# Chapter 2 Glass-Forming Substances and Systems

# 2.1 Current Status of the Glass Transition Problem

The glass transition or vitrification, which refers to the dramatic slowing down of kinetic processes, such as diffusion, viscous flow, and molecular reorientations, is a general phenomenon found in organic, inorganic, metallic, polymeric, colloidal, and biomolecular materials, as well as synthetic materials and systems. On decreasing temperature *T* or increasing pressure *P*, the structural relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  of supercooled liquids becomes increasingly long. Eventually the molecules cannot attain their dynamic equilibrium configurations on the timescale of observation, and vitrification commences. The science and technology of glass formation has a long history, starting from the first recorded recipe for glass that appeared a few millennia ago in Babylon. Nowadays, glasses are formed from many different kinds of materials. The use of glass is widespread, and the glass-making industry alone contributes significantly to the world economy.

A fundamental understanding of glass transition requires elucidation of the dynamics of the structural relaxation and identification of the factors that make  $\tau_{\alpha}$  to slow down drastically with decreasing temperature or increasing pressure. Surprisingly, in spite of the long history of the phenomenon and technological significance of glass, there is still no universally accepted view on the dynamics of the structural relaxation. There is no consensus on the factors governing the dramatic slowing down of the structural relaxation and related kinetic processes, such as viscous flow and diffusion. This situation is a testament of the complexity of the structural relaxation process in the precursor supercooled liquid. Development of a microscopic and quantitatively accurate theory of the glass transition that is generally applicable to real materials has become even more challenging with the improvement of experimental techniques and the introduction of new ones. These advances have led to the discovery of an increasing number of general properties of the dynamics of glass-forming materials spanning the range from picoseconds to years. Glass transition is still the subject of active research with many participants, and the theme of many international scientific conferences. Its study is no longer limited to the few traditional areas, but has branched out to electronics and optoelectronics, metallurgy, pharmaceuticals, food science, biomaterials, geoscience, volcanology, petrology, materials for energy source applications, and others. The

study of glass transition has recently received a boost from activities in nanoscience and technology, where the changes found in the dynamics of the structural relaxation and glass transition temperature present new challenges.

There are plenty of theoretical activities in the quest to understand the fundamentals of glass transition and to explain the multitude of experimental data and physical phenomena. It is worthwhile to reiterate the criterion of viability of a theory/model of glass transition laid out at the end of the last chapter. The criterion is that it has predictions that are consistent with *all* the observed properties, especially the anomalous ones. I do not accept the claim that the problem of glass transition is solved by any theory/model if only a selected few properties have been explained. Is this stringent requirement subjective or unnecessary? I do not think so, the reason being that because the other properties and anomalies not addressed are equally important and they may have already shown that the theory/model is either deficient or even untenable.

In the sections to follow, the unexpected but general experimental facts (i.e., anomalies) will be the subjects of detailed discussion. As shall be demonstrated, the root cause of the anomalies is the many-body effects in relaxation and diffusion in glass-forming substances and systems. Since many-body dynamics is either neglected altogether or not given an adequate treatment in most conventional theories and models, it is not surprising that they cannot explain the anomalies. New theory and model of glass transition that incorporate the many-body dynamics at the very start should have a better chance of being truly successful.

## 2.2 General Properties and Anomalies

An observed anomaly, or unusual behavior or property, is important only if it is general. Some anomalies are better known possibly because they have longer history or are more accessible from the literature. Some of the anomalies have become so familiar to workers in the field of glass transition that no one is surprised by their generality. There are still other anomalies that are not well known or discovered only recently. In considering anomalies, it is important to consider all of them because, a priori, no one can tell which anomaly is more pivotal than the other for the purpose of gaining a deeper understanding. It also allows us to see if the various anomalies are related or not. If they are related, the relations may allow us to extract the quintessential factor or parameter that governs all the anomalies. The quintessential parameter may reveal directly and unambiguously the fundamental physics behind glass transition that so far has escaped general attention. Finally, theories capturing the quintessential parameter may emerge that can explain all the anomalies and other experimental facts with the help of the quintessential parameter. As I shall demonstrate in this chapter, it turns out that this scenario can be realized.

Instead of keeping the readers in suspense, I hasten to point out the identity of the quintessential parameter. It is n appearing in the exponent of the Kohlrausch

stretched exponential function,  $\phi_{\rm K}(t) = \exp[-(t/\tau)^{1-n}]$  where (0 < n < 1), given before by Eq. (1.1). As we shall see, *n* not only governs all anomalies but also indicates the extent or degree of the many-body dynamics occurring in the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation of the glassformer. The proviso is that  $\phi_{\rm K}(t)$  describes well the time dependence of some correlation function,  $\langle A(t)A \rangle$ , of the structural relaxation. For example, when normalized,  $\langle A(t)A \rangle$  can be  $\Phi_{\mu}(t)$ , the dipole moment time correlation function in Eq. (1.84), if the rotations of the dipoles are related to structural relaxation. In light scattering, it can be the field correlation function,  $g^{(1)}(\vec{q}, t)$ , given by Eq. (1.107) [81–91, 198–211]. If the structural relaxation is probed in the frequency domain and given in terms of susceptibility such as Eq. (1.88) for dielectric relaxation, then the one-sided Fourier transform of  $\phi_{\rm K}(t)$  describes well the data. Historically, Williams and Watts are the first to use the Fourier transform of  $\phi_{\rm K}(t)$  to fit the frequency dependence of isothermal dielectric relaxation data [212, 213], and hence the name Kohlrausch–Williams–Watts (KWW) is appropriate when referring to this practice of using the Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function. The magnitude of n is a measure of the increase in the width of the dispersion of  $\langle A(t)A \rangle$ over and above that of the linear exponential,  $\exp(-t/\tau)$ . A limiting case of the Kohlrausch function is when n = 0, which is the correlation function for the classical Brownian diffusion and Debye relaxation in simple systems without the effect of many-body interactions (see Chapter 1). According to Eqs. (1.78a) and (1.82a), the dynamic (frequency-dependent) susceptibility function  $\chi_{AA}(\omega)$  is the one-sided Fourier transform of  $\Psi_{AA}(t) = -(1/k_{\rm B}T)\langle A(t)A \rangle$ . This, together with the fact that  $\langle \dot{A}(t)A \rangle$  is the time derivative of  $\langle A(t)A \rangle$ , follows that  $\chi_{AA}(\omega)$  is proportional to the one-sided Fourier transform of the time derivative of the Kohlrausch function. This connection to the Kohlrausch function applies also to the various dynamic response functions discussed in Chapter 1. For dielectric relaxation,  $\varepsilon^*(\omega) = \varepsilon'(\omega) - i\varepsilon''(\omega)$ , the relation is represented by Eqs. (1.52), (1.97), and (1.85). For shear modulus,  $G^*(\omega) = G'(\omega) + iG''(\omega)$ , the relation is given by Eqs. (1.26) and (1.27). For dynamics heat capacity,  $c_p^*(\omega) = c_p'(\omega) - ic_p''(\omega)$ , it is Eq. (1.58).

The Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function [212, 213] with larger *n* is needed to fit the measured dynamic response in the frequency domain with broader dispersion. The imaginary part of the Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function has the shape of a skew asymmetric peak. Naturally, the full-width at half-maximum of the peak can also be used as a measure of the width of the dispersion. There is an approximate relation between *n* and *w*, the full-width at half-maximum of the KWW loss peak normalized to that of the loss peak from the linear exponential,  $\exp(-t/\tau)$ , which is equal to 1.144 decade. It is given by [214]

$$n \approx 1.047(1 - w^{-1}).$$
 (2.1)

From this relation between n and w, we can use the width of the structural relaxation dispersion as the quintessential parameter as an alternative to n.

The two claims made above that (i) the various observed anomalies are related and (ii) n is the quintessential parameter that governs or correlates with all the dynamic properties of the structural relaxation including the anomalous ones are

quite extraordinary and not anticipated a priori. They need serious justification by experimental data. This task is carried out throughout the following subsections in this chapter, where each anomaly is discussed in detail and shown how it is related to or governed by the parameter n.

Although every worker has noticed that the dispersion of the structural  $\alpha$ relaxation of most glassformers is broader than that of the linear exponential, surprisingly they have not made use of the degree of non-exponentiality or n to get insight into the dynamics and explain the anomalies (except perhaps the author himself in the coupling model). Instead, emphasis is on other characteristics and parameters. These include the length scale of the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation and the temperature dependence of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  or viscosity  $\eta$ . The most popular one is the shape of the  $T_g$ -scaled temperature dependence of log  $\tau_{\alpha}$  or log  $\eta$ , and the word "fragility" was coined to describe the degree of concaveness of the plot [215, 216]. It is remarkable that "fragility" has become the center of attention and one of the most discussed parameter in current glass transition research. Therefore, following non-exponentiality or *n* in the next subsection, length scale and "fragility" are reexamined in detail as well. Experimental results will be given to show that length scale is impractical and "fragility" is overly complex to be used as parameter or concept to gain insight into the dynamics. Thus, the non-exponentiality or n is not only practical but also essential to unravel the many anomalies exhibited by glassformers.

# 2.2.1 Non-exponential Time Correlation Function of the Structural $\alpha$ -Relaxation, $\exp[-(t/\tau_{\alpha})^{1-n}]$ , the Kohlrausch Stretched Exponential Function

In most glass-forming substances and systems, the slower structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation usually does not decay linear exponentially as a function of time. The departure is well approximated in most cases by the Kohlrausch's stretched exponential expression [2, 3]:

$$\varphi(t) = \exp[-(t/\tau_{\alpha})^{1-n_{\alpha}}]. \quad 0 \le n_{\alpha} < 1.$$
 (2.2)

There are other empirical functions given by Cole and Cole [217], Cole and Davidson [218], Havriliak and Negami [219], Dixon and Nagel [220], Bergman [221], Blochowicz [222], and others. Some of these may fit some raw experimental data better than the Kohlrausch function. However, one must be aware of the fact that some of these other forms have more parameters than the Kohlrausch function. Also, raw experimental data though principally coming from the  $\alpha$ -relaxation may nevertheless have contributions from other relaxation processes such as secondary  $\beta$ -relaxation at higher frequencies and unwanted conductivity relaxation at lower frequencies from charged impurities. As a result, if present, these extraneous relaxation processes make it difficult to know the actual contribution from the

 $\alpha$ -relaxation. Thus, better fits to the raw data by these other functions do not necessarily mean that they are more cogent representation of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation than the Kohlrausch (K) function. A good example is the Havriliak–Negami (HN) equation:

$$\varepsilon^*(\nu) = \Delta \varepsilon \frac{1}{\left(1 + (i2\pi\nu\tau)^{1-\alpha}\right)^{\gamma}},\tag{2.3}$$

where  $\nu$  is the frequency,  $\Delta \varepsilon$  the relaxation strength, and  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  two shape parameters, both less than unity. The one extra exponent of the HN equation may fit some data of non-polymeric glassformers over a wider frequency range than the Fourier transform of the K-function. But, the lure to a "better" fit with the exponents  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  chosen may make the limiting low-frequency dependence of  $\varepsilon''(\nu)$ , the imaginary part of  $\varepsilon^*(\nu)$ , not proportional to  $\nu$ . This consequence violates the requirement that genuine structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation must have  $\varepsilon''(\nu)$  proportional to  $\nu$  at low frequencies for non-polymeric glassformers. Some empirical function for the dispersion carries a specific interpretation of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. An example is the Dixon–Nagel function, which is now defunct because of violations by experiments found. The most probable or the average relaxation time determined from fits to the raw data will differ from one empirical form to another. However, in most cases the differences between these relaxation times are not large and their temperature dependences are very similar.

The Kohlrausch stretched exponential functions have some mathematical properties in probability theory that may make them more fundamental [223]. They are characteristic functions of the stable Lévy distributions, out of which the normal or Gaussian distribution is a special case. This property of the K-function has been exploited to make it more palatable to relaxation in complex systems [180] and Lévy flights [224, 225].

Any non-exponential time correlation function  $\phi(t)$  including the K-function can always be rewritten formally as a sum of linear exponentials,  $\phi(t) =$  $\sum_i g_i \exp(-t/\tau_i)$ , which may have led many in the past to interpret the dispersion of the structural α-relaxation as originating from superposition of exponential relaxation processes with different relaxation times  $\tau_i$  and weighted by  $g_i$ . Macroscopic mechanical and dielectric measurements can neither support nor refute this interpretation. Thus, this easy interpretation of dispersion of the structural relaxation has often been conveniently used to rationalize the dispersion, thus rendering dispersion inconsequential. This interpretation is, however, not correct in the light of results by microscopic probes of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. Multidimensional NMR experiments and other techniques showed in poly(vinyl acetate) and other non-polymeric glassformers [226–233] that the structural relaxation is not a static superposition of independent exponential relaxation processes. Instead the non-exponential time dependence is due to the presence of rapidly and slowly moving molecular units exchanging their roles at a time of the order of  $\tau$ . This complicated process is often referred to as dynamically heterogeneous and was anticipated conceptually in the light of the coupling model (CM) [234] in a year before the first publication of experimental evidence by Schmidt-Rohr and Spiess [226]. More recent multidimensional

NMR experiments by Sillescu et al. [235] have shown in the molecular glassformers studied that molecular reorientation in the heterogeneous  $\alpha$ -relaxation occurs by relatively small jump angles, just like some secondary relaxations that have important connection with the  $\alpha$ -relaxation to be discussed later in Section 2.3 on secondary relaxations.

The Kohlrausch exponent,  $(1 - n_{\alpha}) \equiv \beta_{\alpha}$ , usually tends to increase with temperature, although the amount of change varies from one glassformer to another as illustrated by examples [236–239]. Hence, objective comparison of  $n_{\alpha}$  or  $\beta_{\alpha}$  between different glassformers should be made at the same specified relaxation time,  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , or at their glass transition temperatures. Next, if one wants to discover any systematic trend that  $n_{\alpha}$  may have, it is important to restrict the comparison to glassformers belonging to the same family. If not, then the widely different chemical bonds and physical structures of the glassformers examined may obscure the trend of  $n_{\alpha}$ . Some examples of trends are given in the following paragraphs.

- (i) Glycerol, threitol, xylitol, and sorbitol are hydrogen-bonded glassformers of the same family of polyhydric alcohols. The only difference between them is the number of carbon atoms, which is three for glycerol, four for threitol, five for xylitol, and six for sorbitol. The values of  $n_{\alpha}(T_g)$  deduced from dielectric relaxation measurements [237-240] by the Kohlrausch-Williams-Watts (KWW) fits [195] systematically increase with the number of carbon atoms. The value of  $n_{\alpha}$  is 0.29 for glycerol, 0.36 for threitol, 0.46 for xylitol, and 0.52 for sorbitol. Qualitatively, a molecule with more carbon atoms is expected to have larger intermolecular constraints to its motion by other molecules, simply due to the increased number of carbon atoms and intermolecular potentials. Thus, the observed trend suggests intermolecular constraint or coupling is responsible for it. Dielectric relaxation measurements were performed on propylene glycol and oligomers having different number N of repeat units (N=2, 3, and 69) [241]. The  $\alpha$ -relaxation in all these glassformers has the Kohlrausch–Williams–Watts (KWW) form, with  $n_{\alpha}$  which increases with increasing N. For propylene glycol (N = 1),  $n_{\alpha} = 0.28$ ; dipropylene glycol (N=2),  $n_{\alpha}=0.33$ ; tri-propylene glycol (N=3),  $n_{\alpha}=0.37$ ; and poly(propylene glycol) (N=69 and molecular weight=4000 g/mol),  $n_{\alpha} = 0.37$ . As the number N of carbon atoms bonded together in the molecule increases, there is corresponding increase of intermolecular constraint or coupling. The observed trend of increase of  $n_{\alpha}$  with N is attributed to the increase of intermolecular constraint or coupling. Similar increase of  $n_{\alpha}$  with the molecular weight of the oligomers of diglycidylether of bisphenol A (DGEBA) can be seen by comparing the dielectric loss data of a sample with molecular weight of 380 g/mol [242] with that having molecular weight of 1750 g/mol [243]. Compared at the same value of  $\tau_{\alpha} = 1$  s, the lower molecular weight DGEBA has  $n_{\alpha} = 0.47$ , smaller than the value of about 0.63 for the higher molecular weight sample.
- (ii) Amorphous polymers of different chemical structures in general have different values of  $n_{\alpha}(T_g)$ . Correlation between  $n_{\alpha}(T_g)$  and the capacity for

intermolecular coupling can be inferred by comparing the chemical structures of closely related polymers [244]. Polyisobutylene (PIB) and polystyrene (PS) are two extensively studied amorphous polymers. The value of  $n_{\alpha}$  is 0.45 for PIB [208-210] and in the range of 0.63-0.65 for PS from light scattering [85, 245, 246] and shear creep measurements [247, 248], and 0.67 from novel NMR technique [226]. Both have two carbon backbone atoms in the repeat unit. PIB has two methyl groups attached to one of the carbon atoms, but PS has one hydrogen atom and a bulky phenyl ring attached to the corresponding carbon. The chemical structure of PIB is compact and symmetric. By contrast, PS has a bulky and rigid phenyl ring attached to the backbone, making it less flexible than PIB as reflected by a much higher  $T_{\rm g}$ . The differences in structure and flexibility suggest larger intermolecular constraint or coupling in PS than PIB, which again correlates with their difference in  $n_{\alpha}(T_{g})$ . Aromatic backbone polymers such as bisphenol A-polycarbonate, polysulfone, poly(aryl ether ether ketone) [249–251] have bulky rings in the backbone and as expected they have much larger  $n_{\alpha}(T_{g})$ than polymers with carbon atoms in the backbone but without bulky rings attached. 1,2-Polybutadiene (1,2-PBD,  $n_{\alpha}(T_g) = 0.60$ ) differs from 1,4polybutadiene (1,4-PBD,  $n_{\alpha}(T_g) = 0.50$ ) by having the unit with rigid carbon double bond sticking out of the polymer backbone instead of located on it. Isotactic poly(methylmethacrylate) (iPMMA) has the side chains all on one side while syndiotactic PMMA has them alternating on both sides. Intuitively we may expect the repeat units in syndiotactic PMMA to be intermingled to a higher degree, to experience higher constraints and hence have a larger nthan in isotactic PMMA, as found experimentally by dielectric spectroscopic measurements [252].

Small molecular glassformers that are formed with rigid rings like 1,2diphenylbenzene (OTP,  $n_{\alpha}(T_g) = 0.50$ ) is expected to have higher intermolecular coupling than the more flexible linear chain molecules like 3bromopentane (3BP,  $n_{\alpha}(T_g) = 0.30$ ) [253], and in fact the former has larger  $n_{\alpha}(T_g)$  than the latter. In all cases, increase of constraints between the relaxing units by changing the chemical structure invariably leads to a larger*n*, a smaller Kohlrausch exponent, or a broader dispersion. We shall see later that change in *n* correlates or governs the corresponding changes in dynamic properties of many classes of glassformers.

(iii) The motions of colloidal particles [141–143] and polymer-micronetwork colloidal particles [254] suspended at high concentrations in a liquid offer a clear example of the increase of  $n_{\alpha}$  with increasing volume fraction  $\phi$  of the particles or decreasing average distance between particles, and hence increasing particle–particle interaction. The particles are nearly monodisperse with a mean radius of about 10<sup>2</sup> nm. In contrast to molecular systems, the diffusion of the large colloidal particles occurs at macroscopic times longer than a  $\mu$ s. Dynamic light scattering was used to measure the normalized intensity autocorrelation function  $g_2(q, t) = \langle I(q, 0)I(q, t) \rangle / \langle I(q, 0) \rangle^2$  from 10<sup>-6</sup> to 10<sup>3</sup> s, where q is the scattering wave vector. As discussed in Section 1.3.2, the

difference,  $g_2(q, t) - 1$ , is  $\langle \rho(q, 0)\rho(q, t) \rangle / \langle \rho(q, 0) \rangle^2$  or the intermediate scattering function f(q, t) for diffusion of the colloidal particles within the single scattering and the Gaussian approximation. At long times, f(q, t)follows the time dependence of  $\exp[-(t/\tau_s)^{1-n}]$ . Information on dynamics of the particles is contained in f(q, t). Although the colloidal suspensions are athermal systems and differ in several respects from glass-forming liquids, the light-scattering data readily show that the dispersion of f(q, t) is broader in suspensions with higher volume fraction  $\phi$  of the particles and stronger inter-particle interaction. In terms of the Kohlrausch function, the value of the exponent *n* is equal to 0.35 for  $\phi = 0.465$  and increases monotonically with increasing  $\phi$  [143].

Confocal microscopy was also used to directly observe three-dimensional dynamics of particles in colloidal suspensions [141]. The ensemble-averaged mean-squared displacement (MSD),  $<\Delta r^2(t) >$ , was determined as a function of time in the range from 10 to  $10^5$  s for different volume fractions  $\phi$  in the supercooled liquid state from 0.46 to 0.57, and in the glassy states when  $\phi = 0.60$  and 0.61. Since the displacement of a single particle is a Gaussian variable known to be reasonably accurate for colloidal suspensions in their equilibrium fluid states [255], the relation  $f(q, t) = \exp[-2q^2 < \Delta r^2(t) > /6]$  holds. From this relation and Fig. 1, the increase of dispersion of f(q, t) increasing with volume fraction follows from that of  $<\Delta r^2(t) >$ , all reflecting the increase of inter-particle interaction.

(iv) As already been mentioned, the comparison of  $n_{\alpha}$  of glassformers with different kinds of chemical bonding and different basic structural units can lead to ambiguous conclusions. This situation is clear for someone who



**Fig. 1** One-dimensional mean-squared displacement  $\langle x^2 \rangle$  for several volume fractions  $\phi$  of colloidal particles obtained by three-dimensional direct imaging by Weeks et al. [141]. *Open symbols* for  $0.46 \leq \phi \leq 0.56$  are in the supercooled liquid state. The *vertical arrow* indicates 500 s, the time a typical particle takes to shift position and leave the cage for the case of  $\phi = 0.56$ . The *line* has slope =1.0. *Closed symbols* are glasses for  $\phi = 0.60$  and 0.61. Reproduced from [141] by permission

approaches the problem by molecular dynamics simulation [256]. The first order of business is to construct a realistic potential for the glassformer. For 1,2-diphenylbenzene (*o*-terphenyl or OTP), it is the potential based on that of Lennard-Jones [257] proposed by Lewis and Wahnström [258], while for silica it is the very different BKS model potential [259] used by Horbach et al. [260] and by Sciortino et al. [261]. For sodium silicate melts the potential used [262] by Horbach et al. [263] is also different. These three different potentials yield different  $n_{\alpha}(\tau_{\alpha})$  at the same  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , comparable  $n_{\alpha}(\tau_{\alpha})$  for OTP and Na silicates, and small for silica. However, because these potentials are drastically different in quality and quantity, there is no sensible way to interpret the comparison of the observed values of  $n_{\alpha}(\tau_{\alpha})$ .

The way may become clearer if the potentials are obtained by varying a parameter or parameters systematically, as has been done recently for the binary Lennard-Jones particles by controlled changes of the potential, V(r), and performance of the molecular dynamics simulations by Bordat et al. [264]. Earlier, Kob and Andersen [265] had used the standard LJ potential

$$V(r) = \frac{E_0}{(q-p)} [p(r_0/r)^q - q(r_0/r)^p]$$
(2.4)

with q=12 and p=6 to simulate the binary Lennard-Jones system consisting of two kinds of particles A and B. The parameters  $r_0$  and  $E_0$  represent the position of the minimum of the well and its depth, respectively. These two parameters of the LJ potentials for interaction between particles A and A, A and B, and B and B are all different in the Kob–Andersen model, and these features of the model ensure the system does not crystallize to form a glass on cooling.

For the purpose of systematic investigation of the change of dynamics with controlled change of V(r), two other models were constructed by changing only the exponents, q and p, of the LJ potential in Eq. (2.4) for the A–A interactions. They are (q = 8, p = 5) and (q = 12, p = 11) and these new LJ potentials are shown together with the standard (q = 12, p = 6) LJ potential in Fig. 2. The well–depth and the location of the minimum of V(r) are the same for all three potentials, and the standard (q = 12, p = 6) LJ potentials of the Kob–Andersen model for the A–B and B–B interactions were kept, in order to retain the glass-forming ability of the Kob–Andersen model.

It can be seen by inspection of Fig. 2 that the (q = 12, p = 11) LJ potential is more harmonic than the classical (q = 12, p = 6) LJ potential, while the (q = 8, p = 5) LJ potential is a flat well and exceedingly anharmonic. Anharmonicity was not defined using the usual prefactor of the cubic term of a Taylor expansion of the potential close to its minimum. Rather, anharmonicity was defined as the width of the well at V(r) = -0.5 in order to take into account the global shape of the potential and its implication on the longer range interaction between the A particles allowed by each potential. The



**Fig. 2** Potential *V*(*r*) governing the A–A interaction of three binary LJ particles models. Model I (q = 12, p = 11): dashed curve, model II (q = 12, p = 6): solid curve, and model III (q = 8, p = 5): dotted curve. The inset shows the radial distribution function g(r) of species *A* at  $T = 1.02T_{\text{ref}}$  for the three models (see text for the definition of  $T_{\text{ref}}$ ). Reproduced from [264] by permission

models using the (q = 12, p = 11), (q = 12, p = 6), and (q = 8, p = 5) potentials were referred to, in order of increasing anharmonicity, as models I, II and III, respectively.

Molecular dynamics simulation was carried out for the three models in the binary LJ system with a total of 1500 uncharged particles (1200 species A and 300 species B). The self-intermediate scattering function,  $F_s(Q_0, t)$  (for definition, see Eq. (1.114)), for the A particles was calculated from the simulation data for  $Q_0 = 2\pi/r_0$  and presented in Fig. 3. Here  $r_0$  is the position of the maximum of the first peak of the static A–A pair correlation function,  $g_{AA}(r)$ , shown in Fig. 2.



**Fig. 3** Self-intermediate scattering function  $F_s(Q_0, t)$  vs. scaled time  $t/\tau_A$ . Dashed, solid, and dotted lines are for models I, II, and III, respectively. For all three models,  $\tau_A(T_{ref}) = 46435.8$ . The *inset* shows the stretched exponent  $\beta = (1 - n)$  as a function of the scaled reciprocal temperature  $T_{ref}/T$  for the three models: ( $\blacklozenge$ ) model I, ( $\bullet$ ) model II, and ( $\blacksquare$ ) model III. For definitions of  $\tau_A$  and  $\beta$ , see text. Reproduced from [264] by permission

At high temperatures,  $F_s(Q_0, t)$  decays linear exponentially to zero with a characteristic time of about 0.45 in LJ units of time, which is close to crossover time  $t_c \approx 1 - 2$  used as a fundamental time in the coupling model [190]. When temperature is lowered, the dynamics slows down dramatically and a two-step decay process appears in  $F_s(Q_0, t)$ . The decay in the first step is due to relaxation of particles A while confined by the fluctuating cages. The decay of  $F_s(Q_0, t)$  in the second step at each of the lower temperatures T was fit to the Kohlrausch function, Eq. (2.2). From the fits, the relaxation times,  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , and the stretched exponents,  $\beta \equiv (1-n_{\alpha})$ , of particles A were determined for a number of temperatures in models I, II, and III. A very long relaxation time from the simulation,  $\tau_{\alpha,\text{ref}}$  equal to 46435.8 (LJ units), was chosen to compare  $n_{\alpha}(\tau_{\alpha,\text{ref}})$ of the three models at the same  $\tau_{\alpha,ref}$ . A more comprehensive comparison of  $n_{\alpha}$  was made by first determining the temperature,  $T_{\rm ref}$ , at which  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_{\rm ref}) \equiv$  $\tau_{\alpha,\text{ref}} = 46435.8$  for each model, and plot  $\beta \equiv (1 - n_{\alpha}(T))$  from all three models against  $T_{ref}/T$  as shown in Fig. 3. The values of  $T_{ref}$  are 0.688, 0.431, and 0.263 (in LJ units) for models I, II, and III, respectively. It can be seen that with increasing anharmonicity (in going from models I to II and to III) not only does  $\beta(\tau_{\alpha,\text{ref}})$  decrease or  $n_{\alpha}(\tau_{\alpha,\text{ref}})$  increase at  $T_{\text{ref}}/T = 1$  and  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_{\text{ref}}) = \tau_{\alpha,\text{ref}}$ , but also  $\beta(T_{\rm ref}/T)$  decreases or  $n_{\alpha}(T_{\rm ref}/T)$  increases at the same  $T_{\rm ref}/T$  for all  $T_{\rm ref}/T$ .

In going from models I to III through II, the inset of Fig. 2 shows that the first peak of  $g_{AA}(r)$  becomes broader and extends to smaller pair separation distance values, and hence the A-particles can come closer together and the interactions between them are enhanced. Since  $n_{\alpha}(\tau_{\alpha,ref})$  also increases in the same order of the models, the simulation results support the correlation between  $n_{\alpha}(\tau_{\alpha,ref})$  and the effects that inter-particle interaction have on slowing down the relaxation. We shall return in later sections to discuss several dynamic properties of the A-particles obtained by Bordat et al. from the three models that correlate with  $n_{\alpha}(\tau_{\alpha,ref})$ . Taken together, these correlations strongly indicate that the non-exponentiality parameter  $n_{\alpha}$  is an indicator of the strength of intermolecular coupling, and it controls the dynamic properties of relaxation in the binary LJ glassformers.

(v) In the same glassformer, different time correlation functions of the same dynamic variable or different dynamic variables relating to the structural relaxation may all be well described by Kohlrausch functions, but the parameters  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $n_{\alpha}$  may be different. There are many examples of this behavior. Moynihan et al. [266] had reported the observation of such differences in using enthalpy, light scattering, and refractive index to monitor structural relaxation of the same glassformer, although for enthalpy relaxation the result was obtained not directly but through a model-dependent analysis. Directly obtained results of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $n_{\alpha}$  from isothermal photon correlation spectroscopy, dielectric relaxation, and mechanical relaxation experiments of several glassformers had found they were different [267–270]. Molecular dynamics simulations can easily obtain different correlation functions including  $C_1(t)$  and  $C_2(t)$  of the first- and second-order Legendre polynomials  $P_1[\cos\theta(t)]$  and  $P_2[\cos\theta(t)]$  of

the rotation angle  $\theta$  given by Eqs. (1.101) and (1.102), and the self- or incoherent intermediate scattering function,  $F_s(\vec{k}, t)$ , in Eq. (1.114). From  $F_s(\vec{k}, t)$ , we can obtain the mean-squared displacement  $\langle |\vec{r}(t)|^2 \rangle$ , and the self-diffusion coefficient  $D_t$  from the Green–Kubo relation given in Section 1.3.5. The results of several simulations of polymeric [271–273] and non-polymeric [274, 275] glassformers all show different  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $n_{\alpha}$  for the different correlation functions. Conventional theories usually have no explanation for these observations.

The differences in  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $n_{\alpha}$  for different correlation functions can be attributed to intermolecular coupling. The origin is based on the reasoning that different dynamic variables *i* weigh the intermolecular coupling differently, and hence the slowing down (related to  $\tau_{\alpha}$ ) and the stretching (related to  $n_{\alpha}$ ) of their correlation functions by the many-body dynamics are not the same. It is possible that the correlations  $C_{\alpha\beta}(t)$  given by Eq. (1.100) of the off-diagonal components of the stress tensor  $\sigma_{\alpha\beta} = (3u_{\alpha}u_{\beta} - \delta_{\alpha\beta})/2$  can be more susceptible to influence by intermolecular coupling than the correlation function  $C_1(t)$  for the rotation of a certain part of a molecule, although both are related to the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation. Consequently, mechanical relaxation which measures  $C_{\alpha\beta}(t)$  may be more stretched (a larger  $n_{\alpha}$ ) and have a longer  $\tau_{\alpha}$  than dielectric relaxation which comes from  $C_1(t)$ .

One obvious difference between center-of-mass diffusion and stress relaxation or rotation is that the former involves the correlation of the center of mass (one point), while rotation is determined by the time correlation of the transition dipole of the molecule, which is a vector, and stress relaxation involves a tensor quantity. The dipole vector and the stress tensor are defined by two or more points in space than self-diffusion. Hence, one can expect intermolecular constraints to have stronger slowing effect on rotation or stress relaxation than the translational motion of the center of mass [268]. This intuitive deduction can be justified on a firmer ground from the theoretical consideration of constraint entropy [181–183, 276] based on the Dirac constraint dynamics [277]. In this formulation, the dynamics under constraints is governed by the timedependent constraint entropy, which is  $S_{c,t}$  for translational diffusion and  $S_{c,r}$ for rotation. In order that the constraint entropies  $S_{c,t}$  and  $S_{c,r}$  all lead to the Kohlrausch forms for the correlation functions, they necessarily have the time dependences given by  $S_{c,t} = S_{max} - \kappa n_t \ln(\omega_c t)$  and  $S_{c,r} = S_{max} - \kappa n_r \ln(\omega_c t)$ , respectively. Here  $S_{\text{max}}$ ,  $\kappa$ , and  $\omega_{c}$  are constants. From the fact that there are more dynamic constraints imposed on motion when considering rotation of a vector or stress relaxation of a tensor than the translation of the center of mass, we have  $S_{c,r} < S_{c,t}$ , from which it follows that  $n_t < n_r$ . Similarly, we have  $n_t < n_n$ . Such rationalization is not sufficient to convincingly support the differences in  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $n_{\alpha}$  for different correlation functions originating from intermolecular coupling in many-body relaxation. We need additional prediction or predictions of different nature from any theory/model and confirmation by experiment before this feature of the structural relaxation can be attributed to many-body relaxation. Such additional prediction

has been provided by the coupling model to be presented and discussed in later sections.

- (vi) Bonn and Kegel [278] studied the frequency dependence of the diffusion coefficient and viscosity of concentrated hard-sphere colloidal suspensions. On comparing the frequency dependence of  $1/\eta(\omega)$  with that of  $D(\omega)$  in Fig. 2 of Bonn and Kegel, it is clear that the frequency dispersion of  $1/\eta(\omega)$  is broader than that of  $D(\omega)$ . Thus, for the colloidal hard spheres we have experimental evidence to support that, if  $1/\eta(\omega)$  and  $D(\omega)$  were fit to the Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function,  $n_{\rm D}$  would be smaller than  $n_{\eta}$ , just like molecular glassformers. Expressing all quantities in reduced units by Bonn and Kegel, the frequency-dependent Stokes-Einstein relation (1.48) takes the form of  $\eta(\omega) = 1/D(\omega)$ . In the low-frequency or long-time regime, significant deviations from this relation were observed. The values of the inverse viscosity are significantly smaller than the values of the diffusion coefficients, and the Stokes-Einstein relation is violated, which will be revisited in a section where the breakdown of Stokes-Einstein and Debye-Stokes-Einstein relations in various systems are discussed in detail and explained by the coupling model.
- (vii) The differences in  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $n_{\alpha}$  for different correlation functions for structural relaxation in glass-forming liquids and colloidal suspensions were found in entirely dissimilar processes in other systems. Although this subject belongs to Chapter 3, it is worthwhile to cite an example here. The example is the ionic motion in glassy ionic conductors, which can be probed by measurement of the frequency-dependent conductivity  $\sigma(\omega)$  or by spin-lattice relaxation (SLR) rate of the diffusing ion nucleus,  $T_1^{-1}(T)$ , as a function of temperature at constant Larmor frequency in nuclear magnetic resonance. The frequency-dependent conductivity,  $\sigma(\omega)$ , is related to the Fourier transform of the current–current correlation function (see Eq. (1.130)) and is given by

$$\sigma(\omega) = (e/kTV) \int_0^\infty \langle J_z(0)J_z(t)\rangle \exp(i\omega t)dt, \qquad (2.5)$$

where  $J_z$  is the sum of the *z*-component of the velocity of the ions, with total number equal to *N* and each carrying charge *e*. On the other hand, the SLR rate,  $T_1^{-1}(T)$ , is given in terms of another correlation function,

$$C_{\text{SLR}}(t) = (1/N) \sum_{i \neq j} \langle F_{ij}^{(q)}(t) F_{ij}^{(q)}(0) \rangle, \qquad (2.6)$$

where  $F_{ij}^{(q)}(t) = (q\sqrt{8\pi/15})Y_2^q(\Omega_{ij}(t))/r_{ij}^3$ ,  $Y_2^q$  is the spherical harmonics with q=1 or 2,  $r_{ij}$  is the distance between two ions *i* and *j*, and  $\Omega_{ij}$  is the spherical coordinates of the vector  $\mathbf{r}_{ij}$  [92]. The  $(r_{ij})^{-3}$  factor in the SLR correlation function accentuates the contribution to the spin-lattice relaxation rate at shorter distances, where the ion–ion interaction is larger. Consequently, the effects of ion–ion interactions are stronger for SLR than  $\sigma(\omega)$ .

Experiments were able to determine from the  $T_1^{-1}(T)$  data the Kohlrausch correlation function for SLR [279–286]

$$C_{\text{SLR}}(t) = \exp(-(t/\tau_{\text{SLR}}(T))^{1-n_{\text{SLR}}}), \qquad (2.7a)$$

and, from the  $\sigma(\omega)$  data, the Kohlrausch conductivity relaxation correlation function

$$C_{\sigma}(t) = \exp(-(t/\tau_{\sigma}(T))^{1-n_{\sigma}}).$$
(2.7b)

For several different glassy ionic conductors [147, 279–289] and a crystalline ionic conductor [290], the general experimental finding [291–295] is that

$$n_{\rm SLR} > n_{\sigma}. \tag{2.8}$$

This general result supports once more that *n* (appearing in the exponent of the Kohlrausch correlation function) is a measure of the effect that many-body interaction has on relaxation. In the present case, they are  $n_{\sigma}$  and  $n_{SLR}$ , and their difference is determined by the stronger effect of inter-ion coupling on SLR than conductivity relaxation. Also from experiments, the SLR relaxation time,  $\tau_{SLR}(T)$ , is much longer than the conductivity relaxation time,  $\tau_{\sigma}(T)$  and has a larger activation energy (see Chapter 3 for details). These accompanying experimental facts on the relation between  $\tau_{SLR}(T)$  and  $\tau_{\sigma}(T)$  turn out to be consequences of the relation between  $n_{\sigma}$  and  $n_{SLR}$  given by the inequality (2.8) in the context of the coupling model [291–294] and will be discussed in a later section devoted to ion dynamics.

Before we leave this section, some words of caution are in order for the readers when considering the width of the dispersion of the structural relaxation in certain situations. Let us start with the polymers in the family of poly(*n*-alkyl methacrylates) [296]. The members of the family have the same backbone but differ only in the length of the alkyl side chain measured here by the number C of carbons in the chain. The shortest is in poly(methyl methacrylate) with C=1 (PMMA). Higher members like poly(n-hexyl methacrylate) has C=6, poly(n-decyl methacrylate) has C=10, and poly(*n*-lauryl methacrylate) has C=12. In higher members of the poly(*n*alkyl methacrylates) starting from C=4, the alkyl groups from different side chains aggregate in the melt and form self-assembled alkyl nanodomains of sizes in the range 0.5-2 nm, where a larger size corresponds to a larger C [297, 298]. Randomly arranged in space, the alkyl nanodomains create concentration fluctuations or heterogeneous spatial environments seen by the polymer backbone repeat units. The consequence for poly(n-alkyl methacrylates) with C>4 is extra broadening of the dispersion of the structural relaxation beyond that caused by the many-body relaxation. This extraneous broadening may even modify the frequency dispersion to the extent that the observed result cannot be reconciled with the Fourier transform of any of the Kohlrausch functions. Similar examples are mixtures of two glassformers where concentration fluctuations additionally broaden the dispersion of the structural relaxation of either component. A more subtle case occurs in the glassformer bis-5-hydroxypentylphthalate that has two dipoles moving more or less

independently of each other and contributing to the observed dielectric spectrum attributed to the structural relaxation [299]. In all the situations discussed above, if not recognized, the presence of the extraneous broadening may confound the connection of the width of dispersion to many-body relaxation.

The deviation from single exponential (referred to from now on as nonexponential) of structural relaxation function  $\phi(t)$  was widely recognized since the beginning of research on glass transition. The prevalent rationalization of this property is by the superposition of independent and exponential relaxations with different relaxation times  $\tau_i$  out of a distribution with weights  $g_i$ , i.e.,  $\phi(t) =$  $\sum_{i} g_i \exp(-t/\tau_i)$ . Some models attribute it to the physical presence of regions with different relaxation times, e.g., the environmental relaxation model of Simmons and Macedo [300], the density fluctuation model of Robertson [301], or the cooperatively rearranging region model of Donth [302]. This simple hypothesis was reasonable at earlier times when there was absence of microscopic investigations on the relaxation to understand the true nature of the structural relaxation and was widely used to rationalize the non-exponential property and to trivialize its fundamental importance unfortunately. It is still used by others for convenience at the present times as the basis of the Kohlrausch function [266, 303, 304]. One can argue any of the above correlations of the width of the dispersion or *n* with various changes is due to an increase in the width of the distribution of relaxation times  $\tau_i$ . However, microscopic information on the structural relaxation now available by modern experimental techniques can be used to rule out this hypothesis. An example is the momentum transfer dependence in neutron and light scattering, which will be discussed later. Furthermore, the most probable  $\tau$  and the width of the dispersion from the hypothesis,  $\phi(t) = \sum_{i} g_i \exp(-t/\tau_i)$ , are independent of each other. Experiments using variable combinations of pressure and temperature show that these two quantities are related, as will be shown in Section 2.2.4. Also worth pointing out is that some still write the correlation function as  $\phi(t) = \sum_{i} g_i \exp(-t/\tau_i)$ , while having accepted the fact that the structural relaxation is dynamically heterogeneous. The two may not be compatible.

Other models proposed an inherently non-exponential mechanism, e.g., the defect diffusion model of Glarum [305] and the fractal time version of it [306]. These models are not consistent with microscopic data either because all units relax uniformly with time and contradict the dynamic heterogeneous character found by experiments as pointed out in [235]; the subject will be further discussed in the next section.

# **2.2.1.1** Crossover of Correlation Function from $\exp(-t/\tau_0)$ to $\exp[-(t/\tau)^{1-n}]$ at $t_c$ , a Temperature-Insensitive Time

#### Neutron Scattering

The first direct experimental evidence of the incoherent intermediate scattering function (i.e., the self-correlation function) associated with local segmental relaxation,  $F_{\rm rel}(Q, t)$ , crossing over from  $\exp(-t/\tau_0)$  to  $\exp[-(t/\tau)^{1-n}]$  at a temperatureinsensitive and scattering vector Q-independent time,  $t_c$ , came from quasielastic

neutron scattering experiments in the polymer polyvinylchloride (PVC), in 1993 [307], and refinements later [308] by Colmenero et al. These authors modified a procedure of Kiebel et al. [309] who assumed that the intermediate scattering function F(Q, t) measured for OTP is the product of a vibrational contribution  $F_{vib}(Q, t)$  and a relaxational contribution  $F_{rel}(Q, t)$ . The former was obtained by scaling a spectrum measured at low T, where there is negligible relaxation to the temperature of interest by the ratio of Bose–Einstein and Debye–Waller factors.  $F_{rel}(Q, t)$  was then obtained by dividing F(Q, t) by the scaled  $F_{vib}(Q, t)$ . Actually, the data of OTP obtained by Kiebel et al. already indicate the presence of a faster relaxation preceding the Kohlrausch relaxation at about 1-2 ps, same as that found by Colmenero et al. The study of Colmenero et al. [307] was followed by similar measurements on polyisoprene and polybutadiene, by Zorn et al. [310], polyisobutylene [311], and more recently in other polymers, poly(ethylene oxide), either pure or in blends with poly(methyl methacrylate) by García Sakai et al. [312], and the same conclusion was made. The magnitude of  $t_c$  found is about 1–2 ps for all these polymers. As examples, the data of pure PEO and hPEO in mixtures with dPMMA are reproduced in Figs. 4 and 5 to show the crossover. The time dependence of the faster relaxation is consistent with  $\exp(-t/\tau_0)$ . This identification is particularly clear at high temperatures where F(Q, t) decays principally via  $\exp(-t/\tau_0)$  at times before  $t_c$ , and the rest after  $t_c$  by a Kohlrausch-like function, and  $\tau_0$  is of the order of picoseconds. In some of the neutron scattering studies [307, 308, 310, 312, 313, 314],  $\tau_0$  is found to have the signatures of independent motion, which include its  $Q^{-2}$  dependence of normal diffusion and its activation energy at higher temperatures being close to the conformation energy barrier of rotation of monomers in a single chain for polymers. At lower temperatures, the vibrational part is not the only other contribution present in the spectra. There is a nearly constant loss appearing in the frequency dependence of the susceptibility spectrum, which is the loss while molecules are confined within cages defined by the anharmonic intermolecular potential of other molecules or atomic units at times before escaping to execute relaxation. This complication makes it harder and sometimes impossible to detect the fast relaxation



**Fig. 4** Effect of composition on the self-intermediate scattering function of neat PEO and hPEO in blends with dPMMA at (**a**) Q=0.89 Å<sup>-1</sup> and (**b**) Q=2.51 Å<sup>-1</sup>. The temperatures of the measurements are 343 K (100% PEO), 345 K (10 and 30% PEO), and 348 K (20% PEO). Data of García Sakai et al. Reproduced from [312] by permission



Fig. 5 Combined DCS and HFBS scattering decay curves for hPEO in dPMMA at 308 K. Seven spatial scales are shown. *Lines* represent a KWW fit with parameters falling within the error bars determined using the DCS data alone. The disk chopper time-of-flight spectrometer (DCS) was operated at an incident wavelength of 4.2 Å and an energy resolution of 81.6  $\mu$ eV. A dynamic range of  $\pm 20 \ \mu$ eV was used for the high-flux backscattering spectrometer (HFBS), with an energy resolution of 0.87  $\mu$ eV. Reproduced from [312] by permission

at low temperatures where  $\tau_0$  is long. The subject of caged relaxation and nearly constant loss will be discussed later.

#### Molecular Dynamics Simulations

Furthermore, molecular dynamics simulations of different molecular systems with realistic Lennard-Jones intermolecular potentials invariably show that the correlation function has a faster and more rapidly decaying part at times shorter than  $t_c \approx 1$  or 2 ps and crosses over to the slower part having stretched exponential (Kohlrausch) time dependence. Examples include molecular dynamics simulations of the dynamics of (i) *ortho*-terphenyl by Lewis and Wahnström [258, 315] and analysis of the data [187, 316, 317]; (ii) methanol [318, 319]; (iii) the rotational degrees of freedom in a supercooled system composed of rigid, diatomic molecules [320]; (iv) the standard glass-forming binary mixture of Lennard-Jones particles [321, 322] and variations with different anharmonicity of the interaction potential [264]; (v) orientational degrees of freedom in an equimolar mixture of ellipsoids of revolution and spheres [274]; (vi) biatomic molecular liquid [323]; (vii) local segmental relaxation of polyisoprene [324] and several polyolefins, namely poly(ethylene propylene), poly(ethylene butene), isotactic polypropylene, and head-to-head polypropylene [325]; and (viii) difluorotetrachloroethane (CFCl<sub>2</sub>–CFCl<sub>2</sub>) glassy crystal [326].

The results of the molecular dynamics simulation of OTP by Lewis and Wahnström are reproduced in Fig. 6. Shown are the incoherent part  $F_s(q, t)$  of the intermediate scattering function as function of  $\log(t)$  for the site–site correlations (corresponding to neutrons scattering off the centers of the different benzene rings). The change of concavity of  $F_s(q, t)$  from concave to convex in a neighborhood near 1–2 ps can be seen at higher temperatures, 318 and 400 K, and can be



taken as evidence of crossover. When temperature is lowered, the relaxation times of both the fast primitive (exponential) and the slow cooperative (Kohlrausch) processes become significantly longer, and caged dynamics appear before them. Over much of the time range,  $F_s(q, t)$  is dominated by the motion of molecules confined within anharmonic cages, giving rise to the very gradual and plateau-like decay. This obscures the crossover at  $t_c = 1 - 2$  ps, and it can no longer be easily discerned. Instead it looks more like a two-step decay of  $F_s(q, t)$ , which has led others [321, 322, 326] to analyze it using the idealized mode coupling theory [31, 32]. The above discussion applies to molecular dynamics simulation of binary mixture of Lennard-Jones particles. For this system, the crossover in the neighborhood of  $t_c = 1$  (LJ unit) can be seen from the time dependence of the intermediate scattering function of the A particles in Fig. 20 of [321] and in Fig. 1 of [322].

Pakula and coworkers [327, 3283] introduced the microscopic simulation method with parallel algorithm which takes into account coincidences of elementary molecular movements resulting in local cooperative structural transformations. The efficient method, known as the dynamic lattice liquid (DLL) model, was used to obtain static and dynamic properties of different interacting systems on a lattice, which include simple liquids, linear polymers, multi-arm star polymers, and microgels. Here we show the results for the dynamics of solvent in polymer solution systems with various linear polymer chain lengths (N) in the range from N = 2 to N=32 and different concentrations of polymers ( $\phi$ ) in the range from  $\phi = 0.05$  to  $\phi = 0.95$ . The mean-squared displacement of solvent beads  $\langle r^2(t) \rangle$  vs. time for the solutions with polymer chains of length N=32 at various polymer concentrations are shown in Fig. 7. The proportionality,  $\langle r^2(t) \rangle = D_S t$ , at short times correspond to primitive diffusion with exponential correlation function. The crossover of  $< r^2(t) >$  from linear t-dependence to fractional power law  $< r^2(t) > \propto t^{1-n}$ in the neighborhood of  $t_c$  is clear for solutions with higher polymer concentrations due to enhanced interaction/constraint by the less mobile polymer. The combined  $\langle r^2(t) \rangle \propto t^{1-n}$  and the eventual return of  $\langle r^2(t) \rangle$  to another linear



*t*-dependence,  $\langle r^2(t) \rangle = D_{\rm L}t$ , can be derived from the Kohlrausch stretch exponential correlation function for diffusion [329].

The crossover from  $\langle r^2(t) \rangle = D_S t$  to  $\langle r^2(t) \rangle \propto t^{1-n}$  at  $t_c \approx 1$  ps was found in the molecular dynamics simulation of several polyolefins. This can seen from Fig. 7 in [325], if only the data of  $\langle r^2(t) \rangle$  at times not much shorter than 1 ps are considered. The data of  $\langle r^2(t) \rangle$  at much shorter times may come from the ballistic motion.

#### **Optical Kerr Effect Experiments**

Optically heterodyne-detected optical Kerr effect (OHD-OKE) experiments can probe in a broad time range from sub-picoseconds to about 30 ns the orientational dynamics of glassformers such as salol [330], dibutylphthalate [331], benzophenone [332], and ionic organic liquids, *N*-propyl-3-methylpyridinium *bis*(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide (PMPIm) and 1-ethyl-3-methylpimidazolium tosylate (EMImTOS) [333]. At times from sub-ps to a few ps, a faster and more rapid decay of the OHD-OKE signal was seen. Although occurring in a small range, this decay can be distinguished from the shortest timescale part of the OHD-OKE signal, which has oscillations arising from intramolecular modes [330]. It is represented in one paper [333] by a power law  $at^{-s}$  with *s* increasing with decreasing temperature from 2.3 to 4.3. This fast process was identified by the authors as the so-called fast  $\beta$ -process of mode coupling theory (MCT) [31], but the exponent *s* of the superlinear power law is much larger than allowed by the idealized MCT after having accounted for the fact that the OHD-OKE signal *F*(*t*) measures the derivative of the correlation function. Instead, appearing only in a narrow range of time, it may as well be the fast  $\exp(-t/\tau_0)$  decay particularly at higher temperatures and shorter  $\tau_0$ , where it cannot be distinguished from the power law  $at^{-s}$  with large *s* appearing in the assumed form of the function  $F(t) = (at^{-s} + pt^{-z} + dt^{b-1}) \exp(-t/\tau_{\alpha})$  used to fit the data. The term  $pt^{-z}$  with  $z \approx 1$  is the time-domain equivalent of the nearly constant loss observed in susceptibility spectrum, and the term  $dt^{b-1}$  is the von Schweidler power law in MCT, and the product  $dt^{b-1} \exp(-t/\tau_{\alpha})$  may be considered to account for the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. Further discussion of the nearly constant loss (NCL) will be given later.

#### Conductivity Relaxation of the Molten Salt 0.4Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-0.6KNO<sub>3</sub> (CKN)

CKN is an ion-containing material which has the structural relaxation time given by the measured shear relaxation time  $< \tau_s >$  being nearly the same as the ionic conductivity relaxation time  $\langle \tau_{\sigma} \rangle$  at high temperatures where these relaxation times become short [55]. This condition ensures that one can study the fast dynamics of structural relaxation by making ionic conductivity relaxation measurements. Conductivity relaxation measurements of CKN were made by Cramer et al. [334, 335] at frequencies below and above  $10^{11}$  Hz (corresponding to about 2 ps) and into the far infrared region, where the vibrational contribution is evident as absorption peaks. It was established phenomenologically in *glasses* [336] that the vibrational contribution to  $\sigma(\omega)$  extends down to low frequencies with a  $\omega^2$ -dependence. A similar frequency dependence of  $\sigma(\omega)$  is found in CKN melt at high temperatures. These facts suggest the removal of the vibrational contribution from  $\sigma(\omega)$  at lower frequencies by subtracting off the  $\omega^2$ -dependent contribution extrapolated from the data at high frequencies. The difference at the highest temperature of measurements is solely coming from the conductivity relaxation contribution from ion diffusion,  $\sigma_{ion}(\omega)$ , and as shown in Fig. 8 taken from [335] it exhibits the crossover at some frequency between  $10^{11}$  and  $10^{12}$  Hz which corresponds to  $t_c$  of the order of 1 ps.

#### Dynamic Light Scattering in Colloidal Suspensions

Mention is made before in Section 2.2.1 (iii) of dynamic light-scattering measurements of the intermediate scattering function  $f(q, t) = \langle \rho(q, 0)\rho(q, t) \rangle / \langle \rho(q, 0) \rangle^2$  for diffusion of the colloidal particles of PMMA with a mean radius of about 200 nm (size polydispersity  $\sigma = 0.05$ .) and volume fraction  $\phi$  from 0.4 to 0.57 suspended in a liquid. The Brownian motions of colloidal particles suspended at higher concentrations in a liquid constitute another problem of relaxations in a many-body interacting system that bear some resemblance to the motions of atoms and molecules in a liquid. In contrast to molecular systems, the diffusion of the large colloidal particles occurs at macroscopic times longer than a  $\mu$ s and there is no vibrational contribution to complicate the analysis of the diffusional dynamics. This advantage of colloidal particles has enabled a direct observation of the crossover in dynamics proposed by the CM. For the colloidal suspension with  $\phi = 0.465$  [143], Fig. 9 shows mean-squared displacement,  $\Delta r^2(t)$ , having the linear *t*-dependence



**Fig. 8** (a) Conductivity spectra  $\sigma(v)$  of 0.4Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>–0.6KNO<sub>3</sub> including the  $v^{-2}$ -dependence of the low-frequency flank of the vibrational contribution (*on the right*), and the VFTH temperature dependence of  $\sigma_{dc}$  on the left. (b) Conductivity spectra from ions only  $\sigma_{ion}(v)$  after the vibrational contribution has been subtracted off  $\sigma(v)$ , showing the crossover to the high-frequency (frequency-independent) ion conductivity  $\sigma_{hf}$ . Symbol size indicates experimental error. The temperature dependence of  $\sigma_{hf}$  is Arrhenius

for t < 3 ms, and changing to a fractional power law proportional to  $t^{1-n}$  for 6 ms < t < 0.1 s. This is consistent with the intermediate scattering function f(q, t) crossing over from exponential to the stretched exponential in the neighborhood of  $t_c \approx 4$  ms because  $f(q, t) = \exp[-2q^2 < \Delta r^2(t) > /6]$ . For  $t < t_c \approx 4$  ms, when rewriting f(q, t) as  $\exp(-t/\tau_f)$ , it is clear that  $\tau_f$  has the  $q^{-2}$ -dependence of normal Brownian motion. The return of  $\Delta r^2(t)$  back to the linear *t*-dependence for t > 0.2 s is the natural consequence of diffusion even with the correlation function being a stretched exponential function [329]. The  $\Delta r^2(t)$  data of colloidal particles with  $\phi = 0.465$  are exact analogue of that of dynamic lattice liquid (DLL) shown



before in Fig. 7. At higher concentrations, caged dynamics intervene and obscure the crossover near  $t_c$ .

Suspensions of hard-sphere colloidal particles can be prepared to have shortrange attraction between the particles by the introduction of non-adsorbing linear polymer which induces a depletion attraction between the particles. Light-scattering study of the structure and dynamics of glassy states of these suspensions have been made by Pham et al. [337] to provide detailed information. For the present purpose, we only mention that the intermediate scattering function (dynamics structure factor) f(q, t) at short times shows single particle diffusion consistent with the time dependence of  $\exp(-t/\tau_0)$ .

Dynamic Light Scattering in Aqueous Suspension of Laponite

Similar crossover of the intermediate scattering function from exponential to stretched exponential was found by dynamic light scattering in aqueous colloidal suspension of Laponite, a synthetic clay composed of discs with diameter=25 nm and thickness=1 nm that are not monodisperse [338–345]. At short times, the time dependence of f(q, t) was found to be  $\exp(-t/\tau_f)$ , with  $\tau_f$  having the  $q^{-2}$ -dependence normal Brownian motion [338], and thus it corresponds to the primitive diffusion in the CM. At longer times, f(q, t) follows the time dependence of  $\exp[-(t/\tau_s)^{1-n}]$ . In practice, f(q, t) was fitted by the sum  $A \exp(-t/\tau_f) + (1 - A) \exp[-(t/\tau_s)^{1-n}]$ . Although this looks like the two-step decay of mode coupling theory (MCT), the faster relaxation is an exponential function rather than a power law as predicted by MCT.

# 2.2.1.2 Crossover of Temperature Dependence of Viscosity at High Temperatures

As mentioned in the previous subsection, at high temperatures where neutron scattering measurements of polymers observe the fast  $\exp(-t/\tau_0)$  decay and Arrhenius

temperature dependence of  $\tau_0$ , the activation energy is close to the conformation energy barrier of the polymer [313, 314, 317]. Thus, the crossover to  $\exp(-t/\tau_0)$ can also be detected by measuring either the viscosity  $\eta$  or the structural relaxation time as a function of temperature to reach high temperatures, where the structural relaxation time  $\tau_{\eta}$  is of the order of picoseconds or shorter, and observing the crossover of their *T*-dependence from the VFTH law to the Arrhenius law at some high temperatures. If  $t_c$  is of the order of 2 ps, the crossover in *T*-dependence of  $\eta$  should occur near the temperature at which  $\tau_{\eta} \approx 2$  ps or  $\eta$  calculated from the Maxwell relation,  $\eta = G_{\infty}\tau_{\eta}$ , where  $\langle \tau_{\eta} \rangle \approx 2$  ps and  $G_{\infty}$  is the high-frequency shear modulus typically of the order of  $10^{10}$  dyne/cm<sup>2</sup> or slightly less. Figure 10 shows the shear viscosity data of *ortho*-terphenyl (OTP), *bis*(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (DOP), polychlorinated biphenyl (Aroclor), and toluene in high-temperature and low-viscosity regime [346]. The corresponding open symbols stand for  $\log(\tau/s)$ from Fabry–Perot interferometry (FPI) experiments. The horizontal dotted line



Fig. 10 The viscosity  $\eta$  of tri-naphthyl benzene (TNB), *ortho*-terphenyl, Aroclor, dioctalphthalate (DOP), and toluene at high temperatures to show the change to Arrhenius temperature dependence when the relaxation times are less than about 2 ps (*dotted horizontal line*). The *solid lines* extrapolating the apparent Arrhenius temperature dependences to infinite temperature indicate the prefactor  $\tau_{\infty}$  has the physically reasonable value corresponding to angular attempt frequencies of the order of  $10^{-13.5}$  s for independent rotation of the molecules at high temperatures

indicates the viscosity calculated from the Maxwell relation  $\eta = G_{\infty}\tau_{\eta}$ , with  $< \tau_{\eta} >\approx 2$  ps and  $G_{\infty} \approx 10^{10}$  dyne/cm<sup>2</sup>. The value of  $G_{\infty}$  used here may be too high at these high temperatures where the glassformers are in the near fluid state. Evidence of the crossover at  $t_c \sim 2$  ps can be gleaned from the facts that (1)  $< \tau_{\eta} >$  assumes the Arrhenius temperature dependence  $\tau_{\infty} \exp(H/RT)$  for time shorter than  $< \tau_{\eta} > \sim 2$  ps, (2)  $\tau_{\infty}$  is about  $10^{-13.5}$  s, the reciprocal of which corresponds to a physically meaningful vibrational attempt frequency, and (3) *H* has the magnitude of a true barrier energy for a molecule of these van der Waals liquids.

In Fig. 11 and in the inset we show the viscosity,  $\eta$ , data of 1,3-*bis*(1-naphthyl)-5-(2-naphthyl)benzene (TNB) plotted against reciprocal temperature. The data are taken from three sets of measurements by Plazek, Magill, and Greet (see [347]) and shown by filled circles, open diamonds, and filled triangles separately. The two curves labeled VFTH(1) and VFTH(2) are the fits to the data for  $T < T_B$ and for  $T > T_B$ , respectively, by the Vogel–Fulcher–Tammann–Hesse empirical form. Note that the VFTH(2) curve cannot fit the data for  $T > T_A$ , which has a true Arrhenius temperature dependence (not shown). The main figure is the Stickel plot of  $\left[ d \log \eta / d (1/T) \right]^{-1/2}$  against 1000/*T* using the viscosity data in the inset. The derivative,  $\left[ d \log \eta / d (1/T) \right]$ , is approximated by the ratio of finite differences. The three straight lines are obtained from the Arrhenius fit and the two VFTH fits to the



**Fig. 11** The Stickel plot of  $[d \log \eta/d (1/T)]^{-1/2}$  against 1000/*T* of the viscosity data of TNB. The viscosity data shown in the *inset* also show an Arrhenius temperature dependence for  $T > T_A$ , and one Vogel–Fulcher–Tammann–Hesse equation VFTH(2) for  $T_A > T > T_B$ , and another VFTH(1) for  $T_B > T$ . Data from [347] are replotted

viscosity data in the three separate regions shown in the inset. The same symbols are used for the viscosity data and the  $\left[d \log \eta / d (1/T)\right]^{-1/2}$  calculated from the viscosity data sets by ratio of finite differences. The two crossover temperatures  $T_A$  and  $T_B$  are indicated in both the main figure and in the inset. VFTH fits to the viscosity data in the three separate regions are shown in the inset. Again at  $T_A$ , the viscosity is of the order of  $10^{-1.7}$  poise, which corresponds to a shear relaxation time of the order of ps.

At temperatures higher than  $T_A$  and  $\tau_\alpha$  of the order of ps, extrapolations of dielectric relaxation data taken at lower frequencies suggest the dispersion narrows to the extent consistent with correlation function having the  $\exp(-t/\tau_0)$  form [253]. The temperature dependence of  $\tau_\alpha$  has the Arrhenius form,  $\tau_\infty \exp(H/RT)$ , with the prefactor  $\tau_\infty \sim 10^{-12.8}$  s like that of a vibrational time and *H* between 1 and 2 kcal/mol. These numbers are indicative of primitive relaxation.

Similar crossover to Arrhenius *T*-dependence of  $\eta$  at the same order of magnitude as TNB as well as dielectric relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  at temperatures higher than  $T_A$  were found in butylbenzene, propylbenzene, and salol by Hansen et al. [348]. Some of these data will be shown later in Section 2.2.5.1 where the change of *T*-dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  will be discussed in detail.

## 2.2.1.3 A Relation Between Primitive Relaxation Time and Many-Body Relaxation Time Resulting from the Crossover at t<sub>c</sub> (the Coupling Model)

The Original Model Based on Universal Statistics of Energy Levels

The crossover of the correlation function from  $\exp(-t/\tau_0)$  to  $\exp[-(t/\tau)^{1-n}]$  at a temperature-insensitive time  $t_c$ , the magnitude of which is determined by the strength of the interaction, has been amply shown by experiments and molecular dynamics simulations in the previous two subsections (see also [190]). Actually, this property was pointed out in the first version of the coupling model (CM) [175– 179] on relaxation of interacting systems long before the experimental observations. In this very first version of the CM published in 1979 [175], the interacting system is semiclassically quantized and the energy levels distribution is described by Wigner's statistical theory [349, 350]. This theory of Wigner originated from his idea that the complex Hamiltonians of many-body interacting systems (in the original case by Wigner it is that of heavy atom nuclei such as uranium) could be approximated by a random Hamiltonian representing the probability distribution of individual Hamiltonians for the purpose of finding the energy levels. This idea was then further developed with advances in random matrix theory and statistics [350, 351]. For systems invariant under time reversal, it is given by the Gaussian orthogonal ensemble (GOE) in random matrix theory [350–353]. It makes sense to use GOE because it was shown in 1965 by Gorkov and Eliashberg [354] to apply to electronic energy levels of small (sounds like nanophysics) metallic particles, and variety of atomic, molecular, and nuclear systems [350–353]. In GOE, the distribution of level spacings E is given by the expression  $P(E/D) = (\pi/2)(E/D) \exp[-(\pi/4)(E/D)^2]$  of

Wigner, where D is the average spacing. It has the characteristic linear dependence of  $P(E) \propto E$  that can be traced back to be caused by energy level repulsions, and this dependence holds up to a high-energy cutoff at  $E_{\rm c}$ . The CM considers a primitive or one-body relaxation with constant rate  $W_0 \equiv (\tau_0)^{-1}$  and correlation function given by  $\exp(-t/\tau_0)$  starting at short times. Due to interactions, this one-body relaxation cannot continue indefinitely, and many-body relaxation eventually takes over. It is the response of the level structure to the primitive relaxation that is used to account for the many-body relaxation which necessarily slows down its primitive relaxation rate  $W_0$  [175]. Calculated by perturbation theory [175–179], the response coming from P(E) with the linear dependence on E slows down the relaxation rate  $W_0$  to have the time-dependent form of  $W(t) = W_0(\omega_c t)^{-n}$  and the correlation function to have the Kohlrausch form given by Eq. (1.1) or Eq. (2.2), but only for times longer than  $t_c \equiv (\omega_c)^{-1}$ . This is because the linear dependence of the level spacing distribution no longer holds for  $E > E_c$ , which corresponds to times shorter than  $t_c$ , the reciprocal of the frequency,  $\omega_c = E_c/\hbar$ , where  $\hbar$  is the Planck's constant. From this connection to  $E_c$ , it is clear that  $t_c$  decreases with strength of interaction, but is insensitive to temperature or pressure. The power, n, called the coupling parameter, is a fraction of unity which increases with the strength of interaction. Thus, the relaxation rate of interacting many-body systems is time dependent. It is the primitive relaxation rate

$$W(t) = W_0 \text{ for } t < t_c,$$
 (2.9a)

and the many-body relaxation rate,

$$W(t) = W_0(\omega_c t)^{-n} \tag{2.9b}$$

takes over when  $t > t_c$ . This crossover from the primitive to the many-body relaxation rate does not occur necessarily sharply at  $t_c$  but rather smoothly in a neighborhood of  $t_c$ , so that the correlation function and its derivatives are continuous across  $t_c$ . The factor,  $\exp[-(\pi/4)(E/D)^2]$ , in P(E) effects the transition between the two rates. Its width parameter D suggests that the width of the neighborhood is of the order of  $t_c$  itself, and hence narrow, if there is no other factor like polydispersity of relaxation units entering into the problem. Some of the experimental data discussed in Section 2.2.1.1 show that the crossover is quite sharp. In view of this and in the absence of reliable way to account quantitatively for the narrow crossover, the sharp crossover of the two relaxation rates at  $t_c$  is used to generate predictions. The correlation function  $\phi(t)$  obtained from the CM rate equation,  $\partial \phi(t)/\partial t = -W(t)\phi(t)$ , by integration is given by

$$\phi(t) = \exp(-t/\tau_0) \quad \text{for } t < t_c,$$
 (2.10)

where  $\tau_0 \equiv 1/W_0$ , and

$$\phi(t) = A \exp[-(t/\tau)^{1-n}] \quad \text{for } t > t_{\rm c}, \tag{2.11}$$

where

$$\tau = \left[ (1-n) (t_{\rm c})^{-n} \tau_0 \right]^{\frac{1}{1-n}}$$
(2.12)

and

$$A = \exp\{[n/(1-n)](t_c/\tau_0)\}.$$
(2.13)

The average time is  $\langle \tau \rangle = [\Gamma(1/\beta)/\beta]\tau$ , where  $\beta \equiv (1 - n)$  and  $\Gamma$  is the gamma function.

When  $\tau_0$  is much longer than  $t_c$ ,  $A \to 1$ , and requirement of continuity of  $\phi(t)$  at  $t_c$  leads to the relation

$$\tau = \left[ (t_{\rm c})^{-n} \, \tau_0 \right]^{\frac{1}{1-n}} \,. \tag{2.14}$$

There is no difference between Eqs. (2.12) and (2.14) when the CM is used merely to predict the relation between the dependence of the many-body relaxation time  $\tau$  with that of the primitive  $\tau_0$  on some variable U. For example if  $\tau_0$ has Arrhenius T-dependence with activation energy  $E_a$ , then both equations predict that the activation energy of  $\tau$  is given by  $E_a/(1 - n)$ . Quantitatively for the relation between  $\tau_0$  and  $\tau$ , the two expressions make some difference particularly when n becomes larger. In that case, Eq. (2.12) should be used when  $\tau_0$  is not much longer than  $t_c$  for the sake of accuracy, as demonstrated in comparing prediction [355] with molecular dynamics simulation data [356] where n has unusually large value. When using the prediction to deduce quantitatively  $\tau_0$  from the experimentally observed  $\tau$  with known values of n and  $t_c$ , Eqs. (2.12) and (2.14) lead, respectively, to  $\tau_0 = (t_c)^n (\tau)^{1-n}/(1-n)$  and  $\tau_0 = (t_c)^n (\tau)^{1-n}$ . The difference is a factor 2 for n = 0.5.

The significance of the CM equation (2.12) or (2.14) is that it makes a connection between the many-body relaxation time  $\tau$  usually endowed with anomalous properties and the primitive one-body relaxation, the properties of which are normal and known. Thus, the connection provides falsifiable explanation/predictions of the anomalous properties of  $\tau$  from the known or familiar properties of  $\tau_0$ . The connection is made via the Kohlrausch exponent n and the crossover time  $t_c$ . These two parameters of the many-body relaxation naturally are ultimately determined by the interaction and its strength. This is clearly so in the context of the CM because both quantities originate from interaction. Also this can be inferred from the dependence of the size of both quantities on the strength of interaction from many experimental examples given throughout this book, showing that n decreases and  $t_c$  becomes longer on weakening the interaction strength. Equation (2.12) or (2.14) coupled with the Kohlrausch function (2.11) of the CM spawns many predictions that can be tested by experiments and used to explain anomalous properties. Many such tests and applications are given in the sections to follow. Since it was derived for complex Hamiltonians in general, the predictions that form these equations should apply to relaxation and diffusion in interacting many-body systems of all kinds, and the dynamics of glass-forming systems in the glass transition problem is only a special case. Thus, ever since the inception of the CM in 1979, I have been the advocate of the existence of universal relaxation and diffusion properties of interacting many-body systems, and this belief has led to concurrent explorations of several fields using the three coupled equations (2.10), (2.11), and (2.12) or (2.14) as the tool [109–111, 113]. It must be borne in mind that these equations hold strictly for systems in which all relaxation/diffusing units are identical and monodisperse, and heterogeneity is not introduced by boundaries, randomness, mixing, etc. If extrinsic heterogeneity is present, these equations have to be modified by incorporating extraneous factors, and the test of applicability of the CM become less precise and direct, but nevertheless can be done.

The CM does not provide description of how many-body relaxation evolves with time in either space, configurational space, or phase space, except the exploitation of the crossover in some neighborhood of  $t_c$ . However, the CM has anticipated that relaxation in interacting many-body systems is dynamically heterogeneous, including the case of the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation in glass-forming liquids. The analogy to the heterogeneous process in the solution of the "dining philosophers problem" in computer science [357] was pointed out in the context of the CM [234] a year before the first experimental evidence of dynamic heterogeneity of structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation was published [226]. In the CM, dynamic heterogeneity and Kohlrausch non-exponentiality are regarded as parallel consequences of the cooperative many-body molecular dynamics, but the former is not emphasized in the applications of the CM. Description of the motions as a function of time is best obtained by special experimental techniques like confocal microscopy for colloidal suspensions [141] or by molecular dynamics simulation and specially designed computer simulation method such as the dynamic lattice liquid model [327, 328]. Such description is worthwhile as well as pleasing to acquire, but being able to describe motions as a function of time does not necessarily mean that it can explain the anomalous properties of  $\tau$ , while the CM equation can. It is this problem-solving capability of the CM equation that has attracted my attention and influenced my research activities ever since it was first derived in 1979 by the crude model. Before that, I had neither formal training nor experience in the various fields of relaxation and diffusion of classical systems including glass transition, polymer viscoelasticity, and ionically conducting materials. I thought the best way to enter these new fields without heavy investment in time and effort is to apply the CM predictions to some notable problems and see if that works. If the predictions were wrong, then it would be high time to abandon the CM and return to my principal occupation before 1979, which is research on electronic properties in condensed matter physics. It turned out that the initial applications of the CM predictions are not disappointing, and some are even surprisingly successful particularly in two areas, polymer diffusion/viscoelasticity [104, 172, 201, 202, 267, 358-361] and dynamics of ions in glassy ionic conductors [362]. Some of the early applications in the first half of the 1980s are summarized in a book chapter [111]. The initial successes lured me to educate myself in the sciences of the new fields and to look for more opportunities in applying the predictions to explain experimental results and established phenomenology. This effort has become my preoccupation and this comes at the

expense of not being able to spend sufficient time in making substantial improvement in the theory for a long time. Nevertheless there are some developments in the early years based on related physics including stable Lévy distributions [180(a)], Paley–Wiener criterion for relaxation functions [180(b)], and classical constraint dynamics [181–184].

#### Tracing the Key Result of the CM, $W(t) = W_0(\omega_c t)^{-n}$ , Back to R. Kohlrausch

In 1979 when I first published the CM [175], I was not aware of the works of R. Kohlrausch in 1854 [2] and his son F. Kohlrausch in 1863 and 1866 [3, 4], and not until 2 years later. This was acknowledged in reference 27 of [180(c)], where I cite the abstract of Struik from which I first found mention of the work of F. Kohlrausch's 1866 paper, and this led me thereafter to search for other works of Kohlrausch. One of the crucial results of the CM in my 1979 paper is the time-dependent relaxation rate,  $W(t) = W_0(t/t_c)^{-n}$ . Nowadays, to most workers in the field of relaxation, R. and F. Kohlrausch are known for their stretched exponential function. However, if one reads the 1854 paper by R. Kohlrausch, on page 198 he actually started with the time-dependent rate equation,  $d(pQ_t - r_t)/dt = -bt^m(pQ_t - r_t)$ , duplicated faithfully here. For the notations he used, m is a negative fraction of unity, b is a constant, and  $(pQ_t - r_t)$  is the relaxing quantity he considered. Integrating the differential equation from t = 0 to t = t and with  $Q_t(t = 0) = Q_0$  and  $r_t(t = 0) = 0$ , he then arrived at  $\log[(pQ_t - r_t)/pQ_0] = -[b/(m+1)]t^{m+1}$ . This is just the same as  $(pQ_t - r_t)/pQ_0 = \exp(-Bt^{m+1})$ , where B = b/(m+1). In other words, R. Kohlrausch derived the stretched exponential function from the time-dependent relaxation rate  $bt^m$ , with -1 < m < 0. Using his own data [2], he deduced m = -0.5744, and b = 0.4289. F. Kohlrausch [3, 4] wrote down a similar equation,  $dx/dt = -g \cdot x/t^n$ , before getting the stretched exponential function. Now it becomes clear that the primary result of the CM,  $W(t) = W_0(t/t_c)^{-n}$ , is exactly the same as time-dependent relaxation rate,  $bt^m$ , of R. Kohlrausch after putting m = -nand  $b = W_0(t_c)^n$ . From the rate equation,  $d\phi/dt = -W(t)\phi$ , and W(t) given by Eqs. (2.9a) and (2.9b), one can not only obtain the Kohlrausch function,  $\exp[-(t/\tau)^{1-n}]$ , but also the second relation  $\tau = [(1 - n)(t_c)^{-n}\tau_0]^{1/(1-n)}$ , where  $\tau_0 \equiv 1/W_0$ . This second relation introduces new physics and immensely enhances the applications of the Kohlrausch function beyond an empirical function to fit data. In the CM, n is indicator of the extent of the many-body relaxation. As will be shown in many sections to follow, the second relation can explain the experimentally observed anomalous and universal properties of  $\tau$  from the normal properties of the primitive relaxation time  $\tau_0$ . These important advances cannot be achieved without using the time-dependent relaxation rate,  $W(t) = W_0(t/t_c)^{-n}$ , originally proposed in terms of  $bt^m$  with -1 < m < 0 by R. Kohlrausch phenomenologically. Therefore, when paying homage to Kohlrausch, I propose that it is more appropriate to cite Kohlrausch's fractional-power time-dependent relaxation rate,  $bt^m$  with -1 < m < 0, instead of his already famous stretched exponential function, or at least together with it. The function follows as a consequence of the rate,  $bt^m$ , but not vice versa. Moreover, there can be different interpretations of the stretched exponential function, such as the more trivial one of distribution of linear exponentials due to randomness,

while the interpretation of the rate is more restrictive and possibly the consequence derivable from fundamental physics as discussed in the above and below.

Personally, I am flabbergasted to find in 1983 that one of the key results of the CM based on fundamental physics was already proposed by R. Kohlrausch back in 1854.

#### Coupling Model from Classical Chaos

In classical mechanics, it is well known that systems with anharmonic interactions universally exhibit classical non-linear dynamics (chaotic classical motion) [363]. In the 1980s, many publications show that classically chaotic systems when quantized have quantum energy spectra characterized by the universal statistics of random matrix eigenvalue ensembles [364–369]. Overwhelming experimental and numerical evidence supports this connection for systems as diverse as atomic nuclei, Rydberg atoms, and quantum billiards [350, 370]. The relation between classical non-linear dynamics and quantum energy spectra is natural because in the macroscopic size regime, laws of classical mechanics are expected to emerge in the semiclassical limit,  $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ . It suggests that the many-body relaxation stems from classical non-linear mechanics and from which one can find another way to derive the CM equations applicable to relaxation/diffusion in systems exhibiting classical chaos. The first attempt in this direction involves the use of the characteristic of classical chaos, which is the emergence of complexity on infinitely fine scales in classical phase space. The structure of the infinitely fine phase space was used as the basis of qualitative models to generate the Kohlrausch correlation function [184, 186]. Some residual order in phase space in terms of quasiperiodic motions (vague tori) was found to exist on a short timescale even in the chaotic regions of phase space for a large class of systems [371, 372]. The quasiperiodic motions are attributed to remnants of destroyed invariant tori in phase space This property ensures the relaxation/diffusion at short times is normal and its correlation function is given by the linear exponential of Eq. (2.10).

Starting from simple non-integrable Hamiltonian models that exhibit classical chaos, the results of the CM have been reproduced [186, 188, 189, 191, 192, 193]. The crux of the CM is the existence of a rather sharp crossover of the relaxation function from linear exponential to the Kohlrausch stretched exponential, and this has been found from the numerical solutions of the simple models. Moreover, stretching (or n) increases with increase in non-linearity or interaction strength of the Hamiltonian, consistent with the same expected heuristically from the CM on increasing the strength of coupling or constraints in glass-forming substances and other interacting systems.

Relaxation of Interacting Arrays of Phase-Coupled Oscillators

We [188, 192] start from an array of *N* oscillators. The phase  $\varphi_i(t)$  of the *i*th oscillator,  $1 \le i \le N$ , is coupled non-linearly by a sine function to the phases of the other oscillators and obey the equation of motion

#### 2.2 General Properties and Anomalies

$$\frac{d}{dt}\varphi_i = -\frac{K}{N}\sum_{j=1}^N \sin(\varphi_j - \varphi_i), \qquad (2.15)$$

with uniform interaction *K/N*. We are interested in the decay of the phase coherence *r*, which is the absolute value of the order parameter,  $r \exp(i\psi)$ , defined by

$$r = |re^{i\psi}| = |\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j} e^{i\varphi_{j}}|.$$
(2.16)

It has been shown that the decay of r for an array of phase-coupled oscillators is exponential [373], like the primitive relaxation of an isolated molecule in a solvent such as described by the Debye model. As an analogue of anharmonic potentials in interacting many-body systems, we consider now a number M (larger than one) of such arrays and couple these arrays non-linearly together, again by the sine function

$$\frac{K'}{MN} \sum_{\beta=1, \beta \neq \alpha}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sin(\phi_{j\beta} - \phi_{i\alpha}), \qquad (2.17)$$

where K'/MN is the inter-array interaction strength. The new equation of motion of the phase of the *i*th oscillator in the  $\alpha$ th array,  $1 \le \alpha \le M$ , is now given by

$$\phi'_{i\alpha} = \phi_{i\alpha} - \frac{K}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sin(\phi_{j\alpha} - \phi_{i\alpha}) + \frac{K'}{MN} \sum_{\beta=1, \beta\neq\alpha}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sin(\phi_{j\beta} - \phi_{i\alpha}).$$
(2.18)

The problem is simplified to a map by picking the appropriate time steps and rescaling the time, so that time *t* is now discrete and incremented by 1 after each iteration of the map. The effect of the interactions between the *M* arrays on *r* of each array is studied by considering the new map. The interacting arrays mimic an assembly of interacting molecules in a small molecule liquid. In the absence of interarray interaction (i.e., K' = 0), *r* of the non-interacting arrays of coupled oscillators decays exponentially to an incoherent state, i.e., r = 0, for K > 0, in analogy to the primitive Debye relaxation of isolated molecules in dilute solution. However, since the interacting arrays do not model translational or rotational motion, they cannot be identified exactly with the structural relaxation in a glass-forming liquid has to be much more complicated than the interacting arrays, and likely its dynamics cannot be solved exactly. In spite of its limitations, the interacting arrays of oscillators model have the advantage that their dynamics can be obtained readily, as shown below, and is an analogue of the relaxation of interacting many-body systems.

With arrays of random initial oscillator phases, we iterate the map in Eq. (2.18) to obtain the evolutions of the coupled arrays numerically. The evolutions of three (i.e., M=3) interacting arrays, each of N=32 oscillators initially with random phases, are obtained by iteration of the map defined by Eq. (2.18). From the results we calculate the decay of the phase coherence r(t) for each array. First for a fixed K we calculate r(t) for five values of K' = 0, 0.6, 0.8, 1.0, and 1.2. The results are shown in Fig. 12. The figure shows that with increasing inter-array coupling strength K', the



decay of r(t) becomes slower and its time dependence more non-exponential. The accompanying Fig. 13 is replotting the same results as  $\log_{10} \{-\log_{e}[r(t)]\}$  vs.  $\log_{10} t$ . At short times the slope is exactly 1, indicating that initially r(t) is an exponential function of time,  $\exp(-t/\tau_0)$ , in analogy to the primitive relaxation of the CM, Eq. (2.10). However, the linear exponential decay does not hold at longer times. There exists a crossover time  $t_c$  after which the slope becomes less than 1, and r(t)departs from the exp $(-t/\tau_0)$  time dependence. Actually, for  $t > t_c$ , the slope varies slightly with time but the result is still reasonably well approximated by a straight line having a constant slope equal to  $\beta \equiv (1 - n) \leq 1$ , indicating r(t) has crossed over to assume the stretched exponential time dependence, Eq. (2.11), of the CM. Naturally, Eq. (2.12) of the CM is satisfied. The systematic decrease of the long time slope  $\beta$  (see inset in Fig. 13) with increase of K'/K supports the intuitively reasonable surmise that n, the coupling parameter in the CM, increases with the mutual interaction strength of the relaxing units in real materials. We observe that there is a slight increase of  $t_c$  with decrease in the interaction strength, which is also consistent with real materials.

The interacting arrays of oscillators is a prototype non-linear Hamiltonian. We expect the crossover property to be general and carried over to other Hamiltonians that model more realistically the non-linear interaction potentials in a molecular liquid. To some extent, the results can be considered as a justification of the physical principle behind the CM for molecular systems.

#### Structural Relaxation Properties of Glassformers Captured

Next we study the change of the decay of r(t) on varying K at constant K'. As we shall see later in this section, K has the effect on r(t) like temperature in relaxation

Fig. 13 Plot of  $\log_{10}\{-\log_{e}[r(t)]\}$  vs.  $\log_{10} t$ obtained numerically for M = 3, K = -0.03, and K'/K = 0, 0.6, 0.8, and 1.0.The dashed lines are the exponential fits and the solid lines are the stretched exponential fits. Curves for K'/K = 0.0, 0.6, and 0.8 are shifted vertically by multiples of 0.5 to avoid overlapping of results in the figure. The crossover time  $t_c$  is indicated by the vertical arrows. The calculated r(t) conforms well to  $\exp(-t/\tau_0)$  for  $t < t_c$  and to  $\exp[(-t/\tau)^{\beta}]$  for  $t > t_{c}$ . The *inset* shows that the stretch exponent  $\beta$  decreases with increasing inter-array interaction strength



of real materials. The results as a function of *K* at constant *K'* mimic remarkably well the characteristics of the temperature dependence of structural relaxation properties of glass-forming substances, including the temperature dependence of the stretch exponent  $\beta$  and the relaxation time  $\tau$  of the Kohlrausch relaxation function,  $\exp[-(t/\tau)^{\beta}]$ . The results for increasing *K'* change progressively like corresponding quantities of structural relaxation in glassformers with increasing intermolecular coupling by changing the chemical structure (Fig. 14).

The values of  $\tau$  and (1-n) obtained as a function of *K* for several constant *K'* values equal to 0.030, 0.024, 0.018, 0.012, 0.006, and 0.000 are displayed in Fig. 15, which shows that  $\tau$  decreases monotonically with *K*. Since the analogue of *K'* is the intermolecular interaction strength and *K* has the effect like temperature in glass-forming liquids, the results r(t) are appropriately compared with the change of dielectric relaxation and dynamic light-scattering properties with temperature of the molecular liquids with different intermolecular interactions. For any *K'*, on decreasing *K*,  $\tau$  increases and the increase becomes increasingly more rapid. It is apparent also that the  $\log_{10}\tau$  rises more rapidly with decreasing *K* for a larger *K'*. The model results for K' = 0.030 (•), 0.018 (•), 0.012 ( $\Delta$ ), and 0.006 (•) resemble the four glassformers, *ortho*-terphenyl (OTP), salol, glycerol, and propylene glycol (PG), respectively (see inset in Fig. 15).

For each K', a cross-plot of (1 - n) vs.  $\log_{10} \tau$  (i.e., at the same K) is made. The relations between (1 - n) and  $\log_{10} \tau$  for several values of K' are shown Fig. 14 Log  $\tau$  calculated as a function of 1/*K* at various values of *K*': (•) *K*'=0.030, (□) 0.018, (△) 0.012, (▼) 0.006, and (\*) 0.00. The dependences of  $\tau$  on 1/*K* resemble the dependences of the structural relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  on 1/*T* for four glassformers, OTP, salol, glycerol, and PG shown in the *inset*. Reproduced from [188, 192] by permission



in Fig. 15. From this figure it is observed that the dependences of (1 - n) on  $\log_{10} \tau$  are similar, i.e., all showing with decreasing  $\log_{10} \tau$  monotonic increasing (1 - n), which eventually reach the maximum value of 1. However, at the same value of  $\log_{10} \tau$ , (1 - n) is larger for smaller K'. The limiting case K' = 0, corresponding to the absence of inter-array coupling, has (1 - n) = 1 (i.e., exponential relaxation) for any  $\log_{10} \tau$  or K. These properties of the model are shown to be similar to that found in the structural or  $\alpha$ -relaxation of small molecule glass-forming liquids with increasing temperature. For example, in the molecular liquids, OTP, salol, glycerol, and propylene glycol, the stretch exponent  $\beta_{\alpha}$  of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation correlation function,  $\exp[-(t/\tau_{\alpha})^{\hat{\beta}_{\alpha}}]$ , obtained by dielectric and lightscattering measurements increases toward unity as temperature increases and the effective  $\alpha$ -relaxation time,  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , decreases. This is shown in the inset of Fig. 15. There is a correlation between  $n_{\alpha}(T_g) \equiv [1 - \beta_{\alpha}(T_g)]$  and the  $T_g$ -scaled temperature dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . Here,  $T_{\rm g}$  is the glass temperature at which  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_{\rm g}) = 10^2$  s. The temperature dependence of  $\log_{10} \tau_{\alpha}$  of the four liquids, propylene glycol, glycerol, salol, and OTP, is mimicked by the K-dependence of  $\log_{10} \tau$  for increasing K' (see Fig. 14). Thus the results obtained from our model at various fixed values of the inter-array interaction strength K' reproduce the pattern of changes in relaxation properties of "strong," intermediate, and "fragile" glass-forming liquids



**Fig. 15** A cross-plot of (1-n) vs.  $\log_{10} \tau$  (i.e., for the same *K*). The results shown are for K' = 0.030 (•), 0.024 ( $\Box$ ), 0.018 ( $\diamond$ ), 0.012 ( $\Delta$ ), 0.006 ( $\mathbf{v}$ ), and 0.00 (\*). Similar dependences of  $\beta_{\alpha}$  on  $\log_{10} \tau_{\alpha}$  are shown for OTP (•), salol ( $\Delta$ ), glycerol ( $\Box$ ), and PG ( $\diamond$ ) in the *inset*. Reproduced from [188, 192] by permission

in the Oldekop–Laughlin–Uhlmann–Angell plot [215, 216, 374, 375]. Since K' is the analogue of intermolecular interaction in glassformers, the results obtained here indicate that the relaxation properties of glassformers within the same class are determined principally by the intermolecular coupling.

The Dissipative Fermi Map in a Stadium: The Fermi-Stadium Map

In 1949, Fermi introduced a theory of cosmic radiation in which cosmic rays originated and accelerated in the interstellar space of the galaxy by collision against moving magnetic fields [376–378]. The model of Fermi was adapted to describe transient chaos by simplifying it to a ball bouncing in one-dimensional motion between a fixed and a moving wall [379]. The latter oscillating sinusoidally with time in the same direction,  $x = a \cos(\omega t)$ , and elastically imparts momentum to the ball dependent on its velocity u and without the wall changing its position in space. The ball suffers a fraction loss  $\delta$  in velocity for every collision with the fixed



wall, and thus the Fermi map is dissipative. It is illustrated by Fig. 16, and *L* is the distance between the two walls. Tsang and Lieberman [377] considered the case where L/16a >> 1 and  $\delta << 1$  and calculated the evolution of the distribution in velocities. They found that almost all initial distributions in velocities  $f_0(u)$  evolve to the invariant one  $f(u) \sim \exp(-2\delta u^2)$ . Numerical computations for various values of L/16a were reported to be in agreement with such calculations. The evolution is determined by a Fokker–Planck equation. The average energy relaxes to the equilibrium energy *exponentially* in time. Thus the Fermi map offers a model of primitive or normal relaxation, and based on it we can introduce non-linearity and see how chaos modifies the primitive relaxation. This was done [189] by introducing some curvature to the elastic boundary at the two ends of the fixed dissipative wall as shown in Fig. 17. The motion of the ball is now two dimensional. The composite system is actually the combination of the Fermi map system and half a stadium,



**Fig. 17** (a) Two-dimensional Fermi-stadium map; (b) a curved corner of the added stadium portion. Reproduced from [189] by permission
appropriately called the Fermi-stadium map. The details of this map can be found in [189]. In the problem of a billiard ball idealized as a point reflected elastically from the boundary of a stadium without any loss of energy [368], it is well known that the motion of the ball is chaotic, following no regular pattern at all. Moreover, when the motion of the billiard in the stadium is quantized, the eigenvalue spacings have the Wigner distribution characteristic of a random Hamiltonian and GOE [364]. Hence, we can expect that chaos of the appropriate kind will appear in the Fermi-stadium map with a degree that is proportional to the curvature or the radius *R*. The relaxation of the average energy in the Fermi-stadium map, with parameters  $\delta = 0.01$  and M = L/16a = 100, for various values of R = 0.0, 0.02, 0.1, and 0.5. The normalized difference  $\Phi$  between the mean energy and the equilibrium energy is plotted against time t in Fig. 18 which shows the relaxation of  $\Phi$  is slowed down when R is non-zero and the degree of slowing down increases with R. Note that for R = 0 (the dashed line at the bottom), the map is reduced to the Fermi map and there is no slowing down of the relaxation. At t = 140, the calculated solid curve, corresponding to R = 0.02, begins to significantly deviate from the Fermi curve. The next curve above corresponds to R = 0.1, and it begins to deviate significantly from the Fermi curve starting at t = 120. For R = 0.5 since the change from the Fermi map is substantial, we rescale the curve horizontally so that it coincides for a significant portion with the Fermi curve. The rescaling is shown by the arrow in Fig. 18. At t = 85, the curve corresponding to R = 0.5 begins to deviate significantly from the Fermi curve. Thus, there exists a crossover time  $t_c$  (the magnitude of which depends on R), before which the Fermi-stadium curve decays exponentially like the Fermi curve, but thereafter its decay is slower than the Fermi curve. The existence of such a crossover time from primitive to complex relaxation dynamics is thus present in the Fermi-stadium map, a result which can be expected to have general validity in classical chaotic systems as anticipated by the CM. The crossover is made more explicit in Fig. 19 where we plot  $\log_{10}(-\log_{10} \Phi)$  against







 $\log_{10} t$ . After a crossover time  $t_c$  (which depends on *R*), the curves become nearly straight. The portion of the curves can be fitted to straight lines of slopes  $\beta = 1.0$ , 0.86, 0.8, and 0.78, respectively, for R = 0.0, 0.02, 0.1, and 0.5.

### Similar Results from Related Problems

There are studies of relaxation and diffusion in other non-linear Hamiltonian systems which also show slow decay well described by the Kohlrausch stretched exponential. Here we cite a few examples. This was found by Pettini and Landolfi in 1990 who showed the time evolution toward equipartition of energy in non-linear Hamiltonian systems with a large number of degrees of freedom. The systems studied include the Fermi–Pasta–Ulam (FPU)  $\beta$ -model [380] and the lattice  $\phi^4$  model. The Hamiltonian of the former is given by

$$H(p,q) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ p_i^2 / 2 + (q_{i+1} - q_i)^2 / 2 + \mu (q_{i+1} - q_i)^4 \right]$$
(2.19)

and latter by

$$H(p,q) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ p_i^2 / 2 + (q_{i+1} - q_i)^2 / 2 + mq_i^2 / 2 + \mu q_i^4 / 4 \right].$$
(2.20)

The two Hamiltonians would describe phonon dynamics in one-dimensional anharmonic lattice if the coordinates  $q_i$  are interpreted as deviations from the equilibrium positions of point masses. Tsironis and Aubry [381] and their coworkers [382] have studied relaxation properties of one- and two-dimensional non-linear lattices described by Hamiltonians with the non-linear potential given by the  $\phi^4$  potential and by the Morse potential. They found stretched exponential lattice energy relaxation in contrast to the standard exponential relaxation law of the corresponding linear system. The results were attributed to hierarchies of discrete breathers that relax with different time constants, leading to a hierarchy of relaxation

timescales in the system. Discrete breathers are intrinsic localized and time periodic lattice solutions that exist in non-linear lattice systems. For more on breathers, see review by Flach and Gorbach [383].

Kalosakas et al. [384] investigated the diffusive motion of a charge interacting with the non-linear dynamics of a thermalized underlying lattice. Signatures of anomalous diffusive properties are found at relatively high temperatures, where highly non-linear excitations are present. A sublinear diffusion and a plateau appear before the standard long-time diffusion during the evolution of the mean-squared displacement with time, and a significant degree of heterogeneity is exhibited among individual trajectories. This behavior of the mean-squared displacement is similar to that found in other interacting systems. The results are in contrast with those of the linearized case, in the absence of breathers, indicating that they are the effects caused by non-linearity of the Hamiltonian.

### Outlook

Hopefully, the results discussed above are sufficiently convincing and encouraging for others to pursue further and to take them to higher level and with mathematical rigor. As mentioned before, ever since the inception of the CM in 1979, the author has been preoccupied with applications of the CM predictions to experimental data in several fields. All theoretical efforts discussed above were made over short periods of time and with limited resource. Frankly, the theoretical skill of the author is overmatched by the difficulty of the problem of relaxation/diffusion in interacting many-body systems. Despite the meager theoretical support, the CM predictions have been remarkably successful in explaining experiments and phenomenology, as well as making predictions before experiments were performed and subsequently verified. These successes will be discussed in conjunction with the experimental findings throughout the rest of this book. I certainly agree that the problem is not solved until a rigorous physical-mathematical theory emerges that gives a full description of the motions at all times and also can explain the many properties, especially the anomalies found experimentally. For the latter, I submit that the three coupled equations (2.10), (2.11), and (2.12) have done a credible job, which the readers can judge for themselves from the contents of this book. The final solution of the problem we are waiting for has to match the multiple successes of these equations of the CM in applications to experimental data. On this connection between the final solution one is looking for in the future and the existing CM equations, it is perhaps appropriate to cite the philosopher, William of Occam, who wrote: "It is vain to do with more what can be done with less."

It is clear from the history of the CM given above that it has not attracted other theorists to contribute in making it a more complete theory, even though it has been applied successfully to many different fields. Thus, what is available in its theoretical development is limited, essentially that given by a one-man effort. In contrast, even in a subfield of relaxation and diffusion of complex systems, some theories have benefited from its development from participation and contribution of many able theorists. An example in polymer rheology is the reptation theory, and in glass transition it is the mode coupling theory. The materials in the sections in this chapter and the next will show how well the CM relations can explain the multitude of experimental data. The author hopes these will convince others that the CM has captured some fundamental physics of relaxation and diffusion in complex interacting systems in general, and it is a worthwhile starting point to build a rigorous theory.

# 2.2.2 Length Scale and Dynamic Heterogeneous Nature of the Structural Relaxation

When treating a relaxation process and particularly the structural relaxation in glass-forming liquids, naturally some length related to the motion is an important characteristic and has to be considered at some arbitrarily chosen value of  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , at any temperature, or at  $T_{g}$ .

### 2.2.2.1 Length Scale from the Free Volume Model

In the free volume model [385], the length is the size of the unoccupied (free) volume, which decreases with decrease in temperature, and can be measured by positronium annihilation lifetime spectroscopy (PALS) [386–390]. This length has no direct link to the length scale of dynamically heterogeneous dynamics or many-body relaxation dynamics because the free volume model does not have the concept of many-body relaxation. Nevertheless, correlation of the free volume measured by PALS with some outstanding characteristics of the observed dynamics, to be discussed in Section 2.2.5.1, was first found by Ngai et al. [387] in *ortho*-terphenyl and propylene carbonate, glycerol, and propylene glycol, and subsequently verified by others.

### 2.2.2.2 Length Scale from the Configuration Entropy Model

In the configurational entropy model of Adam and Gibbs [30], the size of the cooperative rearranging regions (CRR) offers a length scale of the structural relaxation. Kauzmann [391] pointed out that the extrapolated entropy of an equilibrium supercooled liquid may become less than that of a crystal and approach a negative value at 0 K. In order to avoid the paradox of Kauzmann, the entropy theory was developed by Gibbs and DiMarzio [392] originally for polymeric glassformers. The theory was extended to non-polymeric liquids by a phenomenological consideration by Adam and Gibbs (AG). They proposed that the rearrangements over energy barriers of molecular units must be cooperative involving altogether a number  $z^*$  of molecular units that necessarily increases with decreasing temperature. Several assumptions were made in the AG theory. The first one is that the transition of a CRR involves the  $z^*$  molecules simultaneously, and individually each molecule has to surmount the potential energy barriers,  $\Delta\mu$ , which is temperature independent. This assumption enabled AG to write the relaxation time,  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$ , and the corresponding exponential relaxation correlation function as

$$\tau_{\alpha}(T) = A_{\text{AG}} \exp(z^* \Delta \mu/RT) \text{ and } \varphi(t) = \exp(-t/\tau_{\alpha}(T)),$$
 (2.21)

where the preexponential term,  $A_{AG}$ , is a physically reasonable time that is largely determined by molecular vibrations of the liquid, and *R* is the gas constant. AG expressed  $z^*$  in terms of the molar configurational entropy  $S_c(T)$  of the macroscopic sample as

$$z^{*}(T) = N_{\rm A} s^{*}_{\rm c} / S_{\rm c}(T), \qquad (2.22)$$

Here,  $s_c^*$  is the configurational entropy of a single molecule and  $N_A$  is Avogadro's number. The value of  $s_c^*$  is determined experimentally by extrapolating the  $S_c(T)$  data to infinite temperature. Inserting this equation into the expression for the relaxation time, the result of the AG model is given by

$$\tau_{\alpha}(T) = A_{\rm AG} \exp[\Delta \mu s_{\rm c}^* / k_{\rm B} T S_{\rm c}(T)]. \qquad (2.23)$$

This equation has four unknown quantities,  $A_{AG}$ ,  $s_c^*$ ,  $\Delta \mu$ , and  $S_c$ , and some of these have not been determined by experiment. In practice, the combination  $s_c^* \Delta \mu / k_B$  in Eq. (2.23) is replaced by  $C_{AG}$ , which is treated as a temperature-independent constant of the glassformer, and the AG equation is rewritten as

$$\tau_{\alpha}(T) = A_{\rm AG} \exp[C_{\rm AG}/TS_{\rm c}(T)]. \qquad (2.24)$$

The AG equation was intended mainly to describe the super Arrhenius behavior of a liquid approaching  $T_g$ . Over some range of temperatures above  $T_g$ , the temperature dependence of  $\eta$  or  $\tau_{\alpha}$  of many liquids conforms to the Vogel–Fulcher– Tammann–Hesse (VFTH) equation [393–395]

$$\tau_{\alpha} = A_{\text{VFTH}} \exp\left[B/(T - T_0)\right]. \tag{2.25}$$

However, the preexponential factor,  $A_{VFTH}$ , is often found to be many orders of magnitudes shorter than the vibrational times [347, 348, 396–399], which is assumed for  $A_{AG}$ . This glaring discrepancy between  $A_{VFTH}$  and  $A_{AG}$  already has signaled the inadequacy of the AG theory. The critical entropy,  $s_c^*$ , in the AG equation supposedly given by  $k_B \ln 2 (= 0.9563 \times 10^{-23} \text{ J molecule}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1})$  is less than even the residual entropy per molecule in a glass at 0 K. Other limitations of the AG theory and the problems encountered in testing it against experimental data are best given in an article by Johari [400]. Since  $S_c$  is not known, the excess entropy of a liquid over that of its ordered crystal state,  $S_{exc}$ , is used instead of the required  $S_c$  in the AG equation. The validity of the AG equation can be tested by showing whether log  $\eta$  or log  $\tau_{\alpha}$  bears a linear relation with  $(TS_{exc})^{-1}$  or not over a temperature range down to  $T_g$ . This procedure to examine the validity of the AG equation

was used by Magill [396] in 1967 and by Laughlin and Uhlmann [375] in 1972. For the three liquids, including salol and *ortho*-terphenyl, whose viscosity they had carefully measured over a range of about 14 decades covering a wide temperature range down to  $T_g$ , they found the plots of log  $\eta$  against  $(TS_{exc})^{-1}$  were non-linear and concluded that the AG equation is not valid for those liquids. The approximately linear relation between logarithm of the dielectric relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $(TS_{\rm exc})^{-1}$ found at lower temperatures invariably breaks down at higher temperatures [398, 401]. In spite of the aforementioned problems of the AG model, the predicted linear relation between log  $\eta$  or log  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $(TS_{exc})^{-1}$  has continued to be the main point used to test and support the validity of the AG theory [398]. Others found that the AG model extended to include pressure dependence is consistent with experimental data [399, 402], while an extended free volume model for the same purpose failed [403]. Even if in some cases the AG equation prediction is consistent with the experimentally observed temperature/pressure dependence of  $\eta$  or  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , the latter is only one among many notable properties and anomalies of glassformers. One must confront the AG theory with the other anomalous properties before any conclusion can be made on its ultimate validity. It is true that the AG theory does not have any immediate prediction other than the temperature or pressure dependence of  $\eta$  or  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . But this shortcoming of the AG theory does not mean we can use it as an excuse and accept the theory without reservation.

The relaxation process of a CRR envisaged by AG is so much simplified that it is unlikely to represent the actual many-body relaxation. Equation (2.22) for  $z^*(T)$  indicates that it is determined exclusively by configurational entropy, a thermodynamic quantity. The dispersion of the structural relaxation in the AG model is a linear exponential. All these features of the AG model indicate that the length scale,  $L_{AG}(T)$ , associated with  $z^*(T)$  is unrelated to the many-body relaxation dynamics of the structural relaxation.

Actually there is no way to test directly the entropy theory of AG simply because  $S_{\text{conf}}$  values are not available. Instead, studies which had tested the entropy theory and found it to be valid had used the excess entropy of a liquid over that of its ordered crystal state,  $S_{\text{exc}}$ , instead of the required  $S_c$ . This practice has assumed that the non-configurational part of a viscous liquid's entropy is equal to the entropy of its ordered crystal,  $S_{\text{crys}}$ , over the range of temperatures being studied. However, it was known as early as the Goldstein's analysis of entropy change in glasses below  $T_g$  that this is a poor approximation in many cases [404]. This study together with the amplification by Johari [405] has shown that the configurational part of the excess entropy  $S_{\text{exc}}$  may be as small as 40% of  $S_{\text{exc}}$  at  $T_g$ , and there is no obvious pattern for different kinds of liquids. This assumption together with other assumptions often used in applying the AG model predictions was proven incorrect by Johari in a series of papers [405–413].

The non-configurational part of a viscous liquid's entropy is the sum of the vibrational and anharmonic force contributions,  $S_{vib}$ , and any secondary relaxation contribution, and cannot be equated to  $S_{crys}$ . Thus, in general  $S_c \neq S_{exc}$ . However, if  $S_c$  were proportional to  $S_{exc}$  over the relevant temperature range, Eq. (2.24) is replaced by  $\eta(T) = \eta_0 \exp[C_{AG}/TS_{exc}(T)]$ , with  $S_{exc}$  taking the place of  $S_{conf}$ , and

the AG theory can still be tested directly against experiment. The assumption that  $S_c$  is proportional to  $S_{exc}$  was made [414] and the new equation used. This proportionality assumption has been questioned [409, 413] and shown quantitatively incorrect for 8 out of 10 standard glassformers [415]. Thus, in general  $S_c$  is not proportional to  $S_{exc}$ . Other tests of the relations based upon the Adam–Gibbs equation (2.23) were made by using newly obtained data of  $S_{exc}$  and heat capacity in some cases [416–419]. These studies have concluded that the AG entropy theory may not be valid.

Despite the difficulty in determining  $S_c$  by experiment, it can be estimated by subtracting  $S_{\rm vib}$ , which is the sum of both harmonic phonons and anharmonic forces contributions, from the experimentally determined entropy. Yamamuro et al. [420] have calculated  $S_{\rm vib}$  by using the lattice, the librational, and the internal modes in the Debye equation for  $C_V$  and then corrected the values for the volume change. Their estimate of  $S_c$  is more complete, but still approximate. The values of  $z^*(T)$  were obtained by Eq. (2.22) with the approximate  $S_c$  calculated from the heat capacity measured by adiabatic calorimetry.  $z^*(T)$  was determined from some high temperature down to below  $T_g$  for many glass-forming molecular liquids [420]. The glassformers include butyronitrile, isopropylbenzene, 1-propanol, 3-bromopentane, salol, 1-butene, ethylbenzene, 3-methylpentane, 2-methyltetrahydrofuran, propylene carbonate, 1-pentene, toluene, and *ortho*-terphenyl (Fig. 20). At  $T = T_g$ , the values of  $z^*(T_g)$  fall within the range 3.5  $< z^*(T_g) < 7.0$ . Hence the length scale  $L_{AG}(T_g)$  of AG from pure thermodynamic consideration is not large, and apparently significantly smaller than the length scale  $\xi_{het}$  determined from the heterogeneous nature of the dynamics by solid-state NMR experiments [226, 227, 421, 422] to be discussed in detail in a subsection to follow. The reported values of  $\xi_{het}$  [422] are  $\xi_{\text{het}} = 3.7 \pm 1 \text{ nm at } T = T_{\text{g}} + 9 \text{ K for poly(vinyl acetate) (PVAc)}; \xi_{\text{het}} = 2.2 - 2.9 \text{ nm}$ at  $T = T_g + 9$  K for 1,2-diphenylbenzene (*ortho*-terphenyl); and  $\xi_{het} = 1.3$  nm at



 $T = T_g + 10$  K,  $\xi_{het} = 1.1$  nm at  $T = T_g + 14$  K, and  $\xi_{het} = 1.0$  nm at  $T = T_g + 18$  K for 1,2,3-propanetriol (glycerol). Since the length scale is expected to increase with decreasing temperature, the values of  $\xi_{het}$  at  $T_g$  would be even larger. Putting more faith in the length scale determined directly by NMR, the much smaller  $L_{AG}(T_g)$  determined from  $S_c$  using the AG theory presents a problem.

