. 1988;13:667–81.
 63. Pinkerton LE, Hein MJ, Stayner LT. Mortality among a cohort of garment workers exposed to formaldehyde: an update. *Occup Environ Med*. 2004;61:193–200.
 64. Walrath J, Fraumeni Jr JF. Mortality patterns among embalmers. *Int J Cancer*. 1983;31:407–11.
 65. Walrath J, Fraumeni Jr JF. Cancer and other causes of death among embalmers. *Cancer Res*. 1984;44:4638–41.
 66. Stroup NE, Blair A, Erikson GE. Brain cancer and other causes of death in anatomists. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 1986;77:1217–24.
 67. Hayes RB, Blair A, Stewart PA, Herrick RF, Mahar H. Mortality of US embalmers and funeral directors. *Am J Ind Med*. 1990;18:641–52.

68. Logue JN, Barrick MK, Jessup Jr GL. Mortality of radiologists and pathologists in the Radiation Registry of Physicians. *J Occup Med.* 1998;28:91–9.
69. Tarvainen L, Kyyrönen P, Kauppinen T, Pukkala E. Cancer of the mouth and pharynx, occupation and exposure to chemical agents in Finland [in 1971–95]. *Int J Cancer.* 2008;123:653–9.
70. Innos K, Rahu M, Rahu K, Lang I, Leon DA. Wood dust exposure and cancer incidence: a retrospective cohort study of furniture workers in Estonia. *Am J Ind Med.* 2000;37:501–11.
71. Bosetti C, McLaughlin JK, Tarone RE, Pira E, La Vecchia C. Formaldehyde and cancer risk: a quantitative review of cohort studies through 2006. *Ann Oncol.* 2008;19:29–43.
72. Baan R, Grosse Y, Straif K, Secretan B, El Ghissassi F, Bouvard V, Benbrahim-Tallaa L, Guha N, Freeman C, Galichet L, Coglian V. A review of human carcinogens – part F: chemical agents and related occupations. *Lancet Oncol.* 2009;10:1143–4.
73. IARC. IARC monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans, A review of human carcinogens: chemical agents and related occupations, vol. 100F. Lyon: IARC Press; 2012.
74. Decoufle P. Cancer risks associated with employment in the leather and leather products industry. *Arch Environ Health.* 1979;34:33–7.
75. Franco EL, Kowalski LP, Oliveira BV, Curado MP, Pereira RN, Silva ME, Fava AS, Torloni H. Risk factors for oral cancer in Brazil: a case-control study. *Int J Cancer.* 1989;43:992–1000.
76. Straif K, Benbrahim-Tallaa L, Baan R, Grosse Y, Secretan B, El Ghissassi F, Bouvard V, Guha N, Freeman C, Galichet L, Coglian V. A review of human carcinogens – part C: metals, arsenic, dusts, and fibres. *Lancet Oncol.* 2009;10:453–4.
77. IARC. IARC monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans, A review of human carcinogens: arsenic, metals, fibres, and dusts, vol. 100C. Lyon: IARC Press; 2012.
78. Haguenoer JM, Cordier S, Morel C, Lefebvre JL, Hemon D. Occupational risk factors for upper respiratory tract and upper digestive tract cancers. *Br J Ind Med.* 1990;47:380–3.
79. Vaughan TL, Davis S. Wood dust exposure and squamous cell cancers of the upper respiratory tract. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1991;133:560–4.
80. Andreotti M, Rodrigues AN, Cardoso LMN, Figueiredo RAO, Eluf-Neto J, Wünsch-Filho V. Ocupação e câncer da cavidade oral e orofaringe [occupational status and cancer of the oral cavity and oropharynx]. *Cad Saude Publica.* 2006;22:543–52 [Portuguese].
81. Jayaprakash V, Natarajan KK, Moysich KB, Rigual NR, Ramnath N, Natarajan N, Reid ME. Wood dust exposure and the risk of upper aero-digestive and respiratory cancers in males. *Occup Environ Med.* 2008;65:647–54.
82. Vaughan T. Occupation and squamous cell cancers of the pharynx and sinonasal cavity. *Am J Ind Med.* 1989;16:493–510.
83. Huebner WW, Schoenberg JB, Kelsey JL, Wilcox HB, McLaughlin JK, Greenberg RS, Preston-Martin S, Austin DF, Stemhagen A, Blot WJ, Winn DM, Fraumeni JF. Oral and pharyngeal cancer and occupation: a case-control study. *Epidemiology.* 1992;3:300–9.
84. Schildt EB, Eriksson M, Hardell L, Magnuson A. Occupational exposures as risk factors for oral cancer evaluated in a Swedish case-control study. *Oncol Rep.* 1999;6:317–20.
85. Krstev S, Stewart P, Rusiecki J, Blair A. Mortality among shipyard coast guard workers: a retrospective cohort study. *Occup Environ Med.* 2007;64:651–8.
86. Pukkala E, Söderholm AL, Lindqvist C. Cancers of the lip and oropharynx in different social and occupational groups in Finland. *Eur J Cancer B Oral Oncol.* 1994;30B:209–15.