The disparity can be explained as follows.  $S_c(T)$  is a pure thermodynamic quantity and hence the AG theory at best can only account for the effect of configurational entropy on the relaxation rate, but not the effect from the heterogeneous manybody relaxation dynamics and its length scale, while the NMR measurement can. To explain the disparity, the consideration must include both effects. This was carried out by using the CM to account for the many-body dynamics as follows [401]. It is clear from the relation between  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and the primitive relaxation time  $\tau_0$  from Eq. (2.14) that the configurational entropy dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  implies the same for  $\tau_0$  and vice versa. Chronologically,  $S_c(T)$  actually first enters into the dynamics by making  $\tau_0$  to have the temperature dependence given by

$$\tau_0(T) = A_0 \exp[B_0/TS_c(T)].$$
(2.26)

This dependence of  $\tau_0$  on configurational entropy can be rationalized by the same reasoning as in the original AG theory. From the CM relation (2.14), it follows that the dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$  on  $S_c(T)$  is given by [401]

$$\tau_{\alpha}(T) = [t_{c}^{-n(T)}\tau_{0}(T)]^{1/(1-n(T))} = \{t_{c}^{-n(T)}A_{0}\exp[B_{0}/TS_{c}(T)]\}^{1/(1-n(T))}$$

$$\propto \exp[B_{0}/T\hat{S}_{c}(T)],$$
(2.27)

where

$$S_{\rm c}(T) = [1 - n(T)]S_{\rm c}(T).$$
 (2.28)

 $\hat{S}_{c}(T)$  is smaller than  $S_{c}(T)$ , but  $z^{*}(T)$  calculated from it by the CM-modified AG relation

$$z^{*}(T) = N_{\rm A} s_{\rm c}^{*} / \tilde{S}_{\rm c}(T)$$
(2.29)

would be larger than that from Eq. (2.22) of the original AG model by the factor  $(1 - n)^{-1}$ , which helps to reconcile the disparity of length scales determined from the thermodynamic data and by NMR. Experimental evidence will be given later in Section 2.3.2, particularly Sections 2.3.2.19, 2.3.2.29, and 2.3.2.30 thereof, to support that  $\tau_0$  already depends on entropy and volume.

There is one problem in applying the original AG theory mentioned before which can be resolved by the modification discussed above. The problem is the deviation from the linear relation between  $\log \tau_{\alpha}(T)$  and  $1/[TS_{c}(T)]$  predicted by the Adam-Gibbs equation (2.24) and found in many glassformers [396-398, 401]. This problem does not appear in plotting log  $\tau_0(T)$  against  $1/[TS_c(T)]$  [401], where  $\tau_0(T)$ is calculated from the experimental data of  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$  and n(T) by inverting the CM equation  $\tau_{\alpha}(T) = [t_{c}^{-n(T)} \tau_{0}(T)]^{1/(1-n(T))}$ . Figure 21 demonstrates this linear relation between  $\log \tau_0(T)$  and  $1/[TS_c(T)]$  by an example taken from salol [401]. While  $\log \tau_{\alpha}(T)$  exhibits two very different linear dependences on  $1/[TS_{c}(T)]$  separated by  $T_{\rm B}$ , log  $\tau_0(T)$  is a linear function of  $1/[TS_{\rm c}(T)]$  in the whole temperature range within the error involved in calculating  $\log \tau_0(T)$ . The latter supports that the dependence of the dynamics on  $S_c(T)$  starts at the primitive relaxation of the CM, and the dependence of its relaxation time  $\tau_0(T)$  on  $1/[TS_c(T)]$  may be described by Eq. (2.26). According to the CM, the structural relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$  is determined by the slowing-down and stretching effects of many-body relaxation dynamics. Due to the more rapid increase of n(T) with decreasing temperature on crossing the characteristic temperature  $T_{\rm B}$  [423], the linear dependence of log  $\tau_0(T)$  on  $1/[TS_c(T)]$ no longer holds for log  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$ .

Although for some of the glassformers shown in Fig. 20  $z^*(T_g)$  correlates with  $n_{\alpha}(T_g)$ , there are also some outright violations. For example,  $z^*(T_g)$  of propylene carbonate and *ortho*-terphenyl are nearly the same, 5.49 and 5.46, respectively, but  $n_{\alpha}(T_g)$  of propylene carbonate (= 0.27) is significantly smaller than *ortho*-terphenyl (=0.50) from dielectric relaxation measurements [237, 424]. The violations are not surprising if  $n_{\alpha}(T_g)$  reflects many-body relaxation dynamics as suggested by the



Fig. 21 Test of the Adam–Gibbs equation for the  $\alpha$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$  of salol at temperatures above  $T_{g}$ . The data (*filled circles*) are from dielectric measurements. The *horizontal arrow* indicates the value of log( $\tau_{\alpha}(T_{\rm B})$ ). The *filled triangles* are values of log  $\tau_{0}$  calculated from  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$  data according to the CM equation (2.14) with n(T) obtained also from dielectric relaxation data. Replotted from data in [401]

evidences in the previous and later sections, while  $z^*(T_g)$  or  $L_{AG}(T_g)$  are constructs exclusively from thermodynamics.

Another attempt was made to calculate the values of  $z^*(T_g)$ , the size of the cooperatively rearranging region at  $T_g$ , for different liquids with the following assumptions [400, 413]. From the Vogel–Fulcher–Tammann–Hesse equation (2.25) for the dielectric relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  or for viscosity  $\eta$ , it is argued that  $z^* = [1 - (T_0/T)]^{-1}$ ; the energy term,  $\Delta \mu$ , is equal to *RB*; and the pre-exponential term,  $\tau_{\infty}$ , of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is equal to the pre-exponential term  $A_{VFTH}$ . For details see [400]. These  $z^*(T_g)$  values of different liquids have been found to be in the range 2.4–14.3 [400]. The values  $z^*(T_g)$  of some glassformers including propylene carbonate and *ortho*-terphenyl are about the same as those determined by Yamamuro et al. [420]. There are some that are difficult to accept. For example, tri- $\alpha$ -naphthylbenzene has the anomalously low value of  $z^*(T_g) = 2.4$  while it is like *ortho*-terphenyl in many other respects of dynamic properties including the magnitude of  $n_{\alpha}(T_g)$ , which is about 0.50. For 1-butene, the value  $z^*(T_g) = 10$  is much larger than the value of 4.3 obtained by Yamamuro et al.[420].

There are several experimental facts of glass transition that the Adam and Gibbs (AG) model either cannot explain or is contradicted. Some of these experimental facts have been given before [253, 401] and more will be given in the sections to follow. The problem of the AG model stems from its oversimplification of the manybody relaxation dynamics by the cooperative rearranging regions and their transition rate. At the time when Adam and Gibbs constructed their model more than 40 years ago, none of the experimental data that now give us better idea of the nature of the many-body relaxation dynamics were available to them. Considering this handicap, the AG model is a remarkable historic accomplishment, and Adam and Gibbs need not apologize for the shortcomings of their model. It is still much discussed, justifiably so because configurational entropy can be measured and must contribute in some manner to molecular mobility. However, one should not use the AG model in its original form or with minor modification to explain experimental data unless the fundamental problems of the 40-year-old theory have been rectified to incorporate many-body dynamics. Unfortunately, this practice still continues in the current literature of liquids, glasses, and glass transition. This current practice is indefensible because by now one should be aware of the overwhelming experimental evidences (the thrust of this treatise) showing that many-body effects are an important part of the structural relaxation and they cannot be handled by any purely thermodynamic formulation such as the AG model or the free volume model. Explaining the rapid increase of  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$  with decreasing temperature (i.e., the glass transition problem) is the focus and merit of the AG model. But, we should not forget that at any fixed temperature T, there are rich dynamics extending from microscopic times down to  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$  that are worth considering and challenging to explain. As we shall see later, the dynamics are fundamental and bear intriguing relations to the structural relaxation, which the AG model cannot handle. A solution of the glass transition problem is not complete unless it can explain these essential details of the dynamics at constant T. Put another way, if one has not fully understood the dynamics at a constant temperature, how can one have confidence to construct a theory designed principally to explain the temperature dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$ ?

### 2.2.2.3 Length Scale from the Thermodynamic Fluctuation Theory

Donth [302] basically accepted the notion of cooperatively rearranging regions (CRR) of Adam–Gibbs, but proposed a different method to calculate the size of cooperatively rearranging regions CRR of Adam and Gibbs,  $V_{\alpha}$ , and the corresponding characteristic length  $\xi_{\alpha} = (V_{\alpha})^{1/3}$ . The idea in Donth's theory was to use the relation between the mean square temperature fluctuation  $\delta T^2$  of a CRR and  $N_a$ , the number of particles per CRR. The relation was originally proposed as  $\delta T^2 = k_{\rm B}T^2/N_{\rm a}C$ , where *C* is the heat capacity per particle and  $k_{\rm B}$  is the Boltzmann constant [302]. In the modern version of the thermodynamic fluctuation theory [121, 425], the relevant quantities are given by

$$V_{\alpha} = \xi_{\alpha}^{3} = k_{\rm B} T^{2} [(1/C_{V})^{\rm glass} - (1/C_{V})^{\rm liquid}] / \rho \delta T^{2} \quad \text{and} \quad N_{\alpha} = V_{\alpha} \rho / M_{0}, \quad (2.30)$$

where  $C_V$  is the specific heat at constant volume,  $M_0$  is the molar mass of the fundamental unit (monomer in the case of polymer),  $\rho$  is the mass density, and  $\delta T^2 \equiv (\delta T)^2$ , where  $\delta T$  is taken as the width in temperature of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation peak of  $C''(\omega = \text{constant}, T)$ , the imaginary part of the dynamics specific heat at constant frequency  $\omega$ . The procedure to extract the relevant parameters from calorimetric data is given in detail in [425]. From the calorimetry data of many glassformers with different chemical and physical structures, the size of the CRR was determined by Eq. (2.30) and the values of  $\xi_{\alpha}$  near  $T_g$  fall within the range of 1.0–3.6 nm. On close scrutiny,  $\xi_{\alpha}$  does not seem to correlate with any other notable property such as non-exponentiality measured by the Kohlrausch exponent *n*, or the  $T_g$ -scaled temperature dependence of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation time [112] quantified by the steepness or "fragility" index:

$$m = \frac{\mathrm{d}\tau_{\alpha}(T_{\rm g}/T)}{\mathrm{d}(T_{\rm g}/T)} \bigg|_{T_{\rm g}/T=1}.$$
(2.31)

For example,  $\xi_{\alpha}$  of poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) is 1.5 nm, which is significantly smaller than 2.9 nm, the  $\xi_{\alpha}$  of glycerol [425, 296, 426], but both *n* and *m* of the former are much larger than the latter. Another example is the comparison of BMPC with OTP and polystyrene (PS). They all have the same  $\xi_{\alpha} = 3.0$  nm, but the dielectric dispersion of BMPC is narrower than OTP and PS, and the  $T_g$ scaled temperature dependence of the relaxation time of BMPC is much weaker than that of OTP and PS. The application of the theory to the family of poly(*n*-alkyl methacrylates) gave results on  $\xi_{\alpha}$  that correlate with *m*. The higher members of the family with longer alkyl side chains have smaller  $\xi_{\alpha}$  and correspondingly smaller *m*. However, the large width of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of higher members is contributed significantly by concentration fluctuations originating from internal plasticization by the long alkyl side chains. This contribution to the width conceptually has nothing to do with mean square temperature fluctuation of CRR,  $\delta T^2$ , but nevertheless is used in Eq. (2.30) to calculate  $\xi_{\alpha}$ . Since only thermodynamic quantities are used to deduce  $\xi_{\alpha}$ , the inconsistencies of the values obtained may be due to the likely scenario that many-body relaxation dynamics cannot be adequately described in terms of CRR, irrespective of whether  $\xi_{\alpha}$  is calculated by the Adam–Gibbs method or by the Donth method. Support of this comes from dynamic properties (to be presented later) which cannot be explained by the thermodynamic fluctuation theory. In spite of the skepticism on the thermodynamic fluctuation theory, qualitatively its results including the onset of cooperativity at some temperature higher than  $T_g$  and the growing length scale with decreasing temperature are correct. Certainly, thermodynamics has a role in determining length scale. There is evidence of synergy between thermodynamics and many-body effects [253, 387], and this may explain why some of the results from Donth's theory are qualitatively correct.

### 2.2.2.4 Dynamic Heterogeneity and Its Length Scale

The Structural α-Relaxation Is Dynamically Heterogeneous

Anyone giving any thought to many-body relaxation dynamics in interacting systems (e.g., glass-forming substances) naturally would be led to the question: How do the fundamental units (molecules) move? It is not easy to answer this question for real systems and substances because it is nearly impossible to describe the movements of the large number of units, which is the Avogadro's number  $N_{\rm AV} = 6.022 \times 10^{23} \,\rm molde^{-1}$  for molecular glassformers, altogether simultaneously and as a function of time. There was no experimental or computational technique that can do something toward this goal before 1991. Inability to answer this demanding but natural question may be the cause of lack of theoretical efforts to tackle directly the many-body relaxation problem. Asking anyone to describe the movements of a large number of interacting units in detail may be unrealistic, but some simpler questions on less specific properties of the motions in the many-body dynamics may have an answer. Simple questions coming to mind are the following. Are all units moving (relaxing) uniformly at the same time, and has each the same Kohlrausch time dependence? This scenario was referred to as homogeneous relaxation. If not, then necessarily there are some fast units which relax earlier and some slow units which relax later, and the structural relaxation is dynamically heterogeneous. But then, is there any interplay between the fast and the slow units? Glass-forming systems in general are amorphous, and the spatial and orientational relations between the structural units fluctuate. From this fact, intuition alone would be sufficient to tell us that it is highly unlikely that homogeneous relaxation can occur. In 1989, the approximate analogy of the CM's view on motion of molecules with the process in the solution of the "dining philosophers problem" in computer science [427] was pointed out [234] to gain insight into the dynamics of glassformers from the solution of the computer science problem. The dining philosophers problem is restated as follows. Imagine there are N philosophers sitting on chairs

at the circumference of a round table and spend their entire time either eating or thinking (i.e., not eating). On the table, at the center is a bowl of noodles, but there is only one chopstick placed in between a neighboring pair of philosophers. To eat, any philosopher absolutely needs to have in possession two chopsticks at the same time. Each philosopher can only pick up the chopsticks on his immediate right or left. If a philosopher can pick up *both* chopsticks, he eats for a while. After a philosopher finishes eating, he puts down the chopsticks on the table and starts to think. However, at any time some philosophers may find either one or both chopsticks on his left and right in the possession of his neighbors. In that case, he keeps on thinking. The problem to solve is to find protocols for the philosophers to eat and think in such a manner that everyone eventually gets to eat. A symmetric and fully distributed solution to the dining philosophers problem was obtained by Lehmann and Rabin [428]. This solution guarantees with probability one that every philosopher gets to eat. The procedure of the solution can be described as follows [427]. When a philosopher wants to eat, he randomly chooses between the left and the right chopsticks with equal probability. Suppose his choice is the chopstick on his right. Now he waits for that chopstick to be available. When that chopstick is available, he picks it up. Next, he looks to his left. If the chopstick on the left is available, he picks it up, eats, and afterwards returns both chopsticks to their original places. If that chopstick is not available, he releases the first chopstick and starts the whole process over again. Demonstration of a simple Java solution to the dining philosophers problem can be found in the Internet. There are some "fast" philosophers who have eaten earlier and some "slow" philosophers who eat later, and they exchange roles later. The purpose of bringing up the solution of the dining philosophers problem [234] is not only to suggest the presence of fast and slow relaxing units and their interplay in structural relaxation in glassformers, but also to enunciate that heterogeneous dynamics is envisaged by the coupling model. The Kohlrausch function of the coupling model is a two-time correlation function. Like all the two-time and two-space point correlation functions discussed in Sections 1.2 and 1.3 above, the Kohlrausch correlation function provides no information on heterogeneous dynamics of glassformers because the slow and fast motions have been averaged out in the two-time correlation functions. Thus, there is no way to judge whether a model is advocating homogeneous or heterogeneously dynamics based on just the fact that the Kohlrausch function is invoked or employed. In particular, only averages over the dynamic heterogeneities are considered in the two-time correlation function in the usual coupling model equations or, by the same token, in the mode coupling equations. Besides, the CM abstains from giving a detailed description of the motions of the molecules, as is evident from its description given in Section 2.2.1.3.

It is unfortunate that in the 2000 review by Ediger [429], there is the following statement: "Ngai recently used the coupling model to provide an alternate, homogeneous explanation for experimental observations of enhanced translational diffusion (79a)." This statement effectively labels the coupling model as a model for homogeneous dynamics that contradicts experiments, in spite of my paper cited by him (reference 79a in Ediger's review, and [268] in the present treatise) explicitly making

it clear that the dynamics in the coupling model is heterogeneous. The article on the analogy of the CM to the dining philosophers problem published in 1990 [234] was cited in [268] to make the point. The statement made by Ediger is plainly wrong. The amount of damage done by this statement on the CM although cannot be estimated but could be substantial because the 2000 review by Ediger has been cited already 680 times by others up to 2009.

There was a similar misunderstanding of the CM by Sillescu [430], but he corrected this mistaken view of the CM in a follow-up paper with others [431] by citing the CM exclusively by my 1990 paper on the dining philosophers problem [234].

The paper invoking the analogy to the dining philosophers problem [234] was published in 1990, a year before the seminal experimental work of Schmidt-Rohr and Spiess [226]. In stating this, the intent in no way is to take credit away from the contribution by Schmidt-Rohr and Spiess who had proven the dynamic are heterogeneous for the first time in 1991. Instead, the purpose is to let it be known that this aspect of the dynamics, considered before the actual experimental proof, is within the spirit of the coupling model.

The 4D-NMR experiment by Schmidt-Rohr and Spiess enabled them to examine multi-time correlation functions beyond the usual two-time correlation functions, which made possible to probe the complex dynamics of molecular reorientation. In the 4D-NMR experiment and additional experiments following it [227, 228, 235, 421, 422, 432, 435], one can select a subensemble of slow molecules that have not relaxed and subsequently monitor its relaxation on a different timescale. The heterogeneities are not static. Molecules slow at one time will exchange their dynamics with the fast ones to maintain ergodicity. In a temperature region slightly above  $T_g$ , the life-times of the heterogeneities,  $\tau_{het}$ , are of the order of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation time,  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , or the mean  $\alpha$ -relaxation time,  $< \tau_{\alpha} > [227, 431, 436, 437]$ . If the two-time correlation function is the Kohlrausch function (Eq. (2.22)),  $< \tau_{\alpha} >$  is equal to  $[\Gamma(1/(1-n))/(1-n)]\tau_{\alpha}$ , where  $\Gamma$  stands for the gamma function.

Other experimental methods used to show the existence of dynamic heterogeneity in supercooled liquids include optical deep bleaching [438], solvation spectroscopy [231, 439], and polarization noise measurements [440]. The results from all these other methods confirmed that near the calorimetric glass transition temperature  $T_g$  the primary relaxation is dynamically heterogeneous. NMR and polarization noise measurements all found that the heterogeneity lifetime  $\tau_{het}$  is of the order of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  in PVAc, PS, *ortho*-terphenyl, toluene, glycerol, and 2-methyl tetrahydrofuran (MTHF) [441] as shown in Fig. 22. However, optical deep bleaching [442] gave much larger values of the ratio  $\tau_{\text{het}}/\tau_{\alpha}$  of about 10 at  $T/T_{\text{g}} \approx 1.015$  that increase rapidly with decreasing  $T/T_g$ , reaching almost to about 1000 at  $T/T_g \approx 1.0$ (see Fig. 22). Solvation experiments on MTHF [231, 439] concluded a long-lived heterogeneity,  $\tau_{\rm het}/\tau_{\alpha} \approx 10$ , in the range of  $T/T_{\rm g} > 1.055$  at which NMR measurements on the same substance found  $\tau_{\rm het}/\tau_{\alpha} \approx 1$  (see Fig. 22). Since NMR measurement is more precise and applied to more glassformers, it is likely that the deduction of  $\tau_{het}/\tau_{\alpha} \approx 10$  from solvation experiment has to be taken with a grain of salt. The glaring inconsistency of the lifetimes of the heterogeneities from optical deep bleaching and solvation experiments with NMR and polarization noise



**Fig. 22** Temperature-dependent ratio of typical heterogeneity lifetimes and of structural relaxation times,  $\tau_{het}/\tau_{\alpha}$ , as deduced for various glassformers from NMR (*closed symbols*, the source of the data are given in [441]) and from polarization noise measurements (AFM, +, [440]), from optical deep bleaching (*open symbols*, [442]), and from solvation spectroscopy (*dashed line*, [39]). According to [12] the *dashed line* marks a lower bound. Data from [441] are replotted in *color* 

measurements can be seen in Fig. 22. The deductions from optical deep bleaching is likely wrong, because if it were true then the ratio  $\tau_{het}/\tau_{\alpha}$  would increase by a factor of nearly 100 in the range  $1.015 \ge T/T_g \ge 1.0$ , or about 1000 in the range  $1.055 \ge T/T_g \ge 1.0$  when NMR data are included. Such a large increase of  $\tau_{\text{fhet}}/\tau_{\alpha}$ would engender a corresponding large change in the dispersion of the structural α-relaxation. However, broadband dielectric relaxation of MTHF measured from 96.3 K (with  $\tau_{\alpha} \approx 10^{-3}$  s down to 89.9 K (with  $\tau_{\alpha} \approx 10^4$  s) shows little change of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation spectrum of MTHF [441]. This observation can be used as evidence against the deduction of  $\tau_{het}/\tau_{\alpha}$  from optical deep bleaching experiments. There is another experimental fact that leads to the same conclusion. The study of tri-m-cresyl phosphate (TCP) in mixture with poly(methyl methacrylate) containing 50.1 wt% of TCP by one- and two-dimensional <sup>31</sup>P-NMR spectroscopy showed the TCP molecules reorient isotropically [443]. Two-dimensional spectra for TCP show the dynamics are heterogeneities and are transient in nature, i.e.,  $\tau_{het}$  cannot be much longer than  $\tau_{\alpha}$  of TCP. This occurs for TCP even in a rigid polymer matrix at temperatures well below the glass transition of the plasticized PMMA.

Non-resonant hole burning spectroscopy is another technique that shows the existence of dynamic heterogeneity in supercooled liquids. This is a non-linear dielectric method which allows one to achieve a frequency-selective modification of the dielectric step-response function by the application of an intense electric field [444, 445].

Molecular dynamics simulations of the binary Lennard-Jones particles [321, 446, 447] and simulations of the dynamic lattice liquid [327, 328, 448] also show heterogeneous dynamics. So is the experimental technique of confocal microscopy which can monitor the motion of *all* the colloidal particles in suspension over several decades of time [141]. There are mobile, less mobile, and immobile particles. The



**Fig. 23** A cut through a three-dimensional sample of colloidal suspension having  $\phi = 0.52$ , with *arrows* indicating the direction of motion for particles with displacements  $\Delta r > 0.2\mu$ m, at the cage rearranging time,  $\Delta t^* = 600$  s, estimated by finding the maximum of the non-Gaussian parameter,  $\alpha_2(\Delta t)$ . The cut is 2.5  $\mu$ m thick (~1 layer of particles). The *arrows* are all the same length in three dimensions, so shortened arrows indicate motion in or out of the picture. *Lighter colors* indicate particles with larger displacements. *Inset*: 120 min trajectory of a typical particle from this sample. It exhibits caged motion, with a sudden cage rearrangement which lasts ~600 s. Reproduced from [141(b)] by permission

mobile (or fast) particles were found to be strongly spatially correlated and exhibited large extended clusters. These features are illustrated in Fig. 23 and the result demonstrates that the structural relaxation in colloidal fluids occurs by means of cooperative particles motion.

All the above experimental studies have established as a fact that in general the structural relaxation is dynamically heterogeneous. However, one must not overestimate the degree of fundamental importance of dynamic heterogeneity. Like the dispersion of the correlation function (or the Kohlrausch fractional exponent) and other properties to be described later (such as the breakdown of Stokes-Einstein relation), dynamic heterogeneity is one of several notable consequences of the many-body relaxation. Each property is tantamount to just one glimpse into the multi-facets of the complex many-body dynamics, the details of which cannot be completely embodied by one property. All being *parallel* consequences, they are consistent with each other, but this does not mean that one can consider one of them more fundamental than the others and derive all the other properties from it. An example of this attempt (to be discussed in great detail in Section 2.2.5.6) is the use of dynamic heterogeneity (with additional assumption) to explain the breakdown of Stokes–Einstein relation [429, 449–454]. This explanation had been proven untenable by several experiments which showed its other consequence contradicts data [274, 275, 355, 455–458]. The situation has an analogy to the Indian fable often used in science to warn against jumping to conclusion based on limited or partial experimental facts. The fable describes several blind men each trying to identify an animal (which is an elephant) by his own touch. They touch different parts of the elephant and naturally they give different identifications of the animal but not as the elephant. Believing dynamic heterogeneity as most fundamental and identifying it as the cause of all other dynamic properties of glassformers is as wrong as one of the blind men who mistakenly identified the elephant as a mule by touching the tail of the elephant.

# Controversy on Homogeneous or Heterogeneous Dynamics of the $\alpha$ -Relaxation of Polymers

In spite of the overwhelming evidences pointing to the dynamically heterogeneous nature of structural relaxation of glass-forming systems, there is still an opposite point of view from some workers studying dynamics using neutron scattering [459, 460] that might continue to influence others to do the same [461]. These authors [459, 460] professed that incoherent neutron scattering results agree well with a homogeneous scenario for the  $\alpha$ -relaxation in glass-forming polymers, at least in the timescale covered by their neutron scattering measurements which is about a few nanoseconds. To them, homogeneous scenario is a process and I quote: "that all of the particles in the system relax identically but by an intrinsically non-exponential process." This drastic difference in opinion with others created a lasting controversy [462, 463] that continues on [235, 324, 464]. The homogeneous scenario was judged by others [235, 462] to be erroneous.

There are two sources of misunderstanding by the authors of [459,460] that may have led them to create the controversy. First, these authors defined heterogeneous dynamics specifically as when the correlation function is a "superposition of different simple exponential relaxations weighted by a broad distribution of relaxation times  $g(\ln \tau)$ ," and they went further to state that "This picture is usually known as the 'heterogeneous' " [459, 460]. Their definition of heterogeneous dynamics in glass-forming liquids is at variance with that from other experiments because heterogeneities in supercooled liquids are transient in nature with lifetimes as found out by multidimensional NMR [227, 228, 235, 421, 422, 432, 435] and non-resonant dielectric hole-burning experiments [444, 445], and hence cannot be considered as a superposition of independent exponential relaxations with different relaxation times. At  $T > T_g$ , the dynamical heterogeneity of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation probed by these experiments shows up in the manner that a dynamically distinguishable subensemble can be selected, and its return to the full equilibrium ensemble on a timescale of the order of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  has been subsequently monitored. Thus the supercooled liquid becomes ergodic when  $t >> \tau_{\alpha}$ .

Using their unconventional definition of heterogeneous dynamics, Arbe et al. [459, 460, 463] fitted the intermediate scattering function,  $F_s(Q, t)$ , observed by incoherent neutron scattering, by the Kohlrausch function

$$F_{s}(Q,t) = A \exp[-(t/\tau_{\alpha}(Q,T))^{\beta}], \qquad (2.32)$$

where  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is the *Q*- and *T*-dependent relaxation time,  $\beta < 1$  the stretching exponent, and  $A = \exp(-\langle u^2 \rangle Q^2)$  the Lamb–Mössbauer factor to account for faster processes characterized by an effective mean-squared displacement  $\langle u^2 \rangle$ . Arbe et al. argued if a superposition of independent exponential relaxations were the origin of the Kohlrausch time dependence of  $F_s(Q, t)$ , then the Kohlrausch relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  would have the same  $Q^{-2}$ -dependence as each of the independent exponential relaxations. This was used by these authors to rule out heterogeneous relaxation (according to their definition as superposition of independent exponential relaxations) because from their own previous as well as subsequent incoherent neutron scattering studies of several polymers [307, 308, 310, 313, 314, 464] they found that the *Q*-dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , in the *Q*-range restricted to  $Q < 1 \text{\AA}^{-1}$ , can be approximately described by a power law determined by the stretching exponent  $\beta$ :

$$\tau_{\alpha}(Q) \propto Q^{-2/\beta}.$$
(2.33)

As polymers have  $\beta$  usually within the range 0.4–0.65, Eq. (2.33) predicts much stronger *Q*-dependences than the  $Q^{-2}$ -dependence of simple diffusion (see Eq. (1.14)). This way of ruling out heterogeneous dynamics is most because the real heterogeneous dynamics in glass-forming liquids found by multidimensional NMR is *not* a superposition of independent exponential relaxations. Present are exchange processes between fast and slow particles or units. The question of heterogeneities or homogeneous dynamics cannot be settled by considering  $F_s(Q, t)$  and the  $Q^{-2/\beta}$ dependence of its correlation time. Moreover, dynamic light scattering in colloidal particles suspensions [143] also found the intermediate scattering function  $F_{\rm s}(Q,t)$ and relaxation time given by Eqs. (2.32) and (2.33), respectively, exactly the same as neutron scattering in polymers. The motions of all the particles seen by confocal microscopy [141] is without a doubt heterogeneous, and the results rule out homogeneous dynamics as would be expected by Arbe et al. from the  $Q^{-2/\beta}$ -dependence. Besides, even though the dynamics is spatially and dynamically heterogeneous, it is hard to believe that  $F_s(Q, t)$  can be represented by a superposition of independent exponential relaxations according to the definition of Arbe et al.

Second, a special homogeneous scenario was arrived at by the authors of [464] by analyzing intermediate scattering function,  $F_s(Q, t)$ , of incoherent neutron scattering in glass-forming polymer melts. Results obtained were concluded by them to be only compatible with the homogeneous scenario. The arguments [460, 463] leading them to this conclusion go as follows. The *t*-dependence of  $F_s(Q, t)$  given in Eq. (2.32) and the *Q*-dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  therein given by Eq. (2.33) are results from neutron scattering experiments. Substituting Eq. (2.33) into Eq. (2.32), they [459, 464] obtained

$$F_{\rm s}(Q,t) = A \exp[-Q^2 \langle r^2(t) \rangle / 6] = A \exp[-Q^2 D(T) t^\beta], \qquad (2.34)$$

where D(T) governs the *T*-dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . From this equation, they concluded that the increase of the mean-squared displacement associated with the  $\alpha$ -relaxation

is sublinear in time, i.e.,  $\langle r^2(T) \rangle \sim t^\beta$  with  $\beta < 1$ . This interpretation of neutron scattering data was used by them to support their homogeneous  $\alpha$ -relaxation scenario. The controversy was created in several statements made by the authors in [459]that include the following: "In this Letter we show that incoherent neutron scattering results agree rather well with a homogeneous scenario for the  $\alpha$ -relaxation in glass-forming polymers, at least in the time scale covered by neutron scattering techniques" and "From the Q dependent KWW relaxation times  $\tau_w$ , it could be demonstrated that the apparent stretching of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation function relates dominantly to sublinear diffusion and is not a result of heterogeneities in the material. Although this result was obtained at temperatures well above the glass transition, the almost temperature independent stretching exponents suggest that this behavior also prevails close to  $T_{g}$ ." It is important to note that the authors did not give the origin of the sublinear diffusion, but nevertheless invoke it as the hallmark for homogeneous relaxation. This is not necessarily true. Counter examples can be found from the molecular dynamics simulation data of binary Lennard-Jones particles [321]. There is sublinear diffusion in the LJ liquid like that found in polymers by neutron scattering, but the dynamics are dynamically heterogeneous as illustrated by motions of the particles. Another counter example is the colloidal particles in suspension monitored by confocal microscopy, where there is sublinear diffusion but the motions of all particles are dynamically heterogeneous [141]. Hence, one cannot conclude, based on the sublinear diffusion alone, that the dynamics is homogeneous [463]. As will be shown in Chapter 3 on universal relaxation/diffusion properties of interacting systems, subdiffusion is found by neutron scattering in the center-of-mass diffusion of entangled linear polymer chains [465] and by molecular dynamic simulations in diffusion of ions in glass [149, 466–472]. In all these cited cases, the motions have been shown to be dynamically heterogeneous.

We have shown in the above that the controversy was created [459] first by the wrong definition of dynamic heterogeneities and second by inference [464] from the sublinear time dependence of the mean-squared displacement obtained by substituting the experimentally observed  $Q^{-2/\beta}$ -dependence of the correlation time into the intermediate scattering function  $F_s(Q, t)$  having the Kohlrausch time dependence. The second step involves only experimental facts and no theory was introduced to support the claim that the resulting  $\langle r^2(t) \rangle \sim t^{\beta}$  with  $\beta < 1$  corresponds to homogeneous dynamics. Actually, there is a way to interpret neutron scattering data that avoids running into the controversy with others who have already shown the  $\alpha$ -relaxation, having the Kohlrausch time dependence (Eq. (2.32)) for its correlation function, is heterogeneous by NMR and dielectric experiments [227, 228, 431, 444]. The way is to use the coupling model (CM), its concept, and predictions, and it confers the bonus of having the  $Q^{-2/\beta}$ -dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  derived. As we recall, the CM has professed that the many-body relaxation dynamics is heterogeneous [234]. For  $\alpha$ -relaxation having the Kohlrausch form  $\varphi(t) = \exp[-(t/\tau_{\alpha})^{1-n}]$ , for its correlation function such as  $F_s(Q, t)$  from neutron scattering given by Eq. (2.32), the exponent *n* is the indicator of many-body relaxation. The CM also has predicted a relation between  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and the primitive relaxation time  $\tau_0$  by the

quantitative relation now rewritten to show the dependences on various variables U including Q:

$$\tau_{\alpha}(T, P, \dots, U, \dots, Q) = [t_{c}^{-n}\tau_{0}(T, P, \dots, U, \dots, Q)]^{1/(1-n)}.$$
(2.35)

This relation was the consequence of the crossover from independent relaxation to heterogeneous cooperative many-body relaxation at a temperature-insensitive time  $t_c$  predicted by the CM and actually observed at  $t_c \approx 2 \text{ ps}$  by neutron scattering experiments [307, 308, 310, 312-314] and molecular dynamics simulations [258, 315, 316, 324–326]. From Eq. (2.35), dependences of  $\tau_0$  on any variable U, including temperature T, pressure P, and scattering vector Q shown explicitly, will all be modified by the power 1/(1 - n), to stronger dependences. Since  $\tau_0$  of independent relaxation has the  $Q^{-2}$ -dependence, it follows from this equation that  $\tau_{\alpha}$  has the  $Q^{-2/(1-n)}$ -dependence (Eq. (2.33)) as observed by experiments. We shall see more of the utility and versatility of Eq. (2.35) in explaining other properties of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of glassformers as well as in other complex systems. We also hasten to add that the primitive relaxation time  $\tau_0$  calculated from the known values of  $t_c$ , n, and  $\tau_{\alpha}$  by the counterpart of Eq. (2.14),  $\tau_0(T, P) = (t_c)^n [\tau_{\alpha}(T, P)]^{1-n}$ , is in order of magnitude agreement with the relaxation time of an observed secondary relaxation with properties showing connection to the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. This remarkable fact about the primitive relaxation time is the subject of extensive discussion in Section 2.3.

A new twist in the interpretation of  $F_s(Q, t)$  obtained by neutron scattering and simulation was given later [324, 464, 473]. In these references, the Gaussian or non-Gaussian nature of the space distribution was used to characterize the dynamics. Gaussianity was either interpreted from the *Q*-dependence of  $F_s(Q, t)$  of neutron scattering experiments or from the non-Gaussian parameter  $\alpha_2(t)$  obtained by molecular dynamics simulation. As we may recall,  $F_s(Q, t)$  is related to the selfpart of the van Hove function  $G_{\rm S}(\vec{r},t)$  which give the space and time-dependent distribution function (see Eqs. (1.111)—(1.115)), and the non-Gaussian parameter  $\alpha_2(t)$  is defined by Eq. (1.125). From the Q<sup>2</sup>-term appearing explicitly in Eq. (2.34), the authors of [464, 473, 474] concluded that the self-part of the van Hove correlation function is a Gaussian function. For larger Q,  $F_s(Q, t)$  no longer has the dependence on Q as appearing in Eq. (2.34), and this regime was considered to be non-Gaussian. These authors interpreted the data as a crossover from a Gaussian regime of sublinear diffusion at longer distances to a strongly non-Gaussian regime at short distances. Thus they reaffirmed the idea of identifying Kohlrausch time dependence of  $F_s(Q, t)$  in the form of Eq. (2.34) as Gaussian and dynamically homogeneous behavior [324, 464]. They went on to say that their proposed crossover from Gaussian to non-Gaussian "... could be understood as a homogeneous to heterogeneous crossover of the incoherent dynamics involved in the  $\alpha$ -relaxation." This understanding shows they maintain their view that  $F_s(Q, t)$  having the Kohlrausch time dependence of  $F_s(Q, t)$  in the form of Eq. (2.34) indicates homogeneous dynamics, contradicting the view of others and the CM interpretation. Nevertheless, they constructed an anomalous jump diffusion model with a distribution of jump lengths to explain the so-called Gaussian-to-non-Gaussian crossover. Actually, there

is no need to invoke the new model to explain the crossover because the data are fully consistent with the transient nature and the finite life-time of dynamic heterogeneities of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. It was pointed out [468] that  $\alpha_2(t)$  as a function of time and the relation between  $\alpha_2(t)$  and  $\langle r^2(t) \rangle$  are similar in three different interacting systems, binary Lennard-Jones particles [321], the colloidal particles [141], and ions in oxide glasses [468]. In these systems,  $\alpha_2(t)$  starts from zero at short times, increases with increasing time to reach a maximum, and finally goes to zero at long times. As T decreases (or as volume fraction increases in the case of colloidal particles), the position of the maximum  $t_{max}$  shifts toward longer times, and the height of the maximum  $r^{2}(t)$  increases. For all temperatures or volume fractions, whichever is appropriate, the maximum occurs at time  $t_{max}$ , which is after the plateau of  $< r^2(t) >$  signifying caged dynamics [468, 475] has ended by primitive relaxations and near the time when the sublinear diffusion,  $\langle r^2 \rangle \sim t^\beta$  with  $\beta < 1$ , starts (called  $t_{x2}$  in [468]). The decrease of  $\alpha_2(t)$  down to zero at times longer than  $t_{\text{max}}$  is simply the consequence of a finite life-time,  $\tau_{\text{het}}$ , of the heterogeneities. As time increases to approach  $\tau_{het}$ , there are increasing exchanges between the fast and the slow units. Heterogeneity and  $\alpha_2(t)$  decrease together. At even longer times when  $t > \tau_{\text{het}}$ , it is no longer possible to distinguish fast and slow particles; heterogeneity as well as  $\alpha_2(t)$  vanishes. In other words, the systems becomes ergodic and the spatial distribution is Gaussian. The decrease of  $\alpha_2(t)$  down to zero at times shorter than  $t_{\text{max}}$  is because the shorter is the time in the plateau regime, the more likely are the particles being caged.

The features described above can be found also in the  $\alpha_2(t)$  and  $\langle r^2 \rangle$  obtained for the polymer, polyisoprene, by molecular dynamics (MD) simulations (see Fig. 3 of [324]), although the terminal steady-state diffusion regime,  $\langle r^2 \rangle \sim t$ , is absent because the calculated  $\langle r^2 \rangle$  is for a repeat unit of a long chain in a polymer, which does not translate to long distances and contribute to viscosity. The advantage that neutron scattering and MD simulation have on some other experimental techniques is the extra Q-dependence, which is evident from the form of intermediate scattering function in Eq. (2.34). From this expression, it is clear that a smaller Q means a longer relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}(Q)$  for  $F_{s}(Q, t)$ . In discussing the data here, let us start at some  $Q_c$  such that  $\tau_{\alpha}(Q_c) \geq \tau_{het}$ . Under this condition and at times longer than  $\tau_{\alpha}(Q_{\rm c})$ , the exchange processes between slow and fast units are nearly complete, dynamic heterogeneity is no longer important, and the space distribution is hence Gaussian. On decreasing Q beyond  $Q_c$ ,  $\tau_{\alpha}(Q)$  increases further past  $\tau_{\alpha}(Q_c)$ ; for times longer than  $\tau_{\alpha}(Q)$ ,  $\alpha_2(t \ge \tau_{\alpha}(Q))$  decreases down to near zero value (see Fig. 6 of [324]) and the spatial distribution is Gaussian. Also for the same times,  $t > \tau_{\alpha}(Q)$ , the system is ergodic. However, for times shorter than and not much longer than  $\tau_{\alpha}(Q)$ , the dynamics of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation described by  $F_{s}(Q, t)$  having the Kohlrausch time dependence in Eq. (2.34) is dynamically heterogeneous, and  $\alpha_2$  is non-zero. Therefore, in the region,  $Q < Q_c$ , the system is ergodic and the spatial distribution is Gaussian only for  $t > \tau_{\alpha}(Q)$ , but otherwise for  $t \le \tau_{\alpha}(Q)$  the dynamics of  $F_{s}(Q, t)$ is heterogeneous, contradicting the opposite conclusion of homogeneous dynamics by authors of [324]. Like discussed before, this contradiction is artificial and can be avoided by using the CM, and the  $Q^{-2/\beta}$ -dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  found for  $Q < Q_{c}$ 

can be obtained via Eq. (2.35) without contradicting the heterogeneous dynamics of  $F_s(Q, t)$ .

The MD simulation at 363 K [324] found that  $Q_c$  is slightly smaller than  $Q_{max}$ , where  $Q_{max}$  is the value of Q at the first maximum of the static structure factor. Hence, when Q is increased to exceed  $Q_c$ , the length scale probed is small and  $F_s(Q,t)$  is contributed by local and non-cooperative relaxation processes all having  $Q^{-2}$ -dependence for their relaxation times. This is supported by  $\tau_{\alpha}(Q)$  becoming comparable and less than the onset time of the  $\langle r^2 \rangle \sim t^{\beta}$  with  $\beta = 0.4$  which has the same order of magnitude (see Fig. 3 of [324]) as  $\tau_{\alpha}(Q_c) \approx 30$  ps (see Fig. 6 of [324]). The observed crossover of the Q-dependence is thus explained. The maximum of  $\alpha_2$  is located at  $t^* = 4$  ps. Its width at half-maximum encompasses  $\tau_{\alpha}(Q)$  for all Q larger than  $Q_c$  reported [324], and hence the spatial distribution is non-Gaussian.

In the above, we have brought into consideration the relation of the lifetime of dynamic heterogeneity to  $\tau_{\alpha}(Q)$ , the very local length scales probed by  $F_{\rm s}(Q, t)$  when  $Q > Q_{\rm c}$ , and the derivation of the  $Q^{-2/\beta}$ -dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  for  $Q < Q_{\rm c}$  by the CM. These elements are necessary for interpreting neutron scattering and simulation data, and the crossover of the  $Q^{-2/\beta}$ -dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  for  $Q < Q_{\rm c}$  to a weaker Q-dependence for  $Q > Q_{\rm c}$  can be explained without contradicting dynamically heterogeneous nature of the many-body  $\alpha$ -relaxation for  $Q < Q_{\rm c}$ . Alone,  $F_{\rm s}(Q, t)$  and its Q-dependences from neutron scattering and simulation cannot unravel the nature of the dynamics as attempted in [324]. Higher order space and time correlation functions than  $F_{\rm s}(Q, t)$  are needed for this purpose, and they have been given by multidimensional NMR measurements.

### Length Scale of Dynamic Heterogeneity

As mentioned before, the length scale of the dynamic heterogeneity of several archetypal glassformers had been measured by an advanced solid-state NMR experiment [421]. This multidimensional NMR experiment of Tracht et al. makes possible selection of a slow subensemble which has distinct <sup>13</sup>C-NMR characteristics. Then, the magnetization of this subensemble is allowed to diffuse. After various times,  $^{13}$ C spins are interrogated to determine if they are still the slow units. At sufficiently long times, the <sup>13</sup>C spins are found on sites whose dynamics are characteristic of the entire ensemble. From the time required to leave the slow subensemble, the heterogeneity length scale,  $\xi_{het}$ , can be calculated using an independent measurement of the spin diffusion coefficient. The most recent reported values of  $\xi_{het}$  [422] are  $\xi_{\text{het}} = 3.7 \pm 1 \text{ nm at } T = T_{\text{g}} + 9 \text{ K for poly(vinyl acetate) (PVAc)}; \xi_{\text{het}} = 2.2 - 2.9 \text{ nm}$ at  $T = T_g + 9$  K for 1,2-diphenylbenzene (*ortho*-terphenyl); and  $\xi_{het} = 1.3$  nm at  $T = T_{\rm g} + 10$  K,  $\xi_{\rm het} = 1.1$  nm at  $T = T_{\rm g} + 14$  K, and  $\xi_{\rm het} = 1.0$  nm at  $T = T_{\rm g} + 18$  K for 1,2,3-propanetriol (glycerol). The numbers of molecules per slow domain with length scale  $\xi_{het}$  are estimated to be 390 monomer units of PVAc, 76 molecules of OTP, and 10 molecules of glycerol. Due to the sophistication of the technique and the need of <sup>13</sup>C labeling of the material, no more measurements of  $\xi_{het}$  for other

glassformers were made except for D-sorbitol [476]. At 275 K( $T_g$  + 7 K), the value of  $\xi_{het}$  of sorbitol was reported to be 2.5 ± 1.2 nm.

### Correlation of $\xi_{het}$ with n

Although we have data of  $\xi_{het}$  for only four glassformers, they are worth further consideration since they were obtained directly from experiments. Simple reasoning in several possible ways can lead one to see correlation between  $\xi_{het}$  and the width of the dispersion of the structural relaxation. In [476] it was argued that, in a system with a smaller  $\xi_{het}$ , particles might move with a lesser spread of mobility and hence narrow distribution of relaxation rates than particles in a system with large  $\xi_{het}$ . Such argument must be right because the width of the dispersion and the size of  $\xi_{het}$  are both parallel consequences of the many-body relaxation dynamics, and hence the two quantities must be correlated. In fact, an attempt was made to test the correlation between *n* and  $\xi_{het}$  (actually the equivalent anti-correlation between the Kohlrausch exponent,  $\beta_{\rm K} \equiv 1 - n$ , and  $\xi_{\rm het}$  [476] with data of PVAc, OTP, sorbitol, and glycerol. The correlation between n and  $\xi_{het}$  is not perfect. The bad actor is sorbitol which has the smallest  $\beta_{\rm K} = 0.41$  or the largest n = 0.59 [476], but not the largest  $\xi_{\rm het}$ . The problem of this test is that the values of  $\beta_{\rm K}$  of PVAc, OTP, sorbitol, and glycerol were taken from different sources where different criteria were used to analyze the dispersions. The only objective way to carry out the test is to obtain  $\beta_{\rm K}$  or *n* values for all four glassformers using dispersions all obtained by the same technique and the same criterion in fitting the data by the Kohlrausch functions. When fitting the frequency dependence of the  $\alpha$ -loss peaks obtained by dielectric relaxation by the one-sided Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function, one criterion used is the emphasis of good agreement with the loss data on the main peak especially the low-frequency side, if no significant conductivity contribution is present there or it has been removed if present. Deviations of the Kohlrausch fit to the data invariably occur at frequencies sufficiently high above the loss maximum. The deviations are considered natural in the coupling model interpretation of the evolution of dynamics with time as explained in [477] and practiced in [195] and other works. They come from processes of smaller length scales that transpire at shorter times before the dynamics evolves to the one with maximum length scale and correlation function given by the Kohlrausch function. This criterion had been applied uniformly to all four glassformers in previous works. The *n* value in decreasing order is 0.53-0.57for PVAc [238, 267, 478], 0.52 for sorbitol [195], 0.50 for OTP [237], and 0.29 for glycerol [195]. These systematically and consistently determined values of n are well correlated with the  $\xi_{het}$  values of the four glassformers.

An attempt was made to correlate the steepness or the "fragility" index *m* with  $\xi_{het}$  for these four glassformers. No correlation between  $\xi_{het}$  and *m* was found. As will be discussed in greater details later,  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is determined not only by the effects of slowing down by many-body relaxation but also by thermodynamic factors including specific volume and entropy. The same can be said about *m* since it is the  $T_g$ -scaled temperature dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . Furthermore, glycerol and sorbitol belong to the same family of polyols, with hydrogen bonding; *ortho*-terphenyl has benzene

rings and is a van der Waals liquid; and PVAc is a polymer. Differences in chemical bond and structure of these four glassformers cannot guarantee similar changes of specific volume and entropy with  $T_g$ -scaled temperature. All the different factors contributing to *m* will frustrate the relation between it and other more fundamental quantities such as  $\xi_{het}$  in the present case, and correlation cannot survive. We shall see later other examples of failure of correlations of *m* with other quantities including *n*. Nevertheless, if restricted to the same chemical family of glassformers to minimize the influence of extraneous factors, some correlations of *m* with other quantities can be restored [112, 479, 480]. Examples include restriction to carbon backbone polymers [479] and to Ge–As–Se glass-forming chalcogenides [480].

## 2.2.2.5 Length Scale from Relaxation Behavior of Nanophase-Separated Side-Chain Polymers

Nanophase separation of incompatible main and side-chain parts is a general phenomenon in amorphous side-chain polymers with long alkyl groups. This property was shown [297, 298] by X-ray scattering and relaxation spectroscopy data acquired from higher members of the poly(*n*-alkyl acrylates) (PnAA) and poly(*n*-alkyl methacrylates) (PnAMA) that alkyl groups aggregate in the melt and form self-assembled alkyl nanodomains with a typical size *d* of 0.5–2 nm. Such sizes have been deduced from Bragg spacings  $d_{\rm II}$  obtained from prepeaks found by X-ray scattering.

This is shown in Fig. 24 together with a comparison with some data for other polymer series having alkyl groups, including poly(di-n-alkyl itaconates), hairy rod polyimides, and poly(alkylbenzimidazol-alt-thiophene). The data of Beiner and Huth reveal that the alkyl nanodomain size and the dynamics are mainly determined by the number, C, of alkyl carbon atoms per side chain and depends slightly on the microstructure of the main chain. A polyethylene-like glass transition driven by a process called  $\alpha_{PE}$  within the alkyl nanodomains has been observed by dielectric, mechanical, and dynamic heat capacity spectroscopy [297, 298]. The results described for different series of side-chain polymers support that  $\alpha_{PE}$  is a general phenomenon. The angular relaxation frequencies  $1/\tau_{C,PE}$  of  $\alpha_{PE}$  are shown as a function of 1000/T in Fig. 25 for three higher PnAA with C=4 (filled triangles), C=8 (filled diamonds), and C=10 (filled circles), together with the VFTH fits to  $1/\tau_{8,\text{PE}}$  and  $\tau_{10,\text{PE}}$  for C=8 and C=10, respectively, and an Arrhenius fit to  $1/\tau_{4,\text{PE}}$  for C=4 [481]. The open symbols on the VFTH fits mark the temperatures at which  $\tau_{8,\text{PE}}$  and  $\tau_{10,\text{PE}}$  have reached 1 s. The nanodomain size d serves as an upper bound of the heterogeneity length scale,  $\xi_{het}$ , of  $\alpha_{PE}$ . Hence the observed change of dynamics of  $\alpha_{PE}$  with C provides the corresponding dependence of the dynamics on  $\xi_{\text{het}}$ . For example, increasingly weaker T-dependence of  $1/\tau_{C,\text{PE}}$  with decreasing C or d is evident. The fragility m(C) calculated from  $1/\tau_{C,PE}$  with  $T_g$  defined by  $\tau_{C,PE} = 1$  s for all  $C \le 10$  show correspondingly monotonic decrease. This trend can be seen from the values of m in the inset of Fig. 25. For C=4 with the small alkyl nanodomain size  $\approx 0.5$  nm, its  $\alpha_{\rm PE}$  processes have properties different from that of the higher PnAA and PnAMA members. The  $\alpha_{PE}$  process in C=4 (PnBMA

Fig. 24 (a) Equivalent Bragg spacings  $d_{\rm II}$  as obtained from prepeaks for methacrylates (circles), acrylates (squares), itaconates (stars), and hairy rod polyimides (diamonds). The lengths of extended alkyl groups are given for comparison. (b) Schematic pictures for the situation in the nanophase-separated melt. A local 1D picture (*left*) and a global 3D view (right) are compared. The *light grey* regions are the alkyl nanodomains and the small dark ellipses represent the carboxyl groups. Reproduced from [297] by permission



or PnBA) has small intensity; its relaxation time,  $\tau_{4,\text{PE}}$ , has nearly Arrhenius temperature dependence; and its steepness (fragility) index *m* is about 14, close to the minimum value of fragility. The Arrhenius fit to the  $\tau_{4,\text{PE}}$  data of *C*=4 in Fig. 25 is given by the expression  $\tau_{4,\text{PE}} \approx 10^{-13.8} \exp(40.3 \text{ kJ/RT})$ . These features all seem to indicate that cooperativity is close to being totally removed in the  $\alpha_{\text{PE}}$  process of *C*=4.

The  $\alpha_{PE}$  of PnAMA exhibits the same property as shown for PnAA as shown in Fig. 26. The polyethylene-like glass transition in self-assembled alkyl nanodomains is perhaps the best case for experimental support of the correlation of fragility with length scale. Nothing is changed except for the size of the alkyl nanodomains. For C=4, the Arrhenius *T*-dependence of  $1/\tau_{4,PE}$  together with the near minimum value of *m* reached indicates the total absence of cooperativity when the alkyl nanodomain size is less than 0.5 nm. This estimate of the minimum size for cooperativity applies to the polyethylene-like dynamics and may not apply to other glassformers because of the difference in intermolecular interaction/constraint. The absence of cooperativity in  $\alpha_{PE}$  of C=4 means it is no different from a secondary  $\beta$ -relaxation of the Johari–Goldstein (JG) kind [481]. In other words, the observed  $\alpha_{PE}$  process of C=4 may appropriately be identified as the  $\beta_{PE}$  process. This indicates that



**Fig. 25** Arrhenius plot for the angular relaxation frequencies,  $\omega \equiv 1/\tau_{C,PE}$ , of  $\alpha_{PE}$  processes in higher PnAA with C=4 (filled triangles), C=8 (filled diamonds), and C=10 (filled circles) as obtained from dielectric spectroscopy [297]. The lines are fits to the Vogel–Fulcher–Tammann– Hesse equation for C=8 and C=10, and a fit to the Arrhenius equation for C=4. For explanation of the other symbols and vertical arrows, see text. The inset is the cross-plot of  $n_C$  against m(C)for C=4, 8, and 10 of the PnAA series (squares) and C=4, 7, and 10 of the PnAMA series (circles). The data point (*m*,*n*) from amorphous PE is included (triangle). Reproduced from [481] by permission



**Fig. 26** (*Left*) Arrhenius plot for the relaxation times  $\tau_{C,PE}$  of  $\alpha_{PE}$  processes in higher PnAMA with C=4 (*triangles*), C=7 (*diamonds*), and C=10 (*circles*). Data from dielectric spectroscopy (*full symbols*), mechanical spectroscopy (*open symbols*), and heat capacity spectroscopy ( $\oplus$ ) are shown. The *lines* are fits to the Vogel–Fulcher–Tammann–Hesse equation for C=7 and C=10, and a fit to the Arrhenius equation for C=4. For explanation of the *vertical arrows*, see text. Plotted also are the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation times of bulk PnBMA (C=4) samples with different degrees of polymerization of 1000 ( $\triangleleft$ ), 52 ( $\triangledown$ ), and 18 ( $\triangleright$ ). The figure on the right is the cross-plot of  $n_C$  against m(C) for various C values of the PnAA series and the PnAMA series. Reproduced from [481] by permission

for polyethylene-like dynamics, the length scale of the  $\alpha$ -process must be larger than the small alkyl nanodomain size  $\approx 0.5$  nm of C=4 in order to have usual characteristics of the usual cooperative structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation such as VFTH temperature dependence and heat capacity response at glass transition. For C>4,  $\alpha_{PE}$  has these characteristics because the size of the nanodomain is larger than the minimum requirement of 0.5 nm. The higher glass transition temperature and larger  $m_C$  that the nanodomain of larger C has are a reflection of the increase of the length scale with increasing C up to the maximum value in bulk PE. The alkyl nanodomain size  $\approx 1.5$  nm of C=10 poly(*n*-decyl methacrylate) (PnDMA) could be used as a lower bound of the maximum length scale of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation in PE.

The universality and fundamental importance of the JG secondary relaxation in glass-forming systems will be discussed in Section 2.3. Moreover, there is a relation between JG  $\beta$ -relaxation time and the  $\alpha$ -relaxation time via the coupling or non-exponentiality parameter of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation afforded by the CM. Applied here to  $\tau_{C,JG}$  (or its approximant  $\tau_{4,PE}$ ) and  $\tau_{C,PE}$ , the relation enables the coupling parameter  $n_C$  of the  $\alpha_{PE}$  process to be calculated for C > 4 [481], and the results are plotted against  $m_C$  in the insets of Figs. 25 and 26. These plots serve to show the correlation between  $n_C$  and  $m_C$ , as well as the length scale of the  $\alpha_{PE}$  process. This is because all three quantities increase monotonically with C.

In calculating n of the  $\alpha_{\rm PE}$  process for C > 4 in the above, we have assumed that the observed  $\alpha_{\rm PE} \equiv \beta_{\rm PE}$  process of C=4 can be identified as the JG  $\beta$ -process for C > 4 and the universal existence of the JG  $\beta$ -process for all glassformers including alkyl nanodomains of C > 4. Although not resolved by normal cooling rates, the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation associated with  $\alpha_{PE}$  for C>4 should exist if the JG β-relaxation in glass-forming systems is indeed universal. Also its relaxation time  $\tau_{C,IG}$  should be nearly the same as  $\tau_{4,PE}$  since  $\alpha_{PE}$  for C=4 is non-cooperative and identifiable as the  $\beta_{PE}$  process [481]. These expectations were subsequently verified experimentally by Beiner and coworker by rapidly quenching C=10 poly(*n*-decyl methacrylate) (PnDMA) and C=7 poly(*n*-heptyl methacrylate) [482]. For rapidly quenched samples, sometimes one or two additional peaks were detected on the high-frequency flank of the  $\alpha_{PE}$  process in the frequency range where the  $\alpha_{PE} \equiv \beta_{PE}$ process is observed for the slowly cooled C=4 side-chain polymers with short alkyl groups and small alkyl nanodomains. Shown in the left panel of Fig. 27 is the case where a small peak appears on the high-frequency side of the  $\alpha_{PE}$  process after rapid quenching (1st) the sample. This peak disappears after periods (2nd and 3rd) of physical aging slightly below  $T_g$ , the conventional glass transition temperature of the *a* process (not the  $\alpha_{PE}$  process). The two peak maxima frequencies from dielectric measurements at different temperatures on quenched samples (1st sweeps) are shown in the right panel of Fig. 27. The frequencies of the two additional peaks in the quenched C=10 samples have Arrhenius-like temperature dependence. They are higher than the relaxation frequencies of the conventional structural relaxation process a and the polyethylene-like structural relaxation process  $\alpha_{\rm PE}$  (open symbols) in C=10 (PnDMA). Interestingly they are in good agreement with the frequencies of the  $\alpha_{PE} \equiv \beta_{PE}$  process in C=4 (PnBMA) shown in Fig. 26 and represented in Fig. 27 (right panel) by the thick dashed-dotted line. The observation



Fig. 27 (*Left*) Dielectric loss  $\varepsilon''$  vs. logarithm of the angular frequency  $\omega$  measured for *C*=10 poly(*n*-decyl methacrylate) (PnDMA) at -80°C after rapid quench without aging (1<sup>st</sup> sweep), and after physical aging of the quenched sample for 20 min (2<sup>nd</sup> sweep), and for 40 min (3<sup>rd</sup> sweep) at a temperature  $T_e = -73$ °C slightly below the glass temperature [482]. (*Right*) Arrhenius plots of the two peak maxima frequencies from dielectric measurements on quenched samples (1st sweeps) are indicated by *closed triangles* and *circles*. The relaxation frequencies for the conventional glass transition *a* (*open symbols*) and for the polyethylene-like glass transition  $\alpha_{PE}$  (*open symbols*) in *C*=10 (PnDMA) are shown for comparison. Data of *a* and  $\alpha_{PE}$  for *C*=10 from dielectric spectroscopy (*triangles*), shear (*diamonds*), and heat capacity spectroscopy (*squares*) are included. The *thick dashed-dotted line* corresponds to the  $\alpha_{PE} = \beta_{PE}$  process in *C*=4 (PnBMA) as shown in Fig. 26. Reproduced from [482] by permission

of the localized  $\beta$ -process in the alkyl nanodomains of *C*=10 after rapid quench is due to enhancement of its amplitude of motion by additional free volume introduced, which disappears during physical aging slightly below  $T_g(\alpha)$ . These effects of quenching and aging on the  $\beta$ -process are similar to that found for conventional bulk glassformers to be discussed in Section 2.3.

A recent experimental study of P*n*BMA and P*n*HMA [482(c)] has verified the conclusions made first in [481] and previously confirmed by experiment in [482(a), 482(b)]. Another confirmation is the study of alkyl nanodomains in poly(3-alkylthiophenes) by Pankaj and Beiner [297].

### 2.2.2.6 Length Scale from Nanoconfinement

In some models of glass transition, such as Adam–Gibbs [30], Donth [121], and several others such as [483], the cooperative structural relaxation dynamics is characterized by a temperature-dependent correlation length  $\xi(T)$ . The increase of  $\xi(T)$ with decreasing temperature was used to explain the corresponding rapid increase of  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$  in the manner of the Vogel–Fulcher–Tammann–Hesse (VFTH). As we have seen above, near the glass transition temperature,  $\xi$  estimated from some glassformers is in the range of a few nanometers as expected [484]. Therefore, if one or more than one dimension is reduced to some nanometer size *d*, the increases of  $\xi$  on decreasing temperature will be arrested when  $\xi(T_d)$  exceeds *d*. Consequently, the VFTH temperature dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$  found at high temperatures  $T > T_d$  cannot continue below  $T_d$ , where  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$  will have a milder temperature dependence. Such an effect was found in small molecule glassformers in nanometer spaces [485–506]. However, interpretation of the observed effect by the Adam–Gibbs model turns out to be problematic as will be discussed later in Section 2.2.5.8.

A comprehensive investigation of the effect on nanoconfinement was performed by Schönhals and coworkers [498–500] on poly(dimethyl siloxane) (PDMS, with  $M_w = 1400$  g/mol, and  $T_g = 151$  K) and poly(methyl phenyl siloxane) (PMPS,  $M_w = 1000$  g/mol, and  $T_g = 212$  K). Dielectric spectroscopy, temperaturemodulated differential scanning calorimetry (TMDSC), and quasielastic neutron scattering were employed to investigate the molecular dynamics of poly(dimethyl siloxane) (PDMS) and poly(methyl phenyl siloxane) (PMPS) confined to random nanoporous glasses with mean pore dimensions of 2.5, 5.0, 7.5, and 20 nm to confine the two polymers. In all pores, the confined PDMS and PMPS had faster local segmental (structural  $\alpha$ -) relaxation than the bulk state. The temperature dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  from dielectric measurements still had the VFTH form for pore size of 20 and 7.5 nm (see Figs. 28 and 29).



**Fig. 28** Relaxation map for PDMS: (**I**) bulk, (•) 20 nm, (**A**) 7.5 nm, (**�**) 5 nm, from dielectric measurements. Corresponding *open symbols* are from TMDSC measurements. The *dashed lines* are fits of the VFT equation to the data. The *solid line* is a fit of the Arrhenius equation to the data.  $E_a = 48.4 \text{ kJ/mol}, \log(f_{\infty}[\text{Hz}]) = 20.1$ . *Inset* (**A**) gives the dielectric loss vs. frequency for PDMS confined to nanoporous glasses with a pore size of 5 nm: (**A**) T = 153.9 K, (**O**) T = 142.4 K, (**D**) T = 136.6 K. The *lines* are fits of the HN-function including a conductivity contribution to the data. *Inset* (**B**) gives the uncorrected dielectric relaxation strength  $\Delta \varepsilon$  vs. inverse temperature: (**II**) bulk, (•) 20 nm, (**A**) 7.5 nm, (**♦**) 5 nm. The *lines* are linear regressions to the data. Reproduced from [498] by permission



**Fig. 29** Relaxation map of PMPS plotting the maximal loss  $f_p$  vs. 1/*T* of PMPS: (**■**) bulk, (**▲**) 7.5 nm, (**♦**) 5 nm, and (**\***) 2.5 nm are from dielectric measurements. Corresponding *open symbols* are from TMDSC measurements. The *dashed line* is fit by the VFTH equation to the bulk data. The *dashed-dotted line* is a fit by the VFTH equation to the data of PMPS confined in 7.5 nm pores. The *solid lines* are fits by the Arrhenius equation to the data of PMPS confined in 5 nm ( $E_a = 73.7 \text{ kJ/mol}$ ,  $\log(f_{\infty}[\text{Hz}] = 18.5)$  and in 2.5 nm ( $E_a = 39.8 \text{ kJ/mol}$ ,  $\log(f_{\infty}[\text{Hz}] = 11.9)$ . The *inset* gives the uncorrected dielectric relaxation strength  $\Delta \varepsilon$  vs. inverse temperature: (**■**) bulk, (**\***) 2.5 nm. The *lines* are linear regressions to the data. Reproduced from [499] by permission

However, at pore size of 5 nm, the temperature dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  was changed to an Arrhenius dependence,  $\tau_{\alpha}(T) = \tau_{\infty} \exp(E_a/K_BT)$ . The activation energy  $E_a$  is 48.4 kJ/mol for PDMS and 73 kJ/mol for PMPS. The temperature dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$ of PMPS confined in 2.5 nm pores also is Arrhenius with  $E_a = 40$  kJ/mol and  $\tau_{\infty} = 10^{-12.7}$  s, like the sizes of the corresponding parameters of a local and independent relaxation. When the *T*-dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is still VFTH-like (bulk or confined in 20 and 7.5 nm pores), the dielectric strength  $\Delta\varepsilon$  of PDMS and PMPS decreases with increasing temperature, typical for structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation in all glassformers. The change in the temperature dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  to Arrhenius dependence of PDMS when confined in 5.0 nm pores or PMPS in 5.0 and 2.5 nm pores is accompanied by a change in the temperature (see insets of Figs. 28 and 29). The changed temperature dependence of  $\Delta\varepsilon$  is a characteristic for localized molecular motions.

Temperature-modulated differential scanning calorimetry (TMDSC) measurements also provide valuable information on dependence in pore size. The step in the specific heat  $\Delta C_p$  at  $T_g$ , normalized to the weight of the confined polymer, decreases strongly with decreasing pore size. For PDMS and PMPS confined to pores with a



Fig. 30  $\Delta C_p$  normalized to the weight of the confined polymer vs. pore size: (**II**) PDMS, (•) PMPS. The *lines* are guides for the eyes. The *inset* gives the real part of the complex heat capacity obtained by TMDSC for PDMS at the labeled pore sizes. The temperature was modulated with a modulation time of 200 s and an amplitude of 0.5 K. The underlying heating rate was 0.25 K/min. The *dashed* lines are extrapolations of  $c_p$  below  $T_g$  to temperatures above  $T_g$ . Reproduced from [499] by permission

dimension of 5.0 and 2.5 nm, signature of glass transition was not observed from  $\Delta C_p$  by the temperature-modulated differential scanning calorimetry measurements within the experimental uncertainty (see inset of Fig. 30 in the case of PDMS). These results provide independent evidence that a cooperative length scale is relevant for the glassy dynamics in PDMS and PMPS. From this trend of the calorimetry data, the cooperative length scale of about 5 nm was proposed, which is larger than other reported values. The discrepancy could be due to the presence of an immobilized boundary layer found for PMPS, which means that effective pore size is smaller than 5 nm.

Neutron scattering experiments were carried out only for PDMS [498] and PMPS [499]. The samples were protonated, and thus incoherent scattering dominates and the data are for the self-correlation function  $F_s(\vec{k}, t)$  defined in Eq. (1.114). Neutron backscattering instruments used has high energy resolution in the range of 1  $\mu$ eV, corresponding to observation times of the order of  $t \sim \hbar/E \sim 4$  ns. The elastically scattered intensity  $I_{el}$  measured as a function of temperature is normalized by the total scattering intensity  $I_0$  measured below 2 K where all molecular motions are frozen. Assuming a Gaussian form for the elastically scattered intensities the mean-squared displacement  $< r^2 >_{\text{eff}}$  is deduced from the relation

$$I_{\rm el}/I_0 = \exp[-Q^2 < r^2 >_{\rm eff}/3].$$
 (2.36)



**Fig. 31** Temperature dependence of  $\langle r^2 \rangle_{\text{eff}}$  of PMPS for the different pore sizes: *open squares*—bulk, *open circles*—7.5 nm, *open diamonds*—5 nm, *open triangles*—2.5 nm. The *inset* gives the slope d  $\langle r^2 \rangle_{\text{eff}}/\text{d}T$  for  $T > T_{\text{g,bulk}}$  vs. inverse pore size. Reproduced from [500] by permission

The temperature dependence of  $< r^2 >_{\text{eff}}$  for bulk PMPS and PMPS confined in 7.5, 5.0, and 2.5 nm pores are shown in Fig. 31. The PMPS sample used in neutron scattering studies has higher molecular weight and  $T_{\rm g}$  than the one discussed above. The parameters are  $M_{\rm w} = 2600$  g/mol, polydispersity index  $M_{\rm w}/M_{\rm n} = 1.20$ , and  $T_{\rm g} = 223$  K. On increasing temperature, the first rise of  $\langle r^2 \rangle_{\rm eff}$  in the vicinity of 100 K is due to the fast methyl group rotation (see Fig. 31). This is a localized and independent relaxation and therefore it is not much influenced by the confinement. After this step rise,  $\langle r^2 \rangle_{\text{eff}}$  exhibits a plateau of slow increase of  $\langle r^2 \rangle_{\text{eff}}$ with temperature, and the height of the plateau is not much changed by confinement. Shown before, the plateau reflects the caged molecule dynamics [115, 195, 507, 508], and this will be discussed in detail in Section 2.3. Since caged dynamics is insensitive to confinement, it follows the same for the plateau as observed. The plateau continues till near a temperature  $T_x$ , beyond which  $\langle r^2 \rangle_{\text{eff}}$  of bulk PMPS shows a steeper increase with temperature. For the unconfined PMPS,  $T_x$  is approximately 223 K, the  $T_g$  of bulk PMPS. This elbow shape of the temperature dependence of  $\langle r^2 \rangle_{eff}$  with  $T_x \approx T_g$  is general and found in many bulk glassformers of different types [114, 115, 509, 510]. Some examples of bulk glassformers including the classical case of Se by Buchenau and Zorn [509] are shown together with PDMS confined in 7.5 nm pores in Fig. 32. The same change was found for the PMPS confined in the pores (Fig. 31). The absolute value of  $\langle r^2 \rangle_{eff}$  and the slope of its change with increasing temperature characterized by the derivative



 $d < r^2 >_{eff} / dT$  for  $T > T_x$  decrease significantly with decreasing pore size (Fig. 31). This trend is the same as that found when comparing different bulk glassformers, where glassformer with smaller *n* and fragility index *m* has smaller  $d < r^2 >_{eff} / dT$  for  $T > T_g$ , found empirically to hold, particularly when restricting to glassformers of the same class [115, 239]. This trend in bulk glassformers has been rationalized by the CM [507]. If we recall the dielectric and TMDSC data of nanoconfined PDMS and PMPS definitely show decrease of  $T_g$  and the fragility index *m* when confined in pore of smaller size. The change toward local and non-cooperative dynamics with Arrhenius *T*-dependence when decreasing pore size suggests decrease of cooperativity, and hence decrease of its index *n*. Thus, the trend of  $d < r^2 >_{eff} / dT$  for  $T > T_g$  observed in nanoconfined PMPS with decreasing pore size and concomitant decrease of *m* and *n* are analogous in all respects to that found in bulk glassformers.

Before we leave this section, some words of caution are needed. Although in this section the models of glass transition by Adam–Gibbs, Donth, and others were used to motivate the connection between VFTH temperature dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$  and increasing length scale with decreasing temperature, by no means the connection validates these models. The actual molecular dynamics in unconfined glassformers may be entirely different from that suggested by these models. More scrutiny of these models like that given before in Sections 2.2.2.2 and 2.2.2.3 and in later sections can reveal the deficiencies of these models. Therefore, one should not rush to make any judgment on the veracity of these models based only on their success in rationalizing the VFTH dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$  in the bulk, and the decrease of  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$  as well as the change toward Arrhenius temperature dependence by nanoconfinement. Later, we shall return to show that there is a connection between the structural

relaxation times of PDMS and PMPS confined in 5 and 2.5 nm pores and the Johari– Goldstein secondary relaxation time in their bulk states. This connection offers a deeper insight into the molecular mechanism of the structural relaxation not given by these models.

### 2.2.2.7 Length Scale from Multi-point Dynamical Susceptibilities

A familiar quantity in describing dynamics is the two-point correlation function such as the self or incoherent intermediate scattering function given by Eq. (1.114), and rewritten here as  $F_s(\vec{k},t) = \langle f_s(\vec{k},t) \rangle$ . Less familiar is the four-point susceptibility,  $\chi_4(t)$ , defined as a variance by

$$\chi_4(t) = N[\langle f_s^2(\vec{k}, t) \rangle - \langle f_s(k, t) \rangle^2]$$
(2.37)

It is a measure of the strength of the spontaneous fluctuations about the average dynamics. For density, energy, or orientation fluctuations generally denoted by  $U(\vec{r}, t)$ , the four-point correlation function [511, 512] is defined by

$$G_4(\vec{r},t) = \langle U(0,0)U(0,t)U(\vec{r},0)U(\vec{r},t) \rangle - \langle U(0,0)U(0,t) \rangle \langle U(\vec{r},0)U(\vec{r},t) \rangle.$$
(2.38)

It was constructed to measure the correlation in space of the local two-time correlation functions,  $\langle U(0,0)U(0,t)\rangle$ , which is the density-density correlation when U is the density  $\rho$ , or the dipole moment-dipole moment time correlation functions when U is the dipole moment M. Let us consider at point 0 that a decorrelation of the local density is occurring over time interval t. Then  $G_4(\vec{r}, t)$  measures the probability that a similar event has occurred at position  $\vec{r}$  away within the same time interval t. In view of this,  $G_4(\vec{r}, t)$  is a measure of the heterogeneity and cooperativity of the dynamics. So is the associated four-point susceptibility  $\chi_4(t)$  given by the integral over volume of  $G_4(\vec{r}, t)$ , which is equal to the variance of the correlation function [513, 514]. The  $\chi_4(t)$  for several model glassformers has been computed numerically, and all show  $\chi_4(t)$  exhibits a maximum at the structural relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  [515–522]. The peak value,  $\chi_4(\tau_{\alpha})$ , is found to increase as temperature decreases or density increases. From the relation between  $\chi_4(\tau_{\alpha})$  and  $G_4(\vec{r}, t)$ , this indicates that the range of heterogeneity and cooperativity of the dynamics increases with increase of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  toward glass transition. Except for a factor of order unity,  $\chi_4(\tau_{\alpha})$  is a measure of a correlation volume, or a number of molecules  $N_{\text{corr},4}$  that are dynamically correlated over a time period of the order of  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . Although the maximum of  $\chi_4(t)$  is located near  $t = \tau_{\alpha}$ ,  $N_{\text{corr},4}$  is actually defined by the maximum value of  $\chi_4(t)$  at some t near  $\tau_{\alpha}$ .

Unfortunately so far no one has been able to measure  $\chi_4(t)$  in glass-forming liquids and polymers. Berthier et al. [523, 524] came to the rescue by proposing a method to obtain  $N_{\text{corr.}4}$  from a three-point function,  $\chi_T(t)$ , related to the

sensitivity of the two-time dynamics to external control parameters such as temperature or density. For temperature,  $\chi_T(t)$  is the temperature derivative of the intermediate scattering function  $F_s(\vec{k}, t)$  or a local two-time correlation function,  $C(t) = \langle U(0,0)U(0,t) \rangle$ , i.e.,  $\chi_T(t) = dC(t)/dT$ . By means of the fluctuationdissipation theorem, Berthier et al. were able to relate  $\chi_T(t)$  to  $\chi_4(t)$ , show  $\chi_T(t)$ has a maximum at  $t \sim \tau_{\alpha}$  like  $\chi_4(t)$ , and obtain the following expression for  $N_{\text{corr},T}$ :

$$N_{\text{corr},T}(T) = [k_{\text{B}}T^2/\Delta C_p(T)] \{\max_t \chi_T(t)\}^2, \qquad (2.39)$$

where  $\Delta C_p$  is the configurational heat capacity per molecule at constant pressure.  $N_{\text{corr,T}}$  is the number of molecules whose dynamics on the timescale of the  $\alpha$ relaxation at *T* is correlated to a local enthalpy fluctuation. Berthier et al. [523] initially suggested that  $N_{\text{corr,T}}$  from Eq. (2.39) is a lower bound for  $N_{\text{corr,4}}$ , but later showed [524, 525] that it is a very good estimate of  $N_{\text{corr,4}}$  by numerical calculations of  $\chi_T(t)$  and  $\chi_4(t)$  from molecular dynamics simulations of binary LJ particles and silica. If C(t) is well described by a stretched exponential function,  $C(t) = \exp\{-[t/\tau_{\alpha}(T)]^{\beta(T)}\}, N_{\text{corr,T}}$  is given by

$$N_{\text{corr},T}(T) = [k_{\text{B}}\beta(T)^{2}/e^{2}\Delta C_{p}(T)]\{d\ln\tau_{\alpha}/d\ln T\}^{2}.$$
(2.40)

Two other terms with one involving  $d\beta(T)/dT$  have been neglected in Eq. (2.40) because in practice they only contribute 1% to the value of  $N_{\text{corr},4}$  [526].

Values of  $N_{\text{corr},T}(T)$  and its value at  $T = T_g$  for many different glassformers were obtained from  $\chi_{\tau}(t)$  in the manner as discussed above first by Berthier et al. [523] for a few archetypal glassformers including glycerol from dielectric relaxation data, colloidal hard spheres from dynamic light-scattering data, and binary LJ particles and silica [524] from molecular dynamics simulation. Additional values of  $N_{\text{corr},T}(T)$  of other small molecular glassformers and B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> are given from dielectric relaxation, light and neutron scattering, and optical Kerr effect experimental data by Dalle-Ferrier et al. [525]. Values of  $N_{\text{corr},T}(T)$  for even more glassformers of all kinds including amorphous polymers were obtained by Capaccioli et al. [526] principally from dielectric relaxation data. All these studies show, as a function of T,  $N_{\text{corr},T}(T)$  increases with decreasing temperature, or as a function of  $\tau_{\alpha}, N_{\operatorname{corr},T}(\tau_{\alpha})$  increases with increase of  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . If  $N_{\operatorname{corr},T}(T)$  truly reflects  $N_{\operatorname{corr},4}(T)$ , these results do indicate the growth of some length scale of dynamic heterogeneity, cooperativity, or correlation in the motion on decreasing temperature. However, the quantitative details of the  $N_{\text{corr},4}(T)$  obtained are perplexing. After normalizing  $\tau_{\alpha}$ by a microscopic time  $\tau_0$  specific to different systems, Dalle-Ferrier et al. found the  $N_{\rm corr,4}(T)$  as a function of  $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_0$  falls remarkably close to one another for many different glassformers (see Fig. 4 in [525]). Examples are results from molecular dynamics simulations of silica compared with binary LJ particles, propylene glycol compared with *ortho*-terphenyl, and  $B_2O_3$  compared with decalin. In each of the three pairs, other characteristics of the dynamics, however, are very different. Notable are non-exponentiality (or *n* appearing in the fractional exponent of the

Kohlrausch correlation function) and fragility (or steepness index *m*). The result of  $N_{\text{corr},T}(T)$  that it differs little from one glassformer to another, including at glass transition (at the same  $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_0$ ), poses a problem for many theories of glass transition that ascribe the strong temperature dependence of the dynamics *solely* coming from a length scale that grows as one approaches the glass transition, if the length scale is truly  $N_{\text{corr},T}$ . This is obvious because in these theories the  $N_{\text{corr},T}$  results would say that many pairs of glassformers have the same dynamics, which is not borne out by the experimental facts on other properties shown immediately below.

Dependence of  $N_{\text{corr},T}$  on  $\tau_{\alpha}$  of more glassformers obtained by Capaccioli et al. [526] from experimental data shows there are differences for some. However, overall the results are still perplexing. For example, the strong glassformer GeO<sub>2</sub> has exponential time dependence (n=0) for the correlation function [112], Arrhenius *T*-dependence for  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , and small  $\Delta C_p$ , and yet its  $N_{\text{corr},T} = 413$  is so much larger than  $N_{\text{corr},T} = 92$  of fragile glassformer tri-naphthyl benzene (TNB) which has non-exponential time dependence  $(n\approx0.44)$  for the correlation function [455], VFTH *T*-dependence for  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , and much larger  $\Delta C_p$ . Capaccioli et al. found at the glass transition temperature,  $N_{\text{corr},T}(T_g)$  and the configurational entropy per unit volume  $S_c(T_g)$  are anticorrelated, as originally predicted by the Adam–Gibbs theory. Using the same data as tabulated in the paper by Capaccioli et al., we attempt to see if there is anticorrelation between  $N_{\text{corr},T}(T_g)$  and  $\beta(T_g) \equiv [1 - n(T_g)]$  or correlation between  $N_{\text{corr},T}(T_g)$  and  $\alpha(T_g)$ . The results shown in Figs. (33-1a) and (33-1b) indicate absence of any anticorrelation and correlation, respectively, even when restricted to glassformers in the same class.



**Fig. 33-1a** Plot of  $N_c$  or  $N_{corr,T}(T_g)$  obtained from the  $\chi_T(t)$  approximation vs. Kohlrausch exponent at  $T_g$  and atmospheric pressure for 45 glassformers (data from [526]). Polymers (*diamonds*), oxide glassformers and selenium (*circles*), hydrogen-bonded materials (*triangles*), and van der Waals glassformers (*squares*). The absence of correlation is indicated by the small value of the Pearson linear correlation coefficient


The lack of correlation  $N_{\text{corr},T}$  with other key indicators of dynamics especially non-exponentiality seriously undermines its relevance as a measure of the length scale of heterogeneous or cooperative dynamics. As mentioned before and later on in various other connections, in my view the heterogeneous dynamics and non-exponentiality are parallel consequences of the many-body structural relaxation dynamics. Therefore the length scale of the heterogeneous dynamics  $\xi_{het}$  and the non-exponentiality parameter n should correlate unless certain extraneous factor has entered in determining these two quantities. The problem encountered by  $N_{\text{corr},T}$  probably originates from Eq. (2.40) through the term  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . Not only manybody relaxation but also specific volume and entropy enter in determining  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , and thus  $N_{\text{corr},T}$  is not as directly and simply related to many-body relaxation as is the non-exponentiality parameter n. It is clear that n and  $\tau_{\alpha}$  are two essential parameters in  $C(t) = \langle U(0,0)U(0,t) \rangle$  needed to characterize the structural relaxation. Furthermore, n and  $\tau_{\alpha}$  play independent roles. This fact is made evident by the invariance of n to different combinations of P and T which keep  $\tau_{\alpha}$  constant, a general experimental fact to be presented in Section 2.2.4 later. The fact that only  $\tau_{\alpha}$ enters in Eq. (2.40) but not n in determining  $N_{\text{corr},T}$  indicates not all aspects of many-body dynamics have been accounted for by  $N_{\text{corr},T}$ , and this explains its lack of correlation with n or m. The essential and independent role played by n becomes transparent if dynamics are discussed in the framework of the CM. In this model, the primitive relaxation time  $\tau_0$  has already the pressure P/volume V and temperature T/entropy S dependences [196] (verified experimentally via the Johari–Goldstein relaxation, see Section 2.3). These dependences of  $\tau_0$  are magnified by the manybody dynamics leading to stronger corresponding dependences of  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , obtainable by the CM relation,  $\tau_{\alpha} = [t_c^{-n} \tau_0]^{1/(1-n)}$ , in which the role played by *n* is evident.

The shortcomings of  $N_{\text{corr},T}$  do not translate to  $\chi_4(t)$ . The latter has sound physical underpinning and provides important information on the dynamics despite not having been measured by experiment in glass-forming liquids and polymers so far. For the binary LJ particles system,  $\chi_4(t)$  obtained numerically at T = 0.42

(LJ unit) shows  $\chi_4(t) \sim t^4$  in the ballistic regime at very short times [527(a)]. Later, when the particles are caged,  $\chi_4(t)$  becomes weakly dependent on t. After the caged particles dynamics regime, there is the onset of the fractional power law time dependence,  $\chi_4(t) \sim t^{\mu}$ , with  $\mu = 0.73$ , which starts from small value and rises to maximum located close to the relaxation time of the correlation function. This rise of  $\chi_4(t) \sim t^{\mu}$  starting from small value is consistent with the existence of the uncorrelated primitive relaxation to be followed by many-body dynamics whose length scale increases monotonically with time as more and more particles participate in cooperative motion. The increase continues until the maximum number allowed by the inter-particle potentials of the system is reached at time near  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . Cessation of growth of length scale at times beyond times of the order of  $\tau_{\alpha}$ naturally is reflected by the rapid decay of  $\chi_4(t)$  from the maximum down to its long time limiting value. The evolution of dynamics with time starts from the caged regime (1) which is terminated by the most elementary relaxation (2), which is the primitive relaxation in the context of the CM. Thereafter it is followed by cooperative motions with increasing participation of particles (3) and continues until the terminal regime at time of the order of the structural relaxation time (4) is reached. This description of evolution of dynamics proffered by  $\chi_4(t)$  is consistent with that of the CM [120, 507, 508] as will become evident when dynamics at shorter times than  $\tau_{\alpha}$  are discussed in Section 2.3. The  $\chi_4(t)$  and the corresponding dynamical correlation length  $\xi_4(t)$  obtained from molecular dynamics simulations of binary LJ particles by Laĉević et al. [522] offer the same insight.  $\xi_4(t)$  provides an estimate of the range of correlated particle motion. Like  $\chi_4(t)$ ,  $\xi_4(t)$  has a maximum as a function of time t. The value of the maximum of  $\xi_4(t)$  is less than one particle diameter at higher temperature (T = 0.94 and 2) where for the coherent intermediate scattering function its relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is short (of the order of a few LJ units of time) and its time dependence is close to exponential. The corresponding  $\chi_4(t)$  is negligibly small, indicating particle motion is essentially uncorrelated. These results are consistent in all respects with the primitive relaxation of the CM, and it is observed because at these high temperatures  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is comparable to  $t_{\rm c}$ , which is of the order of unity in LJ unit. On lowering temperatures,  $\xi_4(t)$  also starts from small values and increases with time to reach maximum value. The latter increases with decreasing temperature, reaching a value of about nine particle diameters at T = 0.6.

We have seen from the analysis of experimental data for a broad range of real materials from Fig. 33-1(a), wherein values of  $N_c$  or  $N_{corr,T}(T)$  or at  $T = T_g$  were obtained from  $\chi_T(t)$ , that there is absence of any relationship between  $N_c$  and  $\beta_K$ . However, this does not happen for  $N_c$  calculated from simulations of the Kob-Andersen model of Lennard-Jones mixture with the 12-6 LJ potentials as a function of temperature T and density  $\rho$  [527(b)]. The non-exponentiality parameter  $\beta_K$  is obtained from the self-intermediate scattering function, and  $N_c$  from the maximum in  $\chi_4(t)$  obtained as a function of t. The results for different state points (T and  $\rho$ ) given in a plot of  $N_c = \max{\chi_4(t)}$  in Fig. 33-1(c) show  $N_c$  does indeed correlate with  $\beta_K$  over a range of thermodynamic conditions. The good correspondence is in accord with the interpretation of increasing  $N_c$  or the degree of dynamic



**Fig. 33-1c**  $N_c$  from  $\chi_4(t)$  vs. Kohlrausch exponent for simulated Lennard-Jones particles. Each *symbol* represents a distinct state point. Increasing dynamic heterogeneity is associated with a broader distribution of relaxation times [527(b)]

heterogeneity is associated with an increasing breadth of the relaxation function or smaller  $\beta_{\rm K}$  or larger *n*. The result here supports that both length scale of  $\alpha$ -relaxation given by  $N_{\rm c}$  and *n* are parallel consequences of the many-body  $\alpha$ -relaxation.

### 2.2.2.8 Length Scale Is Not Practical to Use as Measure of Many-Body Dynamics

We have seen from the discussions above that the length scale of structural relaxation given by theories and models based on thermodynamic considerations and thermodynamic quantities is unreliable. Although the four-point susceptibility  $\chi_4(t)$ is fundamentally sound as the quantity to extract a length scale, so far no one has been able to measure it for glass-forming liquids and polymers. The only dependable measurement of the heterogeneity length scale,  $\xi_{het}$ , is by multidimensional <sup>13</sup>C solid-state NMR technique. But this technique is elaborate and expensive to carry out and that is why so far it had only been carried out for four glassformers. Alternative experimental methods had been proposed and used to determine cooperative length scale or heterogeneity length scale. They all utilize some special effects that enable length scale to be deduced. References to the other techniques not covered in this section can be found in two reviews [228, 528].

One method used the effect of modification of the solvent by addition of a polymer to determine the length scale of the structural relaxation of the solvent,  $\xi$  [529]. The solvent used is polychlorinated biphenol (Aroclor) and the polymers are polyisoprene (PI) and 1,4-polybutadiene (PB). The characteristics of the Aroclor reorientation motion seen by photon correlation and dielectric relaxation spectroscopies in Aroclor solutions of high molecular weight PI and PB are distinctively different from those in Aroclor solutions of low molecular weight polymers. At

constant polymer concentration, when the molecular weight of PI or PB is low, the photon correlation function shows a larger broadening of the dispersion of Aroclor relaxation and a more rapid change with temperature. The effect is broadening of the dispersion of the solvent by the polymer, which is different in the two regimes,  $\xi > R_g$  and  $\xi < R_g$ , where  $R_g$  is the radius of gyration of the polymer. The dispersion was measured for solutions of polymers at a fixed concentration but with polymers of different molecular weights ( $R_g$ ). Plotted as a function of  $R_g$ , the width of the dispersion changes rather abruptly in the vicinity of  $R_g = 1.5$  nm. This property was explained by the molecular weight dependence of the concentration fluctuation of Aroclor due to the presence of the dissolved polymer. When the size of the dissolved polymer coil is comparable to or smaller than the size of the Aroclor cooperative rearranging regions, concentration fluctuations are enhanced, resulting in a concomitant increase of broadening of the Aroclor reorientational relaxation spectrum. This result indicates that  $\xi$  of Aroclor is about 1.5 nm when temperature is near  $T_g$ .

Another example worth further description is based on an effect seen by light scattering from glass-forming liquids. The light-scattering intensity vs. temperature curve exhibits a maximum during heating in the glass transition region from the glassy state to the liquid state [530, 531]. This effect does not afford a direct measurement of the heterogeneous dynamics. Nevertheless, with some assumptions and structural relaxation kinetic parameters taken from other experiments, Moynihan and Schroeder [531] were able to deduce the size of nanoscale inhomogeneities (density fluctuations) which relax at different rates. The predicted length scales obtained by way of the Adam–Gibbs model and given in terms of the cube root of volumes are 2.3, 2.7, and 4.6 nm for  $B_2O_3$ , glycerol, and PVAc, respectively. The corresponding values obtained by using the free volume model are larger and equal to 5.3, 5.4, and 8.4 nm for  $B_2O_3$ , glycerol, and PVAc, respectively.

Many experimental techniques discussed all have confirmed the existence of length scale that determines the number of molecules or particles involved in the structural relaxation. Thus, although length scale alone does not tell us the actual dynamics, it implies some form of many-body relaxation. However, most of the experimental techniques have given the length scales of a few glassformers, and it is uncertain the length scales of the same glassformer obtained by different techniques are compatible with each other.

Only calorimetry has determined the length scales of many more glassformers, but the results were obtained through some Adam–Gibbs model-dependent analyses of the thermodynamics data [420]. As we have discussed earlier, the results seem internally inconsistent and doubtful. Probably, this is because thermodynamic quantities alone cannot account for the many-body relaxation part of the dynamics. Besides, there is still disagreement on how to account for the configurational entropy, which is only one part of the supercooled liquid's entropy. Another part is the vibrational entropy. The importance of both parts of entropy and heat capacity  $C_p$  has been recognized since the Kauzmann's discussion of entropy of supercooled liquids [391]. On the one hand, Johari found the configurational entropy of a liquid cannot be assumed to be equal to, or even proportional to, the excess entropy of the

liquid over its ordered crystal state, and this is compounded by the implausibility of Kauzmann's extrapolation [413]. On the other hand, Angell and Borick [414] argued in favor of the opposite that the vibrational part of  $C_p$  is the same as the  $C_p$ of the ordered crystal phase, or proportional to it, although subsequently this proposal seems to have been withdrawn [532]. However, the controversy continues. By analyzing the vibrational density of states in the low-frequency (Boson peak) region and combining it with a computer simulation, Angell and coworkers [532] deduced that there is a discontinuous increase in the vibrational  $C_p$  due to unfreezing of the structure of a glass on heating through  $T_{\rm g}$ . They concluded that the vibrational part of a liquid's  $C_p$  is much greater than the value used in all earlier analyses of calorimetric data using the Adam–Gibbs theory [420], and questioned the results obtained by claiming that the behavior of the vibrational heat capacity was not properly taken into account. Later on, Johari found for three different glassformers that the change in the vibrational part at liquid–glass transformation is negligible [533]. A different conclusion was reached that there is no discontinuity in the vibrational parts of  $C_p$ on structural unfreezing in the  $T_g$  range, and hence the change in  $C_p$  at  $T_g$  is almost entirely due to change in the configurational part.

### 2.2.2.9 Why Fixation on the Length Scale of the α-Relaxation, and Disregard of the Width of the Dispersion?

The length scales of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation determined from pure thermodynamic consideration, such as those using the Adam–Gibbs model or the Donth's model, show inconsistencies. This is unsurprising because the models have assumed that thermodynamics alone determine the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and its relaxation time. The assumption can hardly be justified because the  $\alpha$ -relaxation is a many-body process and its effects are not expected to be captured by thermodynamic variables including configuration entropy, heat capacity, free volume, and the like. The length scales determined from different methods hinged on thermodynamics often are inconsistent with each other, creating the situation of which one should use. For example, we can take some values of the length scale  $\xi_{\alpha}(T_g)$  for some glassformers from Donth's model [425] and compare them with  $N_{\text{corr},T}(T_g)$ , the number of molecules whose dynamics on the timescale of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation at  $T_{g}$  is correlated to a local enthalpy fluctuation, of Bethier et al. [523–525]. For example, the values of  $\xi_{\alpha}(T_g)$  for PMMA, OTP, and B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> are 1.5, 3.0, and 1.5 nm, respectively [425], and the corresponding values of  $N_{\text{corr},T}(T_g)$  are 572, 148, and 107, respectively [526]. Obviously the two sets of values for the length scale from the two sources are irreconcilable with each other.

The dynamic heterogeneous nature of the structural relaxation, like its nonexponentiality and other anomalous dynamics properties (to be discussed later), is a direct consequence of many-body relaxation. Hence the length scale of dynamic heterogeneity is a true measure of the extent of the many-body relaxation. Multidimensional NMR experiment is a microscopic technique that has been successfully applied to determine the length scale of dynamic heterogeneity [226–228]. The size of the length scale has been determined at temperatures near but still above  $T_{\rm g}$ , but unfortunately this has been done so far for a paucity of four glassformers within the last two decades. The four-point susceptibility  $\chi_4(t)$  has the potential of providing the length scale, but experimental technique has yet to be developed to measure this quantity proficiently for a large number of glassformers in order to be useful. Thus, at least for the present time, it is not practical to use length scale from any source as the measure of the extent of many-body relaxation. A pragmatic choice is the width of the dispersion of the intermediate scattering function,  $F_{s}(\vec{k}, t)$ , or a local two-time correlation function,  $C(t) = \langle U(0, 0)U(0, t) \rangle$ , pertaining to the structural relaxation. This is because these quantities are widely and easily accessible by a variety of spectroscopic techniques and are already available for many glassformers and polymers in the literature. If the Kohlrausch function or its Fourier transform describes well the time dependence or the frequency dispersion of the measurement, the exponent n can be used instead of the width of the dispersion. The correlation found between length scale of dynamic heterogeneity  $\xi_{het}$ from NMR and n also gives assurance that the two are equivalent in the usage as the indicator of the many-body nature of the structural relaxation, albeit  $\xi_{het}$  of only four glassformers is on hand. A longer  $\xi_{het}$  associated with a larger *n* is borne out by considering  $\xi_{het}$  for glycerol, *o*-terphenyl, and poly(vinyl acetate) obtained by multidimensional <sup>13</sup>C solid-state exchange NMR experiments by Reinsberg et al. [422], where  $\xi_{het}$  is 1.3, 2.2, and 3.7 nm for glycerol, *o*-terphenyl, and poly(vinyl acetate), respectively, all determined uniformly at  $T = T_g + 9$  K. These values of  $\xi_{\text{het}}$  correlate with the corresponding values of 0.29 [195], 0.50 [237], and 0.55 [238] of n, all obtained by fitting dielectric measurements by the Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function when  $\tau_{\alpha} \approx 1$  s for the three glassformers. Description of the procedure used to fit the dielectric loss data can be found in [477]. An independent <sup>13</sup>C solid-state exchange NMR measurement of  $\xi_{het}$  for supercooled D-sorbitol at  $T = T_g + 7$  K by Qiu and Ediger [476] yields 2.5  $\pm$  1.2 nm. These authors quoted KWW parameter  $\beta_{KWW} \equiv (1 - n)$  of sorbitol as well as glycerol, *o*-terphenyl, and poly(vinyl acetate) from publications of others. These values may not be used together fairly because they were obtained by various authors using different fitting procedures and criteria. For sorbitol, they took  $\beta_{KWW} = 0.41$  or n = 0.59 (citing private communication from Richert) which is quite different from the value of n = 0.52 [195] obtained by the aforementioned procedure [477]. The large value of n=0.59 for sorbitol taken by Qiu and Ediger causes sorbitol not to conform to the correlation between  $\xi_{\text{het}}$  and *n*. On the other hand, when the fair value n = 0.52is used together with  $\xi_{\text{het}} = 2.5 \pm 1.2$  nm for sorbitol, the correlation between  $\xi_{\text{het}}$ and *n* holds for glycerol, *o*-terphenyl, sorbitol, and poly(vinyl acetate) as shown in Fig. 33-2.

The most convincing argument favoring the width of dispersion or *n* as the key parameter characterizing the many-body structural relaxation is the existence of correlations between *n* and many other properties of the structural relaxation to be discussed in Sections 2.2.3–2.2.5 to follow and the explanations by the CM. In contrast, such correlations with other properties do not exist between the length scale determined from pure thermodynamic consideration by using the Adam–Gibbs model or the Donth's model, or with  $N_{\text{corr},T}(T_g)$ . For  $N_{\text{corr},T}(T_g)$ , this conclusion



**Fig. 33-2** Plot showing the correlation found between length-scale of dynamic heterogeneity  $\xi_{het}$  from NMR and *n* 

comes from the absence of correlation between  $N_{\text{corr},T}(T_g)$  and *n* (see Fig. 33) while *n* correlates with the other properties. One such property is the breakdown of Stokes–Einstein (SE) and Debye–Stokes–Einstein (DSE) relations to be discussed in Section 2.2.5.6. Actually this property has attracted explanation from dynamical heterogeneous nature of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation, and naturally  $N_{\text{corr},T}(T_g)$  is relevant and it should correlate with the size of the breakdown at  $T_g$ . The values of  $N_{\text{corr},T}(T_g)$  for silica and TNB are 579 and 92, respectively, and one would be led to expect larger degree of breakdown in silica than in TNB. Experimentally it is the opposite with major breakdown found in TNB and none in silica (see Section 2.2.5). Despite all that said above on the virtue of considering dispersion and its width or *n*, it is remarkable that main stream theories of glass transition did not take it seriously as a key parameter of the dynamics, and instead focused on the length scale. As far as I know, the CM is the only approach that emphasizes the dispersion and has its width or *n* determining dynamic properties.

# 2.2.3 $T_g$ -Scaled Temperature Dependence of $\eta$ or $\tau_{\alpha}$ and the Steepness or "Fragility" Index

### 2.2.3.1 The $T_g$ -Scaled Plot of $\eta$ by Oldekop–Laughlin–Uhlmann–Angell

It is instructive to compare the same property of different glassformers under the same condition, such as the isobaric glass transition temperature  $T_g$  (or the isothermal glass transition pressure  $P_g$ ) at which the structural relaxation time reaches a predetermined long time, say  $10^2$  s. Furthermore, if a quantity can be measured as a function of temperature *T* or pressure *P*, insight may be gained by comparing the variation of the quantity of different glassformers at the same corresponding-states variable such as the  $T_g$ -scaled temperature,  $T_g/T$  or  $T/T_g$ , or  $P_g$ -scaled pressure,

 $P_g/P$  or  $P/P_g$ . In 1957, Oldekop [374] seems to be the first one to compare in this way the liquid viscosity  $\eta$  of 10 different oxide and fluoride glassformers with and without the addition of alkali oxide or alkali earth oxides. His plot of log  $\eta$  against  $T_g/T$  shows a pattern of variation from one glassformer to another. It was assumed that the viscosity at  $T = T_g$  is about the same for all his non-polymeric glassformers. This can be expected from the Maxwell's relation,  $\eta = G_{\infty} \langle \tau_{\alpha} \rangle$ , because the mean structural relaxation time  $\langle \tau_{\alpha} \rangle$  and high-frequency shear modulus  $G_{\infty}$  at  $T = T_g$  are about the same for the same class of glassformers.

Later in 1972, apparently unaware of the Oldekop's paper, Laughlin and Uhlmann [375] repeated the use of  $T_g$  as a corresponding-states parameter to correlate and compare the flow behavior of different non-polymeric liquids. By comparing log  $\eta$  in a plot against  $T_g/T$  of three different classes of materials, (i) SiO<sub>2</sub> and GeO<sub>2</sub>, (*ii*) B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and the alkali silicate glasses, and (iii) three organic small molecule liquids including *ortho*-terphenyl (OTP), salol,  $\alpha$ -phenyl-*o*-cresol, and 1,3-*bis*(1-naphthyl)-5-(2-naphthyl)benzene (TNB), and molten salt Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>–KNO<sub>3</sub>, Laughlin and Uhlmann found liquids within each class have nearly the same  $T_g$ -scaled temperature dependence. However, the  $T_g/T$ -dependences of log  $\eta$  of the three classes have very different curvatures. Laughlin and Uhlmann did not give a physical interpretation of the difference in the  $T_g/T$ -dependences they found for different glassformers.

Angell [215, 216] recognized the importance of the Laughlin–Uhlmann plot as a means to classify the transport properties of glassformers. He included more nonpolymeric materials into the plot of Laughlin and Uhlmann and expanded the pattern found by them. Thus, it seems logical to refer to the plot of log  $\eta$  vs.  $T_g/T$  as the Oldekop–Laughlin–Uhlmann–Angell (OLUA) plot. But most workers called it the Angell plot, ostensibly giving all the credit to Angell for inventing this plot, which is arguably inappropriate. However, credit has to be given to Angell for recognizing the efficacy of this plot, and for coining the words "fragile" and "strong" to separate out those glassformers having, respectively, stronger and weaker  $T_g/T$ -dependence on log  $\eta$ . When using the phrase "strong and fragile classification of glassformers," it is appropriate to refer to Angell as the originator. However, it is not scientifically accurate to call the log  $\eta$  vs.  $T_g/T$  plot as the "Angell plot." This is a minor point, but there is no reason for anyone who values the importance of  $T_g$ -scaled plot to ignore the historical contributions of Oldekop, Laughlin, and Uhlmann.

The terms "fragile" and "strong" originated from an idea of Angell [215, 216] used to explain why some glassformers like 0.4 Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>–0.6 KNO<sub>3</sub>, OTP, and propylene carbonate show rapid changes in  $\eta$  or relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  for the same change of scaled reciprocal temperature,  $T_g/T$ , at temperatures near  $T = T_g$  in the OLUA plot. The key concept in his idea was the loss of the local structure (short range order) with increasing *T* above the glass transition. He suggested the structures of these glass-forming liquids change significantly with increase in temperature, and hence he labeled them "fragile" glassformers. On the other extreme in the OLUA plot are glassformers having smaller changes in  $\eta$  or  $\tau_{\alpha}$  for a given change of  $T_g/T$ near  $T = T_g$ . Their minimal slopes and curvatures in the OLUA plot mean that  $\eta$  or  $\tau_{\alpha}$  has Arrhenius or near Arrhenius temperature dependence. Angell attributed this behavior of these glassformers to their ability to maintain the short range order to higher temperatures and consequently there are relatively smaller changes in  $\eta$  or  $\tau_{\alpha}$ for a given change of  $T_g/T$  near  $T = T_g$ . Angell called them "strong" glassformers, naturally since in English "strong" has the opposite meaning of "fragile." In fact, "strong" glassformers like  $SiO_2$  and  $GeO_2$  are networks with strong covalent bonds, and the structure is not changed much by temperature. The class of glassformers lying between the "fragile" and "strong" classes in the OLUA plot was referred to as intermediate liquids. A similar pattern has been found for amorphous polymers by plotting log  $\tau_{\alpha}$  against  $T/T_{\rm g}$  [479] where  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is the local segmental relaxation time. It was also found when plotting against  $T_g/T$  the  $\alpha$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  of the tertiary chalcogenide glassformers,  $Ge_x As_y Se_z$  [480], and in some combination of various glassformers [535]. The viscosity of polymers involves the motion of entire chains and its value depends sensitively on molecular weight and polydispersity, and the plot of log  $\eta$  against  $T_g/T$  should not be used. However, the pattern exhibited by the plot of log  $\tau_{\alpha}$  against  $T/T_{g}$  for polymers cannot be rationalized by Angell's interpretation of fragile-strong pattern given by him in early years because in polymers repeat units are bonded together and there is no obvious difference of the change of short range order from one polymer to another with change in temperature.

Nowadays, the words "strong" and "fragile" are often used to convey the curvature or location of either log  $\eta$  or log  $\tau_{\alpha}$  of the glassformer in the OLUA plot. However, one must bear in mind that the use of these words may have committed oneself to the interpretation by Angell of the source of the pattern either the one originally suggested [215, 216, 534] or his other alternative interpretations, one of which is based on landscape description. The landscapes may consist of a single megabasin for "strong" glassformers, whereas "fragile" ones have an abundance of well-separated megabasins [37]. These interpretations are qualitative and may not be accurate for some cases. There may be other factors including many-body relaxation dynamics which are neglected in these interpretations but do have effect on the observed  $T_g$ -scaled temperature dependence of  $\eta$  or  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . Therefore, it is prudent not to use the terms "fragile" and "strong" indiscriminately to describe the pattern seen in the OLUA plot for all different kinds of glassformers. Since the viscosity of a "fragile" glassformer is more sensitive to the temperature change than "strong" glassformer, it takes a shorter duration of time to shape an object from its melt and to anneal out the internal stresses from the finished object. Therefore, for a century, glass-makers have used the used the terms "long" and "short" instead of "strong" and "fragile," respectively [400]. But, the latter has been repeatedly used in the current literature; it is unlikely there will be any change in the practice. In fact, having said that, this author sometimes does conform to this practice in using the terms "fragile" and "fragility."

#### 2.2.3.2 The Steepness or "Fragility" Index

One way to quantify the overall  $T_g/T$ -dependence of log  $\eta$  or log  $\tau_{\alpha}$  of a glass-former in the OLUA plot is by its slope *m* evaluated at  $T_g/T = 1$  [480, 112]. That is,

 $m = d \log x/d(T_g/T)$  evaluated at  $T_g/T = 1$ , where x is either  $\eta$  or  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . This quantity was mentioned before in Section 2.2.2.3 in connection with length scale of the structural relaxation. The terms "steepness index" and "fragility" are the notations commonly used nowadays for m. If  $\tau_{\alpha}$  has the VFTH dependence written in the form,  $\tau_{\alpha} = \tau_{\infty} \exp[DT_0/(T - T_0)]$ , then  $m = DT_gT_0/[2.303(T_g - T_0)^2]$ .

A different steepness index *S* was also introduced even a year earlier than *m* when considering viscoelastic data [479]. It is defined by  $S = d \log(a_T)/d(T/T_g)$  evaluated at  $T = T_g$ , where  $a_T$  is the shift factor of the measured shear compliance of the local segmental relaxation. The difference between *m* and *S* comes from the scaled variable  $T_g/T$  used in the former and  $T/T_g$  in the latter. As soon as *S* for polymers had been introduced, examination was made for possible correlation between *S* and the parameter characterizing the non-exponential time dependence of the structural relaxation given by the exponent *n* of the Kohlrausch function also at  $T = T_g$ . This step taken was motivated by a previously published paper [535] which showed from the effect of many-body relaxation of the coupling model that a correlation can be expected between the  $T_g/T$ -dependence of  $\eta$  or  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and non-exponentiality of the structural relaxation of a glassformer.

The correlation was also seen between *m* and *n* in the family of the ternary chalcogenide glassformers,  $\text{Ge}_a\text{As}_b\text{Se}_c$ , on varying the composition by changing the average coordination number,  $\langle r \rangle = 4a + 3b + 2c$ , while keeping the ratio  $y \equiv a/(a+b)$  constant and equal to 0.5 [480]. More glassformers were considered in the combined effort [112].

Glassformers come from many different classes distinguished by their chemical bondings and structures. Polymers are obviously different from non-polymeric materials because of bonds between repeat units in a long chain. Within polymers, there are the subclasses with carbon backbones or non-carbon backbones. Nonpolymeric glassformers are further divided into liquids with hydrogen bonds, van der Waals liquids, plastic crystals, molten salts, inorganic glasses with covalent or non-covalent bonds, metallic glassformers, and others. Volume and entropy and their temperature dependences can be widely different in these different classes of glassformers and they may vary greatly in their influence on the temperature dependence of  $\eta$  or  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . Taking glassformers from different classes altogether at one time into consideration may suffer from the consequence of smearing out any correlation that *m* and *n* may have. Therefore, sharper or rigorous correlation can only be observed if the glassformers belong to the same class and are related in chemical structures. These aspects demonstrated already in the initial paper [112] will be further illustrated by other examples to be given later.

It is instructive to show the merit of the concept of fragility as well as pointing out possible perils encountered when interpreting it from the experimental data of glass-forming chalcogenides alone. For these covalently bonded network glassformers, Phillips introduced the constraint theory [536] to answer the fundamental question of why some of them, such as SiO<sub>2</sub> and the binary and ternary chalcogenide glasses As<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub> and Ge<sub>a</sub>As<sub>b</sub>Se<sub>c</sub>, form glasses much more proficiently than others. He considered the strongest covalent bond stretching and bond bending forces between nearest neighbors to serve as Lagrangian (mechanical) constraints. The number of

Lagrangian bond-stretching constraints per atom with average coordination number < r > is < r > /2, and of the bond-bending constraints is 2 < r > -3. He suggested that glass-forming ability is optimal when the averaged total number of Lagrangian local-bonding constraints per atom, 5 < r > /2 - 3, is equal to the number of degrees of freedom, which is 3 for three dimensional networks. Thus the optimal glass-forming composition corresponds to < r > = 2.4. Subsequently, Thorpe [537] reformulated the constraint theory as a problem of rigidity percolation. In random networks numerical calculations have shown that a floppy-to-rigid transition occurs when  $\langle r \rangle$  increases to a value quite close to the predicted mean-field value of 2.40. Experimental confirmations of the transition suggested by Phillips and Thorpe in various ways have been found in binary (Ge or Si)<sub>x</sub>Se<sub>1-x</sub> and ternary  $Ge_a As_b Se_c$  glasses [480, 538–541]. Of interest here is the finding in the same family of chalcogenides that the "fragility" exhibits a minimum as a function of < r > with the minimum value occurring near < r >= 2.4, where the jump of heat capacity at  $T_g$  is small. More recently, measurement of the glassforming  $(Na_2O)_x(P_2O_5)_{1-x}$  liquids was made by photon correlation spectroscopy at temperatures near the glass transition for compositions extending from pure phosphorus pentoxide to the metaphosphate (x = 0.5) [542]. Pure P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> forms a three-dimensional network and has < r >= 3. On increasing Na<sub>2</sub>O, < r >decreases monotonically to 2. The "fragility" index m when plotted against < r >also exhibits a very shallow minimum near  $\langle r \rangle = 2.4$ .

Later on, Raman scattering and T-modulated differential scanning calorimetry (TMDSC) showed that in (Ge or Si)<sub>x</sub>Se<sub>1-x</sub> actually there are two transitions, one near  $r_{\rm c}(1) = 2.40$  from a floppy to an (intermediate) unstressed rigid phase and another transition near  $r_{\rm c}(2) = 2.52$  from an (intermediate) unstressed rigid to a stressed rigid phase [543-545]. The intermediate phase lies within the compositional window defined by  $r_c(1) < (< r >) < r_c(2)$  and has unusual properties compared with the other two phases. These include the fragility index m, the Arrhenius activation energy barrier of mechanical relaxation E, and the nonreversing heat flow term,  $\Delta H_{\rm nr}$ , obtained by MDSC. All these quantities exhibit a minimum in the intermediate phase.  $\Delta H_{\rm nr}$  provides a measure of the latent heat between the relaxed solid glass and its melt, and hence the degree of configurational difference between the glass and the liquid. In the intermediate phase,  $\Delta H_{\rm nr}$  is found not only to nearly vanish, but also not to age, in contrast to that found in the floppy and stressed rigid phases. The same was found in the ternary  $Ge_aAs_bSe_c$  chalcogenides which has  $r_c(1) = 2.27$  and  $r_c(2) = 2.42$  [546]. As < r > increases across the floppy, intermediate, and the stressed rigid phases, fragility correlates well with the magnitude of  $\Delta H_{\rm nr}$ , and the implication it has on the structure and the degree of aging. As mentioned before for the Ge<sub>a</sub>As<sub>b</sub>Se<sub>c</sub> chalcogenides, if  $y \equiv a/(a + b)$  is kept constant at 0.5, there is also a correlation between the fragility m and n at  $T_g$  on varying < r > [480]. The values of  $m \approx 80$  and  $n \approx 0.58$  are largest at < r > = 2.0: both decrease monotonically on increasing < r > to reach the minimum values of  $m \approx 30$  and  $n \approx 0.36$  when < r > falls within the intermediate state regime defined by  $r_c(1) = 2.27$  and  $r_c(2) = 2.42$ . However, when the ratio  $y \equiv a/(a+b)$  is not kept constant at 0.5, for  $Ge_aAs_bSe_c$  having the same  $\langle r \rangle = 2.4$ , m is the same,

but  $n \approx 0.67$  for y = 0.2 and n = 0.52 for y = 1.0. This breakdown of correlation between *m* and *n* was pointed out by Böhmer and Angell [480] themselves, which they attribute to the chemical effects near the binary edges of the ternary system. The admonition by these authors for the case of the Ge<sub>a</sub>As<sub>b</sub>Se<sub>c</sub> chalcogenides is a special case of a more general breakdown of correlation between *m* and *n* when glassformers with widely different chemical structures are considered together.

#### 2.2.3.3 Isobaric Fragility m<sub>P</sub> Decreases with Increasing Pressure

The commonly quoted "fragility" and its index *m* are determined from the temperature dependence of  $\eta$  or  $\tau_{\alpha}$  at ambient pressure. Isobaric "fragility" at elevated pressure *P* and the index *m<sub>P</sub>* had been obtained by relaxation measurements of many glassformers, small molecular [547–551] and polymeric [552–555]. Generally speaking, the isobaric *m<sub>P</sub>* of van der Waals glassformers and polymers decreases with *P* although the rate of change varies greatly to the extent that for some such as poly(bisphenol A coepichlorohydrin) [552, 553], poly(vinyl acetate), and poly(ethylene acrylate) [555], *m<sub>P</sub>* is independent of pressure. For examples from van der Waals liquids see Fig. 34a. Exceptions to this trend are found in strongly H-bonded materials such as glycerol [556].

## 2.2.3.4 The Isochoric "Fragility" $m_V$ Is Significantly Less Than the Isobaric "Fragility" $m_P$

The isobaric "fragility" and its index  $m_P$  are obtained simply by plotting the temperature dependence of  $\eta$  or  $\tau_{\alpha}$  vs.  $T_g/T$  at each pressure. For the isochoric "fragility," one has to use PVT data in combination with the measured pressure and temperature dependence of  $\eta$  or  $\tau_{\alpha}$  to obtain these quantities at constant specific volume and then plot them against  $T_g/T$ . The isochoric "fragility" index  $m_V$  is then obtained as the slope of the plot  $\log(\eta \text{ or } \tau_{\alpha})$  vs.  $T_g/T$  at  $T = T_g$ , in the same way as for  $m_P$ . In general for small molecular and polymeric glassformers, the values for  $m_V$  are all smaller than the corresponding isobaric  $m_P$  [555, 557]. For examples from van der Waals liquids see Fig. 34a. The dependence of the isobaric "fragility" on specific volume varies from no dependence for poly(vinyl acetate) and poly(ethyl acrylate), slight increase with specific volume for polystyrene, to significant increase with specific volume for poly(methyl acrylate) and poly(vinyl chloride). Interestingly, under isochoric conditions, the temperature dependence of the segmental relaxation time of poly(methyl acrylate) and poly(vinyl chloride) cannot be represented by a VFTH equation except over a very narrow temperature range. These results seem to suggest that although the VFTH equation is widely applicable to describe the temperature dependence of  $\eta$  or  $\tau_{\alpha}$  under isochoric condition, it may not have general validity under isochoric condition.

From the values of  $m_V$  obtained for molecular liquids and polymers [119, 558] one can observe that there is no correlation between  $m_V$  and the non-exponentiality parameter *n* even when restricted to the same chemical class of glassformers.



**Fig. 34a** Isobaric dielectric relaxation times for salol, PC, BMMPC, PDE, KDE, and PCB62 vs.  $T_{\alpha}/T$  where  $\tau(T_{\alpha}) = 10$  s. Isochors were calculated at the volume at which  $\tau = 10$  s at atmospheric pressure; V = 0.7907 (salol), 0.7558 (PC), 0.9067 (BMMPC), 0.7297 (PDE), 0.7748 (KDE), and 0.6131 (PCB62) ml/g. Reproduced from [588(c)] by permission

### 2.2.3.5 Correlation Between Kinetic "Fragility" and Thermodynamic "Fragility"?

There is no doubt that volume and entropy do influence the mobility of basic structural unit of a liquid. On changing temperature/pressure, volume and entropy of the liquid change and in turn impart changes of  $\eta$  or  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and their temperature/pressure dependence. This certainly happens, independent of the presence of any addition determining factor of  $\eta$  or  $\tau_{\alpha}$  such as the many-body relaxation dynamics, which lies outside the realm of thermodynamic description. If an additional factor exists, then *m* is not a quantity that is determined solely by thermodynamics. Moreover, since the degree of the influence of volume and entropy on  $\eta$  or  $\tau_{\alpha}$  depends on the chemical and physical structure, even the thermodynamics contribution to *m* can vary greatly from one class of glassformers to another. Thus, the steepness or "fragility" index *m* is a complex or mixed parameter. It does not point exclusively to any single and fundamental factor determining glass transition, and hence its importance should not be overstated. When used as a central or key quantity to characterize or correlate glass transition dynamics of glassformers with widely different chemical and physical structures, it may lead to dubious or even wrong conclusions. This danger is acute at recent times because "fragility" has been so well promoted in the literature that by now it has become the center of attention of many workers in the field. Somehow, these workers think that "fragility" is the key to a full understanding of glass transition, and establishing any such correlation with it will be a step forward. This frame of mind has led to the pursuit of correlations between various properties and "fragility" or *m* (or other alternative parameters like  $F_{1/2}$ ) exclusively.

The most serious push in this direction is the correlation between thermodynamic quantities or their  $T_g$ -scaled temperature dependence and "fragility" or *m* by Angell and coworkers with the intention of showing that glass transition is determined by thermodynamics and its change with temperature. The first proposal that related "fragility" to the jump in heat capacity  $C_p$  at  $T_g$  [37, 215, 216, 534] has been shown to be incorrect [417, 559–561] and subsequently withdrawn, even after an account had been taken of the number of rearrangeable units per mole of glassformer [562].

Still building on the notion that relaxation properties are related to thermodynamic quantities, Angell and co-workers put forth the proposition that fragilities could be determined from other thermodynamic quantities [563]. In one proposal,  $1/S_{\text{exc}}$ , the reciprocal of the excess of liquid entropy over that of the crystal, at different temperatures  $T_g/T$  above  $T_g$  is scaled by  $1/S_{exc}(T_g)$ , the reciprocal of the excess entropy at T<sub>g</sub>. The initial paper [563] plotted  $S_{\text{exc}}(T_{\text{g}})/S_{\text{exc}}$  against  $T_{\text{g}}/T$  above  $T_g$  for three different glassformers, As<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub>, bromopentane, and Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>) · 4H<sub>2</sub>O. The data show the same pattern as that found in the "fragility" plot of these three glassformers, and this result led the authors of [563] to conclude that thermodynamics, in particular entropy, determines "fragility." This different  $T_g/T$ -dependences of the scaled  $S_{\text{exc}}(T_{\text{g}})/S_{\text{exc}}$  called "thermodynamic fragilities" was probably motivated by the Adam–Gibbs equations (2.21) and (2.22), indicating that  $\log(\tau_{\alpha})$  is proportional to reciprocal of the configurational entropy,  $S_c$ , and the assumption that either  $S_c = S_{exc}$  or  $S_c$  is proportional to  $S_{exc}$ . However, as we have discussed, neither of these two assumptions are valid. Nevertheless, the publication of [563] invited further the investigation of the correlation of the  $T_g/T$ -dependences of the scaled  $S_{\text{exc}}(T_{\text{g}})/S_{\text{exc}}$  (thermodynamic "fragilities") with their  $T_{\text{g}}/T$ -dependences of the log( $\tau_{\alpha}$ ) (kinetic "fragilities") [416]. The glassformers chosen are within the class of organic small molecular glassformers, where one can find plenty of the most accurately determined entropy data by adiabatic calorimetry with vibration contribution taken into account [420]. The results show a number of violations and cast doubt on the proposed correlation between "thermodynamic fragility" and "kinetic fragility" [416]. More glassformers of different classes were added by Martinez and Angell [564] to show the correlation. The inorganic glassformers have extended both "thermodynamic fragility" and "kinetic fragility" to much lower values than the organic small molecular glassformers. With all kinds of glassformers included, a rough correlation seems to exist between "thermodynamic fragilities" and "kinetic fragilities." However, when confined to the organic small molecular glassformers, their results (see Fig. 3 in [564]) do not show any correlation, consistent with the previous work [416] that show explicitly the various breakdowns of correlation. In another paper [562] that favors the correlation of m with thermodynamic fragility [66], the thermodynamic fragility of glycerol (m = 53) was shown to be smaller than that of *cis*-decalin (m = 147) and hence the correlation. However, the thermodynamic fragility of Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O is still larger than that of *cis*-decalin, although the *m* value of the former was not given. The correlation would still break down unless *m* of Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O is larger than 147 of *cis*-decalin, which is unlikely because CKN has m = 93.

Here is an example of the difference in view that can occur between workers coming from different scientific backgrounds. While the breakdowns equated to anomalies are emphasized by physicists as clues to find the solution to the problem [25, 160, 161], they do not seem to be a matter of concern to chemists such as Martinez and Angell. Instead, they cited support from computer simulation studies of hard spheres [565], supercooled water [566], and binary Lennard-Jones particles [567]. Their belief in thermodynamic factors are sufficient to describe  $\alpha$ -relaxation and glass transition is made clear by the statement "... it is now being suggested by molecular-dynamics (MD) studies that liquid diffusion is a process dominated by thermodynamic factors." It is risky to jump to this conclusion based on a correlation which has exceptions without taking into consideration other experimental aspects, particularly those pointing to the role played by the dispersion of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. There is no doubt that thermodynamic factors constitute the major cause for the rapid increase of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and vitrification, but the role of other factors may not be so easily dismissed. The  $\alpha$ -relaxation is definitely a many-body process, and most workers would not disagree. But, can all the effects of many-body dynamics be captured by thermodynamics alone, as some theories such as the Adam-Gibbs model and the free volume model suggest? For the sake of argument, let us not be so ambitious to solve the glass transition problem, and instead consider just the properties of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of a liquid either at constant T, P, or V, or at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . As we shall see by many examples throughout this treatise, there are still several important and general properties of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation that have no relation to thermodynamic factors but require explanation. These situations suggest thermodynamic factors alone cannot fully describe the  $\alpha$ -relaxation, and some basic physics of many-body relaxation leading to the thermodynamic factors have yet to be found and implemented into any theory of glass transition before it can claim to have solved the problem. Undaunted by this possibility, Martinez and Angell sought to rationalize the correlation in terms of thermodynamic factors by the Adam-Gibbs equation. As has been discussed before in a previous section, the Adam–Gibbs theory shows explicitly that the reciprocal of the configurational entropy  $S_c$  governs  $\log(\tau_{\alpha})$ . S<sub>c</sub> is only a part of S<sub>exc</sub>. In order to have the correlation as a consequence of the Adam-Gibbs equation, Martinez and Angell asserted that Sc and Sexc change proportionally with increase of temperature above  $T_g$ . This assertion was not supported by analysis of thermodynamic data by Johari [409, 413, 415].

For 11 amorphous polymers for which sufficient thermodynamic and relaxation data were available, it was found that their "thermodynamic fragilities" in the plot of  $S_{\text{exc}}(T_g)/S_{\text{exc}}$  against  $T_g/T$  bear no relationship to their corresponding "kinetic fragilities" in the plot of  $\log(\tau_{\alpha})$  against  $T_g/T$  [417]. Though polymers have many repeat units bonded together to form long chains, their thermodynamic and dynamic properties related to glass transition are qualitatively no different from nonpolymeric glassformers, and even selenium and some metaphosphate glassformers which have long chains. One has to reconcile with the non-existence of strong connection between "thermodynamic fragility" and "kinetic fragility" in polymers.

Establishing correlations between physical quantities is a worthwhile pursuit in a research problem such as glass transition that has no satisfactory solution. Yet even a perfect correlation is at best an indication of a possibility but cannot be used as a proof of any theory. If a correlation has exceptions or breakdowns, like in the present case of thermodynamics and kinetic "fragilities," they should not be ignored. They are anomalies which signal that some other piece of physics may be missing in the consideration of the correlation (see Section 1.4.2 for a discussion on the importance of anomalies as guides to solution of a problem). Now, thermodynamics and kinetic "fragilities" are just two out of more quantities that characterize the thermodynamics and dynamics of glassformers. Even if undisturbed by the imperfect correlation between thermodynamics and kinetic "fragilities," one should follow this up with the next question, which is whether other properties are also correlated with them. One outstanding property is the non-exponentiality of the structural relaxation characterized by n that appears in the exponent (1 - n), of the Kohlrausch correlation function. We have mentioned before that if all kinds of glassformers are included in the consideration, there are many breakdowns of the correlation between m and n. Correlation is strong or perfect only when restricted to glassformer from the same family with related chemical and physical structures. Martinez and Angell defined a thermodynamics "fragility" index  $F_{3/4}$  by the value of  $T_g/T$  at which  $S_{exc}(T_g)/S_{exc}$ assumes the value of 0.75. The next question is: how good is the correlation between  $F_{3/4}$  and n? By inspection of Fig. 3 of [564], we see  $F_{3/4} \approx 0.44$  of B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is smaller than  $F_{3/4} \approx 0.76$  of 3-bromopentane and  $F_{3/4} \approx 0.80$  of propylene carbonate (note that the scale of  $F_{3/4}$  in the figure is different for values above 0.7). In the opposite direction, among the three,  $B_2O_3$  has the largest  $n \approx 0.40$  [112] compared with  $n \approx 0.29$  for 3-bromopentane [253] and  $n \approx 0.27$  for propylene carbonate [195]. Comparing  $ZnCl_2$  with  $B_2O_3$ , both inorganic glassformers,  $ZnCl_2$ has  $F_{3/4} \approx 0.55$  larger than that of B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, but its  $n \approx 0.27$  is smaller than that of B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Selenium has  $F_{3/4} \approx 0.65$  less than the  $F_{3/4}$  values of all the small molecular glassformers considered by Martinez and Angell, and yet it has the largest  $n \approx 0.58$ [480]. An example of such violation is given by comparing selenium with glycerol ( $F_{3/4} \approx 0.70$ ,  $n \approx 0.29$ ). More examples can be given to show that  $F_{3/4}$ does not correlate with n. One may turn around and say that the above comparisons actually indicate the existence of an anticorrelation between  $F_{3/4}$  and n, e.g., a small  $F_{3/4}$  is associated with a large *n*. This hope is dashed by GeO<sub>2</sub> which has  $F_{3/4} \approx 0.12$  and  $n \approx 0.0$ ; both figures are the smallest. One may go to the extreme to declare that the time/frequency dispersion of the structural relaxation is not as important or fundamental a property as m and  $F_{3/4}$ , and hence correlation of the latter with n is not expected. However, this view needs serious justification. By considering all kinds of glassformers as done by Martinez and Angell, the upside is that it does give an impression that thermodynamics and kinetic "fragilities" correlate for a number of glassformers, but the downside is that it exposes the other problem.

The problem is no longer that serious if the glassformers chosen all belong to the same class as demonstrated for some small molecular glass-forming liquids [253]. For a selected few, it was shown there that  $z^*(T_g)$  obtained from thermodynamic data correlates with the steepness index *m* and exponent *n*. Moreover, the temperature dependences above  $T_g$  of *n*, *m*, and  $z^*$  are quite similar. This observation may be the consequence of synergy of all the factors that determine *n*, *m*, and  $z^*$ . There is serious doubt on this correlation from the multiple violations of the proposed correlation found even within the same class of glassformers. The failure of extending the correlation between "thermodynamic fragility" and "kinetic fragility" to include the time/frequency dispersion of the structural relaxation or *n* is another indication that the correlation is not fundamental. According to the coupling model, thermodynamics is not the only factor that determines the properties of glassformers as envisaged in the Adam–Gibbs theory or other models. Many-body relaxation dynamics and their effects must be included before any good sense out of any correlation or lack of correlation between two quantities or parameters.

The effort of Angell and coworkers to establish a connection between the thermodynamic properties and dynamics and relaxation properties was motivated by the Adam-Gibbs model and the development of an energy landscape model and they used it to analyze data on various small molecular glassformers [37]. The central idea of the model for the structural and transport properties of a glassformer is that the manner by which they evolve with temperature is governed by the density of configurational states comprising the potential energy hypersurface. The topology of this energy landscape (i.e., the number of minima and the barrier heights between them) provides a measure of the steepness of the excitation profile for glass-forming liquids. According to the model, when heated through  $T_{\rm g}$ , fragile liquids readily transition among many configurational states, giving rise to substantial changes in relaxation times and viscosities. In general, one may expect that the topographic features of the potential energy surface are possible sources of the apparent connection between thermodynamics and kinetics in supercooled liquids. However, this picture was not supported by the families of model "rugged landscape" potential energy functions using a modular basin approach by Stillinger and Debenedetti [568]. This study was intended to clarify the molecular level basis for the relationship between thermodynamic and kinetic properties of glassformers. Topographically, the families of model functions are sufficiently diverse such that pairs of model functions can be chosen to share exactly the same thermodynamic behavior (depth distribution of potential energy minima), but they differ drastically in those topographic attributes that control kinetic and relaxation behavior. Hence, within this landscape model, there is no correlation between "thermodynamic fragility" and "kinetic fragility." These authors did not question the possibility of validity of the correlation between thermodynamic and kinetic behaviors as suggested by the Adam–Gibbs equation and the empirical studies by Angell and coworkers. Instead, in order to bring the landscape model to produce the correlation, they suggested considering "an additional physical principle involving details of interparticle interactions, transcending the purely mathematical aspects of potential energy landscape topography." This suggestion interestingly originated

from the authors who have some faith that the correlation between thermodynamic and kinetic behavior has been firmly established and is fundamental. The coupling model (CM) has often stressed besides thermodynamics the importance of including the effects of many-body relaxation dynamics due to intermolecular interactions in capturing the true nature of the structural relaxation. Apparently, many-body relaxation captured by the CM is the "additional physical principle involving details of interparticle interactions" that Stillinger and Debenedetti (in their own words) are looking for.

A different way of constructing the potential energy surface was given by Wales and Doye [569]. They found the model which exhibits higher kinetic fragility usually has a larger change in the heat capacity at the glass transition. However, this is not always the case. In some regions of parameter space, they found the correlations between dynamics and thermodynamics are not present. Thus, the conclusion of Wales and Doye are qualitatively similar to that of Stillinger and Debenedetti, namely the thermodynamic and kinetic properties are decoupled in the landscape models. There is another analysis of the relation between statistical properties of the landscape and fragility [570]. These authors cautioned that the knowledge of number, energy depth, and shape of the basins of the potential energy landscape may not be sufficient for predicting "fragility."

Sastry studied the relationship between kinetic and thermodynamic "fragilities," configurational entropy, and the potential energy landscape of binary LJ particles systems by molecular dynamics simulations [567]. From the simulation, the diffusion coefficient, D, and the configurational entropy,  $S_c$ , were obtained. All systems have the same number of A-type and B-type particles but differ in density. For each system, a kinetic "fragility" index, K<sub>VFT</sub>, was obtained from the VFTH fit to the temperature dependence of D. It was found that  $K_{VFT}$  increases with density. The plot of log D against  $(TS_c)^{-1}$  shows approximately a linear relation, in agreement with the Adam-Gibbs equation. From the scaled temperature dependence of TS<sub>c</sub>, the slope,  $K_{\text{TLAG}}$ , was defined and used as the quantitative index of thermodynamic "fragility." Another thermodynamic "fragility" index,  $K_{\text{PEL},AG}$ , was defined and obtained from the potential energy landscape.  $K_{TLAG}$  is nearly the same as  $K_{PELAG}$ , and both are in agreement with kinetic "fragility" K<sub>VFT</sub> for systems of different densities. The results led Sastry to conclude that the rate of increase of TS<sub>c</sub> does indeed determine the kinetic "fragility," and there is a quantitative relationship between fragility and the energy landscape of the liquid. The fixation with "fragility," configurational entropy, and Adam-Gibbs equation by many including Sastry in [567] has somehow prevented them from looking into other equally important properties such as the time/frequency dispersion, and seeking more general interpretation by considering also the many-body dynamics. Sastry gives only the long-time steady-state diffusion coefficient, but not the time dependence of the diffusion coefficient and its change with density. This practice is like in the Adam–Gibbs theory where only  $\eta$  or  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is the quantity of interest, but not the dispersion. On decreasing density, on the average, the LJ particles are further apart. Intuitively, we can expect that entropy and volume become less sensitive to change in temperature. But, in addition there is concomitant reduction of inter-particle interaction and the effects of many-body

relaxation on slowing down relaxation and diffusion that cannot be accounted for by the decrease of configurational entropy and specific volume. Thus, by decreasing density of the LJ particles, thermodynamics and many-body relaxation work in the same direction to reduce the scaled temperature dependence of D, as found by Sastry. But, attributing the change of the scaled temperature dependence of D(i.e., "fragility") exclusively to the configurational entropy is an oversimplification. The colloidal particles suspension systems with different volume fractions (densities) have been studied by confocal spectroscopy [141] and dynamic light scattering [143]. Both sets of data clearly show the dispersion of the time dependence of the mean-squared displacement or the diffusion coefficient decreases with decreasing volume fraction of the colloidal particles. This trend is expected to be there for the systems studied by Sastry, but unfortunately the data are not present. We recall here the molecular dynamics simulation by Bordat et al. [264] discussed in Section 2.2.1. They studied the change of dynamic properties of the binary LJ particles with change of the interaction potential. They showed the dispersion of the intermediate scattering function or *n* changes with the change in potential, caused by the change of the capacity of inter-particle coupling. The change in n correlates with the corresponding change in m, the kinetic "fragility," and the  $T_g$ -scaled temperature dependence of the non-ergodicity parameter determined by the vibrations at low temperatures in the glassy state observed experimentally by Scopigno et al. [571, 572]. Thus, from these other studies which have shown the important role played by the dispersion or n, it is premature for Sastry to interpret his data entirely in terms of thermodynamics and the Adam-Gibbs equation.

There is yet another interesting correlation suggested by Wang et al. [573, 574] which compares the "fragility" index m with a dimensionless combination of the heat capacity jump  $\Delta C_p(T_g) = C_{p,\text{liquid}}(T_g) - C_{p,\text{glass}}(T_g)$  at  $T_g$  and the melting enthalpy  $H_m$ . This empirical relation,  $m \approx m_{\text{cal}}$ , found, where  $m_{\text{cal}} = 56T_g \Delta C_p(T_g)/H_m$ , has no obvious connection to the Adam–Gibbs theory, but has subsequently been rationalized [575, 576] from the random first-order transition theory [577, 578]. For many glassformers of all kinds, there is good agreement between m obtained by experiment from the  $T_g/T$ -dependence of  $\eta$  or  $\tau_a$  and the value of  $56T_g \Delta C_p(T_g)/H_m$  calculated from thermodynamic data, although are still a few exceptions. In spite of the correlation of m with  $m_{\text{cal}}$  found in many glassformers, there is no escape from facing the multiple breakdowns of correlation of these two parameters with n. This problem cannot be overcome at this time even with the help of the random first-order transition theory. However, the works by Wang et al. [573, 574] are important for further understanding of the connection between thermodynamics and dynamics.

The glass-forming metallic liquids are particularly intriguing. The nonexponential parameters n determined from the modulus measurements of these metallic liquids do not exhibit significant difference, while the kinetic fragility index m determined by mechanical modulus relaxation changes appreciably, and hence breakdown of the correlation between n and m [574(c)]. The same was found from extensive analyses of mechanical modulus relaxation data of molecular (mechanical) and electrical modulus data of ionic liquids [574(c)]. For the glass-forming metallic liquids, the analyses of enthalpy relaxation measurements of metallic glasses gave values of  $n_{cal}$  different from n, and the use of  $n_{cal}$  restored the correlation between  $n_{cal}$  and m. Nevertheless, one has to bear in mind that the determination of the non-exponential parameters  $n_{cal}$  from enthalpy relaxation is not direct, in contrast to n from mechanical modulus, but indirectly from fitting the data by the Tool–Narayanaswamy–Moynihan model [782–784(a)] using the program of Hodge [785, 786] that involves parameters in addition to  $n_{cal}$ .

### 2.2.3.6 Correlation of Kinetic "Fragility" with Other Quantities?

The kinetic "fragility" has been so well publicized that by now it is considered by most researchers to be a critical and fundamental property of glassformers in glass transition. Consequently, many other properties of glassformers have been compared with its measure, the "fragility" index m, with the purpose to find correlation. Correlation of a property with m if found would allow one to claim that the very property has a strong connection to the mechanism of structural relaxation and glass transition. We have seen before in this section several attempts to correlate some thermodynamic properties or quantities from experiments or theoretical models [565, 567, 570, 579] with m. Other properties invoked to demonstrate correlation with *m* include (i) the strength of the vibrational boson peak [580], (ii) the compressibility at  $T_g$  [572], (iii) the  $T_g$ -scaled temperature dependence of the non-ergodicity parameter determined by the vibrations at low temperatures in the glassy state [571, 572, (iv) *T*-dependence of the shear modulus [581–583], and (v) the Poisson's ratio in the glassy state [584]. The degree of success in showing the existence of a correlation with fragility varies from one property to another. The extreme happened in the case of the proposed correlation of m with Poisson's ratio. It was later found that the correlation of *m* with the ratio of elastic moduli breaks down even within the same family of glassformers including polymers and alkali borate glasses with different concentrations of alkali-metal atoms. In particular, it was found in polystyrene samples with different molecular weights that "fragility" changes in the direction opposite to the proposed correlation with Poisson's ratio [585]. Within the family of metallic glasses, subsequent addition of more experimental data has led to the final conclusion that the correlation is very poor, if present at all [586, 587]. The application of pressure also has led to the result that  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is a function of  $TV^{\gamma}$  where V is the specific volume, and  $\gamma$  is positive and varies over a wide range from near zero to about 9 depending on the glassformer [119]. By examining the values of  $\gamma$ in Table 2 and  $m_P$  or  $m_V$  in Table 3 in [119] of many glassformers, it is clear that there is no correlation between  $\gamma$  and  $m_P$  or  $m_V$ , even when restricted to the same class of glassformers. This is another indication that fragility is a complex and not fundamental parameter.

All the activities mentioned above rely on the hope that "fragility" is indeed the single most important concept of glass transition and key to the solution of the problem, or it is the sole parameter that controls other properties. These are false hopes that cannot be realized because "fragility" is a complex parameter, determined not only by the thermodynamic and mechanical properties but also by the dynamics of many-body relaxation.

### 2.2.3.7 Different Patterns of Change of *m* with the Molecular Weight *M* of Polymers

If the molecular weight of a polymer M exceeds some critical high molecular weight,  $M_c$ , polymer chains become entangled and  $T_g$  remains constant. For low molecular weight polymers with  $M < M_c$ , in general  $T_g$  increases with molecular weight without exception. However, in the same region with increasing M, "fragility" can increase [589–592] like in polystyrene, and remain the same as in poly(dimethylsiloxane) [593]. In polyisobutylene, "fragility" from dielectric relaxation measurements decreases with increasing M. On the other hand, "fragility" from dynamic mechanical measurements remains constant with increase in M [592]. These inexplicable widely different changes of "fragility" with M of different polymers, and in the same polymer obtained by different techniques, all indicate that "fragility" is neither simple nor fundamental.

### 2.2.3.8 Breakdown of Correlation Between m and n

### Small Molecule Organic Glassformers

Even restricted to some glassformers within the class of small molecules made of carbon, oxygen, and hydrogen atoms, the diverse chemical structures available to them may bring in different volume and entropy contributions to change of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  to  $T_{g}$ -scaled temperature dependence, or *m*. As a result, there is no correlation of *m* with n. An example of bad actors is the much studied glassformer propylene carbonate (4-methyl-2-oxo-1,3-dioxolane), which has large m=90 which is larger than that of salol (m = 73) and *ortho*-terphenyl (m = 84), and much larger than that of glycerol (m = 57), and the methylated hydrocarbons including 2,4,6-trimethylheptane and 3-methylheptane with *m* values falling in the range from 46 to 63 [594, 595]. All these *m* values were obtained at  $T = T_g$ , where  $T_g$  is the temperature at which  $\tau_{\alpha} = 100$  s. On the other hand, the *n* value of propylene carbonate from dielectric relaxation measurements is 0.27 [195, 424], which is significantly smaller than the dielectric values of salol (n = 0.37) [596], ortho-terphenyl (n = 0.50) [237], 2,4,6-trimethylheptane (n = 0.44), and 3-methylheptane (n = 0.45) [595]. The same situation applies to phenylphthalate dimethylether (PDE) and cresolphthalate dimethylether (CDE or KDE). The chemical structure of propylene carbonate has the oxygen-bonded unit not shared by the other glassformers. Plausibly, this unusual chemical structure of propylene carbonate with flexible oxygen bonds enhances the volume and entropy contribution to the  $T_{g}$ -scaled temperature dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . Support of this possibility comes from propylene carbonate having a larger  $z^*(T_g)$ , calculated from the excess entropy obtained by adiabatic calorimetry [597], than *ortho*-terphenyl. Corroborating piece of evidence comes from positronium annihilation spectroscopy that shows the unoccupied volume measured by the

ortho-positronium lifetime,  $\tau_3$ , has  $T_g$ -scaled temperature dependence as strong as that of ortho-terphenyl [387]. These two experimental results indicate the strong influence that volume and entropy may have on the  $T_g$ -scaled temperature dependence of the structural relaxation time of propylene carbonate because of its special chemical structure. On the other hand, comparing propylene carbonate with PDE and CDE that have similar oxygen-bonded units, the correlation between m and nworks well for these three glassformers [597]. The lesson we learn is that correlation between m and n may hold well only for glassformers having similar chemical and physical structures. Consideration of glassformers with different chemical structures and physical interactions will not find any recognizable correlation found as early as 1990 by Torell et al. [598] and later by Schröter and Donth [599]. The latter considered metallic glass, inorganic glass, van der Waals, and hydrogen-bonded glassformers, and the absence of correlation between fragility and n is unsurprising. Schröter and Donth did not understand this point and instead used the lack of correlation against the CM. They cite the study of a series of polybutadienes of different microstructure by Zorn et al. [600], which are closely related glassformers and the correlation indeed works. Nevertheless, Schröter and Donth mentioned another (unrelated) prediction of the CM on the difference in temperature dependence between viscosity and local segmental relaxation, which Zorn et al. found to be qualitatively correct but not quantitatively. Actually the lack of quantitative agreement is due to the fact that Zorn et al. could not measure both the viscosity and the local segmental relaxation at the same temperatures close to  $T_g$  by dynamic mechanical method. They had to extrapolate the viscosity or terminal relaxation shift factor measured at higher temperatures to lower temperatures by using the VFTH dependence, introducing large uncertainties in the process. The data are insufficient to test the prediction quantitatively. The test can only be made with creep measurement of Plazek [165, 168–171, 360], who measured both terminal and local segmental relaxation near  $T_{g}$ . Schröter and Donth cited also the lack of correlation in three different substituted poly(p-phenylenes) by Connolly et al. [601], who mentioned the presence of internal plasticization in one sample and the influence of free volume inferred from macroscopic expansion coefficient. Schröter and Donth cited also the dynamic mechanical measurements by Santangelo and Roland on low and high molecular weight polystyrenes which show a variation in fragility but a constant shape of the relaxation curves at the dynamic glass transition [589], but they did not cite another publication by Rizos and Ngai [590] which explained this as due to broadening of the dispersion by chain ends in low molecular weight PS by providing measurement over a much broader frequency and temperature range.

For propylene carbonate PC, PDE, and CDE, however, more needs to be said about the narrow dielectric susceptibility dispersion  $\varepsilon^*(v)$  of these highly polar glassformers with large  $\varepsilon_{\infty}$ , the high-frequency dielectric constant. Light scattering [598, 211, 602] and mechanical shear modulus [599] measurements of these glassformers have found much broader dispersion. The Kohlrausch exponent  $\beta_{\rm K} = (1 - n)$  of PDE from light scattering is about 0.5–0.6, while from dielectric relaxation  $\beta_{\rm K}$  lies between 0.7 and 0.84 in a similar temperature range. On the other hand, for less polar glassformers such as BMMPC and BMPC that have smaller  $\varepsilon_{\infty}$ , both the relaxation times and  $\beta_{\rm K}$  obtained by dielectric susceptibility and by light scattering are the same. It was also found that after transforming the dielectric data of propylene carbonate and PDE from susceptibility,  $\varepsilon^*(\omega)$ , to electric modulus,  $M^*(\omega)$ , the frequency dispersion of  $M^*(\omega)$  is as broad as seen by light scattering and mechanical relaxation [603, 604]. The larger width of  $M^*(\omega)$  found in PC, PDE, and CDE having large  $\varepsilon_{\infty}$  could be due to the  $M'' \alpha$ -loss peak overlapping with the unresolved secondary relaxation in these glassformers, and hence the broadening. These findings and implications need further investigation.

#### Plastic Crystalline Materials

Even restricted to glassformers having the same physical structure, m will not correlate with n if the glassformers have widely different chemical structures (and thus different unoccupied volume and entropy). An example can be given by the class of plastic crystalline materials. The plastic crystals refer to the class of molecular materials having the centers of mass of the molecules located on sites of a regular crystalline lattice but the molecules are dynamically disordered in their orientational degrees of freedom. The orientational relaxation dynamics of plastic crystals are similar in many respects to the relaxation dynamics of ordinary glassformers [144, 145, 605–612]. By sufficiently fast cooling, complete orientational ordering can be avoided and the dynamically disordered state can be supercooled. The orientational dynamics slow down on decreasing temperature and the relaxation time increases over many orders of magnitude. At temperatures below the orientational glass temperature,  $T_{g}$ , the relaxation time becomes so long that the orientational degrees of freedom are frozen and a glass-like orientationally disordered state is reached. Six plastic crystalline materials having widely different chemical structures were studied by Brand et al. [145] by dielectric relaxation measurements over a broad frequency range. They are 1-cyanoadamantane (CNA), adamantanone (AON), pentachloronitrobenzene (PCNB), cyclo-hexanol (CHEX), ethanol [145], and cyclo-octanol (COCT) [613]. The "fragility" index m was determined with  $T_g$ defined as the temperature at which the dielectric  $\alpha$ -relaxation time reaches 100 s. In increasing order, the *m* values are 17 for PCNB, 19 for AON (phase II), 33 for COCT, 38 for ethanol, and 48 for CHEX. On the other hand, the *n* values are 0.5 for PCNB, 0.53 for AON (phase II), 0.25 for COCT, 0.25 for ethanol, and 0.38 for CHEX. On comparing the values of m and n in the five plastic crystals, it is clear there is no correlation. For example, PCNB has the smallest *m* but almost the largest *n*. The chemical and physical structures of these plastic crystals are quite different, and these differences may be the cause of the dissimilar dependences of their  $\tau_{\alpha}$  on temperature.

Neat Glassformer and When Mixed with Another Glassformer

One way to demonstrate that volume and/or entropy enter into the determination of "fragility" is by comparing the dynamics of a neat glassformer A with that of the same molecules A when mixed with another glassformer B. The packing of the A

molecules, and hence volume and entropy, can be quite different in the two situations, resulting in breakdown of the correlation between n and the "fragility" index m of the same molecules A. Such breakdown was found in *tert*-butylpyridine (TBP) or quinaldine (Qn) in their pure states and in mixtures with trimer of styrene (tristyrene or 3Styr). TBP and Qn are polar rigid molecules with large dipole moments, while 3Styr has negligible dipole moment and a much higher  $T_g$ . Consequently, the dielectric relaxation experiment [614] only probes the dynamics and determines the quantities m and n of TBP or On in the mixtures. In binary mixtures, concentration fluctuations are present, which cause additional broadening of the dispersion of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and the true value of *n* cannot be determined by fitting the experimental complex dielectric constant  $\varepsilon^*(\omega)$  in Eq. (1.94) with  $\psi(t)$  given by the Kohlrausch function. There is a way to deduce the true value of *n* from the data by a relation of the ratio of  $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{\beta}$ , where  $\tau_{\beta}$  is the secondary relaxation time. This method will be discussed later in Section 2.3. Anticipating the results, on increasing the concentration of 3Styr in the binary mixtures, it was found that m of either TBP or On decreases almost monotonically whilst *n* increases monotonically. As an example, for the TBP/3Styr mixtures, m(n) assumes the maximum (minimum) value of 101 (0.36) for neat (100%) TNB, and decreases (increases) nearly monotonically with increasing 3Styr concentration down to 79 (0.63) at 5% TBP. This spectacular breakdown of correlation between m and n is likely due to the change in packing of the rigid TBP or Qn molecules in the mixtures with increasing presence of the more flexible 3Styr molecules. Consequently, the influence of volume and entropy on the dynamics of TBP or Qn is much reduced, and hence also the "fragility." On the other hand, when present, the much slower 3Styr molecules slow down the dynamics of TBP or Qn and stretch its  $\alpha$ -relaxation over a longer period of time, thus increasing n. This increase of n has the potential of increasing the fragility of TBP or Qn, but it is opposed by the stronger decrease from the diminishing sensitivity of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  to volume and entropy, resulting in the observed decrease of *m*. This explanation is qualitative. Nevertheless, the complex nature of "fragility" is brought out by the experimental results.

## 2.2.3.9 Restoration of Correlation Between *m* and *n* When Restricted to the Same Family

The pursuit of correlations is in part due to the lack of a reliable theory of glass transition. The correlation of n with m discussed above was one of the very first of such attempts in 1987 [535], in 1991 [479], and in 1993 [112], and we have seen that there are many instances of breakdown of the correlation if glassformers of all kinds are considered all at one time. The change of thermodynamics with temperature, which is one of the factors that determine m, is not expected to be significantly different if the glassformers considered belong to the same family with similar chemical and physical structures. Examples include the carbon backbone polymers [244, 479] and the supercooled chalcogenide systems composed of different amounts of Ge, As, and Se [480]. These systems studied in these previous works show the existence of correlation between m and n. The same chemical composition of the repeat units of a polymer but having the pendant group arranged in different ways in repeat units (i.e., different tacticity) is perhaps the best case for testing the correlation between m and n. The most complete study of the dependence of dynamics on tacticity is on poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) and poly(ethyl methacrylate) (PEMA). For the same molecular weight, syndiotactic and atactic PMMA and PEMA have higher  $T_g$  and smaller fragility index m than their isotactic homologues, i-PMMA and i-PEMA. The i-PMMA and i-PEMA are more ordered because the pendant groups are all on the same side as opposed to more random arrangements in the syndiotactic and atactic polymers. This suggests at least intuitively weaker intermolecular coupling and smaller coupling parameter n in i-PMMA and i-PMMA. Experimental support of this was given in [252], establishing the correlation between m and n for the polymers differing only in tacticity.

Another example is the family of hydrogen-bonded polyalcohols. Glycerol, threitol, xylitol, and sorbitol belong to this family and they differ mainly in the number of carbon atoms on the backbone which increases from 3 to 6 in the order given. The values of (m,n) for them are glycerol (m = 57, n = 0.29), threitol (m = 79, n = 0.36), xylitol (m = 94, n = 0.46), and sorbitol (m = 128, n = 0.52). The *m* values are from [239, 615], and *n* values are from [195].

Another example can be drawn from the family of epoxy resins [616]. The members of this family have the same basic chemical structure. These include *t*-butylphenylglycidylether (*t*BPGE), diglycidylether of bisphenol F (DFDGE), phenylglycidylether (PGE), the monoepoxy *o*-cresylglycidylether (*o*CGE), the diepoxies DGEBA and PPGE, the triepoxy TPMGE. The good correlation between *m* and *n* is shown in Fig. 34b.

The glass-forming  $(Na_2O)_x(P_2O_5)_{1-x}$  liquids with compositions extending from pure phosphorus pentoxide to the metaphosphate (x = 0.5) have been studied by dynamic light scattering [542]. Correlation between *m* and *n* was found. This is



Fig. 34b Plot of steepness index evaluated at  $T_g$  vs.  $n \equiv (1 - \beta)$  where  $\beta$  is the Kohlrausch exponent for epoxy systems. The *continuous line* represents a linear fit of the data. Reproduced from [616] by permission.

no surprise because these glassformers belong to the same family. The value of *n* has not been determined at  $T_g$  and its value should be taken from the Kohlrausch function fitting the dynamic light-scattering data with  $\tau_{\alpha}$  equal to some fixed but sufficiently large value say 1 s. This is because in samples with higher *x*, the Na ion conductivity relaxation overlaps the structural relaxation at shorter  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and broadens the  $\alpha$ -dispersion.

We have previously described the molecular dynamics simulations of the family of binary Lennard-Jones (LJ) systems consisting of two kinds of particles A and B, but with different LJ potentials [264]. The general form of the LJ potential is given by Eq. (2.68). The three LJ potentials come from three different combinations of q and p: (q = 12, p = 6), (q = 8, p = 5), and (q = 12, p = 11). From the molecular dynamics simulation data of  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$ , m for each LJ system is defined as the  $T_{\text{ref}}/T$ -dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  where  $T_{\text{ref}}$  is the temperature at which  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_{\text{ref}}) = 46,435.8$ (LJ units of time), which is a very long time in simulations. It was found that mcorrelates well with n for these three binary Lennard-Jones systems. Therefore, when restricted to glassformers that are closely related members in the same family, "fragility" and its index m correlate well with n. This shows again that n does not fail in correlation with other quantities when the latter are unambiguous.

### 2.2.3.10 Colloidal Suspension of Soft Spherical Particles: Proving Non-exponentiality (*n*) and Fragility (*m*) Are Parallel Consequences of Inter-particle Interaction

An enlightening experimental fact indicating that many-body  $\alpha$ -relaxation from intermolecular interaction is one of the determining factors of fragility in ordinary glassformers can be inferred from a report by Weitz and collaborators [617a] and Mattsson et al. [617b]. They studied the dynamics of colloidal suspensions of soft spherical particles, specifically closely packed microgels. The softness of the particles fabricated can be adjusted, ranging from very soft, intermediate soft, to the conventional hard spheres (with PMMA core, studied before in [617c]). For hardsphere suspensions, the particle concentration is quantified by the volume fraction,  $\phi = NV_p$ , where N is the number density of particles and  $V_p$  is their volume. However, because the microgel particles are deformable, their volume is not fixed and  $\phi$  is no longer a good measure of concentration. Instead,  $\zeta = NV_0$  was used, where  $V_0 = 4\pi (R_0)^3/3$  is the volume of an undeformed particle of radius  $R_0$  measured in dilute suspension. The dynamics of each of these colloidal suspensions was measured by confocal microscopy. The time-dependent correlation function was fitted to the Kohlrausch correlation function,  $\exp[-(t/\tau_{\alpha})^{\beta}]$ , for all the colloidal suspensions at different volume fractions  $\zeta$ . Their relaxation times  $\tau_{\alpha}$  as a function of  $\zeta$ are compared in Fig. 34c, left panel. For each microgel sample, they also determined the frequency-dependent shear moduli,  $G'(\omega)$  and  $G''(\omega)$ , by oscillatory rheology. They obtained the viscoelastic  $\tau_{\alpha}$  as the timescale corresponding to the frequency for which  $G'(\omega) = G''(\omega)$ . Shown by plus symbols in the figure, the viscoelastic  $\tau_{\alpha}$ has the same dependence on  $\zeta$  as  $\tau_{\alpha}$  observed by light scattering.



**Fig. 34c** (*Left*) Plot of  $k\tau_{\alpha}$  vs.  $\zeta$  for stiff (*diamonds*,  $R_0 = 95$  nm), intermediate (*empty circles*,  $R_0 = 92$  nm), and soft (*triangles*,  $R_0 = 80$  nm) microgels, where k is chosen to collapse the data onto those of the intermediate sample at low  $\zeta$  values. Data for a second intermediate sample (*empty squares*,  $R_0 = 168$  nm) scale onto those of the first for  $\zeta > \zeta^*$ , as expected. Rescaled shear viscosities (intermediate: *crosses in circles*,  $R_0 = 92$  nm, and *crosses in squares*,  $R_0 = 168$  nm; soft: *crosses*,  $R_0 = 80$  nm) and rheological structural relaxation times (intermediate: *pluses in circles*,  $R_0 = 168$  nm; soft: *pluses*,  $R_0 = 80$  nm). (*Right*) Same as (*Left*), with  $\zeta$  normalized by  $\zeta_g = \zeta(\tau_{\alpha} = 100 \text{ s})$ . Reproduced from [617b] by permission

Defining  $\zeta_g$  as the value of  $\zeta$  at which  $\tau_{\alpha} = 100$  s uniformly for all suspensions, the data of log  $\tau_{\alpha}$  are replotted against  $\zeta/\zeta_g$ . This plot shown in the right panel of Fig. 34c is the analogue of the OLUA plot of log  $\tau$  vs.  $T_g/T$  for molecular glassformers. A pattern emerges from this plot for the colloidal suspensions. The softer the spherical particles, the weaker the dependence of log  $\tau_{\alpha}$  on  $\zeta/\zeta_g$ , and smaller the steepness index  $m_{\zeta}$  defined by  $d \log \tau/d(\zeta/\zeta_g)$  evaluated at  $\zeta/\zeta_g = 1$ . This pattern reproduces the OLUA plot for ordinary glassformers.

Mattsson et al. also compared the stretch exponent  $\beta \equiv (1-n)$  of the Kohlrausch correlation function,  $\exp[-(t/\tau_{\alpha})^{\beta}]$  (Fig. 34d). They found, for  $\zeta$  greater than some critical  $\zeta^*$ ,  $\beta$  is larger or *n* is smaller in colloidal suspension of softer particles, with  $\beta \approx 0.8$  or  $n \approx 0.2$  for the softest spheres, and  $\beta \approx 0.6$  or  $n \approx 0.4$  for the intermediate soft spheres. The photon correlation spectroscopy data of Bartsch et al. on the hard spheres show monotonic decrease of  $\beta$  with increasing  $\zeta$  or  $\tau_{\alpha}$ .  $\beta$  is about 0.6 when  $\tau_{\alpha} \approx 10^{-1}$  s and decreases to  $\beta \approx 0.4$  or n = 0.6 when  $\tau_{\alpha} \approx 10^{2}$  s or  $\zeta = \zeta_{g}$ . Since softer spheres have weaker dependence of  $\log \tau_{\alpha}$  on  $\zeta/\zeta_{g}$ , and smaller steepness or fragility index  $m_{\zeta}$ , therefore *n* correlates with  $m_{\zeta}$ , which is equivalent to the correlation between *n* and *m* for ordinary glassformers. In the present case of athermal colloidal particles, thermodynamic factors do not enter. Thus, both *n* and  $m_{\phi}$  are controlled solely by the softness of the particles, or more exactly the strength of the particle–particle interaction. Naturally softer the particles, weaker the inter-particle interaction, and smaller the degree of many-particle cooperative dynamics. Thus



the results of Weitz et al. nicely elucidate two important points. First, *n* and  $m_{\zeta}$  or "fragility" are *parallel* consequences of solely the many-particle dynamics. Second, the correlation between them is necessarily true because no other factor enters into the problem, unlike the case of molecular glassformers where thermodynamic factors also enter. Having identified many-particle dynamics to be the sole source in determining *n* and  $m_{\zeta}$  in colloidal suspensions, it is rational to presume that many-molecule dynamics are important also for molecular glassformers in determining their non-exponentiality (*n*) and "fragility" (*m*).

Although I have emphasized the correlation (anticorrelation) of n ( $\beta$ ) with fragility  $m_{\zeta}$  in the colloidal systems, this was mentioned only in passing by Mattsson et al. Moreover, we have identified the trends observed in both n and fragility are caused by the change in inter-particle interaction in varying the softness of the microgel. Thus the correlation between n and fragility is a natural consequence On the other hand, Mattsson et al. put their emphasis on fragility and went on to show fragility is dictated by elastic properties on the scale of individual colloidal particles. Their findings are interesting and instructive. However, elastic property is just one of those properties that are derivable from the inter-particle interaction. So is fragility, because no doubt  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is governed by the inter-particle potential. More properties of these colloidal systems other than elasticity may also correlate with fragility. I speculate that another one is dynamic heterogeneity. If this is true and proven in the future by experiment or simulation, then one may be led by the importance of elasticity argued by Mattsson et al. to think that dynamic heterogeneity is also dictated by elastic properties. This seems questionable. In my view, all properties mentioned including fragility, elastic properties, dynamic heterogeneity, and non-exponentiality are consequences of the many-particles dynamics, the intensity of which is dictated by the inter-particle potential. Therefore I argue that it is more fundamental to relate fragility, elasticity, and dynamic heterogeneity to the simplest and most direct indicator of the many-particle dynamics; a candidate is the degree of non-exponentiality or  $n \equiv (1 - \beta)$ . Softer the microgel particles, weaker the inter-particle interaction, and lesser the degree of anomaly in these properties. Naturally fragility, elasticity, dynamic heterogeneity, and non-exponentiality

of colloidal suspensions, all derivable from the inter-particle potential, correlate well with each other. The correlations are even better than molecular glassformers because the colloidal suspensions are athermal systems and thermodynamic factors including volume and entropy no longer enter in conjunction with many-particle potential to determine fragility. The reader can find in this chapter many examples of breakdown of correlation of fragility molecular glassformers with other properties because the temperature dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is governed by volume and entropy in addition to the inter-particle potential. Study of the glass transition problem by the soft colloidal systems has the advantage that thermodynamic factors are absent, hence not only simplifying the problem but also exposing the importance of the many-particle dynamics governed by the inter-particle interaction. It is because of the simplification and revelation that I agree with Mattsson et al. in saying "Colloidal suspensions may thus provide new insight into glass formation in molecular systems" [617b].

### Concluding Remarks on "Fragility" and the "Fragility" Index m

The concept of "fragility" or its index m or  $F_{1/2}$  stems totally from the dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  on T (after scaling T by  $T_{ref}$  or  $T_g$ ). For it to be considered as a fundamental quantity in glass transition, the assumption has to be made that no other factor determines  $\tau_{\alpha}$  except the scaled temperature and associated thermodynamic quantities. This is a bold assumption which is unjustifiable because the effects of many-body  $\alpha$ -relaxation dynamics on  $\tau_{\alpha}$  cannot be completely described by scaled temperature. We have seen that  $m_P$  changes with pressure P and  $m_V$  changes with specific volume V. Also  $m_P$  does not correlate faithfully with other observed dynamic and thermodynamic properties when different kinds of glassformers are considered altogether, or even within the same class of glassformers. The cause of these shortcomings of "fragility" is that it is a complex quantity because  $\tau_{\alpha}$  depends not only on  $T/T_{ref}$ but also on other factors. These include P for isobaric condition and V for isochoric condition, as well as the effects coming from the many-body nature of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. The latter can vary greatly with the intermolecular potentials in different glassformers. From these multiple dependences of  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , "fragility" and its index m or  $F_{1/2}$  cannot faithfully correlate with other observed dynamic and thermodynamic properties when glassformers of different chemical bonding and physical structures are considered together.

While "fragility" and its indices  $m_P$  and  $m_V$  can vary a great deal with pressure and specific volumes in the same glassformer and for the same  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_{ref})$  or  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_g)$ , the time/frequency dispersion (or *n*) of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation remains the same independent of the different possible combinations of *P*, *V*, and *T* leading to the same  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_{ref})$  or  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_g)$  [120, 588, 618]. This remarkable property of the time/frequency dispersion (or *n*), applicable not only at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_{ref})$  or  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_g)$  but also at any constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$  value, is the subject of discussion of the following section. This remarkable link between  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and *n*, independent of *P*, *V*, and *T*, demonstrates that the dispersion of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation or its non-exponential parameter *n* is a more faithful indicator of a fundamental mechanism behind the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of glassformers than "fragility" or the indices  $m_P$  and  $m_V$ . A fundamental mechanism is the many-body relaxation. This is indicated by the strong correlations found between the dispersion (or *n*) and other properties of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  presented in previous sections and more to come in the next section. Thus, while *n* is solely determined by many-body relaxation dynamics,  $m_P$  and  $m_V$  depend on thermodynamic variables as well as many-body dynamics. The combined dependences make "fragility" a complex quantity not as useful as *n* in solving the problem of glass transition.

To illustrate once more that fragility has the combination dependences, a comparison of its value for hyper-branched polystyrene (HBPS) with that for linear PS was made by mechanical relaxation data [619]. While the linear PS is entangled, the HBPS is not, even though their glass transition temperatures are nearly the same. The lack of intermolecular chain entanglements in HBPS suggests the segmental motion for HBPS is less cooperative than that of the linear PS. The density of HBPS was measured, and it is comparable to or less than that of linear PS. Both factors may contribute in causing the fragility index of HBPS to be lower than that of the linear PS as was observed experimentally. Evidence for both factors in action also comes from the results of the study of hyper-branched poly(ether ketone) and its linear analogue [620]. The study reported that hyper-branched poly(ether ketone) was more fragile than the corresponding linear analogue opposite to the trend found in polystyrene [619], but here the hyper-branched polymer was denser than the linear polymer. These two experimental results suggest both density and cooperative many-body dynamics determine the fragility index.

### 2.2.4 Invariance of the $\alpha$ -Dispersion to Various Combinations of T and P While Keeping $\tau_{\alpha}$ Constant

Studies of changes of relaxation dynamics of glassformers with temperature at ambient pressure (0.1 MPa) have traditionally been the way to study glass transition. This practice is due largely to experimental convenience in varying temperature. Most of the experimental data of structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation in the literature are ambient pressure measurements carried out at different temperatures. From the experimental data, it is well established that the shape of the  $\alpha$ -dispersion (or the exponent n in Eq. (1) if fitted by the Kohlrausch function) can vary from one glassformer to another, when compared at  $T_{\rm g}$  or some other reference value of  $\tau_{\rm g}$  [112, 244]. Many experimental studies have shown also that for a given material, often the width of the *a*-relaxation dispersion monotonically increases with decreasing temperature or increasing  $\tau_{\alpha}$  [238, 423, 621, 622], although the rate of change varies. Less common than temperature studies at ambient pressure are experiments employing hydrostatic pressure, notwithstanding the fact that some pioneering dielectric measurements at applied elevated pressures were carried out half a century ago by Robert Cole and coworkers [623] and followed by others in the earlier days to cite a few [76, 624-632].

Recently, the technique of applying high pressure in broadband dielectric relaxation measurements has been greatly improved. Now, pressure from 0.1 MPa up to several GPa can be routinely employed as an experimental variable in various spectroscopies including light scattering, neutron scattering, and broadband dielectric spectroscopy to probe the dynamics of glassformers and other substances. Only some representative publications are cited here in [633–679]. Consequently, experimental measurements can be made at different combinations of *P* and *T* over wide ranges of both variables. The specific volume *V* corresponding to any combination of *P* and *T* can be inferred from *P*–*V*–*T* measurements made separately. Elevated pressure increases  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , but the increase can be compensated by raising temperature. Hence, various combinations of *P* and *T* can be chosen for which the  $\alpha$ -loss peak frequency  $\nu_{\alpha}$  and the corresponding relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  are the same.

If measurements under this condition are carried out, then an interesting question is whether the shape of the frequency dispersion of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation changes or not? Sufficiently large amount of experimental data of this kind have accumulated till 2005 so that the answer can be given. An important experimental fact has emerged from the combined pressure and temperature studies of many different glassformers. At any chosen constant value of the structural relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  or frequency  $\nu_{\alpha}$ , the dispersion of the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation is invariant. Some examples of data prior to 2005 found in [618] are shown in Figs. 35 and 36. There are instances in which the heights of the dielectric  $\alpha$ -loss peak,  $\varepsilon''_{max}$ , of some of the *P* and *T* combinations are not exactly the same. Nevertheless, after the measured dielectric loss  $\varepsilon''(\nu)$  has been normalized by  $\varepsilon''_{max}$ , the frequency dispersions of  $\varepsilon''(\nu)/\varepsilon''_{max}$  is invariant for any chosen  $\nu_{\alpha}$ . Thus, generally at any fixed value of  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , the time/frequency dependence of the relaxation function (or *n*) is invariant to changes in thermodynamic condition (temperature, pressure, and volume). In other words, temperature-pressure superpositioning holds for the dispersion of the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . Lack of superposition may occur at frequencies high compared with  $\nu_{\alpha}$ . Such deviations at high frequencies or shorter times are attributed to the contribution to dielectric loss from resolved or unresolved faster secondary relaxation, whose dielectric relaxation strength does not necessarily have the same P and T dependences as the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. The superpositions in Figs. 35 and 36 are shown as  $\log \varepsilon''(\nu)$  vs.  $\log \nu$ . Had the superpositions been shown as  $\varepsilon''(v)$  vs. log v, the small deviations from perfect superposition at high frequencies seen in the  $\log \varepsilon''(v)$  vs.  $\log v$  superpositions will be invisible.

Since the general property is remarkable and important, some examples of dielectric experimental data from different kinds of glassformers shown or not shown before are presented here. In the paper of 2005 [618], the glassformers considered include both molecular liquids (Fig. 35) and amorphous polymers (Fig. 36) with diverse chemical structures. All show the property of temperature–pressure superpositioning of the dispersion of the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . In some cases, experimental data of the same glassformer at several different dielectric relaxation times are presented to demonstrate that *P*–*T* superpositioning holds for any choice of constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . In many of them, the width of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation increases with increasing  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . Therefore, one cannot trivialize the observation by saying that it is due to the dispersion being independent of temperature and pressure.



Fig. 35 Dielectric loss data at various combinations of temperature and pressure as indicated to demonstrate the invariance of the dispersion of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation at constant  $\alpha$ -loss peak frequency  $\nu_{\alpha}$  or equivalently at constant  $\alpha$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  for (a) cresolphthalein-dimethylether (KDE), (b) propylene carbonate (PC) (loss normalized to the value of the maximum of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak), (c) polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB62), (d) diisobutyl phthalate (DiBP). (e) Dielectric loss of dipropyleneglycol dibenzoate (DPGDB). Loss normalized to the value of the maximum of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak. The dc conductivity contribution has been subtracted. Red triangles are isothermal measurements at T=253 K and P=48, 72, 94, 115, 142, 163 MPa (from right to left). Black symbols are isobaric measurements done at P=0.1 MPa and T=244, 240, 236.7, 233, 229, 226 K (from right to left). The spectrum at T=226 K has been shifted along X-axis by multiplying frequency by a factor 1.3. (f) Dielectric loss of benzoyn isobutylether (BIBE) at different T and P. The dc conductivity contribution has been subtracted. Spectra obtained at higher P are normalized to the value of the maximum of the loss peak obtained at the same frequency at atmospheric pressure. From right to *left: Black lines* are atmospheric pressure data at T = 271 (a), 263 (b), 253 (c), 240 (d), 236 (e), 230 (f), 228 (g), 226 (h), 223 (i), 220.5 (j), 218 (k) K. Symbols are high-pressure data: T=278.5 K and P=32 (a), 65 (b), 118 (c), 204 (d), 225 (e), 320 (h), 370 (j), 396 (k) MPa, T=288.2 K and P=350(f), 370 (g), 423 (i), 450 (j) MPa, T=298 K and P=330 (d), 467 (h) MPa. Figures redrawn from original data in each case

### 2.2.4.1 Molecular Glassformers

Numerous molecular glass-forming liquids have narrow dispersions of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and an excess wing on the high-frequency flank, but otherwise no other resolved secondary relaxation in their dielectric spectra. There are experimental results [424, 508, 657, 658, 679–684] indicating that the excess



Fig. 36 Dielectric loss data at various combinations of temperature and pressure as indicated to demonstrate the invariance of the dispersion of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation at constant  $\alpha$ -loss peak frequency  $\nu_{\alpha}$  or equivalently at constant  $\alpha$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , for (a) poly(vinyl acetate) (PVAc); (b) poly(methyltolylsiloxane) (PMTS); (c) poly(phenylglycidylether)-*co*-formaldehyde (PPGE); (d) poly(oxybutylene) (POB). In all cases spectra obtained at higher *P* are normalized to the value of the maximum of the loss peak obtained at the same frequency at atmospheric pressure. Figures redrawn from original data in each case

wing is an unresolved Johari-Goldstein secondary relaxation. More detailed discussion of these experimental results will be given in a later section on Johari–Goldstein secondary relaxation. The glassformers of this type that exhibit *P*-*T* superpositioning of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation holding for any choice of constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$ are cresolphthalein-dimethylether (KDE), phenylphthalein-dimethylether (PDE), propylene carbonate (PC), and polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB62) included in Fig. 35. In each of these figures, data are used to show that this property holds for more than one value of  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . Shown elsewhere [120] and not be duplicated here are the same behavior found in phenylphthalein-dimethylether (PDE), phenyl salicylate (salol), 3,3',4,4'-benzophenonetetracarboxylic dianhydride (BPTCDaH), 1,1'-bis (*p*-methoxyphenyl)cyclohexane (BMPC), 1,1'-di(4-methoxy-5-methylphenyl) cyclohexane (BMMPC), diethyl phthalate (DEP), and di-isooctal phthalate (DiOP). More small molecular glassformers obeying P-T superpositioning at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$ have been found since the publication of [120, 618].

Some molecular glassformers have a resolved secondary relaxation whose peak frequency is practically pressure independent; these are not Johari–Goldstein (JG) processes (according to the definition given in [685]). The slower JG relaxation is not resolved from the  $\alpha$ -relaxation in the equilibrium liquid state. Such liquids include 1,1'-*bis*(*p*-methoxyphenyl)cyclohexane (BMPC) [659], diethyl phthalate (DEP) [660], di-*n*-butyl phthalate (DBP) [661], diisobutyl phthalate (DiBP) [661], di-isooctal phthalate (DiOP) [684], decahydroisoquinoline (DHIQ) [667], dipropyleneglycol dibenzoate (DPGDB) [669], and benzoin isobutylether (BIBE) [687]. Also are the epoxy compounds including the more familiar diglycidylether of bisphenol A (EPON828) [640], shown in Fig. 37, and less common 4,4'-methylene *bis*(*N*,*N*-diglycidylaniline) (MBDGA) [688, 689], bisphenol A propoxylate(1-PO/phenol)diglycidylether) (1PODGE) [690], *N*,*N*-diglycidyl-4-glycidyloxyaniline (DGGOA) [691], and *N*,*N*-diglycidylaniline (DGA) [691]. For all members of this class of glassformers, the dispersion associated with any fixed value of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is invariant to change of thermodynamic condition (*T* and *P* combinations).

Fig. 37 Dielectric loss data of EPON828, diglycidylether of bisphenol A (DGEBA), at two combinations of temperature and pressure as indicated to demonstrate the invariance of the dispersion of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation at constant  $\alpha$ -loss peak frequency. Open symbols (P = 110 MPa), closed symbols (0.1 MPa). Data from [676] replotted here



Earlier dielectric studies under elevated pressure [632] had found temperature– pressure superpositioning at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$  in a few molecular glassformers including *ortho*-terphenyl (OTP), di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate, tricresyl phosphate, polyphenyl ether, and refined naphthenic mineral oil, albeit the temperature and pressure ranges are not as wide as achieved in the more recent measurements.

We add in Fig. 38 to show the *P*–*T* superposition of the dielectric loss data of liquid triphenyl phosphite [676] at ambient and elevated pressure of 500 MPa, and also in Figs. 39 and 40 here the same for diphenyl-vinylene carbonate (DPVC) with  $T_g = 251$  K [697] for more than one purpose. The figures show (1) superpositioning in the log  $\varepsilon''(v)$  vs. log v plot as well as in the  $\varepsilon''(v)$  vs. log v plot of not only the main  $\alpha$ -loss peak but also the excess wing at high frequencies; (2) the broadening of the dispersion on increasing  $\tau_{\alpha}$ ; (3) the loss data plotted linearly against log v that are very well fitted by the one-sided Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function



**Fig. 38** *T*–*P* superposition of dielectric loss data of liquid triphenyl phosphite at ambient pressure (*line*) and elevated pressure of 500 MPa (*circles*). Data from [24] replotted



Fig. 39 Normalized loss spectra of DPVC. *Symbols* represent experimental data (atmospheric and high-pressure data) showing same frequency dispersion if the loss peak frequency is the same, and broadening of the dispersion with decreasing peak frequency. *Dotted lines* are fits to the data by the Fourier transform of the KWW function ( $\beta_{KWW} = 0.77$ , 0.73, and 0.71 from *right to left*). Discrepancies between the KWW fit and experimental data only occur at high frequencies. Data from [697] are replotted here



(lines). Small excess of the data over the KWW fit occurs only starting at frequencies about two decades higher than the loss peak frequency. The Havriliak–Negami function gives a better fit to the high-frequency part of the data but at the expense of introducing one more fitting parameter that has to be interpreted than the Kohlrausch function.

The dielectric loss spectra of benzoyn isobutylether (BIBE) shown before in Fig. 35f has two resolved secondary relaxation. The peak frequency of the slower one shifts to lower values on elevating pressure and is the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation, but not the faster one. This is an example of small molecular glassformer in which the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation has been resolved in the liquid state, and *P*–*T* superpositioning at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$  holds for both the  $\alpha$ - and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. A complement to Fig. 35f to show *P*–*T* superpositioning of both the  $\alpha$ - and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation was given by Capaccioli et al. [697] in Fig. 41.

Fig. 41 KWW parameter for the  $\alpha$ -relaxation (*left y*-axis) and logarithm of relaxation time,  $\tau_{JG}$  of the JG relaxation (*right y*-axis) of BIBE plotted vs. logarithm of  $\alpha$ -relaxation time for different *T* and *P*. *Symbols* are from experimental data of BIBE. *Continuous and dotted lines* are fitting functions explained in the text of [697]. The figure is reconstructed from the data in [697]




Fig. 42 (*Left*) Superposed dielectric loss spectra of poly(vinylmethylether) (PVME) with weight average molecular weight of 99,000 Da and a polydispersity=2.1 as a function of pressure, for various temperatures such that the relaxation times are almost equal (frequencies were shifted by less than 30%). Data from [618] are replotted here. (*Right*) Superposition of normalized dielectric loss data of DPGDB at various combinations of *P* and *T* as indicated. All have the same loss peak frequency. Data from [678] are replotted here

As a last example, the left panel of Fig. 42 shows that P-T superpositioning at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$  also holds for dipropyleneglycol dibenzoate (DPGDB) [678]. We shall see in a later section that P-T superpositioning for both the  $\alpha$ - and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of DPGDB holds at  $T_g$ .

### 2.2.4.2 Amorphous Polymers

Dielectric relaxation measurements under pressure have been carried out on several amorphous polymers, and for all cases studied the dispersion of the local segmental relaxation (i.e., the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation) conforms to temperature-pressure superpositioning at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . These polymers include poly(vinylmethylether) (PVME) [652], poly(vinyl acetate) (PVAc) [631], poly(ethylene-co-vinyl acetate) (EVA, having 70 wt% vinyl acetate) [698]. polymethylphenylsiloxane (PMPS) [549], poly(methyltolylsiloxane) (PMTS) [549], 1,2-polybutadiene (1,2-PBD, also referred to as polyvinylethylene, PVE) [699], poly(phenylglycidylether)-coformaldehyde (PPGE) [553], 1,4-polyisoprene (PI) [700], poly(propylene glycol) (molecular weight: 4000 Da) PPG4000 [701], poly(oxybutylene) POB [668], and poly(isobutyl vinylether) (PiBVE) [703]. Constant dispersions at a fixed value of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  independent of thermodynamic conditions (T and P) are shown for more than one  $\tau_{\alpha}$  in Fig. 36 for PVAc, PMTS, PPGE, and POB. Note that for POB there is a dielectrically active normal mode at lower frequencies. The normal mode originating from global chain motion has entirely different character than the local structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation, different P and T dependences, and hence its dispersion is not expected to obey T–P superpositioning at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . The dielectric data of some more common polymers showing T–P superposition of the dispersion of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation at fixed  $\tau_{\alpha}$  are presented in Fig. 42 (right panel) for PVME, Fig. 43 for PVE, Fig. 44 for PPG400, and Fig. 45 for the less common PiBVE.



Fig. 43 Dielectric loss data of 1,2-polybutadiene (1,2-PBD) with molecular weight of 3000 Da (about 56 monomer units) at various combinations of temperature and pressure as indicated to demonstrate the invariance of the dispersion of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation at constant  $\alpha$ -loss peak frequency  $\nu_{\alpha}$  or equivalently at constant  $\alpha$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . Data from [699] redrawn here

Fig. 44 Dielectric loss data of poly(propylene glycol) (PPG4000, molecular weight: 4000 Da) at various combinations of temperature and pressure as indicated to demonstrate the invariance of the dispersion of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation at constant  $\alpha$ -loss peak frequency  $\nu_{\alpha}$  or equivalently at constant  $\alpha$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . Data of Casalini and Roland replotted here



As illustrated in some of the figures of small molecular and polymeric glassformers, all the  $\alpha$ -loss peaks are well fit by the one-sided Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function (Eq. (1.1)) over the main part of the dispersion. Thus, the experimental fact of constant dispersion at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$  can be restated as the invariance



**Fig. 45** *P*–*T* superposition of dielectric loss data of PiBVE at three  $\log f_{\alpha}$ . Reproduced from [703] by permission

of the fractional exponent *n* (or  $\beta_{\text{KWW}} = 1 - n$ ) at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . In other words,  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and *n* are co-invariants of changing thermodynamic condition (*T* and *P*). So is the full-width at half-maximum of the dielectric loss peak, since after normalized to that of Debye loss peak, its value *w* is uniquely related to *n* by n = 1.047  $(1 - w^{-1})$  [214].

Hydrogen-bonded networks or clusters, if present, are modified at elevated pressure and temperature, changing the structure of the glassformer in the process. This occurs, for example, in glycerol, threitol [556], dimer (2PG) and trimer (3PG) of propylene glycol [657, 658], and *m*-fluoroaniline [671]. These hydrogen-bonded glassformers do not obey temperature–pressure superpositioning of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$  because the material itself is changed with different combinations of *T* and *P*. Such behavior is shown for glycerol and threitol in Fig. 46, 2PG in Fig. 46, and *m*-fluoroaniline in Fig. 47. In higher members of the polyols, such as xylitol and sorbitol, the departure from *T–P* superpositioning at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is smaller compared with the lower member glycerol. This is shown for sorbitol in Fig. 48, left panel [659(b)]. Also this trend can be seen when comparing PPG4000 (see Fig. 44) with dimer and trimer of PG.

Isobaric fragility index  $m_P$  generally decreases with increasing pressure [588(c)] for non-hydrogen-bonded glassformers. On the other hand, for hydrogen-bonded glassformers,  $m_P$  increase with pressure is found in lower molecular weight propylene glycols [652(b)], heptapropylene glycol (7PG) [636], PPG400, PPG4000



Fig. 46 Dielectric loss data of glycerol and threitol [659] (*left side*) and dimer of PG [658] (*right side*) at various combinations of temperature and pressure as indicated to demonstrate the departure of invariance of the dispersion of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation at constant loss peak frequency  $\nu_{\alpha}$  [556]. Data from the references cited have been replotted in all the figures



**Fig. 47** Dielectric loss spectrum of *m*-FA at 279 K and 1.69 GPa ( $\blacksquare$ ), 1.60 GPa ( $\blacklozenge$ ), 1.52 GPa data ( $\bullet$ ), and 1.4 GPa data ( $\blacktriangle$ ). Dielectric loss spectrum of *m*-FA at ambient pressure and 174 K ( $\Box$ ), 177 K ( $\bigcirc$ ), and 180 K ( $\triangle$ ). The *dashed lines* are fits to the data at 279 K and under GPa pressures by the one-sided Fourier transform of the KWW function. The *solid lines* are similar fits to the ambient pressure data. The dispersion of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak is always wider at higher temperature and pressure for the same loss peak frequency  $\nu_{\alpha}$ . The *vertical arrows* indicate the calculated primitive relaxation frequencies,  $\nu_0$ , for all the data sets and will be discussed in Section 2.3. Reproduced from [671] by permission



**Fig. 48** (*Left*) The dielectric loss of sorbitol ( $\blacksquare$ ) at elevated pressure (340 MPa) at 286.4 K and at atmospheric pressure at ( $\bigcirc$ ) 272 and ( $\times$ ) 274 K. The *inset* shows the superposition obtained by shifting the 272 K spectrum by 0.8 decades. The conductivity contribution, assumed proportional to 1/ $\omega$ , has been subtracted from the spectra. Reproduced from [659(b)] by permission. (*Right*) Invariance of the  $\alpha$  dispersion at constant  $\alpha$  peak frequency upon removal of the hydrogen bonding. Loss spectra of 7PGDE at various combinations of *T* and *P* (as indicated). The data on the high-frequency flank also superpose. Reproduced from [652(c)] by permission

[652(d)], and in other hydrogen-bonded materials [633(b)]. The increase of  $m_P$  with increasing pressure is accompanied by the broadening of the  $\alpha$ -dispersion in accord with the correlation between m and n. The broadening of the  $\alpha$ -dispersion suggests an increase of the intermolecular coupling parameter n, and this explains the increase of  $m_P$  with increasing pressure of hydrogen-bonded glassformers by using the CM. While hydrogen-bonded glassformers such as 7PG do not strictly obey P, T superpositioning at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , its non-hydrogen-bonded analogue, heptapropylene glycol dimethyl ether (7PGDE), does. For the 7PGDE, the terminal hydroxyl groups are exchanged for methoxy groups (O-CH<sub>3</sub>) in 7PG to create an analogous structure lacking hydrogen bonding. In fact, 7PGDE obeys P, T superpositioning at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$  (see Fig. 48, right panel), and its  $m_P$  decreases with increasing pressure [652(c)].

### 2.2.4.3 Ionic Liquids

Room-temperature ionic liquids (IL) are made of oppositely charged molecules that are liquids at or near room temperature. For environmental considerations, they are favorable compared with the highly volatile organic solvents currently used in many chemical processes because of their low vapor pressures, thermal stability, high electric conductivity, and low viscosity [704, 705]. The ILs are also glass-forming substances.

Dielectric relaxation measurements were reported on the ionic liquid 1-butyl-1methylpyrrolidinium *bis*[oxalato]borate (BMP-BOB), over wide temperature (123– 300 K) and pressure ranges (0.1–500 MPa) [706]. The measured complex dielectric susceptibility  $\varepsilon^*(\nu)$  was presented in terms of the electric modulus  $M^*(\nu) = 1/\varepsilon^*(\nu)$  [707]. The imaginary part of  $M^*(v)$ , M''(v), exhibits a maximum. The frequency dispersion of the loss peak and the peak frequencies characterize the ionic conductivity relaxation. These quantities have features that are similar to those observed in conventional glassformers. The relaxation time of the primary relaxation  $\tau_{\sigma}$  strongly increases with applied pressure. The M''(v) loss peak broadens with increasing  $\tau_{\sigma}$  on lowering temperature or by elevating pressure. Remarkably, at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , its shape is the same whether the pressure is 0.1 MPa or 500 MPa, as demonstrated in Fig. 49.



**Fig. 49** (*Left*) Electric modulus relaxation spectra (M'') of the ionic liquid BMP-BOB at ambient pressure and 231 and 245 K are plotted as *solid lines*. High-pressure M'' data (0.5 GPa) at the temperatures that yield relaxation times similar to those of the ambient pressure data, 283 and 308 K, are included in the figure as *squares*. Data at 0.5 GPa data are slightly shifted in frequency to match perfectly the atmospheric peak frequencies. *Long and short dashed lines* are fits to a Kohlrausch relaxation function with  $\beta \equiv (1 - n) = 0.56$  and 0.50, respectively. (*Right*) The figure shows the co-invariance of  $\beta$  and the relaxation time at different temperatures and at atmospheric pressure and at elevated pressure of 0.5 GPa. Data from [706] are replotted here

The invariance of the shape of the  $M''(\nu)$  loss peak to *P* and *T* combinations that maintain  $\tau_{\alpha}$  constant was also found in another room temperature ionic liquid, 1-hexyl-3-methylimidazolium chloride (or bromide), by Mierzwa et al. [702] (see Fig. 50, left panel).

### 2.2.4.4 Pharmaceutical and Saccharides

An example from the pharmaceuticals, indomethacin used for treatment of inflammation like gout, is chosen to show invariance of the  $\alpha$ -dispersion to variations of *P* and *T* at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$  in Fig. 50 (right panel). The data come from [397].

Glucose, fructose, galactose, sorbose, and ribose are monosaccharides and members of the sugar family and they are hydrogen-bonded glass-forming organic substances. They have important applications in food science, medicine, and biology. For this reason, the molecular relaxation dynamics of these sugars has been investigated in their liquid and glassy states by various techniques including dielectric relaxation and enthalpy relaxation [708]. The  $\alpha$ -loss peaks of ribose measured



**Fig. 50** (*Left*) Invariance of the shape of the electric modulus M''(v) loss peak to *P* and *T* combinations that maintain  $\tau_{\alpha}$  constant in another room temperature ionic liquid, 1-hexyl-3-methylimidazolium bromide. Courtesy of M. Mierzwa, the data will be published elsewhere in the future as [702]. (*Right*) Master dielectric loss  $\varepsilon''(v)$  curve of the pharmaceutical, indomethacin, constructed from the loss spectra obtained at different combinations of *T* and *P*. All loss spectra were chosen to have almost the same  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . Data from [397] are replotted here



**Fig. 51** Ribose. Open symbols are loss data taken at 500 MPa at T=297.8, 292.9, 288.1, 283.2, and 278.2 K (from right to left). The closed squares, crosses (+), and closed circles are loss data taken at ambient pressure (0.1 MPa) at T=269, 267, and 265 K and shifted horizontally by – 0.25, –0.275, and +0.205 decades, respectively. The dashed lines are fits by the one-sided Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function with n=0.45. The loss data taken at the elevated pressure and higher temperatures superpose well with that taken at ambient pressure and lower temperatures. To be discussed later in Section 2.3, the data (*inverted triangles*) taken below  $T_g$  show the presence of a secondary relaxation and its location in frequency is consistent with the primitive relaxation frequency  $v_0$  of the CM. Reproduced from [708] by permission

isothermally at ambient pressure (0.1 MPa) and at 500 MPa and various temperatures are shown in Fig. 51. The  $\alpha$ -loss peak shape at the elevated pressure is almost the same as that at ambient pressure provided temperature is raised to maintain constant  $\alpha$ -loss peak frequency [708].

# 2.2.4.5 Invariance of the $\alpha$ -Dispersion to Different *T* and *P* Combinations at Constant $\tau_{\alpha}$ Investigated by Other Techniques than Dielectric Spectroscopy

The spectra for molecular and polymeric glassformers shown above to demonstrate the invariance of the  $\alpha$ -dispersion to changes of T and P at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , were all acquired by dielectric spectroscopy. This reflects the utility of dielectric spectroscopy in investigating broadband dynamics under pressure, particularly with recent developments of techniques. Therefore, an extensive database of broadband dielectric spectra of different materials in different T-P conditions is available, enabling an assessment of the T-P superpositioning. Although we believe the phenomena to be quite general, there is a paucity of data from other experimental techniques. However, some results are available, as described below.

For polymeric systems, only a few photon correlation spectroscopy (PCS) studies have been carried out with applied pressure and these were done more than 20 years ago. Within the experimental resolution, the shape of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation was found to be essentially invariant to temperature and pressure at fixed  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , and time– temperature–pressure (*t*–*T*–*P*) superposition was valid. The investigated systems were poly(ethylacrylate) [709, 710], poly(methylacrylate) [711], and polystyrene [712]. A recent PCS experiment done on poly(propylene oxide) also found that *t*–*T*–*P* superposition was applicable [713].

More small molecular glassformers had been studied under pressure by more techniques than PCS. For *ortho*-terphenyl (OTP), the Kohlrausch parameters for the  $\alpha$ -relaxation by PCS at different temperatures and pressures [714, 715] have been reported. The stretching parameters  $\beta_{KWW}$  vs.  $\alpha$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  for different *T* and *P* fall on a single curve within the experimental uncertainty, with  $\beta_{KWW}$  decreasing slightly with increasing  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . On the other hand, a shape invariance of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation has been observed for OTP by specific heat spectroscopy under elevated pressure [716]. Additionally, experiments done on OTP by neutron scattering at different *T* and *P* revealed a negligible dependence of the shape of the structural relaxation, while the static structure factor yielded a master curve only for isochronal conditions, i.e., for the same relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  [717].

Salol is another system where the invariance of the shape of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation dispersion was reported under pressure. Recent PCS experiments [718, 719] revealed that the correlation functions acquired at different pressures up to 180 MPa and at room temperature superposed. The stretching parameter  $\beta_{KWW}$  was 0.68, in agreement with the PCS measurements done at ambient pressure [720, 721].

Very accurate PCS measurements under different *T* and *P* conditions were carried out on different molecular glass-forming systems by Patkowski and coworkers, including epoxy oligomers [722–724], and the van der Waals liquid PDE [725, 726], BMPC [727], and BMMPC [728]. In most of the systems investigated, master curves are obtained for  $\beta_{KWW}(T, P)$  of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation plotted vs.  $\tau_{\alpha}(T, P)$ , with the value decreasing (broader dispersion) as the dynamics slows (longer  $\tau_{\alpha}(T, P)$ ). These are additional evidences indicating that the dispersion and the relaxation time are strongly correlated with each other and the relation remains unchanged with changes in the combinations of *T* and *P*.

From the above discussion, we can conclude the result that the  $\alpha$ -dispersion is invariant to *T* and *P* at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is generally found in different kinds of glassformers and by different experimental techniques.

### 2.2.4.6 The $\alpha$ -Dispersion of a Component in Binary Polymer Blends Is Invariant to T and P When $\tau_{\alpha}$ Is Constant

Dielectric spectroscopic study of the component dynamics in the miscible 50/50 blend of poly(vinylmethylether) (PVME) and poly(2-chlorosytrene) (P2CS) was reported [729]. For the PVME component (which has the more intense loss peak due to its higher polarity), the shape of the segmental relaxation loss peak depends only on the relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , and is otherwise independent of thermodynamic conditions, i.e., different *P* and *T* combinations. An example is shown in Fig. 52a. The same result was obtained before for the PVME component in the miscible blend of PVME with polystyrene (PS) [730]. This property of the component dynamics of miscible polymer blends is in accord with the general behavior of neat materials discussed in the preceding subsections. Several models have been proposed to address the component dynamics of polymer blends [731–733]. None of these models except that based on the coupling model [734–739] consider the dispersion of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of a component in the blend (or in its neat state), and naturally



**Fig. 52a** Comparison of loss spectra for the 50 PVME/50 P2CS blend at combinations of *T* and *P* such that  $\tau_{\alpha}$  for the PVME component is essentially constant. To superpose the peaks, the frequencies for *P* = 0.1 and 227 MPa have been multiplied by 1.2 and 1.4, respectively, while the ordinate values for *P* = 88 and 227 MPa have been multiplied by 1.33 and 1.3, respectively. Data from [729] are replotted here

these models cannot address the observation of the invariance of the dispersion of the segmental relaxation of the component PVME for different T and P at constant relaxation time. Besides, these models are based on thermodynamic considerations and if considered the dispersion will change on changing T and P. On the other hand, the CM for component dynamics of polymer blends and mixtures of glassformers is based on considering the dispersion of the segmental relaxation of component in each environment *i* created by possible composition or concentration fluctuations. As discussed in detail in published works, the segmental relaxation in environment *i* with relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha i}$  has its own coupling parameter  $n_i$ , and the corresponding Kohlrausch function with stretch exponent,  $(1 - n_i)$ , determines the dispersion. In the same manner as shown for neat glassformers in the preceding section, the CM equation,  $\tau_{\alpha i} = [t_c^{-n_i} \tau_0]^{1/(1-n_i)}$ , ensures co-invariance of  $\tau_{\alpha i}$  and  $n_i$  (or the shape of loss contributed by i) for different combinations of T and P. The observed segmental relaxation of the component is composed of contributions from all *i*, and hence its overall shape depends only on the most probable relaxation time  $\hat{\tau}_{\alpha}$  and is otherwise independent of thermodynamic conditions (T and P combinations), as observed for PVME in two different blends mentioned above

That the generality of the invariance of the  $\alpha$ -dispersion of a component in binary polymer blends to variations of *T* and *P* when  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is kept constant is further borne out by the dielectric loss data taken at ambient and elevated pressures of neat poly(cyclohexyl methacrylate) (PCHMA) and its 50/50 blend with poly( $\alpha$ methylstyrene) (P $\alpha$ MS) [740], and presented in Fig. 52b. Superposition of  $\alpha$ -loss peak is achieved in both neat PCHMA and the blend. As an aside, the width of the neat PCHMA is broad due to internal plasticization of the alkyl chain. This is evidenced by the forced fit of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak at  $T_g$  to the Kohlrausch function with  $\beta_{KWW} \equiv (1 - n) = 0.41$  or n=0.59, which is even larger than n=0.51 for atactic PMMA, and n=0.40 for PEMA. Thus, using the value of n=0.59 to calculate the primitive relaxation time would seriously obtain a much shorter value than the experimental value, a conclusion also reached by the authors of [740]. The correct value of *n* for PCHMA seems to be 0.40.

### 2.2.4.7 The $\alpha$ -Dispersion of a Component in Mixtures of Two Small Molecular Glassformers Is Invariant to *T* and *P* When $\tau_{\alpha}$ Is Constant

The invariance of the dispersion of the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation of a component in polymer blends discussed in the paragraph above is also found for a component in binary mixtures of small molecular glassformers. The systems studied by dielectric spectroscopy at ambient and elevated pressure are a mixture of 25 wt% of 2-picoline with tri-styrene [676] and a mixture of 10 wt% of quinaldine with tri-styrene [677]. Since the dipole moments of picoline and quinaldine are much larger than tri-styrene, the observed spectra are contributed effectively by the motions of picoline or quinaldine. Both picoline and quinaldine are rigid molecules without any internal degree of freedom. In each mixture, the shape of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak of picoline



or quinaldine is invariant to *T* and *P* when  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is held constant, just like PVME in blends with P2CS and PS. The invariance of the shape was found to hold for more than one constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$  value. Examples of superposed spectra from the two mixtures are shown in Figs. 53 and 54. In Fig. 55, the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of the polar rigid molecule benzonitrile with 10 wt% in mixture with polystyrene with molecular weight of 370 shows perfect superposition for several combinations of *P* and *T* [741].

Moreover, the data show that not only the dispersion of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak, but also the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{JG}$  (~ the primitive relaxation time  $\tau_0$  of the CM, see Section 2.3) of picoline or quinaldine in the mixtures is invariant to different combinations of *T* and *P* while keeping  $\tau_{\alpha}$  constant, like neat glassformers DPGDB and BIBE (see Fig. 35e and f). This means that  $\tau_{\alpha}$ ,  $\tau_0$  (or  $\tau_{JG}$ ), and the dispersion (or *n*) of picoline or quinaldine in the mixtures are co-invariants to changes in *T* and *P*, exactly as prescribed by the CM equation,  $\tau_{\alpha} = [(t_c)^{-n} \tau_0]^{1/(1-n)}$ .







# 2.2.4.8 Impact on Theory by *T*–*P* Superpositioning of the $\alpha$ -Dispersion at Constant $\tau_{\alpha}$

We now discuss the impact of this general property on theories and models of the glass transition. The primary concern of most published theories seems to explain the temperature and pressure dependences of the structural relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . The dispersion (*n* or  $\beta_{KWW}$ ) of the structural relaxation is either not addressed, or else considered separately with additional input not involved in the main part of the theory. For example, the original free volume models [26, 29] and the Adam–Gibbs



model [30] treat the variation of relaxation times with T and P but do not predict the dispersion of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation or the distribution of molecular relaxation rates. Additional inputs such as some specific fluctuation or distribution of some parameter were introduced to generate a distribution of relaxation times consistent with the empirical KWW time correlation function, as have been done by others in some extended versions of these theories. It is not difficult for any model to find combinations of T and P such that the predicted  $\tau_{\alpha}(T, P)$  is constant. However, it is unlikely that the same combinations will also keep the predicted dispersion or n(T, P) constant. There is change in volume and also in entropy S for different combinations of T and P as can be expected from the thermodynamic relation,  $(\partial S/\partial P)_T = (\partial V/\partial T)_P$  [742]. For one glassformer it may be possible to introduce additional assumptions to force both  $\tau_{\alpha}(T, P)$  and n(T, P) to be simultaneously constant. However, this would not be a worthwhile undertaking since  $\tau_{\alpha}(T, P)$  and n(T, P) are simultaneously constant for many glassformers, with different physical and chemical structures and broadly different sensitivities to temperature and density. Thus, the experimental observations (i.e., simultaneous constancy of  $\tau_{\alpha}(T, P)$ and n(T, P) impose severe constraint on any theoretical effort to understand the glass transition phenomena in toto, past and present. Theories and models, in which the structural relaxation time does not define or govern the dispersion of the structural relaxation, cannot explain the simultaneous constancy of  $\tau_{\alpha}(T, P)$  and the dispersion of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation or  $\beta_{KWW}(T, P)$ . Most theories and models of glass transition fall into this category. Revision is required to bring them back to consistency with this general experiment fact.

Also can be seen from Figs. 53 to 55 is, in addition to the dispersion of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation, the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{JG}$  (or  $\tau_0$ ) of the CM is invariant to different combinations of *T* and *P* while keeping  $\tau_{\alpha}$  constant, not only in mixtures but also in neat glassformers. This apparently general property of the dynamics of glassforming systems poses another challenge for formulating a perfect theory of glass transition.

Glass transition is a broad and interdisciplinary field of research. Due to the large amount of experimental data and multiple phenomena, it is possible that even this spectacular t-T-P superposition property of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation may not be recognized or valued by some workers. If this important experimental fact is not taken into account by others in future development, I see no hope that the glass transition problem will be genuinely solved.

The experimental fact of constant dispersion of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$ can be restated as the invariance of the fractional exponent or the Kohlrausch exponent, (1 - n), to different combinations of T and P that hold  $\tau_{\alpha}$  constant. Stated this way, it is easy to see that the coupling model (CM) is consistent with this experimental fact. In the CM, the heterogeneous many-molecule dynamics gives rise to the Kohlrausch correlation function with its relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  directly linked to its fractional exponent *n* by the CM equation,  $\tau_{\alpha} = [t_c^{-n}\tau_0]^{1/(1-n)}$ . This CM equation clearly indicates that constant *n* is a necessary condition in order for  $\tau_{\alpha}$  to hold for different combinations of T and P. In Section 2.3.2.1 we present experimental data showing that not only *n* but also  $\tau_0$  remains constant to variations in combinations of T and P that hold  $\tau_{\alpha}$  constant. Anticipating this result and the fact that  $t_{c}$  is insensitive to T and P, the CM equation proves that constant n or  $\alpha$ -dispersion is both necessary and sufficient condition to have  $\tau_{\alpha}$  maintained constant for different combinations of T and P. Not only is  $\tau_{\alpha}$  uniquely defined by the dispersion and vice versa on changing thermodynamic conditions as shown herein, but also many properties of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  are governed by the dispersion or the fractional exponent *n* seen by other examples to be discussed in the sections to follow.

# 2.2.5 Other Structural Relaxation Properties Either Governed by or Correlated with the Dispersion of the $\alpha$ -Relaxation

In Section 2.2.1, we have shown that the width of the dispersion of the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation (or *n* appearing in the exponent of the Kohlrausch function) systematically increases with the number of intermolecular constraints and the strength of intermolecular interaction. The trend indicates that the dispersion reflects the many-body nature of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. If the latter plays a role in determining the dynamic and thermodynamic properties, then the dispersion or *n* can be expected to either govern general properties of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation or at least correlate with them. In Section 2.2.2, we have seen the correlation between n and  $\xi_{het}$ , the length scale of the heterogeneous dynamics of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation measured by multidimensional NMR. In Section 2.2.3, it is pointed out that "fragility" and its index m also correlate to n so long as the glassformers are restricted to the same family with similar chemical and physical structures. The restriction helps to avoid interference from thermodynamic factors on which *m* also depends. The strong connection between  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and *n* is shown in Section 2.2.4 by the co-invariance of the two quantities to various combinations of T and P at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}(T, P)$ . These previously discussed results are indicators that the dispersion or n is a key parameter in understanding the dynamics of glassformers. In this section, we review other general properties of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation that lead to the same conclusion. Explanation of a selected one or a small subset of these properties is possible without ever invoking the dispersion or *n* as demonstrated by some theoretical attempts. However, these explanations have the shortcomings of ignoring the empirically established correlation of the properties with the dispersion or *n*, and leaving the other equally important properties unexplained. Naturally, simultaneous explanation of *all* the properties by a theory based on the dispersion or *n* will not have the shortcomings, as achieved in the coupling model.

### 2.2.5.1 Failure of a Single Vogel–Fulcher–Tammann–Hesse (VFTH) Expression to Describe the Temperature Dependence of $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$

The temperature dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  or the viscosity  $\eta$  of the majority of glass-forming liquids is non-Arrhenian and the VFTH expression,

$$\tau_{\alpha}(T) \text{ or } \eta(T) = A \exp[B/(T - T_0)],$$
 (2.41)

is often used to fit the experimental data. More than 30 years ago, Plazek, Magill, and Greet [743–745] measured the viscosity of 1,3-*bis*(1-naphthyl)-5-(2naphthyl)benzene (TNB) over a wide range of almost 16 decades. They showed that a single VFTH expression cannot fit the data over such a wide range. A satisfactory account can only be obtained by an Arrhenius expression at high temperatures above  $T_A$  with a crossover to a VFTH expression denoted here by (VFTH)<sub>1</sub> below  $T_A$ . However, VFTH<sub>1</sub> is no longer adequate when temperature falls below  $T_B = 412$  K. A second VFTH equation, VFTH<sub>2</sub>, has to be used to describe  $\eta$  for  $T_B > T > T_g$ . The complete measurements of the viscosity  $\eta$  spanning almost 15 decades together with the VFTH<sub>1</sub> and VFTH<sub>2</sub> fits to the data are shown in the inset of Fig. 11.

It is much easier to spot the failure of a single VFTH expression to fit temperature dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  or  $\eta$  over a wide range by replotting the data as the derivative of log  $\tau_{\alpha}$  with respect to reciprocal temperature,  $[d \log \tau_{\alpha}/d(1/T)]^{-1/2}$ , vs. 1/T [236, 347, 348, 621]. In this plot, VFTH temperature dependence for  $\tau_{\alpha}$  or  $\eta$  is transformed to a linear dependence of  $[d \log \tau_{\alpha}/d(1/T)]^{-1/2}$  on 1/T with non-zero slope equal to  $(B/2.303)^{-1/2}T_0$ , and is given by

$$\left[\frac{d\log\tau_{\alpha}}{d(1/T)}\right]^{-1/2} = (B/2.303)^{-1/2} \left(1 - \frac{T_0}{T}\right).$$
(2.42)

For Arrhenius temperature dependence,  $A \exp(E_a/RT)$  is transformed to

$$\left[\frac{d\log\tau_{\alpha}}{d(1/T)}\right]^{-1/2} = (E_{a}/2.303R)^{-1/2},$$
(2.43)

which is a constant or a line with zero slope in the plot. The inadequacy of any single expression, Arrhenius or VFTH, to fit the data over the whole temperature

range becomes obvious. The change of temperature dependence at  $T_A$  and  $T_B$  can be seen by inspection. The requirement for obtaining  $d \log \tau_{\alpha}/d(1/T)$  with high accuracy demands measurement of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  or  $\eta$  at many temperatures with spacings that are sufficiently small. This requirement is not always met in the data of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  or  $\eta$ reported in the literature. Broadband dielectric relaxation is the technique to obtain  $\tau_{\alpha}$  meeting the requirement. Therefore, most of the data showing the need of an Arrhenius expression at high temperatures and two VFTH expressions separately at lower temperatures were obtained by dielectric relaxation and a few by viscosity measurements. The high-temperature Arrhenius dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is not usually uncovered by dielectric measurements because it occurs when  $\nu_{\alpha}$  is larger than 1 GHz which is not easy to make dielectric relaxation measurement. Consequently, the crossover to Arrhenius dependence of viscosity was only seen in several small molecule glassformers [236, 237, 347, 348, 746], and in a few polymers [242, 361].

The viscosity data of TNB are historic in first revealing the presence of three distinctly different temperature regimes. The complete data satisfy the requirement for the derivative analysis. Indeed, the data when replotted as  $[d \log \eta/d(1/T)]^{-1/2}$  vs. 1000/T in Fig. 11 show the presence of three regimes. The points in the figure are obtained from the experimental viscosity data by using the ratio of differences as approximation of d log  $\eta/d(1/T)$ . The scattering of points about the straight lines is largely due to an insufficient number of data points to represent the derivative by the ratio of finite differences. The two straight lines with non-zero slopes and labeled by VFT(1) and VFT(2) correspond to the two VFTH expressions found originally by Plazek and Magill [743–745]. The two lines intersect at  $T_{\rm B} = 412$  K, the temperature below which VFTH<sub>1</sub> fits the temperature dependence of  $\eta$ , and above which VFTH<sub>2</sub> holds instead. The dashed horizontal line in the figure indicates that the T-dependence of the viscosity data is Arrhenius at high temperatures. The intersection of horizontal line with the steep full line determines  $T_{\rm A} = 588$  K, the temperature near which another change of temperature dependence of  $\eta$  from VFTH<sub>2</sub> to the Arrhenius dependence occurs. The same behavior as TNB was found in salol, butyl-benzene, and propyl-benzene by Hansen et al. [348]. The data and analysis of propyl-benzene are shown in Fig. 56.

Many more small molecular glassformers [236, 347, 348, 621] and polymers including PVAc [236, 238], PPGE, and DGEBA [242] show the crossover of *T*-dependence of either  $\tau_{\alpha}$  or  $\eta$  at  $T_{\rm B}$ . Here I add the data of two inorganic glassformers to demonstrate generality. The viscosity of boron trioxide (B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) also has two crossovers, one at  $T_{\rm A}$  from Arrhenius to VFTH<sub>2</sub>, and at  $T_{\rm B}$  from VFTH<sub>2</sub> to VFTH<sub>1</sub>, which turns out to be almost Arrhenius as shown in Fig. 57. The dielectric and mechanical relaxation times combined of the molten salt 0.4 Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>–0.6 KNO<sub>3</sub> (CKN) also show the crossover from (VFTH)<sub>2</sub> to VFTH<sub>1</sub> at  $T_{\rm B}$  in the inset of Fig. 58 [748]. Included in the main part of the figure are the secondary relaxation times of CKN determined by mechanical spectroscopy deep in the glassy state [749].

By now, the crossover of the temperature dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  or  $\eta$  from VFTH<sub>1</sub> to VFTH<sub>2</sub> at some  $T_{\rm B}$  has been found in so many glass-forming liquids that it is



Fig. 56 Left panel: Temperature dependence of the dielectric peak frequency (•,  $x = f_{max}/Hz$ ) and of the inverse viscosity ( $\Delta$ ,  $x = \kappa \cdot \eta^{-1}$  Poise,  $\log \kappa = 7.70$ ) for propylbenzene. The solid line is a VFTH fit to the  $T_B \leq T \leq T_A$  data, the dashed line is an Arrhenius fit to the  $T > T_A$  dielectric data. The dotted line is an Arrhenius fit to the  $T > T_A$  viscosity data,  $\log_{10}(\kappa \cdot \eta^{-1}Poise) =$ 11.92 - 620 K/T. The inset shows the Arrhenius regime on enlarged scales and including the data for  $x \propto T \cdot \eta^{-1}$  ( $\Box$ ) in addition to  $x \propto \eta^{-1}(\Delta)$ . Right panel: Temperature dependence of the dielectric peak frequency and of the viscosity for propylbenzene, plotted as  $[d \log x/d(1/T)]^{-1/2}$ vs. 1/T. The data, fits, and symbols correspond to those in the left panel. The indicated characteristic temperatures are  $T_A(\eta) = 264 \text{ K}$ ,  $T_A(D) = 240 \text{ K}$ , and  $T_B = 170 \text{ K}$ . The inset shows the Arrhenius regime on enlarged scales and including the data for  $x \propto \kappa \cdot \eta^{-1}$  ( $\Box$ ) in addition to  $x \propto \eta^{-1}$  ( $\Delta$ ). Reproduced from [348] by permission

**Fig. 57** Viscosity data of anhydrous B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> showing Arrhenius *T*-dependence at high temperatures (*solid line*) changing to VFTH<sub>2</sub> dependence at intermediate temperatures (*dashed line*), and changing to VFTH<sub>1</sub> which is practically Arrhenius (*dashed-dotted line*) at low temperatures (*dashed-dotted line*). Data taken from Ph.D. thesis of K. Bermatz (University of Pittsburgh 2004)



a general property or phenomenon of glass-forming liquids. Fewer cases show the crossover at  $T_A$  because this usually occurs when  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is much shorter than nanosecond and data of this sort are not common. From the results one can observe several correlations between the parameters characterizing the phenomena and *n* (or the width of the dispersion of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation) as a function of temperature [237, 238,





253, 192, 115(a)]. They are discussed in greater detail below than in previous publications. Theories claiming to have the temperature dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  or  $\eta$  explained need also address these correlations.