87. Brown LM, Moradi T, Gridley G, Plato N, Dosemeci M, Fraumeni JF. Exposures in the painting trades and paint manufacturing industry and risk of cancer among men and women in Sweden. *J Occup Environ Med.* 2002;44:258–64.
88. Winn DM, Blot WJ, Shy CM, Fraumeni JF. Occupation and oral cancer among women in the South. *Am J Ind Med.* 1982;3:161–7.
89. Ji J, Hemminki K. Occupation and upper aerodigestive tract cancers: a follow-up study in Sweden. *J Occup Environ Med.* 2005;47:785–95.
90. Benbrahim-Tallaa L, Baan RA, Grosse Y, Lauby-Secretan B, El Ghissassi F, Bouvard V, Guha N, Loomis D, Straif K. Carcinogenicity of diesel-engine and gasoline-engine exhaust and some nitroarenes. *Lancet Oncol.* 2012;13:663–4.
91. Attfield MD, Schleiff PL, Lubin JH, Blair A, Stewart PA, Vermeulen R, Coble JB, Silverman DT. The diesel exhaust in miners study: a cohort mortality study with emphasis on lung cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2012;104:869–83.
92. Silverman DT, Samanic CM, Lubin JH, Blair AE, Stewart PA, Vermeulen R, Coble JB, Rothman N, Schleiff PL, Travis WD, Ziegler RG, Wacholder S, Attfield MD. The diesel exhaust in miners study: a nested case-control study of lung cancer and diesel exhaust. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2012;104:855–68.
93. Boffetta P, Dosemeci M, Gridley G, Bath H, Moradi T, Silverman D. Occupational exposure to diesel engine emissions and risk of cancer in Swedish men and women. *Cancer Causes Control.* 2001;12:365–74.
94. Johnson ES. Cancer mortality among workers in the meat department of supermarkets. *Occup Environ Med.* 1994;51:541–7.
95. Boffetta P, Gridley G, Gustavsson P, Brennan P, Blair A, Ekström AM, Fraumeni JF. Employment as butcher and cancer risk in a record-linkage study from Sweden. *Cancer Causes Control.* 2000;11:627–33.
96. Oreggia F, de Stefani E, Correa P, Rivero S, Fernández G, Leiva J, Zavala D. Exposición ocupacional en el cancer oral, faringeo y laríngeo [occupational exposure in cancer of the mouth, pharynx and larynx]. *An Otorrinolaringol Ibero Am.* 1989;16:365–76 [Spanish].
97. Coggon D, Wield G. Mortality of butchers and cooks identified from the 1961 census of England and Wales. *Occup Environ Med.* 1995;52:157–9.
98. Moulin JJ, Mur JM, Wild P, Perreux JP, Pham QT. Oral cavity and laryngeal cancers among man-made mineral fiber production workers. *Scand J Work Environ Health.* 1986;12:27–31.
99. Boffetta P, Andersen A, Hansen J, Olsen JH, Plato N, Teppo L, Westerholm P, Saracci R. Cancer incidence among European man-made vitreous fiber production workers. *Scand J Work Environ Health.* 1999;25:222–6.
100. Marchand JL, Luce D, Leclerc A, Goldberg P, Orłowski E, Bugel I, Brugère J. Laryngeal and hypopharyngeal cancer and occupational exposure to asbestos and man-made vitreous fibers: results of a case-control study. *Am J Ind Med.* 2000;37:581–9.
101. Purdue MP, Järholm B, Bergdahl IA, Hayes RB, Baris D. Occupational exposures and head and neck cancers among Swedish construction workers. *Scand J Work Environ Health.* 2006;32:270–5.
102. Swenberg JA, Kerns WD, Mitchell RE, Gralla EJ, Pavkov KL. Induction of squamous cell carcinomas of the rat nasal cavity by inhalation exposure to formaldehyde vapor. *Cancer Res.* 1980;40:3398–402.
103. Albert RE, Sellakumar AR, Laskin S, Kuschner M, Nelson A, Snyder CA. Nasal cancer in the rat induced by gaseous formaldehyde and hydrogen chloride. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 1982;68:597–603.
104. West S, Hildesheim A, Dosemeci M. Non-viral risk factors nasopharyngeal carcinoma in the Philippines: results from a case-control study. *Int J Cancer.* 1993;55:722–7.
105. IARC. Formaldehyde, 2-butoxyethanol, and 1-tert-butoxy-2-propanol, IARC monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risk to humans, vol. 88. Lyon: IARC Press; 2004.
106. Coglian VJ, Grosse Y, Baan RA, Straif K, Secretan MB, El Ghissassi F, Working Group for Volume 88. Meeting report: summary of IARC monographs on formaldehyde, 2-butoxyethanol, and 1-tert-butoxy-2-propanol. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2005;113:1205–8.

107. Marsh GM, Youk AO, Buchanich JM, Erdal S, Esmen NA. Work in the metal industry and nasopharyngeal cancer mortality among formaldehyde-exposed workers. *Regul Toxicol Pharmacol*. 2007; 48:308–19.
108. National Toxicology Program. National Institute of Environmental Health Science. National Institute of Health. The report on carcinogens. 12th ed. Washington: National Institute of Environmental Health Science; 2011.