# Correlation of Crossover in T-Dependence of $\tau_{\alpha}$ or $\eta$ with Corresponding *T*-Dependence of *n*

Dielectric and light-scattering data of  $\alpha$ -relaxation [192, 236, 237, 253, 347, 348] of mostly small molecular glassformers when analyzed show that for  $T > T_A$ , *n* is either unity (i.e., exponential relaxation) or nearly equal to unity (see Fig. 59 for a collection of glassformers, inset of Fig. 15, and Fig. 1A in [237]), and relaxation time has Arrhenius *T*-dependence (see Fig. 60 and Fig. 14 inset). These features are shown more clearly in Fig. 61 for propylene carbonate alone. Both behaviors indicate absence of intermolecular cooperativity of the motions when  $T > T_A$ . The relaxation times of four liquids have Arrhenius dependence  $T > T_A$  as shown before in Fig. 10. The prefactor  $10^{-13.5}$  has the physically reasonable value corresponding to angular attempt frequencies of the order of  $10^{-13.5}$  s for independent rotation of the molecules at high temperatures.

In a table of [750], relaxation times at the onset of Arrhenius behavior for several liquids and one polymer are given. Averaging the 10 values yields  $\log(\tau(T_A)/s) = -10.5 \pm 0.4$ ; that is, the relaxation time at  $T_A$  is approximately the same for all the



**Fig. 59** n(T) obtained from the exponent (1-n) of the Kohlrausch function used to fit dispersion of dielectric relaxation data plotted as function of  $T_g/T$  for 25% benzyl chloride/75% toluene (*open squares*), 3BP ( $\diamond$ ), propylbenzene ( $\Delta$ ), and salol ( $\blacktriangle$ ). Shown also are n(T) of *ortho*-terphenyl (OTP) (*closed squares*) and toluene (*closed circles*) obtained from dynamic light-scattering data. The normalized temperatures  $T_g/T_B$  indicated by *vertical arrows* are for propylbenzene (PBZ) and 3-bromopentane (3BP) and toluene. The normalized temperature  $T_g/T_A$  is nearly the same for all liquids and is indicated by a *single vertical arrow*. Data assembled in [253] are replotted



**Fig. 60** The steepness index,  $m = [d \log \tau_{\alpha}/d(T_g/T)]$ , calculated from experimental  $\tau_{\alpha}$  as a function of  $T_g/T$  for toluene ( $\blacksquare$ ), propylbenzene (PBZ) ( $\Delta, \blacktriangle$ ), 3BP ( $\Diamond$ ), and 25% benzyl chloride/75% toluene (*solid curve*). The normalized temperatures  $T_g/T_B$  defined in the text are indicated by *vertical arrows* for propylbenzene (PBZ) and 3-bromopentane (3BP) and toluene.  $T_B$  of toluene is not available and instead  $T_\beta$  is used. The normalized temperature  $T_g/T_A$  is nearly the same for all liquids and is indicated by a *single vertical arrow*. The viscosity data of toluene are used to calculate *m* at high temperatures. The *inset* enlarges the region around  $T_g/T_A$  and  $T_g/T_B$  to exhibit the constant *m* for  $T > T_A$  for 3BP ( $\Diamond$ ), PBZ ( $\Delta, \blacktriangle$ ), toluene ( $\Box$ ), and OTP ( $\bullet$ ). Data collected in [253] are replotted



**Fig. 61** Temperature dependences of the propylene carbonate α-relaxation peak frequency (*filled circles*) and the β-relaxation peak frequency (*open squares*) from the Cole–Cole curves from [424]. Shown also are the α-relaxation peak frequency (*open circles*) from the dielectric data from [236, 621]. The *open diamonds* are logarithm of the reciprocal of the viscosity data from [236, 621] shifted by a constant to match the dielectric data. The *solid and dashed lines* are, respectively, the VFTH law for the α-relaxation peak frequency for the lower temperature regime (*T*<192 K) and for the intermediate higher temperature regime of (192 K<*T*<265 K), with *T*<sub>B</sub>=192 K as indicated. The *dashed straight line* is the Arrhenius fit to the high-temperature data of [236, 621], with *T*<sub>A</sub> indicated. The *inset* shows the temperature dependence of the coupling parameter, *n*, or equivalently ( $1 - \beta_{KWW}$ ), used in the KWW function to fit the dielectric dispersion (*filled circles*). The *open triangles* are ( $1 - \beta_{KWW}$ ) calculated from *w*, the full-width at half-maximum of the dielectric loss peak normalized to that of an ideal Debye loss peak, given in [236, 621] by using Eq. (2.1). Data collected in [424] are reploted here

materials. This value of  $\sim 30$  ps is three orders of magnitude longer than the vibrational frequencies, naturally so because the relevant length scale for liquid motions is the intermolecular distance, rather than interatomic distances. Interestingly,  $\tau(T_A)$ is within about one decade from 2 ps, the onset time of cooperative motions according to the coupling model. This correspondence is consistent with the idea that the loss of Arrhenius behavior upon cooling to temperatures below  $T_A$  is due to development of intermolecular cooperativity. The narrow spread of  $\tau(T_A)$  for the different liquids indicates only a weak sensitivity to chemical structure, from which a reasonable inference is that the relaxation time at the onset of Arrhenius behavior is a material constant.

There is an increase of *n* as temperature is decreased from  $T_A$  down to  $T_B$ . The increase is slight such that at  $T = T_B$ ,  $n(T_B)$  is still a fraction of  $n(T_g)$ . A more rapid increase of *n* is evident as temperature falls below  $T_B$  until it reaches  $n(T_g)$  at  $T = T_g$  [751]. This feature can be seen in Figs. 59 and 61. Thus,  $T_B$  is the temperature at which dn(T)/dT exhibits a step-like change, suggesting that the latter is the cause of the change of temperature dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  from VFTH<sub>2</sub> to VFTH<sub>1</sub> at  $T_B$ . Data of  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$  and n(T) of several other small molecular glassformers [423] and poly(vinyl acetate) [238] all show the step-like increase of dn(T)/dT when crossing  $T_B$  from above to below. Hence, generally at  $T_B$ , the change of *T*-dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$  or  $\eta$  is correlated with that of dn(T)/dT.

### The Disparity Between (VFTH)<sub>1</sub> and (VFTH)<sub>2</sub> Correlates with n(T<sub>g</sub>)

Moreover, one can observe [115, 237, 253] that glassformer having larger  $n(T_g)$ or smaller Kohlrausch exponent  $\beta(T_g)$  has larger difference between VFTH<sub>2</sub> and VFTH<sub>1</sub>. Difference between VFTH<sub>1</sub> and VFTH<sub>2</sub> can be gauged by the difference between the values of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  given by these two functions, at any chosen value of the scaled temperature  $T_{\rm B}/T$ . VFTH<sub>1</sub> and VFTH<sub>2</sub> can be extrapolated from their valid ranges to a common temperature range of  $T_A > T > T_g$ . Generally it is true that glassformer having larger  $n(T_g)$  at  $T = T_g$  exhibits a larger difference between the two values of  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_{\rm B}/T_{\rm ref})$  at a common reference temperature,  $T_{\rm ref}$ , obtained by the extrapolated VFTH<sub>1</sub> and VFTH<sub>2</sub>. This trend is shown in Fig. 62 by comparing the ratio as a function of  $T_{\rm B}/T$  for three glassformers in descending order of n: OTP  $(n(T_g) \approx 0.5, T_g = 244, T_B = 290 \text{ K})$ , glycerol  $(n(T_g) \approx 0.30, T_g = 190 \text{ K})$ ,  $T_{\rm B} = 285$  K), and propylene glycol ( $n(T_{\rm g}) \approx 0.25$ ,  $T_{\rm g} = 167$  K). The one with larger  $n(T_g)$  shows larger difference between VFTH<sub>1</sub>( $T_{ref}$ ) and VFTH<sub>2</sub>( $T_{ref}$ ). This trend suggests that the difference between (VFTH)<sub>1</sub> and (VFTH)<sub>2</sub> would vanish for glassformer having  $n(T_g) = 0$ , and a single VFTH is sufficient. GeO<sub>2</sub> can be considered to be such an example, which has n=0 and Arrhenius Tdependence if the latter is taken as a special case of VFTH dependence. This trend is another way to see that  $n(T_g)$  governs the change in T-dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  when crossing  $T_{\rm B}$ .



**Fig. 62** Frequency of the maximum of the dielectric loss,  $f_{max}$ , of OTP and propylene glycol compared on a plot against  $T_B/T$ , where  $T_B$  is the crossover temperature from VFTH<sub>2</sub> (*dashed curve*) to another VFTH<sub>1</sub> (*solid curve*). OTP (*black circles*), propylene glycol (*open triangles*). Reciprocal of the viscosity data of OTP scaled by a constant log c=8.77 (*open circles*). At the highest temperature, the reciprocal viscosity of OTP has a true Arrhenius temperature dependence. The *two vertical arrows* indicate that there is a larger difference between VFTH<sub>1</sub>( $T_{ex}$ ) and VFTH<sub>2</sub>( $T_{ex}$ ) for OTP than propylene glycol

### The CM Explanation

From the experimental facts discussed above, it is clear that the change of *T*-dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$  or  $\eta$  from VFTH<sub>2</sub> to VFTH<sub>1</sub> at  $T_{\rm B}$  is correlated with that of dn(T)dT. Since *n* is the indicator of many-body dynamics, the cause of the crossover can be restated as due to the onset at  $T_{\rm B}$  of more rapid increase of the extent of many-body dynamics with falling temperature toward  $T_{\rm g}$ . This explanation by the CM of the crossover from VFTH<sub>2</sub> to VFTH<sub>1</sub> was tested [423] against experimental data of  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$  and n(T) of several small molecular glassformers by calculating the primitive relaxation time  $\tau_0(T)$  from these data by the CM equation  $\tau_{\alpha}(T) = [t_{\rm c}^{-n(T)}\tau_0(T)]^{1/(1-n(T))}$ . All calculated  $\tau_0(T)$  are well described by a single VFTH law and no crossover appears as shown by the example of OTP in Fig. 63, thus indicating that n(T) governs the *T*-dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$  or  $\eta$ . More such examples can be found in [423]. These general results support the CM explanation of the crossover as *T*-dependence of n.

Correlation with Structural Changes Supporting the CM Explanation

### Wide Angle X-Ray Scattering

Studies of the change in structure of two low-molecular weight glass-forming liquids, propylene carbonate and salol, with temperature were carried out by using



Fig. 63 The experimental  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$  and the calculated  $\tau_0(T)$  in *upper panel*, together with  $\beta_{\text{KWW}} \equiv (1-n(T))$  (*lower panel*) of salol (*left*) and OTP (*right*), plotted as a function of inverse temperature. The *lines* in the *upper panel* are the best fit to VFTH. The location of  $1000/T_{\text{B}}$  is indicated by the *vertical arrow*. The *inset* shows the differences between the experimental points and the best fit to VFTH. Reproduced from [423] by permission

wide angle X-ray scattering (WAXS) and molecular dynamics simulation [752]. The experiment measured the WAXS intensities of propylene carbonate and salol as a function of the magnitude of the scattering vector q, and at different temperatures. For PC, at temperatures  $T > T_A(290 \text{ K})$ , an almost symmetric peak as amorphous halo is centered at about q = 1.38 Å<sup>-1</sup>. There is no indication for a shoulder on either the right or left side of the maximum. However, below  $T_A$  on decreasing T, intensity from the q-region of the amorphous halo around 1.4 Å<sup>-1</sup> is transferred to higher as around 2 Å<sup>-1</sup>. The pronounced changes in the diffraction curves with decreasing T indicate development of new peaks with different temperature dependences, which correspond to next neighbor and next neighbor distances. Consequently, there is increasing orientational correlation of the molecules with decreasing temperature below  $T_A$ . The intensity of the main peak around 1.4 Å<sup>-1</sup> also sees more rapid drop with decreasing temperature when crossing  $T_A = 290$  K and  $T_{\rm B} = 200$  K. These structural changes observed by WAXS support increased spatial correlations of molecules and hence enhanced many-body dynamics and increase in dispersion or n. Thus, the step-like increase of |dn(T)/dT| observed when crossing  $T_A$  and  $T_B$  on decreasing temperature can be understood, and the CM explanation of the changes in T-dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  on crossing  $T_{\rm A}$  and  $T_{\rm B}$  is made more evident by the structural changes observed by WAXS.

Structure of atatic poly(ethylmethacrylate) ( $T_g = 339$  K) was studied by WAXS [753]. The diffraction WAXS curves show two peaks. The peak at the highest q value corresponds to an equivalent "Bragg" spacing of d = 0.5 nm. It is assigned to the mean van der Waals distance of not chemically bonded atoms. The q value of the peak position as a function of temperature exhibits an abrupt change of slope at some temperature  $T_c = 390$  K which coincides with  $T_{\alpha\beta}$ , the merging/splitting temperature of the  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  relaxations of atactic PEMA. Since the  $\beta$  relaxation is local and non-cooperative, when merging with it, the  $\alpha$  relaxation will tend to have the same character, and has small n at  $T_c = 390$  K. Dielectric [252, 296] and NMR [754, 755] have shown that n of atactic PEMA is a significant fraction of unity (~0.40) at  $T_g$ . From this and above, one may infer that the observed changes of structure of atactic PEMA at  $T_c$  has something to do with the corresponding change in dynamics due to the increase of n with decreasing temperature toward  $T_g$ .

#### Positron Annihilation Lifetime Spectroscopy

Positron annihilation lifetime spectroscopy (PALS) is a technique to probe the unoccupied volume, or so-called "free volume," of glassformers. In organic glasses, the *ortho*-positronium (o-Ps) bound state of a positron has a strong tendency to localize in heterogeneous regions of low electron density. If the heterogeneity is assumed to be a spherical cavity, the o-Ps lifetime  $\tau_3$  can be related to an average cavity or nanopore radius *R*. Over the range of  $\tau_3$  values usually measured, the relation between  $\tau_3$  and *R* is nearly linear. The variations of  $\tau_3$  with temperature of propylene carbonate (PC), *ortho*-terphenyl (OTP), glycerol, and propylene glycol (PG) from [387] are shown in Fig. 64. The thermal variations of  $\tau_3$  are qualitatively similar in all four small molecule glassformers. At low temperatures in the glassy



**Fig. 64**  $\tau_3$  as a function of the  $T_g$ -scaled temperature for OTP, PC, PG, and glycerol. A crossover in the thermal dependence of  $\tau_3$  is observed both at  $T_g$  and an upper temperature  $T_r$ , indicated by the *vertical arrows*. Typical standard uncertainties in  $\tau_3$  are  $\pm 0.025$  ns.  $T_r$  is nearly the same as  $T_B$ for all four glassformers. Reproduced from [387] by permission

state,  $\tau_3$  exhibits a weak temperature dependence which changes to a much stronger dependence after crossing  $T_g$ . This change reflects the glass-liquid transition. On further increasing T, a second crossover of the temperature dependence of  $\tau_3$  occurs at  $T_r$  beyond which  $\tau_3$  becomes slowly varying and assumes a plateau-like value. This high-temperature crossover occurs at  $T_r/T_g \approx 1.2$  for OTP and PC and  $\approx 1.5$ for PG and glycerol. Remarkably,  $T_r$  is nearly the same as  $T_B$  for all four glassformers. The observed more rapid decrease of  $\tau_3$  or nanopore radius R with falling temperature past  $T_r$  or  $T_B$  suggests the molecules are closer together, and hence the more rapid increase of the intermolecular coupling parameter n below  $T_B$  as observed via the change in T-dependence of the Kohlrausch stretch exponent  $\beta(T)$ [423, 424] or the full-width at half-height of the dielectric loss peak [236].

#### From Configurational Entropy $S_c(T)$

Another thermodynamic quantity that has been extensively measured is the molar configurational entropy  $S_c(T)$ . In Section 2.2.2.2, we discussed the Adam–Gibbs model which gives the number of molecules inside the cooperative rearranging region  $z^*$  from  $S_c(T)$  via  $z^*(T) = N_A s_c^* / S_c(T)$  (given before by Eq. (2.22)). Although the Adam–Gibbs model is inadequate as discussed before in Section 2.2.2.2 [401], the  $z^*(T)$  calculated as a function of temperature from  $S_c(T)$  shows a more rapid increase with decreasing temperature after crossing  $T_B$  for many small molecular glassformers, some of them as shown in Fig. 65. This general result suggests the onset at  $T = T_B$  of more rapid increase in the number of molecules participating in the  $\alpha$ -relaxation (and hence also n) with decreasing temperature. This inferred behavior of n is the cause of the change of temperature dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  from VFTH<sub>2</sub> to VFTH<sub>1</sub> at  $T_B$ . Worth mentioning here is the plot of log  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$ against  $1/[TS_c(T)]$ . A linear relation between  $\log \tau_{\alpha}(T)$  and  $1/[TS_c(T)]$  is predicted by the Adam–Gibbs relation,  $\tau_{\alpha}(T) = A_{AG} \exp[\Delta \mu s_c^* / k_B TS_c(T)]$  (given before by



Eq. (2.23)). In reality, there are two separate linear relations, a weaker one for  $\tau_{\alpha} < \tau_{\alpha}(T_{\rm B})$  and a stronger one for  $\tau_{\alpha} > \tau_{\alpha}(T_{\rm B})$ . The first such observation of the departure from Adam–Gibbs prediction was based on viscosity data by Magill [396], to be followed by Richert and Angell [398] and the author [401]. Since  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is determined by both configurational entropy  $S_{\rm c}(T)$  and many-body effects on dynamics, it is unsurprising that linear dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  on  $1/[TS_{\rm c}(T)]$  cannot hold. On the other hand, many-body effects is absent in the primitive relaxation time  $\tau_0$ , and the relation  $\tau_0 \propto 1/[TS_{\rm c}(T)]$  holds. Like the change of *T*-dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  at  $T_{\rm B}$ , the appearance of two different linear dependences between  $\log \tau_{\alpha}(T)$  and  $1/[TS_{\rm c}(T)]$ , one for  $T < T_{\rm B}$  and another for  $T > T_{\rm B}$ , is caused by the onset of more rapid increase of *n* when *T* falls below  $T_{\rm B}$  [401].

Crossovers at Elevated Pressures

- (a) At  $T_B$ : The crossover from VFTH<sub>1</sub> to VFTH<sub>2</sub> was observed isobarically at ambient pressure but also at elevated pressures. The crossover temperature  $T_B$ generally increases with applied pressure *P*, but remarkably the value of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  or the viscosity at the crossover,  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_B)$ , is the *same* for a given glassformer, independent of pressure or under the condition of constant volume *V* [653, 756]. Four examples, PC, KDE, BMMPC, and salol, are shown in Figs. 66 and 67. The reader may recall all these glassformers have the same dispersion or *n* at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , independent of *T* and *P*, a general property discussed before in Section 2.2.4. Hence, the dispersion or *n* is invariant at all crossover temperatures  $T_B$  and crossover relaxation time  $\tau_B$  independent of *P* or at constant *V*. The value of  $n(T_B)$  as well as the increase of dn(T)/dT when crossing  $T_B$ , from above to below it, is also independent of *P*.
- (a') At  $T_A$ : The same is found of the crossover from Arrhenius *T*-dependence to the (VFTH)<sub>1</sub> at  $T_A$  for salol [750]. For glassformers that have data above  $T_A$ , the value of  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_A)$  falls within the range of 5–150 ps, and for the majority



**Fig. 66** Left upper panel: Dielectric relaxation time for PC (experimental data for 0.1 MPa; other isobars and isochoric curve were calculated). The horizontal dotted line indicates the average of  $\log(\tau_B)$  for the different curves. Left lower panel: Stickel function for PC, with low and high T linear fits, done over the range  $-6.14 < \log_{10}(\tau/s) < 0.63$  and  $-10.21 < \log_{10}(\tau/s) < -8.03$ , respectively. The vertical dotted lines in both panels represent the dynamic crossover. Right upper panel: Dielectric relaxation time for KDE (experimental data for 0.1 MPa; other isobars and the isochoric curve at V = 0.7709 ml/g were calculated). Dotted line indicates the average of  $\log(\tau_B) = -6.35$  for the different curves. Right lower panel: Stickel function for KDE, with low and high T linear fits, done over the range  $-4.62 < \log_{10}(\tau/s) < 2.72$  and  $-9.4 < \log_{10}(\tau/s) < -7.28$ , respectively. Vertical dotted lines indicate the dynamic crossover. Reproduced from [653, 756, 757] by permission

it is of the order of 100 ps [750]. The relaxation times  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$  for salol under different pressures are presented in Fig. 68-1. The plot includes experimental data at ambient pressure and those calculated  $\tau_{\alpha}$  for two higher pressures using the scaling law,  $\tau = f(TV^{\gamma})$ , in which *f* represents a function, *V* is the specific volume, and  $\gamma$  is a material constant specifically for salol. The deviation from the Arrhenius slopes at high temperature occurs at a constant value of  $\tau_{\alpha} = 95$  ps, as indicated by the horizontal dashed line. Thus, the relaxation time at the crossover is the *same* independent of pressure. From the previously established co-invariance of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and the shape (or *n*) of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation, this implies that the value of  $n(T_A)$  as well as the increase of dn(T)/dT when crossing  $T_A$ , from above to below it, is also independent of *P*. These quantities are function of  $\tau_{\alpha}$ .

The property seems general because the same behavior was found in propylene carbonate (PC) from dielectric relaxation data and *ortho*-terphenyl (OTP) from viscosity data. These behaviors are shown in Figs. 68-2 and 68-3.



**Fig. 67** *Left upper panel*: Dielectric relaxation time for BMMPC experimental data for 0.1 MPa, other isobars at 200 and 600 MPa and the isochore at V = 0.9032 ml/g were calculated. *Dotted line* indicates the average of  $\log(\tau_B) = -6.1$  for the different curves. *Left lower panel*: Stickel function for BMMPC, with low and high *T* linear fits, done over the range  $-4.68 < \log_{10}(\tau/s) < 3.85$  and  $-8.55 < \log_{10}(\tau/s) < -6.4$ , respectively. *Vertical dotted lines* indicate the dynamic crossover. *Right upper panel*: Dielectric relaxation times for salol experimental data for 0.1 MPa, calculated curves for *P*=0.3 and 1 GPa, and V = 0.7896 ml/g. *Right lower panel*: Stickel functions for salol, with linear fits over the respective ranges  $-4.58 < \log_{10}(\tau/s) < 1.6$  and  $-9.59 < \log_{10}(\tau/s) < -7.44$ . Reproduced from [653, 756, 757] by permission

(b) At some chosen constant temperature *T*, increase of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  or  $\eta$  can be made by applying pressure. It is customary to use the empirical VFTH-like equation [627],

$$\tau_{\alpha}(P) \text{ or } \eta(P) = A_P \exp[D_P P / (P_0 - P)],$$
 (2.44)

to fit the *P*-dependence of experimental data. The corresponding function,  $\varphi_P \equiv [d \log \tau_{\alpha}/dP]^{-1/2}$  or  $[d \log \eta/dP]^{-1/2}$ , transforms Eq. (2.44) to become the linear dependence  $\phi_P = a_P - b_P P$ . Hence, deviation of experimental data from the fit using Eq. (2.44) can be easily detected. For several small molecular glassformers, crossover from one VFTH-like *P*-dependence to another was found in  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\eta$  at some pressure  $P_B$ . Examples from  $\tau_{\alpha}$  data are presented for PDE in Fig. 69, and two samples of poly(chlorinated biphenols), PCB62 and PCB42, are shown in Fig. 70 [653], and viscosity data of salol in Fig. 71 [547]. The same is found from the viscosity data of OTP (not shown here). It is remarkable that the crossover values of  $\tau_{\alpha}(P_B)$  or  $\eta(P_B)$  under isothermal condition for different choices of constant *T* and the crossover values  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_B)$  or



**Fig. 68-1** Relaxation times for salol, including experimental data for ambient pressure and calculated  $\tau$  for two higher pressures using the scaling law  $\tau = f(TV^{\gamma})$ , in which *f* represents a function, *V* is the specific volume, and  $\gamma$  is a material constant (see section on this topic). The deviation from the Arrhenius slopes at high temperature occurs at a constant value of  $\tau = 95$  ps, as indicated by the *horizontal dashed line*. The *vertical arrows* indicate the crossover occurs at the same  $\tau$ . Reproduced from [750] by permission

 $\eta(T_{\rm B})$  at isobaric condition are the same (see Figs. 69 and 70). Again, from the general property of the same  $\alpha$ -dispersion or *n* for the same  $\tau_{\alpha}$  independent of *T* and *P*, it follows that at the various crossovers the values of  $n(P_{\rm B})$  or  $n(T_{\rm B})$  are the same. In other words,  $n(P_{\rm B})$  or  $n(T_{\rm B})$  is a material constant associated with a characteristic of the *P* or *T* dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , i.e., its change when crossing  $P_{\rm B}$  or  $T_{\rm B}$ . Since this characteristic of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is invariant to change in thermodynamic conditions, we can further say that this characteristic of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is not caused by *P*, *T*, and *V*, and instead by the many-body dynamics manifested by the  $\alpha$ -dispersion,  $n(P_{\rm B})$  or  $n(T_{\rm B})$ , as well as the change in the *P* or *T* dependence of *n* when crossing  $P_{\rm B}$  or  $T_{\rm B}$ . This is clear evidence of contribution from many-body dynamics to *P* or *T* dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  besides volume and entropy.

(c) Although encountered before, it is worth to re-emphasize that the crossover from VFTH<sub>1</sub> to VFTH<sub>2</sub> also was found generally under constant volume (isochoric) condition for PCB62, PDE, KDE, PC, BMMPC, and salol [757]. This has been shown in Figs. 66 and 67 where the isochoric curve,



Fig. 68-2 Caption same as previous figure, now for PC. The *vertical lines* indicate  $T_A$  at various *P*, and the *horizontal line* indicates a constant value of  $\tau$  at the crossovers

 $[d \log \tau_{\alpha}/d(1/T)]^{-1/2}$ , was obtained by calculation at some constant specific volume. From the crossover, the crossover temperatures  $T_{\rm B}$  and relaxation times  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_{\rm B})$  were obtained. Remarkably,  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_{\rm B})$  under isochoric condition is the same as  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_{\rm B})$  under isobaric condition, and  $\tau_{\alpha}(P_B)$  under isothermal condition.

(d) Before we discuss further other properties, items (a)-(c) in the above can be summarized as a remarkable experimental fact: the two crossover parameters  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_{\rm B})$  and  $n(T_{\rm B})$  or  $\tau_{\alpha}(P_{\rm B})$  and  $n(P_{\rm B})$  are constant independent of the thermodynamic condition (constant *P* on varying *T*, constant *T* on varying *P*, or constant *V* on varying *T*). This can be readily shown to be an immediate consequence of the co-invariance of  $\tau_{\alpha}(P, V, T)$  and n(P, V, T) to all thermodynamic conditions discussed in Section 2.2.4.4, and explained by the CM. The invariance of  $n(T_{\rm B})$  and  $n(P_{\rm B})$  to thermodynamic condition is to be contrasted with the decrease of the isobaric fragility index  $m_P$  with increasing *P* and densification found in many glassformers [119]. Also the isochoric fragility index  $m_V$  at constant volume *V* is less than  $m_P$ . This result may be expected since for  $m_V$ only temperature affects  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , while for  $m_P$  both volume and temperature contribute to change of  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . The widely different values of  $m_V$  and  $m_P$  obtainable for one and the same glassformer are sufficient evidence to show that "fragility" is not as fundamental as the time/frequency dispersion (or *n*) of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation.



Fig. 68-3 Caption same as previous figure, now for OTP, except here the data are from viscosity. The *vertical lines* indicate  $T_A$  at various *P*, and the *horizontal line* indicates a constant value of viscosity at the crossovers

An Important Deduction from the Invariance of  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_B)$  and  $n(T_B)$ , or  $\tau_{\alpha}(P_B)$  and  $n(P_B)$ , to *P*, *T*, *V* 

From the above subsections, on the change of *T*-dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  at  $T_{\rm B}$  under constant *P* (isobaric) or constant *V* (isochoric), and the change of *P*-dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  at  $P_{\rm B}$  under constant *T* (isothermal), the experimental facts presented are telling us that the general characteristics of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  are independent of *P*, *T*, *V*. The only invariant other than  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_{\rm B})$  or  $\tau_{\alpha}(P_{\rm B})$  itself is  $n(T_{\rm B})$  or  $n(P_{\rm B})$ . From this, we can conclude that the *P*- and *T*-dependences of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  are determined by not only thermodynamic factors including volume and entropy, but also many-body dynamics exemplified by *n*.

Other Facts and Fiction on the Crossover of Temperature Dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$ 

Correlated Changes of Behaviors of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and the Dielectric Relaxation Strength  $\Delta \varepsilon$  at  $T_B$ 

The change of the temperature dependence of the dynamics causing the crossover of the *T*-dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  from VFTH<sub>1</sub> to VFTH<sub>2</sub> at *T*<sub>B</sub> is expected to have an effect of the relaxation strength. This is because both the relaxation strength  $\Delta \varepsilon$  and



**Fig. 69** Dielectric relaxation time data for PDE from [653]. *Left upper panel*: Derivative function  $\phi_P$  vs. pressure, calculated for isotherms at temperature indicated. *Left lower panel*: log  $\tau$  vs. pressure for T=327.8 ( $\Delta$ ), 337.7 ( $\bigcirc$ ), 349.5 ( $\diamond$ ), and 363.1 K ( $\square$ ). *Right upper panel*: Derivative function  $\phi_T$  vs. inverse temperature at atmospheric pressure. *Right lower panel*: log  $\tau$  vs. inverse temperature from Stickel et al. [621]. Note the same crossover time  $\tau_B$  from change of *T*-dependence at ambient pressure and change of *P*-dependence at constant temperature. Data from [653] are replotted here

the relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  are characteristics of the dynamics, and has led Schönhals [758] to look for correlated changes of behaviors of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and the dielectric relaxation strength  $\Delta \varepsilon$  at  $T_{\rm B}$  by broadband dielectric measurements. The correlated changes indeed exist as shown in Figs. 72 and 73, where  $\Delta \varepsilon$  is plotted against log  $f_{\rm p}$  for dibutyl phthalate, salol, propylene carbonate, propylene glycol, dipropylene glycol, and poly(propylene glycol). Here  $f_{\rm p}$  is the dielectric  $\alpha$ -loss peak frequency and is approximately equal to  $1/(2\pi \tau_{\alpha})$ . These plots indicate two different frequency regions of dynamics separated by  $f_{\rm B}$ . The two relations when extrapolated intersect at a crossover frequency  $f_{\rm B}$ . It turns out that for all the glassformers  $f_{\rm B}$  is nearly the same as  $1/[2\pi \tau_{\alpha}(T_{\rm B})]$ . Hence  $\Delta \varepsilon$  shows also a change of *T*-dependence at  $T_{\rm B}$ .

Similar results were found also for the polymer, poly(vinyl acetate) (PVAc), first by Ngai and Roland [238] and reaffirmed later by Tyagi et al. [759]. These are shown altogether in Fig. 74. The change of the dependence of  $\Delta \varepsilon$  on log  $f_p$  also occurs at the temperature  $T_B$ .

This phenomenon can also be explained in terms of the CM by the more rapid increase of *n* with decreasing temperature (frequency) after crossing  $T_B(f_B)$ , because *n* reflects the extent or length scale of the many-body relaxation and in turn the magnitude of  $\Delta \varepsilon$ . The temperature dependence of  $\Delta \varepsilon$  is roughly proportional to 1/T at temperature much higher than  $T_B$  consistent with the Kirkwood–Fröhlich theory



**Fig. 70** Above: Dielectric relaxation time data for PCB62 (*upper left*) and PCB42 (*upper right*). Upper panel: Derivative function  $\phi_P$  vs. pressure, calculated for isotherms at temperatures indicated. Lower panel: log  $\tau$  vs. pressure. *Right side*: Lower panel: Derivative function  $\phi_T$  vs. inverse temperature at atmospheric pressure vs. inverse temperature normalized to  $T_g$  for PCB62 and PCB42. Note the same crossover time  $\tau_B$  with  $\log(\tau_B) = -4.3$  is obtained from change of *P*-dependence at various constant temperatures and from change of *T*-dependence at ambient pressure. Data supplied by authors of [653] are replotted in color in all the figures here



**Fig. 71** *Left upper panel*: Crossover of pressure dependence of viscosity of salol at constant temperatures (reproduced from [547(b)] by permission). *Left lower panel*: Derivative function  $\phi_P$  vs. pressure, calculated for isotherms at temperatures indicated. *Right upper panel*: Crossover of *T*-dependence of viscosity of salol at ambient pressure (reproduced from [547(b)] by permission). Value of  $\eta$  at the crossover is independent of pressure and temperature. *Right lower panel*: Derivative function  $\phi_T$ 



based on the assumption of non-interacting isolated dipoles, which is consistent with the small values of *n*. However, this dependence does not continue when temperature is lowered to approach  $T_{\rm B}$  and fall below  $T_{\rm B}$ . Thus, the observed change of *T*-dependence of  $\Delta \varepsilon$  is another indication of the increase of coupling and cooperativity (or *n*) of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation with falling temperature after crossing  $T_{\rm B}$ . The



Fig. 74 The *inset* is a plot of the dielectric relaxation strength of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation,  $\Delta \varepsilon$ , of PVAc against  $\log_{10}(f_{\text{max}})$  showing a change of temperature dependence of  $\Delta \varepsilon$  when crossing T<sub>B</sub> [236, 238]. The arrow indicates the location of  $\log_{10}[f_{max}(T_B)]$ , which is determined by the fits of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  by the two VFTH equations shown in the main figure. The main figure is the plot of  $\log_{10}(\tau_{\alpha}/s)$  of PVAc against 1000/T. Filled circles are data from [236, 238], and the two curves through some of the data points are the relaxation times that correspond to the two VFTH equations for the frequencies. Open circles are  $\tau_{\beta}s$  obtained by assuming the  $\beta$ -relaxation and the  $\alpha$ -relaxation make additive contributions to the dielectric spectra [238, 760]. The crosses are  $\tau_{\beta}s$  obtained by assuming the dielectric spectra is a convolution of the  $\beta$ -relaxation and the  $\alpha$ -relaxation [238, 760]. The straight line drawn through the open circles at low temperatures intersect  $\log_{10}(\tau_{\alpha}/s)$  at a temperature close to  $T_{\rm B}$ . The *filled squares* are the primitive relaxation times,  $\tau_0$ , calculated by the CM equation with the experimental values of *n* [238],  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , and  $t_{c} = 2$  ps

2.8

3.0

1000 / T (K<sup>-1</sup>)

3.2

3.4

3.6

2.6

-8

-10

2.2

2.4

reader may find it surprising that the change of  $\Delta \varepsilon$  with  $f_p$  in the  $f_p > f_B$  regime is more rapid than in the  $f_p > f_B$  regime. The cause of this is the slow variation of  $f_p$  with temperature in the range  $T > T_B$  where  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is described by VFTH<sub>2</sub>, and by contrast  $f_p$  varies more rapidly with temperature in the range  $T < T_B$  where  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is described by VFTH<sub>1</sub>. Thus, the same increment of  $f_p$  corresponds to a larger increment in temperature, and hence causes a larger decrement of  $\Delta \varepsilon$  in the  $f_p > f_B$ regime than in the  $f_p < f_B$  regime.

## Analogy to Relaxations of Different Length Scales from Quasielastic Neutron Scattering

Relaxations of different spatial scales can be probed by quasielastic neutron scattering experiments at various scattering vectors Q. The reciprocal of Q gives the spatial scale of the relaxation. Incoherent neutron scattering experiment follows the motion of protons within distances of size  $Q^{-1}$ . The first maximum of the static structure factor, S(Q), located at  $Q = Q_{\text{max}}$ , gives a measure of the intramolecular spatial distance through  $(Q_{\text{max}})^{-1}$ . Data of the self intermediate scattering function  $F_s(Q, t)$  obtained at  $Q^{-1} < (Q_{\text{max}})^{-1}$ , corresponding to small spatial scales, show its relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  has Arrhenius temperature dependence. For larger spatial scales data taken at  $Q^{-1} > (Q_{\text{max}})^{-1}$ , deviation from Arrhenius behavior of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  to become VFTH-like is observed in PMMA [761].

A similar result was observed for pure polybutadiene [762], although the neutron data for polybutadiene are for collective motion. These observations of the change of temperature dependence with spatial scale across  $(Q_{\text{max}})^{-1}$  by neutron scattering provide support for the explanation we have given for the change of temperature dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  across  $T_A$  or  $T_B$  obtained by dielectric relaxation. The reason is given as follows. The length,  $(Q_{\text{max}})^{-1}$ , corresponds to the interchain spacing. Therefore, data taken at  $Q^{-1} < (Q_{\text{max}})^{-1}$  come from motions of protons within individual polymer chains. The motions probed are of local and intramolecular nature, without the participation of other chains, and hence many-body relaxation index *n* is small or even zero. On the other hand for low *Q* data such that  $Q^{-1} > (Q_{\text{max}})^{-1}$ , the data give information of motions in space containing protons in other chains. Many-body dynamics are involved and the index *n* is not zero. Thus, the change of temperature dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  when spatial scale is changed in crossing  $(Q_{\text{max}})^{-1}$  is associated with the change in *n*, in analogy to the change of the temperature dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  when crossing  $T_A$  or  $T_B$ .

It is worthwhile to caution the reader that even local segmental relaxation of an isolated polymer chain has correlation function which is more dispersive than a linear exponential function due to connectivity of repeat units along the chain [763, 764]. This means that the intermediate scattering function obtained by neutron scattering at  $Q^{-1} < (Q_{\text{max}})^{-1}$  when fitted to the Kohlrausch function has the stretch exponent  $\beta$  significantly less than 1, even though the many-body relaxation index *n* is small or even zero. There is support of the above interpretation from the *Q*-dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . As discussed before in Section 2.2.2.4,  $\tau_{\alpha}$  changes its *Q*-dependence when crossing  $Q_{\text{max}}$ , from  $Q^{-2/(1-n)}$  for  $Q^{-1} > (Q_{\text{max}})^{-1}$  to  $Q^{-2}$  for  $Q^{-1} < (Q_{\text{max}})^{-1}$ . The  $Q^{-2/(1-n)}$ -dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  for  $Q^{-1} > (Q_{\text{max}})^{-1}$  is the consequence of cooperative and heterogeneous many-body relaxation taking place at longer length scales with involvement of repeat units of other chains, and can be derived from the coupling model as shown in Section 2.2.2.4. On the other hand,  $Q^{-2}$ -dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  for  $Q^{-1} < (Q_{\text{max}})^{-1}$  reflects local and non-cooperative motions of protons within individual polymer chains, which naturally have the  $Q^{-2}$ -dependence of simple rotation and diffusion. More discussion of the *Q*-dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  will be given in Section 2.2.5.2 to follow.

### Comments on Works of Others

Before closing this subsection, a remark is appropriate here to dispel an incorrect notion circulated in the literature that the magnitude of the crossover time,  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_{\rm R})$ , of all glassformers is located within the narrow range of  $10^{-6.5} - 10^{-7.5}$  s [765]. The following facts on  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_{\rm B})$  are sufficient for this purpose. At  $T = T_{\rm B}$ , PDE has the longest relaxation times with  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_{\rm B}) = 10^{-3.6}$  s (10<sup>-3.3</sup> s in Fig. 69), and propanol [348(b)] has  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_{\rm B}) = 10^{-3.7}$  s. Figure 70 shows that PCB62 has  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_{\rm B}) =$  $10^{-4.3}$  s. From Figs. 66 and 67, BMMPC has  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_{\rm B}) = 10^{-6.1}$  s, KDE and salol have  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_{\rm B}) = 10^{-6.3}$  s, and PC has  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_{\rm B}) = 10^{-7.0}$  s, and polymethylacrylate has  $10^{-10.3}$  s [766]. The much studied epoxy resin, diglycidylether of bisphenol A (DGEBA), has  $T_{\rm B}$ =275 K and  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_{\rm B}) = 10^{-4.3}$  s [242]. From these results, it is clear that  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_{\rm B})$  varies over a wide range (seven orders of magnitude from  $10^{-3.3}$ to  $10^{-10.3}$  s) when all glassformers are considered. Hence, the results invalidate the claim that  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_{\rm B})$  has "universal" or "magic" value lying within the narrow range of  $10^{-6.5} - 10^{-7.5}$  s [765]. Connection was made of the crossover temperature  $T_{\rm B}$ to the critical temperature  $T_c$  of mode coupling theory [765]. This proposal is also refuted by PDE, propanol, PCB62 having  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_{\rm B})$  ranging from  $10^{-3.3}$  to  $10^{-4.3}$  s. This is because it is inconceivable that such a long or macroscopic relaxation time can be identified as relaxation time at  $T_{\rm c}$  of mode coupling theory.

On the other hand, lest the reader forgets, the smaller values of *n* at temperatures higher than  $T_{\rm B}$  and relatively more rapid increase of *n* with decreasing temperature (frequency) after crossing  $T_{\rm B}(f_{\rm B})$  are always found in all glassformers despite the large variations in their values of  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_B)$ . This general property of *n* associating with the crossover of T-dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  at  $T_{\rm B}$  is the basis of the explanation of the latter by the coupling model [423]. As mentioned before,  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_{\rm B})$  at the crossover of T-dependence is independent of pressure or  $\tau_{\alpha}(P_{\rm B})$  at the crossover of P-dependence is independent of temperature. Hence, we have the invariance of  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_{\rm B})$  and  $\tau_{\alpha}(P_{\rm B})$  to thermodynamic conditions. The experimental results demonstrate that the dynamic crossover phenomenon arises not at some critical temperature or volume, rather it is governed by  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and the corresponding value of *n*. The last conclusion follows from the co-invariance of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and *n* to changing thermodynamic conditions, consistent with the prediction of the CM. The invariance of  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_{\rm B})$  and  $\tau_{\alpha}(P_{\rm B})$  to thermodynamic conditions further supports that the  $\alpha$ -relaxation is governed by many-body relaxation through the surrogate n, since the phenomenon is independent of thermodynamic conditions.
There are recent theoretical [767] and experimental studies [768] that reported a change in the temperature dependence of the *equilibrium* structural relaxation time at temperatures near and below the conventional  $T_g$ . The VFTH temperature dependence valid for  $T > T_g$  is changed to a milder Arrhenius temperature dependence as T approaches and falls below  $T_g$ . In one experiment, glassy samples of bisphenol A polycarbonate (BPA-PC) were aged into equilibrium at temperatures up to 17°C below  $T_{\rm g} \approx 140^{\circ}$ C [768]. Mechanical stress relaxation measurements were made to obtain the temperature dependence of the equilibrium viscoelastic response of BPA-PC for temperatures extending from 3°C above to 17°C below  $T_g$ . A gradual transition from VFTH temperature dependence to Arrhenius temperature dependence below  $T_{g}$  was concluded from the shift of the mechanical torque time response with T. There is no doubt that the measurements are accurate. But, before the conclusion is fully accepted, the following possibility has to be excluded. Deep below  $T_{g}$ , the measured torque did not decay more than half a decade. The small decay could possibly be contributed in part by secondary relaxation, and this contribution could be responsible for the equilibrium dynamics being faster than an extrapolation of the VFTH dependence at higher temperatures would suggest. Nevertheless, there is support of the conclusion from study of the rotational and translational diffusion of probe molecules (rubrene and tetracene) in the same BPA-PC sample aged to equilibrium [769]. The temperature dependence of probe motions in equilibrium PC was studied from 149 to 124°C, and a change in the temperature dependence of probe molecule motion near 134°C was reported. Below this temperature, the activation energies of the translational diffusion coefficient and the rotational correlation time decreases by factors about 3.

# 2.2.5.2 The $Q^{-2/(1-n)}$ -Dependence of $\tau_{\alpha}$

Quasielastic neutron scattering experiments and molecular dynamics simulations on polymeric and non-polymeric glassformers are capable of investigating the dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  on the scattering vector Q. These techniques give an important and measurable dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  on another variable that is worth consideration in constructing theory of glass transition. As discussed before, for non-interacting particles, the incoherent intermediate scattering function obtained by incoherent neutron scattering or simulation has the form given by  $F_s(Q, t) = \exp(-Q^2 D_t t)$ (see Eq. (1.124)). Rewritten as  $\exp[-t/\tau_{\alpha}(Q)]$ , it follows from the last equation that  $\tau_{\alpha}(Q) = Q^{-2}/D_t$ . From studies by neutron scattering and molecular dynamics simulations, this  $Q^{-2}$ -dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  was observed for  $Q > Q_{\text{max}}$  or at spatial scales shorter than intermolecular distance or interchain spacing in the case of polymers [324, 474, 761, 762]. Here,  $Q_{max}$  is the location of the first maximum of the static structure factor, S(Q). This result was explained before in the subsection above. At short spatial scales corresponding to  $Q > Q_{max}$ , many-body participation is suppressed and the local relaxation probed is effectively as if in non-interacting systems and hence the  $Q^{-2}$ -dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$ .

For  $Q < Q_{\text{max}}$  or longer spatial scales, the *Q*-dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  instead was found by quasielastic neutron scattering experiments and molecular dynamics simulations to be given by  $Q^{-2/(1-n)}$  for several polymers, PVC, PIB, PBD, PVE, PIP, PPO, PDMS, PH, PE, PVME, PMMA [307, 310, 313, 314, 324, 325, 459, 461, 474, 762, 770–773], PMMA in blends with PEO [761], and a few small molecular glassformers, OTP [316], glycerol [774], and methanol [318]. Here, *n* is the exponent appearing in Eq. (1.1) for the Kohlrausch function that was used to fit the time dependence of the intermediate scattering function,  $F_s(Q, t)$ , measured by incoherent neutron scattering or obtained by molecular dynamics simulations. An interesting case is the "strong" glassformer, methanol. Molecular dynamics simulations [318] found *n* assumes nearly zero value at high temperatures and  $\tau_{\alpha}$ has *Q*-dependence approaching  $Q^{-2}$ , as pointed out in [319]. Hence the observed *Q*-dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is governed by *n* or the breadth of the  $\alpha$ -dispersion.

An exception was found in poly(vinyl acetate) (PVAc) [759]. Neutron scattering data of  $F_s(O, t)$  were fitted to the Kohlrausch function with  $n \approx 0.50$ . From this, the dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  expected from  $Q^{-2/(1-n)}$  in the low Q-region would be  $Q^{-4}$ . However, the experimentally observed dependence is  $Q^{-2.52}$ , which indicates that n = 0.21. The discrepancy found in PVAc but not in the other polymers could be due to the presence of flexible side chain in PVAc. The PVAc sample studied was fully protonated and thus the motions of both the backbone and the side chain contribute to  $F_{s}(Q, t)$ , broadening its dispersion and resulting in a larger n than the actual value. Interestingly, the dispersions of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation from dielectric relaxation measurements are significantly narrower than  $F_s(O, t)$  in the same temperature range. The values of *n* obtained by fitting the dielectric data in the temperature range from 400 to 460 K where neutron scattering measurements were performed [759] fall between 0.30 and 0.25 (see Fig. 4 in [238]). These values are not far from the value n = 0.21 required to explain the  $Q^{-2.52}$ -dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . A similar explanation was offered in [759]. I have examined the neutron scattering data of the room temperature ionic liquid, BMIM-PF<sub>6</sub>, obtained by Triolo et al. in 2003 [311]. They found  $\tau_{\alpha}(Q) = \tau_{\alpha}^{0}Q^{-\nu}$ , with  $\nu = 2.5$ . The stretch exponent  $\beta = (1-n)$  is not constant but the average value is about 0.75. If this value is taken, the predicted exponent vhas the value of (2/0.75) = 2.67, which is not far from the experimental value.

A superposition of  $F_s(Q, t) = \exp(-Q^2D_t t) \equiv \exp(-t/Q^{-2}D_t^{-1})$  with a distribution of  $D_t$  to make the resulting intermediate scattering function having the Kohlrausch form of Eq. (1.1) will not be able to explain the observed  $Q^{-2/(1-n)}$ -dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . As mentioned before in Section 2.2.2.4, the resulting Kohlrausch relaxation time still has the  $Q^{-2}$ -dependence [460]. This is another indication that the observed  $Q^{-2/(1-n)}$ -dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is a consequence of many-body relaxation and the exponent *n* of the Kohlrausch function is its index.

The observed  $Q^{-2/(1-n)}$ -dependence of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation time of glass-forming liquids is also found in other systems including suspensions of colloidal particles [143], semidilute polymer solutions [198, 776, 777], associating polymer solutions [778, 779], and polymer cluster solutions [780]. This rather universal dependence of relaxation time on the scattering vector is the exemplification of many-body relaxations that are common to these systems all having mutual interaction between

the basic units. These together with more common properties of these interacting systems will be further discussed later on in Chapter 3.

The anomalous  $Q^{-2/(1-n)}$ -dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is derivable from the CM equation (2.35) simply by substituting the known  $Q^{-2}$ -dependence of  $\tau_0$  into it.

## 2.2.5.3 Non-linear Enthalpy Relaxation of Glassformers Near and Below $T_{g}$

The properties of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation near and below  $T_g$  are important as well as interesting. In the neighborhood of the glass transformation region,  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is dependent on thermal history, including cooling and heating rates, aging protocol, and pressure. These have been challenging research problems of practical importance. The two essential features of structural relaxation near and below  $T_g$  were brought out by experiments [62, 266, 303]. They are (1) the structural relaxation time depends not only on temperature T, but also on the instantaneous structure (non-linearity) and (2) the time dependence of the structural relaxation process is not a simple exponential function (non-exponentiality). Non-linearity is demonstrated by the asymmetry of relaxation in structural recovery following positive or negative departures from equilibrium. Non-exponentiality is shown by the memory effect, in which relaxation from some initial state depends on how that state was reached. These effects were found in the pioneering experimental studies of borosilicate glass by Ritland [781] and of poly(vinyl acetate) (PVAc) by Kovacs and coworkers [62, 303], and in more glassformers by Moynihan and coworkers [266]. There are two models that have taken into account non-linearity and non-exponentiality and they are essentially equivalent. The one formulated by Moynihan and coworkers [266] for enthalpy H relaxation is based on the constructs of Tool [782] and Narayanaswamy [783] and is now known as the TNM model. The other is the KAHR model developed by Kovacs and coworkers for volume relaxation [303]. For reviews see [173, 304].

In the TNM model, non-linearity is taken into account by modifying the linear differential equation,  $d(H - H_e)/dt = -(H - H_e)/\tau$ , describing relaxation of enthalpy *H* toward equilibrium value  $H_e$ . This is handled by making  $\tau$  dependent not only on *T* but also on *H*. Actually the model is formulated on the evolution of the fictive temperature  $T_f$ , instead of *H*.  $T_f$  can be defined as the instantaneous contribution of the structural relaxation process to *H* expressed in temperature units. For example, following quenching of an equilibrium liquid at temperature  $T_0$  down to  $T_1$ , the enthalpy H(t) relaxes from the initial value  $H_0$  toward the equilibrium enthalpy  $H_{e1}$ at temperature  $T_1$ . Correspondingly  $T_f(T)$  varies from  $T_0$  to  $T_1$  in parallel with the changes in H(t). Departure from equilibrium is measured by  $T_f - T$ . The progress of structural relaxation with time described by the normalized relaxation function,  $\phi(t) \equiv [H(t) - H_{e1}]/(H_0 - H_{e1})$ , is now replaced by  $[T_f(T) - T_1]/(T_0 - T_1)$ . Nonexponentiality is implemented by replacing  $\phi(t) = \exp(-t/\tau)$  by the Kohlrausch relaxation function,

$$\varphi(t) = \exp\{-\int_0^t \left[dt'/\tau(T, T_f)\right]^\beta\}.$$
(2.45)

In the TNM model, the following expression for  $\tau(T, T_f)$  was proposed:

$$\tau(T, T_{\rm f}) = \tau_0 \exp\left[\frac{x\Delta h}{RT} + \frac{(1-x)\Delta h}{RT_{\rm f}}\right].$$
(2.46)

Here  $x(0 \le x \le 1)$  is the non-linear parameter,  $\tau_0$  a pre-exponential factor,  $\Delta h$  an activation enthalpy, and *R* the ideal gas constant and all of them are taken to be fitting parameters. Moynihan has warned that the TNM model cannot take care of temperature or structural dependence of  $\beta$ , if present.

Another way of introducing non-linearity is by modifying [784–786] the Adam–Gibbs equation for the relaxation time of an equilibrium liquid,  $\tau = \tau_0 \exp[C_{AG}/TS_c(T)]$ , by replacing  $S_c(T)$  therein by  $S_c(T_f)$ . The resulting equation is  $\tau(T, T_f) = \tau_0 \exp[C/TS_c(T_f)]$ . Hodge [786] used the approximate hyperbolic form for the temperature dependence of the configurational heat capacity  $\Delta C_p(T) \propto 1/T$  to obtain  $S_c(T_f)$  and the following Hodge–Scherer expression for  $\tau(T, T_f)$ :

$$\tau(T, T_{\rm f}) = A \exp\left[\frac{B}{T(1 - T_2/T_{\rm f})}\right].$$
 (2.47)

In the specific case when equilibrium condition is satisfied,  $T_{\rm f} = T$  and this expression is reduced to the VFTH *T*-dependence.

(i) Correlation Between n and Degree of Non-linearity (i.e., Smaller x) and  $\Delta h$ : The parameters of Eqs. (2.46) and (2.47) were shown to be related to one another by appropriate differentiation with respect to temperature in the equilibrium and glassy states [785]. The results are  $x \approx (1 - T_2/T_f)$  and  $\Delta h/R \approx$  $B/(1 - T_2/T_f)^2 \approx B/x^2$ , where  $T_f'$  is the value of  $T_f$  in the glassy state. These relations have been confirmed for polymers [785] by fitting the same experimental heat capacity data using either expressions for  $\tau(T, T_f)$  and obtaining comparable best fits. Structural relaxation in response to any thermal history, simple or complicated, can now be calculated by the TNM model using the Kohlrausch relaxation function given by Eq. (2.45) and  $\tau(T, T_f)$  by Eq. (2.46) or (2.47). The Kohlrausch exponent  $\beta$  in Eq. (2.45) obtained from analysis of non-linear enthalpic relaxation was found to be generally weak functions of thermal history, and usually in agreement with the exponent (1 - n) in Eq. (1.1) obtained by dielectric relaxation and other techniques in the linear response region above  $T_g$  [785, 786].

The important results for our present purpose is the finding by Hodge [785, 786] of the strong correlation between the parameters x,  $\Delta h$ , and  $\beta$ . The individual correlations between x and  $\beta$  and correlation between  $\Delta h$  and  $(1-\beta) \equiv n$  are shown in Figs. 75 and 76, respectively. In other words, larger n (smaller  $\beta$ ) corresponds to higher degree of non-linearity (i.e., smaller x) and larger  $\Delta h$ . Thus, n also governs the parameters of non-linear enthalpy relaxation near and below  $T_{g}$ .

100

0.20

0.25

0.30

0.35



Fig. 76 Correlation between  $\Delta h$  and  $(1 - \beta) \equiv n$  for the materials considered in [786], which include polymeric, small molecular, and inorganic glassformers ( $\bigcirc$ ). The correlation is better if restricted to glassformers of the same class. Shown also is similar correlation between  $\Delta h$  and  $(1 - \beta) \equiv n$  for polymers only obtained by linear shear compliance measurements at  $T_g$ 

0.40

β

0.45

0.50

0.60

0.55

(ii) Correlation Between n and Equilibration Time  $t_{eq}$  for Different Quantities in Physical Aging: A glass is not in a state of thermodynamic equilibrium. Consequently, its physical and mechanical properties change with time as the material attempts to reach equilibrium. In the literature, this phenomenon is referred to as structural recovery or physical aging [788]. Studies of physical aging often find that enthalpy, volume, dielectric relaxation, and creep compliance (or stress relaxation) reach equilibrium at widely different times. For examples from a number of polymeric glassformers, see [789]. In BPA-polycarbonate there is evidence that volume relaxation occurs more slowly than mechanical relaxation [790].

A specific example is taken here from the study of volume and enthalpy recovery of polystyrene [791]. The sample has  $T_g = 94.9^{\circ}$ C determined by the volume vs. temperature curve obtained at a cooling rate of 0.28°C/min. After the sample was quenched from equilibrium at a temperature above  $T_g$  to various temperatures T below about 94°C, the study shows that the times required for volume and enthalpy to reach equilibrium,  $t_{\infty}$ , are different. It takes a longer  $t_{\infty}$  or  $t_{eq}$  for volume to equilibrate than enthalpy. Deeper the quench (lower T), longer are both equilibration times and larger their difference becomes. Since we have seen from the above that n governs non-linear enthalpy relaxation below  $T_{\rm g}$ , a possible explanation is that the dynamics of enthalpy, volume, and shear compliance (or modulus) not necessarily have the same n, although all are related to the structural relaxation of molecules, as shown before in [267]. Analysis of the data using the TNM model does show that both the parameters x and  $\beta \equiv (1 - n)$  are smaller, and  $\Delta h$  is larger for volume than enthalpy. Hence, from the correlations between x and  $\beta$  and correlation between  $\Delta h$  and  $(1 - \beta) \equiv n$  shown before in Figs. 75 and 76, it can be concluded that  $n_V$ of volume is larger than  $n_H$  of enthalpy. However, one must be mindful that these parameters were obtained by fitting data with the TNM model. The actual  $n_V$  and  $n_H$  values of volume and enthalpy relaxation at isothermal condition have to be determined directly before the suggested possible role of n in the observed different behaviors of volume and enthalpy in physical aging can be fully confirmed.

A photobleaching method has been used to measure both the rotational and translational diffusion of a small molecule probe, tetracene, during physical aging in polystyrene with molecular weight of 60,000 g/mol [792]. The glass transition temperature of the PS/tetracene sample, measured by differential scanning calorimetry, was determined to be  $T_{\rm g} = 100^{\circ}$ C. Rotational relaxation time  $\tau_c$  and translational diffusion coefficient  $D_t$  increase during isothermal aging after quenching the sample from above  $T_g$  into the glass. Physical aging affects rotational relaxation and translational diffusion differently. For shallow quenches down to T = 98.6 and 97.1°C, the rotation time and translation coefficient reach equilibrium at about the same time. However, for a deeper quench to  $T = 95.6^{\circ}$ C, translational diffusion reaches equilibrium before rotational relaxation (see Fig. 77), and  $t_{\infty}$  or  $t_{eq}$  of rotational relaxation is thus longer than that of translational diffusion (see Fig. 78). The temperature dependence of  $t_{eq}$ is different for rotational relaxation and translational diffusion, with rotation exhibiting stronger temperature dependence than translation. The difference in teq values and T-dependence for rotational relaxation and translational diffusion in physical aging is one demonstration of the breakdown of Debye-Stokes-Einstein relation in the glassy state. Breakdown of the relation is found also in the equilibrium state above  $T_{\rm g}$ , which is the subject of detailed discussion in the subsection to follow.

It will become clear later from discussion in a later section that a likely cause of the breakdown of the Debye–Stokes–Einstein relation above  $T_g$  is the larger n



Fig. 77 Comparison of rotational and translational diffusion measurements of tetracene during isothermal physical aging at 97.1°C. Rotational correlation times  $\tau_c$  correspond to the right-hand axis while the translational diffusion coefficient  $D_t$  corresponds to the left. The *solid line* located in the *upper left corner* of the figure marks the equilibrium value of  $D_t$  at 102°C before the temperature quench. The *line* in the *lower left corner* corresponds to the equilibrium value of  $\tau_c$  at 102°C before quenching into the glass. The *arrows* guide the eye from the initial equilibrium dynamics to the corresponding aging curves. Data from [792] are digitized and replotted



Fig. 78 Comparison of equilibration times  $t_{eq}$  for various observables in polystyrene. The times to reach equilibrium for probe rotation and translation show different temperature dependences. Compared to macroscopic observables, translation and enthalpy exhibit similar equilibration times, while the  $t_{\infty}$  values for probe rotation and for volume show similar temperature dependence. Volume and enthalpy data from [791], probe rotation and translation from [792]. All data from these references are replotted here in this figure

value for rotational relaxation than for translational diffusion. Figure 78 shows that translation diffusion and enthalpy exhibit similar equilibration times, while  $t_{eq}$  for probe rotation and for volume show similar and stronger temperature dependence. From this, and also recalling that we have previously deduced that  $n_V > n_H$ , we infer that a larger *n* value is associated with rotational than with translational diffusion. The latter is the possible cause for the difference in

the aging behavior of rotational relaxation from that of translational diffusion. Later on in Section 2.2.5.6, we show that this is indeed the cause by applying the coupling model to address physical aging to derive the result that relaxation property having a larger *n* has a longer  $t_{eq}$ . This explanation is to be contrasted with that given by Thurau and Ediger [792] based on spatial heterogeneities in the segmental dynamics of PS being responsible for the differences in aging behavior of tetracene translational and rotational diffusion. What they offered is actually not an explanation but a transcription of the observed difference in the way these two macroscopic observables age to the Kohlrausch exponent  $\beta \equiv (1 - n)$  parameter used to characterize the dispersion (non-exponentiality) of the rotational correlation function. This was done by using an empirical correlation between  $(1-\beta)$  and the product  $D_t \tau_c$  (normalized to the ideal value when the Debye–Stokes–Einstein relation is valid) at  $T = T_g$  established by data of various glassformers [451]. The observed change of the normalized  $D_t \tau_c$ during physical aging was converted to change in  $\beta$  or the dispersion, which in turn was reinterpreted as due to regions of different mobility age at different rates in the context of the spatially heterogeneous model. However, this change of  $\beta$  had not been directly observed in the experiment because the error bars for  $\beta$  are large. Thus, this is not a direct explanation of why probe translation and rotation age differently, but is at best a demonstration that the observation can be consistent with spatial heterogeneous dynamics. The use of the spatial heterogeneous dynamics model to interpret physical aging of probe rotation relaxation and translation diffusion is natural for the authors of [792] because the same model had been employed to explain the breakdown of Stokes-Einstein (SE) and Debye–Stokes–Einstein (DSE) relation in equilibrium liquids [450–452, 793–796]. The explanation for the breakdown of the SE relation turns out to be invalid because it was contradicted by recent experiments [454–458, 274, 275, 797], which will be discussed in the next section. The collapse of the explanation by spatial heterogeneous dynamics of a simpler problem in equilibrium liquids does not help it in rationalizing a more complicated problem (i.e., the difference in aging of probe rotational relaxation and translational diffusion) by using the same model. A statement was made in the same [792]: "Current models of physical aging, such as the TNM and KAHR models, assume spatially homogeneous dynamics during aging, and fail to explain what causes different observables to age differently. Our results suggest that this homogeneous assumption is incorrect, and that heterogeneous dynamics are important for the description and prediction of physical aging deep in the glassy state." This charge against the TNM and KAHR models in the statement is unwarranted. The TNM model and its other forms [785, 786] do not address the microscopic nature of the structural relaxation, and are used principally to consider macroscopic quantities such as enthalpy and volume. In these models, the use of a Kohlrausch function merely describes the time dependence of the macroscopic structural relaxation, which is obtained after averaging over the microscopic and dynamically heterogeneous processes. Therefore, these models make no assumption that the dynamics are necessarily homogeneous. Moynihan, one of the architects of the TNM model, is an advocate of heterogeneous dynamics as evidenced by his paper in [531]. Moreover, he had shown in the 1975 paper [266] that various relaxing properties including enthalpy, volume, stress, strain, and refractive index behave differently in the glass transition region, and have different TNM model parameters  $\Delta h$ ,  $\beta$ , and x. Probe rotation and translational diffusion are merely two additional relaxing properties and their difference in aging would be explained again by the TNM model from their different parameters  $\Delta h$ ,  $\beta$ , and x. Thus, the criticism of the TNM model, "fail to explain what causes different observables to age differently," is not correct. In the TNM model's explanation of probe rotation and translation diffusion to age differently, the difference of the values of  $\beta$  for these two observables turns out to be main point in the coupling model explanation of the breakdown of the SE and the DSE relations in Section 2.2.5.6 [268, 275, 797].

#### 2.2.5.4 Correlation Between *n* and Aging Time

Suspension of Colloidal Particles (Radius=1.18 µm, Packing Fraction=0.62)

Courtland and Weeks [798] used confocal microscopy to directly monitor the dynamics of aging in colloidal glasses prepared from colloidal suspensions of PMMA particles of radius=1.18  $\mu$ m at high densities (packing fraction  $\phi$  larger than  $\phi_g = 0.58$ ), after stirring the sample. They followed the motion of several thousand colloidal particles and observed that their motion significantly slowed as the sample aged but continued to occur on all times scales. The aging is both spatially and temporally heterogeneous. For their aging samples and different aging times  $t_w$ , they identified the locations of mobile particles and showed that they are generally grouped into large clusters for all values of  $t_w$ . The dependence of the spatial clustering of mobile particles on  $t_w$  was obtained by observing the clusters and measuring their average size for each  $t_w$ . To their surprise, overall the cluster sizes do not increase with  $t_w$ . Definitely, for the colloidal glass to age, the particles must move to rearrange themselves, but Weeks and coworkers were not able to find any characteristics of the rearrangements which changes as the sample ages. Although from confocal microscopy aging is both spatially and temporally heterogeneous, the physical mechanism that ultimately causes the dynamics to slow down as their sample ages is not clear. In another effort [799], the static structure was analyzed in terms of tetrahedral packing. It was found that none of the geometrical quantities associated with the tetrahedra changes with age. Nevertheless, Courtland and Weeks did point out that, with increasing  $t_w$ , the changing character of the meansquared displacement (MSD) seems to be the most significant dynamical changes in aging. The changes of the aging MSD,  $< r^2(\Delta t) >$ , are shown in Fig. 79 for a colloidal glass at packing fraction  $\phi = 0.62$  [797]. The three sets of data represent three different ages of the sample,  $t_{\rm w} = 0 \tau_{\rm diff}$ ,  $t_{\rm w} = 100 \tau_{\rm diff}$ , and  $t_{\rm w} = 300 \tau_{\rm diff}$ , where  $\tau_{\text{diff}} = 11$  s. The dotted line has a slope of 1 and represents diffusive behavior at much longer times than can be seen by experiment in this glassy sample. For each sample of different ages, at shorter lag times the MSD varies very slowly with



Fig. 79 Aging mean-squared displacement for a colloidal glass with  $\phi$ =0.62. The three *curves* represent three different ages of the sample. The three sets of data represent three different ages of the sample. From *top to bottom*,  $t_w = 0 \tau_{diff}$  (*closed triangles*),  $t_w = 100 \tau_{diff}$  (*open squares*), and  $t_w = 300 \tau_{diff}$  (*closed circles*) where  $\tau_{diff} = 11$  s. The *dotted line* has a slope of 1 and represents steady-state diffusion regime, not seen in this glassy sample. The data of  $t_w = 100 \tau_{diff}$  at shorter times are from the particles when they are still caged. The *dashed line* drawn through them has slope=0.13. Data from [798] supplied digitally by Eric Weeks and replotted here

time essentially on a plateau, because particles are locally confined or caged by their neighbors. It was interpreted as loss coming from cage decay [141, 797, 800, 801] or equivalently onset of particles leaving the cages [195, 196, 468, 802]. The dashed lines in Fig. 79 [797] drawn with small slopes ranging from 0.18 for  $t_w = 0 \tau_{diff}$ , 0.16 for  $t_w = 100 \tau_{diff}$ , and 0.13 for  $t_w = 300 \tau_{diff}$  merely serve to illustrate the slow increase of MSD, and its actual time dependence may not be strictly a power law. At larger lag times,  $\Delta t$ , each MSD curve shows a more rapid rise shown as a power law  $(\Delta t)^{1-n}$  as found before in the liquid states [141]. It can be seen that (1-n) is a function of  $t_w$ , decreasing from 0.52 for  $t_w = 0 \tau_{diff}$  to 0.47 for  $t_w = 100 \tau_{diff}$  and 0.35 for  $t_w = 300 \tau_{diff}$ . The change of the slope of increase of the MSD indicates the crossover from the shorter time regime of caged dynamics to many-body diffusion dynamics.

If the displacement *r* of a single particle is approximated as a Gaussian variable (the Gaussian approximation is known to be valid for colloidal suspensions in their equilibrium fluid states [255]), the self intermediate scattering function  $F_{self}(Q, t)$  can be written in terms of the MSD by  $F_{self}(Q, t) = \exp(-Q^2 < r^2(\Delta t) >)$ . With power law  $(\Delta t)^n$  for  $< r^2(\Delta t) >$ ,  $F_{self}(Q, t)$  assumes the Kohlrausch form (Eq. (1.1)), and hence *n* can be taken as a measure of the many-particle relaxation

dynamics in the aged colloidal glass. The increase in *n* with  $t_w$  is due to the nontrivial motions of the particles observed at all timescales of aging, which somehow enhance the many-particle relaxation. As mentioned before, Courtland and Weeks did point out themselves that the changing character of the MSD is the most significant dynamical changes in aging. However, this pertinent observation by itself does not lead to an explanation of the principal effect of aging, i.e., the timescale for motions needed for a further structural change increases with the age of the sample or  $t_w$ . A theory or model is needed to rationalize this observation. This task is fulfilled expediently by the coupling model which does relate the increase of  $n(t_w)$  to increase of relaxation time on aging, as shown in [797], and to be discussed further later.

# Aqueous Colloidal Suspension of Laponite (Discs with Diameter=25 nm, Thickness=1 nm)

The aging dynamics of aqueous colloidal suspensions of a synthetic clay, Laponite, from fraction of 1 wt% to a few wt% was investigated by several groups [338–345] using dynamic light scattering which measured the normalized intensity autocorrelation function from 10<sup>-6</sup> to 10<sup>3</sup> s in time  $g_2(\boldsymbol{q}, t, t_w) = \langle I(\boldsymbol{q}, t_w)I(\boldsymbol{q}, t + t_w) \rangle / I(\boldsymbol{q}, t + t_w)$  $< I(q, t_w) >^2$ , where  $t_w$  is aging or waiting time, t the delay time, and q the scattering vector. This system and the aging behavior are typical of soft glass-forming materials. As discussed in Section 1.3.2,  $g_2(q, t, t_w) - 1 = \langle \rho(q, t_w) \rho(q, t + t_w) \rangle /$  $<\rho(\boldsymbol{q},t_{\rm w})>^2$  is the intermediate scattering function  $F(\boldsymbol{q},t,t_{\rm w})$  for diffusion of the colloidal discs within the Gaussian approximation. At short times, the time dependence of  $F(q, t, t_w)$  was found to have the exp $(-t/\tau_f)$ , with  $\tau_f$  having the  $q^{-2}$ dependence normal Brownian motion [338], and thus it corresponds to the primitive diffusion in the CM. The same  $q^{-2}$ -dependence at short times was found by dynamic light scattering in colloidal suspensions of PMMA particles [143]. At longer times,  $F(q, t, t_w)$  follows the time dependence of  $\exp[-(t/\tau_s)^{1-n}]$ . In practice,  $F(q, t, t_w)$ was fitted by the sum  $A \exp(-t/\tau_f) + (1-A) \exp[-(t/\tau_s)^{1-n}]$ . Although this looks like the two-step decay of mode coupling theory (MCT), the faster relaxation is an exponential function rather than a power law as predicted by MCT. On increasing  $t_w$ , there is a large increase of  $\tau_s$  accompanied by increase of *n*, indicating that the two quantities of the structural relaxation of the suspension are correlated. This correlation is expected by the CM. A preliminary test of the CM used the experimental data from dynamic light-scattering studies on a charged colloidal suspension of Laponite by Zulian et al. [344]. These authors derived a general relation from the CM which relates  $\tau_s$ ,  $\tau_f$ , *n*, and the crossover time  $t_c$  from primitive diffusion to many-body Kohlrausch diffusion. Good agreement of the relation with experimental data was found for very low concentration samples. The discrepancies with the model with experiments may be due to the fact that the experimental parameters used for this test have been obtained from the fit of the intensity autocorrelation function [344] which is not the same as that prescribed by the CM. Moreover the presence of polydispersity in the system should be taken into account but was not.

# Aging of a Very Rapidly Cooled High Molecular Weight Polystyrene

The two colloidal suspension systems discussed above have the advantage that the increase of dispersion or *n* with aging can be monitored experimentally. Ordinary organic, inorganic, and polymeric glassformers may show the same behavior on aging, but experimental verification is much more difficult because the relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  at  $T_{g}$  is already very long and increases with aging. There is no dependable experimental technique to observe the change of dispersion on aging. Moreover, the reductions of specific or free volume and entropy on aging play perhaps a major role in the increase of the  $\tau_{\alpha}$  of ordinary glassformers. If present in some ordinary glassformers, the increase of n on aging plays only a supplementary role in the increase of  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . Significant change of dispersion on aging can only be seen if the glassformer is very rapidly cooled. This will ensure that the structure of the very rapidly quenched glass is far from equilibrium, and there is a chance to detect the change of dispersion after aging for some time. Most aging experiments have not met this condition, and change or no change of dispersion cannot be ascertained. Apparently the favorable condition to observe change in dispersion was met in the study of aging of a high molecular weight  $(3.8 \times 10^6)$  and monodisperse polystyrene sample after it had been very rapidly cooled from 100°C to 95°C and to 90°C [803]. Measurements of the creep compliance J(t) were made after the quenched sample had been aged for times up to 1 day. The data of J(t) after aging at 95°C for a sequence of increasing times are shown in Fig. 80. The J(t) measured within the range  $10^{-10} < J(t) < 2.5 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^2/\text{dyne}$  are contributed entirely by the local



**Fig. 80** Selected creep-compliance, J(t), curves for a high molecular weight  $(3.8 \times 10^6)$  polystyrene obtained at 95°C following rapid cooling from 100°C plotted against the cube root of the time of creep,  $t^{1/3}$ . Creep measurements were started (*a*) 5, (*e*) 90, (*f*) 1140, and (*h*) 2530 min after temperature equilibrium is obtained. Reproduced from [803] by permission

segmental (structural) relaxation of polystyrene [248]. The plot of J(t) against  $t^{1/3}$  follows the practice of Plazek and O'Rourke [168] for the local segmental relaxation of polystyrene at equilibrium, which is a straight line. By inspection of the creep curves measured after aging for  $t_a = 5$ , 90, 1140, and 2530 min, it is clear that departure from  $t^{1/3}$ -dependence is most significant at  $t_a = 5$  min, and becomes less for longer  $t_a$ . Obviously the width of the dispersion increases with aging. The data were fitted by the approximate expression of  $J(t) = J_g + bt^{1-n}$  that is consistent with the Kohlrausch function,  $\exp[-(t/\tau)^{1-n}]$ . The results show n=0.55, 0.60, 0.61, and 0.64 after aging for  $t_a = 5$ , 90, 1140, and 2530 min, respectively, showing that the increase of n is correlated with the increase of  $\tau$  on aging.

# 2.2.5.5 The Effect of Shear on the Non-equilibrium Structural Dynamics of an Aging Colloidal Suspension of Laponite

Interesting effects were found in the non-linear rheological behavior resulting from the interplay of the microscopic dynamics of aging and shear flow in aqueous colloidal suspensions of Laponite. The viscosity as well as the structural relaxation time  $\tau_s$  decreases strongly with the shear rate  $\dot{\gamma}$  (the velocity gradient) applied to the system [804]. Aging in the absence of shear causes concomitant increases of  $\tau_s$ and dispersion or n of the intermediate scattering function,  $F(q, t, t_w)$ , as discussed in the previous section. Di Leonardo et al. [343] used dynamic light scattering to probe the change of  $F(q, t, t_w)$  of an aging 3 wt% Laponite suspension by shear at different levels of  $\dot{\gamma}$ . They found the shear flow influences significantly the aging dynamics as soon as structural relaxation enters the timescale set by the inverse shear rate,  $\dot{\gamma}^{-1}$ , as shown in Fig. 81. Aging is strongly reduced in this shear-dominated regime when  $\tau_{\rm s} > \dot{\gamma}^{-1}$ , which can be seen in the figure by the decrease of the average slow relaxation time  $\langle \tau_s \rangle$ , and the decrease increases with the shear rate  $\dot{\gamma}$ . Remarkably, the change of  $\langle \tau_s \rangle$  and its dependence on  $\dot{\gamma}$  are the same as that of n shown in Fig. 81 by its counterpart  $\beta \equiv (1 - n)$ . Di Leonardo et al. also studied the effect of sudden increase of  $\dot{\gamma}$  at some  $t_{\rm w}$ . Rejuvenation was observed leading to faster  $< \tau_{\rm s} >$  and narrower dispersion (i.e., larger  $\beta$  or smaller *n*). This effect is shown by the crosses in Fig. 81, which are  $< \tau_s >$  in the upper panel and  $\beta$  in the lower panel of two rejuvenated samples obtained by the two subsequent shear rate jumps:  $67 \,\mathrm{s}^{-1} \rightarrow 223 \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$  and  $223 \,\mathrm{s}^{-1} \rightarrow 446 \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ . Thus, the effect of shear on aging of Laponite suspension alters both  $< \tau_s >$  and *n*, but the changes of the two quantities are always correlated.

Later on in Section 2.2.5.9, correlated changes of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and the dispersion or *n* of the structural (local segmental) relaxation were found by non-linear deformation of "hard" glassy polymers, in the same manner as shown here for the "soft" aqueous suspension of Laponite. Despite many orders of magnitude of difference in mechanical modulus of the two systems, the phenomenon is similar because the underlying physics of many-body relaxation is the same.

Fig. 81 Average slow relaxation time  $< \tau_s >$  and stretching exponent  $\beta \equiv (1 - n)$  as a function of waiting time  $t_w$  during aging under different shear rates  $\dot{\gamma}$ :  $(\Delta)$  446, (O) 223, ( $\Diamond$ ) 67,  $(\Box)$  22 s<sup>-1</sup>. Solid symbols (•) refer to aging without shear. The uncertainties on the reported fitted values are comparable to symbol size. Arrows in top frame indicate the  $\dot{\gamma}^{-1}$  values corresponding to each curve. Inset in top frame shows the same data in a double-logarithmic scale. For the crosses, see text. Reproduced from [343] by permission



# 2.2.5.6 Breakdown of the Stokes–Einstein Equation and the Debye–Stokes–Einstein Relation

The Stokes-Einstein Equation

When presenting the historical development of the field in Chapter 1, it has been made clear that the classic Stokes–Einstein (SE) equation (1.48) and the Debye equation (1.48) were originally designed for application to translational and rotational diffusion, respectively, in simple systems in which the diffusing and relaxing units are not interacting with each other. Thus, breakdown of these relations in glassformers and other materials is unsurprising because in these systems invariably non-trivial mutual interactions between the molecular units are present. The SE relation given before by Eqs. (1.48), with  $r_s$ , the spherical radius of the molecule, is

$$D = kT/6\pi\eta r_{\rm s}.\tag{2.48}$$

It is not an easy task to measure self-diffusion coefficient D and viscosity in any glassformer over an extended common temperature range down to near  $T_g$ . As a result, the breakdown of the SE in any glassformers was not discovered experimentally until 1966 in *tris*-naphthylbenzene (TNB) and 1,2-diphenylbenzene (OTP) [347, 744, 793–797]. In these studies, the transport-dominated crystal growth rates G'(T) of TNB and OTP were deduced from the measured crystal growth rate G(T). Since G'(T) is proportional to the self-diffusion coefficient D(T), with the proper choice of a reference temperature  $T_s$ , the temperature dependence of the ratio,  $D(T)/D(T_s)$ , of TNB can be obtained from  $G'(T)/G'(T_s)$ . The viscosity of TNB ranging over 15 orders of magnitude had been measured [743, 744]. The temperature dependence of the normalized reciprocal viscosity  $\eta(T_s)/\eta(T)$  was compared with  $G'(T)/G'(T_s)$ . It was found [805, 806] that the temperature dependence for  $\eta(T_s)/\eta(T)$  is significantly stronger than that of  $G'(T)/G'(T_s) = D(T)/D(T_s)$  as shown in Fig. 82-1.

Another way to show this disparity is by plotting  $\log[G'(T)/G'(T_s)]$  against  $\log \eta$  in the main Fig. 82-2 (left panel). On comparing the temperature dependence of  $\eta(T_s)/\eta(T)$  and  $G'(T)/G'(T_s)$ , there is good agreement at high temperatures. The departure at the highest temperature may arise because the growth rate *G* is increasingly dominated by nucleation growth, as well as the larger uncertainty that accompanies the analysis and the values of *G'*. Departure is evident below  $T_B \approx 413$  K. Incidentally this temperature is the same as the temperature  $T_B = 412$  K at which occurs the crossover of temperature dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  of TNB from VFTH<sub>1</sub> to VFTH<sub>2</sub>, as shown before in Fig. 56. The departure increases as the temperature dependence of  $G'(T)/G'(T_s) = D(T)/D(T_s)$  is approximately the same as  $\eta(T)^{-0.74}$ . The phenomenon when expressed as



**Fig. 82-1** The transport-dominated crystal growth rate  $\log(G'/G'_s)$  of TNB plotted against  $\log \eta$  ( $\blacksquare$ ). The subscript s indicates the values of G' and  $\eta$  at the reference temperature  $T_s = 373.15$  K. The *solid* and *dashed lines* have slopes given by  $\eta^{-1}$  and  $\eta^{-0.74}$  respectively.  $T_B = 140^{\circ}$ C. The difference in the temperature dependences of  $G/G_s$  and  $\eta/\eta_s$  is shown in another manner in the next figure. Data from [347] are reconstructed in the new figure here



**Fig. 82-2** (*Left*) Same data as Fig. 82-1 now plotted against temperature. (*Right*) Comparison of the temperature dependence of translational diffusion with the viscosity.  $D_{\rm T}$  for TNB determined from FReS and NMR is given on the *left axis*. Temperature over viscosity is given by the *solid line*, with scale on the right-hand axis, shifted in order to permit overlap of the high-temperature values of the viscosity and  $D_{\rm T}$  values. The *dashed line* is  $\eta^{-0.77}$ , vertically shifted. Data from [453] reproduced by permission

$$D \propto \eta^{-\xi} \text{ or } D \propto T/\eta^{\xi}$$
, where  $\xi < 1$ , (2.49)

is sometimes referred to as fractional SE relation [64, 65, 105].

Similar results of breakdown of SE equation in 1,2-diphenylbenzene (OTP) were obtained by comparing  $G'(T)/G'(T_s)$  with viscosity data as shown in Fig. 83. For OTP ( $T_g = 243$  K), the breakdown occurs at temperatures below  $T_B = 290$  K, which is again near the temperature where the *T*-dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  crosses over

Fig. 83 Temperature dependence of the reduced viscosity  $\log(\eta/\eta_s)$  (*solid curve*), transport-dominated crystal growth rate  $\log(G'/G'_s)(\bullet)$ , self-diffusion coefficient  $D/D_s(\diamondsuit)$ , and tracer diffusion coefficient  $D_t/D_{ts}$  ( $\Delta$  and  $\nabla$ ) for OTP. The subscript s indicates the value of the quantities at the reference temperature of 307 K. Data from [347] are replotted here



from VFTH<sub>1</sub> to VFTH<sub>2</sub> (see Fig. 63). The increasing weaker *T*-dependence of  $G'(T)/G'(T_s)$  compared with  $\eta(T_s)/\eta(T)$  with decreasing temperature from  $T_B$  down to about 10 K above  $T_g$  can be seen in Fig. 83. For OTP, the fractional SE relation was found to be  $G'(T)/G'(T_s) = D(T)/D(T_s) \propto [\eta(T)]^{-0.74}$ .

Disparity in magnitude and *T*-dependence of relaxation times of different dynamic variables was found and explained by the CM in 1988 [267]. Thus, if the breakdown of SE relation is simply put as disparity between translational diffusion and viscosity, then SE is just a special case of a more general phenomenon.

Starting from 1992, there were renewed interest in breakdown of the SE and the DSE equations in glassformers. Measurements by an NMR technique (proton nuclear magnetic resonance stimulated echoes in a static magnetic field gradient) of the self-diffusion coefficient, D, of small molecular glass-forming liquid such as 1,2-diphenylbenzene (OTP) were reported [808, 809]. Starting at high temperatures, it was found that D follows the temperature dependence  $1/\eta$  of the Stokes–Einstein relation. But, at a certain temperature  $T_{\rm B} \approx 290$  K, approximately equal to 1.2 times the glass temperature  $T_g = 244$  K, D shows a weaker temperature dependence than does  $1/\eta$ . However, this NMR technique is unable to measure D values smaller than  $10^{-14}$  m<sup>2</sup>/s and at temperatures significantly below  $T_{\rm B} \approx 290$  K to see larger enhancement of translational self-diffusion. At temperatures below  $T_{\rm B}$ , only diffusion coefficients,  $D_t$ , of the tracer molecules similar in size to OTP were obtained by forced Rayleigh scattering technique. The normalized self-diffusion and tracer diffusion data  $D/D_s$  and  $D_t/D_{ts}$  from [808] and [809] are included in Fig. 83 for comparison with the transport-dominated crystal growth rate and viscosity. There is quantitative agreement between  $G'(T)/G'(T_s)$  and  $D/D_s$  as well as  $D_t/D_{ts}$ , and they all have a weaker temperature dependence than  $\eta(T_s)/\eta(T)$ .

In recent years, direct measurements of self-diffusion of TNB were reported by forward recoil spectrometry to measure the concentration profiles of deuterio and protio TNB following annealing-induced diffusion in a vapor-deposited bilayer [453]. For OTP, self-diffusion coefficients were obtained by isothermally desorbing thin film bilayers of deuterio and protio o-terphenyl in a vacuum chamber [454]. The directly measured self-diffusion coefficients D of TNB at temperatures from  $T_g + 18$ K down to  $T_g$  (= 342 K) shown in Fig. 82 (right panel) extend the range of the data of  $G'(T)/G'(T_s) = D(T)/D(T_s)$ . The much weaker temperature dependence of D than  $T/\eta$  becomes clearer. When the forward recoil spectrometry data of D are combined with NMR measurements at higher temperatures, the relation  $D(T) \propto \eta(T)^{-0.77}$  is obtained for TNB, which is about the same as  $D(T) \propto \eta(T)^{-0.74}$  obtained from using the data of  $G'(T)/G'(T_s) = D(T)/D(T_s)$  [347, 805–807]. For OTP, the later work by Mapes et al. [454] also shows self-diffusion coefficients they determined are in agreement with that deduced from crystal growth in o-terphenyl by Magill and Li [807], which is controlled by self-diffusion from 253 K to the melting point. Mapes et al. also include the crystal growth rate data below 253 K by Oguni and coworkers [810, 811]. These data by Oguni should not be included by Mapes et al. because at temperatures below 253 K a different crystal growth mechanism takes over, and the observed crystal growth rate is no longer proportional to self-diffusion. The mechanism is the so-called "homogeneous nucleation" and was attributed by Oguni and

coworkers to the secondary relaxation of OTP, giving rise to a new crystal shape. More discussion of this can be found in Section 2.3.2.22.

The breakdown of the Stokes–Einstein relation was observed also in the supercooled liquid state of a metallic glass,  $Zr_{46.7}Ti_{8.3}Cu_{7.5}Ni_{10}Be_{27.5}$ , as revealed by a comparison of beryllium diffusion data with viscosity data [812]. Translation diffusion coefficient of Be is increased by 1–2 orders of magnitude and has a weaker *T*-dependence when compared to the Stokes–Einstein prediction. The similarity of the effect to that found in molecular glassformers was considered as an indication of cooperative diffusion mechanism in the supercooled liquid state of  $Zr_{46.7}Ti_{8.3}Cu_{7.5}Ni_{10}Be_{27.5}$ . Breakdown was found also in room temperature ionic liquid by Ito and Richert [158] and explained by the CM [159].

#### The Debye-Stokes-Einstein Relation

The Debye rotational diffusion equation [18–20] was originally derived for timedependent orientation of non-interacting spherical Brownian particles (see Section 1.1). It was assumed that the Brownian particle undergoes many collisions with the host solvent molecules before it reorients through an appreciable angle. The Debye model is governed by Eq. (1.10), which can be solved by using the spherical harmonics,  $Y_{lm}(\hat{u})$ , as eigenfunctions of  $\vec{\nabla}_{\Omega}^2$  in this equation. The orientation time correlation function  $\langle \hat{u}(t)\hat{u}(0) \rangle$  is an exponential function of time given by Eq. (1.11). The orientational correlation function,  $C_r(t) = 4\pi \langle Y_{lm}^*(\hat{u}(0))Y_{lm}(\hat{u}(t)) \rangle$ , can be rewritten in terms of the ensemble average of the Legendre polynomials  $P_l(\cos \theta(t))$  for any order *l* as follows:

$$C_l(t) = (2l+1)\langle P_l(\cos\theta(t))\rangle.$$
(2.50)

Here  $\cos \theta(t)$  is the cosine of the angle,  $\theta(t) = \hat{u}(t)\hat{u}(0)$ , through which a particle rotates in time *t*. As shown before in Section 1.3.1, dielectric relaxation measurements pick out the response corresponding to l = 1 and give  $C_1(t) = 3\langle P_1(\cos \theta(t)) \rangle$ , where  $P_1(\cos \theta(t)) = \cos \theta(t)$ . On the other hand, measurements using photon correlation spectroscopy, holographic fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (holographic FRAP) technique [813], and some NMR techniques pick out the responses corresponding to l = 2, and yield  $C_2(t) = 5\langle P_2(\cos \theta(t)) \rangle$ , where  $P_2(\cos \theta(t)) = (5/2)[3\cos^2(\theta(t)) - 1]$ . In the Debye model, for all l,  $C_r(t)$  is a linear exponential function of time for all times and is given by

$$C_l(t) = \exp[-l(l+1)D_r t]$$
(2.51)

A typical relaxation time  $\tau_c$  for l = 2 is

$$D_{\rm r} \equiv \frac{1}{6\tau_{\rm c}} = \frac{kT}{8\pi\eta r_{\rm s}^2},\tag{2.52}$$

where  $r_{\rm s}$  is the radius of the spherical particle.

For a probe molecule in viscous glassformer, its rotational motion is not expected to have exponential correlation function as in the Brownian spherical particle in the Debye model. This is obvious in the case that the probe is identical to the host, where dielectric (l = 1) and photon correlation spectroscopic (l = 2) measurements of neat glassformers have shown that the correlation function has the Kohlrausch stretched exponential time dependence,

$$C_1(t) \text{ or } C_2(t) = \exp[-(t/\tau_c)^{1-n_r}].$$
 (2.53)

Nevertheless, the result of the Debye model can be generalized by replacing the Debye  $\tau_c$  in Eq. (2.52) by the Kohlrausch rotational correlation time  $\tau_c$  of the probe molecule.  $D_r$ , defined in the same way as in the Debye equation, is the average rotational diffusion coefficient. This generalized Debye relation seems to hold in neat OTP where the measured  $D_r$  followed the temperature dependence practically at all temperatures above  $T_g$  where the viscosity  $\eta$  changes by 12 orders of magnitude [808, 809].

On combining this Debye relation with the SE relation for the probe molecule,  $D_t = kT/6\pi \eta r_s$ , we obtain the so-called Debye–Stokes–Einstein relation

$$D_{\rm t}\tau_{\rm c} = 2r_{\rm s}^2/9. \tag{2.54}$$

That is, if both the SE and the Debye relations hold for the probe, the product  $D_t \tau_c$  should be equal to  $2r_s^2/9$ . Henceforth, this ideal value of the product is designated by  $(D_t \tau_c)_{SE,DSE}$ . Measurements of probe translational diffusion and rotational diffusion were made in a variety of probes of low concentrations in many different host glassformers principally by the holographic fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (holographic FRAP) technique [457, 813] and forced Rayleigh scattering technique for translational diffusion, and rotational FRAP technique for probe rotation [449–452, 793–796, 814, 815], and other techniques [816–819]. In many systems it was found that the product  $D_t \tau_c$  is a function of temperature, increases with decreasing temperature toward  $T_{g}$  of the host, and can reach values much larger than  $(D_t \tau_c)_{\text{SE,DSE}}$ . To quantify translational enhancement, the product  $D_t \tau_c$  is normalized to  $(D_t \tau_c)_{\text{SE.DSE}}$ . Some examples are shown in Fig. 84. This breakdown of the Debye-Stokes-Einstein (DSE) relation is due to enhancement of probe translational diffusion in comparison with rotational diffusion. The ratio  $D_t \tau_c / (D_t \tau_c)_{\text{SE,DSE}}$ evaluated at  $T = T_g$  is a measure of the degree of the breakdown of the SE and DSE relations for various combinations of probes and host glassformers. In OTP the enhancement of tetracene translation measured by  $\log[D_t \tau_c/(D_t \tau_c)_{SE,DSE}]$  is about 2.5 decades near  $T_{\rm g}$ . Different behaviors are shown by larger probe molecules such as rubrene in OTP where the enhancement of translational diffusion becomes negligible as evidenced by  $D_t$ ,  $T/\eta$ , and  $< \tau_c >$  all having about the same temperature dependence, and  $\log[D_t \tau_c/(D_t \tau_c)_{SE,DSE}]$  is small for all temperatures down to  $T_{\rm g}$  (see Fig. 84). The study of the rotational motion and translational diffusion of probe molecules was extended [449-452, 793-796, 814, 815] to other hosts including several polymers. In all cases, the time dependence of the probe rotational



**Fig. 84** The figure shows the correlation of enhanced translation  $\log[D_t \tau_c/(D_t \tau_c)_{SE,DSE}]$  at  $T_g$  with  $n_r(T_g) \equiv [1 - \beta(T_g)]$ , where  $\beta(T_g)$  is the Kohlrausch exponent for the probe rotation in four matrices, OTP, TNB, polystyrene (PS), and polysulfone (PSF) at  $T = T_g$ . The probes are tetracene, rubrene, anthracene, and BPEA. The symbols represent PS/tetracene (*closed circle*), PS/rubrene (*open circle*), PSF/tetracene (*closed triangle*), PSF/rubrene (*open triangle*), OTP/tetracene (*closed triangle*), OTP/rubrene (*open square*), OTP/rubrene (*open diamond*), OTP/BPEA (*closed diamond*), TNB/tetracene (*closed hourglass*), TNB/rubrene (*open hourglass*). Data taken from [449, 451, 452, 795], and replotted here together with the *lone star*, which represents the datum of rubrene/sucrose benzoate [457]

time correlation functions  $F_r(t)$  was found to be well described by the Kohlrausch function of Eq. (2.53). At  $T = T_g$ , a strong correlation was observed between the ratio  $D_t \tau_c / (D_t \tau_c)_{SE,DSE}$  and  $n_r(T_g) \equiv [1 - \beta(T_g)]$ . This is equivalent to an anticorrelation between  $\beta(T_g) \equiv (1 - n_r(T_g))$  and  $D_t \tau_c / (D_t \tau_c)_{SE,DSE}$ , which is actually shown in Fig. 84. In other words, at  $T_g$ , more enhanced probe translation compared with probe rotation (or the degree of breakdown of SE and DSE relations) is found for probe having rotation correlation functions which are more dispersive or larger  $n_r$ .

Figure 84 shows the correlation using practically all the data from different probes in several matrices. Restricted to the same probe, e.g., rubrene, the increase of the ratio  $D_t \tau_c/(D_t \tau_c)_{SE,DSE}$  with increasing  $n_r(T_g)$  or decreasing  $\beta(T_g)$  is also clear. For example,  $D_t \tau_c/(D_t \tau_c)_{SE,DSE}$  increases with decreasing values of  $\beta(T_g)$  for rubrene rotation at  $T_g$  from 0.86 (in polyisobutylene), 0.89 (in OTP), 0.74 (TNB), 0.61 (in polystyrene), 0.44 (in polysulfone), and 0.42 (in BPA-polycarbonate). When the probe is identical to the host, probe diffusion becomes self-diffusion in a neat glassformer. By extrapolating the results of probe/host systems to neat glass glassformers, the breakdown of SE and DSE relations in neat glassformers is expected, and the correlation between  $D_t \tau_c/(D_t \tau_c)_{SE,DSE}$  and  $n_r(T_g)$  should still hold.

#### Explanation of the Breakdown of SE-DSE Relations by the Coupling Model

The empirical correlation found between the size of the deviation from the SE-DSE relation and  $n_r(T_g)$  shown in Fig. 84 is an indication that many-body relaxation dynamics are responsible for the occurrence of such a general phenomena, and the explanation can be obtained from the coupling model (CM). Such a CM explanation was indeed proposed in 1999 [268]. In the framework of the CM, the explanation is based on the fact that different dynamic variables  $\mu$  weigh the intermolecular coupling differently and have different coupling parameters (i.e., different degrees of intermolecular cooperativity),  $n_{\mu}$ , which enter into the stretch exponents of their correlation functions,  $\langle \mu(0)\mu(t)\rangle/\langle \mu^2(0)\rangle = \exp[-(t/\tau_{\mu})^{1-n_{\mu}}]$ , represented in the Kohlrausch form. This together with CM equations,

$$\tau_{\mu}(T) = [t_{c}^{-n_{\mu}} \tau_{0\mu}(T)]^{1/(1-n_{\mu})}, \qquad (2.55)$$

immediately leads to differences between the  $\tau_{\mu}$ s as well as their temperature dependences. A larger  $n_{\mu}$  for the observable  $\mu$  will bestow a stronger temperature dependence for the relaxation time  $\tau_{\mu}$ . This is because the primitive relaxation times of all observables  $\tau_{0\mu}$ , uninfluenced by many-body relaxation dynamics, should have one and the same temperature dependence.

Let us here consider the case of *tris*-naphthylbenzene (TNB). Although the value of  $n_n$  for viscosity is known from shear mechanical measurement [743, 744] or light-scattering data ( $n_{LS} = 0.45$ ) [820],  $n_d$  for dielectric relaxation [455] and  $n_{NMR}$ for NMR [821] are 0.50,  $n_{\rm D}$  for self-diffusion is not yet available from experiment. Nevertheless, theoretical arguments based on comparing constraints have been given before to show that the coupling parameter  $n_r$  for rotational relaxation obtained by dielectric relaxation (first-order Legendre polynomial) or light scattering (secondorder Legendre polynomial) and the coupling parameter  $n_{\eta}$  for shear viscosity are all larger than the coupling parameter for center-of-mass diffusion  $n_{\rm D}$  [182, 183, 268], and the explanation of the breakdown of the SE and DSE relations follows from this relation. There is support of the inequality,  $n_{\rm r} > n_{\rm D}$ , from the results of molecular dynamics simulation of relaxation in the van der Waals liquid o-terphenyl by Wahnström and Lewis [315]. They found the orientational correlation function shows somewhat more stretching ( $\beta_r \equiv 1 - n_r = 0.69$  (for  $q = 1.94 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ )) compared with  $\beta_{\rm D} \equiv 1 - n_{\rm D} = 0.82$  for the center-of-mass motion. There is also similar support from experimental measurements of a room temperature ionic liquid [158, 159].

This CM explanation holds independent of whether  $n_r$  and  $n_\eta$  are temperature dependent or independent. We demonstrate this for TNB by taking  $n_r = 0.50$  of dielectric relaxation to be temperature independent from below  $T_g = 342 - 417$  K as found experimentally by Richert et al. [455]. The recoverable shear creep compliance,  $J_r(t)$ , data near and above  $T_g$  of TNB in [182, 183] have been fitted by the function  $J_r(t) = J_g + (J_e - J_g)\{1 - \exp[-(t/\tau_{\alpha\eta})^{1-n_\eta}]\}$ , where  $J_g$  and  $J_e$  are the glassy and the steady-state compliances, respectively, and  $n_\eta$  is also close to 0.50

[275, 797]. Richert et al. [455] gave the dielectric  $\alpha$ -relaxation time by the Vogel-Fulcher-Tammann-Hesse equation,  $\log[\tau_r(T)] = -18.05 + \frac{1620}{(T-246)}$ . With these values of  $\tau_r(T)$  and  $t_c$  known to be equal to about 2 ps, Eq. (2.55) is used to calculate the primitive dielectric relaxation times  $\tau_{0r}(T)$ . We assume the primitive self-diffusion relaxation time  $\tau_{0D}(T)$  is the same as  $\tau_{0r}(T)$ , and calculate  $\tau_D(T)$  by using a  $n_{\rm D}$  to have a smaller value than  $n_{\rm r} = 0.50$  as suggested by the CM explanation [268]. In Fig. 85 we present the results of  $\tau_{0r}(T)$  calculated by Eq. (2.55) for  $\mu \equiv r$  with the *temperature-independent* value of  $n_r = 0.50$ , and  $\tau_{\alpha d}(T)$  also calculated by the same equation for  $\mu \equiv D$  with constant  $n_D = 0.37$ , and  $t_c = 2$  ps for both. Before making quantitative comparisons, from  $n_{\rm r} > n_{\rm D}$  and  $\tau_{0\rm D}(T)$  having the same T-dependence as  $\tau_{0r}(T)$ , readily Eq. (2.55) leads to the conclusion that  $\tau_{\alpha r}(T)$ has a stronger T-dependence than  $\tau_{\rm D}(T)$ . As a result, the separation between  $\tau_{\rm r}(T)$ and  $\tau_{\rm D}(T)$  increases with decreasing temperature even if  $n_{\rm r}$  is constant, as observed experimentally for TNB [455], OTP [456], and sucrose benzoate [457]. We hasten to mention here that in contrast to the CM explanation of the breakdown of the SE-DSE relations, the explanation based on spatial heterogeneous dynamics by Ediger and coworkers [429, 450, 453, 454] runs into problem for TNB, OTP, and sucrose benzoate. This is because validity of the latter explanation requires the dispersion of the rotation correlation function to broaden or  $\beta$  to decrease with decrease in temperature. More discussion of this will be given later.



**Fig. 85** Plots of various relaxation times of TNB against temperature.  $\tau_{\alpha d}(T)$  is from the Vogel– Fulcher–Tammann–Hesse fit to dielectric data (*continuous line*),  $\tau_{0d}(T) = \tau_{0D}(T) \equiv \tau_0(T)$  is calculated with constant  $n_d = 0.50$  (*dashed line*), and  $\tau_{\alpha d}(T)$  calculated with constant  $n_d = 0.37$ (*dashed-dotted line*). Plotted is also  $T/\eta$  (*closed circles*), after a vertical shift of 7.1 decades upward has been applied. The results from the CM reproduce the decoupling of self-diffusion from dielectric relaxation, which increases with decreasing temperature even though  $n_d$  is constant. In the *inset*, the ratio  $\tau_{\alpha d}(T)/\tau_{\alpha d}(T)$  normalized to its value at the high temperature of 475 K, ( $\tau_{\alpha d}/\tau_{\alpha d})_{T=475 \text{ K}} = 1.5$ , is shown as a function of temperature. Data from various sources described in [275] are replotted here

The ratio,  $\tau_r(T)/\tau_D(T)$ , is a way to show the breakdown of the DSE relations. At the high temperature of 475 K, the ratio  $(\tau_r/\tau_D)_{T=475 \text{ K}}$  is nearly unity. After normalizing it by this high temperature value of 1.5, the monotonic increase of the ratio  $\tau_{\rm r}(T)/\tau_{\rm D}(T)$  with decreasing temperature toward  $T_{\rm g}$  is shown in the inset of Fig. 85. The ratio,  $\tau_{\rm r}(T)/\tau_{\rm D}(T)$ , is equivalent to the product  $D(T)\eta(T)$  often used to show the breakdown of the SE relation. It exhibits monotonic increase as temperature is lowered toward  $T_g$  in the same manner as  $D(T)\eta(T)/(D\eta)_{highT}$ . The results in Fig. 85 are *qualitatively* the same for other choices of  $n_D$  less than  $n_r = 0.50$ . The particular choice of  $n_{\rm D} = 0.37$  was made in Fig. 85 because the decoupling of  $\tau_{\rm D}(T)$ from  $\tau_{\rm r}(T)$  becomes *quantitatively* approximately the same as that deduced from a comparison of the temperature dependences of the measured D(T) [453, 454] and the measured  $\tau_r(T)$  [455] of TNB. Next, we show the breakdown of the SE relation by comparing in Fig. 85 the temperature dependence of  $\tau_{\rm D}(T)$  with that of T/n. The shear viscosity data were taken from the works of Plazek and Magill [805, 806]. For comparison, the actual values of T/n have been shifted vertically by 7.1 decades (shown by closed circles) such that its value is about the same as  $\tau_{\rm T}(T)$  and  $\tau_{\rm D}(T)$ . It is clear by inspection of Fig. 85 that  $T/\eta$  decreases more rapidly with falling temperature than  $\tau_D(T)$ . Since  $\tau_D(T)$  is inversely proportional to D, from this we have demonstrated the breakdown of the SE relation from the CM in those cases where  $n_{\rm r}$  or  $n_n$  is constant.

## Explanation of the Correlation of Size of Breakdown with $n_r(T_g)$

Bainbridge and Ediger [451] found the existence of a correlation between the ratio  $D_t \tau_c/(D_t \tau_c)_{SE,DSE}$  at  $T = T_g$  and  $n_r(T_g) \equiv [1 - \beta(T_g)]$  shown in Fig. 84 by compiling data from different combinations of probes and matrices. From the CM explanation given above, it is plausible that probe with larger  $n_r(T_g)$  can lead to larger  $D_t \tau_c/(D_t \tau_c)_{SE,DSE}$  at  $T = T_g$ , if the ratio  $n_D(T_g)/n_r(T_g)$  is maintained constant. Although plausible, this assumption cannot be verified until  $n_D(T_g)$  has been determined by measurement of the diffusion correlation function, which has not been achieved so far for the probes and matrices shown in Fig. 84 (only the long-term diffusion has been measured). The correlation certainly has drawn attention, but a deeper question that follows is what causes the variation of  $n_r(T_g)$  of different probes and host combinations?

This question has been answered by the CM on adapting its previous application to mixtures to the case of probe dynamics [268, 736, 737], which after all is the special case of binary mixtures when concentration of one component becomes small. The component dynamics of mixtures is the subject of detailed discussion in Section 2.2.5.7. A probe in a host matrix cannot rotate with large angles without participation of motions of the host molecules. In other words, it is still involved in a many-body relaxation unless the host molecules are much more mobile than the probe. In that case, the motion of the probe occurs in the hydrodynamic regime of the host. There is absence of many-body effects and  $n_r(T_g) = 0$ , analogous to the Debye relaxation of a molecule in a solvent. If the probe is more mobile than the host molecules, its motion is necessarily stretched to longer times by the host molecules. A practical measure of the difference in mobility of the probe and the host is the ratio  $\tau_{\rm r}(T)/\tau_{\alpha}(T)$  at  $T = T_{\rm g}$ , where  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$  is the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation time of the host. It is obvious that longer is  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$  compared with  $\tau_{r}(T)$ , the more the rotational correlation function of the probe will be stretched out to longer times or smaller is its Kohlrausch exponent  $\beta(T_g)$  and larger coupling parameter  $n_r(T_g)$  of the CM. This deduction following the precept of the CM suggests  $\beta(T_g)$  of probe in matrix is controlled by  $\tau_r(T_g)/\tau_\alpha(T_g)$ , and hence there should be a correlation between these two quantities. Indeed, such a correlation was found from experimental data [268] and is shown here in Fig. 86 (left panel). Since  $\tau_r(T_g)/\tau_\alpha(T_g)$  is the control parameter of the cause, it should correlate with the consequence which is  $D_t \tau_c / (D_t \tau_c)_{SE,DSE}$ at  $T = T_g$ . This correlation was also found from the experimental data [268] and shown in the right panel of Fig. 86. Thus, the correlation between  $D_t \tau_c / (D_t \tau_c)_{\text{SE,DSE}}$ at  $T = T_g$  and  $n_r(T_g) \equiv [1 - \beta(T_g)]$  found empirically by Bainbridge and Ediger [451] shown in Fig. 84 is the result from combining the two more fundamental correlations in the left and right panels of Fig. 86. All said above is further supported by the correlation between  $n_r(T_g)$  and the fragility or steepness index m obtained from the *T*-dependence of  $\tau_c(T)$  shown in [268].

No Breakdown of SE Relation in Silica

For Stokes–Einstein relation between self-diffusion and viscosity, the best example to show the correlation of the size of its breakdown with the coupling parameter of



Fig. 86 Left panel: Correlation of the KWW  $\beta$  parameter for probe rotation at  $T_g$  in various hosts with the extent of probe–host coupling measured by the ratio  $\tau_c(Tg)/\tau_\alpha(Tg)$ . Right panel: Correlation of enhanced translation  $\log[D_t\tau_c/(D_t\tau_c)_{SE,DSE}]$  at  $T_g$  of the probes in various hosts with the extent of probe–host coupling measured by the ratio  $\tau_c(Tg)/\tau_\alpha(Tg)$ . For the source of data and more explanation of the plots see [268]. Data from [268] are replotted in both figures here

viscosity,  $n_n$ , is afforded by the data of silica that has  $T_g = 1451$  K and  $T_m = 2007$  K [822]. In the temperature range  $1.1T_g < T < T_m$ , the diffusion coefficient deduced from viscosity is nearly the same as the self-diffusion coefficient, and thus SE relaxation is obeyed by silica. This is expected by the CM because many-body dynamics are practically absent in silica as evidenced by  $n_n \approx 0.1$  from a molecular dynamics simulation [823], and suggested from its small value of the steepness index mtogether with the correlation between n and m. Hence, both coupling parameters being small, any difference between  $n_{\rm D}$  for self-diffusion and  $n_n$  for viscosity is correspondingly small. The correlation is clear when comparing no breakdown of the SE relation in silica having  $n_n \approx 0.1$  with the prominent breakdown found in o-terphenyl and TNB, all of which have larger  $n_n$ . These data were part of a larger collection showing a correlation between degree of breakdown of SE relation and fragility by Ediger et al. [824]. These authors rationalize the correlation by spatially heterogeneous dynamics and the argument that more fragile liquid is more heterogeneous. There is a flaw in their rationalization. As we have discussed before, spatially heterogeneous dynamics cannot explain breakdown of SE relation in the most well-studied cases including TNB, OTP, and sucrose benzoate. Nevertheless, since fragility roughly correlates with non-exponentiality or n particularly when restricted to the same class of liquids, their empirical observation is consistent with the correlation of degree of breakdown of the SE relation with *n* expected by the CM.

#### The CM Was Mistaken as a Homogeneous Relaxation Theory

The advances in this field up to the year 2000 were reviewed by Ediger [429]. It mentioned the explanation of the breakdown of SE and DSE relations given by the CM in 1999 [268]. Unfortunately, this review mistakenly labeled the coupling model (CM) as a homogeneous relaxation model, even though it was explicitly stated in the very same 1999 paper [268] that the dynamics envisaged in the CM is dynamically heterogeneous, and a previous work explicitly demonstrating this [234] was referenced therein. It is hard to imagine that many-body relaxation in glass-forming liquids can be homogeneous, and the CM has constantly emphasized the importance of many-body aspect of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. This misrepresentation of my work is unfortunate because the review by Ediger is highly cited by others. This mistake by Ediger may have caused some colleagues including himself to ignore the CM explanation till now. As it will become clear from the discussions below, the explanation by spatially heterogeneous dynamics is contradicted by more recent experiments, while the CM explanation remains a viable explanation [275], which will be revisited later in a separate section devoted to the many different applications of the CM.

Explanations for the Breakdown of the SE and DSE Relations Based on Spatially Heterogeneous Dynamics

The enhancements of translational diffusion compared with viscosity and probe rotational diffusion (i.e., breakdown of the SE and DSE relations) are so general a phenomenon that it attracts attention. Several attempts to explain it are all based on spatially heterogeneous dynamics in one form or the other [450, 825, 826]. For example, in one model it is assumed that in some regions of the liquid both rotation and translation are orders of magnitude faster than the corresponding dynamics in other regions. There is experimental evidence for dynamic heterogeneity as discussed before in Section 2.2.2, although it is far from clear that the assumed spatial heterogeneity in the model is consistent with the observed dynamic heterogeneity. It was argued in such a heterogeneous system, translation and rotation experiments average over the heterogeneity differently. The orientation correlation function is a superposition of the orientation relaxation functions for the different regions of the sample. Since the average rotational correlation time  $< \tau_c >$  is the integral of the correlation function, it weighs regions of slower mobility to a much greater extent. By contrast, molecules tend to diffuse in paths through regions of faster dynamics. The self or the probe translational diffusion coefficient is determined effectively by regions of higher mobility, and it is enhanced over the rotational diffusion. Spatially heterogeneous dynamics engenders a distribution of relaxation times and is consistent with non-exponential rotational correlation function. Therefore, this explanation implies that a direct correlation should exist between enhanced translational diffusion and the non-exponentiality of the rotational correlation function, which is in fact observed (see Fig. 84). However, there are problems of this explanation as discussed below.

### Problems of Explanations Based on Spatial Heterogeneous Dynamics

The models based on spatial heterogeneous dynamics sound reasonable and have been considered for a long while to provide the correct explanation of the breakdown of SE and DSE relations until recent experiments [455–457] and simulation [274, 275] have found contradiction to their premise. The contradictions invalidate the explanation. The problems of these models can be traced to the fact that all dynamic properties originate from the many-body relaxation, and heterogeneous dynamics and non-exponentiality are just two among many properties (including the breakdown of SE and DSE relations) that are parallel consequences of the many-body relaxation. Naturally, these parallel consequences are consistent with each other, and correlations between them must exist, such as that between  $D_t \tau_c / (D_t \tau_c)_{\text{SE,DSE}}$ and the degree of non-exponentiality or  $n_r$  shown in Fig. 84. However, it is not possible to fully rationalize or derive one property from another. This is simply because, being parallel consequences, neither property retains the full attributes of the source, which is the many-body relaxation. Only by going back to the source (and with the help of a theory that can predict how many-body relaxation governs relaxation time and transport coefficients) can each of the parallel consequences be fully explained. An analogy is the attempt to completely solve the glass transition problem by considering only thermodynamics and thermodynamic variables, which obviously cannot succeed because many-body relaxation and its consequences are left out.

In the following, the contradictions with experiments as well as conceptual difficulties encountered by the models based on spatial heterogeneous dynamics (particularly the broadening of the distribution of local relaxation times as the temperature is lowered required by the models) are presented and discussed. Since spatial heterogeneous dynamics is an appealing and popular notion and has been highly promoted to be a fundamental, considerable number of points is given below to show that it is not a viable explanation. Again, the reason is that it is merely one of the consequences of many-body dynamics. Understandably, one consequence may not be able to explain another parallel consequence such as the breakdown of SE and DSE relations.

- [1] Why viscous flow is not enhanced compared with local orientation like translational diffusion? Like translation diffusion, viscous flow also could emphasize regions of higher mobility. If considered, by the same argument for enhancement of translational diffusion in the spatial heterogeneous dynamics model, one would expect also enhancement of viscous flow compared with local orientational relaxation. But this is not observed in neat OTP [808, 809] because the rotational diffusion coefficient,  $D_r$ , and viscosity  $\eta$  are found to obey the DSE equation practically at all temperatures above  $T_g$  where the viscosity  $\eta$  changes by 12 orders of magnitude. This is explained by the coupling parameters  $n_r$  and  $n_\eta$  having the same value as found in TNB.
- [2] The distribution of rotational relaxation times does not broaden as the temperature is lowered toward Tg: In order to explain translational motion having weaker temperature dependence than rotational motion, models based on spatially heterogeneous dynamics require the distribution of local relaxation times to broaden as the temperature is lowered toward  $T_{g}$ . Other models proposed to explain the same effect [827, 828] are also associated with a strong temperature dependence of the relaxation time distribution. This basic premise of the models is contradicted by several measurements of the orientational correlation function  $F_r(t)$  of TNB by several techniques. The exponents  $\beta$  of the Kohlrausch function used to fit the time/frequency dispersion of various data are quite consistent with each other and all indicate a temperature-independent  $\beta$  or distribution of relaxation times. Dielectric relaxation measurements of TNB in the range of  $T/T_g$  from 1.01 to 1.22, where  $D\tau_c$  changes significantly, show no change in the shape of the loss spectra. The dielectric frequency dispersions at all these temperatures are well described by the one-sided Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function (see Eq. (1.97)) with  $\beta$  equal to 0.50. The orientation correlation function,  $C_1(t) = 3 \langle P_1(\cos \theta(t)) \rangle$ , measured by dielectric relaxation is thus consistent with the Kohlrausch function with constant  $\beta = 0.50$  [455]. The orientational correlation function,  $C_2(t) = 5 \langle P_2(\cos \theta(t)) \rangle$ , of TNB obtained by photon correlation spectroscopy (see Eq. (1.108)) as the field correlation function of the scattered light,  $g^{(1)}(\vec{q}, t)$ , was reported to have  $\beta = 0.55 \pm 0.01$  for  $T/T_g$  ranging from 1.02 to 1.12 [820]. The orientation correlation functions obtained by NMR measurements, also  $F_{2,r}(t)$ , are consistent with a constant  $\beta = 0.5$  over the range of  $T/T_g$  from 1.01 to 1.09 [821].

These results indicate that the rotational FRAP experiments, which also measure  $F_{2,r}(t)$ , has a constant  $\beta$ . The recoverable creep compliance data  $J_r(t)$  of TNB taken at different temperatures obey time–temperature superposition [743, 805], which is evidence of insensitivity of the mechanical dispersion to change in temperature.

A similar situation holds for *o*-terphenyl and sucrose benzoate. Dielectric relaxation measurements on *o*-terphenyl [456] and sucrose benzoate [457] also found very little or no change in the frequency dispersion in the temperature range where  $D\tau_c$  changes significantly. The spectra of o-terphenyl [829] correspond to a constant value of  $\beta = 0.50 \pm 0.04$  in the range of  $T/T_{\rm g}$  from 1.02 to 1.17 with  $T_g = 242.3$  K [237]. The values of  $\beta$  measured directly from photon correlation spectroscopy [205] are roughly consistent with the dielectric relaxation measurements, with a change from 0.55 to 0.62 over a range of  $T/T_{g}$ from 1.01 to 1.12. NMR studies of OTP using stimulated-echo methods found that  $\beta$  varies from 0.30 to 0.64 as the temperature increases from 252 to 264 K with a plateau near  $\beta = 0.5$  in the intermediate range. [809]. Another study using deuteron NMR also reported temperature dependence of  $\beta$  but in narrow relaxation time range of  $10^{-3} < \tau_c < 1$  s [830]. As stated in the case of OTP by Richert in [456]: "It remains unclear whether the deviations from the present dielectric results are a matter of experimental limitations of the stimulated-echo methods or the result of the different natures of the correlation functions probed by the NMR and the dielectric techniques."

As a reminder of the results demonstrated in Fig. 85, the CM can explain the breakdown of the SE-DSE relations independent of whether the distribution of rotational relaxation times broadens or does not broaden as the temperature is lowered toward  $T_{g}$ .

[3] Self-diffusion and viscosity of entangled polymers are still decoupled despite the spatial heterogeneities having been averaged out: It was argued by Urakawa et al. [831] from solid-state NMR measurements that the typical size of a slow region of spatial heterogeneous dynamics in polymeric and non-polymeric glassformers near  $T_g$  is 1–4 nm [422]. The average end-to-end distance of the polystyrene (PS) chains with low molecular weight of 2000 g/mol is 3 nm. If the heterogeneity size in polystyrene is comparable to other glass-forming systems measured by NMR, it was concluded that the 2000 g/mol PS molecules experience an average environment, which eliminates decoupling of their translation diffusion from viscosity due to the posited spatial heterogeneities. Actually, the physics of mechanical relaxation and shear viscosity  $\eta$  is more complicated than envisaged by Urakawa et al. as pointed out by Roland et al. [832]. The zero shear viscosity  $\eta$  is related to mechanical retardation time  $\tau$  and the steadystate shear compliance  $J_s$  by  $\eta = \tau/J_s$ , the analogue of the Maxwell relation,  $\eta = G_{\infty}\tau$ , where  $G_{\infty}$  is the short time shear modulus, and  $\tau$  is the relaxation time. For low molecular weight polymers such as the 2000 g/mol PS,  $J_{\rm s}$  strongly decreases with decreasing temperature, and hence the temperature dependence of  $\eta$  does not directly reflect the behavior of the global chain modes. In fact,  $D\eta/T$  increases with decreasing T reflecting a stronger T-dependence of

viscosity than self-diffusion coefficient *D*. However, for  $\tau$  deduced from the product  $\eta J_s$ , the product  $D\tau$  is independent of *T* [832]. If the Rouse model is a valid description of the chain modes, this last result is no surprise. This is because self-diffusion and shear relaxation, although contributed by different Rouse modes, have the same friction factor like all Rouse modes. The findings that  $D\tau$  is independent of *T* is thus a trivial consequence of chain dynamics of unentangled polymers, and cannot be used by Urakawa et al. to support spatial heterogeneous dynamics as the cause of breakdown of Stokes–Einstein relation.

This misuse led Urakawa et al. further to expect that elimination of decoupling of translation diffusion and viscosity by spatial averaging would be even more complete in high molecular weight entangled PS because of larger size of the macromolecule. This expected effect, stated explicitly by Urakawa et al., is contradicted by experimental data of high molecular weight entangled polyethylene [833, 834] and hydrogenated polybutadiene [835, 836]. The viscosity and self-diffusion coefficient exhibit different temperature dependences. The Arrhenius activation energy for the viscosity is significantly larger than that for self-diffusion [359, 837]. These molecules are much larger in size than 2000 g/mol PS, and so the putative averaging of spatial heterogeneities is complete. Furthermore, the decoupling of  $\eta$  and D in these polymers was observed at high temperatures above  $T_g$ , where spatial heterogeneous dynamic of the local segmental relaxation is not an issue. Thus, the explanation based on spatially heterogeneous dynamics is not operative in this regime, and yet decoupling of  $\eta$  and D is still present. This suggests that decoupling of  $\eta$  and D originates for a more fundamental reason than spatially heterogeneous dynamics. On the other hand, this decoupling of  $\eta$  and D of entangled polymers was explained by an approach directly linked to many-body entangled chain relaxation dynamics [359, 837], and explained in the context of the coupling model. The explanation, although is for entangled chain dynamics, is closely related to the explanation [268] of enhancement of the diffusion of small probes in matrices or the selfdiffusion of low molecular weight glassformers (e.g., TNB and o-terphenyl). More on the decoupling of  $\eta$  and D of entangled polymers is given in Chapter 3.

[4] Decoupling between translational diffusion and rotational diffusion (or viscous flow) is a special case of a more general phenomenon: The SE and DSE relations are noteworthy because they are important and historical steps in the development of modern physics. Therefore, the discovery of breakdown of these relations in glassformers has attracted special attention among researchers on the problem of glass transition. As discussed in Section 1.3, self and probe translation diffusion coefficients, *D* and *D*<sub>t</sub>, are given via the long time limit of either  $\langle |\vec{r}(t) - \vec{r}(0)|^2 \rangle$  or  $F_s(\vec{k}, t)$ , the incoherent intermediate scattering function (see Eqs. (1.126) and (1.124)), or from the integral of the velocity–velocity correlation function  $\langle v_x(0) \cdot v_x(t) \rangle$  over time (see Eq. (1.129)). The rotational diffusion counterparts of these functions are  $C_1(t)$  for dielectric relaxation measurements with relaxation time  $\tau_{diel}$ , and  $C_2(t)$  for rotational FRAP, light scattering, and NMR measurements with rotational correlation time  $\tau_c$ .

The correlation function for viscous deformation is  $C_{\alpha\beta}(t) = \langle \sigma_{\alpha\beta}(t)\sigma_{\alpha\beta}(0) \rangle$ , where  $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}$  is the off-diagonal component of the stress tensor, and the viscosity  $\eta$  is given by integrating  $C_{\alpha\beta}(t)$  over time (see Eq. (1.100)). In the ensemble average performed to obtain any non-exponential time-dependent correlation function such as  $C_1(t)$ ,  $C_2(t)$ , and  $C_{\alpha\beta}(t)$  from measurements, the details of heterogeneous dynamics are lost. But this does not mean that homogeneous dynamics is implied when only the non-exponentiality of the correlation functions is considered. This is because many-body relaxation is the source of both heterogeneous dynamics and non-exponentiality.

Seen as evidence of breakdown of SE and DSE relations, the difference between the temperature dependences of  $D^{-1}$  and  $\eta$  or  $(D_t)^{-1}$  and  $\tau_c$  originates from the difference between  $F_s(\vec{k},t)$  and  $C_{\alpha\beta}(t)$  or  $F_s(\vec{k},t)$  and  $C_2(t)$ , respectively. Similarly, any observation of difference in temperature dependence between  $\tau_{diel}$ ,  $\tau_c$ , and  $\eta$  also originates from the corresponding difference between  $C_1(t)$ ,  $C_2(t)$ , and  $C_{\alpha\beta}(t)$ , and hence the observation should be viewed as equally important as the breakdown of the SE and DSE relations.

In going back to examine and compare  $F_s(\vec{k}, t)$ ,  $C_1(t)$ ,  $C_2(t)$ , and  $C_{\alpha\beta}(t)$ , not only the differences in their relaxation times or the transport coefficients are recaptured but also the possible differences in their time dependences or dispersions. The latter provide at least additional information, which may be critical for the construction of a general and valid explanation. This is because time dependence is the direct consequence of many-body relaxation, and the differences in the time dependences of  $F_{s}(\vec{k},t)$ ,  $C_{1}(t)$ ,  $C_{2}(t)$ , and  $C_{\alpha\beta}(t)$  reflect the dissimilar effects that many-body relaxation has on the various observables. If the Kohlrausch functions,  $\exp[-(t/\tau_{\mu})^{1-n_{\mu t}}]$ , are valid representations of the time dependences for all these correlation functions, the profitable steps are (1) to see any difference between the exponents  $n_t$ ,  $n_1$ ,  $n_2$ , and  $n_\eta$  for  $F_s(k, t)$ ,  $C_1(t)$ ,  $C_2(t)$ , and  $C_{\alpha\beta}(t)$ , respectively, and (2) to examine possible correlation between  $n_t$ ,  $n_1$ ,  $n_2$ , and  $n_\eta$  and the temperature dependences of the corresponding relaxation times,  $\tau_t$ ,  $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$ , and  $\tau_\eta$ . One may wonder why these steps were not taken in the past when considering the breakdown of SE and DSE relations in the models cited above. The reason is because at that time only  $D_t$  was obtained by the holographic FRAP technique [796] from the observed  $F_s(k, t)$ that has exponential time dependence of Fickian diffusion. In this technique the characteristic length scale for diffusion is the holographic grating period, a distance equal to few  $\mu$ m, although non-Fickian diffusion would be expected for spatially heterogeneous dynamics with a broad enough distribution of relaxation times [769, 815]. Indeed, non-Fickian diffusion was later found in the translation of tetracene in polystyrene [769] and in BPA-polycarbonate [815] by holographic FRAP. The decay of  $F_s(\vec{k},t)$  was non-exponential and in the manner of the Kohlrausch function,  $\exp[-(t/\tau_t)^{1-n_t}]$ . For the translation of tetracene in BPA-polycarbonate across a grating with a spacing  $d = 1.5 \,\mu\text{m}$ , values of  $n_t$  equal to 0.84, 0.81, and 0.77 were reported at 129, 126, and 124°C, respectively. Due to the large distance of the spacing compared with the size of the tracer molecule, these results may not be the same as the microscopic

 $F_{\rm s}(\vec{k},t)$ . Experimental technique has not yet been invented or reported to measure  $\exp[-(t/\tau_{\rm t})^{1-n_{\rm t}}]$  directly for distances of the order of the size of the tracer molecule, and to determine the value of  $n_{\rm t}$  experimentally. So far, this has only been achieved by molecular dynamics simulations, which will be discussed in detail later.

- (a) From experimental data of polymers: As early as in 1988, attention was paid to the large differences between the temperature dependences of the dielectric relaxation time  $\tau_1$  of  $C_1(t)$ , the correlation time  $\tau_2$  of  $C_2(t)$  from depolarized light scattering, and mechanical relaxation time  $\tau_{mech}$  of  $C_{\alpha\beta}(t)$ from shear compliance measurements, all for the local segmental relaxation in the same amorphous polymer [267]. There, comparisons of the exponents  $n_{1,r}$ ,  $n_{2,r}$ , and  $n_n$  for  $C_1(t)$ ,  $C_2(t)$ , and  $C_{\alpha\beta}(t)$ , respectively, were made for each of several polymeric glassformers, and the corresponding differences in the temperature dependences of the relaxation times,  $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$ , and  $\tau_{\text{mech}}$ , explained quantitatively by the CM equation (2.55). Such differences in non-exponentiality of other physical quantities in non-polymeric glassformers near the glass transition region have been pointed out also by Moynihan and coworkers [266]. The comparison made was indeed profitable because the following correlation was found. Larger  $n_{\mu}$ , or smaller Kohlrausch exponent  $(1 - n_{\mu})$  is accompanied by a stronger temperature dependence of  $\tau_{\mu}$ , a general consequence of the CM. This correlation signals that the decouplings of the different observables originate from many-body relaxation. The relaxation time  $\tau_{\mu}$  of a relaxing observable having longer time and stronger temperature dependence is because the process involves a higher degree of many-body dynamics and is stretched out to longer times (i.e., larger  $n_{\mu}$  in the exponent of the Kohlrausch function).
- (b) From a molecular dynamics simulation of polyethylene: Molecular dynamics simulation of local segmental relaxation in polyethylene have obtained the correlation functions of the time correlation functions,  $M_1(t) = <$  $\mathbf{u}(0) \cdot \mathbf{u}(t) > \text{and } M_2(t) = (1/2) < 3[\mathbf{u}(0) \cdot \mathbf{u}(t)]^2 - 1 >$ , where **u** is a unit vector embedded in a certain direction [79, 838]. Important properties out of the simulations were extracted and discussed in [272]. One can recognize that  $M_2(t)$  is the analogue of the correlation functions measured by NMR, time-resolved optical spectroscopy, and dynamic light scattering. On the other hand,  $M_1(t)$  is the dielectric relaxation correlation function. Both  $M_i(t), i = 1, 2$ , were well fitted by  $M_i(t) = \exp[-(t/\tau_i)^{1-n_i}]$ . For **u** along the chain axis,  $\tau_1/\tau_2$  is much larger than unity. For example, in the system consisting of chains of length 500 at 300 K,  $(\tau_1/\tau_2) \approx 10$ ,  $n_1 = 0.60$ , and  $n_2 = 0.50$  [79, 838]. The ratio  $\tau_1/\tau_2$  for vector along the chain axis can reach values over 100 at lower temperatures. Such extremely large value of  $\tau_1/\tau_2$  cannot be reconciled with either the Debye rotational diffusion model which has  $\tau_1/\tau_2 = 3$  [839] or large angle jump motion which has  $\tau_1/\tau_2 = 1$  [840]. Moreover, the temperature dependences of  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are

different with  $\tau_1$  having a stronger dependence, and consequently the ratio  $\tau_1/\tau_2$  increases with decreasing temperature.

The aforementioned deviations of the behavior of  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  from the Debye model are correlated with the difference between  $n_1$  and  $n_2$ , and in particular that  $n_1 > n_2$ . There is an intuitive way to understand why  $n_1$  is larger than  $n_2$  for **u** along the chain axis in terms of many-body relaxation due to intermolecular interactions.  $M_1(t)$  is related to  $\cos\theta(t)$  while  $M_2(t)$  is related to  $\cos^2\theta(t)$ . From the monotonic decrease of  $\cos\theta(t)$  with increasing  $\theta(t)$ , it is clear that  $M_1(t)$  places more importance on the contributions from larger polar angles  $\theta(t)$  than  $M_2(t)$ . Larger  $\theta(t)$  corresponds to closer encounters between the repeat units on neighboring chains and hence stronger intermolecular interactions, more intense many-body relaxation, and larger  $n_1$ .

The simulation found that the local segmental relaxation depends strongly on the direction of the vector **u**. The correlation functions  $M_1(t) = \langle \mathbf{c}(0) \cdot \mathbf{c}(t) \rangle$  and  $M_1(t) = \langle \mathbf{b}(0) \cdot \mathbf{b}(t) \rangle$ , obtained, respectively, for vectors **c** along the chain axis and the out-of-plane vector **b**, were fitted to the Kohlrausch functions with relaxation times  $\tau_{1\mathbf{c}}$  and  $\tau_{1\mathbf{b}}$ , and exponents  $n_{1\mathbf{c}}$  and  $n_{2\mathbf{b}}$ . It was found that  $\tau_{1\mathbf{c}}$  is the longest and at some temperature  $\tau_{1\mathbf{c}}$  is longer than  $\tau_{1\mathbf{b}}$  by about three orders of magnitude. This finding that  $\tau_{1\mathbf{c}}$  is much longer than  $\tau_{1\mathbf{b}}$  is correlated with  $n_{1\mathbf{c}}$  being larger than  $n_{2\mathbf{b}}$ . This can be seen from the smaller stretch exponent  $(1 - n_{1\mathbf{c}})$  than  $(1 - n_{2\mathbf{b}})$ , as can be seen by inspection of Fig. 5 of [79]. The observed strong dependence of the local segmental relaxation of polyethylene on the direction of the vector **u** out from the same correlation function  $M_1(t)$  obviously cannot be explained by the spatial heterogeneous dynamics model.

The mean-squared displacement  $\langle r^2(t) \rangle$  has a time dependence of  $t^{0.65}$  [273], which in the Gaussian approximation means the intermediate scattering function has the time dependence of  $F(t) = \exp[-(t/\tau_t)^{1-n_t}] = \exp[-(t/\tau_t)^{0.65}]$ . Thus,  $n_t = 0.35$  which is smaller than  $n_1 = 0.60$  and  $n_2 = 0.50$ . The result of this molecular dynamics simulation verifies that  $n_t$  for translational diffusion is in fact the smallest among other coupling parameters.

All the anomalies found by the simulations have been explained by the CM in [272].

(c) From the comparison of dielectric relaxation with viscosity: Dielectric relaxation and shear viscosity data have been compared [819] for several supercooled liquids including OTP for which translational diffusion was found to decouple from viscous flow and from rotational diffusion. Like the behavior of  $D\eta/T$  showing breakdown of the SE relation, the quantity  $\eta/T \tau_{diel}$  increases on decreasing temperature to approach  $T_{g}$ . Here  $\tau_{diel}$  is the dielectric relaxation time of the glassformer. Also found is that  $\tau_{diel}$  is shorter than rotational correlation time  $\tau_{c}$  obtained from <sup>2</sup>H-NMR in deuterated OTP by about one order of magnitude. This difference between  $\tau_{diel}$  and  $\tau_{c}$  has been seen also in supercooled toluene [841]. In some other

liquids like salol, the increase of  $\eta/T_{\tau_{diel}}$  with decreasing temperature down to  $T_{g}$  is even larger, reaching to values of about one and a half decades [819]. Thus, not only translational diffusion but also dielectric relaxation is enhanced compared with rotational diffusion and viscosity, and this observation cannot be explained by the spatial heterogeneous dynamics model. The same result was found from D,  $\eta$ , and  $\tau_{diel}$  measurements in the system of 200 ppm rubrene in sucrose benzoate by Rajian et al. [457]. The findings of Chang and Sillescu [819] show that decoupling of different dynamic variables is a general phenomenon not restricted to just translational diffusion and rotational diffusion or viscosity. The latter attract more attention because it is the breakdown of the better known laws of Stokes–Einstein and Debye–Stokes–Einstein.

The generality of the phenomenon is no surprise if the reader recalls the discussion in Section 2.2.1 (v) that different dynamic variables such as D,  $\eta$ , and  $\tau_{diel}$  are related to different correlation functions, and have different stretch exponents of the Kohlrausch function or different coupling parameters in the coupling model (CM).

(d) From the comparison of dynamic light scattering with viscosity and dielectric relaxation: The combined studies of diglycidylether of bisphenol A (DGEBA) by dynamic light scattering (DLS), mechanical relaxation [458], and dielectric spectroscopy (DS) [842] gave the opportunity to compare these different dynamic processes and examine the validity of the DSE relation. The mechanical measurements gave the viscosity and the average shear relaxation times  $< \tau_{\eta} >$ . The DSE relation was verified for dielectric relaxation over the entire temperature range, i.e.,  $< \tau_{diel} > \approx < \tau_{\eta} >$ and  $\tau_{diel} \propto \eta$ . It was found that  $< \tau_{DLS} >$  agrees with  $< \tau_{diel} >$  in a limited temperature range above  $T_g$  but beyond that at higher temperatures they differ by an amount that increases with increasing temperature. The fractional power relations,  $\tau_{DLS} \propto \eta^{0.89}$  and  $\tau_{DLS} \propto (\tau_{diel})^{0.89}$ , hold over seven decades (see Fig. 87).

This decoupling occurs at *high* temperatures in contrast to the breakdown of the SE-DSE relations of other glass-forming liquids, which occurs at *low* temperatures near  $T_g$  due to an enhancement of translational diffusion over rotational diffusion or shear stress relaxation rate. Nevertheless, the similarity between this decoupling and the fractional SE and DSE relations (see Eq. (2.49)) suggests that enhanced translation is a special case of a more general phenomenon. DLS (by depolarized Rayleigh scattering), dielectric relaxation, and shear stress relaxation all probe the rotational dynamics of the molecules, which cannot be explained by theories that explain enhancement of translational diffusion from spatial heterogeneity of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. Although  $\tau_{DLS} \propto \eta^{0.89}$  and  $\tau_{DLS} \propto (\tau_{diel})^{0.89}$  show that  $\tau_{DLS}$  has a weaker temperature dependence than  $\eta$  and  $\tau_{diel}$ , like  $D_t$ compared with  $\eta$  and  $\tau_c$ ,  $< \tau_{DLS} >$  is actually *longer* than  $< \tau_{diel} >$ and  $< \tau_{\eta} >$ . Here there is a *reduction* of DLS relaxation rate compared with viscous flow rate, which is to be contrasted with an *enhancement* of



Fig. 87 Plot of relaxation times of dynamic light scattering (*closed circles*) and dielectric relaxation (*open squares*) against viscosity of DGEBA. Data from [842] and [843]

translational diffusion. This seems to contradict the spatial heterogeneous dynamics models, which lead to only enhancement of local relaxation over flow or viscosity. The authors of [842] also concluded that theories proposed to explain enhanced translation over rotational diffusion based on fluidized and correlated domains cannot explain their data. They argued that application of these models would lead to an untenable situation that different parts of the same molecule belong to different domains, i.e., epoxy rings probed by dielectric relaxation in correlated domain and aromatic rings probed by DLS in fluidized domain. The comparison of the true exponents n<sub>DLS</sub> and n<sub>diel</sub> for DLS and dielectric relaxation cannot be carried out by using the Havriliak–Negami parameters,  $(1 - \alpha_1)$  and  $\beta_1$  that describe the frequency dependence of isothermal dielectric data with that of DLS by depolarized light scattering in the high-temperature range where  $\tau_{\text{DLS}} \propto (\tau_{\text{diel}})^{0.89}$  was observed. This is because the secondary relaxation of DGEBA appears prominently in the dielectric spectrum and broadens the dispersion, due to the large dipole moment of the epoxy group (see Fig. 4 of [842]). The actual width of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation dispersion is narrower than indicated by the product of  $(1 - \alpha_1)$  and  $\beta_1$ . On the other hand, secondary relaxation makes no contribution visible in the susceptibility spectrum from DLS.

A quantitative explanation of the decoupling of DLS from viscosity and dielectric relaxation has been given by the CM [270].

(e) From molecular dynamics simulation of an equimolar mixture of Gav-Berne ellipsoids of revolution and Lennard-Jones spheres: Chakrabarti and Bagchi (CB) [274], performed molecular dynamics simulation of an equimolar mixture of interacting Gay-Berne ellipsoids of revolution and Lennard-Jones spheres along an isochore at a series of temperatures down to the deeply supercooled state. This work is a natural extension of the simulations of the binary mixtures of Lennard-Jones spheres [265], and allow for the study of rotational relaxation. For this system with orientational degrees of freedom, CB obtains for the ellipsoids of revolution the self intermediate scattering function  $F_{s}(k_{max}, t)$ , and the first and second rank single particle orientational time correlation functions,  $C_1(t)$  and  $C_2(t)$ . The latter two are given by  $C_l(t) = \langle \sum_i P_l(\vec{e}_i(t) \cdot \vec{e}_i(0)) \rangle / \langle \sum_i P_l(\vec{e}_i(0) \cdot \vec{e}_i(0)) \rangle$ . Here  $\vec{e}_i$  is the unit vector along the long axis of the ellipsoid of revolution *i*,  $P_l$  is the *l*th order Legendre polynomial, and the angular brackets stand for ensemble averaging. All three functions have time dependences well described by the Kohlrausch form at all temperatures. The Kohlrausch correlation time and exponent are designated by  $\tau_D(T)$  and  $\beta_D(T) \equiv$  $(1 - n_D(T))$ , respectively, for  $F_s(k_{\max}, t)$ ;  $\tau_1(T)$  and  $\beta_1(T) \equiv (1 - n_1(T))$ , respectively, for  $C_1(t)$ ; and  $\tau_2(T)$  and  $\beta_2(T) \equiv (1 - n_2(T))$  respectively, for  $C_2(t)$ . The translational diffusion coefficient  $D_t$  was obtained from  $F_{\rm s}(k_{\rm max}, t)$  in the usual way. At high temperatures (T > 1.0 in LJ units), the ratio  $\tau_1(T)/\tau_2(T)$  has a value close to 3 as predicted by the Debye model, and  $F_{s}(k_{max}, t)$ ,  $C_{1}(t)$ , and  $C_{2}(t)$  have exponential or nearly exponential time dependence. On decreasing T starting at  $T \approx 1.0$ ,  $\tau_1(T)/\tau_2(T)$ decreases monotonically until it reaches a value about unity at  $T \approx 0.5$ , meanwhile the time dependences of all three functions deviate increasingly from exponential as temperature falls. Hence, the departure from the Debye model is correlated with non-exponentiality. But this cannot be explained by the spatial heterogeneous model because both  $C_1(t)$  and  $C_2(t)$  are local process, for the same reason as in the cases discussed above in (b) for polyethylene simulation and (d) for DGEBA experiments. However, this is consistent with the emergence and progressive increase of many-body relaxation with falling temperature, as can be seen from the temperature dependences of  $n_D(T)$ ,  $n_1(T)$ , and  $n_2(T)$  in Fig. 88. It can be seen from the figure that all of them are very close to zero at high temperatures and increase as temperature falls.

At high temperatures,  $0.7 < T \leq 2.0$ ,  $n_D(T)$  and  $n_2(T)$  are both smaller and nearly the same, and the product  $D_t(T)\tau_2(T)$  is nearly independent of temperature as predicted by the DSE relation. However, as temperature is falling below T = 0.7,  $n_D(T)$  and  $n_2(T)$  increase rapidly and  $n_D(T)$  becomes increasingly less than  $n_2(T)$  (see Fig. 88 left panel). Simultaneously, the product  $D_t(T)\tau_2(T)$  increases rapidly from its nearly constant value at high temperature by a factor of about 2



**Fig. 88** *Left panel*: The values of  $n_D(T)$ ,  $n_1(T)$ , and  $n_2(T)$  obtained by Chakrabarti and Bagchi [274] replotted. Reproduced from [275] by permission. *Right panel*: The product of the translational diffusion coefficient  $D_t^E$  and the second-rank rotational correlation time  $\tau_2$  for the ellipsoids of revolution as a function of temperature. The *inset* shows the inverse temperature dependence of the logarithm of  $\tau_2$  (*filled circles*). On a different scale (appearing on the *right* of the inset) shown is the inverse temperature dependence of the logarithm of the shear viscosity (*squares*). The *solid and dashed lines* are the respective Arrhenius fits to data over a restricted temperature range. Reproduced from [274] by permission

from T = 0.7 down to T = 0.5 (see Fig. 88 right panel), i.e.,  $[D_t \tau_2(T = 0.5)/(D_t \tau_2)_{\text{high}T}] \approx 2$ . Thus, enhancement of translation over rotation described by  $C_2(t)$  is observed as found by FRAP and NMR experiments for probes in glassformers (compare Fig. 88 with Fig. 85). The advantage of the simulation over experiments is availability of  $n_{\rm D}(T)$ , which enables the conclusion that the departure from the DSE relation is correlated with  $n_2(T)$  being larger than  $n_D(T)$ , and support the alternative explanation of the breakdown of the DSE relation originating from  $n_{\rm D}(T)$  becoming smaller than  $n_2(T)$  [268]. CB also obtained the viscosity  $\eta$  from their simulations, and they found the fractional power law dependence  $D_{\rm t} \propto \eta^{-\xi}$ , with  $\xi = 0.75$  for the ellipsoids of revolution. The results of CB restated so far in this paragraph are consistent with the spatial heterogeneous model. However, the observed much weaker temperature dependence behavior of  $D_t(T)/\tau_2(T)$  contradicts the explanation for the decoupling between rotational and translational diffusion in terms of dynamical heterogeneity. Since the ratio  $\tau_1(T)/\tau_2(T)$  decreases by a factor of 3 when temperature falls down to T = 0.5 while  $D_t(T)\tau_2(T)$ increases by only a factor of 2 (see Fig. 88), one may even be led to conclude that  $[D_t \tau_1(T = 0.5)/(D_t \tau_1)_{highT}] \approx 0.7$ . Either one can say
that  $D_t(T)\tau_1(T)$  exhibits no breakdown of the DSE relation or there is a breakdown of the relation but in the opposite direction of  $D_t(T)\tau_2(T)$ . It is worthwhile to point out that  $n_1(T)$ ,  $n_2(T)$ , and  $n_D(T)$  all have different values at lower temperatures, and  $n_1(T)$  is less than  $n_D(T)$  (see Fig. 88) opposite to the relation between  $n_2(T)$  and  $n_D(T)$ . Therefore,  $[D_t\tau_1(T = 0.5)/(D_t\tau_1)_{highT}] \approx 0.7$  as found is also consistent with the CM because  $n_1(T) < n_D(T)$ .

CB found the ratio  $\tau_1(T)/\tau_2(T)$  has a value close to 3 at high temperatures when both  $n_1(T)$  and  $n_2(T)$  are small as expected for the ratio of the first to second rank rotational correlation times of the Debye model involving small steps in orientational motion. The ratio starts to decrease monotonically at  $T \approx 1.0$  until it reaches a value nearly unity at low temperatures of  $T \approx 0.5$ . Values of  $\tau_1(T)/\tau_2(T)$  larger than 3 was observed in molecular dynamics simulations of a model polymer [79] and discussed in Section (b) before. The deviation from the normal value of 3 is in opposite direction to that found by CB in their system, but interestingly in the polymer case,  $n_1(T)$  is larger than  $n_2(T)$ . For example, in one polymer chain of length 500 at 300 K,  $\beta_1 \equiv (1 - n_1) = 0.399$ and  $\tau_1 = 94.7$  ps, while  $\beta_2 \equiv (1 - n_2) = 0.498$  and  $\tau_2 = 9.79$ ps. The polymer result that  $\tau_1/\tau_2$  is more than three times larger than 3 was explained by the CM by the fact that  $n_1$  is larger than  $n_2(T)$  [272]. The CM can also explain the result when  $\tau_1/\tau_2$  is less than 3 in the system simulated by CB because here  $n_1$  is smaller than  $n_2$  at lower temperatures.

(f) From other systems: Before closing discussion on this subject, it is worth mentioning that this general phenomenon is found not only for structural relaxation in molecular glass-forming systems, but also for different correlations functions of other systems. Here we cite two examples, which have been discussed in Section 2.2.1 for a different purpose. One is the motion of ions in crystalline and glassy ionic conductors. Different experimental measurements using different techniques (e.g., NMR spin-lattice relaxation vs. conductivity relaxation) have obtained different relaxation times, activation energies [279–290] as well as different stretch exponents in the Kohlrausch correlation functions. For spin-lattice relaxation, its Kohlrausch correlation function is more non-exponential (larger  $n_{SLR}$  than  $n_{\sigma}$ ), its relaxation time  $\tau_{SLR}$  is longer and has higher activation energy  $E_{SLR}$  than the corresponding quantities  $\tau_{\sigma}$  and  $E_{\sigma}$  of conductivity relaxation. The difference between  $\tau_{SLR}$  and  $\tau_{\sigma}$  as well as that between their activation energies  $E_{\rm SLR}$  and  $E_{\sigma}$  have been explained quantitatively by the CM equation [291–294].

The other is the study by Bonn and Kegel [278] of the frequency dependence of the diffusion coefficient and viscosity of concentrated hard-sphere colloidal suspensions. Expressing all quantities in reduced units, their frequency-dependent Stokes–Einstein relation was given by  $\eta(\omega) = 1/D(\omega)$ . In the low-frequency or long-time regime, significant deviations

from this relation were observed. The values of the inverse viscosity are significantly smaller than the values of the diffusion coefficients, and the SE relation is violated. Moreover, on comparing the frequency dependence of  $1/\eta(\omega)$  with that of  $D(\omega)$  in Fig. 2 of the paper by Bonn and Kegel [278], it is clear that the frequency dispersion of  $1/\eta(\omega)$  is broader than that of  $D(\omega)$ . Thus, for the colloidal hard spheres we have experimental evidence to support that  $n_D$  is smaller than  $n_\eta$ , like in the MD simulation discussed above in (e). Although the metallic glass,  $Zr_{46.7}Ti_{8.3}Cu_{7.5}Ni_{10}Be_{27.5}$ , is different, its viscosity and the diffusion coefficient of beryllium are analogues of  $\eta$  and D of colloidal suspensions, and similar breakdown of the SE relation was observed in the supercooled liquid state [812a]. The explanation of the breakdown of SE relation in colloidal suspensions, by  $n_D$  being smaller than  $n_\eta$ , may apply to the case of metallic glass.

(g) Inference from radiotracer diffusivities in a  $Pd_{43}Cu_{27}Ni_{10}P_{20}$  melt [812(b)]: Radiotracer diffusivities of all components in a Pd<sub>43</sub>Cu<sub>27</sub>Ni<sub>10</sub>P<sub>20</sub> melt were measured over extended temperature range from near  $T_g = 582 \text{ K}$ and up to nearly 1000 K for some components [812(b)]. Two important observations were made by the authors, Bartsch et al. First, for Pd, the Stokes-Einstein relation holds in the whole range investigated encompassing more than 14 orders of magnitude, while the SE relation breaks down for the smaller components. Second, a large decoupling of more than 4 orders of magnitude is observed between the diffusivity of the slowest Pd and of the smaller components (Cu, Ni, P, and added tracer amount of Cr), at the glass transition temperature  $T_g$ , but the decouplings decrease on increasing temperature and vanishes at  $T_c$  or  $T_B \approx 710$  K. In commenting on the breakdown of SE relation and explanation of it in the literature, Bartsch et al. mentioned only that based on dynamical heterogeneities. In their own words, the explanation is "just a consequence of the effect of the broadening of the distribution of mobilities and the different ways transport and relaxation sample that distribution...." Apparently they are not aware of the contradiction of this dynamical heterogeneities' explanation with invariance of the  $\alpha$ -dispersion in the entire temperature range from  $T_{\rm B}$  down to  $T_{\rm g}$  in TNB, OTP, and sucrose benzoate from shear mechanical [743, 744], light scattering [820], dielectric relaxation [455, 457], and NMR [821] measurements.

Interestingly, their observation of no breakdown of SE relation over at least 14 orders of magnitude for the slow Pd component offers another opportunity to show that the explanation of the breakdown of SE relation cannot be based on dynamical heterogeneities. The slowest Pd component is the determining factor for viscosity, and its motion has to be still heterogeneous. Homogeneous motion of Pd atoms in response to mechanical shear would be inconceivable although one cannot prove that it is impossible without direct experimental evidence. Bartsch et al. also stated that "... viscous flow requires rearrangement of the Pd subsystem," and rearrangement cannot be homogeneous relaxation. It is also difficult to reconcile homogeneous relaxation with the stretched exponential time dependence of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation observed by neutron scattering in the same system [812(c)] and in mechanical relaxation [957]. If indeed the dynamics of Pd is heterogeneous, from the belief of the connection between the breakdown of the SE relation and dynamic heterogeneities [812(d), 449–454] it follows that breakdown of the SE relation for the Pd component has to occur. However, Bartsch et al. reported that it does not occur for the Pd component over 14 orders of magnitude. I was told that this report had led some colleague, who firmly and correctly believes dynamic heterogeneity is universal and hence necessarily causes the breakdown of the SE relation even for Pd, to express his doubts on the experimental data of Pd from Bartsch et al. There should be no doubt on the verity of the experimental data obtained meticulously by Bartsch et al. who are experts of using radiotracer technique to measure diffusivity. Therefore, observation of Pd obeying the SE law by Bartsch et al. contradicts the necessary consequence of the heterogeneous dynamics explanation of the breakdown of the SE relation. This contradiction can be considered as yet another evidence showing that the heterogeneous dynamics explanation of the breakdown of the SE relation is untenable in general.

In the Pd<sub>43</sub>Cu<sub>27</sub>Ni<sub>10</sub>P<sub>20</sub> multicomponent system, the predominant metallic bonds have no fixed directions and hence no permanent relations among the components. Thus, the diffusion of each component has its own coupling parameter for the correlation function represented by Eq. (2.2.55) with  $\mu$  now used to label the components. The coupling parameters of the smaller components are smaller than the largest Pd majority component naturally because of lesser inter-atomic constraints. From the CM relation it follows that the diffusion coefficients of the smaller components have weaker T-dependence than that of Pd. Hence this difference between the T-dependence of the diffusivity of Pd and the diffusivities of smaller components explains their decoupling which starts near  $T_c$  or  $T_B \approx 710$  K and reaches more than 4 orders of magnitude at the glass transition temperature  $T_{\rm g} = 582$  K. After having explained the observed large decoupling in diffusivity of all the smaller components from Pd, it is easy to rationalize by the CM why the SE relation holds for Pd. From the much higher mobility of the smaller components than Pd inferred from their diffusivities, it is clear that viscosity of Pd<sub>43</sub>Cu<sub>27</sub>Ni<sub>10</sub>P<sub>20</sub> is principally determined by the least mobile Pd. Since atomic Pd is in effect a point particle, we do not expect any significant difference in the time dependence as well as the correlation time of its correlation functions for viscosity or diffusion. Thus, there is no difference in the coupling parameters of viscosity and diffusion of Pd, and hence no breakdown of the SE relation from the CM explanation. In contrast, OTP, TNB, and sucrose benzoate molecules have chemical

structures extending into space. The correlation function of the center of mass diffusion will differ from the correlation functions for rotation and viscosity, and hence breakdown of the SE relation in these molecular glassformers.

It is worthwhile to compare this CM explanation of the decoupling of diffusivities of the smaller components from Pd with that offered by Bartsch et al. They proposed that Pd atoms form a slow subsystem in the supercooled melt inside which the smaller elements carry out fast diffusion. This proposal is not much more than a paraphrase of the observed decoupling. This restatement of the fact, however, comes short of addressing the questions of why the diffusivity of Pd has a stronger *T*-dependence than the smaller components and why the slow Pd subsystem obeys the SE relation.

(h) Concluding remarks: The cases (a)–(g) given above have amply shown in general that different correlation functions of structural relaxation in glass-forming systems have different relaxation times as well as their dependences on temperature. Therefore the breakdown of the SE and the DSE relations are just special cases of this general phenomenon, when the consideration is limited to translational diffusion, rotational diffusion, and shear viscosity. The spatial heterogeneous dynamics model is exclusively constructed to explain the breakdown of SE and DSE relations, but even for this purpose the model is contradicted by some experimental data and simulations discussed above. The other examples of this general phenomenon not involving translation diffusion absolutely cannot be explained by the spatial heterogeneous dynamics model. To me, it is irrational to ignore that this is a general phenomenon requiring an all-purpose explanation, and instead holding on the spatial heterogeneous dynamics explanation for the breakdown of SE and DSE relations.

## 2.2.5.7 Changes Effected by Mixing with Another Glassformer

The properties of the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation of a glassformer A are modified in a miscible binary mixture by the presence of another glassformer B with large difference in mobility gauged by the difference in their  $T_{gs}$  (for a review and references to the literature, see [736]). On a fundamental level, the modifications are caused by several factors including the different intermolecular potential and chemical structures, possible reinforcement or mitigation of steric constraints, and change in occupied volume and entropy introduced by the partial replacement of A by B in the mixture. The frequency dispersion of susceptibility or time correlation function of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of component A in the mixture is modified by these factors. In the following, we discuss experimental data that show evidence that the change in dynamics of a component in mixtures is governed at least in part by the change of intermolecular coupling due to the presence of the other component. In those cases where broadening of dispersion by concentration fluctuations is unimportant, the component dynamics is governed by or correlated with the dispersion of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation or *n* of the same component in the mixture.

#### Component A Is More Mobile Than Component B

If molecules B are less mobile than molecules A in the mixture, the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of component A would be slowed down and its correlation function stretched to longer times by component B further than the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of pure glassformer A. This heuristic description suggests increasing stretching of the correlation function or broadening of the frequency dispersion of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of component A on increasing the concentration of component B. In the context of the coupling model (CM), this effect is due to increasing coupling parameter  $n_A$  by increasing intermolecular interactions/constraints imposed by the slower B molecules, which leads to increased stretching of the Kohlrausch correlation function,  $\phi_{\alpha A} = \exp[-(t/\tau_{\alpha A})^{1-n_A}]$ , by the decrease of the stretch exponent  $(1 - n_A)$  [739]. However, this is not the only source of broadening. Even if the two components are miscible, presence of concentration fluctuations also contributes to broadening. In the CM description, the concentration fluctuations engender a distribution of environments  $\{i\}$  and coupling parameters,  $\{n_{Ai}\}$ . The observed correlation function  $\phi_{\alpha A}$ is given by the sum  $\phi_{\alpha A}(t) = \sum_{i} p_i \phi_{Ai}(t)$ , where  $p_i$  is the normalized probability of environment *i* for the distribution,  $\varphi_{Ai}(t) = \exp[-(t/\tau_{Ai})^{1-n_{Ai}}]$ , and

$$\tau_{\rm Ai} = [t_{\rm c}^{-n_{\rm Ai}} \tau_{\rm 0A}]^{1/(1-n_{\rm Ai})}.$$
(2.56)

Here the primitive relaxation time  $\tau_{0A}$  is assumed the same for all *i*, but the possibility of a distribution of it cannot be excluded. The most probable relaxation time  $\hat{\tau}_{\alpha A}$  of A in the mixture can be obtained from Eq. (2.56) using the most probable  $\hat{n}_A$  in the distribution  $\{n_{Ai}\}$ :

$$\hat{\tau}_{\alpha A} = [t_{c}^{-\hat{n}_{A}} \tau_{0A}]^{1/(1-\hat{n}_{A})}.$$
(2.57)

By incorporating these distributions, a CM theory of component dynamics was proposed [739]. For earlier works, see [245, 734–736]. Nevertheless, for the uninitiated public, the broadening by concentration fluctuations is so palatable that it is difficult to ascertain from the observed broadening of dispersion of component A that it is at least partly caused by increased intermolecular coupling or  $n_{Ai}$ . There is help from results of studies over a wide composition range down to dilute concentration of A [672, 674, 677], where heterogeneous broadening by concentration fluctuations should diminish at low  $\phi_A$ , the concentration of component A, and vanish at dilute and certainly in the limit of probe concentrations when  $\phi_A \rightarrow 0$ . Dielectric measurements have been made on the  $\alpha$ -loss peak of picoline, quinaldine, or *tert*-butylpyridine serving as the low  $T_g$  component A at 5 wt% low concentration in mixtures with oligomers of styrene (B) having much higher  $T_g$ . The fact that the  $\alpha$ -loss peak of the A component in these low concentration mixtures being much broader than that of pure A with the same peak frequency is evidence for the broadening being due to increase of intermolecular coupling or  $n_A$ . The steepness or "fragility" index  $m_A$  determined from the temperature dependence of  $\hat{\tau}_{\alpha A}$  of some (with exception) of these mixtures shows monotonic decrease on decreasing  $\phi_A$ . The correlation between *m* and *n* should be reliable since the system is the same except for variation of concentration. Hence, the observed monotonic increase of  $m_A$  supports the corresponding increase of  $n_A$ .

Another example comes from the study of the much more mobile poly(ethylene oxide) (PEO) in mixtures with poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA). The miscible polymer blends PEO/PMMA contain 10, 20, and 30% hydrogenated PEO in deuterated PMMA. Quasielastic neutron scattering in combination with deuterium labeling enabled determination of the characteristic relaxation times of the PEO component A over spatial scales from 3 to 10 Å [312]. The intermediate scattering function  $F_s(Q,t)$  of pure PEO and hPEO in the blends can be found in Figs. 4 and 5. On decreasing  $\phi_A$ , the data show parallel increases of  $\tau_{\alpha A}$  and  $n_A$  of the Kohlrausch function,  $\exp[-(t/\tau_{\alpha A})^{1-n_A}]$ , employed to fit  $F_s(Q,t)$ . Concentration fluctuation is expected to decrease on decreasing  $\phi_A$  from 30% down to 10%. Therefore the observed increase of  $n_A$  must be due to increase in intermolecular coupling and is the cause of the concomitant increase of  $\tau_{\alpha A}$ .

When concentration fluctuations are present to contribute broadening of the isothermal dielectric loss peak, the broadening is more emphatic on the lowfrequency side, making it asymmetric and skew toward the low-frequency side [245, 845]. It is interesting to point out that the dielectric peak of neat A is not only narrower than in the mixture but also has the opposite skew asymmetry. The one-sided Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function, the Cole–Davidson and the HN functions, which give a reasonably good fit to the loss peak of most neat glass-forming liquids, are asymmetric but all skew toward high frequencies. Thus, the opposite skew asymmetry can be taken as indicator of concentration fluctuations. The loss peak becomes progressively broader and more skew asymmetric toward low frequencies as concentration of B is increased. This is observed in PVME [845] or bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate [245] acting as A in mixtures with polystyrene acting as B. These properties can be derived from the CM [245, 734-736, 739] from a normal symmetric distribution of  $\{n_{Ai}\}$  with the magnitude of  $n_{Ai}$  increasing with concentration of B to reflect increased stretching of the correlation functions  $\varphi_{Ai}(t)$ and slowing down of A. The relation between  $\tau_{Ai}$  and  $n_{Ai}$  in Eq. (2.56) is non-linear, and larger shift of  $\tau_{Ai}$  with change in temperature of  $\tau_{0A}$  occurs for larger  $n_{Ai}$ . These two properties of the CM account for the asymmetric broadening of the component A loss peak skewing toward low frequencies, and the change of its shape of the dispersion with temperature (i.e., breakdown of frequency-temperature superposition of spectra). These features are commonly experimentally observed in mixtures where concentration fluctuations are non-negligible.

The reader may wonder why the dielectric spectra of these mixtures are not shown here. The reason is the existence of yet another experimental fact coming from the correlation between  $n_A$  and the ratio,  $\tau_{\alpha A}/\tau_{\beta A}$  or  $\tau_{\alpha A}/\tau_{0 A}$ , where  $\tau_{\beta A}$  is the relaxation time of the so-called Johari–Goldstein secondary relaxation of A.

Increase of  $\tau_{\alpha A}/\tau_{\beta A}$  or  $\tau_{\alpha A}/\tau_{0 A}$  on decreasing  $\phi_A$  was indeed observed in many mixtures and polymer blends, and thus the correlation gives another support of corresponding monotonic increase of  $n_A$ . This empirical correlation between  $n_A$  and  $\tau_{\alpha A}/\tau_{\beta A}$  is a natural consequence of the CM, and is the subject of detailed discussion in the next section. For this reason, we defer presentation of the data showing changes of the dynamics of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation discussed here to Section 2.3 in conjunction with the presentation of the correlation between  $n_A$  and  $\tau_{\alpha A}/\tau_{\beta A}$  or  $\tau_{\alpha A}/\tau_{0 A}$ .

#### Probe Dynamics ( $\phi_A \rightarrow 0$ )

At very low concentrations,  $\phi_A$ , the component A is reduced to the role of a probe. Each probe molecule experiences the same environment, which eliminates complications from concentration fluctuations. Although not necessary, it is certainly desirable for a model of component dynamics of mixtures to have valid predictions for this limiting case. Since the crux of other models of component dynamics of mixtures [731, 732, 846, 847] is concentration fluctuations or self concentration [733], they cannot address probe molecule dynamics. The CM description is unique in having incorporated, in addition to concentration fluctuations, the essential physics of intermolecular coupling and its change on mixing, which are applicable at all concentrations. At probe concentration of A (i.e.,  $\phi_A \rightarrow 0$ ), concentration fluctuation vanishes and self concentration has no meaning, leaving only intermolecular coupling as the sole controlling factor of the dynamics of the probe A molecule. Thus, for probe molecules A dispersed in host B, a *single* coupling parameter  $n_A$ characterizes the degree of intermolecular coupling/constraint imposed on the rotation or translation of the probe. The magnitude of  $n_A$  may depend on the chemical structures of the probe and the host, but more importantly on the mobility difference between A and B. A practical measure of the latter is the ratio,  $\tau_c/\tau_{\alpha}$ , where  $\tau_c$  is the rotation correlation time of probe A in the host B and  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is the  $\alpha$ -relaxation time of the host B. According to the CM, the larger the ratio of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  to  $\tau_{c}$ , the larger is the intermolecular coupling and stretching of the correlation function of the probe to longer times, and hence a larger  $n_A$  for the probe molecule [268]. In the absence of concentration fluctuations, the predicted correlation function of the probe rotation is just the Kohlrausch function,  $exp[-(t/\tau_c)^{1-n_A}]$ . Indeed, this correlation function is found [268] from experimental data of probe rotation experiments [793-796], as mentioned before in Section 2.2.5.6 on the breakdown of DSE relation. The coupling parameter  $n_{\rm A}$  can be determined directly from the measured probe rotational correlation function, and the prediction of its increase with the ratio,  $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{c}$ , can be falsified or verified. The data collectively presented in Fig. 86 show that  $n_{\rm A}$  increases in the predicted way with increasing  $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{\rm c}$ . These results from probe dynamics experiments provide the strongest support for an important physics for component dynamics in mixtures and polymer blends incorporated into the CM, which is the role played by intermolecular coupling and its change on varying the composition.

## Component A Is Less Mobile Than Component B

The situation here is opposite to that discussed above. In this opposite case, the more mobile B molecules of the mixture mitigate the intermolecular coupling/constraints of molecular motions in the relaxation originating from the component A. Hence the CM expects a decrease of  $\{n_{Ai}\}$ , and the decrease contributes narrowing of the dispersion, which counters the broadening by concentration fluctuations. If the  $\alpha$ -relaxation originating from A in the mixture is observed not broader than in pure A, it may be considered as direct evidence of the narrowing effect by decrease of  $\{n_{Ai}\}$  and the average  $\hat{n}_A$ . An indirect evidence is the decrease of steepness or "fragility" index  $m_A$  determined from the  $T_{gA}$ -scaled temperature dependence of  $\hat{\tau}_{\alpha A}$  on decreasing  $\phi_A$ , from which the decrease of  $\hat{n}_A$  follows from the correlation between  $m_A$  and  $\hat{n}_A$ . These effects were seen experimentally in some mixtures to be discussed below. In some of the following examples, the frequency dispersion of the loss peak of A in the mixture does not show broadening that is skew toward the low-frequency side, which can be taken as evidence that concentration fluctuations are not important.

## Effect of Low $T_g$ Diluent (B) on Local Segmental Relaxation of Polymer (A)

A good example can be taken from the dielectric study of the local segmental relaxation of poly(vinyl chloride) (PVC) diluted with tetrahydrofuran (THF) by Adachi and Ishida [848]. Tg from differential thermal analysis was found to agree with the dielectric one, defined as the temperature at which the dielectric relaxation time of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of the PVC component reaches 100 s, and it is 349, 275, 195, 166 K, respectively, for the four concentrated solutions with 100, 84, 51, and 38 wt% of PVC studied. The presence of the low-frequency conductivity relaxation in dielectric response hampers the normal procedure of determining the coupling parameter  $\hat{n}_A$  by fitting the loss peak by the Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function. Notwithstanding, Adachi and Ishida resorted to an analysis of the values of  $2\varepsilon''(\max)/\Delta\varepsilon$  for the  $\alpha$ -relaxation to determine its dispersion for each solution. Here  $\varepsilon''(\max)$  denotes the maximum value of the dielectric loss and  $\Delta \varepsilon = (\varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon_\infty)$ is the relaxation strength determined from a Cole–Cole plot. As is well known, the ratio  $2\varepsilon''(\max)/\Delta\varepsilon$  is unity if the relaxation is a Debye process having  $\exp(-t/\tau)$ as the correlation function, and the ratio decreases monotonically with increasing width of the dispersion. Adachi and Ishida found the ratio decreases, and hence the width of the dispersion increases, with increasing PVC concentration. By evaluating  $2\varepsilon''(\max)/\Delta\varepsilon$  from the  $\varepsilon^*(\nu)$  obtained from one-sided Fourier transforms of the Kohlrausch functions and comparing with the experimental values of Adachi and Ishida, the  $\hat{n}_A$  of the four concentrated PVC solutions were deduced [849], and the results show increasing reduction of  $\hat{n}_A$  of PVC on increasing concentration of the diluent THF. Data of  $\alpha$ -relaxation of PVC at dilute concentrations down to weight fraction of 0.059 shows  $\tau_{\alpha}$  has Arrhenius T-dependence with activation energy of about 5 kcal/mol corresponding to the energy barrier of local conformational transition, suggesting that intermolecular coupling is drastically reduced at

dilute concentrations. The many-body nature of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation is removed, and conformational energy barrier of PVC of about 5 kcal/mol governs the relaxation rate.

## 0.1 Molar Fraction of Bromoethylbenzene in Ethylbenzene

Pure ethylbenzene (EBz) has  $T_g$  20 degrees lower than bromoethylbenzene (BrEBz). By dielectric relaxation measurements, the loss peak of 0.1 molar fraction of polar BrEBz in mixture with the apolar host EBz is slightly narrower than that of pure BrEBz [850].

#### 50% Poly(methyl methacrylate) Plasticized by tri-m-Cresyl Phosphate

Study by 2H-NMR of the dynamics of poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA,  $T_g = 381$  K) plasticized with tri-*m*-cresyl phosphate (TCP,  $T_g = 205$  K) at a TCP concentration of 50% (w/w) [851] found the dispersion of the segmental  $\alpha$ -relaxation of the slow PMMA remains essentially unchanged upon addition of the plasticizer TCP, despite a large decrease in the correlation time. The result indicates that concentration fluctuations are not dominant, otherwise the dispersion of PMMA would be much broader, and skew toward low frequencies.

It is also found that faster TCP molecules reorient isotropically even in a rigid polymer matrix at temperatures well below the glass transition of the plasticized PMMA, and the dynamics of TCP is heterogeneous but transient in nature. The orientational correlation functions of TCP change from Kohlrausch decay with  $n_B \approx 0.40$  for pure TCP to extremely broad and quasi-logarithmic decay in the mixture. Since concentration fluctuations are reciprocal for both components and not overwhelming for the PMMA component, this dramatic broadening of the dispersion of TCP cannot be due solely to concentration fluctuations. The increase of intermolecular coupling and  $\{n_{Bi}\}$  of TCP by the presence of the much slower PMMA seems to be crucial for the observed effect.

## 2% Polystyrene in Poly(vinylmethylether)

The segmental  $\alpha$ -dynamics of pure backbone-deuterated polystyrenes with varying molecular weights (1.7–67 kg/mol, 331  $\leq T_g \leq$  376 K) as well as 2% of it in blends with poly(vinylmethylether) (PVME,  $M_n =$  48.5 kg/mol,  $T_g =$  250 K) was measured by 2H-NMR spin-lattice relaxation at 15 and 77 MHz [852]. For all molecular weights, the relaxation time of PS becomes shorter by several orders of magnitude when mixed with PVME, but the stretch exponent  $\beta$  of the Kohlrausch correlation function of 2% PS in PVME within errors is essentially the same as that of pure PS, indicating the width of the dispersion is effectively unchanged, and broadening by concentration fluctuations is countered by narrowing due to reduction of intermolecular coupling by the presence of the fast PVME host.

## Poly(methyl methacrylate) in Blends with Poly(ethylene oxide)

There is no reliable dispersion data of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of the PMMA component in blends with poly(ethylene oxide) (PEO,  $T_g = 214$  K) to compare with pure PMMA. Nevertheless, the ratio,  $\tau_{\alpha A}/\tau_{\beta A}$ , was observed to decrease on addition of PEO. This will be shown in Section 2.3. Therefore the correlation between  $n_A$  and the ratio,  $\tau_{\alpha A}/\tau_{\beta A}$  (also to be established in Section 2.3), can be used to deduce that  $\{n_{Ai}\}$  of PMMA is decreased by the presence of the more mobile PEO.

## Sorbitol in Mixtures with Glycerol

The same situation as in the previous paragraph was found for sorbitol in mixtures with the more mobile glycerol [853], and the same conclusion can be reached by the correlation between  $n_A$  and the ratio,  $\tau_{\alpha A}/\tau_{\beta A}$  [737]. In many other mixtures including those discussed, the steepness index  $m_A$  of the slower component decreases on increasing the concentration of the faster component A, and hence the correlation between *n* and *m* can be used to deduce the corresponding decrease of  $\{n_{Ai}\}$ .

## Molecular Dynamics Simulations

Bedrov and Smith [80] performed molecular dynamics simulations of model miscible polymer blends consisting of chemically realistic 1,4-polybutadiene (CR-PBD) as the slow component (higher  $T_g$ ) and PBD chains with reduced dihedral barriers as the fast component (LB-PBD) with lower  $T_g$ . The simulation data also provide information on the slower CR-PBD component in the blend. The  $\alpha$ -relaxation time of the slower CR-PBD component becomes shorter, and interestingly its frequency dispersion becomes *narrower* when compared with neat CR-PBD. At low LB-PBD content in the blend, broadening of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation by concentration fluctuations is not that important, and hence the narrowing caused by reduction of the coupling parameter of CR-PBD dominates, and it shows up in the simulation data of Bedrov and Smith. Consistency of this feature of the simulation result with prediction of the CM on relaxation on the slower component was pointed out in [854].

#### Anomalous Component Dynamics in Polymer Blends

If the intention is to solve the fundamental problem of many-body relaxation and its specialization to glass transition, anomalies found are useful guides to the right approach and help to differentiate theories. This is in accord with the opinion offered by Crick [161] and Andersen [160] and discussed before in Section 1.4.2. Several anomalies of component dynamics found in blend and mixtures are highlighted here for this purpose as well as to show different attitude of others who either knowingly or unknowingly ignore these anomalies.

# The Unusual Component Dynamics in Poly(ethylene oxide)/Poly(methyl methacrylate) Blends as Probed by Deuterium NMR

One example is  $\tau_{seg}(\phi, T)$  or  $\tau_{0A}$  of poly(ethylene oxide) (PEO,  $T_g = 214$  K) in blends with poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA,  $T_g = 391$  K), x% PEO–(1-x)% PMMA, for x = 0.5, 3, 6, 10, 20, 30, and neat PEO by deuteron NMR [855]. It was found and shown in the left panel of Fig. 89 that  $\tau_{seg}$  of the PEO component



Fig. 89 Left panel: The segmental relaxation times for PEO neat (bold solid line) and in blends with PMMA (dashed lines) containing 3-30% PEO (from top to bottom) taken from the VFTH fits of [855]. The most probable relaxation times are also shown, as calculated from the CM equation for the lower (O,  $\hat{n} = 0.76$ ), mid-range ( $\Box$ ,  $\hat{n} = 0.75$ ), and higher concentrations of PEO ( $\Delta$ ,  $\hat{n} =$ (0.715), respectively. Also shown is the independent relaxation time for PEO (dotted line, using n =0.5), which lies close to the characteristic time,  $t_c = 2$  ps. Similar results are shown for PI neat (*bold* solid line) and in blends with PVE containing 25-75% PI (labeled thinner lines), together with the calculated  $\tau_{seg}$  for 25% ( $\mathbf{V}$ ) and 50% ( $\mathbf{I}$ ) compositions. Note the independent relaxation time for PI (dotted line) is four or more decades longer than  $t_c$ . Similar results are included for PVME neat (bold solid line) and blended with PS (dashed lines), along with the calculated curves for 55% () and 65% (\*) PVME compositions. For PVME,  $\tau_0$  (not shown because it overlaps the PEO data) is also much larger than  $t_c$  in the temperature range where we calculate  $\tau_{seg}$ . Reproduced from [738] with permission. Right panel: Comparison between the temperature dependences of the segmental relaxation time for (1) the PEO homopolymer (thin dashed-dotted line), and (2) 3% of PEO in PMMA (thick solid line) with that of (3) the dielectric segmental relaxation time of PMMA (thin dashed line), (4) the terminal relaxation time of PMMA from Zawada et al. shifted downward by 9.1 decades (open circles), (5) the shift factor of the softening dispersion of PMMA given by shear creep measurements shifted vertically downward by 0.82 decade to coincide with the dielectric segmental relaxation time at the highest temperature (thick dashed line), and (6) the monomeric friction factor of the PEO tracers in PMMA matrix from Haley and Lodge (continuous thick line). The inset shows the same data of (1), (2), (3), and (5) plotted against reciprocal temperature. Reproduced from [876] with permission

is nearly composition-independent over the entire composition range from 0.5 to 30% PEO, and is retarded by less than one order of magnitude than  $\tau_{seg}$  of pure PEO over a wide temperature range extending to well below the glass transition of the PMMA matrix, where the segmental relaxation times of PMMA are about 12 orders of magnitude greater than the fast PEO relaxation times. Similar behavior of  $\tau_{seg}$  of polyisoprene (PI) in blends with poly(vinylethylene) (PVE) [856] and PEO in blends with poly(vinyl acetate) (PVAc) [857] was found by the same technique. Ouasielastic neutron scattering [858] performed on a 50% PI/50% PVE blend at high frequencies also found that  $\tau_{seg}$  of PI is barely affected by blending with PVE. Thus, this anomalous property is general, and cannot be explained by most models. These observations at high frequencies are unusual when compared with the component segmental dynamics of other miscible polymer blends measured at much lower frequencies by dielectric spectroscopy or by another kind of deuteron NMR technique [738, 859]. Shown in the same figure are data of  $\tau_{seg}$  of the component PI in blends with PVE and PVME in blends with PS taken at much lower frequencies, which clearly show for PI and PVME large change of  $\tau_{seg}$  with composition of the blends, conforming to normal behavior. So far only the CM has given an explanation of the anomaly observed at high frequencies [738]. The cause of this unusual behavior of  $\tau_{seg}$  of the PEO component is due to the high frequencies (31– 76 MHz) used in the NMR measurements, resulting in the primitive relaxation times  $\tau_{0A}$  of the PEO component that are short and not much longer than the crossover time  $t_c \approx 2$  ps of the CM (see Fig. 89). Consequently,  $\tau_{seg}$  or  $\hat{\tau}_A$  calculated by Eq. (2.57) does not change much with change of  $\hat{n}_A$  on varying composition [738]. This CM explanation of the unusual short-time segmental dynamics of PEO in the PEO/PMMA blends also applies to the similar short-time dynamics of PI in PI/PVE blends probed by quasielastic neutron scattering [858].

More recently, Haley and Lodge [861] measured the tracer diffusion coefficient of 0.3% unentangled PEO (M = 1000 g/mol) in a matrix of PMMA  $(M = 10^4 \text{ g/mol})$  over a temperature range from 125 to 220°C by forced Rayleigh scattering. Also measured are the dynamic viscosities of blends of two different high molecular weight PEO tracers (M = 440,000 and 900,000 g/mol) in the same PMMA matrix at temperatures ranging from 160 to 220°C. The monomeric friction factors determined by diffusion and rheology were in good agreement. Surprisingly to them, the monomeric friction factors of the PEO tracer diffusion in the PMMA matrix are much greater than that of the segmental dynamics of PEO ( $M_{\rm w} = 1.25 \times 10^5$  g/mol) at concentrations of 0.5 and 3% in a matrix of PMMA ( $M_{\rm w} = 1.06 \times 10^5$  g/mol) measured by Lutz et al. [855] using NMR at high frequencies from 31 to 76 MHz. The difference is about two orders of magnitude at 220°C and increases with decreasing temperature to more than six orders of magnitude at 125°C, as can be seen in the right panel of Fig. 89. Hence, in the PMMA matrix, the PEO tracer terminal relaxation time is a much stronger function of temperature than the corresponding PEO segmental relaxation time obtained by high-frequency NMR. The much stronger temperature dependence of the PEO chain diffusion relaxation time than PEO segmental dynamics is not unique to PEO

tracer in PMMA matrix. Lutz et al. [855] also found that in the 20% PEO/80% PMMA blend by comparing the terminal relaxation time of PEO in this blend [861] to its segmental dynamics obtained by high-frequency NMR in a common temperature region. The temperature dependence of terminal relaxation time  $\tau_{\text{terminal}}$  of PEO in the 20% PEO/80% PMMA blend is much stronger than  $\tau_{\text{seg}}$  of segmental dynamics.

The same effect was found in another blend of 2% PEO with PVAc. The segmental  $\tau_{seg}$  of PEO in this blend with PVAc measured by NMR at high frequencies of 15.6 and 76.7 MHz shows weaker temperature dependence than the terminal  $\tau_{terminal}$  of PEO in the same blend [857].

Conventional theories of polymer viscoelasticity have the same monomeric friction factors and temperature dependence for segmental and global dynamics [29]. The same holds for polymer blend theories that follow this traditional approach including that of [733, 862]. Hence, the observed anomalous behavior of PEO in PMMA matrices either defies explanation by these polymer blend models. The malady of these models is that they are extensions of conventional theories of viscoelasticity of neat polymers which have not taken into account the many-body relaxation dynamics of local segmental motion, and cannot explain even the properties of segmental relaxation of neat polymers [165–168, 170–173]. In fact, in neat polymer, the temperature dependences of  $\tau_{\text{terminal}}$  (whether unentangled or entangled) and  $\tau_{seg}$  (obtained by low-frequency mechanical or dielectric relaxation) are different, and this so-called "breakdown of thermorheological simplicity" problem [29, 165, 171, 172, 201–203, 360, 837, 863–875] cannot be resolved by conventional viscoelasticity theory including the reptation model. Through the coupling parameter  $n_{\alpha}$  which accounts for many-body relaxation dynamics of the local segmental motion, the breakdown of thermorheological simplicity has been explained by the CM [201–203, 360, 837, 868–872].

It is interesting to note that data of  $\tau_{\text{terminal}}$  and  $\tau_{\text{seg}}$  of neat polymers taken at low temperatures/longer times show  $\tau_{seg}$  usually has a stronger dependence than  $\tau_{\text{terminal}}$  [29, 165–168, 170, 171, 837, 863]. This relation between the temperature dependences of  $\tau_{\text{terminal}}$  and  $\tau_{\text{seg}}$  of pure polymers is opposite to that for PEO in blends with PMMA or PVAc found at high temperatures/short times (see Fig. 89, right panel). The opposite directions of the two anomalies found in pure polymer and in blends make explanation of both from a single theory even more challenging, but has been achieved by the CM as demonstrated next. The CM resolved the anomaly of PEO in blends with PMMA or PVAc by combining the explanation of the segmental relaxation of the PEO component in PEO/PMMA blends at high frequencies [738] and the consideration of the data of diffusion of tracer PEO in PMMA given before in [275] and as follows. The diffusion of a tracer PEO chain in PMMA matrix involves global motion which is different from the local segmental relaxation in nature and length scale of the dynamics. The tracer PEO chain cannot diffuse without some motion of the matrix PMMA chains. Thus, the temperature dependence of the diffusion coefficient or the monomeric friction coefficient of the PEO tracer chain is determined also by the motion of the matrix PMMA

chains. The length scale of the PMMA motion necessary for the PEO tracer to diffuse depends on the molecular weight of the PEO tracer. In Fig. 89 (right panel) we reproduce for high molecular weight PMMA its dielectric segmental relaxation time, the shift factor of the Rouse dynamics in the softening dispersion from 114 to 189°C, and the shift factor of the entangled terminal dispersion from 176 to 212°C. All these PMMA shift factors have much stronger temperature dependence than the segmental relaxation time of 0.5 and 3% PEO in PMMA matrix measured by high-frequency NMR [855]. For the low molecular weight unentangled PEO tracer used by Haley and Lodge [861], the shift factors of the PEO tracer diffusion coefficient may be closer to that of the Rouse dynamics of the PMMA matrix given by Plazek and coworkers. It could be accidental, but nevertheless remarkable, that this Rouse dynamics shift factors of PMMA changes by about the same orders of magnitude as the PEO tracer diffusion coefficient over the same temperature range as shown in Fig. 89. Exact agreement is not expected between the temperature dependence of the PEO tracer diffusion coefficient and any of the shift factors of pure PMMA shown in Fig. 89. Thus, the data of diffusion of tracer PEO in PMMA [861] and hence the difference in the temperature dependence over a common temperature range from 125 to 220°C of its monomeric friction factor from that of  $\tau_{seg}$ of PEO (measured at high frequencies) are explained [876]. This explanation was adopted and used by Zhao et al. [857] to explain their data of 2% PEO blended with PVAc.

The results of PEO in PEO/PMMA blends discussed immediately above were not found for either the polyisoprene (PI) or the poly(vinylethylene) (PVE) component in PI/PVE blends where Haley et al. found that the segmental and terminal chain dynamics exhibited nearly the same dependences on temperature and composition [877]. Since  $\tau_{seg}$  of PI in the PI/PVE blends was obtained also at high frequencies by the same NMR method [856] as that of PEO in PEO/PMMA blends, the dramatically different result poses another challenge and requires explanation. The segmental relaxation times of PI and PVE in several blends including those of neat PVE and PI measured by Min et al. [856] are reproduced in Fig. 90. To compare the temperature dependence of the same segmental and terminal dynamics of PI in 30% PI/70% PVE blend (lower solid squares in Fig. 90) with those of PVE in the same blend, the segmental relaxation times of PI (lower solid squares) were shifted vertically so that the shifted PI data (upper solid squares) coincide with the PVE data at high temperatures. By inspection, it is clear that the segmental and terminal dynamics of PI and PVE have nearly the same dependence on temperature throughout the range of measurements. Similar conclusion can be drawn for the other compositions. Therefore, in the PI/PVE blends, the diffusing PI chain sees all chains including PVE with dynamics having about the same temperature dependence at all length scales from segmental to terminal. Naturally, the global chain relaxation time of PI has about the same temperature dependence as its segmental relaxation time in the PI/PVE blends. The same argument holds for the PVE component. Hence, for each component, the ratio of the global chain relaxation time to the segmental relaxation time is independent of both temperature and composition as observed in experiment [877].

Fig. 90 Segmental correlation times for both components in PI/PVE blends at various compositions. The data of the PI component in the 30% PI/70% PVE blend are shown by the *line with solid squares* on top of it. These data are shifted vertically to compare with the temperature dependence of the segmental relaxation time of the PVE component in the same blend. For details, see text and figures in [856, 877]



#### Anomalous Component Dynamics in Mixtures

Anomalous component dynamics also were found in some solutions of polymers by small molecular glassformers. Dielectric and dynamical mechanical measurements have been performed on mixtures of the small molecule glass-forming liquid 1,1-bis(p-methoxyphenyl) cyclohexane (BMC) with poly(methylphenylsiloxane) (PMPS) [878, 879]. In these studies, some of the neat high molecular weight PMPS samples have higher  $T_{gs}$  than that of the neat solvent BMC, but the relaxation times of BMC in the mixtures of 10% BMC/90% PMPS are shorter than in neat BMC. Density measurements were also made for the neat solvent and the polymer solution. It was found that the density is increased with addition of PMPS, and this change should increase the relaxation times of BMC. The same anomaly was found in 1,2-polybutadiene (1,2-PB or also called poly(vinylethylene) PVE) dissolved in Aroclor (A1248), a polychlorinated biphenyl [90, 880(a)]. The 1,2-PB used had a molecular weight of 4300 and  $T_g$  of -25°C, which is 19 degrees higher than that of A1248. These data showed the reorientational relaxation time of A1248 becomes increasingly shorter on adding 1,2-PB, notwithstanding the fact that the polymer has a higher  $T_g$ . The same anomaly was also seen in a polymer blend, epoxidized natural rubber with polychloroprene [881]. Models based on a distribution of local  $T_{gs}$ 

due to concentration fluctuations like that of [733] all use the Fox-Flory equation,  $1/T_g(\phi) = \phi/T_{gA} + (1-\phi)/T_{gB}$ , or a modification to account for self-concentration of polymer, to generate the component relaxation times in one way or the other. The glass transition temperature of the mixture and the relaxation times of the components predicted by these models, therefore, cannot lie outside the bounds determined by the neat components. Hence, these models do not explain the aforementioned anomalies.

However, the CM has been shown to account for the aforementioned anomalies [90, 878–882]. In the context of the CM,  $\tau_{A\alpha}$  (or  $T_{Ag}$ ) of neat glassformer A is determined by the many-body relaxation process characterized by  $n_A$  but it is based on the primitive relaxation time  $\tau_{A0}$ . Another neat glassformer B has its own  $\tau_{B\alpha}$  (or  $T_{Bg}$ ),  $n_B$ , and  $\tau_{B0}$ . When considering the many-body relaxation of molecules A in a mixture of A with B, naturally it is more appropriate and realistic to start with the comparison of  $\tau_{A0}$  and  $\tau_{B0}$  rather than with  $\tau_{A\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{B\alpha}$  (or  $T_{Ag}$  and  $T_{Bg}$ ). Let us consider the case of  $\tau_{A\alpha} \ll \tau_{B\alpha}$  (or  $T_{Ag} < T_{Bg}$ ), an example is for A to be BMC, and B to be PMPS. But, due to the fact that  $n_B$  is larger than  $n_A$ , we have from Eq. (2.55) the reverse relation,  $\tau_{B0} \ll \tau_{A0}$  (see figure in [879]), i.e., the primitive motions of molecules B are faster than molecules A in the mixture A/B. Consequently, the many-body relaxation probed via component A in the mixture A/B is to be shorter than  $\tau_{A\alpha}$  of pure A.

The explanation from the CM given above also explained a similar but different anomaly involving the cooperative  $\gamma$ -relaxation of polymers related to bisphenol A polycarbonate (BPA-PC) [882–887]. Addition of the diluent *tris*(2-ethyl-hexyl) phosphate (TOP) has opposite effects on the y-relaxation times of bisphenol A polycarbonate (BPA-PC) and of tetramethyl-bisphenol A polycarbonate (TMBPA-PC) [888–890]. Dynamic mechanical [888] and dielectric relaxation [889] measurements showed that addition of TOP to TMBPA-PC increases the relaxation rate of the  $\gamma$ -process; however, the opposite effect was found when TOP was added to BPA-PC, the  $\gamma$ -process became slower. In the jargon of polymer physics, the anomaly is restated as antiplasticizing  $\gamma$ -relaxation of BPA-PC but plasticizing of  $\gamma$ -relaxation of TMBPA-PC by TOP. NMR experimental data on the same mixtures confirmed these findings [890]. Positronium annihilation lifetime spectroscopy (PALS) found in BPA-PC/TOP blends that the total hole volume fraction is insensitive to the addition of TOP up to 10 wt% but increases at higher TOP concentration which should make the  $\gamma$ -relaxation faster, contrary to the antiplasticization observed. In the case of TMBPA-PC/TOP blends, the total hole volume fraction decreases monotonically with TOP concentration up to 15% and thereafter increases slightly from 15 to 20% which should make the  $\gamma$ -relaxation slower, contrary to plasticization observed. The CM successfully explained the opposite effect of TOP by comparing the primitive relaxation time of TOP with the primitive  $\gamma$ -relaxation times of BPA-PC/TOP and TMBPA-PC, which is  $\tau_{0,\gamma BPA-PC} \ll \tau_{0,TOP} \ll \tau_{0,\gamma TMBPA-PC}$  (see Fig. 91).

Wang et al. [880(b)] found another anomaly in the dynamics of the higher  $T_g$  component in a binary mixture of associating glass-forming liquids: propylene glycol (PG) with 2-ethylhexylamine (EHA). Here PG is the higher  $T_g$  component



Fig. 91 The dotted curve represents the Vogel–Fulcher fit to the effective relaxation time,  $\tau^*_{\text{TOP}}$ , of pure TOP obtained from dielectric measurement. The corresponding primitive relaxation time of TOP,  $\tau_{0,\text{TOP}}$ , is shown as *dashed-dotted curve*. Shown also are the primitive relaxation times  $\tau_{0,\gamma\text{TMBPA-PC}}$  (*dashed line*) and  $\tau_{0,\gamma\text{TMBPA-PC}}$  (*solid line*) calculated from the mechanical  $\gamma$ -relaxation times

and it shows changes of dynamics on increasing the concentration of the lower  $T_{\rm g}$  component EHA very different or even opposite in trend from those of the higher Tg component found in other associating and non-associating binary mixtures. For example, the fragility index m increases and the coupling parameter nof the PG component increases on adding EHA, while  $T_g$  of the PG component does not change up to 0.5 molar fraction of EHA. >From the features presented in the broadband dielectric spectra of the PG component at different compositions, the anomalies have been identified [880(c)] to originate from the enhanced intermolecular coupling in the primary relaxation of the PG component in the presence of the EHA component. The enhancement can be traced to the fact that EHA has larger intermolecular cooperativity or coupling parameter than PG, despite the former having a lower  $T_g$  than the latter. The enhancement increases on increasing the concentration of the EHA component in the mixture, as evidenced by the appearance of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of the PG component when the molar fraction of EHA has been increased to 0.75. In contrast neat PG does not have a resolved JG  $\beta$ -relaxation [424]. The anomalous dynamics of PG in the mixtures is challenging for all theories and models of glass transition to explain, but it can be rationalized by the CM [880(c)].

#### Illustrating the Different Attitudes Toward Anomalies and Generalizations

Trained as a physicist, I share the view of Crick [161] and Anderson [160] that anomalies are indispensable guides to find the correct and fundamental explanation of physical phenomena. That is the reason why I have taken every opportunity

to discuss the observed anomalies in this book. Also, if a phenomenon is fundamental and originates from basic physics, it can be expected to manifest itself in different systems and situations. Thus, if the phenomenon is general and observed in widely different systems, I would look for a holistic explanation applicable to all systems instead of one that is applicable to only one system. However, in the field of relaxation in complex systems including the glass transition problem, dynamics of polymer and soft matter in general, researchers come from diverse disciplines and backgrounds, and many of them do not share the view that anomalies are important clues, and phenomenon in general needs a common explanation coming from basic physics. They are more interested in providing an explanation for the norm of observed phenomenon, and anomalies that cannot be explained are either ignored or laid on the wayside. Some explanations are applicable to a specific class of systems, and inapplicable to other classes sharing the same observed general phenomenon, but this does not seem to bother them.

An example from component dynamics of mixtures is the Lodge–Mcleish (LM) model designed specifically for polymer solutions and blends to be described as follows. A polymer component has more repeat units of its own kind nearby due to chain connectivity. This is correctly pointed out by Lodge and McLeish (LM) [733]. They went on to suggest the local composition is altered from the average value  $\phi$ to an effective concentration,  $\phi_{\rm eff} = \phi_{\rm self} + (1 - \phi_{\rm self})\phi$ , where  $\phi_{\rm self}$  accounts for the excess of segments due to the chain connectivity. The modified Fox equation  $T_{\rm g}(\phi) = [\phi_{\rm eff}/T_{\rm g1} + (1 - \phi_{\rm eff})/T_{\rm g2}]^{-1}$  is then used to obtain  $T_{\rm g}(\phi)$  of the component. The segmental relaxation time in the blend,  $\tau_{seg}(\phi)$ , is calculated by modifying the Vogel-Fulcher-Tammann-Hesse (VFTH) equation obtained for the component when it is in its neat state,  $\tau_{seg} = \tau_{\infty} \exp[B/(T - T_0)]$ , by only replacing  $T_0$  by  $T_0(\phi)$ . Here  $T_0(\phi) = T_0 + [T_g(\phi) - T_g]$ . In other words, the difference between  $T_0(\phi)$  of component in the blend and  $T_0$  of the neat polymer is exactly the same as the difference between  $T_{\rm g}(\phi)$  and  $T_{\rm g}$  of the neat polymer. The remaining VFTH parameters, B and  $\tau_{\infty}$ , are assumed not to change. Thus, in the LM model, the component segmental relaxation time is given by  $\tau_{seg}(\phi, T) = \tau_{\infty} \exp[B/(T - T_0(\phi))]$ . Lodge and McLeish initially suggested that  $\phi_{self}$  is to be calculated from the Kuhn length of the component. However, the  $\phi_{self}$  calculated with the Kuhn length does not work in many test cases and it has to be treated as an adjustable parameter to yield agreement with experimental results [855, 877, 891, 892]. Even letting  $\phi_{self}$  be an adjustable parameter, there are a number of cases where the observed  $\tau_{seg}(\phi, T)$ of components cannot be explained [80, 729].

Bedrov and Smith [80] performed molecular dynamics simulations of model miscible polymer blends consisting of chemically realistic 1,4-polybutadiene (CR-PBD) as the slow component (higher  $T_g$ ) and PBD chains with reduced dihedral barriers as the fast component (LB-PBD) with lower  $T_g$ . They found that only  $\phi_{self} = 0.0$  provides a better description of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation times for the LB-PBD component in the 10% LB-PBD blend with CR-PBD, indicating that, within the LM formulation, the segmental relaxation of the fast component is determined entirely by the bulk composition of the blend. This is contrary to the spirit of the LM model which assumes that the local, dynamically relevant environment for a segment

differs in composition from the bulk blend due to chain connectivity. The situation of the 50% LB-PBD blend is worse; Bedrov and Smith found even the best with  $\phi_{self} = 0.0$ ; the Lodge–McLeish model prediction still underestimates the slowing down of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of the LB-PBD component.

Roland et al. [729] applied their data of the PVME/P2CS blends and found that the modified Fox equation gives  $\phi_{self} = 0.437$  for the P2CS *less than* the actual concentration. The result contradicts the enrichment due to the intramolecularly bonded neighboring segments.

Absence of chain connectivity in non-polymeric glassformers means inapplicability of the LM model to mixtures of these glassformers, and yet the essential properties of component dynamics (including those not addressed by the LM model) are similar to that in polymer blends. The anomalies found in polymer solutions such as BMC/PMPS [878, 879], and Aroclor/PVE [90, 880], and in polymer blends of PEO/PMMA and PEO/PVAc [855, 857] cannot be explained either. These limitations and deficiencies of the LM model are sufficient for me not to take it seriously as the solution of the component dynamics even restricted to polymers. However, the LM is the center of attention of almost all recent publications on mixtures involving polymers. It is exclusively the model used currently by others to compare with experimental data, although the comparison is limited to just the temperature dependence of  $\tau_{seg}(T)$ . It does not address the other properties of the component dynamics including various changes of dispersion discussed before. The only link of the LM model to the glass transition problem is modification of the empirical Fox equation, and therefore it offers no help to understand the more fundamental problem of glass transition of pure polymers. Despite ad hoc assumptions (e.g., the VFTH parameters, B and  $\tau_{\infty}$ , of the polymer in the blend is same as those of the neat polymer, and the formula for  $T_0(\phi)$  being made, the LM would be useful for purpose of polymer engineering in predicting  $\tau_{seg}(T)$  and  $T_g$  of a polymer component in blends and solutions if  $\phi_{self}$  is pre-determinable. Unfortunately, in reality,  $\phi_{self}$  turns out to be a floating parameter to fit data, and its value has been found to be unphysical in some cases.

#### 2.2.5.8 Decrease of Relaxation Time by Nanoconfinement

Large decrease of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  causing reduction of  $T_g$  when the liquid is confined in nanometer size glass pores was observed in OTP and other glassformers [485–505, 893–897], and first discussed in connection with length scale in Section 2.2.2.6. The effect is more pronounced in pores that are smaller in size. Care must be exercised to eliminate formation of chemical bonds of the liquid molecules with the glass walls by chemical treatment of the latter. Other techniques of confining a liquid have been used. In one study of ethylene glycol, a countable few molecules confined in zeolite, silicalite, and sodalite show large decrease of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  [489].

This general effect, mentioned before in Section 2.2.2.6, is a challenge for theory of glass transition to explain. Let us take for example the Adam–Gibbs model discussed in Section 2.2.2.2, and its expression,  $\tau_{\alpha}(T) = \tau_{\infty} \exp(z^* \Delta \mu/kT)$ , where  $z^*$  is the number of molecules in the cooperatively rearranging region (CRR). On

lowering the temperature of a liquid confined in pore,  $z^*$  increases. At a temperature  $T_p$  when  $z^*(T_p)$  matches the size of the pores others have argued that  $z^*$  stops growing and remains constant. Hence, for  $T < T_p$ , in the pore  $\tau_{\alpha}$  assumes the Arrhenius temperature dependence,  $\tau_{\alpha}(T) = \tau_{\infty} \exp(z^*(T_p)\Delta\mu/kT)$ , which is shorter than  $\tau_{\alpha}$  in the unconfined liquid at the same temperature. Dielectric and light-scattering data of liquids confined in pores and zeolites show evidence that the temperature dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  becomes more like Arrhenius. However, the departure of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  from the bulk values in these confined liquids, including salol [490], OTP [492-494], and PDMS (see Fig. 29), starts at temperatures where the bulk  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is of the order of 10<sup>-6</sup> s or shorter. For OTP and salol, the onset temperature  $T_{\rm p}$  is close to their respective  $T_{\rm B}$ , defined in Section 2.2.5.1. As discussed therein, at  $T_{\rm B}$  the size of  $z^*$  calculated by Eq. (2.22) from calorimetric data is about 2 [420], which is much smaller than the number of molecules that can be accommodated in the pores larger than 2.5 nm used in experiments. This consequence from the AG theory contradicts the assumption that  $z^*(T_p)$  has already filled up the pore at the onset temperature  $T_p$ . Therefore, the explanation of the reduction of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  by nanoconfinement based on AG theory has contradiction, and hence it is untenable. [898, 899].

The finding that the AG theory cannot explain the effects of confinement is unsurprising in view of the difficulty that it has in fully rationalizing even just relaxation data of bulk liquids [253, 401] as discussed before in Section 2.2.2.2, and in encountering other problems [405]. The deficiency of the AG theory is based entirely on thermodynamic consideration of the configurational entropy  $S_{\rm c}(T)$ , and hence it neglects the effect of the many-body relaxation dynamics manifested by n of the Kohlrausch correlation function. The AG theory is solely based on a thermodynamic quantity, the configurational entropy  $S_c(T)$ . Thus, even though the proposed transition rate has the connotation of molecules rearranging cooperatively, it cannot capture the complexities of molecular motion, i.e., the many-body dynamics. Certainly, molecular relaxation time depends on entropy and volume, and this dependence also applies to the primitive relaxation time  $\tau_0$  of the CM. Much later in Section 2.3.2 and particularly Sections 2.3.2.29 and 2.3.2.30 therein, experimental evidences will be presented to show that  $\tau_0$  already depends on entropy and volume. Hence, we have  $\tau_0(T) = A_0 \exp[B_0/TS_c(T)]$ , on retaining AG's idea on the importance of configurational entropy. The primitive relaxation function is given by  $\exp(-t/\tau_0(T))$ . But according to the CM this primitive  $\alpha$ -relaxation mode cannot continue indefinitely. After  $t_c$ , the intermolecular interactions slow down the averaged relaxation process and the averaged correlation function assumes the stretched exponential form  $\phi(t) = \exp[-(t/\tau_{\alpha}(T))^{1-n}]$ , and the relation

$$\tau_{\alpha}(T) = [t_{c}^{-n}\tau_{0}(T)]^{1/(1-n)} = \{t_{c}^{-n}A_{0}\exp[B_{0}/TS_{c}(T)]\}^{1/(1-n)}$$
(2.58)

between  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$  and  $\tau_0(T)$  follows. The results of this modified AG theory by the CM have the benefit of being consistent with the experimental data of bulk [401] as well as nanoconfined glassformers [494, 899], while the original AG theory does not. This modified theory is referred to here as the CM/AG theory. The cooperative

length scale,  $\xi$ , of the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation obtained from NMR [422] is consistent with the length scale of cooperative *many-body* dynamics in the CM/AG theory, but it should not be identified with the length scale associated with  $z^*$  of the AG theory. This is because  $z^*$  for OTP at  $T_g$  deduced from thermodynamic data is only about 4 [420] which is much smaller than the number of molecules inside the volume with length  $\xi$  at T<sub>g</sub> deduced by NMR. However, confinement introduces a new length scale, which will alter the relaxation of the liquid when it is comparable to or smaller than  $\xi$ . The degree of intermolecular coupling will be reduced, and if intermolecular coupling is one of the causes of slowing down the relaxation, then it is not surprising to see a decrease of the structural relaxation time by confinement. The cause of the decrease comes from the small pore size limiting the number of neighboring molecules participating in the cooperative motions. The effect is stronger for molecules located closer to the surface, naturally because part of the molecules within  $\xi$  is absent. The molecular dynamics inside the pore depends on the location and is therefore spatially heterogeneous. We do not exclude here the possibility that the density of the liquid in the pores in some experiments was indeed lower than in the bulk [493], which contributes another cause of the reduction in intermolecular coupling. Regardless of how the reduction of intermolecular coupling comes about, in the coupling model it is modeled by a decrease of the coupling parameter,  $n_d(T)$ , of the glassformer in the pore of size, d, from the bulk value n(T) at any temperature. Application of the CM/AG theory shows consistency with the observation of reduction of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  from the bulk values in confined OTP starts at  $T_{\rm B}$  where the bulk  $\tau_{\alpha}$ is of the order of  $10^{-6}$  s or shorter, as demonstrated in [494(a)] and shown in Fig. 92. The fact that nanoconfined glassformers has much weaker  $T_{g}$ -scaled reciprocal temperature dependence of  $\log[\tau_{\alpha}(T)]$  than the bulk is another support for the decrease of *n*.

#### Correlation Between the Magnitude of the Change and *n*

The explanation of the reduction of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $T_{g}$  by nanoconfinement by the CM/AG theory has the consequence that the size of the effect will be smaller for glassformers having smaller n. This result holds irrespective of whether the effect is due to reduction in intermolecular coupling or lower density of the liquid in the pore. From dielectric measurements of bulk glassformers,  $\beta(T_g) \equiv 1 - n(T_g)$  is equal to 0.48 for OTP, 0.56 for salol, 0.70 for propylene glycol, and about 0.75 for N-methyl-ε-caprolactam (NMEC). One way to compare the finite-size effect on different glassformers is by the decrease in glass transition temperature,  $\Delta T_{g}$ , measured by calorimetry. In 5 nm glass pores,  $\Delta T_g$  is equal to 12.6 K [492], 11.0 K [490], 8 K [488(c)], and 6 K [488(c)], respectively, for OTP, salol, propylene glycol, and NMEC.  $\Delta T_g$  decreases with increasing  $n(T_g)$  of the bulk glassformer as depicted in Fig. 93. The inset shows the difference,  $\log \tau_{\alpha}(T_g) - \log \tau_0(T_g)$ , calculated from the CM equation,  $\tau_{\alpha} = [t_c^{-n}\tau_0]^{1/(1-n)}$ , and plotted against  $1 - n(T_g)$ . By calorimetry, Jackson and McKenna observed that the shift of the glass transition temperature in the pores of the same size is much larger for OTP than butyl alcohol. Also broadening of  $T_{\rm g}$  is measured in OTP confined in 8.5 nm pores, but not observed in the



Fig. 92 The  $\alpha$ -relaxation frequencies from light scattering (•) and dielectric relaxation (same as PCS but not shown),  $\beta$ -relaxation frequencies ( $\checkmark$  from dielectric relaxation), the calculated primitive  $\alpha$ -relaxation frequencies from PCS ( $\bigcirc$ ) and from dielectric (\*) plotted against 1000/*T* for OTP. The *full* and the *dashed-dotted curves* are the two VFTH dependencies of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation frequencies determined below Tg. The  $\alpha$ -relaxation frequencies of OTP in 2.5 nm pores obtained by light scattering ( $\blacksquare$ ) at two temperatures are in good agreement with the calculated primitive frequencies. Reproduced from [494(a)] by permission



case of butyl alcohol confined in the same size pores. These observations are in accord with the correlation shown in Fig. 93 because it is expected that  $n(T_g)$  of OTP (1,2-diphenylbenzene) composed of three carbon rings is larger than that of butyl alcohol.

## Explanation by Decrease of n in the Framework of the CM

When there is no physical interaction or chemical bonding at the interface to slow down the structural relaxation of the nanoconfinement glassformer, there is decrease of intermolecular coupling due to the more mobile surface layers and the finite size effect on the inner layers. The averaged coupling parameter n is expected to decrease monotonically with decreasing size, and it follows from the CM equation,  $\tau_{\alpha} = [t_c^{-n} \tau_0]^{1/(1-n)}$ , that  $\tau_{\alpha}$  decreases correspondingly as observed. In the limit of extreme confinement when the size becomes smaller than the length scale of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation,  $n \rightarrow 0$  and  $\tau_{\alpha} \rightarrow \tau_0$ . The value of  $\tau_0$  calculated from parameters of the bulk glassformer can be used to check if it agrees with the experimental value of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  under the condition of extreme nanoconfinement. The test is positive for OTP [494] and for poly(dimethyl siloxane) [494] confined in silanized glass pores with average diameter of 2 nm, which is comparable to the length scale of the  $\alpha$ relaxation of OTP determined by NMR at temperatures about 10 degrees above  $T_{g}$ . Also good agreement is found [900] for the much reduced  $\alpha$ -relaxation time of 1.5-2.0 nm thin films of poly(methylphenylsiloxane) [495]. More discussion of the data of nanoconfinement will be given in Section 2.3.

## 2.2.5.9 Breakdown of Thermorheological Simplicity of Relaxation Mechanisms of Different Time/Length Scales, and Viscoelastic Anomalies of Polymer: Degree Depends on *n*

Unlike non-polymeric glassformers, the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation of amorphous polymers is not the terminal relaxation responsible for flow and diffusion. Because of chain connectivity, there are motions of longer length scale than that of the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation if the number of repeat units of the chains is sufficiently large. These chain modes give rise to viscoelastic properties unique to polymers, and those with longer wavelengths contribute more to viscous flow and self-diffusion [29]. The structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation in polymers is often referred to as segmental relaxation, or more accurately as local segmental relaxation because the number of repeat units within a chain involved in cooperative motion with those in other chains is smaller than that of a segment usually defined in the polymer physics literature. The structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation of amorphous polymers has all the properties as non-polymeric glassformers. This is clear since we have made no distinction between polymers and non-polymers in the previous discussions of properties. Bonded interaction along the chain enhances intermolecular constraint, resulting in the larger coupling parameter n, more stretched Kohlrausch correlation function, and broader frequency dispersion of the local segmental relaxation than the monomeric or oligomeric analogues. An example can be taken from the comparison of polystyrene with tri-styrene having only three repeat units [844], or PMMA with its oligomers.

The extent of intermolecular coupling in polymer depends on the molecular structure of the repeat unit. Polymers with chemical structures that have a larger capacity for intermolecular coupling tend to have a larger n appearing in the

fractional exponent (1-n) of the Kohlrausch correlation function of the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation (Eq. (1.1)), in accord with the physical meaning attached to *n* by the CM. When different amorphous polymers are considered, this dependence causes large variations of the degree of non-exponentiality or *n*, from which different properties of the local segmental relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  originate and are observed, similar to non-polymeric glassformers [110–112, 244, 479]. For example, polystyrene (PS) has a bulkier phenyl ring attached to a carbon in the main chain while polyisobutylene (PIB) has a more compact and simpler backbone. It is expected that intermolecular coupling or *n* of PS is larger than that of PIB. Experimentally, n(PS) = 0.64 [479] and n(PS) = 0.45 [208–210], and PS has various dependences of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  on physical quantities that are different from PIB, such as stronger  $T_g/T$ -dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  of PS than PIB.

Polymer chains have relaxation processes of longer length scales than the local segmental motion. These chain modes give rise to broader viscoelastic spectrum with properties not shared by small molecular glassformers. Unique to the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation of polymers are the relation it has with the chain modes and the viscoelastic properties they determine. The relation turns out to be governed by n, and is exhibited as viscoelastic anomalies [165–173, 901]. The viscoelastic anomalies or aberrations from the paradigm of viscoelastic behavior of polymers are general. These viscoelastic anomalies are important problems in the viscoelastic properties of polymers and the explanation of them is necessary for a complete understanding of viscoelasticity of polymers. Also, they have repercussion on theory of glass transition because they occur as the glass transition temperature is approached from above, and are caused by the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation. A glass transition theory is incomplete if does not have explanation of these anomalies, and it is suspect of missing an important physics of the structural relaxation. Therefore, the materials presented in the following are not digression from discussion on important aspects of glass transition. On the contrary, these are facts that any proponent of glass transition theory should address, otherwise the theory cannot be considered applicable to amorphous polymers.

Most of the viscoelastic anomalies discussed here were first found by Plazek and coworkers. The results clearly show general breakdown of thermorheological simplicity in amorphous polymers [87, 165–173, 206, 209, 210, 360, 832, 837, 869–879, 902–907]. Plazek's observations have been repeatedly confirmed by others using similar and various other techniques [202, 203, 864–868, 874, 908– 912]. Elevated pressure was introduced in light scattering [199–201] and dielectric studies [638, 668, 700, 913] to show the local segmental relaxation and chain relaxation have difference pressure and temperature dependences. Plazek's seminal works were recognized by his peers in awarding him the Bingham Medal from the American Physical Society. His Bingham Medal Lecture can be found in [171]. Traditionally in the field of polymer viscoelastic properties, it is generally believed that molecular retardation and relaxation mechanisms including the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation and all chain modes have the same temperature dependence for their retardation/relaxation times [29, 87, 165, 173, 901]. Based on the notion that the monomeric friction coefficient  $\zeta_0(T)$  governs the *T*-dependence of retardation/relaxation times of all mechanisms, this ideal property is called thermorheological simplicity. In reality and as found experimentally, the relaxation times of the local segmental relaxation, the unentangled chain modes, and the entangled chain modes (in the case of high molecular weight entangled polymer) have their own dependence on temperature, which can differ greatly at temperatures that are not far above  $T_g$ . Thus the viscoelastic spectrum, including the glass-rubber softening dispersion (contributed by the local segmental mode, the sub-Rouse mode [906, 914], and the Rouse modes) [208–210], the rubbery plateau, and the terminal dispersion zone (contributed by the entangled chain modes in the case of high molecular weight polymers), is not thermorheologically simple either. The anomalies are caused by the local segmental (structural) relaxation from its manybody nature, which is further supported by showing that the extent of anomalies increases with non-exponentiality (or n) of the structural relaxation [870–872]. Also the anomalies tend to go away when the polymer is diluted by a solvent to reduce the intermolecular coupling and hence n [169, 247, 907]. As far as I know, the only theoretical explanation of all these anomalies is from the CM [173, 837]. This is perhaps unsurprising because the CM has captured the many-body nature of the structural relaxation due to intermolecular interaction/coupling. It is a pleasure for me to mention that Don Plazek shared the same belief. He called this "crowding coupling."

In the subsections to follow we outline some of the apparent anomalies of polymer dynamics in different viscoelastic zones and indicate how they are resolved. The discussion will be abbreviated, but details as well as other experimental facts can be found in the references given.

#### The Glass-Rubber Softening Dispersion of High Molecular Weight Polymers

For a high molecular weight polymer in which the chains are sufficiently long for them to entangle, the viscoelastic response measured in shear compliance J(t) that rises from the glassy compliance  $J_g \approx 10^{-9} \text{ Pa}^{-1}$  (glassy modulus  $G_g \approx 10^9$ Pa) and ends at the beginning of the rubbery entanglement plateau with  $J_N \approx$  $10^{-6} \text{ Pa}^{-1}$  ( $G_N \approx 10^6 \text{ Pa}$ ) is referred to as the glass–rubber softening dispersion (or transition zone). For ease in identifying the various viscoelastic modes in the softening dispersion from mechanical creep compliance J(t) or shear modulus data, the choice is an amorphous polymer with wide glass–rubber softening dispersion such as polyisobutylene (PIB). Isothermal data of high molecular weight PIB obtained by mechanical measurements with a spectral range over eight decades [906, 915] and additional photon correlation measurements [208–210] have found that there are three distinct viscoelastic mechanisms in the glass–rubber softening dispersion. The Rouse modes and the shorter sub-Rouse modes found by mechanical spectroscopy are shown in Fig. 94 by two peaks in the loss tangent as a function of frequency.