109. IARC. Wood dust and formaldehyde, IARC monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risk to humans, vol. 62. Lyon: IARC Press; 1995.
110. Armstrong RW, Armstrong MJ, Yu MC, Henderson BE. Salted fish and inhalants as risk factors for nasopharyngeal carcinoma in Malaysian Chinese. *Cancer Res*. 1983;43:2967–70.
111. Armstrong RW, Imrey PB, Lye MS, Armstrong MJ, Yu MC, Sani S. Nasopharyngeal carcinoma in Malaysian Chinese: occupational exposures to particles, formaldehyde and heat. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2000;29:991–8.
112. Kawachi I, Pearce N, Fraser J. A New Zealand cancer registry-based study of cancer in wood workers. *Cancer*. 1989;64:2609–13.
113. Sriamporn S, Vatanasapt V, Pisani P, Yongchaiyudha S, Rungpitarangsi V. Environmental risk factors for nasopharyngeal carcinoma: a case-control study in Northeastern Thailand. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*. 1992;1:345–8.
114. Vaughan TL, Stewart PA, Teschke K, Lynch CF, Swanson GM, Lyon JL, Berwick M. Occupational exposure to formaldehyde and wood dust and nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Occup Environ Med*. 2000;57:376–84.
115. Siew SS, Kauppinen T, Kyyrönen P, Hiekkilä P, Pukkala E. Occupational exposure to wood dust and formaldehyde and risk of nasal, nasopharyngeal, and lung cancer among Finnish men. *Cancer Manag Res*. 2012;4:223–32.
116. Demers PA, Boffetta P, Kogevinas M, Blair A, Miller BA, Robinson CF, Roscoe RJ, Winter PD, Colin D, Matos E, Vainio H. Pooled reanalysis of cancer mortality among five cohorts of workers in wood-related industries. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 1995;21:179–90.
117. Roush GC, Walrath J, Stayner LT, Kaplan SA, Flannery JT, Blair A. Nasopharyngeal cancer, sinonasal cancer, and occupations related to formaldehyde: a case-control study. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 1987;79:1221–4.
118. Yu MC, Garabrant DH, Huang TB, Henderson BE. Occupational and other non-dietary risk factors for nasopharyngeal carcinoma in Guangzhou, China. *Int J Cancer*. 1990;45:1033–9.
119. Li W, Ray RM, Gao DL, Fitzgibbons ED, Seixas NS, Camp JE, Wernli KJ, Astrakianakis G, Feng Z, Thomas DB, Checkoway H. Occupational risk factors for nasopharyngeal cancer among female textile workers in Shanghai, China. *Occup Environ Med*. 2005;63:39–44.
120. IARC. Some flame retardants and textile chemicals, and exposures in the textile manufacturing industry, IARC monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risk to humans, vol. 48. Lyon: IARC Press; 1990.
121. Henderson BE, Louie E, Jing JS, Buell P, Gardner M. Risk factors associated with nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *N Engl J Med*. 1976;295:1101–6.
122. IARC. Re-evaluation of some organic chemicals, hydrazine and hydrogen peroxide, IARC monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risk to humans, vol. 71. Lyon: IARC Press; 1999.
123. Hardell L, Johansson B, Axelson O. Epidemiological study of nasal and nasopharyngeal cancer and their relation to phenoxy acid or chlorophenol exposure. *Am J Ind Med*. 1982;3: 247–57.
124. Mirabelli MC, Hoppin JA, Tolbert PE, Herrick RF, Gnepp DR, Brann EA. Occupational exposure to chlorophenol and the risk of nasal and nasopharyngeal cancers among U.S. men aged 30 to 60. *Am J Ind Med*. 2000;37:532–41.
125. Malker HSR, McLaughlin JK, Weiner JA, Silverman DT, Blot WJ, Ericsson JLE, Fraumeni JF. Occupational risk factors for nasopharyngeal cancer in Sweden. *Br J Ind Med*. 1990;47: 213–4.
126. Tisch M, Enderle G, Zöller J, Maier H. Cancer of the oral cavity in machine workers. *Laryngorhinootologie*. 1996;75(12): 759–63.
127. Coble JB, Brown LM, Hayes RB, Huang WY, Winn DM, Gridley G, Bravo-Otero E, Fraumeni JF Jr. Sugarcane farming, occupational solvent exposures, and the risk of oral cancer in Puerto Rico. *J Occup Environ Med*. 2003;45(8):869–74.
128. Lynge E, Thygesen L. Use of surveillance systems for occupational cancer: data from the Danish National system. *Int J Epidemiol*. 1988;17(3):493–500.
129. Stayner L, Dankovic D, Smith R, Steenland K. Predicted lung cancer risk among miners exposed to diesel exhaust particles. *Am J Ind Med*. 1998;34(3):207–19.
130. Andersen ZJ, Lassen CF, Clemmensen IH. Social inequality and incidence of and survival from cancers of the mouth, pharynx and larynx in a population-based study in Denmark, 1994–2003. *European Journal of Cancer*. 2008;44(14):1950–61.