Dielectric spectroscopy studies reveal unusual shape of segmental relaxation and excess contribution on the low-frequency side of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak in PIB, polyisoprene (PIP), and head-to-head polypropylene (hhPP) (see [906(a)]). This was



Fig. 94 The tan  $\delta$  as a function of actual frequencies at several temperatures for NBS-PIB. The data were obtained by using several instruments spanning the frequency range as shown in the abscissa. The high frequency data at -35.8°C (*open circles*) are from [915]. The rest of the data were obtained by a combination of creep compliance and dynamic modulus measurements. Data from [906] are replotted here and the sub-Rouse and Rouse modes are indicated

identified to be the contribution of the sub-Rouse mode to the dielectric loss spectra of these polymers. The location of the sub-Rouse modes of PIB from dielectric spectroscopy is in accord with the previous studies by mechanical and by photon correlation spectroscopy.

Theoretical considerations [209] have helped to identify these three mechanisms to originate separately from the local segmental ( $\alpha$ ) mode, the sub-Rouse (sR) modes, and the Rouse (R) modes. The temperature dependences of these mechanisms given, respectively, by the shift factors,  $a_{T,\alpha}$ ,  $a_{T,sR}$ , and  $a_{T,R}$ , determined over a common temperature range are found to be all different (see Fig. 95). This results in the failure of time-temperature superpositioning of mechanical compliance and relaxation data in the softening dispersion. Actually this fact was shown first by creep compliance measurements in polystyrene (PS) in the softening dispersion [167] long before sub-Rouse modes were clearly resolved in polyisobutylene. It has been confirmed in polystyrene by dynamic modulus measurements [864], in PS and tetramethyl polycarbonate (TMPC) [165], and in polybutadiene [865]. Most recently, this effect was found by internal friction measurements in PMMA [906(b)], chlorinated butyl rubber [906(c)], PVAc [906(d)], and PVAc blended with PEO [906(e)]. These works show the sub-Rouse modes are intermolecularly coupled. Change of temperature dependence at nearly the same  $T_B$  is found not only for the local segmental relaxation time but also for the relaxation time of the sub-Rouse modes in PVAc in Refs. [906(d)] and [906(e)] and in PMMA in a paper to be published by same research group. The explanation for the crossover of T-dependence of the structural relaxation time of small molecular glassformers [423] also applies to the local segmental relaxation the sub-Rouse modes.

Shift factor,  $a_T$ , obtained by time-temperature superpositioning of viscoelastic data taken in the softening transition over a limited experimental window is a combination of the three individual shifts factors,  $a_{T,\alpha}$ ,  $a_{T,sR}$ , and  $a_{T,R}$ . Consequently,



**Fig. 95** The Rouse relaxation time  $\tau_{\rm R}$  (*open triangles*) and the sub-Rouse relaxation time  $\tau_{\rm sR}$  (*open circles*) of NBS-PIB for several temperatures obtained from the low-frequency and high-frequency tan $\delta$  peaks in Fig. 94. The *curves* that interpolate the data points are the WLF fits. Also shown are the shift factors of Tobolsky and Catsiff (TC) [916] from their stress relaxation data (*inverted open triangles*), and of Plazek et al. [906] from their creep data (*filled diamonds*). The *two vertical arrows* partition the temperature into three regions, I, II, and III, in which the viscoelastic response is, respectively, mainly contributed by the local segmental motion ( $J_g < J(t) < 10^{-9.5} \text{ cm}^2/\text{dyne}$ )), the sub-Rouse modes ( $10^{-9.5} < J(t) < 10^{-9.5} \text{ cm}^2/\text{dyne}$ ), and the Rouse modes ( $10^{-8.5} < J(t) < 10^{-9.5} \text{ cm}^2/\text{dyne}$ ), and the Rouse modes ( $10^{-8.5} < J(t) < 10^{-9.5} \text{ cm}^2/\text{dyne}$ ), and the Rouse modes ( $10^{-8.5} < J(t) < 10^{-9.5} \text{ cm}^2/\text{dyne}$ ), and the Rouse modes ( $10^{-8.5} < J(t) < 10^{-9.5} \text{ cm}^2/\text{dyne}$ ), and the Rouse modes ( $10^{-8.5} < J(t) < 10^{-9.5} \text{ cm}^2/\text{dyne}$ ). The *dashed-dotted line* through the inverted *open triangles* is according to the WLF equation given by TC. The *dashed line* is the WLF equation given by Fitzgerald, Grandine and Ferry (FGF) [915]. The *thick solid line*, passing close by the mechanical data points (*inverted open triangles and the filled diamonds*) in the lowest temperature region I corresponding to local segmental motion, is calculated. Reproduced from [209] by permission

the Williams–Landel–Ferry (WLF) equation or the equivalent VFTH equation that fits the *T*-dependence of  $a_T$ , obtained by shifting and superpositioning of modulus or compliance data to obtain a master curve for the entire softening dispersion, does not describe the temperature dependence of any one of the three viscoelastic mechanisms [209]. The local segmental mode contributes to compliances ranging from the glassy compliance,  $J_g \approx 10^{-9} \text{ Pa}^{-1}$ , up to  $10^{-8.5} \text{ Pa}^{-1}$ . The sub-Rouse modes contribute in the compliance range,  $10^{-8.5} \leq J(t) \leq 10^{-7} \text{ Pa}^{-1}$ . The Rouse modes consisting of a sequence of Gaussian submolecules and generalized to undiluted polymers [29] account for the compliances in the range of  $10^{-7} \text{ Pa}^{-1} \leq J(t) \leq J_{\text{plateau}}$ , where  $J_{\text{plateau}}$  is the plateau compliance. The magnitudes of the bounds given here are only rough estimates from data of polystyrene, and may change somewhat for other polymers.

The different *T*-dependences of  $a_{T,\alpha}$ ,  $a_{T,sR}$ , and  $a_{T,R}$  have been explained by the CM principally from the many-body dynamics of the local segmental ( $\alpha$ ) mode. In the CM, the independent (uncoupled) relaxation times,  $\tau_{0\alpha}$ ,  $\tau_{0sR}$ , and  $\tau_{0R}$ , of the  $\alpha$ , sR, and R modes all are governed by the same primitive friction factor,  $\zeta_0(T)$ ,

and hence they have the same temperature and pressure dependence. However, the effective relaxation times,  $\tau_{\alpha}$ ,  $\tau_{sR}$ , and  $\tau_{R}$ , depend on the different *T*-dependences because of the differences of the  $\alpha$ , sR, and R modes in their degrees of many-body participation. The  $\alpha$ -mode has the highest degree measured by the coupling parameter  $n_{\alpha}$  appearing in the fractional exponent of the Kohlrausch correlation function. The Rouse modes from its own definition and their entropic nature are not subjected to any intermolecular coupling or entanglement interactions, and have zero values for their coupling parameter  $n_{R}$ . The sR-modes having length scales intermediate between the  $\alpha$ - and the R-modes are expected to have coupling parameters  $n_{sR}$  interpolating  $n_{\alpha}$  and zero value. Applications of the CM equation lead to three different *T*-dependences given by

$$\tau_{\alpha} \propto (\zeta_0(T))^{1/(1-n_{\alpha})},\tag{2.59}$$

$$\tau_{\rm sR} \propto (\zeta_0(T))^{1/(1-n_{\rm sR})},$$
(2.60)

$$\tau_{\rm R} \propto \zeta_0(T). \tag{2.61}$$

The width of the softening dispersion is determined by the separation between the logarithm of the shortest  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and the longest  $\tau_{R}$ . It is evident from Eqs. (2.59) and (2.61) that  $\tau_{\alpha}$  shifts more to longer times on decreasing temperature than  $\tau_{R}$ , causing the  $\alpha$ -relaxation to move closer to the Rouse modes. Consequently, a polymer with larger *n* has a smaller difference between log  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and log  $\tau_{R}$  or a narrower softening dispersion. This explains why the softening dispersion is broad for a polymer with a smaller n = 0.45 such as PIB but narrow for a polymer with a larger n = 0.64 such as PS [165, 173]. Here the widths of the softening dispersions of high molecular weight PIB and PS are compared by the widths of the first peaks of their corresponding retardation spectra *L* obtained from  $J_{r}(t)$  by the relation

$$J(t) = J_{\rm r}(t) + t/\eta = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} L(\lambda)(1 - e^{-t/\lambda}) \mathrm{d}\ln\lambda + t/\eta$$
 (2.62)

As shown in Fig. 96 in a log–log plot of *L* against the retardation time  $\tau/a_T$ , the first peak of *L* is significantly narrower for PS than PIB. As discussed before in Section 2.2.5.7, intermolecular coupling and hence *n* of local segmental relaxation of PS are reduced when PS is dissolved in a solvent that has much lower  $T_g$  such as tri-cresylphosphate (TCP). From the decreasing in *n* upon dilution, it follows from Eq. (2.59) that the softening dispersion of the dissolved PS becomes broader [169]. The softening dispersion of the 25% PS solution in TCP [169] shown in Fig. 96 indeed is broader than PS and not too different from PIB. The loss tangent of the 25% PS solution in the softening dispersion shown in the inset is also similar in width as that of PIB, but the sub-Rouse peak has not yet been resolved. A better match with PIB is expected for solutions with lower PS concentration than 25%. In fact, the isothermal tan $\delta$  data of 17% PS solution in TCP shown in Fig. 97 have both the sub-Rouse and the Rouse peaks matching the same in bulk PIB.



**Fig. 96** (a) Comparison of the retardation spectra *L* of a high molecular weight PS (*open triangles*), a 25% PS solution in TCP (*open squares*), and PIB (*filled circles*). The shift factors are arranged such that the maximum of the first peak occurs at the same reduced frequency for all three samples. Downward vertical shifts of 0.869 and 1.39 of  $\log_{10}L$  have been applied, respectively, to PS and 25% PS solution to make all data have about the same height at the first maximum. The disparity in width of the softening dispersion of bulk PS and PIB is clear. The small peak near the bottom (*dashed line*) is the contribution to *L* from the local segmental motion in bulk PS. (**b**) The figure shows isothermal data of tan $\delta$  of PIB in the softening region at -66.9°C, and tan $\delta$  of the 25% PS solution in TCP obtained from a reduced recoverable compliance curve after applying time–temperature superposition to the limited isothermal data. Data from [907] are replotted in these two figures

Fig. 97 Comparison of isothermal tan $\delta$  of the 17% PS solution in TCP at -57.7°C (filled circles) and isothermal tan $\delta$  of PIB at -66.9°C (filled squares) in the softening region. The open squares are tan $\delta$  of PIB at -66.9°C after the first peak is shifted horizontally and scaled vertically to match the position and height of the first peak of the 17% PS solution. The lines connecting the data points of each set are drawn to guide the eye. Data are from [907] and replotted here



The  $T_{g}$ -scaled temperature dependence of the shift factor of the softening dispersion of the 17% PS solution in TCP also resembles that of bulk PIB [907]. Thus several characteristic properties of the softening dispersion of PS, which differ greatly from PIB, are made the same as PIB by reducing the intermolecular coupling (*n* of the local segmental relaxation) in PS with the addition of a diluent. The failure of thermorheological simplicity or time–temperature superpositioning of mechanical compliance and relaxation data in the softening dispersion found in PIB, PS, TMPC, and PBD is also explained by  $\tau_{\alpha}$  increasing more rapidly than  $\tau_{sR}$ , and much more rapidly than  $\tau_R$  on decreasing temperature. The degree of breakdown is higher in PS than in PIB [165, 870, 871] as indicated by the larger difference in the temperature dependences of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_R$  in the case of PS than in PIB, and this is also explained by Eqs. (2.59)–(2.61).

For the *same* polymer, these equations also predict significantly narrower softening dispersion obtained at lower temperatures (longer times) than that obtained at higher temperatures (shorter times). This prediction is supported by comparing the retardation spectrum of poly(methacrylate) (PMA) obtained from the complex compliance  $J^*(\omega)$  measurements of William and Ferry [917] at higher temperatures (higher frequencies,  $10 < \omega/2\pi < 6 \times 10^3$  Hz) with that obtained by Plazek et al. [903] from their J(t) data at lower temperatures (longer times,  $1 < t < 10^6$  s) data. The retardation spectra L of the softening dispersion shown in Fig. 98 are significantly broader at lower temperature as predicted.

Fig. 98 Logarithm of the retardation spectrum L of poly(methylacrylate) (PMA) as a function of the logarithm of the reduced retardation time  $\tau/a_T$ . The solid curve was calculated from the reduced  $J_{\rm r}(t)$  curve obtained from data taken at lower temperatures (14.4–34.7°C) and longer times  $(10^{\circ} < t < 10^{5} \text{ s})$  and shifted to 13.1°C [903]. The dashed line was calculated from the dynamic compliances of [917] obtained at higher temperatures and frequencies;  $T_0$  was chosen to be 10.8°C



The fact that  $\tau_{sR}$  has a stronger temperature dependence than  $\tau_R$  (Fig. 95) indicates that the sub-Rouse modes have some degree of intermolecular coupling, which is reasonable because they have length scales intermediate between the local segmental mode (with intermolecular coupling) and the Rouse modes (without intermolecular coupling). Neutron scattering can probe modes of different length scales, *L*, by different momentum transfers, *Q*, where  $L = Q^{-1}$ . Thus when  $Q^{-1}$  falls below the length scale of the smallest Gaussian submolecule, Rouse dynamics will give

way to the slower sub-Rouse dynamics. This was observed [918, 919] in polyisobutylene by neutron spin-echo measurement which found significant slowing down of the relaxation compared to the Rouse model predictions at  $Q^{-1} < 6.7$  Å. The slowing down of modes with  $Q^{-1} < 6.7$  Å was interpreted [918, 919] not as intermolecular coupling of the sub-Rouse modes like here [209], but by the introduction of an additional dissipative mechanism (internal viscosity) into a Rouse-like single-chain theory of polymer melt dynamics. From results of simulations, Krushev et al. [920] gave reasons that this is a many-particle effect (i.e., intermolecular coupling consistent with our sub-Rouse modes interpretation [209]), which cannot be captured by an effective single particle theory explanation as suggested in [918, 919].

According to Eqs. (2.59) and (2.61), the *T*-dependences of the shift factor of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation,  $a_{T,\alpha}$ , and that of the Rouse relaxations,  $a_{T,R}$ , are related by

$$(1 - n_{\alpha})\log(a_{T,\alpha}) = (1 - n_R)\log(a_{T,R}), \qquad (2.63)$$

where  $n_{\rm R}$  should be equal to zero unless there is extraneous coupling between the Rouse modes such as caused by hydrogen bonding [868, 921]. Nicolai and Floudas (NF) [912] observed a weaker *T*-dependence of  $a_{T,\rm R}$  than that of  $a_{T,\alpha}$  in dielectric and viscoelastic relaxation of poly(oxypropylene) (POP) diols and triols of low molecular weights in the range 260–11,000 g/mol, as expected because  $n_{\alpha}$  is larger than  $n_{\rm R}$ . NF found diminishing difference between the *T*-dependences of  $a_{T,\rm R}$  and  $a_{T,\alpha}$  on increasing temperature above  $T_{\rm g}$ . This behavior is not new, and was found before dielectrically in low molecular weight poly(propylene glycol) [868, 922] and polyisoprene [910, 911]. The relaxation frequencies  $v_{\alpha}$  and  $v_{\rm R}$  of the hydrogenbonded polypropylene glycol with molecular weights of 1000, 2000, and 4000 are shown in Fig. 99 together with  $v_{\alpha}$  obtained from  $a_{T,\alpha}$ , which is calculated by

Fig. 99 Frequency at the dielectric loss maximum plotted vs. inverse temperature for the normal mode process and the segmental relaxation. The points in this figure are the experimental data points, and the solid lines are from the VFTH equations of the segmental relaxation and the normal mode of PPG1000, 2000, and 4000. The other lines are frequency of segmental relaxation calculated by using Eq. (2.63). Data from [868] are replotted here



Eq. (2.63) from  $a_{T,R}$  and the values of  $n_{\alpha}$  and  $n_{R}$  determined from the spectra near  $T_{\rm g}$ . Both  $n_{\alpha}$  and  $n_{\rm R}$  are assumed to be T-independent. The calculated  $\nu_{\alpha}$  agrees well with experiment at lower temperatures but overestimate the observed value at higher temperatures. The discrepancy at higher temperatures is likely due to failure of the assumption that  $n_{\alpha}$  is constant. Many low molecular weight glassformers show decrease of  $n_{\alpha}$  when  $\tau_{\alpha}$  becomes shorter on increasing temperature. Nevertheless, from the diminishing difference between the T-dependences of  $a_{T,R}$ and  $a_{T,\alpha}$  they observed in POP on increasing temperature above  $T_g$ , NF concluded this behavior violates Eq. (2.63), because they found the ratio,  $\beta_s/\beta_n$  equivalent to  $(1 - n_{\alpha})/(1 - n_{\rm R})$  here, to be constant. Before the conclusion of NF to be taken seriously, one has to recognize several problems in their experimental data that should not allow them to jump to their conclusion. First, all their samples are polydisperse. They only mentioned that the polydispersity index is less than 1.2 for most samples but without giving real figures. Polydispersity will broaden the Rouse modes, making the value  $\beta_n$  they obtained from the data not accurately reflecting  $(1 - n_R)$ . This is consistent with the fact that the normal mode loss peak reported by NF is significantly broader than that coming from the Rouse modes. Possibly, besides polydispersity, hydrogen bonding may contribute to the broadening of the Rouse modes like found in oligomers of PPG. Second, only spectra of one sample D4200 at two temperatures, 218 and 173 K, were shown, which leads the reader to suspect the spectra of other samples not shown are of the same quality or worse. By inspection of the loss spectra in Fig. 1 of NF, it can be seen that the width of the segmental relaxation at 173 K is much broader than that at 218 K, and hence the corresponding values of  $\beta_s$  at these two temperatures are very different. Moreover, the loss peak of the normal mode at 218 K is so weak that one wonders how reliable the value of  $\beta_n$  determined by NF is. Third, at higher temperatures, the  $\alpha$ -relaxation merges with the slower one of the two secondary relaxations, and this may contribute additional broadening of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and make the observed  $\beta_s$  smaller than  $(1 - n_{\alpha})$  in Eq. (2.63). Despite the uncertainties and irregularities pointed out in the above, NF offered the blanket statement: "... the experimental finding that  $\beta_s/\beta_n$  is constant over the whole temperature range. In addition, the ratio  $\beta_s/\beta_n$ obtained from DS increases with increasing molar mass while the data in Figure 4 do not show a significant molar mass dependence." Until NF have shown their spectra and analysis, and given the values of  $\beta_s$  and  $\beta_n$  together with their error estimates, there is no way to judge the validity of their statement and conclusion they drew that Eq. (2.63) is violated. NF also offered an alternative explanation of the phenomenon by the following hypothesis proposed by Schönhals et al. [909]. Assuming that the length scale of the dynamic heterogeneity of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation,  $\xi_{\text{het}}$ , grows on decreasing temperature toward  $T_{\text{g}}$ , when  $\xi_{\text{het}}$  matches the radius of gyration of the chain, Schönhals proposed that the normal modes relax together with the segmental relaxation, and the local segmental and the Rouse relaxation times merge together. This proposal does not make sense because within its framework the friction factor of the chain mode is an average over the friction factors of the dynamic heterogeneities, and hence it is no different from the friction factor of the average relaxation time,  $\langle \tau_{\alpha} \rangle$ . Therefore,  $\tau_{R}$  and  $\langle \tau_{\alpha} \rangle$  shift in parallel with

permission

temperature when approaching  $T_{g}$ , opposite to the observation of them having different shift factors. Furthermore, the normal modes are still Rouse-like modes because its relaxation time is observed to have the  $M^2$  or stronger molecular weight dependence. Hence, the local segmental and the Rouse relaxation are different processes and cannot merge together to become one and the same.

Temperature Dependence of Terminal Relaxation or Viscosity of High Molecular Weight Polymers Compared with that of the Local Segmental Relaxation

Precision measurements of creep compliance J(t) of polystyrene [166, 170, 171], poly(vinyl acetate) [169(b)], and atatic polypropylene [202, 875, 902] by Plazek and others have shown the temperature dependence of viscosity is weaker than the local segmental relaxation. This is demonstrated for entangled polystyrene with nearly uniform molecular weight 46,900 g/mol in Fig. 100 by a plot of creep compliance measurements shown as  $J_p(t) \equiv J(t)(T\rho/T_0\rho_0)$  measured at different temperatures but each shifted by the shift factor determined solely by the viscosity,  $a_{Tn} = (\eta_0 T_0 \rho_0)/(\eta T \rho)$ , which has been measured down to about 97°C, the glass transition temperature. The shift factors  $a_{Tn}$  naturally superposes well the data in the terminal zone because they have the same T-dependence as with viscosity, but they fail to superpose the data at shorter reduced times in the softening dispersion including the local segmental relaxation. From the direction of the deviation from superpositioning, it can be deduced that  $a_{T,\alpha} \equiv \tau_{\alpha}(T)/\tau_{\alpha}(T_{\rm g})$ , (or  $\tau_{\alpha}$ ) has stronger T-dependence than  $a_{T,n}$  (or the terminal relaxation time  $\tau_n = \eta J_e$ , since the plateau compliance  $J_e$  is nearly independent of T for entangled polymers).

The local segmental relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  of polystyrene was measured as a function of temperature by two-dimensional exchange NMR up to long times exceeding 100 s [923, 924] together with deuteron spin-lattice relaxation measurements of  $\tau$ 



261

between  $10^{-6}$  and  $10^{-7}$  s. The actual data, not shown, is well fitted by the WLF equation,  $\log[\tau_{\alpha}(T)/\tau_{\alpha}(T_g)] = -C_1(T-T_g)/(C_2+T-T_g)$ , where  $T_g = 373$  K,  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_g) = 100$  s,  $C_1 = 16.35$ , and  $C_2 = 52.5$  K. The solid line in Fig. 101 is  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$  from the WLF fit and is drawn to span the same range as the measured  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$ , and it truly represents the local segmental relaxation time. We compare the temperature dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$  with that of the shift factor,  $a_{T,S}$ , from time–temperature superposition of recoverable creep compliance,  $J_r(t)$ , curves in the glass–rubber softening region of another high molecular weight polystyrene described earlier (Fig. 100).



**Fig. 101** Left panel for polystyrene: The local segmental relaxation correlation time,  $\tau_c$ , of high molecular weight polystyrene as a function of temperature obtained by two-dimensional exchange NMR [923, 924] up to long times exceeding 100 s, and compared with the shift factor,  $a_{T,S}$ , from time-temperature superposition of recoverable creep compliance,  $J_{\rm r}(t)$ , curves of a entangled polystyrene with M = 46,900 g/mol (PS-A25) in the glass-rubber softening region [170]. Only the fits to the data of  $\tau_c$  (solid line) and  $a_{T,S}$  (short dashed line) by the WLF equation are given. The viscosity shift factor,  $a_{T,n}$ , is shown also (short-dashed-long dashed line). The NMR  $\tau_{\rm c}$  clearly has stronger temperature dependence than the viscosity in the *entire* temperature range. There is also good agreement between the temperature dependence of  $\tau_c$  and  $a_{T,S}$  at temperature tures below 384 K where  $a_{T,S}$  becomes sequentially the shift factor of first the sub-Rouse modes and second the local segmental modes as temperature is decreased toward  $T_g$ . Right panel for atatic polypropylene: Atactic polypropylene segmental relaxation times obtained from dynamic mechanical spectroscopy (O), dynamic light scattering ( $\Box$ ), dielectric relaxation ( $\nabla$ ), and <sup>13</sup>C NMR (- - - -) ( $\Delta$ ), along with terminal time-temperature shift factors for aPP from dynamic mechanical spectroscopy ( $\blacktriangle$ ), creep compliance ( $\blacklozenge$ ), ( $\diamondsuit$ ), and viscosity ( $\triangledown$ ). The *hollow symbols* represent local segmental modes, while *filled symbols* refer to the terminal relaxation. The legend indicates vertical shifts applied to the relaxation time data. The symbol  $\tau_{\rm c}$  indicates the time integral of the segmental portion of the relevant time correlation function, while for the frequency domain techniques the symbol  $\tau$  indicates  $(2\pi f_{\text{max}})^{-1}$ . All other data are shift factors, vertically scaled for superpositioning. The thin, solid curves are best fits to the VFTH equation [202, 875, 902]. Reproduced from [875] with permission

Comparison is also made with the viscosity shift factor,  $a_{T,\eta} = (\eta_0 T_0 \rho_0)/(\eta T \rho)$ from [168, 170], in Fig. 101 (left panel). Again only the WLF fits to  $a_{T,S}$  and  $a_{T,\eta}$ are shown in Fig. 101 (left panel), and the range of  $a_{T,\eta}$  shown by the line drawn corresponds to that of the actual measurements. We have already known from the failure of time-temperature superpositioning in Fig. 100 that  $a_{T,S}$  and  $a_{T,\eta}$  from creep compliance measurements do not have the same temperature dependence. By inspection of Fig. 101 (left panel), the NMR  $\tau_{\alpha}$  has the same temperature dependence as  $a_{T,S}$  in the temperature range below 384 K. This agreement supports the fact that the part of  $a_{T,S}$  for T < 384 K belongs to the local segmental relaxation [248] and possibly some more local sub-Rouse modes since NMR probes only local motion. In this lower temperature range, the  $a_{T,S}$  is determined principally from measurements of  $J_r(t)$  that are less than  $10^{-7}$  Pa<sup>-1</sup> [168, 248].

Above 384 K,  $\tau_{\alpha}$  starts to exhibit stronger temperature dependence than  $a_{T,S}$ . Between approximately 384 and 407 K,  $a_{T,S}$  is determined by curves with  $J_r(t)$  larger than  $10^{-7}$  Pa<sup>-1</sup> and consists of Rouse modes and possibly some shorter time modes in the plateau [165]. Thus the NMR data provide another proof that the local segmental relaxation time has stronger temperature dependence than the Rouse modes shown before by other kinds of measurements [165, 169(b), 202, 875, 902]. Above approximately 407 K, the creep compliance data are contributed entirely by the terminal viscoelastic mechanism, which has exactly the same temperature dependence as  $a_{T,\eta}$ . It is interesting to observe that the extrapolation of  $a_{T,S}$  to this high-temperature regime shows a different (weaker) temperature dependence than the NMR local segmental relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  or its shift factor  $a_{T,\alpha}$  clearly has stronger temperature dependence than the viscosity shift factor  $a_{T,\eta}$  in the *entire* temperature range shown in Fig. 101 (left panel).

The same conclusion was reached for atactic polypropylene [202, 875, 902] by using photon correlation spectroscopy (PCS) instead of NMR to measure  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$  and compare its shift factor  $a_{T,\alpha}$  with  $a_{T,S}$  and  $a_{T,\eta}$  from shear creep and stress relaxation measurement. The results are shown in Fig. 101 (right panel).

The disparity between  $a_{T,\alpha}$  and  $a_{T,\eta}$  becomes larger as  $T_g$  is approached from above, but conventional glass transition theories offer no explanation, indicating possible negligence of an important piece of physics in these theories. An explanation was given by the CM [173, 360, 837, 904, 925]. This explanation recognizes that the terminal relaxation leading to viscous flow of entangled polymer chains is also a many-body problem. The interchain interactions come from the entanglements. The primitive chain relaxation are the Rouse modes, some of which with wavelength smaller than the entanglement distance survive and appear at the end of the softening dispersion of J(t) and before the onset of the plateau. Since the CM is generally applicable to relaxation and diffusion in interacting systems, it follows that the many-chain relaxation time  $\tau_{\eta}$  and the primitive Rouse relaxation time  $\tau_{\rm R}$ are related by

$$\tau_{\eta} = [\hat{t}_{c}^{-n_{\eta}} \tau_{R}]^{1/(1-n_{\eta})}, \qquad (2.64)$$

where  $\hat{t}_c$  is the crossover time for entanglement coupling, which turns out to be of the order of nanoseconds, and  $n_\eta \approx 0.40$ . These figures will be substantiated by experimental data later in Section 3.2.3.2. On combining Eq. (2.64) with Eq. (2.61), the *T*-dependence of  $\tau_\eta$  is given by

$$\tau_{\eta} \propto (\zeta_0(T))^{1/(1-n_{\eta})}.$$
 (2.65)

On comparing this *T*-dependence of  $\tau_{\eta}$  with that of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  in Eq. (2.59), it is obvious that  $\tau_{\alpha}$  has a stronger *T*-dependence than  $\tau_{\eta}$  if  $n_{\alpha} > n_{\eta}$  as in the case of PS ( $n_{\alpha} = 0.64$ ), aPP ( $n_{\alpha} = 0.60$ ), and PVAc ( $n_{\alpha} = 0.57$ ) [112, 165, 479].

On the other hand, if  $n_{\alpha}$  is not much larger than  $n_{\eta}$  in some polymers, then the difference in *T*-dependence between  $\tau_{\eta}$  and  $\tau_{\alpha}$  becomes insignificant. This case was demonstrated experimentally by Plazek et al. [870] in entangled polyisobutylene (PIB), which has a smaller  $n_{\alpha}(T_g) = 0.45$  [208–210] comparable to  $n_{\eta} \approx 0.40$ 

## Strong Temperature Dependence of $J_S$ in Low Molecular Weight Polymers

It is well known [29] that the steady-state recoverable compliance,  $J_S$ , of unentangled polymers obtained at temperatures sufficiently high above the glass temperature is remarkably well described by the modified Rouse model prediction:  $J_S = 0.40(M/\rho RT)$ , where *M* is the molecular weight and  $\rho$  the density. However, as the temperature is decreased toward  $T_g$ ,  $J_S$  is found to decrease dramatically [168] (see left panel of Fig. 102a). The effect is large and measurable at low molecular weights. For example, in PS with M = 3400,  $J_S$  drops by 30 times from the



**Fig. 102a** (*Left*) Bi-logarithmic plot of the recoverable compliance vs. reduced time,  $t/a_T$  for PS with M = 3400. The reference temperature is 100°C. The *straight line* is the viscous contribution to total creep at 100.6°C. Note the large decrease of  $J_e$  as T decreases. The *inset* shows the local segmental retardation time,  $\tau$ , having a stronger temperature dependence than the Rouse time,  $\tau_R$ , given by the product,  $\eta J_e$ . Data from [165] replotted. (*Right*) Unshifted recoverable compliance,  $J_r(t)$ , of Se at four temperatures near  $T_g$ . Data from [869] are replotted hereon
Rouse value within 30°C as  $T_g$  is approached and reached [165, 168]. The result in PS was confirmed by others [866]. This effect is found in other polymers including polypropylene glycol (PPG) [867], selenium [869], and poly(methylphenylsiloxane) [206] and therefore is considered to be general. For a review see [165]. The same behavior is found for selenium [869], the inorganic and natural polymer, which is shown in the right panel of Fig. 102a.

Such a dramatic viscoelastic effect first discovered a long time ago [168] has only been rationalized by the CM as due to encroachment of the local segmental relaxation toward the chain modes [206, 871, 869]. Again, the fundamental cause of the effect lies in  $\tau_{\alpha}$  of the local segmental relaxation for unentangled low molecular weight polymers having a much stronger *T*-dependence than the relaxation times of the sub-Rouse and Rouse modes,  $\tau_{sR}$  and  $\tau_R$ , according to Eqs. (2.59)–(2.61) and the fact that  $n_{\alpha}$  is larger than  $n_{sR}$  and  $n_R$ . This is shown in Fig. 102 by experimental data from PS. In the inset of this figure, the relaxation time of the chain modes is given in terms of the product  $\eta J_S$  where  $\eta$  is the viscosity. The local segmental mode encroaches upon the chain modes on the timescale as *T* is lowered, and the observed reduction of  $J_S$  follows as a consequence as explained in [871].

Figure 102b (left panel) shows the large drop of  $J_S$  with decrease in temperature when approaching  $T_g$  in a low molecular weight (5000 Da) poly(methylphenylsiloxane) [206]. The right panel shows  $\tau_{\alpha}$  encroaching the chain mode relaxation time  $\eta J_S$  on decreasing temperature because the former has



**Fig. 102b** (*Left*) Unshifted recoverable compliance,  $J_r(t)$ , of PMPS-5000. The *lines* are Kohlrausch fits to the data. (*Right*) Arrhenius plot of various relaxation times. *Filled circles* stand for  $\alpha$ -relaxation time determined by the Kohlrausch fit in the left panel. *Filled diamonds* are the relaxation times of the chain modes. *Open diamonds* are  $\log(\eta J_e)$  shifted upward by 1.25 decades. *Open circles and open squares* denote the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and chain relaxation times, respectively. For more details see [206]. Reproduced from [206] by permission

stronger temperature dependence. Photon correlation spectroscopy measurement on the same sample was able to detect the chain mode, and its relaxation times determined are in agreement with  $\eta J_S$  from creep data.

Dielectric relaxation data of the local segmental relaxation and chain normal modes in polypropylene glycols [868, 909] and low molecular weight polyisoprene [910] have confirmed that the  $\tau_{\alpha}$  of the local segmental relaxation for unentangled low molecular weight polymers has a much stronger *T*-dependence than the relaxation times of the normal mode, which should represent the Rouse modes and the sub-Rouse when the molecular weight is low. This has been shown for PPG1000, 2000, and 4000 in Fig. 99. For more experimental data, see the review [165].

### Effect Reduced on Decreasing Intermolecular Coupling

Intermolecular coupling between repeat units of polystyrene together with the coupling parameter  $n_{\alpha}$  certainly will be reduced when diluting the polymer with a solvent with a much lower  $T_{\rm g}$  such as tri-*m*-tolyl phosphate [247]. The effects observed in bulk polystyrene will be weakened by addition of tri-*m*-tolyl phosphate. This expected change indeed has been observed [247], and is shown in Fig. 103 in a plot of the retardation spectra  $L(\log(\tau/a_T))$ . A large reduction of L of the bulk PS with M = 3400 on decreasing temperature down to  $T_{\rm g}$  can be seen from the



**Fig. 103** Logarithmic plot of  $L(t/a_T)$  as a function of reduced time for: (**a**) the bulk PS with M = 3400 (PS-4000) at seven temperatures, 100.6, 89.9, and 70°C (*open circles*); (**b**) the bulk PS with M = 600,000 (*dashed curve*); (**c**) the 54.7% solution of PS-4000 in *m*-TCP at two temperatures, 0.01 (*closed triangles*) and  $-20.0^{\circ}$ C (*closed squares*), and (**d**) the 53.3% solution of PS with M = 860,000 (*solid curve*). (*Inset*) Showing the weaker *T*-dependence of the chain modes shift factor,  $\frac{\eta(T)J_c(T)}{\eta(T_0)J_c(T_0)}$ , than the shift factor of the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation time,  $\alpha_T = \frac{\tau_{\alpha}(T)}{\tau_{\alpha}(T_0)}$  for five low molecular weight polymers, PIB (*closed circles on top*), two samples of PS, PPMS (or PMPS), and Se. Data from [247] are replotted here

figure, while the reduction is much smaller in the 54.7% solution of PS-4000 in *m*-TCP. The reduction of  $n_{\alpha}$  on dilution has the effect of making lesser the difference in the temperature dependence between the structural (local segmental) relaxation and the sub-Rouse/Rouse modes (see Eqs. (2.59), (2.60), and (2.61)). This change explains the smaller decrease of  $J_e$  or the reduction of *L* as *T* decreases toward  $T_g$ , as explained in more detail in [247].

As mentioned before, PIB has a smaller  $n_{\alpha}(T_g) = 0.45$  than polystyrene with  $n_{\alpha}(T_g) = 0.64$  [208–210]. Again the same effects are expected to be weaker in low molecular weight PIB than in low molecular weight PS, which indeed has been observed [871], as shown in Fig. 103. Because Se and PMPS do not have carbon backbone like PIB and PS, comparison of the effect between the polymers of different class is not straightforward.

### 2.2.5.10 Non-linear Deformation of Amorphous Polymers

Polymer glass under mechanical stress/strain changes structure and at sufficient strain level, it yields and undergoes plastic flow [926, 927]. The first model to explain this phenomenon is by Eyring [928] who proposed in general for all glasses that the energy barriers for molecular motion are lowered by external loading and which effectively transforms a glass into a viscous liquid. Since then, various modifications of Eyring's approach have appeared [929–933]. There is, however, a different approach to explain the phenomenon that emphasizes the reduction of intermolecular coupling and the cooperativity parameter n by the change of structure with applied strain in the context of the coupling model (CM) [934–936]. When proposed in the 1980s, this approach was not obviously the right approach to the problem, but by now it has become self-evident in view of the fact that n governs the structural relaxation in so many ways as shown before in the various subsections. In contrast, the original and the modified Eyring models do not consider the dispersion of the structural relaxation and make no prediction of its change by the strain.

Verification by experiment of the basic premise of the CM approach to explain the increase of molecular mobility by mechanical deformation of glassy polymers was first made more than 22 years ago [936]. In this experimental study of the effects of non-linear strain, an initial large strain is applied in the form of a ramp, and then held constant. The holding time  $t_s$  must be sufficiently long such that the structure has approached essentially a constant state or at least it is changing very slowly. The specimen is then probed with a perturbation, i.e., an additional small strain step. It is the response to this small strain that is considered as representative of the relaxation behavior of the structure at that particular non-linear strain magnitude. The magnitude of the small strain must be small so as not to cause further change of the structure. It was found through experimentation on the polymer bisphenol A polycarbonate (BPA-PC) that as long as the small strain is kept below 0.5%, the relaxation modulus is independent of the magnitude of the small strain. This strain independence ensures that the small strain does not further change the structure. To determine the time  $t_s$  necessary for the structure to reach a constant state, the stress relaxation response to a small strain of 0.2% or less was measured after the initial large strain step (up to 5.1%) beginning at different times  $t_i$ . When  $t_i$ exceeds some time, the small strain response becomes constant to within the experimental error, then  $t_s$  is considered to have been reached. For example, with 4% applied strain at 35°C, no further change of the small strain response was found after  $t_i = 10^5$  s, consistent also with the volumetric measurements. This constant small strain response was well fitted by the Kohlrausch function (Eq. (1.1)), and the exponent n and the relaxation time  $\tau$  are obtained as functions of the non-linear strain. The spectrum narrows (or *n* decreases) and the effective relaxation time  $\tau$ becomes shorter on increasing strain. The non-linear strains increasing up to 5.1% have the effect of reducing  $\tau$  by many orders of magnitude accompanied by decrease of *n* quite drastically from about 0.9 for the undeformed BPA-PC to nearly 0.65 at applied strain of 5.1% (see Fig. 104). The data, when extrapolated to yield strain (about 6%), gives an *n* value of 0.6, which is smaller than the value of n = 0.65at  $T_{\rm g}$  of undeformed BPA-PC. It is worthwhile to point out that the temperature at which the small strain-stress relaxation measurements were made is about 100 degrees below  $T_g$ . For the undeformed BPA-PC, the stress relaxation measured is contributed by the secondary relaxations rather than the local segmental relaxation. Only at sufficiently high applied non-linear strain, when  $\tau$  is not unreasonably long, are the parameters n and  $\tau$  identifiable with those of the local segmental motion. Thus, this 1988 mechanical experiment [936] is the first to show that the enhanced molecular mobility of deformed BPA-PC is strongly correlated with a narrowing of the dispersion of the local segmental relaxation, a feature predicted in the CM explanation of the phenomenon. Furthermore, from the CM relation rewritten as  $\log \tau = [n/(1-n)](-\log t_c) + \log \tau_0/(1-n)$ , it is clear that a smaller n does produce a shorter  $\tau$  as observed experimentally.

Criticism was raised by McKenna and Zapas [937, 938] against the technique used. The point made by these authors is that apparent aging behavior can be observed in a concentrated polymer solution subjected to non-linear strains. Furthermore, the BKZ theory [939] describes the non-linear behavior of the solution very well. Therefore, as their argument goes, the type of behavior observed cannot serve as evidence for structural changes since polymer liquids cannot undergo physical aging. Although the latter point is certainly correct, the implicit assumption that polymer liquids cannot undergo "structural" changes at large strains is probably not. One can certainly imagine flow-induced orientation of the chain molecules which can eventually lead to disentanglement. In fact, their data can be interpreted as strain-induced structural change and recovery: a plausible molecular interpretation of phenomena they choose to describe as "non-linear effects." As far as possible structural changes are concerned, whether or not the BKZ theory can describe the non-linear behavior of a polymer solution is irrelevant, since the theory does not take into account explicitly changes in structure. Finally, the volume relaxation results demonstrate that, insofar as one can assume that structural changes can be reflected by volumetric measurements, strain-induced structural changes have certainly occurred. It is important to note that the results of McKenna and Zapas fail to show a strain sensitivity of the parameter *n* after long hold times, whereas the



**Fig. 104** Plot of *n* vs. non-linear strain of BPA-PC [936]. The derivative technique was used to obtain an initial value of *n* at each strain level (*open circles*). Curve fitting of entire response to the small strain was used to obtain *n* (*closed circles*). There are good agreements between the values of *n* obtained by the two methods. The data, when extrapolated to yield strain (about 6%), gives an *n* value of 0.6, which is smaller than the value of *n* at *T*<sub>g</sub>. *Inset* is taken from [941] to show essentially the same result is obtained for lightly cross-linked PMMA. There is correlation between the Kohlrausch exponent,  $\beta \equiv (1 - n)$ , and enhanced mobility measured by the ratio of the relaxation time of deformed polymer to that of the undeformed polymer (*x*-axis) for three different trials with stresses in the range of 15.5–16.0 MPa. Data were acquired as the mobility was increasing (•) and decreasing (•). The *solid line* is a fit to the data. Reproduced from [936, 941] by permission

results obtained by the technique described above do. There is another support of the verity of the results from the technique. Small strain (0.1% and 0.2% extension) measurement was made on a specimen taken from the necked region after BPA-PC was elongated to the point of yielding [940]. The drawing was done at a mean strain rate of  $10^{-5}$  s<sup>-1</sup> to an extension ratio of 1.70. This independent measurement of the necked specimen is free from any of the possible problem suggested by McKenna and Zapas. The data of the elongated necked sample show shorter relaxation time and narrower dispersion than that of the undeformed sample. When the two sets of relaxation data are fitted to the Kohlrausch function,  $E(t) = E_U \exp[-(t/\tau)^{1-n}]$ , we find for the undeformed sample  $E_U = 2.43$  GPa, n = 0.86, and  $\tau = 7 \times 10^{10}$  s, and for the stretched specimen  $E_U = 3.54$  GPa, n = 0.77, and  $\tau = 4 \times 10^7$  s.

In 2009, twenty-one years after the mechanical probe study by Yee et al. [936], optical measurements of stress-induced molecular mobility in a lightly cross-linked poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) glass by the anisotropy decay function r(t) of

a probe was reported by Lee et al. [941]. Their study was carried out at 19 K below  $T_g = 395$  K. As the strain and strain rates are increased, they observed faster r(t) decays, indicating higher mobility. In the low-stress regime, the Eyring model is capable to describe the changes in the segmental mobility, but not the increase of mobility by more than a factor of 1000 as deformation continues into the flow regime. When the strain exceeds a certain level to reach the strain-hardening regime, the strain rate decreases, and r(t) decays more slowly. The Kohlrausch function  $r(t) = r_0 \exp[-(t/\tau)^{\beta}]$  fits the data well in both regimes. In the low-stress regime,  $\beta$  was unchanged from that of the undeformed sample ( $\beta = 0.32$ ). As mobility was increased by increasing strain in the flow regime,  $\beta$  became larger. The experimental procedure and results of Lee et al. are shown in Fig. 105.

Apparently this observation is the highlight of the experiment. From the identity,  $\beta \equiv (1 - n)$ , it is clear that this result of Lee et al. is qualitatively the same as that



Fig. 105 Simultaneous local measurements of strain and mobility in PMMA glass. (A) Strain during creep experiments at 375.7 K with an engineering stress of 16.0 MPa, followed by recovery. The initial and final shapes of the sample are shown. (B) Normalized anisotropy decays obtained during the creep experiment at times indicated by letters *a* to *f*. As the strain rate increased, higher mobility (faster anisotropy decay) was observed. The *solid lines* are KWW fits to the data. (C) Mobility shift factor during creep and recovery. Mobility increased by up to a factor of 1000. The *solid lines* are guides to the eye. (D) Correlation between the KWW  $\beta$  parameter and mobility for three different trials with stresses in the range of 15.5–16.0 MPa. Data were acquired as the mobility was both increasing (•) and decreasing ( $\mathbf{v}$ ). The *solid line* is a fit to the data. Reproduced from [941] by permission

of Yee et al. although the study of the former is at temperature much closer to  $T_{\sigma}$ than the latter. It is therefore justified to say that the experiment of Lee et al. confirms the assumption of decrease of intermolecular coupling and n to explain the stress-induced increase of molecular mobility in the framework of the CM [934– 936], although the CM was not given credit for this by Lee et al. None of the other models discussed by them predict this strong anticorrelation between increase of molecular mobility and width of dispersion or n. Lee et al. offered no explanation except basically reinterpreting their results by the statements: "This result indicates a narrowing of the distribution of relaxation times that reflects the dynamics in slow regions accelerating more than in mobile regions" and ".... in addition to lowering the barriers for molecular motion, deformation apparently modifies spatially heterogeneous dynamics in the glass." The appeal to spatially heterogeneous dynamics is not unreasonable because it correlates with the width of the dispersion or *n*. But, as we have seen before in the subsection on the breakdown of Stokes-Einstein relation, spatially heterogeneous dynamics fails in some cases to explain the phenomenon because it is just one of the parallel consequences of many-body relaxation.

The experimental results [934, 941] presented here cannot be explained by simple free volume concepts because Sternstein have observed similar shifts in relaxation behavior by shear deformation [942], where any volume change is but a second-order effect, and in compression, [943] where the volume change is actually negative. In fact, based on their studies on the effects of dilatative vs. deviatoric stresses, Sternstein [942] and Questad [943] have concluded that it is the deviatoric (distortional) component that is primarily responsible for the shifts in relaxation behavior. It is apparent that simple free-volume concepts or simple modifications of these concepts will not explain the effects of deviatoric stress on shifts in relaxation behavior. Volume recovery and physical aging measurements on quenched BPA-PC with or without mechanical deformation were made by Pixa and coworkers [944]. They found that volume recovery after cold drawing was faster than in the absence of deformation. On the other hand, the mass density of undeformed BPA-PC is lower than the cold drawn sample after elongated past the yield point. Thus the faster volume recovery is at odds with a decrease in specific volume after cold drawing. Pixa et al. [944] rationalized this apparent contradiction by assuming first that the volume decrease due to chain orientation affects only the occupied volume, and second that the free volume is increased by cold drawing. These are bold assumptions, which cannot be easily verified given the difficulty of separating free volume from occupied volume.

The decrease of the width of the  $\alpha$ -dispersion or n in the exponent (1 - n) of the Kohlrausch function by mechanical deformation, a critical experimental fact as well as anticipated by the coupling model, was developed into an approach to non-linear viscoelasticity [935]. The relaxation function predicted by the coupling model is used as a time-dependent kernel in a constitutive equation for a description of non-linear viscoelasticity. The model incorporates the strain history dependence and allows for the evolution of material structure and parameters n and  $\tau$ . Simulations

are presented showing how the model reproduces the essential features of constant strain rate, strain-rate change, and strain-step experiments. Experiments are described that can partially characterize the coupling model parameters and lead to quantitative predictions of the model.

Before closing this subsection, the reader may recall the discussion in Section 2.2.5.5 of the non-linear rheological behavior in aqueous colloidal suspensions of Laponite. When the shear rate  $\dot{\gamma}$  is larger than the average structural relaxation rate,  $\langle \tau_s \rangle^{-1}$ , Di Leonardo et al. observed [343] concomitant decrease of  $\langle \tau_s \rangle$  and the width of the dispersion or *n*. Both the decrease of  $\langle \tau_s \rangle$  and the decrease with the shear rate  $\dot{\gamma}$ . This observed non-linear rheological behavior of the *soft* glass-forming Laponite system is similar to that of *hard* glassy polymers effected by non-linear deformation, and consistent with the basic premise of the CM that *n* governs the relaxation time.

## 2.3 A Fundamentally Important Class of Secondary Relaxations

## 2.3.1 Background

The discussion in Sections 2.1 and 2.2 are almost exclusively on the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation. The emphasis on the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation in glass transition is understandable because it is the process responsible for vitrification, i.e., structural arrest on cooling when its relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  becomes too long for the glassformer to attain equilibrium. The many properties of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation presented all indicate that it is the many-body relaxation process (the degree of which is best represented by the fractional exponent n in the Kohlrausch correlation function in Eq. (1.1)that determines  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and its properties, in addition to the obvious dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$ on thermodynamic variables T, P, S, and V. To solve the glass transition problem, many-body relaxation must be incorporated into the theory in a manner that captures all its function. One way to accomplish this goal is first finding out how it is generated from simpler or more elementary processes at earlier times. The simpler processes of interest are those that either bear some relation or connection to the  $\alpha$ -relaxation or show some correlation with the  $\alpha$ -relaxation in observed properties. An obvious candidate is the so-called secondary relaxation found in many glassformers that have transpired at times long before the primary  $\alpha$ -relaxation makes its appearance. Traditionally, secondary relaxations are considered unimportant for glass transition. This is because in the early days the secondary relaxations found were usually identified with local motion involving either only a part of the molecule or some internal (intramolecular) degree of freedom [106], in contrast to the  $\alpha$ -relaxation which involves the motion of the entire molecule and cooperatively (intermolecularly) with other molecules.

Such a view of all secondary relaxations was challenged by the discovery of the presence of a secondary relaxation in totally structurally rigid glassformers having no internal degree of freedom for motion. The original example is the totally rigid and polar chlorobenzene molecules in a mixture with non-polar cisdecalin by dielectric relaxation measurements [105, 945]. A secondary  $\beta$ -relaxation originating from chlorobenzene was observed together with the  $\alpha$ -relaxation also coming from the dipole moments of the chlorobenzene molecules in the mixture. This result removes the myth that all secondary relaxations are trivially intramolecular in origin. It also suggests that secondary relaxation may be a universal feature in all glassformers, and had led Johari and coworkers and others to look for secondary relaxations in different kinds of glassformers. Examples include hydrogen-bonded glassformers, alcohols [945, 946], plastic crystals [945, 947], glucose [948], epoxies [949], polymers [950], molten salt, 0.4Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-0.6KNO<sub>3</sub> (CKN) [951], and inorganic phosphate-silicate glass (0.243Na<sub>2</sub>O-0.269CaO-0.026P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-0.462SiO<sub>2</sub>) [952]. However, it must be mentioned that some of the secondary relaxations found by Johari and coworkers in these references do not belong to the special class that has fundamental importance in glass transition. Also, secondary relaxations in polymers with or without pendant molecular units on the backbone have been found by dielectric, mechanical, and NMR spectroscopies even long before Johari and Goldstein [106], but many of them do not belong to the special class. The special class was only recently identified and characterized by properties [865, 953], and will be the subject of extensive discussions in the following subsections. Particularly worth mentioning in discovery of secondary relaxations belonging to the special class are metallic glasses by Chen and coworkers [954, 955] and others following him [956–960]. These efforts raised awareness of the common occurrence of secondary relaxation and stimulated interpretations of its origin, but most if not all come short of asserting of any connection it has with the  $\alpha$ -relaxation or any important role it plays in glass transition. The interpretation of secondary relaxations, given before [105, 945, 961–965] and maintained till recent years [966], is some local rotational and/or translational diffusion, in loosely packed isolated regions in a mechanically rigid structure of a glass. The loosely packed isolated regions or "interstices" between the clusters were referred to as "islands of mobility" [945], a term also used by Goldstein in 1969 [36], or "defects," and the size of such regions was assumed to decrease with temperature. The specific reference to glass and kinetic freezing-in of density fluctuation to produce regions of low density is perhaps due to the fact that most often observation of the secondary relaxations were made in the glassy states, particularly in earlier years when dielectric and mechanical spectroscopies were limited to measurements over narrow bands of frequency. Although it was noted by Johari [945] that the secondary relaxation is also a "property of the liquid state above  $T_g$ ," the "islands of mobility" or "interstices" interpretation of secondary relaxation and the emphasis on the glassy state may have the adverse effect of dispelling the fundamental connection it may have with the  $\alpha$ -relaxation in the liquid state.

In the potential energy landscape model proposed by Goldstein [36] or the inherent structure model [39, 967], the state of a glass is represented by a deep energy minimum with a corrugated bottom representing a number of shallow energy minima of different depths. Each shallow minimum corresponds to one configuration of the secondary relaxation. No connection between the secondary relaxation and the  $\alpha$ -relaxation seems to be present in these models for the glass or liquid when generalized to above  $T_g$ . A recent view of Goldstein on this subject can be found in his publication in J. Non-Cryst. Solids of papers presented in the 6th IDMRCS held in Rome, Italy in 2009 [964].

Williams and Watts in 1971 [76, 968] proposed a different view of the secondary relaxation that it corresponds to faster, small angle (thermally activated) reorientational motion of *all* molecules. After the small angle reorientations have occurred, the same molecules undergo a large angle reorientation in a co-operative manner identified with the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. The total correlation function  $\Phi(t)$  is composed of that for the  $\alpha$ -process  $\Phi_{\alpha}(t)$  and that for the secondary  $\beta$ -process  $\Phi_{\beta}(t)$ according to

$$\Phi(t) = [(1 - S(T))\Phi_{\beta}(t) + S(T)]\Phi_{\alpha}(t).$$
(2.66)

Here (1 - S(T)) is the fraction of the correlation relaxed by the  $\beta$ -relaxation  $\Phi_{\beta}(t)$ , and the remnant is independently and completely relaxed by the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. The secondary relaxation is manifestly *independent* of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation in Eq. (2.66), and is called the Williams ansatz by others. So is the model of Donth and coworkers which assumes simple superposition of the two processes, and simple additivity of the frequency-dependent susceptibility [969]. The Williams ansatz and the simple additivity assumption are currently being used by researchers to analyze experimental data. In the "island of mobility" model, the secondary  $\beta$ - and the primary  $\alpha$ -relaxations occur in spatially separate regions, and therefore simple additivity of the contributions from the two processes is apparently the rule for this model.

The works cited above make secondary relaxation more popular and intriguing, but they all indicate that it is independent of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and hence implying that it plays no role in glass transition. In the past few decades, secondary relaxations have been found in many more glassformers. Broadband dielectric spectroscopy and NMR techniques have helped to observe secondary relaxation in the liquid state of some glassformers, and in many instances more than one secondary relaxation were found in the same glassformer. However, little effort has been made to distinguish different kinds of secondary relaxations and classify them according to differences in properties until recently [685]. This lack of effort did not help the cause of finding out if some specific class of secondary relaxation may be important for glass transition. The first clear indication that a specific class of secondary relaxations does bear relation to  $\alpha$ -relaxation seems to be works in 1998 [251, 953, 970], which demonstrate a strong correlation between *n* and the ratio  $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{\beta}$  (or the separation distance,  $\log \tau_{\alpha} - \log \tau_{\beta}$ ) at a predetermined value of  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . This correlation first established by examining existing data from many different glassformers is repeatedly confirmed by more experimental data coming out after 1998. Here  $\tau_{\beta}$  is the relaxation time of the secondary  $\beta$ -relaxation, and *n* is the quantity appearing in the Kohlrausch correlation function (Eq. (1.1)) which measures the degree of non-exponentiality of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. Glassformers with larger *n* have larger ratio of  $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{\beta}$  for the same

 $\tau_{\alpha}$ . The search and discovery for this correlation was motivated by a similar relation between *n* and the ratio,  $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_0$ , where  $\tau_0$  is the primitive relaxation time in the coupling model (CM) [251, 953, 195]. The primitive relaxation entails the motion of all parts of the molecule, and thus anyone of the secondary relaxations chosen to establish the correlation is of this nature, and naturally it is usually the slowest secondary relaxation of the glassformer when more than one is present. The secondary relaxation found in totally rigid glassformer by Johari and Goldstein necessarily involves motion of the entire molecule. It has obvious connection with the primitive relaxation and it should conform to the correlation, as has been shown [672, 676, 677]. The polyalcohols, glycerol, threitol, xylitol, and sorbitol, obey the correlation [239, 195], but they are flexible glassformers with internal degrees of freedom and hydrogen bonding. Nevertheless, deuteron NMR found that deuterons at all positions in sorbitol show the same spin-lattice relaxation, indicating that the whole molecule contributes to the secondary relaxation [239]. For other glassformers in general, it is important to distinguish secondary relaxations that conform to the correlation and have properties like that of the primitive relaxation. For these secondary relaxations, I have been calling them the Johari–Goldstein  $\beta$ -relaxations. This nomenclature serves me to kill two birds with one stone. First, a term is needed to distinguish secondary relaxations that resemble the primitive relaxations, and like the primitive relaxations, they have properties mimicking the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. Second, it honors Johari and Goldstein for what I consider as an important discovery of secondary relaxation in totally rigid glassformers. It is for the same intents and purposes that the term Johari–Goldstein  $\beta$ -relaxation (JG  $\beta$ -relaxation) or simply JG relaxation is used throughout this book by me. However, it must be made clear that neither Johari nor Goldstein had distinguished and separated out secondary relaxations belonging to the special class from other unimportant ones, like I did starting in 1998 [251]. Therefore, their points of view or model interpretations are not necessarily the same as mine, especially on those I call JG  $\beta$ -relaxation.

The term, JG  $\beta$ -relaxation, is used merely to distinguish secondary relaxation within a class that bears symbiotic relation with the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. It does not give any detail about the nature of the molecular motion involved in the secondary relaxation belonging to this class, which can vary greatly from one system to another. There is a hidden danger when using this term. Someone who has knowledge of the nature of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in some family of glass-forming systems, say amorphous polymers, may object the use of the same term for secondary relaxation in other systems, such as metallic glassformers or water, having very different nature. JG β-relaxation in polymer only involves restricted rotation of the bonded repeat unit, while the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in metallic glass can translate, and in water can rotate and translate. This objection has been issued in the recent past based on past experience in the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of polybutadiene to say that the observed secondary relaxation of water cannot be the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. This confusion can be avoided if one bears in mind that JG  $\beta$ -relaxations in different systems can differ in characteristics. The use of the non-specific term, primitive relaxation, instead of JG  $\beta$ -relaxation for the secondary relaxation belonging to the special class has the advantage of eliminating the unnecessary confusion and objection.

Objection to the use of the term, JG  $\beta$ -relaxation, even for my purpose was raised by some practitioners of dielectric spectroscopy, especially by Graham Williams at the 2007 International Dielectric Society Conference in Lyon, France. The arguments he gave was that secondary relaxations, including the kind I emphasize, have been found in polymers (see [106]) long before the works of Johari and Goldstein. Williams maintained his objection although I explained to him that the term is merely used to distinguish a special class of secondary relaxation that is the true precursor of the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation analogous to the primitive relaxation of the coupling model (see Section 2.2.1.3).

Other workers have used the same term, Johari-Goldstein β-relaxations, for a different reason. The mode coupling theory (MCT) has a fast relaxation process in the GHz regime which is also called the  $\beta$ -process [31]. This fast process is not thermally activated and is not a true secondary  $\beta$ -relaxation in the usual sense. Nevertheless, the similar terminology,  $\beta$ -process, used by MCT has caused confusion with the true secondary  $\beta$ -relaxation. To distinguish the true secondary  $\beta$ -relaxation from the fast  $\beta$ -process of the MCT, the names Johari–Goldstein has been appended to it by others, calling it the Johari–Goldstein  $\beta$ -relaxation [682, 971, 972]. This renaming of secondary relaxation is understandably motivated by the desire by others also to recognize the contribution of Johari-Goldstein in the field of secondary relaxations. However, in this practice by others, any observed secondary relaxation would be called Johari-Goldstein relaxation without differentiating their properties as was done in many papers. An example is the secondary relaxation showing up as the only resolved dielectric loss peak at ambient pressure in dipropylene glycol (2PG), tri-propylene glycol (3PG) [657, 658], diethyl phthalate (DEP) [660], and dibutyl phthalate (DBP) [661]. On applying pressure, the loss peak does not shift to lower frequencies, unlike the  $\alpha$ -loss peak. Thus, the resolved secondary relaxation in 2PG, 3PG, DEP, and DBP is not the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation because it is unlike the  $\alpha$ -relaxation at least as far as pressure dependence is concerned. Based on the chemical structure of DEP and DBP, the resolved relaxation originates from the rotation of the alkyl group about the flexile oxygen bond and only involves a part of the molecule, and this is another reason why it is not the JG relaxation. As will be discussed later, the JG relaxation in these glassformers does exist but is not resolved, but become resolved on elevating pressure in the case of 2PG and 3PG [657, 658], and by mixing with a higher  $T_g$  glassformer in the case of DEP and DBP [660, 661]. It is interesting to note that the authors of [657] inadvertently called the resolved secondary relaxation at ambient pressure as the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation even though its relaxation time is pressure independent, and should be called the non-JG relaxation. This misrepresentation is understandable because [657] was published in 1993, a year before the paper on classification of secondary relaxations into JG and non-JG appeared [685].

On the other hand, secondary relaxations in some other glassformers such as poly(phenylglycidylether) (PPGE) from [673, 973], dipropyleneglycol dibenzoate (DPGDB) [669, 678], BIBE [669, 974], PMMA with three repeat units [975], picoline [676], or quinaldine [677, 976] in mixtures with tristyrene [974] have been observed to shift to lower frequencies on elevating pressure, as well as in other

glassformers to be named later. Calling all of them Johari–Goldstein  $\beta$ -relaxations would obscure the difference in this and other important properties of secondary relaxation.

On applying sufficient high pressure on dipropylene glycol and tripropylene glycol, it turns out that a new secondary relaxation emerges in the isothermal dielectric loss spectra, which shifts to lower frequencies with increasing pressure. Also in other glassformers including DPGDB [678], PPGE [673, 973], DHIQ [686, 977], there are two resolved secondary relaxations, with one sensitive to pressure and the other not. It would be a disservice to call both secondary relaxations by the same name of Johari–Goldstein relaxation.

JG relaxation seems to be a universal feature of glassformers of all kinds. Its presence is clearly evident in the isothermal or isochrono relaxation spectra in many glassformers but may require special procedures to detect it in other glassformers. It is commonly found in small molecule glassformers and amorphous polymers, and also in wider classes of materials. In addition to CKN, phosphate-silicate glass, plastic crystals, metallic glasses mentioned before, JG relaxation was found in pharmaceuticals such as indomethacin, fananserine, aspirin, and ibuprofen, monosaccharide, disaccharides, polysaccharides, room temperature ionic liquids, aqueous mixtures, and hydrated proteins. All these cases will be discussed separately later.

In the subsections to follow, account is given of the interesting properties of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation that show it is well connected to the  $\alpha$ -relaxation, and hence it has fundamental importance and must be included when considering the dynamics leading to glass transition.

# 2.3.2 The Important Class of Secondary Relaxations That Are Well Connected to the Primary α-Relaxation: The Johari–Goldstein β-Relaxations

Since glass transition is manifested by the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation, secondary relaxation is important for glass transition consideration if and only if it bears some connection to the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. If we know for sure that the secondary relaxation involves the motion of all parts of the molecule, then it is likely connected to the  $\alpha$ -relaxation by virtue of the fact that  $\alpha$ -relaxation involves more molecules but each molecule entirely. Also, if the  $\alpha$ -relaxation is the product of the cooperative dynamics originating from the JG or primitive relaxation, it is natural to expect that the properties of the JG relaxation will mimic that of the structural  $\alpha$ relaxation. This connection between the two relaxations is not restricted to totally rigid small molecule glassformers. For example, the repeat units of the polymers, polyisoprene [978], 1,4-polybutadiene [762, 979, 980], and 1,2-polybutadienes [981] and poly(vinyl chloride) have all atoms in the main chain and no side group. Therefore, the secondary relaxation observed in these polymers by experiment and by simulations [80, 356] involves motion of all atoms of the repeat unit. Even for some polymers with side chains such as poly(n-methyl methacrylates) and poly (*n*-ethyl methacrylates), the secondary relaxation involves not only 180° flip of the  $-O - (C \equiv O)$ -plane of the side group between two potential energy minima but also the rocking motion of the part of the repeat unit on the main chain, the amplitude of which increases with temperature [754, 755]. Hence essentially all parts of the repeat unit are involved in the motion. Deuteron NMR studies have shown that all parts of the sorbitol molecule contribute equally to the dynamics of the  $\beta$ -relaxation [615].

If in general the properties of the secondary relaxation bear some relation to that of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation, then it is intuitively obvious that the former must involve the motion of the entire molecule. The connection of the secondary relaxation to the  $\alpha$ -relaxation we are looking for can be any of the following: (1) similarity in properties, (2) correlation between the two relaxations or relation of parameters characterizing them, and (3) modification of one relaxation leading to change in the other. Those secondary relaxations that exhibit one or more such connections belong to an important class, which we call the Johari–Goldstein (JG)  $\beta$ -relaxations. When specialized, each of these general criteria spawns many possible specific connections between the two relaxations that can be checked by experiments. The experimental data that show the various connections and support the "universal" presence of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation are given item by item below.

# **2.3.2.1** Correlation Between the Ratio $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{JG}$ and *n* at a Predetermined Value of $\tau_{\alpha}$

As mentioned in the background material, the thought of possible existence of a class of JG secondary relaxations that are well connected to the  $\alpha$ -relaxation comes from this very attribute of the primitive relaxation of the CM [251, 953], and the fact that secondary relaxations like the primitive relaxation are usually local and non-cooperative processes. The primitive relaxation involves the motion of the entire molecule and is the initiator of the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation. Thus, if a class of secondary relaxation important for glass transition (i.e., the JG relaxations) exists, it should have similar properties as the primitive relaxation, and its relaxation time,  $\tau_{JG}$ , is approximately the same as the primitive relaxation time,  $\tau_0$ , of the CM. In other words, the approximate equality,  $\tau_{JG} \approx \tau_0$ , is expected. Although the CM has been discussed before in some detail in Section 2.2.1.3, it is worthwhile to introduce once more here its signature equation,

$$\tau_{\alpha} = [t_{\rm c}^{-n} \tau_0]^{1/(1-n)},\tag{2.67}$$

which links  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_0$ . Here *n* referred to as the coupling parameter also is the same quantity appearing in the Kohlrausch correlation function for the  $\alpha$ -relaxation in Eq. (1.1). It is the indicator of non-exponentiality or the extent of the many-body  $\alpha$ -relaxation dynamics.  $t_c$  is the onset time of many-body dynamics, the magnitude of which is entirely determined by the interaction potential. It should be approximately the same for glassformers belonging to the same family, and is insensitive

to *T* and *P*. For carbon-based small molecule and polymeric glassformers, neutron scattering experiments and molecular dynamics simulations have indicated that  $t_c$  is about  $2 \times 10^{-12}$  s, as discussed before in Section 2.2.1. Equation (2.67) when rewritten as

$$\tau_0/\tau_\alpha = (\tau_\alpha/t_c)^{-n}, \text{ or } (\log \tau_\alpha - \log \tau_0) = n(\log \tau_\alpha - \log t_c)$$
(2.68)

clearly shows, at a fixed  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , the ratio  $\tau_0/\tau_{\alpha}$  decreases or the difference (log  $\tau_{\alpha} - \log \tau_0$ ) increases monotonically with increasing *n* since ( $\tau_{\alpha}/t_c$ ) >> 1 is usually satisfied in most experimental investigation. Hence, a correlation exists between  $\tau_0$  and *n* for any chosen fixed value of  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . This result together with the hunch that  $\tau_{JG} \approx \tau_0$  led to the approximate relations

$$\tau_{\rm JG}/\tau_{\alpha} \approx (\tau_{\alpha}/t_{\rm c})^{-n}$$
, or  $(\log \tau_{\alpha} - \log \tau_{\rm JG}) \approx n(\log \tau_{\alpha} - \log t_{\rm c})$ . (2.69)

These approximate relations encouraged me to search for the possible correlation between  $\tau_{JG}$  and *n* by examining published experimental data of many carbon-based small molecule glassformers and amorphous polymers at ambient pressure. A strong correlation was found and shown amply by tables and figures in [195, 251, 953]. Instead of duplicating these older results, new results are presented here. This is a *cross* correlation between the quantity  $\tau_{JG}$  coming from the secondary relaxation and *n* (or the width of the dispersion) which is a characteristic of the primary  $\alpha$ relaxation. This *cross* correlation evidently indicates the existence of a non-trivial connection between the JG relaxation and the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. Before 1998, criteria for JG relaxation are virtually non-existent for glassformers in general except in the obvious cases of totally rigid molecular glassformers and polymers with repeat unit devoid of side group. Fortunately, most of the glassformers used to show the correlation turn out to be genuine JG relaxations as judged by criteria established thereafter.

The most assuring test of such a cross correlation is best carried out on glassformers from the same family. An example is the polyalcohols, sorbitol, xylitol, threitol, and glycerol [615]. The coupling parameter *n* decreases with decreasing molecular weight [195], and indeed the separation between the  $\alpha$ - and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation measured by the ratio  $\tau_{\text{JG}}/\tau_{\alpha}$  at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$  increases in this order. Here I show the correlation obtained by Capaccioli et al. from mainly van der Waals glassformers in Fig. 106 (right panel).

Another example is the family of poly(*n*-alkyl methacrylates) [953(b), 969, 982]. Higher member of the family with longer side chains has smaller *n* because of increase of internal plasticization by more mobile methylene units in the side chain. Again the same correlation of  $\tau_{\beta}$  with *n* at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is found.

One can change the coupling parameter of poly(n-butylmethacrylate) (PnBMA) by incorporating styrene (S) into it to form a series of P(nBMA-*stat*-S) random copolymers with different styrene contents. Styrene is less mobile than butylmethacrylate [970(a)]. Consequently, the random copolymer with larger styrene content has stronger intermolecular coupling for the motion of the butylmethacrylate



**Fig. 106** (*Right*) JG relaxation time evaluated at  $T_g$  vs. coupling parameter *n*, where  $T_g$  is uniformly defined as the temperature at which  $\tau_{\alpha} = 10^2$  s. The *dotted line* is calculated according to Eq. (2.69). Reproduced from [616] by permission. (*Left*)  $\beta$ -relaxation time vs.  $\alpha$ -relaxation width-parameter  $\beta_{KWW}$  for five plastic-crystalline materials. Both parameters were taken at  $T_g$ , defined as the temperature where  $\tau_{\alpha} = 10^4$  s. The *line* shows the prediction of the coupling model according to Eq. (2.69). Data from [145] redrawn

units probed by dielectric relaxation, resulting in increasing separation between the  $\alpha$ - and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation measured by the difference (log  $\tau_{\alpha} - \log \tau_{JG})$  [970(a)]. This is in fact observed experimentally [970(b)]. Actually, this effect is general and has been found in the  $\alpha$ - and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of a component (such as picoline) when mixed with a less mobile (higher  $T_g$ ) component (such as tri-styrene). The difference (log  $\tau_{\alpha} - \log \tau_{JG})$  increases with increasing concentration of the less mobile component [843, 844]. These data from mixtures will be discussed later in Section 2.3.2.10.

### Plastic Crystals

For the family of plastic crystals [145], not only  $\tau_{\beta}$  correlates with *n* at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , but also  $\tau_{\beta}$  is in good agreement with the primitive relaxation time  $\tau_0$  calculated from Eq. (2.67). The results are shown in the left panel of Fig. 106, where the label of the abscissa,  $\beta_{\text{KWW}}$ , is the same as (1 - n).

More recently Bauer et al. [145] reported dielectric relaxation study of the plastic crystalline mixture of 60% succinonitrile and 40% glutaronitrile (60SN-40GN), the plastic phase of which can be easily supercooled. They found evidence of a slower secondary  $\beta$ -relaxation lying in between the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and a pronounced faster secondary  $\gamma$ -relaxation. Bauer et al. found good agreement between the  $\beta$ -relaxation time and the primitive relaxation time calculated by the CM relation, indicating that the  $\beta$ -relaxation is the Johari–Goldstein of 60SN-40GN. The evidence for the presence of the  $\beta$ -relaxation in 60SN-40GN from the isothermal dielectric spectra is not strong because it is not directly resolved. The spectra of 60SN-40GN are similar to those of other glassformers including dipropylene glycol and tripropylene glycol [657, 658], diethyl phthalate [660], di-*n*-butyl phthalate (DBP) [661] and its isomer, diisobutyl phthalate (DiBP) [661], dioctal phthalate [684], *m*-fluoroaniline [671],

and benzophenone [508, 1121]. Like 60SN-40GN, the isothermal dielectric spectra of all these glassformers are dominated by the  $\alpha$ - and the resolved  $\gamma$ -relaxation, and the  $\beta$ -relaxation is not resolved, albeit its location indicated by the primitive relaxation frequency calculated by the CM relation in relation to the  $\alpha$ - and the  $\gamma$ -loss peaks is similar to that found by Bauer et al. in 60SN-40GN. The reader can verify the similarity by comparing the spectra of 60SN-40GN with those of the others. Nevertheless, by various means including elevated pressure [657, 658, 671], physical aging [684], and mixing with another glassformer with higher  $T_g$  [508], the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation has been fully resolved in the other glassformers, and its existence is not in doubt. Moreover, the  $\gamma$ -relaxation does not shift its position on elevating pressure and hence it bears no relation to the  $\alpha$ -relation [508, 657, 658, 671]. The proofs of existence of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in the other glassformers having similar dielectric spectra as 60SN-40GN should boost confidence in the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation found by Bauer et al. in 60SN-40GN.

#### Inorganic Glasses

It is difficult to obtain relaxation spectra of inorganic glassformers in the first place because of their high glass transition temperature, and limited number of available experimental techniques that can be used. Thus, the relation between the  $\alpha$ - and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation times and the correlation of  $\tau_{\beta}$  with *n* at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$  of inorganic glasses have not been checked except for a few exceptions [251] such as 2Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>–3KNO<sub>3</sub> [951] by shear modulus, and B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> by calorimetry [983, 984]. Dynamic modulus measurements of the phosphate-silicate (0.243Na<sub>2</sub>O–0.269CaO– 0.026P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>–0.462SiO<sub>2</sub>) [952] and various oxide glasses [985] do not give sufficient information for the present purpose of examining the correlation.

However, recently Yu and coworkers [986, 987] were able to examine the relation between the  $\alpha$ - and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation times and its variation in a number of inorganic glasses of different compositions. The method is enthalpy relaxation by DSC scan of the rapidly quenched glass after annealing at temperature well below  $T_g$  apparently first used by Chen and coworkers to find the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in polymers (polystyrene) [988], inorganic glasses (B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) [989], and metallic glasses, Pd<sub>48</sub>Ni<sub>32</sub>P<sub>20</sub> and (Fe,Co,Ni)<sub>75</sub>Si<sub>10</sub>B<sub>15</sub> [954, 955, 990, 991]. Annealing of the quenched glass well below  $T_{\rm g}$  relaxes the enthalpy, particularly the part associated with the JG  $\beta$ -process. On reheating the annealed glass during the DSC scan, the lost enthalpy is recovered and detected by an endothermic sub- $T_g$  peak, in the specific heat  $C_p$  spectrum that precedes the larger endothermic peak associated with the  $\alpha$ -process and glass transition. Thus, the sub-T<sub>g</sub> endothermic peak originates from the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. Increase of annealing time leads to increase of the intensity of the sub- $T_g$  peak and shifts it to higher temperatures. Chen and coworkers emphasized their observed relaxation at temperatures well below  $T_{\rm g}$  by calling it sub-sub- $T_g$  relaxation. They also pointed out that the sub-sub- $T_g$  relaxations of polystyrene, B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and metallic glasses are qualitatively similar in properties despite great differences in structure and bonding.

Bershtein and Egorov [984] applied the method for polymers, and obtained the activation enthalpy, *E*, of the process responsible for the sub- $T_g$  peak from the change of the peak temperature  $T_p$ , with heating rate, *q*, by the relation  $E = -R[d \ln q/d(1/T_p)]$ . Identification of the sub- $T_g$  peak with the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation is confirmed by good agreement with values obtained by dielectric and mechanical measurements for several polymers. The same was found in pharmaceutical substances, poly(vinylpyrrolidone) (PVP), indomethacin (IM), and ursodeoxycholic acid (UDA) [992]. The same equation was also used by Chen and coworkers in their study of inorganic and metallic glasses, and the value of the activation enthalpies obtained is significantly smaller than that of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation, and it belongs to the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation.

Yue and coworkers [986, 987, 994] applied the method to hyperquenched inorganic glasses obtained by cooling from the melt at very large cooling rate of about  $10^6$  K/s. The glasses studied include GeO<sub>2</sub> (m = 17.5), NIST710a (m = 44.6), E-glass (m = 50.2), Basalt (m = 62.5), 45CaO-55SiO<sub>2</sub> (m = 90.4), and 55CaO-45SiO<sub>2</sub> (m = 141.2) in order of increasing "fragility" index m obtained from viscosity. In one experiment, the excess specific heat  $C_{p,\text{exc}}$  spectrum was obtained by subtracting the specific heat  $C_p$  spectrum of a slow cooled glass from that of the hyperquenched glass of the same inorganic material. The exothermic peak in the  $C_{p,\text{exc}}$  spectrum as a function of temperature is asymmetric and its width increases with increasing m (see Fig. 107-1). Two peaks seen in the broader spectrum of the more "fragile" glass are coming from the  $\alpha$ - and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxations. The



**Fig. 107-1** The  $C_{p,\text{exc}}/C_{p,\text{exc},\text{max}}$  ratio as a function of the  $T_g$ -normalized temperature,  $T/T_g$ , for all samples ranging from fragile to strong, where  $C_{p,\text{exc},\text{max}}$  is the peak value, i.e., the maximum excess heat capacity of the  $C_{p,\text{exc}}$  spectrum. The *inset* shows the dependence of the half-widths ( $W_{1/2}$ ) on fragility.  $W_{1/2}$  is determined as the width of the original spectra at a value of  $0.5C_{p,\text{exc},\text{max}}$ , and dimensionless. Reproduced from [986, 987] by permission

peak at lower temperature is less intense and is associated with the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation The separation in temperature between the two peaks decreases with decreasing *m* to the point that the lower temperature peak can no longer be resolved and appears as a shoulder in the  $C_{p,\text{exc}}$  spectrum of GeO<sub>2</sub> (m = 17.5) and NIST710a (m = 44.6). From the results, it is clear that the separation between the  $\alpha$ - and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxations correlates with *m*. The non-exponentiality parameters *n* of all the glasses studied are unavailable. If indeed *n* correlates with *m* for the glasses studied, the results of Yu and coworkers provide another example of correlation of *n* with the separation between the  $\alpha$ - and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxations in inorganic glasses.

GeO<sub>2</sub> has the smallest *m* and also *n* is nearly 0 from the exponential time dependence found by volume relaxation measurement [993]. More detailed study of the  $C_p$  spectrum of hyperquenched GeO<sub>2</sub> was made by Hu and Yue [994]. From the data they find  $\tau_{\rm JG}$  has Arrhenius temperature dependence with activation energy E = 155.7 kJ/mol and is equal to  $23.5RT_g$  typical for JG  $\beta$ -relaxations [971, 995, 996], and  $\tau_{\rm JG}(T_g)$  is equal to 10 s. This long  $\tau_{\rm JG}(T_g)$  of GeO<sub>2</sub> together with the nearly zero *n* value is in accord with the empirical linear relationship between log  $\tau_{\rm JG}(T_g)$ and *n* established before by considering many glassformers [251, 997], and the prediction of the extended CM [195].

The fact that Yue and coworkers can resolve the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in GeO<sub>2</sub> is primarily due to hyperquenching, which increases the relaxation strength of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation relative to the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. This is a technique to reveal the JG  $\beta$ relaxation used by others in organic glassformers such as *ortho*-terphenyl having much lower  $T_g$  than inorganic glassformers to be discussed later. The rationale for the technique is the larger amplitude of motion for the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in hyperquenched glasses with larger specific volume and entropy. An analogue can be found in the isothermal dielectric spectra of organic glassformers in the equilibrium liquid state. At temperatures high above  $T_g$ , where the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation is merging with the  $\alpha$ -relaxation, the relaxation strength of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation can become comparable to the  $\alpha$ -relaxation in some glassformers.

Amorphous thin films of chalcogenide glasses of Ge<sub>1</sub>Sb<sub>4</sub>Te<sub>7</sub>, Ge<sub>1</sub>Sb<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>4</sub> and Ge<sub>2</sub>Sb<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>5</sub> have found the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation [994], with  $\tau_{JG} \approx \tau_0$ .

#### Pearl Necklace Model of Polymer

Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations of polybutadiene (PBD) chains with reduced dihedral barriers (LB-PBD) by Bedrov and Smith [80, 356(a), 356(b)] shows the presence of both the  $\alpha$ - and JG  $\beta$ -relaxation well separated from each other within the timescales of MD simulations. Nevertheless, the structure of LB-PBD chains contains multiple intramolecular mismatches (different atom sizes, bond lengths, bend angles, and dihedral potentials), and it is not clear which entity is involved in the relaxation, and whether the existence of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation requires specific molecular motion in the polymer. To clarify this point, Bedrov and Smith [356(c)] have recently made MD simulations of the simplest polymer devoid of molecular structure, where the polymer chains were represented by a simple bead-necklace model to see if they could find a clearly resolved JG process. The polymer chains were represented by a collection of identical beads interacting via Lennard-Jones



**Fig. 107-2** (*Upper*) Schematic representation of bead-necklace model used in this work (a)  $\sigma/l = 2.85$ , (b)  $\alpha/l = 1.0$ . (*Lower left*) Torsional autocorrelation function (TACF) of bead-necklace model with bond length l = 1.5 Å obtained at several temperatures. (*Lower right*) TACF of bead-necklace model with bond length l ranging from 4.0 to 1.4 Å obtained at 100 K. Courtesy of D. Bedrov and G. Smith

potential with  $\sigma = 4.0$  Å and  $\varepsilon = 0.0936$  kcal/mol. The beads were connected by rigid (constrained) bonds of length *l*. Bends were also kept rigid at 120° to minimize interference from short-time dynamics by the high-frequency vibrational motions (see upper panel of Fig. 107-2). No dihedral potentials were applied. The system contained 100 chains, each comprised of 76 beads. Segmental dynamics/relaxations have been characterized by torsional autocorrelation function (TACF).

Bedrov and Smith [356(c)] studied the dynamics of melts comprised of chains with *l* ranging from 1.4 to 4.0 Å. The TACF for melts with l = 1.5 Å obtained at several temperatures in the lower left panel of Fig. 107-2 shows the two processes. The slower  $\alpha$ -relaxation shifts to longer times much more than the faster  $\beta$ -relaxation as temperature decreases, resulting in increased separation between these processes. Thus, the  $\beta$ -relaxation exists even in the bead-necklace model which has no internal motional degree of freedom. This result for polymers by Bedrov and Smith is as fundamentally significant as the discovery of secondary relaxation in totally rigid molecules by Johari and Goldstein.

The influence of the extent of bead overlap or interaction on the dynamics can be deduced by comparing the TACFs of melts with different *l* ranging from 1.4 to 4.0 Å. For l=4.0 Å the bond length is equal to the bead diameter resulting in tangent bead-necklace chains, while for l = 1.4 Å there is considerable overlap between

bonded beads. In the lower right panel of Fig. 107-2 the TACFs from MD simulations at atmospheric pressure and 100 K for bead-necklace chains with different bond lengths l are compared. On decreasing l or increasing overlap between bonded beads, several changes can be observed. First, for the  $\alpha$ -relaxation, its time dependence becomes more stretched (larger n) and its relaxation time becomes longer. Second, the separation between the  $\alpha$ - and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation increases. Hence, the results of bead-necklace model from Bedrov and Smith proves once more the correlation between *n* and the separation between the  $\alpha$ - and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. This figure clearly shows that for melts with l > 2.0 Å the time dependence of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation approaches exponential (i.e., *n* is small), showing no clear separation between the  $\alpha$ - and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation, and hence the latter cannot be resolved. Fourier transform of the TACF may show an excess wing on the high-frequency flank of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak. This serves as an analogue of unresolved JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in molecular glassformers caused by small n. In the bead-necklace model, this is due to small overlap between bonded beads. Bedrov and Smith also study the dynamics of the bead-necklace model at elevated pressures. The results of these studies will be discussed in Section 2.3.2.8 below together with other results [997–999].

*Pharmaceuticals.* The JG  $\beta$ -relaxation has been found in pharmaceuticals including indomethacin [992(b), 1000(a),(b)], acetyl salicylic acid (aspirin) [1003], fananserine (C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>24</sub>FN<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S) [1000(c)], and ibuprofen ((2*RS*)-2[4-(2-methylpropyl)phenyl]propanoic acid, C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) [1004]. The data will be shown later in another subsection.

# 2.3.2.2 Good Correspondence Between $\tau_{JG}$ and the Primitive Relaxation Time $\tau_0$ at Ambient Pressure

In Section 2.3.2.1, JG relaxations of different glassformers when examined collectively reveal the cross correlation between  $\tau_{JG}$  and *n*. Here we consider the glassformers individually. Since the publication of the 1998 paper on relation between  $\tau_{JG}$  and  $\tau_0$  [251], a number of papers have shown for many glassformers that there is good correspondence between  $\tau_{JG}$  and  $\tau_0$  at various temperatures and pressures, i.e.,  $\tau_{IG} \approx \tau_0$ . Before we proceed further to show examples, it is worthwhile to comment that approximate equality between  $\tau_{JG}$  and  $\tau_0$  can only be expected. This is because in practice  $\tau_{JG}$  is usually obtained from a characteristic time of the experimentally observed spectrum but it is not entirely clear that it should be exactly  $\tau_0$  because the exact evolution of many-body relaxation with time and the resulting frequency spectrum after the onset at  $\tau_0$  is not yet known. If the technique used is dielectric spectroscopy and the JG relaxation is observed as a loss peak, it is common practice to model the JG secondary relaxation by a Cole-Cole distribution of relaxation times. To obtain  $\tau_{\rm JG}$ , the Cole–Cole distribution is added onto the  $\alpha$ -relaxation represented by another empirical function, and  $\tau_{IG}$  is determined by fitting the spectrum. The William–Watts ansatz (Eq. (2.66)) is another method of choice. Both methods are invalid because they have assumed that the secondary and the primary relaxations are unrelated processes, which is at odds with the cross correlation found and other relations to be described below [120, 195, 618, 685, 997],

and the NMR experiment which has proven directly that they are intertwined [998, 999]. The results of this NMR experiment are important and shall be discussed in a subsection to follow. Therefore, the  $\tau_{IG}$  obtained by these commonly used methods of analyzing spectra may not reflect its actual value. This was done even by me in the past in several studies that are collaborations with others. Examples are the analyses of dielectric loss spectra of the plastic crystal cyclohexanol [145], glycerol, propylene carbonate, and propylene glycol [424], where the Cole–Cole distribution is added onto the  $\alpha$ -relaxation modeled by the one-sided Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function or the other empirical functions. Figure 108 shows this practice for cyclohexanol, where the vertical arrow indicates the location of the primitive frequency,  $v_0 \equiv 1/2\pi \tau_0$ , calculated with  $n \approx 0.38$  from the Kohlrausch fit to the  $\alpha$ -loss peak. It can be seen that the peak frequency of the Cole–Cole fit underestimates  $v_0$ , although the two have the same order of magnitude. For glycerol and propylene carbonate at temperatures near  $T_{g}$ , the Cole–Cole distribution is so broad that a significant part of its contributions occurs at frequencies lower than the  $\alpha$ -loss peak frequency, contradicting the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation is the precursor of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation, a fact which will be amply substantiated by experimental data to be discussed in the many subsections to follow. Ideas of how the relaxation dynamics proceed with time can be gained from the results of real-time evolution of dynamics of colloidal particles seen by confocal microscopy [141, 800] and molecular dynamics simulations of Li ions in Li metasilicate glass [802]. In order of increasing time, the relaxation spectrum is contributed first by relaxation of caged molecules exhibited as nearly constant loss in the frequency-dependent susceptibility spectrum, which is terminated when the local primitive relaxation makes its appearance. This is followed by continuous buildup of many-body relaxation with participation of increasing number of molecules with time until reaching the terminal relaxation with the maximum possible number of molecules (or length scale) and the correlation function follows the time dependence of the Kohlrausch function. Although this qualitative description of evolution of many-body relaxation with time deduced from the experimental and simulation data is reasonable, a quantitative theoretical description of the evolution with time has yet to be constructed by anyone. Thus, the experimentally deduced  $\tau_{IG}$  from the loss peak frequency may not be exactly the same as  $\tau_0$  and thus only the approximate relation,  $\tau_{JG} \approx \tau_0$ , can be expected in the absence of a viable theory to quantitatively account for the evolution of dynamics by the author or anyone else.

The tests of good correspondence between  $\tau_{JG}$  and  $\tau_0$  at various temperatures, i.e.,  $\tau_{JG} \approx \tau_0$ , are mostly carried out at temperatures above  $T_g$  where  $\tau_{JG}$ ,  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , and *n* can be determined from the spectra and  $\tau_0$  calculated by

$$\tau_0 = (t_c)^n (\tau_\alpha)^{1-n}, \tag{2.70}$$

the equivalent of Eq. (2.67). There are many such examples in the past 10 years which will be introduced step by step in subsections to follow. Some examples from different classes of glassformers have been given before [139, 145, 195, 252,



**Fig. 108a** (a) Dielectric loss spectrum of cyclo-hexanol at 150 K. The *solid line* is a fit with the sum of a HN function (*dashed line*) and two CC functions (*dash-dotted and dotted lines*). The *vertical arrow* indicates the location of the primitive relaxation frequency,  $v_0$ , calculated by the CM equation with the value of *n* determined by fitting the  $\alpha$ -loss peak by the Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function. The *inset* shows the region of the  $\gamma$ -relaxation at low temperatures. The *lines* are fits with the CC function. Reproduced from [145] by permission. (b) Complete Arrhenius diagram depicting the  $\alpha'$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$ -processes found in plastic phase (I) of cyclo-hexanol (CHXOL) obtained by authors of [681(a)]. Also included are the data of others for the purpose of comparison. A *vertical line* is drawn at the melting temperature  $T_m$ . Also shown by *filled inverted triangles* are the adiabatic calorimetry data for the several processes reported by Mizukami et al. [1010(b)] which approximately correspond to enthalpy relaxation of  $\log f_m(\text{Hz}) = 2.45 \pm 0.45$ . Reproduced from [681(a)] by permission

569(a), 660, 669–680, 684, 703, 978, 997, 1000–1010]. Reasonably good agreement between  $\tau_{JG}$  and  $\tau_0$  has been found in many different glassformers wherever the resolved secondary relaxation is truly the JG relaxation. Hence it is sufficient here to demonstrate this by a few chosen examples in widely different families of glassformers to illustrate the general validity of the relation  $\tau_{JG} \approx \tau_0$ .

*Plastic crystals.* The example of cyclohexanol can be seen in Fig. 108. The spectra shown in part (a) are taken from [145] by Brand et al. The location of the primitive relaxation frequency  $v_0$  calculated by the CM equation indicated by the arrow in the figure is in good agreement with that deduced by Brand et al. from the spectrum.

The relaxation map of cyclohexanol presented as Part (b) of Fig. 108 showing all processes found is adapted from a figure in [681(a)] by Singh and Murthy. The most important aspect in this figure is the detection of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation by adiabatic calorimetry by Mizukami et al. in the glassy state [681(c)], which supports the identification of this process by Brand et al. from dielectric relaxation data above  $T_g$  as demonstrated in Fig. 108.

*van der Waals liquids.* There are many such examples. Here one example chosen from dielectric loss data of ethylbenzene [1002] is shown here in the left panel of Fig. 109.

On the right panel of Fig. 109, we show the good correspondence of  $\tau_{\rm JG}$  and  $\tau_0$  calculated from  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and *n* (determined by fitting the  $\alpha$ -loss peak by the Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function) in the relaxation map of two glassformers, dipropyleneglycol dibenzoate (DiPGDB) and DiPG-CH<sub>3</sub> [616, 678]. These two glassformers have different molecular structures and widely different glass transition temperatures ( $T_g = 220$  K for DiPGDB and 137 K for DiPG-CH<sub>3</sub>). DiPGDB



**Fig. 109** (*Left*) Dielectric loss data of ethylbenzene. Data from [1002] replotted. (*Right*) Relaxation map representing the structural (*closed symbols*) and secondary JG (*open symbols*) relaxation time in two glassformers having the same *n* parameter. The temperature scale is scaled for the value of  $T_g$  (220 K for DiPGDB and 137 K for DiPG-CH<sub>3</sub>). *Circles* refer to DiPGDB data and *triangles* to DiPG-CH<sub>3</sub>. At the glass transition the distance of the timescales of the two processes is the same for both glassformers. The *filled large square* is the value of  $-\log \tau_0$  calculated by the CM equation at  $\tau_{\alpha} = 100$  s for both glassformers. Reproduced from [616, 678] by permission

is dominated by the presence of the bulky benzoate group, which is replaced by the small methyl group to form DiPG-CH<sub>3</sub>. Here the dielectric  $T_g$  is defined by  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_g) = 100$  s. By scaling temperature by  $T_g$ , the  $\tau_{JG}$  and  $\tau_{\alpha}$  data of the two glassformers can be presented together. Interestingly and coincidentally the two glassformers have the same value of *n* for the same  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , and in particular  $n(T_g) = 0.41$ at  $\tau_{\alpha} = 100$  s. The lone filled square in the right panel of Fig. 109 is the value of  $-\log \tau_0$  calculated by the CM equation at  $\tau_{\alpha} = 100$  s for both glassformers. There is good agreement between  $\tau_{JG}$  and  $\tau_0$  when  $\tau_{\alpha} = 100$  s. One can see the relaxation map of  $\tau_{JG}$  and  $\tau_{\alpha}$  of the two glassformers are isomorphic after scaling *T* by  $T_g$ , and obviously the two glassformers have the same fragility. As a bonus, the example presented in the figure illustrates that n(T) controls the entire dynamics irrespective of the difference in chemical structure and  $T_g$ .

Amorphous polymers. For the class of glassformers that have resolved JG relaxation in the equilibrium liquid state, I chose the amorphous polymer 1,4-polyisoprene (PI) [978]. Figure 110 presents the isothermal dielectric data of PI taken as several temperatures above the dielectric  $T_g = 207.5$  K defined by  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_g) = 100$  s. The fits of the asymmetric  $\alpha$ -loss peaks at 216.0, 211.2, 208.2, and 204.2 K by the one-sided Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function yield n = 0.53 independent of temperature (as shown for 211.2 and 208.2 K by *dashed lines*)



**Fig. 110** (*Left*) Dielectric loss data of 1,4-polyisoprene at four temperatures showing the presence of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. The *dashed lines* are fits by the Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function with n=0.53. The *vertical arrows* indicate the primitive relaxation frequency  $v_0$  calculated. (*Right*) The *inset* shows the nearly constant loss measured in PI at representative temperatures below  $T_g$ . *From top to bottom*: 169.7, 151.7, 139.7, 85.5, 79.5, and 73.5 K. The main figure shows the nearly constant loss as a function of temperature at 0.10, 1, and 10 kHz. The *line* is a least-squares fit to all data points by the function  $Aexp(T/T_0)$ , where A = 0.456, and T\_0 = 79.8 K

in the figure). With n = 0.53,  $\tau_0$  is calculated using Eq. (2.67) and  $t_c = 2 \times 10^{-12}$ s from the  $\tau_{\alpha}$  at 212.2 K, 208.2 K, and 204.2 K. The frequency  $v_0 = 1/(2\pi\tau_0)$  for each temperature is indicated in the figure by a vertical arrow. For T = 212.2 and 208.2 K, the calculated  $v_0$  is located on the broad shoulder of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. For T = 204.2 K,  $v_0$  is near the secondary relaxation peak maximum. The typical VFTH *T*-dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  above  $T_g$  and the Arrhenius *T*-dependence of  $\tau_{JG}$  near and below  $T_g$  are shown in Fig. 110. The agreement between  $\tau_0$  and  $\tau_{\beta}$  or  $\tau_{JG}$  at 204.2 K is further displayed in Fig. 110 by near coincidence of the calculated  $\tau_0$ (*the lone open circle*) and  $\tau_{JG}$  (*closed circles*) at this temperature. The good correspondence between  $\tau_0$  and  $\tau_{\beta}$  or  $\tau_{JG}$  is verified in 1,4-polyisoprene as well as in 1,4-polybutadiene (see Fig. 2 in [979]).

Room temperature ionic liquids: 1-hexyl-3-methylimidazolium chloride (or bromide). The room temperature ionic liquid, 1-hexyl-3-methylimidazolium chloride (or bromide), was discussed before in Section 2.2.4.3 and shown in Fig. 50 for superpositioning of the electric loss modulus  $M''(\nu)$  data for different combinations of P and T at fixed  $\nu_{\alpha}$  [747(a)]. Here in Fig. 111-1, we present some sample spectra of the electric modulus  $M''(\nu)$  and  $M'(\nu)$ , which show the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation and a much faster  $\gamma$ -relaxation at temperatures above and below  $T_{g}$ . The fit of the one-sided



**Fig. 111-1** Electric loss modulus of the room temperature ionic liquid, 1-hexyl-3methylimidazolium chloride, showing the presence of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation at two temperatures 183 and 213 K together with the  $\gamma$ -relaxation at higher frequency. The *vertical arrow* indicates the primitive relaxation frequency  $\nu_0$  calculated for T = 213 K. Courtesy of M. Mierzwa. To be published as [747(a)]

Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function to the loss peak  $M''(\nu)$  taking into consideration the broadening on the high-frequency side by the  $\beta$ -loss yields n = 0.44 at temperatures near  $T_{\rm g}$ . The  $\nu_0$  calculated via Eq. (2.67) and  $t_{\rm c} = 2 \times 10^{-12}$  s from the  $\nu_{\alpha}$  at 213.0 K is about 10<sup>4</sup> Hz. Its location, indicated by the arrow in Fig. 111, is within the frequency range where  $M''(\nu)$  shows an excess loss indicating the presence of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation, and thus  $\nu_0$  is in order-of-magnitude agreement with  $\nu_{\rm JG}$ .

Room Temperature Ionic Liquids: 1-Butyl-3-methylimidazolium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide. The appearance of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in another ionic liquid, 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide (BMIM-BMSF), was found by Rivera and Rössler [747(b)]. These authors identified it as the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation based on the facts that it is the slower of two secondary relaxations (see Fig. 111-2, upper left panel at 130 K), it merges with the structural relaxation,



Fig. 111-2 (*Upper left*) Loss spectra of BMIM-BMSF at ambient pressure. Data redrawn from the source in [747(b)]. (*Upper right*) Relaxation map at ambient pressure. (*Lower left*) Sample loss spectra at elevated pressures compared with ambient pressure at approximately the same relaxation frequency. (*Lower right*) Relaxation map vs.  $T_g$ -scaled temperature at ambient and elevated pressure. Courtesy of M. Mierzwa et al.

and its relaxation time has Arrhenius activation energy of about  $24k_BT_g$  and preexponential factor of  $10^{-14} - 10^{-15}$  s (see Fig. 111-2, upper right panel). Their dielectric loss data taken at ambient pressure are redrawn and shown in the left panel of Fig. 111-2. The best fit to the loss peak at 180 K by the Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function yields  $n \approx 0.50$ . The location of  $v_0$  calculated via Eq. (2.67) with  $n \approx 0.50$  and  $t_c = 2 \times 10^{-12}$  s for this temperature is indicated by the vertical arrow in the figure. The good agreement between  $v_0$  and the frequency of the resolved loss peak provides further confirmation that the slower secondary relaxation is the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of BMIM-BMSF.

Mierzwa et al. [747(c)] measured the dielectric response of BMIM-BMSF at elevated pressures up to 1.5 GPa. At the same  $\alpha$ -loss peak frequency, sample spectra at 1.1 and 1.5 GPa are superposable but have narrower width than the spectrum at ambient pressure (see lower left panel of Fig. 111-2). There is again agreement between the calculated  $\nu_0$  with  $\nu_{JG}$  at the elevated pressures. The relaxation maps at 0.1 MPa and 1.5 GPa are plotted together vs.  $T_g$ -scaled reciprocal temperature in the lower right panel. On elevating pressure from 0.1 MPa to 1.5 GPa,  $T_g$  is increased from 180 to 276 K. Notwithstanding, the relation between  $\tau_{JG}$  and  $\tau_{\alpha}$  remains about the same as suggested by the CM.

*Polyalcohols.* The dielectric loss data of xylitol and sorbitol are chosen to illustrate the relation  $\tau_{JG} \approx \tau_0$  (Figs. 112 and 113). The xylitol figure shows the broad JG  $\beta$ -loss peak merges with the  $\alpha$ -loss peak at higher temperatures [195]. The remarkable breadth of the JG  $\beta$ -loss peak, particularly on the high-frequency side, seems to be due to the presence of the nearly constant loss with  $\varepsilon''(\nu) \propto \nu^{-0.11}$ . The



Fig. 112 The *dashed lines* are fits by the Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function with n = 0.46. The *vertical arrows* indicate the primitive relaxation frequency  $v_0$  calculated. At lower temperatures below  $T_g$ , the high-frequency flank of the JG  $\beta$ -loss peak has the nearly constant frequency dependence approximately described by  $\varepsilon''(v) \propto v^{-0.11}$ . Reproduced from [195] by permission



**Fig. 113** Dielectric loss spectra of D-sorbitol at three temperatures: 264, 266, and 268 K (data from [240] replotted). The *dashed lines* are fits by the Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function with n = 0.52. The *vertical arrows* indicate the primitive relaxation frequency  $v_0$  calculated from the coupling model equation

sorbitol figure shows good fit of the Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function to increasingly higher frequencies as the  $\alpha$ -relaxation is further separated from the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation at lower temperatures. The vertical arrow pointing toward the data indicates the location of the primitive relaxation frequency,  $\nu_0$ , calculated by the coupling model (CM) equation. There is good agreement between  $\nu_0$  and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation peak frequency.

Secondary Alcohols. Dielectric relaxation studies of glassformers of this kind have a long history. Many dielectric studies have found that the slowest relaxation is a Debye process describable by a single exponential time correlation function and has extremely large dielectric strength. This starts as early as in 1951 by Davidson and Cole [218, 1005] and continued to present times by others [348(b), 961, 1006–1012]. The dielectric loss spectrum of the secondary alcohol, 5-methyl-2-hexanol [1008], shown in Fig. 114 serves to illustrate its presence together with two faster but much less prominent relaxations. The slower one of the two is the  $\alpha$ -relaxation, and the faster one is the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation.

The fit of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak by the Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function with n = 0.46 is shown by the line in the figure. The vertical arrow pointing toward the data taken indicates the location of the primitive relaxation frequency,  $\nu_0$ , calculated by the coupling model (CM) equation. There is good agreement between  $\nu_0$  and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation peak frequency.

*Monohydroxyl Alcohols*. The temperature dependences of the three processes are typical and are shown in Fig. 115 for another monohydroxyl alcohol, 1-propanol [348(b)]. The feature of the Debye process is unusual for supercooled liquids including even some other hydrogen-bonded glassformers. More recent studies have found



**Fig. 114** Isothermal dielectric loss data of 5-methyl-2-hexanol at T = 158.2 K from [1008] replotted here showing resolved JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in the supercooled liquid state above  $T_g$ . KWW fits with n = 0.46 to the  $\alpha$ -relaxation peak are shown by the line. The *vertical arrow* pointing toward the data indicates the location of the independent relaxation frequency,  $v_0$ , calculated by the CM

studies that this dielectric Debye peak has no equivalent in mechanical or calorimetric experiments and suggest it is not the structural relaxation [348(b), 1010, 1011]. Although the exact process responsible for the Debye process is unknown, it is clear that it arises from the dielectric polarization fluctuations of the hydrogen-bonded network. One proof of this is by replacement of a H atom by a phenyl group in a 1-propanol molecule to form 1-phenyl-1-propanol. The replacement creates steric hindrance and prevents intermolecular H bonding, and it was found that the Debyetype relaxation process which occurs in 1-propanol vanishes in 1-phenyl-1-propanol [348(b)]. Present still are the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. By subtracting off the contribution of the Debye process to the loss spectrum, the authors of [1008] and [348(b)] obtained the loss spectra of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation in 5-methyl-2-hexanol and 1-propanol, and fits by the Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function requires value of n equal to 0.46 and 0.40, respectively. The calculated primitive frequencies,  $v_0$ , are in accord with that of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation as indicated by the position of the arrow in Fig. 114, and by the lone closed circle in the right panel of Fig. 115 where the relaxation map of all three processes are presented.

*Carbohydrates*. The carbohydrates are an important class of glassformers having important applications in food science, medicine, and biology. It includes the monosaccharides such as glucose, fructose, galactose, sorbose, and ribose [1012(a), (e)]; the disaccharides such as sucrose, maltose, trehalose, lactulose, and leucrose [1012(b), (c)]; and polysaccharides such as cellulose, pullulan, and dextran [1012(d)]. They all have JG  $\beta$ -relaxation and will be further discussed in another subsection to follow. Here we show the example from fructose in Fig. 116.



**Fig. 115** (*Left*) Experimental dielectric loss results for nPOH in the temperature range 120.8 K > T > 64.8 K in steps of 2 K and in the order from *upper to lower curves*. Four distinct contributions, I, II, and III relaxations and the NCL with  $\varepsilon'' \propto f^{-0.12}$ , are observed. Peak I resembles a Debye-type process. Replotted from data of [348(b)]. (*Right*) Temperature dependence of the peak frequencies  $\nu_{max}$  for nPOH. The dielectric results ( $\nu_{max}/Hz$ ) for peak I ( $\Box$ ), peak II (O), and peak III ( $\blacklozenge$ ). The light-scattering data ( $\nu_{max}/Hz$ ) are shown by ( $\blacktriangle$ ).  $T_{\beta} \approx 138$  K marks the bifurcation temperature if the Arrhenius *T*-dependence of peak III above  $T_g$  is extrapolated to meet peak II. Data from [348(b)] and replotted. The *lone closed circle* ( $\bullet$ ) is the primitive relaxation frequency  $\nu_0$  calculated from the CM with n = 0.40



Fig. 116 (a) The dielectric loss spectra of fructose at ambient pressure showing the presence of two secondary relaxations, after dc conductivity has been subtracted. The slower  $\beta$ -relaxation is the JG process, but not the faster  $\gamma$ -relaxation, suggested in (b) by the shift of the  $\beta$ -relaxation with pressure but not the  $\gamma$ -relaxation. Data from [1012(a)] are replotted here

### A Useful Supplementary Relation

Before closing this subsection, a useful relation is given between the parameters of the Arrhenius *T*-dependence of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{\rm JG}$  (i.e., the prefactor  $\tau_{\infty}$  and the activation enthalpy  $E_{\beta}$ ) in the glassy state and the parameters of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation (i.e.,  $n(T_{\rm g})$  where  $T_{\rm g}$  is defined by  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_{\rm g}) = 100$  s). The relation, the equivalent of Eq. (2.67) with  $t_{\rm c}$  taken to be 2 ps, is as follows [996]:

$$E_{\beta}/RT_{\rm g} = 2.303(2 - 13.7n - \log_{10}\tau_{\infty}). \tag{2.71}$$

It has been verified for several glassformers and binary mixtures at ambient and elevated pressures such as demonstrated in [996, 1013]. If the secondary relaxation is truly JG, and the parameters  $E_{\beta}$  and  $\tau_{\infty}$  of the Arrhenius temperature dependence of its relaxation time have been determined in the glassy state by experiment, one can determine approximately the coupling parameter  $n(T_g)$  of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation by substituting these values and the known  $T_g$  into the equation above. This method can be handy in case the dispersion of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation is either unavailable or cannot be measured.

Many glassformers have a genuine JG relaxation but at ambient pressure it can only be resolved at temperatures below  $T_g$  and  $\tau_{JG}$  determined, but not  $\tau_{\alpha}$  because the  $\alpha$ -loss peak is outside the experimental frequency window. The Arrhenius T-dependence of  $\tau_{JG}$  is then used to extrapolate to higher temperatures to obtain its values  $\tau_{JG}(T)$  at temperature T near  $T_g$ , where  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$  and n(T) can be determined by fitting the observed a-relaxation to the Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function, and whence  $\tau_0(T)$  calculated, and the relation  $\tau_{\rm JG}(T) \approx \tau_0(T)$  verified for T near  $T_g$ . An example among many is taken from dielectric measurements of dipropyleneglycol dibenzoate (DPGDB) [1014]; the dielectric loss spectra of it showing the presence of two secondary relaxations,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ , have been presented before in Fig. 35 (e). The relaxation map of data at ambient pressure (Fig. 117a) shows the relaxation frequencies of the  $\alpha$ -,  $\beta$ -, and  $\gamma$ -relaxations from experiment, the extrapolation of the Arrhenius T-dependence of  $v_{\beta}$  below  $T_{g}$  to  $T \geq T_{g}$ , and the calculated  $\nu_0(T)$ . It can be seen from the figure that, for  $T \ge T_g$ , there is the approximate agreement between  $\nu_0(T)$  and the extrapolated  $\nu_0(T)$  or equivalently  $\tau_{\beta}(T) \approx \tau_0(T)$ . Not shown here is the significant shift of its relaxation frequency  $\nu_{\beta}$  on physical aging [1014], which will be further discussed later. The isothermal spectra at elevated pressures presented in Fig. 117b show the resolved  $\beta$ -relaxation shifts to lower frequencies with pressure. These properties of the slower  $\beta$ -relaxation indicate it is the JG relaxation [1014]. The faster  $\gamma$ -relaxation does not have these attributes. Equation (2.67) clearly indicates that  $\tau_0$  is connected to  $\tau_{\alpha}$  quantitatively and qualitatively in dependence on any variable (see Eq. (2.35)). This property of  $\tau_0$  in conjunction with the general experimental result,  $\tau_{\rm JG} \approx \tau_0$ , leads immediately to the conclusion that the JG relaxation is well connected to the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and instrumental in effecting glass transition.



**Fig. 117** (a) Relaxation map, frequency vs. 1000/*T*, of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation (*filled circles*),  $\beta$ -relaxation (*open and filled squares*), and  $\gamma$ -relaxation (*filled triangles*). The *open diamonds* are  $\nu_0$  calculated for 228.15, 225.15, and 223.15 K from the parameters,  $\nu_{\alpha}$  and *n*, used to fit the  $\alpha$ -relaxation by the KWW function. *Open circles* and *open inverted triangles* are  $\nu_0$  calculated for the lower temperatures [1014]. The *filled diamonds* indicate the decrease of the  $\beta$ -relaxation frequency,  $\nu_{\beta}$ , with time on annealing a sample after it was rapidly quenched from 300 to 173.15 K. (b) Isothermal dielectric loss vs. frequency at 253 K at different levels of elevated pressure as indicated and presented to show sensitivity of the slower  $\beta$ -relaxation to pressure but not the faster  $\gamma$ -relaxation. The *line* is the fit to the  $\alpha$ -loss peak of data taken at 1418 bar by the KWW function with n = 0.38. The *arrows* indicate the location of  $\nu_0$  calculated by the CM equation. Data from [1014] replotted here

# Fallacious Attempts to Calculate $\tau_0$ and Compare with $\tau_{JG}$ : Use and Misuse of the CM

There are glassformers in which the JG relaxation and the  $\alpha$ -relaxation are not well separated in frequency and the dielectric strength of the former is not small compared with the latter. Consequently, the unresolved JG relaxation significantly broadens the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. An example is the dielectric relaxation data of poly(3methylbenzyl methacrylate) (P3MM), poly(3-fluorobenzyl methacrylate) (P3FM), poly(3-chlorobenzyl methacrylate) (P3CM), and poly(2,4-difluorobenzyl methacrylate) (P24FM) reported by Dominguez-Espinosa et al. [1015]. The isothermal loss data show a broad primary a-loss peak and a prominent and well-resolved secondary relaxation labeled  $\gamma$  by the authors and identified to originate from a local rotation of modified phenyl end group in the side chain. There is no feature in any of the isothermal spectra to indicate the presence of a slower Johari-Goldstein  $(JG) \beta$ -relaxation. Nevertheless, they fit the isothermal loss data by adding up the individual contributions from the  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$  relaxations and the conductivity contribution  $(\sigma(\omega)/\varepsilon_0\omega)^s$ . The frequency dependence of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation is assumed to be given by the Havriliak–Negami (HN) function, and the  $\beta$ - and  $\gamma$ -relaxations are modeled by either the Fuoss-Kirkwood function or the Cole-Cole function. These arbitrarily chosen empirical functions, their relaxation strengths, and the term  $(\sigma(\omega)/\varepsilon_0\omega)^s$  used by D-E et al. to fit the isothermal dielectric loss data altogether

involve assumptions and a large number of fitting parameters, which necessarily have large uncertainties but none was reported. This is particularly disconcerting in the determination of the parameters of the  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -relaxations by the authors because the isothermal spectra show no feature to indicate the presence of the latter on the high-frequency side of the former. Moreover, the JG relaxation and the  $\alpha$ relaxation are not independent processes and it is incorrect to fit the dielectric loss data as the sum of their losses especially when they are close to each other. The widths of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation reported are very broad at lower temperatures (but still above  $T_g$ ) as indicated by the small exponent,  $\beta_{KWW}$ , in the KWW  $\alpha$ -correlation function,  $\phi_{\alpha}(t) = \exp[-(t/\tau_{\alpha})^{\beta_{\text{KWW}}}]$ . The reported values are  $\beta_{\text{KWW}} \approx 0.24$  when  $f_{\rm max} \approx 1/2\pi \tau_{\alpha}$  is about 10<sup>2</sup> Hz for P3FM and P3CM, and  $\beta_{\rm KWW} \approx 0.27$  when  $f_{\text{max}}$  is about 1 Hz for P24FM. Here  $f_{\text{max}}$  is the  $\alpha$ -loss peak frequency. These values of  $\beta_{KWW}$  are smaller than practically all amorphous polymers that have been reported including non-aromatic and aromatic hydrocarbon backbone polymers that have much higher Tg than P3FM, P3CM, and P24FM. According to the anticorrelation [112, 479] between "fragility" and  $\beta_{KWW}$  established for amorphous polymers, the exceptionally small  $\beta_{KWW}$  of P3FM, P3CM, and P24FM would imply that these polymers are extremely fragile, even more fragile than polystyrene and PMMA. However, this is not the case. The fragility index m of these glassformers such as P24FM calculated from the VFTH fit is 80.1, which is much smaller than polystyrene and PMMA [112, 479]. Nevertheless, these authors used their highly dubious values of  $\beta_{KWW}$  to test the prediction by the coupling model (CM) on the relation between  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{\beta}$ . It is unsurprising that they found calculated primitive relaxation time  $\tau_0$  much shorter than  $\tau_{JG}$  from their fit and the CM prediction fails. This conclusion is not valid, and it creates unnecessary confusion in the literature.

Another example of the danger of deducing  $\tau_{IG}$  by the CM using complex data is the study of ethylcyclohexane (ECH) by Mandanici et al. in [1016(a)]. The mechanical and dielectric data obtained are of the highest quality for such low loss material, and the results obtained are very instructive for showing that a secondary relaxation observed has no relation to the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and it is totally insensitive to glass transition. This is particularly clear from its persistence in the liquid state with relaxation times longer than the  $\alpha$ -relaxation, and maintaining its Arrhenius T-dependence. Needless to say, this result of Mandanici et al. is an important demonstration that some prominently observed secondary relaxations have no fundamental importance in glass transition. Nevertheless, the presence of this secondary relaxation created complication in the analysis of the spectra and led the authors in this first [1016(a)]on ECH to give a doubtful figure to the primitive relaxation time that gives a false impression that the prediction of the CM is violated. The ethylcyclohexane plastic crystal has very low dielectric loss and data were obtained by use of a highly sensitive spectrometer that has a narrow frequency range of 50 Hz–20 kHz less than three decades wide. A conformation transition of intramolecular nature contributes dielectric loss additively to the  $\alpha$ -relaxation with comparable magnitude, and is not the JG process. Due this complication by the conformational transition and the narrow frequency window, there is no escape from large uncertainty in determining the true exponent  $\beta_{KWW}$  of the Kohlrausch correlation function and coupling

parameter n of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation, and using it to calculate  $\tau_0$ . Nevertheless, the authors of [1016] fitted the broad loss peaks by the Havriliak–Negami equation, and the fit parameters at 112.2 K were converted to yield  $\beta_{KWW} = 0.32$  [1016(a)]. From this and the CM equation, they conclude that the primitive frequency and hence the JG peak frequency is at 10<sup>8.4</sup> Hz, much faster than any observed dielectric secondary relaxation (see Fig. 4 of [1016]). This exercise should not be carried out in the first place because there is no reliable method to account for the broadening of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak by the overlapping conformational transition at 112.2 K. The results of related plastic crystals such as cyclohexanol ( $\beta_{KWW} = 0.62$ ) by Brand et al. [145] and cyanocyclohexane ( $\beta_{KWW} = 0.70$ ) [1017, see Section 2.3.2.16] do not have such a small  $\beta_{KWW} = 0.32$ . In a follow-up report [1018(a)] by the authors of [1016] on their study of ethylcyclohexane, horizontal and vertical shifts of isothermal data were applied to compile highly questionable master curves for the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. The revised values of  $\beta_{KWW} = 0.53$  and the calculated primitive frequencies given [1018(a)] are several decades lower than that calculated with  $\beta_{\rm KWW} = 0.32$ . Again, the broadening on both sides of the observed  $\alpha$ -loss peak by the conformational transition cannot be ignored and the revised  $\beta_{KWW} = 0.53$ may or may not still be different from the actual value. I apologize to the authors of [1016] for making the comment on their high-quality experimental work, but this has to be done to avoid others getting the wrong idea about the CM from Fig. 4 of [1016]. All said so far concerning [1016, 1018(a)] is the large uncertainty of the calculated primitive frequency and hence the JG relaxation frequency from the inability to obtain the actual  $\beta_{KWW}$  of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. The important question actually is whether the JG relaxation exists or not in ECH? The answer has been given by the latest experimental work of Mandanici and Cutroni [1018(b)] by measuring ultrasonic attenuation in the MHz range as a function of temperature. They found from the isochronal spectra evidence of another secondary relaxation that is faster than and tends to merge with the  $\alpha$ -relaxation, and is likely the JG relaxation of ECH. However, the actual locations of the JG relaxation frequencies in ECH are still uncertain because fits to the isochronal data were required for their deduction.

Another similar misuse of CM prediction is the paper on dielectric relaxation of *bis*-5-hydroxypentylphthalate (BHPP) by Maślanka et al. [1019]. The molecular structure of BHPP is like dihexyl phthalate but having appended to it two hydroxyl end groups, resulting in two separate dipoles of comparable dielectric strength contributing to the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. There is excess broadening of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak by the overlapping contributions of two independent dipole moments in BHPP. Due to this complication, the actual coupling parameter is smaller than that reflected by the width of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak. This is shown in [299] where actually good agreement of the data of BHPP with the CM was demonstrated after the overlapping contributions of two independent dipole moments had been taken into consideration.

The examples given above may help to deter further use of ambivalent or ambiguous experimental data to test the coupling model prediction. Another lesson can be learned from the studies of relaxation dynamics of decahydroisoquinoline (DHIQ). The first broadband dielectric study of DHIQ at ambient pressure was made by Richert and coworkers [455]. They observed a prominent secondary relaxation with unusually high amplitude relative to the  $\alpha$ -relaxation process, which they readily identified as the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation process. This is natural because it is the only secondary relaxation observed by them and DHIQ has a compact molecular structure, similar to that of decalin. DHIQ is an interesting glassformer because it has very high steepness ("fragility") index m = 156 and very low value of  $\beta_{KWW} = 0.35$ (estimate from the HN fit and not accurate) according to Richert et al., and m = 168and  $\beta_{KWW} = 0.40$  (obtained directly from the KWW fit) according to a later study by Paluch et al. [667]. The magnitudes of both quantities are unusually extreme for small molecular glassformers. Shown here instead in Fig. 118 are the isothermal spectra measured by Paluch et al. at ambient and elevated pressure of 0.5 GPa. The data at 0.1 MPa are similar to those obtained by Richert et al. The primitive frequency  $\nu_{JG}$  calculated by the CM and indicated by the vertical arrows in Fig. 118 (left side) with  $n \equiv (1 - \beta_{KWW}) = 0.60$  [667] is nearly three orders of magnitude



**Fig. 118** On the *left side* are dielectric loss curves obtained for DHIQ on cooling at P = 0.1 MPa from 208 to 181 K (*upper panel*) and P=0.5 GPa from 260 to 232 K (*lower panel*). On the *right side*, the *upper panel* shows the temperature evolution of the secondary relaxation process from 171 to 123 K with step of 2 K. *Dotted line* represents the contribution at T = 123 K of the *faster* JG-process, which emerged from the non-JG  $\beta$ -process with decreasing temperature. The *lower panel* is the relaxation map showing isobaric  $\alpha$ -relaxation times at 0.1 MPa and 0.5 GPa (*closed squares*), along with the corresponding  $\beta$ -relaxation (*open squares*) and the JG-relaxation (*open circles*) times at ambient pressure. Predictions for JG-relaxation times above  $T_g$  according to CM are plotted as *open stars. Rectangular inset* shows the high-pressure data (P = 0.5 GPa). Data from [667] are replotted in all the figures
higher than the peak frequency of the observed secondary relaxation assumed to be the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation by Richert et al. If their assumption were correct, then the DHIQ data would be an exception to the CM prediction. It turns out this is not an exception as proven by measurements carried out at isobaric and isothermal conditions up to 1.75 GPa by Paluch et al. [667]. The data show that the peak frequency of the observed secondary  $\beta$ -relaxation is insensitive to pressure, uncorrelated to the pressure-sensitive  $\alpha$ -relaxation, and hence it is not the JG relaxation according to one of the criteria [685]. It is intra-molecular in origin, and possibly due to some conformational interconversion mechanisms contributing to dipole fluctuations.

A new and faster secondary relaxation of DHIQ was found by dielectric measurements made in the glassy state at temperatures much lower than done before [667]. This is shown in Fig. 118 on the right side in the upper panel. The relaxation map of all three processes at 0.1 MPa and 0.5 GPa is presented in Fig. 118 on the right side in the lower panel. There the Arrhenius T-dependence of the relaxation time  $\tau_{new}$  of the newly found JG relaxation in the glassy state has been extrapolated to higher temperatures up to 180 K. The extrapolated values of  $\tau_{new}$  are in good agreement with the primitive relaxation time  $\tau_0$  calculated by the CM with n = 0.60 [667] as demonstrated in the relaxation map. The agreement between  $\tau_{new}$ and  $\tau_0$  supports the *faster* secondary relaxation is the JG relaxation of DHIQ. There is further support of this conclusion from dielectric relaxation measurements of the mixture of 25% w/w cyclohexane in DHIQ [977]. Cyclohexane is non-polar and its presence modifies the relaxation dynamics of pure decahydroisoquinoline. Two secondary relaxation processes of DHIQ were found below the glass transition temperature, as in pure DHIQ. The relaxation time of the slower secondary relaxation in the mixture is practically the same as in pure DHIQ, supporting the intramolecular character of non-JG relaxation. The relaxation time of the faster secondary relaxation of DHIQ in the mixture becomes faster and has a higher activation energy of 27 kJ/mol compared with 16.3 kJ/mol in pure DHIQ. There is again good agreement between the relaxation time of the faster secondary relaxation and  $\tau_0$  calculated by the CM, which is used to identify it as the genuine secondary JG relaxation of DHIQ in the mixture. From the discussion above, one can learn the lesson from DHIQ that it is dangerous to identify any observed secondary relaxation as the JG relaxation without further characterization of its properties.

# 2.3.2.3 Excess Loss over the Kohlrausch Fit of the α-Relaxation, or the Excess Wing

Many but not all glassformers with narrow dielectric  $\alpha$ -relaxation loss peaks do not have a resolved  $\beta$ -relaxation at temperatures above and below  $T_g$ . Neither a peak nor a shoulder appears in the loss spectrum on the high-frequency side of the  $\alpha$ relaxation. However, the high-frequency part of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak of  $\varepsilon''(\nu)$  cannot be fully described by a single power law with a negative fractional exponent as required by any of the empirical functions such as Cole–Davidson (CD), Havriliak–Negami (HN), and the Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function. In the description of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation by the Kohlrausch function written as Eq. (1.1),  $\varepsilon''(\nu) \propto \nu^{-(1-n)}$ . At sufficiently high frequencies and extended over some range, a second negative fractional power-law regime appears with an exponent having a smaller absolute value. This high-frequency tail is now commonly referred to as the "excess wing." This is the most general definition of the excess wing irrespective of whether the glassformer has a resolved secondary relaxation or not, and if resolved, whether it is the genuine JG  $\beta$ -relaxation or not. According to this general definition, the excess wing always exists in broadband dielectric relaxation spectroscopic measurements of most if not all molecular and polymeric glassformers, but the nature of the excess wing can be different dependent on the situation as discussed below.

#### Glassformers Have No Resolved Secondary Relaxation, JG or Non-JG

The presence of the excess wing in glassformers having no resolved JG  $\beta$ -relaxation or non-JG secondary relaxation was known long time ago [124, 218]. This feature can be seen for propylene carbonate in Fig. 35b and more clearly in Fig. 119, and for propylene glycol in Fig. 120 from broadband dielectric measurements on propylene carbonate by Lunkenheimer and coworkers [424, 682, 972]. These glassformers do not have a resolved JG β-relaxation. Starting from the works of Nagel and coworkers [220, 1021], with the introduction of broadband dielectric spectroscopy in recent years, the excess wing has been found in many more glassformers [241, 424, 682, 971, 972, 1021–1026]. Moreover, Nagel and coworkers [220] found a scaling procedure which makes the entire dielectric spectra, including the excess wing, measured at different temperatures fall onto a master curve. The early success of this scaling procedure [144, 220, 1021] generated some excitement, although later glassformers were found where the scaling failed [971], and no theoretical justification for the scaling procedure has been given so far. Nevertheless, the scaling approach gives the impression that the excess wing is an integral part of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation, and  $\beta$ relaxation is simply absent in these glassformers. This is one view of the excess wing, which has become defunct.







**Fig. 120** Frequency-dependent dielectric loss of PG for various temperatures (to maintain readability, only data from a selection of temperatures are shown). The *curve at 161 K* was measured in thermodynamic equilibrium after aging for 53 min. The *157 K curve* was measured after aging for 6.5 days, which was not sufficient to reach thermodynamic equilibrium. The *solid lines* are fits of the  $\alpha$ -peak and excess-wing region with the sum of a KWW function and a CC function, with  $\tau_{\beta}$ fixed at the primitive relaxation time of the coupling model  $\tau_0$  at all temperatures. The broad peaks at the bottom (*dashed lines*) show the CC-curves for the  $\beta$ -relaxation used to explain the data in the excess wing region. The *inset* shows the dielectric loss at 157 K for selected aging times (from *top to bottom*  $\log_{10}[t(S)] = 2.5, 3.5, 4.5, 5.75$ ). Data from [424] are replotted here

However, again for glassformers that show no resolved JG  $\beta$ -relaxation, there is another view coming from the empirical correlation between the ratio  $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{JG}$  and *n*, discussed in Section 2.3.2.1 above. It suggests that glassformers with narrow  $\alpha$ -dispersion (or small *n*) like propylene carbonate, propylene glycol, and glycerol will have smaller value of the ratio  $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{JG}$ . If so, the JG relaxation is not well separated from the more intense  $\alpha$ -relaxation, being hidden under and seen as the excess wing [237, 241, 613, 900, 1027–1030]. Discussed in Section 2.3.2.2, the relation  $\tau_{JG} \approx \tau_0$  found valid for resolved JG relaxation in glassformers with larger *n* also supports this interpretation of the excess wing because from Eq. (2.67), smaller *n* leads to smaller ratio  $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_0$ . Other reasons for the possibility that part of the excess wing is the unresolved universal JG  $\beta$ -relaxation were given in several publications [237, 241, 1031–1034]. One reason is that the calculated primitive frequency  $\nu_0 = (1/2\pi\tau_0)$  by the CM relation falls on the excess wing. Another is the shift of the excess wing with temperature or pressure is less than the  $\alpha$ -loss peak (see for an example in Fig. 119).

According to the CM, the primitive relaxation is just the local relaxation that signals the onset of the many-body relaxation which develops with time by increasing participation of more molecules or length scale. At times sufficiently longer than  $\tau_0$  or at frequencies much lower than  $\nu_0$ , the observed spectrum is contributed by these evolving many-body relaxation processes. It is not until some time (denoted by  $t_{x2}$  in sections presented later), when the maximum length scale allowed by the intermolecular interactions has been reached, will the loss spectrum follow the power law,  $\varepsilon''(\nu) \propto \nu^{-(1-n)}$  or the time correlation follow the Kohlrausch function. It is

interesting to point out that the Kohlrausch function turns out to be the characteristic function of stable probability distributions [223], and this makes the Kohlrausch function more fundamental than the CD and HN functions. Thus, from the above CM description, the excess wing is not only the submerged or unresolved JG  $\beta$ relaxation but also evolving many-body relaxation before it reaches the steady state of maximum length scale. This conclusion has the consequence that one should not fit the dielectric loss spectrum as a sum of the Kohlrausch (or CD) contribution from a Cole–Cole function for the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. This is wrong because the JG  $\beta$ relaxation or the primitive relaxation is only part of the processes in the excess wing, and also the processes are not additive and independent of each other. Nevertheless, the practice is widespread

#### Glassformers Having the JG β-Relaxation Resolved

The excess wing exists also in the dielectric loss spectra of many glassformers that show a resolved genuine JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. Examples in Section 2.3.2.2 include polyisoprene in Fig. 110, sorbitol in Fig. 112, and DiPGDB in Fig. 117. The CM interpretation of the excess wing in these cases is no different from the above and that is part of the evolution of the many-body relaxation toward the Kohlrausch steady state with maximum length scale. The only difference is that the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation or the primitive relaxation is not a part of the excess wing.

## Glassformers Having a Resolved Faster Non-JG Secondary Relaxation But No Resolved JG $\beta$ -Relaxation

Except for the possible distortion by the resolved faster non-JG secondary relaxation in the loss spectrum, the excess wing still represents the unresolved JG  $\beta$ -relaxation and the evolving many-body relaxation processes following it.

There are experimental proofs that *part* of the excess wing is an unresolved  $\beta$ -relaxation submerged under the much stronger  $\alpha$ -peak by applying a procedure to the glassformers and detecting a structure in the excess wing, such as a shoulder or even a second peak in the loss spectra, that is identifiable as a relaxation process. One such procedure is physical aging of the glassformers fallen out of thermodynamic equilibrium at temperature below  $T_g$  [682, 1033, 1034]. The results from Lunkenheimer and coworkers are shown in Fig. 121 by the dielectric loss spectra of glycerol ( $T_g = 185$  K), propylene carbonate (PC,  $T_g = 159$  K), and propylene glycol (PG,  $T_g = 168$  K), each at a temperature somewhat below their own  $T_g$  for different aging times after reaching this temperature.

The  $\alpha$ -loss peaks are located at very low frequencies and only their highfrequency flanks represented by the steeper increase of  $\varepsilon''$  toward low frequencies can be seen. The shift of the high-frequency flank to lower frequencies with longer aging time reflects the increase of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  due to densification and/or decrease in entropy of the glassformer on physical aging. Simultaneously the excess wing shifts to lower frequencies. For all three glassformers, the typical power law,



characteristic of an excess wing, shows up for short aging times. After the maximum aging times of up to 5 weeks for glycerol and PC and 6.5 days for PG, the excess wing has developed into a shoulder (see Fig. 121). The same behavior of the excess wing on physical aging found in three different glassformers and also in phenyl salicylate (salol) [1035] strongly supports the identification of the excess wing as the unresolved  $\beta$ -relaxation. The excess wing/unresolved JG  $\beta$ relaxation of all of these glassformers shifts to lower frequencies on aging shown here in Fig. 121, and also on elevating pressure for glycerol [556], PC [654], salol [655], cresolphthalein-dimethylether (KDE) [597], phenylphthalein-dimethylether (PDE) [1036], and polychlorinated biphenyl (Aroclor 1242) [596]. These are two properties of the excess wing/unresolved JG  $\beta$ -relaxation that mimic that of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation, and hence it is the JG secondary relaxation according to the classification of secondary relaxation [685]. This identification as the JG relaxation is further substantiated by the calculated  $\nu_0$  falling within the excess wing (see Fig. 119 for propylene carbonate, and Fig. 122 for diphenylvinylene carbonate (DPVC) [508]).



Fig. 122 Dielectric loss spectra of diphenylvinylene carbonate. A repeat of Fig. 40 for the purpose of showing that the primitive relaxation frequency  $v_0$  calculated by the CM equation is located at the excess wing. Data from [974] replotted

The observed change of  $\tau_{\beta}$  deduced from the shift of the excess wing by aging or elevated pressure is smaller than that of  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . This is expected from the CM equation (2.67) from which one can deduce that the relation between the changes is given by

$$\Delta \log \tau_{\beta} = (1 - n) \Delta \log \tau_{\alpha}, \qquad (2.72)$$

assuming *n* remains constant and  $\tau_{\beta} \approx \tau_0$ .

### 2.3.2.4 Excess Wing (Unresolved JG β-Relaxation) Eclipsed by the γ-Relaxation

The glassformers with narrow  $\alpha$ -dispersion discussed in the previous paragraph all have only the excess wing/unresolved  $\beta$ -relaxation but no other resolved secondary relaxation. There are also glassformers with narrow  $\alpha$ -relaxation dielectric loss peak (smaller *n*) that show no significant excess wing but a resolved secondary relaxation in the equilibrium *liquid* state above  $T_g$ . Examples include the hydrogen-bonded glassformers di- and tri-propylene glycol (2PG and 3PG) and polypropylene glycol of molecular weight  $M_w = 400 \text{ g/mol}$  (PPG400) [657, 658, 1038, 1039], and van der Waals liquids, diethyl phthalate (DEP) [660], dibutyl phthalate (DBP) [661], diisobutyl phthalate (DiBP), di-*n*-octyl phthalate (DOP) [684], and isoeugenol [1041]. The epoxy resins diglycidylether of bisphenol A (DGEBA) and poly(phenylglycidylether) (PPGE) behave in the same way above  $T_g$ , but the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation can be resolved in the glassy state [242, 973], as also for the cases of PPG400 [1039], PPG1025, and PPG4000 [1040]. This secondary relaxation is not a JG  $\beta$ -relaxation (hence referred to as the  $\gamma$ -relaxation) because its relaxation time  $\tau_{\gamma}$  does not change with applied pressure in both the liquid and glassy state [657, 658, 660, 661, 664, 673, 973, 1038–1040] and to physical aging in the glassy state [1042]. Also,  $\tau_{\gamma}$  is much shorter than the  $\tau_0$  calculated by Eq. (2.67).

The high-frequency flank of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak for the most part shows a single fractional power dependence of  $\varepsilon''(\nu)$ ,  $\nu^{-g}$ , with no sign of a noticeable excess wing coming out of it at higher frequencies. This situation is illustrated here by several examples taken from DGEBA in Fig. 123, PPGE in Fig. 124, and DPG, PPG1025, and PPG4000 in Fig. 125.

The excess wing/unresolved JG relaxation could be present but not seen because it is eclipsed by the more intense  $\gamma$ -loss peak which dovetails the  $\nu^{-g}$  dependence. This possibility can be verified in the resolving excess wing/unresolved JG relaxation by increasing the separation of the  $\gamma$ -relaxation from the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. The latter can be accomplished in several ways including application of pressure in the case of 2PG and 3PG [657, 658], aging for DOP [684] and isoeugenol [1041], and simply cooling to sufficiently low temperatures in the glassy state for PPG400, PPG1025, PPG4000 [1039, 1040], DGEBA, and PPGE [242, 973]. These procedures make the  $\gamma$ -relaxation further separated from the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and the excess wing/JG relaxation was found in between the two relaxations (see the examples in Figs. 124 and 125). In the cases of 2PG, 3PG, PPG400, PPG1025, and PPG4000, the excess wing is further transformed to a  $\beta$ -loss peak, which is the sought-after JG  $\beta$ -relaxation because its relaxation time  $\tau_{\beta}$  is pressure sensitive [657, 658] and in good agreement with the  $\tau_0$  calculated. The  $\tau_{\beta}$  determined from the resolved JG



**Fig. 123** (*Left*) Dielectric loss spectra at ambient pressure of DGEBA. The *vertical arrows* indicate the location of the calculated primitive frequency  $v_0$  calculated with n = 0.47. The *dashed lines* are fit to the  $\alpha$ -relaxation by the Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function with n = 0.47. (*Right*) Relaxation map of DGEBA. The *open diamonds* are the primitive relaxation times  $\tau_0$  calculated with n = 0.47 from the Kohlrausch function fit. The *open squares* are the relaxation times  $\tau_{\rm JG}$  of the resolved JG relaxation in the glassy state. Reproduced from [1043] by permission. Good agreement between  $\tau_0$  and  $\tau_{\rm JG}$  near  $T_{\rm g}$ 



**Fig. 124** (*Left*) Dielectric loss spectra at ambient pressure of PPGE. The *vertical arrows* indicate the location of the primitive frequency  $v_0$  calculated with n = 0.54. The *dashed lines* are fit to the  $\alpha$ -relaxation by the Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function with n = 0.54. (*Right*) Loss spectra (*symbols*) of PPGE measured under isothermal conditions (263 K) at different values of applied pressure as indicated in the figure. All spectra are measured in the glassy state. The *continuous line* is the contribution of the JG  $\beta$ -process at 500 MPa. Reproduced from [1043] and [973] by permission

relaxation in the glassy state seems to meet the calculated  $\tau_0$  above  $T_g$  when both are extrapolated as shown in Fig. 123 (right side).

More examples are given below from other glassformers to show that the excess wing is not seen above  $T_g$  because it is eclipsed by the  $\gamma$ -relaxation, but actually either it or the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation is present. In Fig. 126 (left panel in the inset) are the dielectric loss spectra of 3PG at T = 220.5 K and applied pressures increasing from 33.4 to 591.3 MPa. The excess wing seen at ambient pressure and intermediate pressures is transformed to a resolved secondary  $\beta$ -relaxation at higher pressures. This is the JG relaxation of 3PG because it shifts to lower frequencies with pressure and there is good correspondence between  $\tau_{\beta}$  and  $\tau_0$ . On the other hand, the maximum of the resolved secondary  $\gamma$ -relaxation at high frequencies is virtually pressure independent. The difference in pressure dependence of the  $\beta$ - and the  $\gamma$ -relaxations is clearly from the plot of relaxation time against pressure at T = 218.4 and 245.2 K in Fig. 126.

Also shown in Fig. 126 (right side) is the approximate agreement between the observed  $\beta$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{\beta}$  (labeled JG) and  $\tau_0$  calculated by Eq. (2.67) with  $t_c = 2$  ps and n = 0.37 (from the Kohlrausch fit in Fig. 5 of [658]), which gives further support for the excess wing/ $\beta$ -relaxation being truly the JG relaxation of 3PG. In addition, aging 2PG for 12 h at T = 216.7 K and P = 510 MPa further shifts the excess wing to lower frequencies [658].

Parallel to 2PG and 3PG in behavior is di-octalphthalate (DOP) [684]. Figure 127 shows in DOP no evidence of the excess wing at ambient pressure in the liquid state (left panel), but the excess wing emerges upon aging glassy DOP for sufficient lengths of time (middle panel).



**Fig. 125** (*Left*) Selected dielectric loss spectra of the  $\gamma$ -relaxation near the glass transition at ambient pressure and at different temperatures for DPG (**a**), PPG1025 (**b**), and PPG4000 (**c**). The *dotted lines* represent the fits of the  $\gamma$  processes. The JG  $\beta$ -relaxation is resolved in the glassy state of PPG1025 and PPG4000, but not in DPG. Data from [1039] and [1040] are redrawn here. (*Right*) Relaxation maps of DPG and PPG4000. The *upper figure* is for DPG, where the *filled squares* and the *lone filled circle* are  $\tau_0$  calculated by the CM equation with n = 0.33 from the Kohlrausch function fit of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. The *lower figure* is for PPG4000 where the *filled squares* are  $\tau_{\rm JG}$  determined from the resolved JG relaxation below  $T_{\rm g}$ , while the *open squares* are  $\tau_0$  calculated by the CM equation fit of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. Reproduced from [1043] by permission

A high-frequency  $\gamma$ -relaxation is present in isoeugenol [1041] but, unlike 3PG, its intensity is much weaker that it only can be detected deep in the glassy state. It is not detected in eugenol. The excess wing is present (see Fig. 128 left side) and the spectra resembles the glycerol and PC which definitely have no detectable  $\gamma$ -relaxation. On cooling isoeugenol to temperatures 199 K and below (not shown) or aging at 209 K (see Fig. 128 in the middle), the excess wing is transformed to a shoulder revealing a slower  $\beta$ -relaxation. The shift of the shoulder to lower frequency along with the high-frequency flank of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation on increasing aging time (but not the  $\gamma$ -relaxation) is one evidence for identifying it as the JG relaxation. The relaxation map of isoeugenol is presented in Fig. 128 (right). Two VFTH laws are needed to fit the *T*-dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and the crossing temperature



**Fig. 126** (*Left*) Dielectric loss data of 3PG at 22.5 K on *increasing pressure* from 33.4 to 591.3 MPa [657, 658] showing the  $\gamma$ -relaxation is pressure independent, and the excess wing/JG relaxation becomes resolved at high pressures. The main figure is the relaxation map of 3PG at *ambient* pressure. The *filled triangles* are the relaxation time  $\tau_{\gamma}$  of the  $\gamma$ -relaxation determined by fitting the spectra [1039]. The *open squares* are  $\tau_0$  calculated above  $T_g$  with n = 0.37 from the Kohlrausch fit of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak. Encroachment of  $\tau_{JG}$  to  $\tau_{\gamma}$ . Reproduced from [1043] by permission. (*Right*) The relaxation times as function of pressure of the  $\alpha$ -, JG  $\beta$ -, and  $\gamma$ -relaxations of 3PG at two temperatures, T = 218.4 (*squares*) and 245.2 K (*triangles*), determined from the spectra such as that shown in the *inset* of the figure on the *left side*. The *filled diamonds* are the calculated  $\tau_0$  at several pressures. The pressure dependence of  $\tau_{JG}$  and pressure independence of  $\tau_{\gamma}$  are made clear in this plot



**Fig. 127** (*Left*) Dielectric loss spectra at atmospheric pressure and five temperatures of DOP from 183 to 191 K. (*Middle*) Physical aging of DOP at T = 177 K. The dielectric loss spectra were measured every 5.6 h. Four spectra collected after 19.4, 25, 30.6, and 36.2 h are not shown in the figure. The *vertical arrow* indicates the calculated frequency of the primitive relaxation, which should be approximately the frequency of the JG relaxation. (*Right*) Relaxation map of  $\alpha$ - and  $\gamma$ -relaxation times of DOP at ambient pressure. The *open squares* are  $\tau_0$  calculated above  $T_g$  with n = 0.35 from the Kohlrausch fit of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak. Encroachment of  $\tau_{JG}$  toward  $\tau_{\gamma}$  when T is increased past  $T_g$  from below is suggested by the proximity of  $\tau_0$  to  $\tau_{\gamma}$  [684]. Reproduced from [1043] by permission

 $T_{\rm B}$  is indicated. The primitive relaxation times,  $\tau_0$  (stars), calculated by the CM are in good agreement with  $\tau_{\rm JG}$ .

The loss spectra of isoeugenol at T = 263 K for various pressures increasing from 0.1 to 440 MPa are presented in Fig. 129 (right). It shows no resolved JG



**Fig. 128** (*Left*) Superimposed dielectric spectra of isoeugenol taken at ambient pressure (P = 1 atm), at four selected temperatures. In the *inset* are the same spectra before superposition. Included in the figure is the KWW fit (*solid line*) with  $\beta_{\rm KWW} = 0.62$ . The *arrow* indicates the frequency  $f_0 = 1/(2\pi\tau_0) \sim 10^4$  Hz calculated from the CM relation at T = 229 K. (*Middle*) Physical aging of isoeugenol ( $T_g = 220$  K) at T = 209 K. Dielectric loss curves were measured every 20 ks. The spectra collected after 40, 80, 120, 140, and 160 ks are not shown in the figure. (*Right*) Relaxation map of isoeugenol at ambient pressure showing the relaxation times of the JG relaxation time resolved below  $T_g$  (O), the  $\gamma$ -relaxation (*open squares*), and the  $\alpha$ -relaxation (*open diamonds*). The two VFTH laws needed to fit the *T*-dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and their crossing temperature  $T_B$  also are presented. The primitive relaxation times,  $\tau_0$  (*stars*), calculated by the CM are in good agreement with  $\tau_{\rm JG}$ . Data from [1041] are replotted here



**Fig. 129** (*Right*) Dielectric loss spectra of isoeugenol at T = 263 K and pressures increasing from 0.1 to 440 MPa. (*Middle*) Relaxation times of the JG relaxation and the  $\alpha$ -relaxation as functions of pressure at 263 K. The primitive relaxation times,  $\tau_0$  (*stars*), calculated by the CM are in good agreement with  $\tau_{\text{JG}}$ . (*Left*) Dielectric loss of isoeugenol at T = 225 K, P = 0.1 MPa (*filled squares*) and T = 263 K, P = 280 MPa (*open circles*), showing superposition of the two  $\alpha$ -loss peaks having the same peak frequency. Data from [1041] are replotted in all figures here

relaxation in the liquid state and the development of a shoulder as  $P_g$  is approached, indicative of the presence of the  $\beta$ -relaxation The shoulder shifts to lower frequencies on increasing pressure which is another evidence that the  $\beta$ -relaxation is the JG relaxation. The relaxation map at 263 K as a function of pressure (Fig. 129 middle) shows approximate agreement between the observed  $\beta$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{\beta}$  and  $\tau_{0}$ calculated by Eq. (2.67) with  $t_c = 2$  ps and n = 0.38 (from the Kohlrausch fit, see Fig. 128 left). This is another confirmation of JG relaxation. Finally, on the left panel of Fig. 129, the superposition of  $\alpha$ -loss peak taken at 225 K and ambient pressure superpose well with that taken at 263 K and 280 MPa, like many other glassformers. Combining the results of Figs. 128 and 129, it can be said that several properties of isoeugenol conform to the general trends established by many other glassformers. The isothermal  $\varepsilon''(v)$  spectra of DPGDB at ambient pressure show no excess wing but the  $\gamma$ -relaxation at temperatures above  $T_g$  [1014], but the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation was found below  $T_g$ . On elevating pressure, the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of DPGDB was resolved at temperatures above  $T_g$  and its relaxation time becomes longer at higher pressures (see Fig. 117).

### 2.3.2.5 Encroachment of the JG $\beta$ -Relaxation Toward the $\gamma$ -Relaxation: The Cause of the Purported Observation of Anomalous *T*-Dependence of $\tau_{\gamma}$

Attempts were made to obtain  $\tau_{\gamma}$  as a function of temperature near and above  $T_{\rm g}$ from dielectric loss spectra of glassformers showing no excess wing, but a non-JG  $\gamma$ -relaxation discussed in the previous subsection, first by Olsen [1031] and by Dyre and Olsen [1044], followed by others [684, 1038–1040]. The isothermal  $\varepsilon''(\nu)$ data were fitted by the sum of the contributions from the  $\alpha$ - and  $\gamma$ -relaxations with assumed frequency dependences for both. This practice was started by Dyre and Olsen on 3PG [1031, 1044], and near  $T_g$  they reported that  $\tau_{\gamma}$  decreases on cooling from the equilibrium liquid state down to the glassy state which was confirmed by others in other glassformers [684, 1038–1040]. The  $\gamma$ -relaxation frequency dispersion was obtained at equilibrium condition after annealing for very long times of the order of 100 h. In the procedure used to find the anomaly of  $\tau_{\gamma}$  in 3PG, Dyre and Olsen assumed invariance of the shape of the  $\gamma$ -loss peak; the  $\alpha$ -loss was supposedly eliminated by subtracting a term assumed to be proportional to  $v^{-1/2}$  with the constant of proportionality adjusted to obtain the invariant shape of the  $\gamma$  peak. This unexpected T-dependence of  $\tau_{\gamma}$  on cooling continues until a shallow minimum is reached and thereafter  $\tau_{\gamma}$  reverts to its normal behavior of monotonic increase with decreasing T as shown in Fig. 126 (left side) for 3PG from the results obtained by Casalini et al. [657], and for 2PG and oligomers of PG (see Fig. 125) by Grzybowska et al. [1039]. Again by fitting  $\varepsilon''(v)$  data, such anomaly was reported in 2PG, 3PG, PPG400, PPG1025, PPG4000 [1039, 1049], and DOP [684]. A change of temperature dependence of  $\tau_{\gamma}$  on cooling toward  $T_{\rm g}$ , although no minimum and further above  $T_g$ , was observed in the epoxy resins DGEBA and PPGE [242, 616], and attention of this related phenomenon was drawn in [1043]. To explain this peculiar behavior of 3PG, Dyre and Olsen [1044] have utilized an asymmetric double-well potential to model the  $\gamma$ -relaxation and with special assumptions they constructed the so-called "minimal model" that can successfully explain the behavior of 3PG as well as PPG400 and DOP [684]. The model involves several parameters and special assumptions about them. Such a model does not seem likely to be the explanation of an effect observed in glassformers with different chemical structures and origins of the  $\gamma$ -relaxation.

In the previous subsection, we have shown that the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation exists in all the glassformers where the anomalous *T*-dependence of  $\tau_{\gamma}$  was reported. Although not resolved near and above  $T_g$ , it lies in between the  $\alpha$ - and the  $\gamma$ -relaxations. Its contribution to  $\varepsilon''(\nu)$  could have caused the anomaly but none of the papers reporting the anomaly have taken the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation into consideration when analyzing the data in the temperature region where the anomaly occurs. This possibility is substantiated by the proximity of  $\gamma$ -loss peak frequency  $\nu_{\gamma}$  (from the fits) and  $\nu_{JG}$ estimated by the calculated primitive frequency  $\nu_0$  of the CM as shown in Fig. 123 (right side) for DGEBA, in Fig. 125 (right side) for 2PG, PPG1025, and PPG4000, in Fig. 126 (left side) for 3PG, and in Fig. 127 (right side) for DOP. To show the generality of the phenomenon, the observed minimum of  $\tau_{\gamma}$  near  $T_g$  of PPG400 is presented in Fig. 130 (right side), and the change in *T*-dependence of  $\tau_{\gamma}$  like that found in DGEBA is shown for PPGE in Fig. 131 (right side) taken from [242, 973], and ibuprofen ((2*RS*)-2[4-(2-methylpropyl)phenyl]propanoic acid, C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) taken from [1004]. Ibuprofen has also a resolved JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. Again the measured  $\nu_{JG}$  of ibuprofen as well as the calculated  $\nu_0$  is observed to encroach toward  $\nu_{\gamma}$  on increasing *T* past *T*<sup>g</sup> as shown in Fig. 131 (left side).

With the location of  $\nu_0$  or approximately  $\nu_{JG}$  indicated by the vertical arrow for each isothermal  $\varepsilon''(\nu)$  data of 3PG (Fig. 126), DOP (Fig. 127), DGEBA (Fig. 123), and PPGE (Fig. 124), or collectively by the relaxation maps particularly in the case of PPG400 (Fig. 130), ibuprofen (Fig. 131) [1004], 2PG and PPG4000 (Fig. 125), the encroachment of  $\nu_0$  or  $\nu_{JG}$  toward  $\nu_{\gamma}$  on increasing *T* is evident. These all indicate connection between the encroachment of  $\nu_0$  toward  $\nu_{\gamma}$  and the origin of the anomaly in *T*-dependence of  $\nu_{\gamma}$ .

Not only the proximity of  $\nu_{\gamma}$  and  $\nu_{JG}$  but also a more fundamental reason may have contributed to the purported observation of the anomaly. The JG  $\beta$ relaxation involves essentially the motion of the entire molecule. On the other hand, the  $\gamma$ -relaxation involves the motion of a part of the molecule. This part of the molecule eventually also participates in the slower JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. Deep in the



Fig. 130 (*Left*) Dielectric loss spectra of PPG400 at ambient pressure and at different temperatures. The excess wing/JG  $\beta$ -relaxation appears in the glassy state together with the  $\gamma$  relaxation. (*Right*) Relaxation maps of PPG400. The *filled squares* are  $\tau_0$  calculated by the CM equation with n = 0.38 from the Kohlrausch function fit of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. Reproduced from [1043] by permission



**Fig. 131** (*Left*) Relaxation map of ibuprofen, which will be further discussed in a later section. Data from [1004] replotted. The observed JG  $\beta$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{JG}$  (*open triangles*) is nearly the same as the calculated primitive relaxation time  $\tau_0$  (*stars*, labeled by  $\tau_{JG,CM}$  in the figure). There is change in *T*-dependence of  $\tau_{\gamma}$ , and the data suggest it is due to  $\tau_{JG}$  encroaching  $\tau_{\gamma}$  as temperature is raised. (*Right*) Relaxation map of PPGE reproduced from [1043] by permission. The *lone closed diamond* and the *thick line* are the primitive relaxation times  $\tau_0$  calculated with n = 0.54 from the Kohlrausch function fit of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak near  $T_g$ , and assumed constant. The *open squares* are the relaxation times  $\tau_{IG}$  of the resolved JG relaxation in the glassy state [242, 1043]. There is good agreement between  $\tau_0$  and  $\tau_{JG}$  near  $T_g$ . The change in *T*-dependence of  $\tau_{\gamma}$  occurs when  $\tau_{JG}$  encroaches  $\tau_{\gamma}$  as temperature is raised

glassy state where  $\tau_{\gamma}$  is much shorter than  $\tau_{JG}$ , the  $\gamma$ -relaxation is not influenced by or effectively decoupled from the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation, and  $\tau_{\gamma}$  has the usual Arrhenius temperature dependence. However, near  $T_g$  and especially above  $T_g$ ,  $\tau_{JG}$  becomes comparable to  $\tau_{\gamma}$ ; the  $\gamma$ -relaxation and the JG relaxation are no longer independent of each other because that part of the molecule responsible for the  $\gamma$ -relaxation has to relax in concert with the rest to execute the JG relaxation. This means that the two Langevin equations describing the two relaxations are coupled. The  $\gamma$ -relaxation is hybridized with the JG relaxation, and consequently  $\tau_{\gamma}$  is shifted toward  $\tau_{JG}$ and becomes longer than the value determined by extrapolating the Arrhenius Tdependence of  $\tau_{\gamma}$  established in the glassy state. On increasing temperature,  $\tau_{JG}$ and  $\tau_{\gamma}$  get closer to each other, the shift of  $\tau_{\gamma}$  toward  $\tau_{JG}$  is larger, and hence the anomalous temperature dependence of  $\tau_{\gamma}$  is produced. This effect shows not only the connection of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation to the  $\alpha$ -relaxation but also the influence on some  $\gamma$ -relaxation. The hybridization of the  $\gamma$ -relaxation with the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation is an interesting problem that deserves more rigorous theoretical treatment in the future.

The JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of propylene glycol and its oligomers including the dimer (2PG), trimer (3PG), and PPG400 has weak dielectric strength and either not resolved or hard to resolve at ambient pressure (see Fig. 125 for the weakly resolved

JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of PPG400). But, by elevating pressure on 2PG and 3PG, Casalini and Roland [657, 658] were able to see JG  $\beta$ -relaxation first as an excess wing in the equilibrium state and finally resolve it as a loss peak in the glassy state, as shown before in Fig. 126. These materials obtained from Aldrich Chemical Company were dried by using molecular sieves and, immediately prior to all measurements, were maintained for 1 h at 125°C in a nitrogen atmosphere. The procedure is to remove water in the sample as much as possible. The remaining traces of water, each hydrogen bonded to a small fraction of the 2PG or 3PG molecules, change only somewhat the entire rotation/translation of the entire molecules, and hence the relaxation time is not much different from that of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of the neat 2PG or 3PG molecules. The enhanced dipole moment of the modified 2PG or 3PG molecules due to the water attached makes larger contribution to dielectric loss, albeit at frequencies not too different from the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of the neat 2PG or 3PG molecules. In the dried sample, Casalini and Roland did not resolve the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of the 2PG or 3PG molecules at ambient pressure, suggesting that the water-modified 2PG or 3PG molecules make no important contribution to the relevant secondary relaxation in their dried sample. Therefore, the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation resolved by them at elevated pressure in the same dried sample is clear indication that it comes at least in part from the 2PG or 3PG molecules. The shift of this relaxation to lower frequencies with pressure is indication that it is the true JG  $\beta$ -relaxation related to the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. On the other hand, the prominent  $\gamma$ -relaxation does not change position with pressure and its intramolecular origin is clear. In a very recent paper, Gainaru et al. [1047(c)] showed after heating the sample up to 150 or 200°C the  $\beta$ -loss peak of a PPG sample with N = 314 repeat units that has lost intensity significantly. This observation is perfectly reasonable in view of the discussion above that water enhances the dielectric strength of the  $\beta$ -relaxation, but based on their result alone they concluded the  $\beta$ -relaxation originates from water. By this conclusion, Gainaru et al. cast doubt on the previously established interpretation as the true JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of PPG oligomers with its dielectric strength enhanced by very small amount of water that may still remain. Unfortunately, in reaching their conclusion, Gainaru et al. did not cite the works of Casalini and Roland. The procedure of drying the sample used by Casalini and Roland is similar to that of Gainaru et al. According to the reasoning of Gainaru et al., the  $\beta$ -relaxation can no longer be resolved in the dried 2PG and 3PG samples, and yet on elevating pressure the  $\beta$ relaxation of the dried samples appears prominently in the loss spectra (see inset of Fig. 126 (left)). Another argument given by Gainaru et al. to support their conclusion is the similarity of the activation energy of the  $\beta$ -process in PPG oligomers  $\approx 6400$ K to that found in many water-containing systems. These other aqueous systems cited all have much high water content than in any of the PPG oligomer samples studied by all workers. Hence this comparison is not appropriate. Besides, the water secondary relaxation times in the aqueous mixtures cited are several decades faster than the β-relaxation time observed in the PPG oligomers. Gainaru et al. paid special attention to the  $\gamma$ -relaxation by pointing out that its activation energy  $E_{\gamma}$  is close to  $20T_{\rm g}$  and thus similar to that usually observed for secondary relaxation processes in molecular glass formers. I do not appreciate the significance of this statement

because [739], not cited by Gainaru et al., has shown there is large spread in values of the ratio of the activation energy  $E_a$  to  $T_g$ ,  $E_a/T_g$ , for all kinds of secondary relaxations, intermolecular (JG) or intramolecular (non-JG) [739]. Gainaru et al. seem not aware of the experimental fact that the  $\gamma$ -relaxation time does not change with pressure [657, 658], and hence not an important secondary relaxation in connection with glass transition. They mentioned that the  $\gamma$ -relaxation could be described by the "minimal model" of Dyre and Olsen [1044] for the anomalous *T*-dependence of its relaxation time, but neglected to mention the more general and appropriate explanation by the hybridization with JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in [1043]. If only the paper of Gainaru et al. were consulted, one could get the idea that the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in PPG oligomers is non-existent and the  $\gamma$ -relaxation is the only native secondary relaxation. However, if other experimental facts of the  $\beta$ - and  $\gamma$ -processes are considered altogether, then the idea is not supported.

In the procedure used by Dyre and Olsen [1044] to find the anomalous Tdependence of  $\tau_{\nu}$  of 3PG, they assumed the  $\varepsilon''(\nu)$  spectrum is contributed by the  $\alpha$ - and the  $\gamma$ -relaxations, and the high-frequency flank of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak is well represented by a term  $\propto v^{-1/2}$ . The contribution of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation was supposedly entirely eliminated by subtracting the term  $A\nu^{-1/2}$  from the  $\varepsilon''(\nu)$  data, and the remnant was the  $\gamma$ -loss peak. I have criticized this procedure principally because they ignored the presence of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation though not resolved. The assumed  $A\nu^{-1/2}$  frequency dependence of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak initially was based on the empirical observations from  $\varepsilon''(\nu)$  data of a few glassformers [1045]. Recently,  $\varepsilon''(\nu)$  data of more small molecular organic glassformers were examined by Nielsen et al. [1046]. Although they found more glassformers to have  $\varepsilon''(v) \propto v^{-g}$  with g = 1/2, many other glassformers have g departing from  $\frac{1}{2}$ . The authors admitted that "No attempts were made to subtract possible contributions from beta processes." They excluded for consideration the polymeric glassformers for no good reason. Except for the presence of chain modes at lower frequencies than the  $\alpha$ -relaxation, the glass transition dynamics of polymers is the same in every respect as non-polymeric organic glassformers. Except for a few polymers that have dipole moment along the chain backbone, the slower chain modes do not contribute to  $\varepsilon''(v)$ . Even if the chain modes contribute, the contribution would not affect the high-frequency flank of the α-loss peak. Nielsen et al. offer no explanation of their own on why more glassformers have g = 1/2 except quoting obsolete models such as defect diffusion in one dimension of Glarum [74, 305] and others, which have paucity of predictions and cannot address the plentiful experimental facts about glass transition of modern days. Nielsen et al. make connection of the glassformers all having g = 1/2 with their fragilities, pointing out that the latter vary over a wide range. This observation is no surprise because, as discussed before, "fragility" is a composite quantity dependent on both thermodynamics and many-body relaxation (or *n*), and correlation with any other property can break down. Only by restricting to glassformers with similar or related chemical structures, it may be possible to find the correlation between "fragility" and n. Not specified, the g = 1/2 glassformers of Nielsen et al. may come from diversely different chemical classes with widely different sensitivity to thermodynamic variables. Moreover, the observations of g = 1/2 in some of their glassformers may be those having narrower width of  $\alpha$ -loss peak (or n) and the g = 1/2 is partly due to the presence of unresolved JG  $\beta$ -relaxation on the high-frequency flank. In fact, 2PG, 3PG, PPG400, eugenol, isoeugenol, and DOP discussed before all have  $g \approx 1/2$  and all of them have narrower  $\alpha$ -dispersion with n < 0.37. On the other hand, for glassformers having broader  $\alpha$ -dispersions and larger n like DGEBA (n = 0.47) and PPGE (n = 0.54), the entire  $\alpha$ -loss peak including its high-frequency flank is well fitted by the one-sided Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function (see Figs. 123 and 124). The latter has rigorously the  $\nu^{-g}$ -dependence, with g = (1 - n), which is not far from g = 1/2 for DGEBA and PPGE. The JG  $\beta$ -relaxation does not interfere because it is located at higher frequencies outside the  $v^{-g}$ -dependence. This is supported by the location of the primitive  $v_0$  in the figures for  $\varepsilon''(v)$  data taken at T near  $T_g$ , the same condition used by Nielsen et al. in examining their data on organic liquids. Thus, the  $v^{-g}$ -dependence with  $g \approx 1/2$  of some glassformers comes entirely from the Kohlrausch  $\alpha$ -relaxation with  $n \approx 0.5$  without the influence of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation, while for some other glassformers having smaller n (including 2PG, 3PG, PPG400, isoeugenol, and DOP) it comes from the omnipresence of the unresolved JG  $\beta$ -relaxation nearby and possibly also the additive contribution from the  $\gamma$ -relaxation if also present. As will be shown in Section 2.3.2.16 later for a different emphasis, the much studied dialkyl phthalates and benzophenone, as well as the pharmaceutical, ibuprofen, also have value of g near  $\frac{1}{2}$  but definitely an unresolved JG  $\beta$ -relaxation is present and located in between the  $\alpha$ - and the  $\gamma$ -relaxations. This assertion for the dialkyl phthalates and benzophenone has been proven by experiment in mixtures with another glassformer having much higher  $T_g$ . For ibupropen, the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation has been resolved above and below  $T_g$ .

Also we recall the dielectric loss data of DPVC plotted linearly against log  $\nu$  shown before in Section 2.2.4. Figure 39 demonstrates that the Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch functions with  $\beta_{\text{KWW}} \equiv (1-n) = 0.71$  and 0.77 at two temperatures fits the data very well except at frequencies about two decades higher than the loss peak frequency. In a plot of the same data as  $\log \varepsilon''(\nu)$  vs.  $\log \nu$  in Fig. 122, the high-frequency flanks of these Kohlrausch fits have slopes -0.71 and -0.77 far from -0.50. The excess wing of DPVC has steeper slope but it depends on temperature or  $\tau_{\alpha}$ .

Without taking into account and making connection to other known experimental facts, the promotion of the loose phenomenology of minimum slope -g having a distribution centered near -0.5 by Nielsen et al. does not serve any useful purpose, and instead it distracts or muddles the real and important issues of glass transition.

The frequencies where Nielsen et al. found  $\varepsilon''(\nu) \propto \nu^{-g}$  with g = 1/2 data are high above the loss peak frequency. The mechanical counterpart of the dielectric susceptibility like the shear modulus  $G^*(\nu)$  at these high frequencies would contribute very little to the viscosity, an important characteristic of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. From this we can say for the region of the spectrum having  $\varepsilon''(\nu) \propto \nu^{-g}$  with g in the neighborhood of 1/2 that it is an unimportant feature as far as the essential properties derivable from the  $\alpha$ -relaxation are concerned. Furthermore, Fragidakis and Roland (to be published) have found from a number of dielectric loss data of small molecular glassformers not considered by Nielsen et al. that the minimum slope deviates significantly from g = 1/2.

### 2.3.2.6 Removing the Confusion Caused by the Interpretation of the Excess Wing (EW) of Others

Ample evidences have been given above and elsewhere to show that for glassformers that have no resolved JG  $\beta$ -relaxation, part of the excess wing (EW) is the submerged JG relaxation. We have seen in previous sections that the excess wing in several glassformers including PG, glycerol, PC, 3PG, and isoeugenol is transformed by pressure or physical aging into a well-resolved JG relaxation. The other part of the EW at lower frequencies than the unresolved JG  $\beta$ -relaxation is the evolving many-body relaxation processes before reaching the terminal Kohlrausch α-relaxation with the maximum cooperative length scale allowed by the intermolecular interaction. Both parts are connected to the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation, and their relaxation times shift with pressure. Let us call EW of this kind, type  $\mathcal{A}$ . The same is true for the EW in glassformers that shows resolved non-JG relaxation but no JG  $\beta$ -relaxation, and the EW also has the two parts because the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation and the development of the many-body relaxation following it are universal. Let us call glassformers and the associated EW of this kind, type  $\mathcal{B}$ . However, in glassformers that have a resolved JG  $\beta$ -relaxation, the EW is another part of the many-body relaxation process that evolves with time. Let us call these glassformers and the EW they have of this kind, type C. The interpretation of the EW and the classification of glassformers follow from the CM, putting emphasis on the universal presence and fundamental importance of the JG or the primitive relaxation. The difference in the nature of the EW in glassformers of the three types,  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$ , and  $\mathcal{C}$ , discussed before in Section 2.3.2.3 are reiterated here, because if not taken into account it can lead to confusion in interpreting the EW. This happens to the authors of [1025, 1047(a)] who believe that the excess wing (EW) is a distinct process of the same nature present in all glassformers irrespective of them having a resolved secondary relaxation or not. In the 2003 paper [1025], one justification given by these authors is the statement: "In dielectric experiments under pressure, the excess wing and JG process behave quite differently." This was based on the comparison of the excess wings of cresolphthalein-dimethylether (KDE) and phenolphthalein-dimethylether (PDE) and the resolved secondary relaxation of *bis*(methoxyphenyl)cyclohexane (BMPC) in the 2002 paper by Hensel-Bielowka and Paluch [1037]. There it was shown that the excess wings of KDE and PDE have strong P-dependence, but none for the secondary relaxation of BMPC. This belief was reaffirmed by the authors of [1047(b)] in their statement: "... as already suggested on the basis of high-pressure experiments we will argue that the excess wing and the  $\beta$  relaxation cannot be treated on the same footing," and again citing Hensel-Bielowka and Paluch [1037].

Hensel-Bielowka and Paluch mistakenly identified the resolved secondary relaxation of BMPC as the JG process in their paper, and this has led the authors of [1025, 1047(a), 1047(b)] to do the same. Apparently, they are aware of the fact that since the publication of criteria to identify JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in 2004 [685], and

from other experimental evidences [120, 618, 685], Paluch had changed his view of [1037] and instead he now attributes the excess wing or part of it in KDE and PDE as unresolved JG relaxation with pressure dependence, and the secondary relaxation in BMPC as a non-JG intramolecular process from its independence on pressure and thermo-history [1042]. Also, the secondary relaxation in BMPC (as well as in PDE) shown by deuterium NMR measurements from Meier and coworkers [1049, 1050] is due to the phenyl ring flip. It has intramolecular origin, and is not the JG relaxation according to the criteria of [685]. Therefore, in the statement by the authors of [1025] quoted above they are comparing type  $\mathcal{A}$  excess wing of KDE, part of which is the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation, with the non-JG secondary relaxation of BMPC (which is of type  $\mathcal{B}$ ). Of course they behave differently under pressure or aging, and therefore the statements made by the authors of [1025, 1047(a), 1047(b)] become irrelevant. This unnecessary confusion is due to the authors of [1025] not differentiating excess wings appearing in glassformers of different types,  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ , and  $\mathcal{C}$ , and having different characters. Certainly, they were misled by the 2002 paper by Hensel-Bielowka and Paluch [1037]. However, the confusion of these authors arises partly from them not paying attention to the universal presence of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation, and not distinguishing it from the intramolecular non-JG secondary relaxation. The last problem is especially clear in [1407(b)], where among the glassformers considered, DHIQ, *m*-fluoroaniline (*m*-FA), and DGEBA, the resolved secondary relaxation above  $T_{q}$ have been proven to be not JG  $\beta$ -relaxation.

For glassformers that have a resolved secondary relaxation such as trimethyl phosphate in [1025] and triphenyl phosphate and DGEBA, the authors of [1047(a)] suggested their universal excess wing is present in between the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and the secondary relaxation. They made the following statement: "On a closer examination of figure 11(b) of DGEBA, at low temperatures ( $\leq 252$  K) an excess wing seems to emerge from underneath the well-separated  $\beta$ -peak." The feature in the spectrum claimed by these authors as evidence of the excess wing is the same as that shown for DGEBA at the lowest temperature here in Fig. 123. The reader may recall that the genuine JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in DGEBA was found as resolved peak in the glass state and also near  $T_g$  [242]. It lies in between the  $\alpha$ - and the prominent  $\gamma$ -relaxation (called *the well-separated*  $\beta$ -*peak* in [1047(a)]), and its relaxation time  $\tau_{IG}$  corresponds well to  $\tau_0$  as can been seen in Fig. 123 (right), and also in [1051]. Thus, Fig. 123, or Fig. 11b of [1047], indicates that the excess wing claimed by the authors of [1047(a)] partly arises from the unresolved JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of DGEBA in the liquid state. On increasing temperatures above  $T_{\rm g}$ , all three relaxations of DGEBA become less separated from each other, and the JG relaxation with the least dielectric strength cannot be resolved. From previous studies of the dielectric loss of DGEBA, it is known from the chemical structure that the prominent and fast  $\gamma$ -relaxation of DGEBA comes from the significant dipole moment of the epoxide group and not the entire molecule, and hence it is not the JG relaxation. Reacting EPON828 with cross-linking agents has the epoxide groups consumed; the  $\gamma$ -relaxation is suppressed and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation strengthened, making the presence of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation absolutely clear as shown in Fig. 132-1 after 5 h of reaction time [1051].



**Fig. 132-1** (*Left*) Dielectric loss as obtained from isothermal measurements at (**a**) 297 K and (**b**) 203 K on unpolymerized EPON828 (★) and on EPON828/EDA mixtures after polymerization for 24 h at  $T_{Poly} = 297$  K ( $\Diamond$ ) and at  $T_{Poly} = 343$  K (•), showing suppression of the  $\gamma$ -relaxation and strengthening of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation as the epoxide group is consumed by reaction. (*Right*) The dielectric loss spectrum of the DGEBA-EDA measured at 297 ± 1 K after polymerization at different temperatures, as indicated, for different times: (**a**) polymerized for 5 h, (**b**) polymerized for 24 h, and (**c**) polymerized for a further period of 5 h at 368 K, after polymerizing for 24 h at the indicated temperatures. Increase in  $T_{poly}$  is more effective in reducing the loss of the  $\gamma$ -relaxation and strengthening of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. From unpublished data of Mario Beiner

The authors of [1047(a)] seem unaware of these facts showing the JG relaxation does exist in DGEBA. Instead, they suggested that the feature in the region separating the  $\alpha$ - and the  $\gamma$ -relaxations is evidence of the presence of their universal excess wing. The loss spectra of two other epoxies, the glass-forming poly[(phenylglycidylether)-*co*-formaldehyde] (PPGE) ([242, 973], see also Fig. 124 herein) and tri-epoxy triphenylolmethane triglycidylether (TPMTGE) [1052], are similar to DGEBA in every respect, including the presence of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in between the  $\alpha$ - and the  $\gamma$ -relaxations, and the same feature as in DGEBA suggested as the excess wing by the authors of [1047]. The relaxation map presents the relaxation times of all three relaxations, and there is good agreement of the JG relaxation time  $\tau_{JG}$  with the calculated  $\tau_0$  for PPGE as shown in Fig. 131 (right), and also for TPMTGE (not shown).

#### Contrasting the Interpretation of the Dynamics with That of the CM

The interpretation of the susceptibility spectra of the authors of [1025, 1047(a), 1047(b), 1053, 1054] emphasizes the universal presence of the EW  $\propto v^{-\gamma}$  with  $\gamma \approx 0.2$  of the same nature irrespective of whether there is resolved secondary relaxation or not. The contrast with the interpretation of the CM is brought out by comparing the two different ways data of the dielectric loss spectrum of 4-tertbutylpyridine (4-TBP) at 161 K [1047(b)] shown in Fig. 132-2 are analyzed. The authors of [1047(b)] assume their EW =  $A\nu^{-\gamma}$  with  $\gamma \approx 0.2$  is an additive contribution to the loss spectrum, and A has the magnitude that places it in the position as shown by the dashed line in Fig. 132-2. After subtraction, they obtain the symmetrical  $\beta$ -peak, and the high-frequency flank of the  $\alpha$ -peak has the power law  $\propto \nu^{-0.6}$ . The result is three additive contributions. On the other hand, in the CM interpretation, the shoulder shown in the loss data is already an indication of the presence of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. This is confirmed by the primitive relaxation frequency,  $\nu_0$ , locating at the shoulder as indicated by the vertical arrow in Fig. 132-2. Here,  $v_0$  has been calculated with  $\nu_{\alpha}$  and  $n \approx 0.40$  from the Kohlrausch fit to the  $\alpha$ -loss peak, which also has the power law  $\propto \nu^{-0.6}$  on the high-frequency side. The excess of the observed loss  $\varepsilon''(\nu)$  over the power law  $\propto \nu^{-0.6}$  is considered as coming from the contributions of the evolving many-body relaxation processes starting from  $v_0$ and continuing down to the lower frequency,  $v_{x2}$ , at which the maximum length scale of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation is reached and the time correlation function assumes the



**Fig. 132-2** *Circles*: The dielectric loss spectrum of 4-TBP as measured at 161 K under equilibrium conditions. *Crosses*: The same data but with the excess wing contribution  $\propto v^{-0.2}$  (*dashed line*) subtracted on a phenomenological basis so that a symmetric  $\beta$ -peak results (*solid line*). The *dash-dotted line* highlights the power law on the high-frequency side of the  $\alpha$ -peak. *Inset*: a similar subtraction analysis was performed for published data of glycerol and PC [682(a)]. The *solid lines* show the resulting  $\beta$ -peaks with those previously obtained by Schneider et al. [682(a)] (*dotted lines*). Reproduced from [1047(b)] by permission. The *two vertical arrows* indicate the location of the primitive relaxation frequencies,  $v_0$ , calculated from  $v_{\alpha}$ , and n = 0.40 and n = 0.44 by the CM equation

Kohlrausch form of Eq. (1.1) with n = 0.40. None of the processes are additive, a major difference from the interpretation of [1047(b)]. Neither the CM nor anyone else has offered a quantitative account of the evolution of the many-body dynamics from the primitive relaxation to the Kohlrausch relaxation. That is an unsolved problem of many-body relaxation in statistical mechanics of complex interacting systems.

The authors of [1047(b)] also perform a similar subtraction of the EW =  $A\nu^{-0.2}$ from the published dielectric loss data of glycerol at 179 K and propylene carbonate at 153 K from Schneider et al. [682(a)] and the resulting symmetric  $\beta$ -loss peaks are shown in the inset of Fig. 132-2. The  $\beta$ -peak frequencies are several decades higher than determined by Schneider et al. without subtraction of the EW =  $A\nu^{-0.2}$ , but still assuming the  $\beta$ - and  $\alpha$ -loss peaks are additive contributions to  $\varepsilon''(\nu)$ . As can be seen in Fig. 134 to be presented later, the calculated  $\nu_0$  of glycerol at 179 K is about  $10^{-1}$  Hz, while the peak frequency of the symmetric  $\beta$ -loss peak in the inset is at about  $10^4$  Hz, a difference of five decades. This very large separation between the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and the  $\beta$ -relaxation resulting from the procedure of [1047(b)] violates the correlation of the ratio  $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{\beta}$  with the number of carbons in the series of sorbitol, xylitol, threitol, and glycerol [239], and with *n* or the width of the dispersion of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation in general [251].

EW =  $Av^{-\gamma}$  with  $\gamma \approx 0.2$  Is Not Equivalent to the IPL Observed by OHD-OKE

There is yet another confusion introduced by the authors of [1025, 1047(a), 1047(b),1053, 1054] by identifying the EW discussed above with the intermediate power law (IPL) found by short-time optically heterodyne-detected optical Kerr effect (OHD-OKE) experiments from sub-picoseconds to about 30 ns in the orientational dynamics of salol, OTP, dibutyl phthalate, and benzophenone [330-332]. For example, the authors of [1047(b)] stated: "Here, we mention that the excess wing is equivalent<sup>39</sup> to what has been termed the intermediate power-law on the basis of optical Kerr effect studies.<sup>21, 40, 47</sup> There, again a very similar exponent close to  $\gamma \approx 0.2$  has been reported." The IPL found by OHD-OKE appears at time *before* the merged  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -relaxations, while the EW discussed here appears at times *after* the  $\beta$ -relaxation. Therefore, they cannot be identified as equivalent. Moreover, the exponent  $\gamma$  (called c in [332]) does not have value  $\approx 0.20$ . Fayer and coworkers reported that  $\gamma$  or c varies over the range from 0 for salol, 0.1 for BPM, 0.13 for BZP, 0.15 for OTP, and 0.21 for DBP [332]. To be discussed in detail later, the IPL from OHD-OKE is the loss at short times while the molecules are caged, and before the primitive relaxation takes place. In contrast, the EW of type either A or  $\mathcal{B}$  is comprised of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation and the subsequent development of the manybody dynamics, and the EW of type C is only made of the latter part. The two have to be distinguished.

Excess Wing Should Not Be Confused with Nearly Constant Loss

In the publications of the authors of [1047(a), 1047(b), 1053, 1054] on the dynamic susceptibility from dielectric and optical Kerr effect (OKE) data of glassformers

without resolved secondary relaxation, they reaffirmed their belief that the excess wing (EW) is an invariant feature for a range of temperatures and has the "intermediate power law" (IPL) frequency dependence,  $A\nu^{-\gamma}$ , with temperature-independent  $\gamma$ . To fit dielectric loss data above  $T_g$  of five different glassformers including glycerol, PC, and PG, they fixed  $\gamma \approx 0.2$  and the magnitude of the excess wing A to be a temperature-dependent parameter [1053, 1054]. This IPL is convoluted with the Cole–Davidson function for the  $\alpha$ -relaxation with spectral shape that is temperature independent, and the results fit the data well. However, the fits were made [1053, 1054] to the data of glycerol at 195 K and above (where  $\tau_{\alpha} < 1$  s), but not data at lower temperatures in the equilibrium liquid state closer to  $T_g$  and in the glassy state such as for glycerol and PC in Figs. 3 and 1, respectively, of [971], and in [424, 1023]. On decreasing T below 195, the IPL (excess wing) extends over increasing number of decades of frequency with  $\gamma$  decreasing down to value as small as 0.1 or less, and is more appropriately called the nearly constant loss (NCL). Certainly the fits for data at 195 K and above with fixed  $\gamma \approx 0.2$  [1047(a), 1053, 1054] cannot work for the NCL at these lower temperatures. Therefore, the NCL should be considered as a feature of the dynamics distinctly different from IPL or excess wing of the authors of [1047(a), 1053, 1054]. To demonstrate this, isothermal dielectric loss data of N-methyl- $\varepsilon$ -caprolactam (NMEC) [508] are presented in Fig. 133 together with *tert*-butylpyridine (TBP) [675] to show three distinct features: the  $\alpha$ -loss peak



**Fig. 133** (*Left*) Dielectric loss spectra of NMEC at temperatures above and slightly below  $T_g$  (from *right to left*: 186, 184, 182, 180, 178, 176, 174, 172, 170, 168, 164, 162 K) redrawn from the data supplied by Ranko Richert to show the following three distinct relaxation mechanisms. (1) The α-loss peaks and the fits (*red and pale blue dashed lines*) at two temperatures by the Fourier transform of the KWW function,  $\varphi(t) = \exp[-(t/\tau_{\alpha})^{1-n}]$ , with n = 0.24. (2) The excess loss  $\varepsilon''(\nu) \sim \nu^{-c}$  with c = 0.29 (*long dashed line*) at 178 K, and with c = 0.47 (*solid line*) at 168 K. The very different slopes of the excess loss at the same levels at the two temperatures rule out frequency-temperature superposition of the loss data of the excess loss in this region. (3) The emergence of the NCL,  $\varepsilon''(\nu) \sim \nu^{-c}$  with  $c \approx 0.1$  (*black short dashed line*), with lower intensity at lower temperatures. The green dashed line with the label  $c \approx 0.76$  shows the frequency dependence of the high-frequency flank of the KWW fit to the (unobserved) loss peak at 168 K. The vertical arrows indicate the relaxation times of the unresolved Johari–Goldstein β-relaxation suggested by  $\tau_0$  of the CM [508]. (*Right*) Similarly for *tert*-butylpyridine, redrawn from the data supplied by D. Prevosto



Fig. 134 (Left) The inset shows the dielectric loss data of glycerol at three temperatures: 179, 185, and 190 K. The data at 179 K were obtained after aging to achieve thermodynamic equilibrium. The curve is fitted to the  $\alpha$ -relaxation peak by the one-sided Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function with n = 0.29. Each vertical arrow pointing toward certain data taken at some temperature indicates the location of the independent relaxation frequency,  $v_0$ , calculated for that temperature. The main figure shows the master curve obtained by shifting the data at the two higher temperatures to superpose on the data at the lowest temperature 179 K. The vertical arrow indicates the location of the independent relaxation frequency,  $v_0$ , calculated. The *dashed line* with frequency dependence,  $\nu^{-0.1}$ , indicates the slow variation of  $\varepsilon''$  at high frequencies or the NCL regime. (Right) The inset shows the dielectric loss data of propylene carbonate at three temperatures: 152, 155, and 158 K. The data at 152 K were obtained after aging to achieve thermodynamic equilibrium. The curve is fitted to the  $\alpha$ -relaxation peak by the one-sided Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function with n = 0.27. Each vertical arrow pointing toward certain data taken at some temperature indicates the location of the independent relaxation frequency,  $\nu_0$ , calculated for that temperature. The main figure shows the master curve obtained by shifting the data at the two higher temperatures to superpose on the data at the lowest temperature 152 K. The vertical arrow indicates the location of the independent relaxation frequency,  $v_0$ , calculated. The dashed line with frequency dependence,  $\nu^{-0.08}$ , indicates the slow variation of  $\varepsilon''$  at high frequencies or the NCL regime. Reproduced from [195] by permission

fitted by the one-sided Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function with n = 0.24, the excess loss over the Kohlrausch fit in an intermediate frequency range (excess wing, according to the terminology commonly used), and the NCL  $\propto v^{-y}$  with  $\gamma \approx 0.1$  at higher frequencies. The frequencies  $v_{JG}$  of the unresolved JG relaxation, as suggested by the calculated primitive frequencies  $v_0$ , are indicated by the vertical arrows. All  $v_{JG}$  or  $v_0$  are located within the excess wings and therefore suggest the excess wing is indeed the unresolved JG relaxation. Isothermal dielectric loss data of glycerol and propylene carbonate in Fig. 134 show the same features as NMEC. These results are warnings that one should not confuse the IPL having fixed  $\gamma \approx 0.2$ (excess wing of [1047(a), 1053, 1054]) with the NCL. They are distinctly different features of the dynamics.

#### 2.3.2.7 Digression on NCL

Such a loss  $A\nu^{-\gamma}$  with small  $\gamma$  and extending over many decades (six decades for glycerol as well as PC, and can be even longer had measurement been made at higher



**Fig. 135** (*Left*) The susceptibility from quasielastic light-scattering data of PIB from [1062] at five temperatures replotted. The existence of the NCL at temperatures below and above  $T_g = 201$  K is evident. The location of the estimated primitive frequency  $v_0$  of about 1 GHz at 260 K is indicated by the *arrow*. (*Right*) Plot of the temperature dependence of the NCL intensity suggests that there is a break near  $T_g$  of PIB

frequencies) is also found long time ago in ionically conducting glasses, crystals, and molten salts from experiments [115(b), 124, 148, 149, 195, 507, 1055–1061] and from molecular dynamics simulations [802, 1058]. It is appropriately called the nearly constant loss (NCL) because of the small value of  $\gamma$ , and has been interpreted as relaxation due to anharmonicity of the potential while the ions are all still caged [802, 1057]. In molecular dynamics simulations or experiments, it is manifested as very slow rise of mean-squared displacement  $\langle r^2(t) \rangle$  over many decades of time at low enough temperatures in glassformers including binary LJ particles [321, 1058], OTP from the intermediate scattering function (see Fig. 6), and silica [823], colloidal particles (see Figs. 1 and 79), and ionic conductors [802, 1058]. Quasielastic neutron scattering experiments have captured it in many glassformers as well, not by its characteristic frequency dependence but by its weak temperature dependence [507].

Dynamic light-scattering experiments performed on the amorphous polymers, polyisobutylene (PIB) [1062] and polyisoprene (PI) [149, 507], have found the NCL in the GHz frequency range as shown in Figs. 135 and 136. For PIB, the imaginary part of the susceptibility  $\chi''(\nu)$  shows a virtually frequency-independent NCL (i.e., nearly zero  $\gamma$ ) starting from 160 K, which is 40 degrees below  $T_g \approx 200$  K, and up to 260 K which is  $1.3T_g$ .

For PI ( $T_g \approx 210 \text{ K}$ ),  $\chi''(\nu)$  from light scattering shows in Fig. 136 (Left) the presence of the NCL with  $\gamma = 0.15$  from 153 to 240 K in the frequency range from 1 to 40 GHz [1063]. Dielectric loss  $\varepsilon''(\nu)$  data of PI taken at temperatures below  $T_g$  and shown in Fig. 110 also found NCL at much lower frequencies from 10 to  $10^4$  Hz. Although dielectric data of the NCL cannot be obtained at higher frequencies at these temperatures, the dielectric NCL from  $\varepsilon''(\nu)$  at 153 K or about in Fig. 110 if continued to higher frequencies is likely the NCL from  $\chi''(\nu)$  by light-scattering NCL at the same temperature in Fig. 136. This result indicates that the IPL found by OKE [330–333] and light scattering [1047, 1053, 1054] at high frequencies and



**Fig. 136** (*Left*) The susceptibility from quasielastic light-scattering data of polyisoprene (PI) from [1063] at temperatures indicated. The existence of the NCL at temperatures below and above  $T_g = 201$  K is evident. (*Right*) Plot of the temperature dependence of the NCL intensity suggests that there is a break near  $T_g$  of PI



**Fig. 137** Dielectric loss of BIBE vs. frequency at different pressures and temperatures. (a) *Open circles:* T = 288.2 K and P = 3502, 3699, 4234, 4507, 5165 bar (*from right to left*); *solid squares* P = 1 bar and T = 226.1, 223.0, 220.5 K (*from right to left*); *solid lines* are KWW fitting curves for  $\alpha$ -process (coupling parameter n = 0.33 - 0.38). *Arrows* indicate the frequency location of JG process according to the CM predictions from the primitive frequency. (b) Comparison of different spectra with the structural peak in position I or II. I-peak includes: T = 278.4 K and P = 3202 bar (*open triangles*), T = 298 K and P = 4666 bar (*open diamonds*), T = 226.1 K and P = 1 bar (*solid squares*). II-peak includes: T = 278.4 K and P = 3699 bar (*open triangles*), T = 288.2 K and P = 4507 bar (*open circles*), T = 220.5 K and P = 1 bar (*solid squares*). Reproduced from [669] by permission

temperature above  $T_g$  and the NCL seen by dielectric relaxation at lower frequencies and near or below  $T_g$  is one and the same process.

Seen before in the dielectric spectra (Fig. 110, left panel), PI has a JG  $\beta$ -relaxation which shows up in about the same frequency range as the NCL but at temperatures above  $T_g$  (see Fig. 110, right panel) Thus, at the same temperature, this NCL

of PI is on the high-frequency side of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. For glassformers having a resolved secondary relaxation, we recall that authors of [1047, 1053, 1054] believe their universal excess wing is present at intermediate frequencies between the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and the secondary relaxation. One of the examples they used to demonstrate this belief is the dielectric loss data of DGEBA [1047]. It can be verified by inspection; the dielectric loss data of polyisoprene (PI) shown before in Fig. 110 have the same feature of the DGEBA data used by authors of [1047, 1053, 1054] to arrive at their conclusion. Thus, if one follows their logic, one would be led to conclude that there is an excess wing lying in between the  $\alpha$ - and the JG  $\beta$ relaxations of PI. However, the excess loss between the  $\alpha$ -loss peak described by the Kohlrausch fit and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in the data of PI at 204.2 K in Fig. 110 is due to the contribution from the developing many-body relaxation with increasing length scale. Actually the excess wing or NCL has been found but is on the high-frequency side of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxations.

# 2.3.2.8 $\tau_{\text{JG}}$ Like $\tau_{\alpha}$ Is Pressure Dependent, and Co-invariance of *n* and $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{\text{JG}}$ at Constant $\tau_{\alpha}$

The  $\alpha$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  increases with pressure at constant temperature. The sensitivity of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  to pressure, or the density-dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , varies depending on the chemical structure of the glassformer. The JG relaxation time  $\tau_{JG}$  also increases with applied pressure, although less so than  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . The pressure dependence of  $\tau_{JG}$  is important because it not only mimics the  $\alpha$ -relaxation but also shows that  $\tau_{JG}$  is sensitive to change in specific volume as well as to temperature, the latter is well known. On the other hand, secondary relaxations that are not of the JG kind (involving intramolecular degrees of freedom) usually have lesser or no pressure dependence. Several examples are given here to illustrate the different pressure dependences of the two kinds of secondary relaxations. Thermodynamic based theories of glass transition exclusively consider the dependences of volume and entropy of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation but not those of the secondary relaxation not to say the JG relaxation, and this is a potential problem for these theories. In the following we discuss the pressure dependence of  $\tau_{JG}$  by examples taken from glassformers in different situations.

#### Neat Glassformers: Resolved JG $\beta$ -Relaxation Above $T_{g}$

Benzoin isobutylether (BIBE) and dipropyleneglycol dibenzoate (DPGDB) discussed in Section 2.2.4.1 and shown before in Fig. 35e and f are examples. There are two secondary relaxations in both cases, and the slower one shifts with pressure and is the JG relaxation. In contrast, the faster  $\gamma$ -relaxation loss peak does not seem to shift at all with pressure. For BIBE, it can be deduced from the loss data of BIBE with the longest  $\tau_{\alpha}$  in Fig. 35 f. The slower one shown as a bump in the loss spectrum is the JG relaxation. Although the structure indicating the JG relaxation is not as well resolved at shorter  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , its shift to lower frequencies with increasing pressure is an indication that  $\tau_{JG}$  increases on elevating pressure. Furthermore, concurrent invariance of the  $\alpha$ - and the JG  $\beta$ -loss peaks to different combinations of *T*  and *P* shown in Fig. 35e and f is another interesting feature. It indicates that at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , for different combinations of *P* and *T*, not only the frequency dispersion (or *n*) of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation but also the ratio,  $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{JG}$ , is invariant. This co-invariance of the frequency dispersion (or *n*) of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and the ratio  $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{JG}$  is another sign of the existence of some profound connection of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation to the  $\alpha$ relaxation. As we shall see below this co-invariance property is general. Since this is a very important dynamic property, it is worthwhile to show once more the data of BIBE [669] more clearly in Fig. 137.

For DPGDB, Fig. 117 given before in Section 2.3.2.2 shows more explicitly than Fig. 37e that the slower JG secondary relaxation in DPGDB shifts to lower frequencies with increasing pressure, but not the faster  $\gamma$ -relaxation [1014]. For each temperature, there is good correspondence between the peak frequency of the JG relaxation  $\nu_{\rm JG}$  and the primitive frequency  $\nu_0$  calculated by Eq. (2.67). The co-invariance of the frequency dispersion (or *n*) of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and the ratio  $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{\rm JG}$  in the liquid state has been shown in Fig. 35e. There are more data of  $\tau_{\rm JG}$  in the glassy state from the work by Prevosto and coworkers [678]. These are presented in Fig. 138 as a function of *T* at various constant pressures  $P_{\rm con}$ , and as a function of *P* at various constant temperatures  $T_{\rm con}$  together with  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{\gamma}$ . The  $\tau_{\rm JG}$  data shown are all for  $T < T_{\rm g}$  or  $P > P_{\rm g}$ , because the loss peak of the JG relaxation of DPGDB is best resolved in the glassy state over wider range of *T* or *P*. Here for all  $P_{\rm con}$  and  $T_{\rm con}$ , the reference temperature and pressure  $T_{\rm ref}$  and  $P_{\rm ref}$  are defined by  $\nu_{\alpha}(T_{\rm ref}, P_{\rm con}) = 10^{-2}$ Hz and  $\nu_{\alpha}(P_{\rm ref}, T_{\rm con}) = 10^{-2}$ Hz, respectively. It can be



**Fig. 138** (*Left*) Relaxation frequencies,  $\nu_{\alpha}$ ,  $\nu_{\beta}$ , and  $\nu_{\gamma}$ , of the  $\alpha$ -,  $\beta$ -, and  $\gamma$ -relaxations in DPGDB as functions of reciprocal temperature at three different constant pressures, 0.1, 268, and 530 MPa. (*Right*) Relaxation frequencies,  $\nu_{\alpha}$ ,  $\nu_{\beta}$ , and  $\nu_{\gamma}$ , of the  $\alpha$ -,  $\beta$ -, and  $\gamma$ -relaxations as functions of pressure at three different constant temperatures, 248.3, 268.5, and 233.7 K. In both panels, the *lower horizontal dotted line* indicates  $\nu_{\alpha} = 10^{-2}$  Hz. The results show  $\nu_{\beta}/\nu_{\alpha}$  is invariant to different combinations of *P* and *T* at constant value of  $\nu_{\alpha} = 10^{-2}$  Hz. The *upper horizontal line* indicates the primitive frequency  $\nu_0$  calculated with n = 0.40 of the Kohlrausch function that fits the  $\alpha$ -relaxation, and it shows  $\nu_0 \approx \nu_{\beta}$ , independent of *T* and *P*. Reproduced from [678] by permission

seen by inspection of Fig. 138 that the JG relaxation frequencies,  $v_{JG}(T, P_{con})$  and  $v_{JG}(P, T_{con})$ , have approximately the same value at  $T_{ref}$  and  $P_{ref}$ , respectively. Thus, at  $T_{ref}$  or  $P_{ref}$  where  $v_{\alpha} = 10^{-2}$  Hz, the ratio  $(v_{JG}/v_{\alpha}) \equiv (\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{JG})$  is invariant. Together with the previously established invariance of the frequency dispersion (or *n*) of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of DPGDB at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$  or  $v_{\alpha}$  to *T* and *P* combinations, this new result again leads us to the property of co-invariance of *n* and  $(\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{JG})$  at  $v_{\alpha} = 10^{-2}$  Hz. The primitive frequency  $v_0$  has been calculated by Eq. (2.67) at  $T_{ref}$  or  $P_{ref}$  with n = 0.40 of the Kohlrausch function that fits the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and its location in Fig. 138 indicated by the horizontal line. It is in approximate agreement with the values  $v_{JG}$  would have at  $T_{ref}$  or  $P_{ref}$ .

Pressure dependence of  $\tau_{JG}$  together with co-invariance of *n* and  $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{JG}$  at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is found in two hydrogen-terminated poly(methylmethacrylate) (PMMA) with degrees of polymerization equal to 3 and 4, i.e., trimer and tetramer with molecular weights of 302 and 402 g/mol, respectively [1064]. The left panel of Fig. 139 shows the normalized dielectric loss data of the trimer at three different combinations of *P* and *T* all having  $\alpha$ -loss peaks with the same peak frequency and frequency



**Fig. 139** (*Left*) Dielectric loss data of MMA trimer at three combinations of *P* and *T* at the same  $\alpha$ -loss peak frequency. The line is the KWW fit with n = 0.44. The *arrow* indicates the location of the primitive relaxation frequency,  $v_0$ . Data from [1064] replotted. (*Middle*) The frequency dependence of the dielectric loss,  $\varepsilon''(v)$ , of DGEBA (EPON828) taken at three selected combinations of temperature and pressure,  $T = -16.0^{\circ}$ C, P = 0.1 MPa (*open triangles*);  $T = 20.19^{\circ}$ C, P = 246.5 MPa (*open squares*); and  $T = 30.19^{\circ}$ C, P = 345.5 MPa (*closed circles*), are shown in the main part of the figure. The loss peaks of the three sets of data have practically the same peak frequency,  $v_{\text{max}}$ , and nearly the same frequency dependence. The *dashed line* is the fit by the imaginary part of the one-sided Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function with n = 0.47. The location of the calculated  $v_0$  is indicated by the *arrow*. (*Right*) open circles, squares, and *triangles* are for the  $\alpha$ -relaxation times reported in [242] at ambient pressure, and the *two closed circles* are for  $\tau_0$  calculated for two temperatures from  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . The *open inverted triangle* is the  $\tau_0$  calculated from the  $\alpha$ -relaxation time at  $T = -16.0^{\circ}$ C, P = 246.5 MPa and at  $T = 30.19^{\circ}$ C, P = 345.5 MPa, respectively. Data from [676] are replotted here

dependence in the liquid state. The latter is well fitted by the Kohlrausch function with n = 0.44 (solid line). The shoulder on the high-frequency side is the JG relaxation as evidenced by the good agreement of its location by the primitive frequency  $\nu_0$  calculated by Eq. (2.67) with  $\nu_{\alpha} \approx 10^{-1.8}$  Hz and n = 0.44 (vertical arrow). Since the location of the shoulder does not change,  $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{\rm JG}$  is invariant to different combinations of *P* and *T* at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . The shape of the JG relaxation changes because there is no guarantee that its dielectric strength in relation to that of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation remains the same.

The situation is similar in the case of DGEBA (EPON828). Dielectric study of DGEBA at elevated pressure of 345.5 MPa and  $T = 30.19^{\circ}$ C was able to resolve a shoulder in the loss spectrum that can be identified with the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in the liquid state (see the middle panel of Fig. 139). The frequency where the shoulder appears is near  $\nu_0$  calculated by Eq. (2.67) of the CM. The corresponding  $\tau_0$  is shown in the right panel by the inverted triangle, and it suggests that  $\tau_{\beta}$  has a stronger *T*-dependence above  $T_g$  than its Arrhenius dependence below  $T_g$ . The data of liquid DGEBA support that  $\tau_{\beta}$  remains constant to changes of the combinations of *P* and *T* when  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is held constant. This is a remarkable result because  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is eight decades shorter at 30.19°C than at  $-16.0^{\circ}$ C when both are at ambient pressure of 0.1 MPa (see vertical dashed line in inset). Although applied pressure of 341.1 MPa at 30.19°C is able to maintain  $\tau_{\alpha}$  the same as that taken at  $-16.0^{\circ}$ C under ambient pressure, there is significant change in the thermodynamic condition of the glassformer, and yet the ratio,  $\tau_{\beta}/\tau_{\alpha}$ , remains constant.

Neat Glassformers: Resolved JG  $\beta$ -Relaxation Below  $T_{g}$ 

Like DPGPG, there are lot more data of  $\tau_{\rm JG}$  of poly(phenylglycidylether) (PPGE) and diglycidylether of bisphenol A (DGEBA) in the glassy state, which can be used to support the co-invariance of *n* and the ratio  $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{\rm JG}$  to different combinations of *P* and *T* at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . These two epoxy glassformers show the same behavior as discussed above for DPGDB. The shape of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak is also the same for different combinations of *P* and *T*, in accord with the general behavior discussed before in Section 2.2.4. The fit by the Kohlrausch function gives n = 0.54 for PPGE and n = 0.47 [678] for DGEBA [616].

The data from the work of Prevosto and coworkers are shown in Fig. 140 for PPGE and Fig. 141 for DGEBA. These figures are similar to those of DPGDB, and the descriptions given above apply. The conclusions are the same, i.e., (i)  $\tau_{JG}$  like  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is pressure dependent, (ii) *n* and  $(\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{JG})$  are co-invariant at a chosen fixed value of  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , and (iii) the calculated primitive  $\tau_0$  at  $T_{ref}$  or  $P_{ref}$  is close to the projected  $\tau_{JG}$  (only determined at  $T < T_g$  or  $P > P_g$ ) at the same *T* and *P*. However, the faster  $\gamma$ -relaxation is invariant to *P* above and below  $T_g$ . Later on, in another subsection, it will be shown that the Arrhenius *T*-dependence or *P*-dependence of  $\tau_{JG}$  established in the glassy state no longer holds and changes to assume a stronger *T*-dependence  $\tau_{JG}$  in the glassy state to  $T_{ref}$  would tend to overestimate the true value of  $\tau_{JG}(T_{ref})$ .



**Fig. 140** (*Left panel*) Plot of  $\log[\tau_{\alpha}(P)/s]$  and  $\log[\tau_{JG}(P)/s]$  of PPGE against *P* at two temperatures, 267.6 and 293 K.  $P_g$  is defined by  $\log[\tau_{\alpha}(P_g)/s] = 1$ . (*Middle panel*) Plot of  $\log[\tau_{\alpha}(T)/s]$  and  $\log[\tau_{JG}(T)/s]$  against 1000/*T* at two constant pressures, 0.1 and 500 MPa.  $T_g$  is defined by  $\log[\tau_{\alpha}(T_g)/s] = 1$ . Extrapolation of the linear *P* dependence of  $\log[\tau_{JG}(P)/s]$  to  $P_g$  in *left panel* or the linear 1000/*T* dependence of  $\log[\tau_{JG}(T)/s]$  to  $T_g$  in *middle panel* all give values within the spread indicated by  $\Delta \tau_{max}^{\beta}$  and the length of the *filled black rectangle* located on the *y*-axis of the *left panel*. (*Right panel*) The same data in the middle panel with the addition of the data taken at P = 240 MPa replotted as functions of the scaled reciprocal temperature  $T_g(P)/T$ . Here  $T_g(P)$  is defined by  $\log[\tau_{\alpha}(T_g)/s] = 1.42$  for all three constant pressures, P = 500 MPa (*triangles*,  $T_g = 323.3$  K), 240 MPa (*squares*,  $T_g = 294.1$  K), 0.1 MPa (*circles*,  $T_g = 260.4$  K). There is total collapse of both sets of the  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{JG}$  data, indicating the correlation between the two processes. The *oval symbol* is  $-\log(\tau_0)$ , where  $\tau_0$  is the primitive relaxation time calculated at  $\log(\tau_{\alpha}/s) = 2$ , and n = 0.54 from fit of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak by the Kohlrausch function. Reproduced from [678] by permission

As the last example from unpublished data of D. Prevosto, the relaxation frequencies  $v_{\alpha}$  and  $v_{JG}$  of poly(vinyl acetate) (PVAc) with molecular mass  $M_{\rm w} = 167$  kg/mol and  $T_{\rm g} = 310$  K shown as functions of pressure *P* at two temperatures, 323.2 and 343.3 K, in the left panel of Fig. 141a are compared with  $v_{\alpha}$  and  $v_{\rm JG}$  as functions of 1000/*T* at ambient pressure of 0.1 MPa. Again, at the glass transition pressure  $P_{\rm g}$  or temperature  $T_{\rm g}$  defined by  $v_{\alpha} = 0.1$  Hz,  $v_{\rm JG}(P_{\rm g})$  and  $v_{\rm JG}(T_{\rm g})$ are nearly the same for all three cases (as indicated by the narrow rectangular box in the figure). Also shown is the logarithm of the primitive relaxation frequency,  $v_0$ , calculated by the CM equation (2.68) with n = 0.58 obtained from fitting the  $\alpha$ -loss peak by the Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function and  $v_{\alpha} = 0.1$  Hz. The value  $\log(v_0) = 5.9$  is close to  $v_{\rm JG}(P_{\rm g})$  and  $v_{\rm JG}(T_{\rm g})$ , and the good agreement demonstrates the applicability of the CM.

#### Unresolved JG Relaxations

From the discussions given in Sections 2.3.2.3 and 2.3.2.4 above for glassformers having narrow dispersion (smaller *n*), the ratio  $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{JG}$  is not large enough to resolve the weaker JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. The unresolved JG relaxation is responsible for the excess of the dielectric loss over the Kohlrausch fit of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak, irrespective of whether the excess wing shows up prominently or not, and with or without a



**Fig. 141** (*Right panel*) Plot of  $\log[\tau_{\alpha}(P)/s]$  and  $\log[\tau_{JG}(P)/s]$  of DGEBA against *P* at two temperatures, 283 and 293 K.  $P_g$  is defined by  $\log[\tau_{\alpha}(P_g)/s] = 1$  (*lower dotted line*). (*Left panel*) Plot of  $\log[\tau_{\alpha}(T)/s]$  and  $\log[\tau_{JG}(T)/s]$  against 1000/*T* at two constant pressures, 0.1 and 400 MPa.  $T_g$  is defined by  $\log[\tau_{\alpha}(T_g)/s] = 1$  (*lower dotted line*). Extrapolation of the linear *P* dependence of  $\log[\tau_{JG}(P)/s]$  to  $P_g$  in *right panel* or the linear 1000/*T* dependence of  $\log[\tau_{JG}(T)/s]$  to  $T_g$  in *left panel* all give nearly the same value, which is close to the primitive relaxation time  $\log(1/\tau_0)$  calculated at  $\log(\tau_{\alpha}) = -1$ , and n = 0.47 from fit of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak by the Kohlrausch function (*upper dotted line*). Reproduced from [616] by permission

 $\gamma$ -relaxation at higher frequencies. For pressure dependence of  $\tau_{\rm JG}$  alone, let us recall the dielectric loss data of 3PG measured at 220.5 K and increasing pressures in Fig. 126. At lower *P* when  $\nu_{\alpha} \ge 10^{-2}$  Hz there is only excess of  $\varepsilon''(\nu)$  over the Kohlrausch fit with n = 0.37. At higher *P* and  $\nu_{\alpha} < 10^{-2}$  Hz, the excess wing appears and is transformed to the resolved JG relaxation at higher pressures. Thus the excess, the excess wing, and the unresolved JG relaxation are one and the same process and they all shift to lower frequencies with pressure *P*. However, the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of hydrogen-bonded glassformers including 2PG, glycerol, threitol, sorbitol (see Figs. 46 and 48a) [659], and *m*-fluoroaniline (*m*-FA) (see Fig. 47) [671] changes frequency dispersion on elevating *T* and *P* because of disruption of the hydrogen bondings, and the  $\varepsilon''(\nu)$  obtained at different combinations of *T* and *P* at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$  do not superpose. They are not the glassformers of choice to test the co-invariance of *n* and  $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{\rm JG}$  at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$ .

Glassformers having narrow dispersion (smaller *n*) also have advantage to show both the pressure dependence of  $\tau_{JG}$  and the co-invariance property of *n* and  $(\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{JG})$  at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . Since  $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{JG}$  is not large in these glassformers, both the



**Fig. 141a** (*Left panel*) Plot of  $\log[\nu_{\alpha}(P)/s]$  and  $\log[\nu_{JG}(P)/s]$  of high molecular weight PVAc against *P* at two temperatures, 323.2 and 342.2 K.  $P_g$  is defined by  $\log[\nu_{\alpha}(P)/s] = -1$  (*lower line*). (*Right panel*) Plot of  $\log[\nu_{\alpha}(T)/s]$  and  $\log[\nu_{JG}(T)/s]$  against 1000/*T* at ambient pressures of 0.1 MPa.  $T_g$  is defined by  $\log[\tau_{\alpha}(T_g)/s] = -1$  (*lower line*). Extrapolation of the linear *P* dependence of  $\log[\nu_{JG}(P)]$  to  $P_g$  in *left panel* or the linear 1000/*T* dependence of  $\log[\nu_{JG}(T)]$  to  $T_g$  in *right panel* all give nearly the same value, which is close to the primitive relaxation frequency  $\log(\nu_0)$  calculated at  $\log(\nu_{\alpha}) = -1$ , and n = 0.58 from fit of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak by the Kohlrausch function. Courtesy of D. Prevosto

 $\alpha$ - and the JG  $\beta$ -processes in the liquid state can be observed in a single spectrum taken within the spectral range of the instrument, particularly those having no y-relaxation in the dielectric spectrum. Demonstrated before for many glassformers of this kind is the invariance to different combinations of P and T at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$  of the entire spectrum encompassing the  $\alpha$ -loss peak and the excess contributed by the unresolved JG  $\beta$ -process. Examples shown before in Section 2.2.4 by spectra include KDE, PC, PCB62, and DiBP (see Fig. 35), TPP (see Fig. 38), DPVC (see Figs. 39 and 122), 7PGDE (see Fig. 48b), and ribose (see Fig. 51). The same behavior was found in phenylphthalein-dimethylether (PDE), phenyl salicylate (salol), 3,3',4,4'-benzophenonetetracarboxylic dianhydride (BPTCDaH), 1,1'-bis(p-methoxyphenyl)cyclohexane (BMPC), 1,1'-di(4-methoxy-5-methylphenyl)cyclohexane (BMMPC), diethyl phthalate (DEP), and di-isooctal phthalate (DiOP), and the data presented in [120]. More small molecular glassformers obeying P-T superpositioning at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$  have been found since the publication of [120, 618]. The data of propylene carbonate and 3,3',4,4'benzophenonetetracarboxylic dianhydride (BPTCDaH) are presented once more in Fig. 142, and salol and BMMPC in Fig. 143 to support the general property.



**Fig. 142** (*Right*) Dielectric loss data of 3,3',4,4'-benzophenonetetracarboxylic dianhydride (BPTCDaH) at various combinations of temperature and pressure as indicated to demonstrate the invariance of the dispersions of both the α-relaxation and the excess wing/unresolved JG β-relaxation at constant α-loss peak frequency  $\nu_{\alpha}$  or equivalently at constant α-relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . (*Left*) The same for PC. Reproduced from [120] by permission

From these examples, we have seen that the  $\alpha$ -loss peak fitted by the Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function and the excess of the measured  $\varepsilon''(v)$  over it on the high-frequency side obtained for different combinations of T and P at any fixed value of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  are invariant. The primitive frequencies  $\nu_0$  calculated by Eq. (2.67) located within the excess contribution, as shown by the vertical arrows in some of these figures, are an indication that the excess is the unresolved JG relaxation. The width of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak increases and *n* of the Kohlrausch function increases with increasing  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . Nevertheless, for any constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , the frequency dependence of  $\varepsilon''(\nu)$ obtained at different combinations of T and P are invariant over the entire frequency range shown covering the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and the excess wing (i.e., the unresolved JG  $\beta$ -relaxation). This result implies that  $\tau_{JG}$  increases with pressure along with  $\tau_{\alpha}$ although at different rates. At any  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , n as well as the ratio  $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{\rm JG}$  remains constant, for otherwise the entire shape of  $\varepsilon''(\nu)$  will change with the combinations of *P* and T. At temperatures above  $T_g(P)$  corresponding to any pressure P, the T-dependence of  $\tau_{JG}$  (as indicated by  $\tau_0$  calculated from  $\tau_{\alpha}$ ) will be non-Arrhenius and possibly well described by a Vogel-Fulcher-Tammann-Hesse equation, (VFTH)JG, albeit its T-dependence is weaker than that of the VFTH dependence of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation,  $(VFTH)_{\alpha}$ .



**Fig. 143** (*Left*) Dielectric loss data of phenyl salicylate (salol) at various combinations of temperature and pressure as indicated to demonstrate the invariance of the dispersion of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation at constant  $\alpha$ -loss peak frequency  $\nu_{\alpha}$  or equivalently at constant  $\alpha$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . The *dashed line* represents extrapolation of the power law for frequencies just past the maximum. (*Right*) Dielectric loss data of 1,1'-di(4-methoxy-5-methylphenyl)cyclohexane (BMMPC) at various combinations of temperature and pressure as indicated to demonstrate the invariance of the dispersion of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation together with the excess wing/unresolved JG  $\beta$ -relaxation at constant  $\alpha$ -loss peak frequency  $\nu_{\alpha}$  or equivalently at constant  $\alpha$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . The *dashed line* is the imaginary part of the one-sided Fourier transform of the KWW function with  $\beta_{KWW} \equiv (1 - n) = 0.55$ . The logarithmic ordinate scale makes evident the presence of an excess wing at higher frequencies. Reproduced from [120] by permission

Some narrow  $\alpha$ -relaxation molecular glassformers have a resolved secondary relaxation whose peak frequency is practically pressure independent; these are not Johari–Goldstein (JG) processes (according to the criteria given in [685]). The slower JG relaxation is not resolved from the  $\alpha$ -relaxation in the equilibrium liquid state, and appears as either excess or excess wing. Notwithstanding the presence of the  $\gamma$ -relaxation, the measured  $\varepsilon''(\nu)$  at different combinations of T and P are invariant over the entire frequency range shown covering the  $\alpha$ relaxation and the excess wing (i.e., the unresolved JG  $\beta$ -relaxation) for any constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . As discussed before in Section 2.2.4.1 and some figures therein, such liquids include 1,1'-bis(p-methoxyphenyl)cyclohexane (BMPC), diethyl phthalate (DEP), di-n-butyl phthalate (DBP), diisobutyl phthalate (DiBP), di-isooctal phthalate (DiOP), decahydroisoquinoline (DHIQ), dipropyleneglycol dibenzoate (DPGDB), benzoin isobutylether (BIBE), the epoxy compounds including diglycidylether of bisphenol A (EPON828), 4,4'-methylene bis(N,N-diglycidylaniline) (MBDGA), bisphenol A propoxylate(1PO/phenol)diglycidylether) (1PODGE), N,N-diglycidyl-4-glycidyloxyaniline (DGGOA), and N,N-diglycidylaniline (DGA) [120].

From the discussions above, the pressure dependence of  $\tau_{JG}$  and the coinvariance of *n* and  $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{JG}$  to variations of *P* and *T* at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$  or  $\tau_{JG}$  are general properties of glassformers. More examples of these properties are found in the dynamics of a component of binary mixtures of glassformers to be given in the subsection to follow. These properties reveal that, like the  $\alpha$ -relaxation, the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation is sensitive to changes in thermodynamic variables including pressure, volume, and entropy, and not just temperature as commonly believed. They also serve as ways to sharply distinguish the important JG  $\beta$ -relaxation from the other secondary relaxations having little or no connection to the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and glass transition.

By no means can we say that the relaxation time of the other secondary relaxations in all glassformers has no pressure dependence whatsoever. This is because some may have residual intermolecular character or will be bulky enough and hence relaxation time is sensitive to density change. But, the pressure dependence of  $\tau_{\gamma}$ of the faster  $\gamma$ -relaxation should be weaker than  $\tau_{JG}$  of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation because the latter involves the motion of the entire molecule. Indeed, in the glassy state of phenolphthalein dimethylether (PDE), some *P*-dependence of  $\tau_{\gamma}$  was found, but to a much lesser extent in 1,1'-*bis*(4-methoxyphenyl) cyclohexane (BMPC) [1065]. Unfortunately, the experiment on PDE cannot obtain the *P*-dependence of  $\tau_{JG}$  of PDE and compare with that of  $\tau_{\gamma}$  to check the expected difference.

#### Well-Resolved JG Relaxation of a Bead-Necklace Model of Polymer

In Section 2.3.3.1, molecular dynamics simulation of the dynamics of a beadnecklace model for polymer by Bedrov and Smith [356(c)] was discussed and the torsional autocorrelation function (TACF) obtained at ambient pressure presented in Fig. 107-2. The results at ambient pressure have shown the existence of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation with properties like molecular glassformers and real polymers, including the correlation between *n* and the separation of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation from the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. Simulations of the dynamics at elevated pressures also have been made by Bedrov and Smith. In the left panel of Fig. 144 the TACF for melt with l = 1.5 Å ( $\sigma/l = 2.7$ ) at T = 130 K and P = 1 bar is compared with that at T = 213 K and P = 5000 bar, and also at T = 60 K and P = 1 bar with that at



**Fig. 144** (*Left*) Comparison of torsional autocorrelation function (TACF) of bead-necklace model for polymer with bond length l = 1.5 Å ( $\sigma/l = 2.7$ ) obtained at T = 130 K and P = 1 bar with that at T = 213 K and P = 5000 bar, and at T = 60 K and P = 1 bar with that at T = 100 K and P = 7000 bar. (*Right*) Correlation between relaxation times for  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -processes as obtained from simulations of melts with l = 1.5 Å ( $\sigma/l = 2.7$ ) at various thermodynamic conditions. Courtesy of D. Bedrov and G. Smith [356(c)]
T = 100 K and P = 7000 bar. The two TACFs superpose onto each other, showing the ratio  $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{\rm JG}$  and *n* are co-invariants to changes in the combinations of *P* and *T* that keep either  $\tau_{\rm JG}$  or  $\tau_{\alpha}$  constant. This result further supports the identification in Section 2.3.3.1 of the secondary relaxation observed in the simple bead-necklace polymer model as the JG process. The right panel shows the correlation between relaxation times for  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -processes as obtained from simulations of melts with l = 1.5 Å ( $\sigma/l = 2.7$ ) holding at more thermodynamic conditions. According to Bedrov and Smith, the scattering in data seen for data points with large  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is likely due to uncertainty of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  extracted from their analysis of the data.

## Well-Resolved JG Relaxation of a Component in Mixtures of van der Waals Liquids

As shown before in Section 2.2.4.5, the  $\alpha$ -dispersion of a component in binary polymer blend mixtures of van der Waals liquids also is invariant to *T* and *P* variations when  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is constant. Here we shall show, for the same component, the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation shifts with pressure and the ratio  $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{\rm JG}$  remains the same on varying *T* and *P* while keeping  $\tau_{\alpha}$  constant.

#### 2-Picoline in Tri-styrene

The experiment is dielectric relaxation of guest rigid polar molecules such as 2picoline in mixtures with apolar host molecules such as tri-styrene. Only the motions of the guest molecules are observed in the dielectric spectra because the dipole moment of the host molecules is so small that their motions make no contribution. Since 2-picoline is a rigid molecule, its secondary relaxation is of definitely the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. Temperature and applied pressure were varied over wide ranges in the experiment. Elevated pressure slows down the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and increases its relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , but the increase can be compensated by raising temperature. Naturally, widely different combinations of *P* and *T* can be found to have the same  $\alpha$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , although there are significant variations in density. Dielectric relaxation measurements at 0.1 MPa of 50 wt% or less of 2-picoline in mixtures with tri-styrene (3S) had shown a well-resolved JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of 2-picoline at frequencies much higher than the  $\alpha$ -relaxation that involves motion of 2-picoline [843, 844].

Subsequently, isothermal measurements of the same mixture with 25 wt% of 2picoline at 0.1 and 600 MPa were made [676]. The loss spectra of 2-picoline in the mixture at 600 MPa are shown in Fig. 145 for some representative temperatures. In the inset, the loss data at  $-20.7^{\circ}$ C are shown with *P* increasing from 600 to 1100 MPa. At these temperatures and pressures, the picoline component remains in the equilibrium liquid state. The secondary relaxation shifts to lower frequencies with increasing pressure, which is an indication that it is the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. At  $-15.3^{\circ}$ C and *P* = 608 MPa, both the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation and the  $\alpha$ -relaxation loss peaks can be seen within the experimental frequency window. We have taken this spectrum and compare with the spectrum taken at *P* = 0.1 MPa and *T* =  $-80^{\circ}$ C



**Fig. 145** (*left*) Loss data of 2-picoline in mixture of 25 wt% with tri-styrene at 608 MPa. The temperatures of measurement are -20.7, -15.3, -10.2, -5.2, -0.2, 4.95, 10.1, and  $15.03^{\circ}$ C (*from left to right*). (*Right*) The loss data at T = 252.4 K with P = 1100, 1000, 900, 800, 700, and 600 MPa (*from left to right*) show the secondary relaxation shifting to lower frequencies with pressure even in the glassy state. Data from [676] are replotted here



Fig. 146 (a) Comparison of two spectra of 2-picoline in mixture with tri-styrene with the same  $\alpha$ -relaxation frequency but measured at different thermodynamic conditions (see labels in figure). The *open circles* are obtained by shifting the data at 0.1 MPa vertically by a constant. The *arrow* indicates that JG  $\beta$ -relaxation frequency remains practically unchanged. (b) Relaxation map of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{\beta}$  of 2-picoline in mixture with tri-styrene. *Circles* are for 608 MPa, and *squares* are for 0.1 MPa. *Closed and open triangles* are the data of 608 MPa after a horizontal shift of 1.3 decades to the right has been made. (c) Same data in (b) plotted against  $T_g/T$ . Data from [676] are replotted in all figures here

that has the same  $\alpha$ -relaxation loss peak frequency  $\nu_{\alpha} \equiv 1/(2\pi \tau_{\alpha})$ . The comparison is made in Fig. 146 (left panel) directly and after the height of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak at 0.1 MPa is scaled up by a multiplicative factor to coincide with that at 600 MPa (open circles). By inspection of the figure, it can be seen that the JG loss peak frequency, $\nu_{\beta}$ , is practically the same for the two different combinations of *P* and *T*. The most probable  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{\beta}$  (obtained from the frequencies of the maxima of the  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -loss peaks at various temperatures for P = 0.1 MPa and for P = 600 MPa) when plotted against reciprocal temperature are presented in Fig. 146 (right panel).

More data of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{\beta}$  at other temperatures and pressures than those in Fig. 146 (left panel) are shown in the relaxation map of Fig. 146 (right panel). The invariance of the relation between  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{\beta}$  to change in the *P* and *T* combination for each  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is demonstrated by horizontally shifting the data of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{\beta}$  at 600 MPa by the same amount of 1.3 along the 1000/T axis in Fig. 146 (right panel). This shift maintains the ratio  $\tau_{\beta}/\tau_{\alpha}$  at 600 MPa constant. The shifted  $\tau_{\alpha}$  (closed triangles) and  $\tau_{\beta}$  at 608 MPa (open triangles) overlap the corresponding  $\tau_{\alpha}$  (open squares) and  $\tau_{\beta}$ (closed squares) data at 0.1 MPa. In this way, the fixed relation between  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{\beta}$ for different combinations of P and T at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is demonstrated expediently for a number of values of  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . Additionally, in Fig. 146(c), the same data of  $\tau_{\alpha}(T, P)$  and  $\tau_{\rm B}(T, P)$  at constant P = 0.1 MPa and P = 600 MPa are plotted as a function of  $T_{\rm g}(P)/T$ , where the reference temperature  $T_{\rm g}(P)$  is defined by  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_{\rm g}(P), P) = 100$  s for the two constant pressures. This is another way to demonstrate the invariance of the relation of the ratio  $\tau_{\beta}/\tau_{\alpha}$  for any  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , and additionally it shows that the  $T_{\rm g}(P)$ -scaled temperature dependences of both  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{\beta}$ , which may be slightly dependent on P.

#### Quinaldine and Tert-butylpyridine in Tri-styrene

Two other mixtures of van der Waals liquids studied in another laboratory show exactly the same properties as the 25% picoline/3S mixture. The polar rigid molecule in the two mixtures are quinaldine (QN) ( $T_g = 180$  K) and tert-butylpyridine (TBP) ( $T_g = 164$  K), and the apolar host is again tri-styrene ( $T_g = 234$  K). The  $\alpha$ - and JG  $\beta$ -relaxations of QN or TBP were found in the dielectric spectra [976] (see Fig. 147, left panel). For mixtures with concentrations below 60% of TBP, and 40% of QN, the JG relaxation appears as a well-resolved peak in the dielectric loss spectrum. Therefore, the most probable  $\alpha$ - and JG-loss peak frequencies  $\nu_{\alpha}$  and  $\nu_{JG}$ and hence the corresponding  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{JG}$  were determined directly and unequivocally by the locations of the maximum loss frequencies of the two peaks. Several features worth pointing out are (1) the  $\alpha$ -loss peak of QN or TBP is much broader (and hence *n* larger) in the mixture than in the pure material, (2) there is good correspondence between the calculated frequency  $\tau_0$  of the primitive process and the most probable relaxation time  $\tau_{JG}$  of the resolved JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in the mixture (see Fig. 147, right panel), and (3) there is a clear change of T-dependence of  $\tau_{JG}$  when crossing  $T_{\rm g}$  of the same component, QN or TBP, in the mixture (see Fig. 147, right panel). These features will be revisited later on.

The measurements of the dynamics of QN and TBP in the mixtures were extended to elevated pressures (see Fig. 148, left panel) [677]. The remarkable interdependence of  $\tau_{\rm JG}$  and  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is shown in Fig. 148 (right panel) by comparing the spectra of 10% QN in tri-styrene taken at the six different combinations of *P* and *T* that have  $\tau_{\alpha} = 0.67$  s [677].

The maximum loss of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation differs in these six sets so slightly that no effort is made to adjust them to have exactly the same height. It can be seen by inspection that the frequency at the maximum loss of the JG relaxation,  $\nu_{JG}$ , and hence  $\tau_{JG}$  is almost unchanged. The height of the JG loss peak is enhanced with



**Fig. 147** (*Left*) Dielectric loss vs. frequency for spectra with the same loss maximum frequency: TBP/tri-styrene (16% wt.) at 218 K (*solid squares*), pure TBP at 167 K (*solid triangles*), QN/tristyrene (5% wt.) at 232 K (*open circles*), pure QN at 185 K (*open triangles*). The vertical axis has been shifted by appropriate factors K in order to clearly show the spectra of the two polar molecules. The *continuous lines* are fits to the  $\alpha$ -loss peak by the KWW function (coupling parameter n is shown). *Dotted lines* are from fitting a power law to the excess wing. *Vertical arrows* indicate the locations of the calculated frequency  $\nu_0$  of the primitive process. (*Right*) Relaxation map of QN/tri-styrene (5% wt.). Logarithm of the experimentally determined relaxation times vs. 1000/*T* for the  $\alpha$ -process (*solid circles*) and  $\beta$ -process (*open circles*). *Continuous lines* represent the VFTH equation for  $\alpha$ -relaxation and simulation data for  $\beta$ -relaxation obtained by numerical solution of predictions of the Hodge and CM models (*n* is fixed at 0.53). *Dotted line* is the Arrhenius fit of  $\beta$ -relaxation obtained only from data below  $T_g$ . *Asterisks* are the values of calculated frequency  $\tau_0$  of the primitive process, assuming *n* or the Kohlrausch exponent (1–*n*) is constant up to the shortest  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . Usually *n* decreases slightly at shorter  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and this may explain the discrepancies at higher temperatures. Reproduced from [976] by permission

increasing *P* and *T*, showing that the dielectric strength of JG relaxation changes differently with *P* and *T* than that of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. The invariance of the frequency dispersion of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation for different combinations of *P* and *T* that have  $\tau_{\alpha} = 0.67$  s is also shown in the figure. Thus, the ratio  $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{JG}$  and *n* are coinvariants to changes in the combinations of *P* and *T* that keep either  $\tau_{JG}$  or  $\tau_{\alpha}$ constant. The same results were obtained for other choices of  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . Invariance of the ratio  $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{JG}$  for  $\log(\tau_{\alpha}) = -0.17$  (i.e.,  $\tau_{\alpha} = 0.67$  s) is shown alternatively by the relaxation map presented in Fig. 149, and in a different style in Fig. 4 in [677], where  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{JG}$  are plotted against  $T_g(P)/T$  for two different choices of constant *P*, and against *P* for four different choices of constant *T*. One can see by inspection that approximately  $\log(\tau_{JG}) = -5.46$  (i.e.,  $\tau_{JG} = 3.5 \,\mu$ s) for all cases.

The TBP component in mixtures with tri-styrene shows exactly the same behavior as QN in tri-styrene, but the data are not reproduced here.

#### Benzonitrile (10 wt%) in PS380

The dynamics of the polar cyanobenzene or benzonitrile of 10 wt% in mixture with oligomer of PS with molecular weight equal to 380 and  $T_g = 232$  K was obtained most recently in dielectric measurement at ambient and elevated pressures by Shahin Thayyil and Capaccioli [1067]. The loss data at ambient pressure and



**Fig. 148** (*Left*) Log–log plot of selected dielectric loss spectra of the mixture QN/3Styr (5% wt.) vs. frequency at atmospheric pressure at different temperatures (*upper panel*) and at high pressures at T = 255 K (*lower panel*). The low-frequency part of some spectra has been omitted for the sake of clearness. *Symbols* are experimental data, *continuous lines* are fitting curves, *thin dotted lines* are KWW function curves with n=0.50. *Vertical arrows* indicate the locations of the calculated frequency  $\tau_0$  of the primitive process. (*Right*) Superposition of loss spectra for 10% QN in tristyrene measured for different *T* and *P* combinations but the same  $\tau_{\alpha} = 0.67$  s. The *line* is a Fourier-transformed Kohlrausch function fit with n = 0.50, and *arrow* indicates the location of the primitive relaxation frequency  $\nu_0$  calculated by the CM relation. Reproduced from [677] by permission

various temperatures as well as at elevated pressure and constant temperature of 268.2 K presented in Fig. 150 show the temperature and pressure dependence of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and the resolved JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of benzonitrile in the mixture. The spectra from measurement for four different combinations of *P* and *T* with the same  $\alpha$ -loss peak frequency are compared. Not only the frequency dispersion of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak but also the ratio  $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{JG}$  is the same, just like that found in other mixtures. Also  $\nu_0$  calculated is in agreement with experiment (see Fig. 151).

#### **Concentration Fluctuations**

Except at low concentration of one of the components, concentration or composition fluctuations in binary mixtures are unavoidably present. They introduce a distribution of environments for the polar molecules and corresponding distributions of coupling parameters  $\{n_i\}$  and the  $\alpha$ -relaxation times  $\{\tau_{\alpha i}\}$ . One consequence is an additional broadening of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak than the Kohlrausch function with the most probable  $\hat{n}$  and  $\hat{\tau}_{\alpha}$  would indicate. In the CM description of component dynamics in mixtures [736, 737], for each *i* there exists the relation between  $\tau_{\alpha i}$  and  $\tau_{\alpha 0}$ given by  $\tau_{\alpha i}(T, P) = [t_c^{-n_i} \tau_0(T, P)]^{1/(1-n_i)}$  and  $\tau_{JGi} \approx \tau_0$ , analogous to Eq. (2.69).



**Fig. 149** Relaxation map for the mixture 10% QN in tri-styrene. (**a**) Isobaric data: 0.1 MPa (*stars*), 380 MPa (*circles*). (**b**) Isothermal data: 238 K (*stars*), 253 K (*circles*), 263 K (*squares*), 278 K (*triangles*). Closed and open symbols are for  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{JG}$ , respectively. Continuous lines through the  $\tau_{\alpha}$  data represent VFTH fits in (**a**) and linear fits in (**b**). The dashed-dotted line through  $\tau_{JG}$  in (**a**) is an Arrhenius fit to the ambient pressure data at  $T < T_g$ , and the dashed curves in (**b**) are guides for eyes in following its *P*-dependence. Upper and lower horizontal dotted lines indicate  $\log(\tau_{\alpha}) = -0.17$  (i.e.,  $\tau_{\alpha} = 0.67$  s) and  $\log(\tau_{JG}) = -5.46$  ( $\tau_{JG} = 3.5 \ \mu$ s); vertical dotted lines mark the corresponding x-axis values. Vertical arrows correspond to the values of the x-axis for which  $\log(\tau_{\alpha}) = 3$  and mark the change of dynamics for  $\tau_{JG}$ . Reproduced from [677] by permission



Fig. 150 (*Left*) Dielectric loss spectra of (10 wt%) benzonitrile in mixture with PS380 at ambient pressure. (*Right*) Dielectric loss data of (10 wt%) benzonitrile in mixture with PS380 at 268.2 K and various pressures indicated. The shift of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation with pressure can be seen. Reproduced from [1067] by permission



**Fig. 151** Near perfect superposition of the spectra of (10 wt%) benzonitrile (BzNtr) in mixture with PS380 taken at four different combinations of *P* and *T*. Fitting the  $\alpha$ -loss by the Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function gives n = 0.57. With this value of *n*, the primitive frequency  $v_0$  calculated from the  $\alpha$ -loss peak frequency by the CM is indicated by the *arrow*. Reproduced from [1067] by permission

In particular, for the most probable  $\hat{n}$  and  $\hat{\tau}_{\alpha}$ , there are the relations given by

$$\hat{\tau}_{\alpha i}(T,P) = [t_{\rm c}^{-\hat{n}} \tau_0(T,P)]^{1/(1-\hat{n})}$$
(2.73)

and

$$\hat{\tau}_{\alpha}(T,P)/\tau_{\rm JG}(T,P) = \left[\hat{\tau}_{\alpha}(T,P)/t_{\rm c}\right]^{n}.$$
(2.74)

This last relation readily explains the invariance of the ratio  $\hat{\tau}_{\alpha}(T, P)/\tau_{\text{JG}}(T, P)$  at constant  $\hat{\tau}_{\alpha i}(T, P)$  or equivalently the invariance of the ratio of the observed  $\alpha$ - and JG  $\beta$ -loss peak frequencies of the polar component observed experimentally.

Since the dispersion of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of 2-picoline is additionally broadened by concentration fluctuations in the mixture,  $\hat{n}$  of 2-picoline or QN would be smaller than the value,  $n_{\rm fit}$ , from the forced fit of the data by the Kohlrausch function. Therefore, the value of  $\tau_{\rm JG} \approx \tau_0$  calculated from  $\tau_{\rm JG} \approx \tau_0(T, P) =$  $(t_c^{n_{\rm fit}})[\hat{\tau}_{\alpha}(T, P)]^{1-n_{\rm fit}}$  would be shorter than that actually predicted by the CM, which is  $\tau_{\rm JG} \approx \tau_0(T, P) = (t_c^{\hat{n}})[\hat{\tau}_{\alpha}(T, P)]^{1-\hat{n}}$ . This has been verified for the data of 25% picoline/3S mixture. The forced Kohlrausch fit requires  $n_{\rm fit} = 0.65$  which yields  $\nu_{\rm JG} \approx 4.6 \times 10^6$  Hz, while the observed  $\nu_{\rm JG} \approx 4.9 \times 10^5$  Hz is a decade smaller.

At the lower concentration of 5% QN in 3S, concentration fluctuations and the excess broadening of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak they cause are much reduced. The fit by the

Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function to the  $\alpha$ -loss peak gives a coupling parameter of  $n_{\rm fit} = 0.53$ , nearly the same at different temperatures. The exponent  $n_{\rm fit}$  in the Kohlrausch fit can be taken approximately as  $\hat{n}$ , and the calculated value of  $v_0$  from  $n_{\rm fit}$  would be in good agreement with the observed value of  $v_{\rm JG}$ . This is indeed the case for the calculated  $v_0$  for some selected spectra (T = 238 and 230 K) shown in the left panel of Fig. 148, with  $v_{\alpha}$  directly determined from the maximum, and  $n_{\rm fit} = 0.53$ . The values of  $\tau_0$  have been calculated for more temperatures above  $T_{\rm g}$  by assuming a constant n = 0.53 for QN/tri-styrene (5% wt.) The results of  $\tau_0$  shown by asterisks in the right panel of Fig. 147 match very well the experimental data for  $\tau_{\rm JG}$  (open circles).

#### An Implication on "Fragility"

The  $T_g/T$ -dependence of  $\tau_\alpha$  is usually called "fragility" or  $\alpha$ -fragility. Generalizing this terminology to JG  $\beta$ -relaxation by referring the  $T_g/T$ -dependences of  $\tau_{JG}$  as JG  $\beta$ -fragility, the result discussed in Sections "Unresolved JG Relaxations" and "Well-Resolved JG Relaxation of a Component in Mixtures of van der Waals Liquids" can be restated as follows. The  $\alpha$ -fragility and the JG  $\beta$ -fragility are related at any pressure *P*. If the "fragility" or steepness index of the JG relaxation,  $m_{JG}(P)$ , at *P* is defined by  $m_{JG}(P) = d(\log_{10} \tau_{JG}(T, P))/d(T_g(P)/T)|_{T=T_g(P)}$  in analogy to the fragility index *m* of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation, then the two indices are approximately related by  $m = m_{JG}/(1 - n)$  in the case of neat glassformers and  $m = m_{JG}/(1 - \hat{n})$  for a component in binary mixtures. This relation holds for all *P* because *n* or  $\hat{n}$  is independent of *P* as long as  $\tau_\alpha$  at  $T_g(P)$  is the same for all *P*. Anyone who entertains "fragility" as an important concept in glass transition may consider this relation and its implication that the  $\alpha$ -fragility is actually a derived quantity from the more basic JG  $\beta$ -fragility after accounting for the slowing of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation by the cooperative many-body dynamics (represented by *n* or  $\hat{n}$ ).

#### Pressure Dependence of Primitive Relaxation Time from Neutron Scattering

Before closing this subsection on pressure dependence of the JG relaxation time, mention is made of the observation by incoherent neutron scattering experiments on polybutadiene (PB) and polyisobutylene (PIB) [461, 474]. As discussed before in Sections 2.2.2.4 and 2.2.5.2, the relaxation times  $\tau(Q_c)$  determined from the incoherent intermediate scattering function  $F_s(Q,t)$  show crossover from  $Q^{-2/\beta}$ dependence for  $Q < Q_c$  to  $Q^{-2}$ -dependence for  $Q > Q_c$ , where  $Q_c$  is of the order of 1 Å<sup>-1</sup>. At the small length scales corresponding to  $Q > Q_c$ , the  $F_s(Q,t)$  is contributed by local and independent relaxation, with the primitive relaxation of the CM as the natural candidate. Frick et al. [461] found in PB that its relaxation time  $\tau(Q_c)$  for  $Q > Q_c$  increases with pressure, and hence the neutron data further support the sensitivity of the primitive relaxation time or the JG relaxation time to pressure.

# **2.3.2.9** From Causality: Dependence of $\tau_{\alpha}$ on *T*, *P*, *V*, and *S* Originates from That of $\tau_0$ (or $\tau_{JG}$ )

The observed pressure dependence of  $\tau_{\rm JG}$  and the co-invariance of *n* and  $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{\rm JG}$  at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is a remarkable and general property that deserves attention from any serious model or theory of glass transition. It is predicted by Eq. (2.69) of the CM when rewritten in the form

$$\tau_{\alpha}(T,P)/\tau_{\rm JG}(T,P) = \left[\tau_{\alpha}(T,P)/t_{\rm c}\right]^n,\tag{2.75}$$

which clearly demonstrates that *n* and  $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{JG}$  are co-invariant if  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is kept constant. The pressure dependence comes from the CM relation between  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_0 \approx \tau_{JG}$  given by Eq. (2.69). When rewritten as

$$\tau_{\mathrm{JG}}(T,P) \approx \tau_0(T,P) = [\tau_\alpha(T,P)]^{1-n} t_{\mathrm{c}}^n, \qquad (2.76)$$

it shows that the pressure dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  implies the pressure dependence of  $\tau_{JG}$  or vice versa. The deep implication of this symbiotic relation between  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{JG}$  (or  $\tau_0$ ) should not be overlooked. Also from it, an important point in the study of glass transition deduction follows: not only  $\tau_{\alpha}$  but also  $\tau_{JG}$  (or  $\tau_0$ ) depends on volume *V* and entropy *S*, and the conjugate variables of *P* and *T*. Later on in Section 2.3.2.29 we shall see that both  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{JG}$  (or  $\tau_0$ ) are functions of the same product variable  $TV^{\gamma}$ , where  $\gamma$  is a material constant, which is another way to show the dependence of  $\tau_{JG}$  (or  $\tau_0$ ) on *T* and *V*. In terms of dependence on configurational entropy  $S_c(T)$  and the Adam–Gibbs model, it has been shown that the linear dependence of the logarithm of the relaxation time on  $1/TS_c(T)$  is better obeyed by  $\log(\tau_0)$  than  $\log(\tau_{\alpha})$  [401].

The above suggests that the well-known dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  on *T*, *P*, *V*, and *S* may have originated from that of  $\tau_{JG}$  (or  $\tau_0$ ). Actually this follows from *causality* in classical physics, which requires the cause should always precede its effect. Since the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation (or the primitive relaxation) always precedes the  $\alpha$ -relaxation in time, the dependence of  $\tau_{JG}$  (or  $\tau_0$ ) on *T*, *P*, *V*, and *S* has to be the cause of the dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  on *T*, *P*, *V*, and *S*, which is just the effect. If recognized by others, this standpoint may change their entire outlook in the research on glass transition. Amusingly, from this standpoint, it becomes more appropriate to say that the JG  $\beta$ relaxation is the *primary* relaxation, and the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation is the *secondary* relaxation.

# 2.3.2.10 Systematic Increase of the Ratio $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{JG}$ (or $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{0}$ ) of a Glassformer A (by Increase of *n*) on Mixing with Increasing Concentration of a Less Mobile Glassformer B

We have seen in Section 2.3.2.1 above from experimental data of many different glassformers that the ratio  $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{\rm JG}$  increases with *n* at a predetermined value of  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . This correlation between  $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{\rm JG}$  and *n* was explained by the coupling model

(CM) as due to increase of intermolecular coupling or the breadth of the dispersion of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation, or *n* appearing in the fractional exponent (1–*n*) of the Kohlrausch correlation function in Eq. (1.1). This can be seen from the prediction (log  $\tau_{\alpha} - \log \tau_{\text{JG}} \approx n(\log \tau_{\alpha} + 11.7)$ , which follows from Eqs. (2.75) and (2.76) together with  $t_c \approx 2$  ps for molecular glassformers, and the expected increase of *n* of the faster component with increasing presence of the slower component. The correlation is strong, but it is established by compiling data from different glassformers. The correlation would be more cogent if it can be demonstrated to exist for the same JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of the same glassformer A by changing intermolecular coupling and the parameter *n*. This can be accomplished in dielectric spectroscopy by mixing a dipolar glassformer A with an apolar glassformer B that has widely different mobility or  $T_g$ . The theoretical basis of this method was given by coupling model [739].

Mixtures of Two van der Waals Glassformers

If component B has lower mobility or higher  $T_g$  than component A, presence of the B molecules in the mixture will enhance the intermolecular constraints and slow down the  $\alpha$ -relaxation involving the component A. Consequently the correlation function of component A is stretched to longer times and equivalently the frequency dispersion is broadened. In the context of the coupling model, the effect corresponds to an increase in the coupling parameter n appearing in the exponent of the Kohlrausch stretched exponential function in Eq. (1.1). If the B molecules are apolar like oligomers of styrene, and the A molecules are highly polar such as picoline [843, 844], tert-butylpyridine (TBP), and quinaldine (QN) [672–674], the dielectric spectra show only the relaxations that the polar A molecules take part in, and that include the  $\alpha$ - and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxations of component A. Pure picoline, TBP, and QN all have narrow  $\alpha$ -loss peak (small *n*), and show the unresolved JG  $\beta$ -relaxation as an excess wing. Upon addition of tri-styrene, the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation is further separated from the  $\alpha$ -relaxation, has higher relaxation strength, and becomes increasingly well resolved. This trend of increase of the ratio  $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{JG}$  of component A for a predetermined value of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  with increases of concentration of the higher  $T_{\rm g}$  component B (tri-styrene) is shown in Fig. 152 for picoline taken from [843, 844]. Shown on the left panel of this figure are the spectra of pure picoline (100%) and picoline in five mixtures with 75 down to 25% picoline. The spectra all having roughly the same loss peak frequency of  $10^{-2}$  Hz are shifted vertically for the sake of seeing the emergence of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation at high frequencies on increasing the tri-styrene content. The relaxation map on the right panel also shows, at  $T_{g}$ ,  $log(\tau_{\beta})$  is shorter in mixture with higher tri-styrene content, and also the change in *T*-dependence of  $\tau_{\beta}$  when crossing  $T_{g}$ .

Other examples include quinaldine (QN) or *tert*-butylpyridine (TBP) [672–674] in tri-styrene, which again is less mobile component. The data of TBP in tri-styrene [672(b)] are reproduced in Fig. 153 in two ways, as dependence on temperature at a fixed frequency (left panel) and as dependence on frequency at fixed  $\alpha$ -loss peak frequency (right panel).



**Fig. 152** (*Left*) The dielectric loss of 2-picoline in tri-styrene mixtures with similar  $\alpha$ -relaxation times. For clarity each spectrum is shifted by a concentration-dependent prefactor  $k_c$ . Solid lines are interpolations using appropriate model functions together with the Williams–Watts approach [843, 844]. (*Right*) The relaxation times of the secondary process of picoline in picoline/tri-styrene mixtures. Solid lines stand for Arrhenius law. For comparison: the  $\alpha$ -relaxation times of the 40% picoline in tri-styrene mixture is shown by the *bold line*. Reproduced from [843] by permission



**Fig. 153** (*Left*) Temperature dependence of dielectric loss of five different mixtures of TBP in tri-styrene at a fixed frequency of 1.366 KHz, showing increasing separation (in temperature) of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation from the  $\alpha$ -relaxation with increasing content of tri-styrene in the mixture. (*Right*) Log–log plot of normalized dielectric loss spectra of pure TBP and mixtures of TBP in tri-styrene at different *T* but similar value of  $f_{max}$ , showing increasing separation in frequency of the two relaxations. Reproduced from [672, 673] by permission

Although the  $\alpha$ -loss peak continuously broadens with addition of tri-styrene, only at low concentration of either component is the exponent  $\beta_{KWW}$  in the Kohlrausch function used to fit it truly reflects the coupling parameter *n* in the mixture. At other compositions of the mixture, the presence of concentration fluctuations further broadens the loss peak in addition to the increase of intermolecular coupling.

#### Molecular Dynamics Simulations of Model Miscible Polymer Blends

Another example is given by molecular dynamics simulations of model miscible polymer blends consisting of chemically realistic 1,4-polybutadiene (CR-PBD) as

the slow component (higher  $T_g$ ) and PBD chains with reduced dihedral barriers as the fast component (LB-PBD) with lower  $T_g$  by Bedrov and Smith [80]. The conformational energy of the C(sp<sup>2</sup>)–C(sp<sup>3</sup>)–C(sp<sup>3</sup>)–C(sp<sup>2</sup>) (alkyl) dihedrals as a function of dihedral angle for the CR-PBD and LB-PBD models are illustrated in Fig. 155-1 (right bottom panel). The simulation was designed to study the influence on the segmental  $\alpha$ -relaxation and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of the fast component when mixed with the slow component. Before blending, the relaxation times of  $\alpha$ - and JG  $\beta$ -relaxations of the neat fast component are too close together, so that the faster but weaker JG  $\beta$ -relaxation cannot be easily resolved (like neat picoline, TBP, and QN, see Figs. 152 and 153). This can be seen from the dipole moment autocorrelation function (DACF) of the pure LB-PBD melt in Fig. 154 or from the normalized dielectric loss calculated by Fourier transform of the DACF (Fig. 155-1, left panel).

However, with the addition of the slow CR-PBD component, they found a monotonic increase in the separation between  $\alpha$ - and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxations of the fast component, whereby the latter becomes resolved. The increased separation between the two is due to the strong increase of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation time,  $\tau_{\alpha f}$ , but a much smaller change of the relaxation time,  $\tau_{\beta f}$ , of the  $\beta$ -relaxation of the fast component. This is accompanied by a monotonic increase of breadth of the  $\alpha$ -dispersion or decrease of the stretch exponent,  $\beta_{\alpha} \equiv 1 - n_{f}$ , of the Kohlrausch function used to fit the correlation function with increased concentration of the slow component. These can be seen in Fig. 154. It is worthwhile also to note the decrease in the width of the dispersion of the slower CR-PBD with increase in content of the faster LB-PBD in the mixtures. This is predicted by the CM but not by other theory.



Fig. 154 DACF as a function of time for LB-PBD (*open symbols*) and CR-PBD (*filled symbols*) components in the LB-PBD/CR-PBD blends and pure melts at 198 K. Note the increasing separation between the  $\alpha$ - and JG  $\beta$ -relaxations of the LB-PBD with increasing CR-PBD content in the mixtures. Also note the decrease in the width of dispersion of the slower CR-PBD with increase of the faster LB-PBD content in the mixtures. Data from [356(a)] reconstructed and kindly provided by D. Bedrov



**Fig. 155-1** (*Left*) The normalized dielectric loss of (**a**) CR-PBD component and (**b**) LB-PBD component, at 198 K for several blend compositions and pure melts of the components. All curves are normalized such that the total dielectric loss is unity. (*Right, bottom*) The conformational energy of the  $C(sp^2)-C(sp^3)-C(sp^3)-C(sp^2)$  (alkyl) dihedrals as a function of dihedral angle for the CR-PBD and LB-PBD models. Also shown is the division of alkyl dihedral angles into *gauche<sup>+</sup>*, *trans*, and *gauche<sup>-</sup>* conformational states. (*Right, top*) The DACF as a function of time for LB-PBD (*open symbols*) and CR-PBD (*filled symbols*) components in the 10/90 LB-PBD/CR-PBD blends at 198, 222, 240, 273, 323, and 400 K. *Arrow* indicates the direction of increasing temperature. Uncertainties are smaller than the *symbol size*. All figures are reconstructed from data in [356(a)], and kindly supplied by D. Bedrov

These results from simulations obtained by Bedrov and Smith are fully compatible with the experimental data of mixtures of van der Waals liquids presented in the previous subsection and the explanation by the CM applies here as well as pointed out in [854]. The decrease of  $\beta_{\alpha}$  and the concomitant increase of the separation between  $\tau_{\alpha f}$  and  $\tau_{\beta f}$  of the faster LB-PBD component with increase in the concentration of the slower CR-PBD are consistent with the prediction of the CM as due to increase of the coupling parameter  $\hat{n}_{f}$ . On the other hand, the narrowing of the dispersion of the slower CR-PBD on increasing the content of the faster LB-PBD is evidence of reduction of the coupling parameter  $\hat{n}_{s}$  of CR-PBD as expected by the CM, which readily explains the observed concomitant decrease of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation time of CR-PBD,  $\tau_{\alpha s}$ , (see Fig. 154) by the CM equation (2.67).

Bedrov and Smith [356(a)] tested the CM quantitatively by using their parameters obtained from their fits of the dipole moment autocorrelation function (DACF) of the fast LB-PBD component, assuming that

$$DACF_{(f)}(t) = A_{\beta} \exp[-(t/\tau_{\beta f})^{\beta_{\beta f}}] + A_{\alpha} \exp[-(t/\tau_{\alpha f})^{\beta_{\alpha f}}].$$
(2.77)

From the relaxation times,  $\tau_{\alpha f}$  and  $\tau_{\beta f}$ , obtained by simulation, they used the CM relations,

$$\tau_{\alpha f} = [t_{c}^{-\hat{n}_{f}} \tau_{0f}]^{1/(1-\hat{n}_{f})} \approx [t_{c}^{-\hat{n}_{f}} \tau_{\beta f}]^{1/(1-\hat{n}_{f})}, \qquad (2.78)$$

to calculate the most probable  $\hat{\beta}_{\alpha f} \equiv 1 - \hat{n}_f$  and compare it with  $\beta_{\alpha f}$  from their fit to the DACF. The crossover time  $t_c$  of the CM is not available from the simulation, and 2 ps is assumed for its value as experimentally found for polymers by quasielastic neutron scattering. The  $\hat{\beta}_{\alpha f}$  calculated by Bedrov and Smith are significantly larger than  $\beta_{\alpha f}$  for blends with 10, 25, 50, 75, and 100% of the fast component as shown in Fig. 6 of [356(a)], which would suggest that the CM prediction is not in quantitative agreement with molecular dynamics simulation. This failure of the CM for simulation data may cast doubt on the quantitative success of the CM in explaining the dynamics of the fast component in dielectric relaxation experiments on real mixtures. However, as pointed out before in Section 2.2.1.3 in connection with the difference between the two CM equations (2.12) and (2.14) and specifically for the present problem in [355], there is an important difference between the two cases. In dielectric experiment, usually  $\tau_{0f}$  is much longer than  $t_c = 2$  ps, and in this case the relation,  $\tau_{\alpha f} = [t_c^{-\hat{n}_f} \tau_{0f}]^{1/(1-\hat{n}_f)} \approx [t_c^{-\hat{n}_f} \tau_{\beta f}]^{1/(1-\hat{n}_f)}$ , obtained by using the continuity of the correlation function across  $t_c$ , is applicable. On the other hand,  $\tau_{Bf}$ from simulation (and hence also  $\tau_{0f}$ ) is only a little more than a decade longer than  $t_{\rm c} = 2$  ps. In this case, the relation,

$$\tau_{\alpha f} = \left[ (1 - \hat{n}_{f}) t_{c}^{-\hat{n}_{f}} \tau_{0f} \right]^{1/(1 - \hat{n}_{f})} \approx \left[ (1 - \hat{n}_{f}) t_{c}^{-\hat{n}_{f}} \tau_{\beta f} \right]^{1/(1 - \hat{n}_{f})},$$
(2.79)

obtained by using the continuity of relaxation rate across  $t_c$ , is the right choice [355]. Thus, these equations of the CM should be used to test the simulation data against the CM as shown in Fig. 156 for the pure LB-PBD and 25% LB-PBD blend. Normalized dielectric losses from the  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -relaxations of the fast LB-PBD in the pure melt and in the 25/75 LB-PBD/CR-PBD blend from simulation at 198 K are shown (same as in Fig. 8 of Bedrov and Smith in [366(a)]). The one-sided Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function,  $\exp[-(t/\tau_{\alpha f})^{\beta_{\alpha f}}]$ , that fits well the frequency dependence of  $\alpha$ -relaxation loss peak has parameters { $\tau_{\alpha f} = 1.34$  ns,  $\beta_{\alpha f} = 0.22$ } for the 25/75 LB-PBD/CR-PBD blend and { $\tau_{\alpha f} = 134.9$  ps,  $\beta_{\alpha f} = 0.44$ } for the pure LB-PBD melt. From these parameters, we calculate  $\tau_{\beta f}$  from the appropriate relation,

$$\tau_{\beta f} = \tau_0 = [(1 - \hat{n}_f)^{-1} (t_c)^{\hat{n}_f} (\tau_{\alpha f})^{1 - \hat{n}_f}], \qquad (2.80)$$

where  $t_c = 2$  ps and  $(1 - \hat{n}_f) = \beta_{\alpha f}$ . The last relation is justified by the negligible concentration fluctuation found in these blends by Bedrov and Smith [1068]. The calculated value of  $\tau_{\beta f}$ , shown as the reciprocal  $\omega_{\beta f} \equiv 1/\tau_{\beta f}$  in Fig. 155-2 by the



**Fig. 155-2** Normalized dielectric loss contributions due to  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -relaxation processes of LB-PBD in the pure melt (*closed and open circles*, respectively) and the 25/75 LB-PBD/CR-PBD blend (*closed and open triangles*, respectively) at 198 K obtained by Bedrov and Smith, [356(a)]. The *lines* are fits by one-sided Fourier transforms of Kohlrausch functions. The *vertical arrows* indicate the angular frequencies,  $\omega_{\beta f} \equiv 1/\tau_{\beta f}$ , where  $\tau_{\beta f} = \tau_{0 f}$  and  $\tau_{0 f}$  is calculated by the appropriate CM equation (2.80) when  $\tau_{0 f}$  is not long compared with  $t_c = 2ps$ . Reproduced from [355] by permission

location of the vertical arrow, is in good agreement with the angular frequency of the LB-PBD  $\beta$ -relaxation loss peak for the 25/75 LB-PBD/CR-PBD blend and the pure LB-PBD melt. Similar good agreements have been found for the other blend compositions within the uncertainties or errors involved in deducing the parameter



values from the data by Bedrov and Smith with the assumption that the  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ relaxations are additive in composing the DACF. Thus, the CM fares reasonably well in explaining quantitatively the simulation results presented in [356(a)].

Returning to Fig. 155-1, the right bottom panel shows the DACFs for the LB-PBD and CR-PBD components for different temperatures in the blend with 10% LB-PBD. The interesting feature is the narrowing of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of both the DACFs of CR-PBD and LB-PBD with decreasing  $\tau_{\alpha}$  on increasing temperature. In the case of LB-PBD, the narrowing of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation is accompanied by its merging with the  $\beta$ -relaxation, similar to that observed in some molecular and polymeric glassformers.

The simulation data also provide information on the slower CR-PBD component in the blend. The  $\alpha$ -relaxation time of the slower CR-PBD component becomes shorter, and interestingly its frequency dispersion becomes *narrower* when compared with neat CR-PBD. We have pointed out in [854] that this interesting simulation result is also consistent with prediction of the CM of relaxation on the slower component. Particularly at low LB-PBD content in the blend, broadening of the  $\alpha$ relaxation by concentration fluctuations is not important at all [1068], and hence the narrowing is caused by reduction of the coupling parameter of CR-PBD, and it shows up in the simulation data of Bedrov and Smith. In fact, reduction of *n* of a slower (higher  $T_g$ ) component when blended with a faster (lower  $T_g$ ) component had been seen before for sorbitol or xylitol in mixtures with water [1069] and sorbitol mixed with glycerol [737] and explained by using the CM.

Molecular Dynamics Simulations of Bead-Necklace Model of Miscible Polymer Blends

In Sections 2.3.2.1 and 2.3.2.8, we have introduced the extensive simulations of polymer melts using a simple bead-necklace polymer model by Bedrov and Smith. Polymer chains with different bond length *l* were investigated allowing to study the influence of bead diameter and bond length ratio  $\sigma/l$  (i.e., degree of the bonded beads overlap) on polymer segmental relaxation characterized by the torsional auto-correlation functions (TACF). Systems with  $\sigma/l < 2.0$  showed well-separated  $\alpha$ -and  $\beta$ -relaxations. Correlations found between the two indicate that the  $\beta$ -relaxation is the genuine Johari–Goldstein (JG) secondary process.

Bedrov and Smith also simulated 10/90 blends in which minority component (10% of the chains) were the original bead-necklace chains and 90% were polymer matrix with several orders of magnitude slower segmental relaxations. To obtained such a matrix they used the same chains as in their bead-necklace model but introduced additional dihedral potentials with energy barriers of 2.0 kcal/mol between *gauche* and *trans* states. Introduction of such barriers significantly slowed down segmental motion of matrix chains; however, it had no effect on inter- and intramolecular packing in the system. Keeping all bead–bead interactions the same, independently whether beads belonged to the fast or slow component, they have a perfectly miscible blend with no thermodynamic driving forces for segregation between components. Comparison is made in Fig. 156 of the TACFs obtained from

simulations of pure melts of systems with  $\sigma/l = 2.7$  and TACF of these chains blended with significantly slower matrix. TACFs for the pure slow matrix are also shown in the figure. Figure 156 shows that for  $\sigma/l = 2.7$  (T = 130 K) blending with slow matrix results in slight changes of the  $\beta$ -relaxation and significant changes of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. The corresponding relaxation times were  $\tau_{JG} = 1.5$  ps and  $\tau_{\alpha} = 92$  ps in the melt and  $\tau_{\beta} = 2.7$  ps and  $\tau_{\alpha} = 368,000$  ps in the blend, showing increase of the ratio  $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{JG}$  (or  $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{0}$ ) of the melt upon blending. It is clear by inspection of the TACFs in the figure that the TACF of the melt becomes more non-exponential in time dependence corresponding to an increase of *n*. Thus, here is another example of the increase of the ratio  $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{JG}$  of a component on mixing with increasing concentration of a less mobile host due to increase of the coupling parameter *n*.

#### PVME Component in the Polymer Blends: x % PVME–(1-x)% PS

For polymer blends, an example we can consider is the  $\alpha$ - and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of the component PVME in blends with PS that has a higher  $T_{\rm g}$  [1070], where the unresolved JG relaxation of neat PVME becomes resolved with the addition of sufficient amount of PS (it is worthwhile to mention that the two resolved secondary relaxations of neat PVME, located below 120 K at 1 Hz, are not the JG relaxation, instead they originate from intramolecular degrees of freedom). The situation is like the  $\alpha$ - and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of picoline or quinaldine in tri-styrene, where the JG relaxation of picoline and quinaldine is unresolved in the pure state but becomes resolved with addition of tri-styrene as discussed previously. The appearance of the JG relaxation of PVME in the isochronal spectra is particularly clear when more than 50% of PS is present in the blends. For example the data of 70% PS in Fig. 3 of Lorthioir et al. [1070(a)] is shown more clearly by the colored Fig. 2 of [1070(b)] where both the  $\alpha$ - and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxations of the PVME component are evident in the isochronal loss spectrum at 1 Hz. Lorthioir et al. [1070(a)] gave in their Fig. 1b the temperature of about 280 K at which the  $\alpha$ -relaxation frequency is 1 Hz, while from their Fig. 7 we can see that the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation frequency is 1 Hz at about 225 K. The  $\alpha$ -relaxation time increases much more than the JG relaxation time of the PVME with increasing PS content. Thus, the separation between the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and the JG relaxation of PVME, measured by (log  $\tau_{\alpha}$ -log  $\tau_{IG}$ ) at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , increases with increasing concentration of PS in the PVME/PS blends. Concomitantly, the dielectric strength of the JG relaxation grows at the expense of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation, and in blends with 80% PS or higher, the JG relaxation dominates the  $\alpha$ -relaxation in the dielectric spectrum. This is how we interpret the spectra of 80% PS blend based on that found in 50–70% PS blends, where both the  $\alpha$ - and JG  $\beta$ -relaxations are resolved dielectrically, and the trend of dielectric strengths on increasing PS concentration. The trend of increasing relaxation strength of JG  $\beta$ relaxation time relative to the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of the faster component on increasing concentration of the slower component has been seen before in mixtures of van der Waals liquids (see Fig. 152 for 2-picoline in tri-styrene and Fig. 153 for TBP in tristyrene). Both the  $\alpha$ - and JG  $\beta$ -relaxations of 2-picoline, TBP, and QN are present

and they are distinctly different even at 95% of tri-styrene. This also can be seen in Fig. 148 for 5% of QN in tri-styrene and in the relaxation map for 10% of QN in tri-styrene in Fig. 149 where the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of the QN component has the VFTH *T*-dependence with decreasing temperature all the way down to vitrification.

However, Lorthioir et al. [1070(a)] interpreted their data of the 80 and 90% PS blends differently. They interpret the observed loss peak of the 80 and 90% PS blends at temperatures below the calorimetric glass transition temperature of the blend as the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of the PVME component, which is changed from its normal cooperative nature with VFTH *T*-dependence to local relaxation with Arrhenius *T*-dependence as observed. This change to local motion of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of the PVME component, of the PVME by the immobile PS component when temperature falls below the  $T_g$  of the blend, and a confinement length of about 1 nm was suggested.

Actually, the calorimetric glass transition of the 80% PS blend is 330 K, nearly the same temperature as the location of the shoulder observed in the isochronal dielectric loss of the PVME component at 1 Hz contributed by its  $\alpha$ -relaxation (Fig. 3 of [1070(a)]). For the 70% PS blends, the calorimetric glass temperature is about 300 K, which is to be compared with 285 K, the temperature of the broad isochronal  $\alpha$ -loss peak of PVME at 1 Hz. Therefore PS relaxes at not much slower rate than PVME in the 80% PS blend, and it is questionable that Lorthioir et al. can consider PS as frozen matrix to "confine" the PVME. Rather, the observed relaxation of PVME in 80% PS blend shown in the isothermal spectra (Fig. 5 of [1070(a)]), the relaxation time of which has Arrhenius temperature dependence (filled diamonds in Fig. 6 of [1070(a)]), should be identified as the JG relaxation of PVME in the blend. In fact, the temperature at which this loss peak frequency in the 80% PS blend equals 1 Hz is about 220 K, about the same as that of the JG  $\alpha$ -loss peak of PVME found in the 70 and 50% PS blends. More critique of the confinement interpretation of Lorthioir et al. can be found in [1071].

Another way to refute the "confinement" interpretation by Lorthioir et al. is to use the data of mixtures of either picoline or QN with tri-styrene (see Figs. 147, 149, and 152). In the mixture with 5 wt% of QN, the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of QN is about seven orders of magnitude longer than the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation at temperature  $T_g$  for which the  $\alpha$ -relaxation time of QN is about 100 s (see Fig. 147). In such mixture with dilute concentration of QN, the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of tri-styrene has to be even much slower than the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of QN. However, both the  $\alpha$ -relaxation (with VFTH T-dependence) and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation (with Arrhenius T-dependence below T<sub>g</sub> of QN and a stronger T-dependence above  $T_g$ ) in the mixture are present and detected. This contradicts the "confinement" interpretation which does not expect that the two processes together are present at  $T_g$  and above. More examples of finding both the  $\alpha$ - and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of a polar component in mixture with a non-polar component having much higher  $T_g$  are given in Section 2.3.2.16, indicating this is a general property. The simulations of the LB-PBD/CR-PBD blends by Bedrov and Smith also show the presence of both the  $\alpha$ - and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of the LB-PBD above  $T_g$ .

#### PEMA Component in the Polymer Blends: x % PEMA–(1-x)% PVPh

Study was made of the  $\alpha$ - and JG  $\beta$ -relaxations of neat poly(ethyl methacrylate) (PEMA,  $T_g = 74$  C), and the changes when PEMA was blended with poly(4-vinylphenol) (PVPh,  $T_g = 171$  C) [1072]. Neat PEMA has a resolved JG relaxation, which is continued to be observed and practically unchanged in the blend. Since  $T_g$  of the PEMA component in the blend is shifted to higher temperature by the slower poly(4-vinylphenol) component, (log  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_g) - \log \tau_{\text{JG}}(T_g)$ ) of the PEMA component increases at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_g)$ .

## PEO Component in the Polymer Blends: x % PEO-(1-x)% PMMA

An interesting example is the blends of poly(ethylene oxide) (PEO,  $T_g = 214$  K) with poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA,  $T_g = 391$  K), the pure components of which have very large difference in  $T_g$  of 177 K. Several interesting observations of the dynamics of neat PEO and PEO in the blends have been reported [312(a), 855, 860, 1072-1074], which challenge any theory to explain. In these investigations, the PEO component dynamics of the amorphous x% PEO/(1-x)% PMMA blend have been widely studied: (1) by deuteron NMR for x = 0.5, 3, 6, 10, 20, 30, and neat PEO [855]; (2) by dielectric relaxation and DSC for x = 10, 15, 20, 30, and neat PEO [1073, 1074]; and (3) by quasielastic neutron scattering for x = 10, 20, 30, and neat PEO [312(a)]. It is worthwhile to mention that the neutron scattering data of Sakai et al. [312(a)] have verified the crossover of the intermediate scattering function of neat PEO as well as the component PEO in blends with PMMA from  $\exp(-t/\tau_0)$  to  $\exp[-(t/\tau)^{1-n}]$  at a temperature-insensitive and scattering vector Qindependent time of  $t_c \approx 1$  ps, which is the premise of the CM leading to its predictions (see Section 2.2.1.1). The data may be considered as microscopic justification of applying the CM to the PEO component dynamics in the x% PEO–(1-x)%PMMA blends and, by extrapolation, to other blends and mixtures. Figure 157 shows the relaxation times of neat PEO and neat PMMA, together with the two components in the 20% PEO/80% PMMA blend mostly by dielectric measurements by Jin et al. [1073, 1074], except the high-frequency data of PEO obtained by deuterium NMR [855] as indicated. The lone star is used to locate the lower  $T_{\rm g}$ at 243 K of two  $T_{gs}$  observed in the 30% PEO/70% PMMA blend by DCS. The figure is an alteration of Fig. 4 in [1074] of Jin et al. with some of the labels of the relaxation processes of PEO changed for expediency and to be compatible with the interpretation of one of the secondary relaxation as the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of PEO given here.

First, neat PEO has four relaxation processes labeled by  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma'$ , and  $\gamma$  [1073, 1074] in order of increasing frequency at the same temperature. Because neat PEO is semicrystalline, the slowest process traditionally called the  $\alpha$ -relaxation is a local process associated with the crystalline phase. The next called the  $\beta$ -process ( $\beta_{PEO}$ ) has been identified as the cooperative segmental motions in the amorphous region because it is absent in the dielectric spectrum of a PEO single crystal mat (PEO molecular weight > 10<sup>5</sup>), while the fastest  $\gamma$ -process remains. The latter fact indicates that the  $\gamma$ -process has nothing to do with glass transition in the amorphous



**Fig. 157** Plot of data of loss peak frequency vs.  $T^{-1}$  obtained by Jin et al. [1074] from dielectric relaxation measurements of aged amorphous x% PEO/(1–x)% PMMA blends compared with those of the neat components. NMR data of neat PEO and x = 20 blend from Lutz et al. [855] have also been added to the plot for comparison purposes. *Symbols*: (1) neat components (*filled symbols*): *triangles*, PMMA; *circles*, PEO. (2) Aged blends (*unfilled symbols*): *diamonds*, x = 10 (M90); *circles*, x = 15 (M85); *triangles*, x = 20 (M80). *Solid lines* are data for the PEO segmental relaxation from Lutz et al. *From top to bottom*: neat PEO, PMMA/PEO blend having 20% PEO. The *dashed line* is used to guide the eyes for data of neat PEO. Calculated value of n at  $T_g$  defined as log  $v_\alpha/Hz = -2$  is 0.31 according to this figure. It is larger of about 0.37 from the plot of Jin et al. The *lone star* near the *x*-axis and located at position corresponding to T = 243 K is used to indicate the lower  $T_g$  near  $-30^\circ$ C of two  $T_g$ s observed in the DSC thermogram of the 30% PEO/70% PMMA (M70) blend, which is interpreted at that of the PEO component. The corresponding  $T_g$  of PEO in the 20% PEO/80% PMMA blend should be even higher. Reproduced from [1074] by permission

region of PEO and is not the JG relaxation. Since here the interest is on the amorphous PEO, I have in Fig. 157 replaced  $\beta$  by  $\alpha_{PEO}$  to indicate the relaxation is the cooperative  $\alpha$ -relaxation in the amorphous regions, and  $\gamma'$  by JG  $\beta_{PEO}$  because, as discussed later, I have reason to identify it as such instead of the other possibilities discussed by Jin et al. [1073, 1074]. This interpretation is consistent with the possibility pointed out by Jin et al. [1073] that the  $\gamma'$ -process is similar to the "fast process" (i.e., the primitive relaxation) observed in nanoconfined glass-forming systems [494(a)]. They also offered argument to support that the  $\gamma'$ -process can be explained by the primitive relaxation of the CM. Here I support this by calculating the coupling parameter n of neat PEO on substituting the relaxation times of  $\alpha_{\rm PEO}$ (closed circles) and JG  $\beta_{PEO}$  (closed circles) shown in Fig. 157 into Eq. (2.69), and assume  $t_c = 2$  ps as usual. The calculated value of *n* obtained from the data of [1073, 1074] are n = 0.37 and n = 0.31, respectively. Both values are reasonable for flexible and low  $T_g$  polymer such as PEO, as compared with n = 0.45 for polyisobutylene [872], and other polymers with the flexible C-O bond on the backbone [244]. The predicted  $Q^{-2/(1-n)}$ -dependence of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation time for *n* within

the range  $0.31 \le n \le 0.37$  is also consistent with that obtained by neutron scattering [312(a)].

For the dynamics of PEO in the amorphous x% PEO/(1–x)% PMMA blends with x = 10, 15, and 20, dielectric relaxation can resolve its two secondary processes, called  $\beta'$  (open symbols) and  $\gamma$  (open symbols) by Jin et al. [1074]. The  $\alpha_{\text{PEO}}$ -relaxation was not resolved in these blends. Nevertheless, it was found in the 30% PEO/70% PMMA (x = 30) blend by thermal analysis, where two T<sub>g</sub>s were observed in the DSC thermogram. The lone star located at position corresponding to T = 243 K in Fig. 157 is used to indicate the  $T_g$  near  $-30^{\circ}$ C (the lower of two  $T_{gs}$  observed) of the PEO component in the x = 30 blend. This is to be compared with  $T_g \approx 213$  K of neat PEO. The corresponding  $T_g$  of PEO in the x = 10, 15, and 20 blend should be even higher. Thus, as can be seen by inspection of Fig. 157, the dielectric loss of the  $\alpha_{PFO}$ -relaxation falls inside the domain of the known prominent loss from the  $\beta_{PMMA}$ -relaxation of the PMMA component (open symbols) [106] and cannot be resolved dielectrically. The  $\alpha_{PFO}$ -relaxation has a better chance to be seen in heat capacity measurement by DSC because the contribution of secondary relaxation such as the  $\beta_{PMMA}$ -relaxation to heat capacity is weak [1075]. Most interesting is that the  $f_{\text{max}}$ -T locations of the  $\beta'$ -relaxation of PEO in the blends are essentially the same as those of the JG  $\beta_{PEO}$  (or  $\gamma'$ ) relaxation of neat *semicrystalline* PEO. This coincidence can be considered as evidence that the  $\beta'$ -relaxation is the JG  $\beta_{\text{PEO}}$  of the PEO component in the blends. The  $T_{g}$  of the PEO component in the x = 30 blend is already 30 K higher than neat PEO, and the difference should increase monotonically for blends on decreasing x in the order of 20, 15, and 10. From this and the invariance of the JG  $\beta_{PEO}$ -relaxation time, the conclusion that  $(\log \tau_{\alpha}(T_{\rm g}) - \log \tau_{\rm JG}(T_{\rm g}))$  of the PEO component increases with increasing concentration of PMMA at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_g)$  can be made with consideration including the neat PEO.

It is important to remind the reader once again that DSC and dielectric relaxation revealed, respectively, the concurrent presence of the  $\alpha_{\text{PEO}}$ -relaxation and the JG  $\beta_{\text{PEO}}$  both from the PEO component at  $T_g \approx 243$  K, which is well below  $T_g \approx 320$  K of the PMMA component in the x = 30 blend. Deuterium NMR data of Lutz et al. [855] also show the presence of the  $\alpha_{\text{PEO}}$ -relaxation of the PEO component with VFTH-like temperature dependence for its relaxation time in blends with  $3 \le x \le 30$  at temperatures down to 285 K, which is way below the  $T_g$  of 391, 383, and 356 K for blends with x = 3, 6, and 20, respectively.

If the reader recalls that according to Lorthioir et al. [1070], the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of the PEO component in all blends with  $3 \le x \le 30$  would be confined by the deeply frozen PMMA matrix, and it should be converted to a local relaxation having Arrhenius dependence. This assertion is obviously contradicted by the combined data of Jin et al. and Lutz et al.

Additional Problems of Dynamics of PEO in x % PEO–(1–x)% PMMA Blends

Although the subsection is intended to discuss the increase of  $(\log \tau_{\alpha f}(T) - \log \tau_{JGf}(T))$  of the faster component on increasing the concentration (1 - x), of the

slower component of the polymer blend, the change of the  $\tau_{\rm of}(T)$  itself is also part of the consideration. In most mixtures,  $\tau_{\alpha f}(T)$  increases with increasing (1 - x), and the increase becomes significantly larger at lower temperatures and for larger difference between the mobility of the two components gauged by the difference in their  $T_{gs}$ . The segmental relaxation time,  $\tau_{\alpha f}(T)$ , of PEO in amorphous x% PEO/(1-x)% PMMA blends probed by deuterium NMR at high frequencies from 31 to 76 MHz by Lutz et al. [855] found that  $\tau_{\alpha f}(T)$  of deuterated PEO is nearly independent of composition in blends ranging from 0.5 to 30% PEO, and is not much different from that of neat PEO. For example,  $\tau_{\alpha f}(T)$  of 0.5% PEO chains dissolved at near tracer compositions in a PMMA matrix is retarded by less than one order of magnitude when compared with neat PEO samples. These behaviors of  $\tau_{\rm crf}(T)$  holds for a wide range of temperatures extending down to 285 K, well below the  $T_{\rm g}$  of 391, 383, and 356 K for blends with x = 3.6, and 20, respectively, where the segmental relaxation times of PMMA are about 12 orders of magnitude greater than  $\tau_{\alpha f}(T)$ . These observations at high frequencies are unusual when compared with the component segmental dynamics of other miscible polymer blends measured at much lower frequencies by dielectric spectroscopy or by another kind of deuteron NMR technique at much lower frequencies [738, 859]. The results have been presented before in Fig. 89 and discussed in Section (c) of Section 2.2.5.7 where the emphasis is solely on anomalous properties of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation without mentioning the connection to the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. It is worthwhile for the reader to revisit the discussion of the anomalies of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of the PEO component in the blends because it comes together with the problem presented by JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of the PEO component discussed here.

It was concluded by Lutz et al. that their observations of PEO component dynamics at high frequencies from 31 to 76 MHz cannot be described by current models including the ones by Lodge and coworkers [733, 862]. The only explanation of this unusual behavior or anomaly comes from the CM [738]. The primitive relaxation times  $\tau_0(T)$  of the PEO component calculated by Eq. (2.68) from  $\tau_{\alpha f}(T)$  obtained by NMR at 31–76 MHz is very short and not much longer than the crossover time  $t_c$  at all temperatures  $\geq 285$  K. The predictions of the CM on the PEO dynamics in the PEO/PMMA blends from 0.5 to 30% PEO are consistent with the experimental findings that  $\tau_{\alpha f}(T)$  of the PEO component is nearly composition-independent over the entire blend composition range, and is retarded by less than one order of magnitude when compared with  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$  of pure PEO.

## 2.3.2.11 Increase of the Ratio $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{JG}$ (or $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{0}$ ) on Polymerizing or Cross-Linking a Glassformer (by Increase of *n*)

Although not a miscible mixture, cross-linking agents added to the model epoxy resins DGEBA (EPON828) will react with the host to form higher molecular weight polymer or cross-linked network depending on the functionality of the agents [1076–1080]. From the discussion given in Section 2.3.2.6 above, reacting the epoxy resins DGEBA (EPON828) with cross-linking agents have the epoxide groups of EPON828 consumed, the  $\gamma$ -relaxation originating from them suppressed, and the

presence of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation resolved as demonstrated in Fig. 132 for different lengths of reaction time. More the chemical bonds formed to either polymerize EPON828 or cross-link it to form a network, more enhanced are the intermolecular couplings and constraints imposed on the local segmental motion (structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation) and hence its coupling parameter *n*. Concomitant with the increase of coupling, the ratio  $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{JG}$  at a fixed value of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  has been observed to increase [1051] and explained by the CM, in analogy to the same effect found in the lower  $T_{g}$  component of mixtures.

Another example can be taken from another study [1081, 1082]. It was reported that  $\tau_{\rm JG}$  does not change on cross-linking PMMA, which has been rationalized as due to the local nature of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. On the other hand,  $\tau_{\alpha}$  increases significantly with the density of cross-links. Hence  $[\log \tau_{\alpha}(T_{\rm g}) - \log \tau_{\rm JG}(T_{\rm g})]$  increases with cross-link density and this confirms the expected increase of *n* from the CM equation (2.69). Further support comes from the increase of the steepness index *m* and the width of the dispersion of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation obtained by dynamic mechanical relaxation and creep compliance measurements [1081].

# 2.3.2.12 Systematic Decrease of the Ratio $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{\text{JG}}$ (or $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{0}$ ) of a Glassformer A (by Decrease of *n*) on Mixing with Increasing Concentration of a More Mobile Glassformer B

It is also interesting to find the change of the ratio  $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{JG}$  of glassformer A when mixed with glassformer B that has higher mobility and lower  $T_g$  than A. This complementary case to Section 2.3.2.10 can be realized experimentally by choosing the apolar component B to have higher mobility and lower  $T_g$  compared with the polar component A probed by dielectric spectroscopy. Presence of the more mobile molecules B causes reduction of intermolecular coupling/constraints imposed on the cooperative  $\alpha$ -relaxation of the polar and slower A molecules, resulting in a decrease of the coupling parameter *n* of component A with increasing concentration of the faster component B. The situation here is the opposite of that discussed in Section 2.3.2.10, and the decrease of the ratio  $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{JG}$  at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is expected by the CM. The following are some examples.

Concentrated Solutions of Poly(vinyl chloride) (PVC) in Tetrahydrofuran (THF)

Discussed before in Section 2.2.5.7 is the effect of the low  $T_g$  diluent THF (B) on local segmental relaxation of the polymer PVC (A). The dielectric data of Adachi and Ishida [848] and analysis of the data [849] have shown monotonic decrease of  $\hat{n}_A$  of PVC on increasing concentration of the diluent THF. The  $\beta$ -relaxation of PVC found by Adachi et al. is the JG relaxation because PVC has no side group. In terms of the loss peak temperatures, their isochronal data at 1 kHz indeed show decrease of the separation between the  $\alpha$ - and JG  $\beta$ -relaxations with increasing concentration of THF.

#### PMMA Component in the Polymer Blends: x% PEO-(1-x)% PMMA

The amorphous x% PEO/(1–x)% PMMA blends already discussed in Section 2.3.2.10 offer a test of this expected change of dynamics of the slower PMMA component by the faster PEO component, although the latter is not apolar. The calorimetry and dielectric data of Jin et al. [1073, 1074], indeed show that  $(\log \tau_{\alpha}(T_g) - \log \tau_{\rm JG}(T_g))$  of the PMMA component at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_g)$  decreases with increasing concentration x of PEO continuously from x = 0 to x = 30. This conclusion is justified by the fact on increasing x of the PEO component that the  $T_g$  of the PMMA component decreases monotonically while its  $\tau_{\rm JG}(T)$  remains practically unchanged, as shown in Fig. 157. For example,  $\log(f/Hz)$  of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation at  $T = T_g \approx 320$  K of the PMMA component in the x = 30 blend [1073, 1074] is 2, corresponding to  $\tau_{\rm JG}(T_g) = 1.6 \times 10^{-3}$  s, which is about one and a half decades longer than log  $\tau_{\rm JG}(T_g)$  of neat PMMA.

#### PMMA Component in Concentrated Solutions of PMMA in DOP

Photon correlation spectroscopy and dielectric spectroscopy were employed to study the dynamics of the local segmental relaxation and JG secondary relaxation at temperatures above and below  $T_g$  of bulk PMMA with weight average molecular weight of  $7 \times 10^3$  and of the PMMA component in concentrated solutions of PMMA/*bis*(2ethylhexyl phthalate) (PMMA/DOP) [246]. As determined by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) at a heating rate of 10 K/min, the  $T_g$  of the bulk PMMA is 351 K. The  $T_g$  of the mixtures with concentration of PMMA equal to 0.9 and 0.8 g/mL are 313 and 266 K, respectively. The relaxation map in Fig. 158 shows (log  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_g) - \log \tau_{\rm JG}(T_g)$ ) of the PMMA component at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_g)$  decreases with decreasing concentration of PMMA.



Fig. 158 Arrhenius plot of the relaxation times of the  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -relaxations in bulk (open squares with and without cross inside respectively) and plasticized PMMA with  $C_{PMMA} = 0.8$  g/mL (circle with + inside and open triangles respectively). Data from Ref. [246] replotted. For data of  $C_{PMMA} = 0.9$  g/mL, see figure in this reference

#### Bromoethylbenzene (BrEBz) Component in Host Ethylbenzene (EB)

Another test of the prediction was made by dielectric relaxation measurements of 0.1 molar fraction of dipolar bromoethylbenzene (BrEBz) with  $T_g = 135.6$  K in the apolar host, ethylbenzene (EB), with  $T_g = 115$  K that is 20 degrees lower, and also in another apolar host, tristyrene (3S), with  $T_g = 232$  K which is 96.4 degrees higher [850]. The results are shown in Fig. 159 by the isochronal (at 1 kHz) and isothermal dielectric loss spectra of pure BrEBZ, and BrEBZ in mixtures with ethylbenzene and tri-styrene.



**Fig. 159** (*Left*) Logarithm of dielectric loss at 1 kHz vs. temperature for BrEBz: pure (*open circles*); mixed with EB (*full squares*); mixed with 3S (*full triangles*). Data for pure EB (solvent) are shown as *open squares*. *Lines* are guides for the eye. (*Right*) Log–log plot of dielectric loss, normalized to the peak maximum, vs. frequency for pure BrEBz at 144.15 K (*open circles*), for the mixture BrEBz/EB at 125.15 K (*full circles*) and for the mixture BrEBz/3S at 230.15 K (*full stars*). *Lines* are for fitting functions; *solid lines* represent the overall fits, *dashed lines* the Havriliak–Negami functions for  $\alpha$ -relaxation and *dotted lines* the Cole–Cole functions for  $\beta$ -relaxation. Data from [850] are replotted here

The JG relaxation is already resolved in pure BrEBz both isochronally and isothermally. In the mixture with 3S, the JG loss peak of BrEBz becomes more prominent compared with the case of neat BrEBz, and is further separated from the BrEBz  $\alpha$ -loss peak, similar to cases discussed in Section 2.3.2.10. On the other hand, in the mixture with EB having a lower  $T_g$ , the  $\alpha$ -loss peak of BrEBz is narrower than that of pure BrEBz on the high-frequency flank, and an excess wing (unresolved JG) appears instead of the shoulder (resolved JG). The former is consistent with a decrease of *n* of pure BrEBz after taking into consideration the spatially heterogeneous broadening by composition fluctuations in the mixture that it has to encounter, and the fact that the  $T_g$  of EB is only 20 K lower than BrEBz. The latter is indication of the decrease in separation between the  $\alpha$ - and JG relaxations of BrEBz when mixed with EB.

Sorbitol in Mixtures with Glycerol

Sorbitol has  $T_g = 268$  K compared with  $T_g = 191$  K for glycerol. The  $\alpha$ - and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation times of neat sorbitol and amorphous mixtures of x% sorbitol/(1-x)%

glycerol for x = 82, 67, and 54 have been characterized by dielectric relaxation measurements for frequencies between 10 mHz and 10 MHz [853]. As shown in [737], both the dielectric  $T_g$  and the steepness or "fragility" index *m* of the slower sorbitol component decreases with increasing concentration of the faster glycerol, indicating corresponding decrease of the average coupling parameter *n* of sorbitol. As expected and shown in Fig. 160, the separation between the  $\alpha$ - and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxations of the sorbitol component, given by  $(\log \tau_{\alpha}(T_{ref}) - \log \tau_{JG}(T_{ref}))$  at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_{ref})$ , decreases with increasing concentration *x* of glycerol continuously from x = 100 to x = 54. It is interesting to note that while addition of glycerol makes the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of sorbitol faster (i.e., plasticization), the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of sorbitol becomes slower (i.e., antiplasticization). The latter has implication on stabilization of dried protein in sugar-based glass by the  $\beta$ -relaxation to be discussed much later in a section.



**Fig. 160** The thick lines are the VFTH fits to the experimentally determined  $\alpha$ -relaxation times of neat sorbitol and sorbitol in the *x*% sorbitol/(1–*x*)% glycerol mixtures with x = 0.82, 0.67, and 0.54 (*from left to right*). The Arrhenius fits to the JG relaxation times ( $\tau_{JG}$ ) of neat sorbitol and the *x*% sorbitol/(1–*x*)% glycerol mixtures for x = 0.82 and 0.67 are shown by the *three dashed lines* (*from top to bottom*). The *vertical arrows* show the (log  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_{ref}) - \log \tau_{JG}(T_{ref})$ ) at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_{ref})$ , decreases with increasing concentration *x* of glycerol continuously from x = 100 to x = 54. Reproduced from [737] by permission

# 2.3.2.13 Systematic Increase of the Ratio $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{JG}$ (or $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{0}$ ) on Increasing the Molecular Weight of Polymers, Constancy of $\tau_{JG}$ or $\tau_{0}$

Decreasing the molecular weight of a polymer below its entanglement molecular weight usually is accompanied by a decrease in  $T_g$ . The change is due to the increasing concentration of chain ends which, having higher mobility than the inner

bonded repeat units, act like internal plasticization, and reduce the coupling parameter n of the polymer. The poly(n-ethyl methacrylate) (PEMA) offers a way to test this prediction by examining the change of  $[\log \tau_{\alpha}(T_g) - \log \tau_{JG}(T_g)]$  on decreasing molecular weight [252]. Shown in Fig. 161 is the relaxation map of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{JG}$  of four PEMA samples obtained dielectrically by Reissig et al. [1083]. The samples all have nearly the same 78% of syndiodiads but different average molecular weights M of 150, 21, 7.1, and 1.6 kg/mol with the calorimetric  $T_{\rm gs}$  equal to 74, 55, 42, and 10°C, respectively. Both  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and its fragility increases with M, but  $\tau_{JG}$  remains practically the same. It is clear that  $[\log \tau_{\alpha}(T_g) - \log \tau_{JG}(T_g)]$  decreases with decreasing M, and the coupling parameters  $n(T_g)$  deduced from the CM equation (2.69) are 0.37, 0.31, and 0.24 for PEMAs with molecular weights of 150, 7.1, and 1.6 kg/mol, respectively. The deduced monotonic decrease of  $n(T_g)$  is in accord with expectation. Also included in Fig. 161 are  $\tau_{\alpha}(*)$  of i-PEMA with  $M_{\rm w} = 47.2$  kg/mol, which has much lower  $T_g$  and fragility because it has exceptional high isotactic triads of 92% than i-PEMA samples [252]. The data will be used for the discussion in the next subsection.

7 **Fig. 161** Arrhenius plot of  $\tau_{\alpha}$ and  $\tau_{IG}$  for PEMA samples 6 with nearly the same 78% of 5 syndiodiads but different 4 log[1/τ<sub>max</sub> (s<sup>-1</sup>)] molar weights (squares, 3 150 kg/mol; diamonds, 21 kg/mol; triangles, 2 7.1 kg/mol; circles, • • • • • • • • 1 1.6 kg/mol). Original data 0 from [1083]. Shown also by stars are  $\tau_{\alpha}$  of i-PEMA with -1 92% isotactic triads and -2  $M_{\rm w} = 47.2$  kg/mol, and -3  $M_{\rm w}/M_{\rm n} = 1.17$ . Reproduced 2.4 2.6 2.8 3.0 3.2 3.4 3.6 3.8 4.0 from [252] by permission 1000/T (K<sup>-1</sup>)

# 2.3.2.14 Changing the Ratio $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{JG}$ (or $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{0}$ ) by Change in Tacticity of Polymers, Constancy of $\tau_{JG}$ or $\tau_{0}$

In Section 2.2.3.9, we have already discussed change of structure of poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) and poly(ethyl methacrylate) (PEMA) without changing the chemical composition of the repeat units by changing its tacticity. For example isotactic PEMA (i-PEMA) have all pendant groups on one side as opposed to more random arrangements in syndiotactic PEMA (s-PEMA) and atactic PEMA (a-PEMA). The  $\alpha$ -relaxation dynamics is dependent on the tacticity of PMMA as well as of PEMA. Heuristically, the more ordered structure of i-PEMA suggests weaker intermolecular coupling and smaller coupling parameter *n* in i-PEMA than s-PEMA

and a-PEMA. On the other hand, the characteristics of the local JG relaxation are expected not to be sensitive to change in tacticity. This is supported by the CODEX NMR results [1084] that  $\tau_{JG}$  of i-PEMA and high molecular weight s-PEMA are the same, and  $\tau_{JG}$  of i-PEMA coincides with that of atactic PEMA at temperatures where both are in the glassy states.

From Fig. 161 it can be seen that  $\tau_{\alpha}$  of the low molecular weight PEMA  $(M_{\rm w} = 1.6 \text{ kg/mol})$  with 80% syndiodiads (•) is nearly the same as the  $\tau_{\alpha}$  of i-PEMA with a much higher molecular weight  $M_{\rm w} = 47.2 \text{ kg/mol}$  and 92% isotactic triads. Shown also is the  $\tau_{\rm JG}$  of the former, which can be assumed to be the same as  $\tau_{\rm JG}$  of the latter because of the CODEX NMR results. The indication is that  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{\rm JG}$  are the same either by lowering the molecular weight of syndiotactic PEMA to 1.6 kg/mol or by changing tacticity to 92% isotactic triads, both have the same effects of lowering intermolecular coupling.

On comparing in Fig. 161 the relation between  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{JG}$  of s-PEMA having  $M_{\rm w} = 21$  kg/mol (triangles) with that of the i-PEMA having 92% isotactic triads and comparable  $M_{\rm w} = 47.2$  kg/mol (\*), it is clear that  $[\log \tau_{\alpha}(T_g) - \log \tau_{JG}(T_g)]$  is much larger for s-PEMA than i-PEMA. This is due to the expected larger intermolecular coupling or *n* of s-PEMA than i-PEMA. The same trend of  $[\log \tau_{\alpha}(T_g) - \log \tau_{JG}(T_g)]$  with change in tacticity has been demonstrated to hold for PMMA by comparing the data of s-PMMA with i-PMMA [252].

### 2.3.2.15 Change of *T*-Dependence of $\tau_{JG}$ on Crossing $T_g$

Generally,  $\tau_{\beta}$  of all secondary relaxations, both JG and non-JG, has an Arrhenius temperature dependence deep in the glassy state, i.e.,  $\tau_{JG} = \tau_{\infty} \exp(E_a/RT)$  with constant  $\tau_{\infty}$  and  $E_a$ . Usually, near and above  $T_g$ ,  $\tau_{JG}$  and  $\tau_{\alpha}$  are not far apart and it is not possible to determine  $\tau_{JG}$  without using some procedure. The actual temperature dependence of  $\tau_{JG}$  at temperatures above  $T_g$  is of central importance to any theoretical explanation of the origin of the JG relaxation. Evidences have been presented from the co-invariance of  $\tau_{JG}$  and  $\tau_{\alpha}$  to changes in combinations of *P* and *T* while maintaining either  $\tau_{JG}$  or  $\tau_{\alpha}$  constant in Sections 2.3.2.8 and 2.3.3.9 that the relaxation strength and time of the JG relaxation are dependent on density  $\rho$ , entropy *S*, and fictive temperature  $T_f$ . More evidence will be presented in other subsections to follow. Since the *T*-dependences of these three quantities characterize the structure change after crossing  $T_g$ , naturally one would expect the Arrhenius *T*-dependence of  $\tau_{JG}$  and *T*-dependence of the JG relaxation strength also change when crossing  $T_g$ .

Nevertheless, some researchers hold the belief that  $\tau_{JG}$  has the same Arrhenius temperature dependence in the liquid state as that of the glassy state and the JG relaxation merges with the  $\alpha$ -relaxation at  $T_{\beta}$  determined by the intersection of the extrapolated Arrhenius *T*-dependence of  $\tau_{JG}$  with the VFTH *T*-dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . Different procedure of determining *T*-dependence of  $\tau_{JG}$  near and above  $T_g$  were proposed and used in practice. One commonly used procedure assumes the complex dielectric susceptibilities of the  $\beta$ -relaxation,  $\varepsilon^*_{\beta}(\nu)$ , and the  $\alpha$ -relaxation,  $\varepsilon^*_{\alpha}(\nu)$ , are additive, and they can be described by empirical functions such as that of Havriliak– Negami (HN) for both, or HN or Cole–Davidson for the  $\alpha$ -relaxation, and Cole–Cole for the  $\beta$ -relaxation. The best fit to the spectrum by the sum,  $\varepsilon^*_{\beta}(\nu) + \varepsilon^*_{\alpha}(\nu)$ , is used to determine  $\tau_{\text{JG}}$ . An example is [1085]. More sophisticated procedure used by Donth and coworkers [969, 1086] still assumes that the spectral densities of the  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -relaxations are additive in some temperature range above  $T_g$ .

In another procedure proposed by Williams [76, 77], the total correlation function including the  $\alpha$ - and the  $\beta$ -relaxations is also additive,  $\varphi(t) = f_{\alpha}\varphi_{\alpha}(t) + (1 - 1)$  $f_{\alpha}$ ) $\varphi_{\beta,\text{eff}}(t)$ , except for the assumption that  $\varphi_{\beta,\text{eff}}(t) = \varphi_{\alpha}\varphi_{\beta}(t)$ . At the time Williams proposed this ansatz, he did not have the benefit of recent experimental facts to distinguish  $\beta$ -process that are JG  $\beta$ -relaxation from non-JG secondary relaxation. The procedure was applied by others to resolve JG and non-JG secondary relaxations without distinguishing them, as for example those secondary relaxations considered in Figs. 1a–f of [760]. These two procedures involve parameters in fitting data. In some cases, the results show that  $\tau_{\beta}$  has stronger *T*-dependence in the liquid state than the Arrhenius T-dependence in the glassy state would predict if continued above  $T_{g}$ . An example of this result is the application of the Williams procedure to the JG relaxation of 2-picoline in mixtures with tri-styrene by Blochowicz [843] mentioned in Section 2.3.2.8, and shown in the right panel of Fig. 152. However, the same procedure of Williams used by others [1087] in sorbitol concluded that  $\tau_{\beta}$  has the same Arrhenius T-dependence above and below T<sub>g</sub>. The contradictory conclusions indicate that the procedure cannot be relied upon to ascertain the true T-dependence of  $\tau_{\beta}$  above  $T_{g}$ . Finally, in all the fitting procedures described above including that of Donth and of Williams, the  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -relaxations are assumed to be independent of each other. Users of these fitting procedures must be aware that this assumption is incorrect and the procedures invalid when the  $\beta$ -relaxation is of the JG kind. The interdependence of the  $\alpha$ - and JG  $\beta$ -relaxations was proven by the many correlations between the two processes and also by new spin-lattice relaxation weighted stimulated-echo experiments of Böhmer and coworkers on several glassformers [998, 999]. The experimental data show a correlation between the spectral densities of the  $\alpha$ - and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxations, and hence they are not independent processes.

#### Sorbitol and Xylitol Under High Pressure

The best situation to find out unequivocally the true *T*-dependence of  $\tau_{JG}$  is when the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation is resolved and well separated from the  $\alpha$ -relaxation at  $T_g$  and temperatures above, such that its relaxation time can be determined directly from the frequency at the maximum of the  $\beta$ -loss peak without using any procedure. Examples of such cases are sorbitol and xylitol at elevated pressure [1088]. At ambient pressure the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation is not that well separated albeit resolved at temperature near and above  $T_g$  in sorbitol and xylitol, and  $\tau_{JG}$  can only be determined by employing some fitting procedure [1085]. Although the results indicate there is change of *T*-dependence from the Arrhenius one below  $T_g$  to a stronger one above  $T_g$  as shown by the symbols corresponding to 0.1 MPa in Fig. 162 by Fujima et al. [1085], skeptics may still question the conclusion. However, the two



**Fig. 162** Isobaric α-relaxation times at 0.1 MPa (*open square*), 0.59 MPa (*half-filled square*), and 1.8 GPa pressure (*filled squares*), along with the corresponding β-relaxation times at 0.1 MPa (*open circles*), 0.59 MPa (*half-filled circles*), and 1.8 GPa (*filled circles*). The slope of log  $\tau_{\beta}$  is independent of pressure, although it differs markedly for low vs. high temperatures. The *inset* shows the JG peak in the dielectric loss at P = 1.8 GPa for temperatures from 273 to 343 K, in five increments (*bottom to top*). The α-peak is too low in frequency to appear within the measured frequency range. Reproduced from [1088] by permission

relaxations become more separated at elevated pressures and associated higher temperatures. This is due to the breaking of hydrogen bondings at higher *P* and *T*, resulting in increase in the coupling parameter *n* and hence shorter  $\tau_{JG}$  for the same  $\tau_{\alpha}$  at  $T_g$  at ambient pressure or at elevated pressure according to the CM equation (2.69). At elevated pressure, the  $\beta$ -loss peak of sorbitol and xylitol is fully resolved and  $\tau_{JG}$  has been determined directly without the use of any fitting procedure. The results unequivocally show the change of *T*-dependence from the Arrhenius one below  $T_g$  to a stronger one above  $T_g$  (see Fig. 162).

#### Low Concentration of 2-Picoline or Quinaldine in Tri-styrene

The peak of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of 5 wt% of QN in mixture with tri-styrene shown before in Fig. 152 (right panel), and 25% 2-picoline in tri-styrene in Fig. 153 (right panel) in the previous subsection is well separated from the  $\alpha$ -loss peak at temperatures over a considerable range above  $T_g$ . For 5 wt% of QN in mixture with tri-styrene,  $\tau_{JG}$  is shorter than  $\tau_{\alpha}$  by seven decades when  $\tau_{\alpha} = 100$  s. The resolved JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of QN allows a direct determination of the peak frequency  $\nu_{JG}$  and hence  $\tau_{JG}$  without the need of using any procedure for fitting or deconvolution. The fact that there is a change of  $\tau_{JG}$  from the Arrhenius *T*-dependence below  $T_g$  to a stronger *T*-dependence above  $T_g$  is beyond any doubt. Similar results are found for  $\tau_{JG}$  in dielectric measurements at high pressures of 400 MPa in 17.2% chlorobenzene in decalin [641].

#### Copolyesters of Poly(ethylene terephthalate) and Poly(ethylene isophthalate)

The dielectric loss spectra of a series of copolyesters of poly(ethylene terephthalate) and poly(ethylene isophthalate) measured by Sanz et al. in a broad frequency range  $(10^{-1}-10^9\text{Hz})$  show the secondary relaxation is the JG  $\beta$ -process from the good agreement of its relaxation time  $\tau_{\beta}$  with the primitive relaxation time  $\tau_0$  calculated by Eq. (2.68) [1089]. The *T*-dependence of  $\tau_{\beta}$  exhibits the change from the Arrhenius law at temperatures below  $T_g$  to a stronger *T*-dependence above  $T_g$  in these aromatic backbone polymers as shown in the left panel of Fig. 163. The same is found for the secondary relaxation in a series of amorphous aromatic polyesters, poly(ethylene terephthalate) PET (shown in the right panel of Fig. 163), poly(ethylene naphthalene dicarboxylate) PEN, poly(ethylene isophthalate) PEI, and poly(butylene isophthalate) PBI as found by Nogales et al. [1090].



**Fig. 163** (*Left*) Frequency of maximum loss of the  $\alpha$ - and JG  $\beta$ -relaxations as a function of the reciprocal temperature, normalized by the calorimetric glass transition temperature of each copolyester of poly(ethylene terephthalate) and poly(ethylene isophthalate),  $T_g/T$ . *Symbols* used for different ethylene terephthalate (ET) comonomer concentrations are *closed squares* for ET100 (PET), *open squares* for ET80, *closed triangles* for ET60, *open triangles* for ET40, *stars* for ET20, and *open triangles* for ET00 (PEI). Reproduced from [1089] by permission. (*Right*) The same for PET except the relaxation times of two secondary relaxations ( $\bigcirc$ ,  $\blacktriangle$ ) faster than the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation (1090] by permission

#### P-Doped Molecular Arsenic Sulfide

Another system showing the same change of *T*-dependence across  $T_g$  of a secondary relaxation much faster than the  $\alpha$ -relaxation is a P-doped molecular arsenic sulfide glass by Gjersing et al. [1091]. Doping with P results in the replacement of apical As of the As<sub>4</sub>S<sub>3</sub> molecule by P. The secondary relaxation was observed by <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectroscopy to be an isotropic tumbling motion of the constituent molecules PAs<sub>3</sub>S<sub>3</sub>. At  $T_g$ , this fast secondary relaxation has relaxation time of about 10<sup>-5</sup> s. The glassformer has a rather high steepness or "fragility" index ( $m \approx 102$ ). The molecular dynamics found were recognized by the authors of [1091] to be similar to that of plastic crystals where the molecules reorient while their positions remain

fixed at the lattice sites of the structure [145, 1092], and in fact, high-temperature polymorphs of As<sub>4</sub>S<sub>3</sub> and P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>3</sub> are plastic crystals. Since JG  $\beta$ -relaxation is found in many plastic crystals, it is likely the observed isotropic tumbling of the constituent molecules  $PAs_3S_3$  is the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of the P-doped molecular arsenic sulfide glassformer. This possibility was not taken on by Gjersing et al. [1091], citing that the isotropic rotational tumbling observed is different from the restricted reorientation of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation observed in toluene and polybutadiene. This conclusion of Gjersing is based on the bold and unsubstantiated assumption that the motion of JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in all glassformers has to be exactly the same as toluene and polybutadiene irrespective of chemical structure and bonding. Second, there is a similar <sup>2</sup>H-NMR study of JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of neat polyalcohols, including glycerol, threitol, xylitol, and sorbitol [239]. Representing the spatially restricted motion of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in a cone, the semi-angle  $\Theta$  of the cone for sorbitol deep in the glassy state is about three times larger than that of threitol. This example shows that the amplitudes of motion of JG  $\beta$ -relaxations are not necessarily the same even in the family of polyalcohols and hence in all glassformers. Moreover, it is important to stress what one means by JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. According to the criteria set forth in [685], secondary relaxation is the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation if and only if it is correlated with or connected to the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and manifest properties mimicking the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. The change in T-dependence of  $\tau_{\beta}$  observed by Gjersing et al. in P-doped molecular arsenic sulfide is one evidence of connection between the secondary relaxation with the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. It is not required that JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in all glassformers has to have the same motion such as the restricted reorientation found in toluene and polybutadiene. This is natural because the chemical structure and intermolecular potentials of toluene and polybutadiene are different from  $PAs_3S_3$  and plastic crystals. Therefore, the restricted reorientation of JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in toluene and polybutadiene may not be used to conclude that the secondary relaxation observed in P-doped molecular arsenic sulfide glassformer is not the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation because of the isotropic tumbling motion of PAs<sub>3</sub>S<sub>3</sub> molecules. The question of whether the observed fast relaxation in P-doped molecular arsenic sulfide is the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation or not can only be settled in the future by more experimental exploration of its properties.

#### The Ionic Liquid, 1-Hexyl-3-methylimidazolium Bromide

JG  $\beta$ -relaxation was found in room temperature ionic liquid, 1-hexyl-3methylimidazolium bromide, by Mierzwa et al. [702] and discussed before in Sections 2.2.4.3 and 2.3.2.2. Change of  $\tau_{JG}$  from the Arrhenius *T*-dependence below  $T_g$  to a stronger *T*-dependence above  $T_g$  was observed and shown in Fig. 164-1.

#### Water in Aqueous Mixtures

The same is found for the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of the water component in aqueous mixtures of many different hydrophilic glassformers [1093] and water in the hydration shell of the hydrated proteins [1094]. Since water, aqueous mixtures, and hydrated proteins belong to important areas of research, the data will be presented in Sections



**Fig. 164-2** Semilog plot of relaxation times vs. reciprocal *T* of 26% wt. fraction of water in PPG400. *Closed and open circles* indicate  $\alpha$ - and v-processes, respectively. *Asterisks* are the relaxation times of the "effective" relaxation obtained from the convolution procedure indicated by the Williams ansatz. *Black and red symbols* indicate isobaric scan done at P = 0.1 and 500 MPa, respectively. *Dotted lines* are Arrhenius fits to the data in the glassy state

2.3.2.27 and 2.3.2.28. In aqueous mixture the water-specific JG  $\beta$ -relaxation is sometimes called the *v*-relaxation. Here in Fig. 164-2 are shown the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and the  $\beta$ -relaxation times vs. reciprocal *T* of 26% wt. fraction of water in PPG400 at ambient and elevated pressures. The data offer Capaccioli et al. [1071(b)] an opportunity to test the effect of the convolution of the  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -relaxations according to the Williams ansatz against experiment. The same procedure used by others to obtain the results from the Williams ansatz and described in [1087(b), 1087(c)] was adopted by Capaccioli et al. [1071(b)]. First the Arrhenius *T*-dependence of the

v-relaxation time  $\tau_v$  in the glassy state was determined, then this dependence was extrapolated to higher temperatures up to where it merges with the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. Following Eq. (2.66), the "effective" relaxation time for the convoluted of  $\alpha$ - and v-relaxation was calculated, and examined whether it can account for the experimentally observed change in *T*-dependence of  $\tau_v$  when crossing  $T_g$  or not. The "effective" v-relaxation times from this exercise using the Williams ansatz are shown in Fig. 164-2. It is clear by inspection of the figure that the results from the analysis using the Williams ansatz bring only a much milder change of the "effective" v-relaxation times from the Arrhenius behavior, and the change occurs at temperature significantly higher than  $T_g$ . These results are both in disagreement with experimental observation, and therefore the Williams ansatz is not able to explain the large deviation from the Arrhenius dependence of  $\tau_v$  after crossing  $T_g$  which is experimentally observed at ambient and elevated pressures.

The results from various systems given above all show change of *T*-dependence of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation time on crossing  $T_g$  mimicking that of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation time. This is another indication of the close connection between the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation and the  $\alpha$ -relaxation.

### Problem of the Explanation by Confinement in Frozen Matrix of the Higher $T_g$ Component

Mentioned before, a different interpretation of the dynamics of the faster component in binary mixtures was given by Lorthioir et al. [1070, 1071], which has been elaborated by Cangiolosi et al. [1095]. These authors considered binary mixtures with large difference in the  $T_g$ s of the two components in the pure states, and rich in the higher  $T_g$  component (*h*). They proposed that the minority and lower  $T_g$  component (*l*) will be "confined" in the "frozen matrix" of the majority component at temperature below its glass temperature in the mixture,  $T_{gh}$ , where the  $\alpha$ -relaxation time of the majority component,  $\tau_{\alpha h}$ , is very long. The consequence of confinement according to them is that, at temperatures below  $T_{gh}$ , the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of the minority component is forced to execute localized motion within the frozen matrix of the majority component, and the temperature dependence of its relaxation time becomes Arrhenius. This interpretation violates the observations of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of the lower  $T_g$  component (*l*) having VFTH temperature dependence for its relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha l}$  at temperatures below  $T_{gh}$  as well as above  $T_{gh}$  in several miscible polymer blends and mixtures of small molecular glassformer with polymer.

As discussed in Section 2.3.2.10 and in [1096], the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of the PEO component in all blends x% PEO–(1-x)% PMMA with  $3 \le x \le 20$  is such an example. Deuterium NMR study of blends of deuterated PEO with PMMA [855] found that the segmental  $\alpha$ -relaxation of PEO in any of the blends with 3, 6, 10, and 20% PEO is many orders of magnitude faster than the dynamics of PMMA at the  $T_{gh}$  of PMMA. The *T*-dependence of the segmental  $\alpha$ -relaxation time of PEO,  $\tau_{\alpha l}$ , is not Arrhenius (see Fig. 157). For the 3% PEO blend,  $T_{gh} = 391$  K, and the  $\alpha$ -relaxation time of PEO is found by deuteron NMR down to 285 K, more than 100 degrees



**Fig. 165** DSC traces for PEO, PMMA, and the indicated blends containing 70, 60, 50, 40, and 25% PEO, two distinct glass transitions are clearly evident: (**a**) heat flow obtained at 10°C/min, and (**b**) corresponding derivative curves. The two transitions have relative amplitudes that vary as the composition of the associated component, that is the lower  $T_g$  can be assigned to the PEO and the upper  $T_g$  to the PMMA. Reproduced from [1097] by permission

below  $T_{gh}$ . The observation contradicts Lorthioir et al. that the 3% PEO will be confined by the frozen PMMA, and the segmental motion of PEO becomes local and Arrhenius (Fig. 165).

Miscible blends of polyisoprene (PI) and poly(4-*tert*-butylstyrene) (P4tBS) were measured by Zhao et al. [1097] using conventional and temperature-modulated differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) over a broad composition range. Before mixing, the two components have extraordinarily large difference in their glass transition temperatures  $T_g$  (~215 K). Nevertheless, two distinct calorimetric glass transitions of the two components were observed in blends of intermediate compositions (25–50% PI). The observation of  $\alpha$ -relaxation of the PI component in the 25% PI blend by DSC at 100–1000 s time scale is another experimental fact indicating that the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of the PI component has not been transformed to local relaxation at short times as would be predicted by the mechanism of "confinement in the frozen matrix of P4tBS" proposed by Lorthioir et al. [1070].

Differential scanning calorimetry measurement was made by Lodge et al. [1097] of blends of a PMMA ( $M_n = 10,000$  g/mol) with a low molar mass PEO ( $M_n = 300$  g/mol), as opposed to the high molecular weight PEO in the experiments of Lutz et al. and Jin et al. Lodge et al. found blends with 25% PEO has two broad but distinctly different glass transitions in the range  $-64 < T < -8^{\circ}$ C with an average of  $-36^{\circ}$ C for the PEO component, and  $55 < T < 106^{\circ}$ C with an average of  $81^{\circ}$ C for the PMMA component. Thus, the cooperative  $\alpha$ -relaxation originating

from the PEO component was still observed by DSC at  $T_{gl} = -36^{\circ}\text{C} = 237.2 \text{ K}$ , more than one hundred degrees below  $T_{gh} = 81^{\circ}\text{C}$  of the PMMA component. Since the relaxation time probed by DSC is usually of the order of 100 s or longer, the result indicates that the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of the PEO component is observed down to such long times at  $T_{gl}$ , and therefore it had not been transformed to local relaxation at any shorter times as predicted by the "confinement by frozen matrix" mechanism proposed by Lorthioir et al. It is truly the cooperative  $\alpha$ -relaxation of the PEO component that is observed by DSC in [1097], and not any of the two secondary relaxations of the PEO component (see Fig. 157). This is because local relaxation usually cannot be detected by DSC and only by the high precision adiabatic calorimetry [681(c), 1075]. Furthermore, the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation time reaches long times of the order of 100 s at a much lower temperature of 167 K (see Fig. 157), and a much lower temperature is needed for the faster  $\gamma$ -relaxation. Worth mention is that also Arrese-Igor et al. found  $T_g$  and  $\alpha$ -process of both components by DSC and dielectric in blends of PI and PtBS [1097].

It is instructive to reexamine the 20% PVME/80% PS blend data of Lorthioir et al. [1070(a)]. The emphasis of Lorthioir et al. is on the observed relaxation of the PVME component in this mixture called  $\alpha'$  at temperature below the calorimetric glass transition temperature of the blend (see inset of Fig. 166), where its relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha'}$  has Arrhenius T-dependence. The data of  $\tau_{\alpha'}$  are shown as function of 1000/T by filled diamonds in Fig. 166 adapted from Fig. 7 in [1070(a)], and indeed  $\tau_{\alpha'}$  has Arrhenius T-dependence in the range 3.44 < (1000/T) < 4.5 or 290>T>222 K. I hasten to point out that the  $\alpha'$ -relaxation in 80% PS blend is not only observed below about 290 K where  $\tau_{\alpha'}$  is Arrhenius, but a continuation of it is observed at temperature higher than 290 K, where the T-dependence of the relaxation time is stronger than the Arrhenius dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha'}$  below about 290 K. The change in T-dependence is made clear with the help of the two lines with different slopes intersecting at (1000/T) = 3.44, as well as by the data (filled diamonds) present in the region (1000/T) < 3.44 in Fig. 166. The isothermal spectra in Fig. 167 actually demonstrate the loss peak of the  $\alpha'$  relaxation is seen not only below 290 K but also above 290 K. The prominent loss peak is located at 10<sup>6</sup> Hz when T = 328 K (see Fig. 167). After conversion to relaxation time, this data point at  $1000/T = 1000/(328) = 3.05 \text{ K}^{-1}$  is represented by a closed diamond enclosed by a circle in the lower left corner in Fig. 166. For T = 308 K, the corresponding relaxation time from the loss peak in Fig. 167 actually has been represented by the last filled diamond at (1000/T) = 3.25 in Fig. 166.

Lorthioir et al. interpret the change of the *T*-dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha'}$  near (1000/T) = 3.44 or approximately T = 290 K in the 20% PVME/80% PS blend as due to change of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of PVME from cooperative to local relaxation due to confinement by the frozen PS matrix. Now we show this interpretation by them is contradicted by their own data.

First, according to the inset in Fig. 166, the calorimetric glass transition temperature  $T_g$  (DSC) of the blend is  $\approx 330$  K (see inset), and its location in Fig. 166 on the 1000/*T* axis is indicated by the vertical line on the extreme left and labeled on top by " $\tau_{\alpha}$ (PS) 80% PS." It can be seen by inspection of Fig. 166, the change of


**Fig. 166** Relaxation map of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  for neat PVME (*open squares*) and PS-PVME blends with wt% of PS,  $\phi_{PS}$ , equal to 35 (open circles), 50 (open triangles) with VFTH temperature dependence. These data are irrelevant for the present purpose. The others with Arrhenius T-dependence are for PS-PVME blends with  $\phi_{PS}$  equal to 70 (closed inverted triangles), 80 (filled diamonds), and 90 (crosses). The solid lines are fits of the experimental data of  $\tau_{\alpha'}$  with an Arrhenius law. All in black adopted from a figure in [1070(a)]. Added are relaxation times determined from the isochronal spectrum at 1 Hz presented in [1070(a)]:  $\tau_{\alpha}$  (horizontal oval) and  $\tau_{IG}$ (vertical oval) of the PVME component in the blend with 70 wt% of PS, and also  $\tau_{\alpha}$  (vertical oval) in the blend with 80 wt% of PS. The value of  $T_{\rm g} \approx 305$  and 330 K determined by DSC (see *inset*) suggests that  $\tau_{\alpha}$  of the PS component in the blend with 70 and 80 wt% of PS are located approximately within the two closed rectangles having  $(1000/T_g) \approx 3.28$  and 3.0 (indicated by the *dashed* and solid vertical lines), respectively. The data point in the lower left corner and represented by a closed diamond enclosed by a circle is  $\tau_{IG}$  of PVME in mixture with 80 wt% PS obtained from isothermal loss spectrum at 328 K shown in Fig. 167. The two magenta lines demonstrate the change of temperature dependence of  $\tau_{\rm JG}$  at  $1000/T \approx 3.44$  corresponding to a temperature of 291 K that is more like  $T_{\rm g}$  of the PVME component but not the  $T_{\rm g} \approx 330$  K of the PS component which is much higher. Obviously there is no relation between the temperature at which the crossover of T-dependence of  $\tau_{JG}$  of PVME is found and  $T_g$  of the majority PS component. The *inset* presents the  $\phi_{PS}$  dependence of the calorimetric glass transition temperature  $T_{g}(DSC)$  of the blends by closed circles. The upper horizontal dashed lines indicate that  $T_{\rm g}({\rm DSC}) \approx 305$  and 330 K for the blend with  $\phi_{PS} = 0.70$  and 0.80, respectively. The open circles are for the dielectric glass transition temperature  $T_g$  (1 Hz) determined from the temperature of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak maximum of PVME component in the isochronal spectrum obtained for all blends at 1 Hz. The lower dashed line indicates that  $T_g$  (1 Hz)  $\approx$  280 K

*T*-dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha'}$  in the 20% PVME/80% PS blend occurs at near 290 K far below  $T_g$  (DSC)  $\approx$  330 K of the blend. Therefore, there is no relation between the onset temperature of the "frozen PS component matrix" and the crossover temperature of  $\tau_{\alpha'}$ , at variance with the interpretation of Lorthioir et al.



Fig. 167 Isothermal dielectric loss spectra obtained by Lorthioir et al. [1070(a)] on a 20% PVME/80% PS blend at different temperatures. The *arrow* indicates possible location of the frequency of the very broad  $\alpha$ -relaxation loss peak of the PVME component. The tall loss peaks called the  $\alpha'$ -process by Lorthioir et al. are actually the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation (see text). The *solid lines* are fits of the loss peak. Reproduced from [1070(a)] by permission

Second, from the isothermal spectra at 308 and 328 K in Fig. 167, Lorthioir et al. themselves have concluded that the broad loss at lower frequencies is contributed by the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of the PVME component (distinctly different from the  $\alpha'$  relaxation). In order to make no mistake about this, here I quote the remarks made by Lorthioir et al. [1070(a)] on the isothermal spectra in their Fig. 4 which is Fig. 167 here: "For temperatures around 280 K, the a peak enters the considered spectral window, as can be seen in Fig. 4. This may be the origin of the flat signal observed in the low-frequency part of the dielectric loss  $\varepsilon''(\omega)$  for temperatures higher than 288 K. This assumption is consistent with the slight increase of the level of this flat signal between 288 and 348 K. Besides, at 348 K, a broad shoulder is observed in the low-frequency side of the  $\alpha'$  process we are interested in: it probably corresponds to the  $\alpha$ -peak which is indeed known to be particularly broad in the high PS concentration regime. Thus, above 288 K, the  $\varepsilon''(\omega)$  spectra also include a contribution from the  $\alpha$  peak . . .. "Although the  $\alpha$ -loss peak frequency at 308 and 328 K cannot be determined from the broad spectrum in Fig. 167, any reasonable estimate at 328 K will put it lower than the vertical arrow in the figure. The corresponding arelaxation time at 328 K will be in the neighborhood of 1 s, as suggested by the span of the vertical arrow drawn just above the label of the y-axis in Fig. 166. Now we can see from either Fig. 166 or Fig. 167 that two processes,  $\alpha'$  and  $\alpha$ , are present at the same temperature such as 308 and 328 K. At both temperatures, the relaxation times of the  $\alpha'$  and  $\alpha$  processes differ by many orders of magnitude. This finding invalidates the explanation by Lorthioir et al. because there is only one  $\alpha$ -process at any temperature.

While the data contradict the explanation of the crossover in *T*-dependence by Lorthioir et al. based on the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of the PVME component, there is no problem if the  $\alpha'$ -process is identified with the JG  $\beta$ -process of the PVME component, and  $\tau_{\alpha'}$  becomes  $\tau_{IG}$ , the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation time of the PVME component. The  $\alpha$ - and JG  $\beta$ -relaxations of the PVME component can coexist as found in the experiment. Due to the very broad  $\alpha$ -loss peak of the PVME component in either the isochronal or the isothermal spectra, no exact value of  $T_{gl}$  of the PVME component can be given. In the inset of Fig. 166, the open circles are for the dielectric glass transition temperature  $T_g$  (at 1 Hz) determined from the temperature of the a-loss peak maximum of PVME component in the isochronal spectrum obtained for all blends at 1 Hz. The lower dashed line indicates that  $T_g$  (1 Hz)  $\approx$  280 K for blend with  $\phi_{PS} = 0.70$ . From this and the isothermal spectra at 288 K in Fig. 167, one can estimate that 290 K is possibly a candidate for  $T_{gl}$  of the 20% PVME/80% PS blend. The location of this  $1000/T_{gl}$  is indicated by the vertical dotted line labeled " $T_{\rm g} = 290$  K." The picture in Fig. 166 is now complete. It shows the observed change of T-dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  when crossing 290 K is the same as found in the mixtures of picoline, *tert*-butylpyridine, or quinaldine with tri-styrene, as well as in some neat glassformers shown before, and the explanation follows from the correlation between the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation or the primitive relaxation of the coupling model.

Lesson from the Previous Study of the Mixture of Toluene with Polystyrene

Calorimetric measurements found signature of glass transition of the heat capacity at  $T_{gl}$  of the low  $T_g$  component (*l*) distinctly lower than another one at  $T_{gh}$  in several mixtures, indicating that the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of *l* component exists up to long times of order of 10<sup>2</sup> or 10<sup>3</sup> s, and is not converted to local  $\alpha'$ -process by confinement in the "frozen matrix" of the higher  $T_g$  component (*h*). We have already seen one example in the 25% PEO–75% PMMA blend in Fig. 165. More examples are given in [1071]. Here we mention just one case.

The ideal system to test the interpretation is mixtures of toluene with polystyrene. This is because the difference between the  $T_{g}$ s of the two components is much larger than toluene/PCB54, the system studied recently by Cangiolosi et al. [1095]. The mixture toluene/PS has the advantage that PS does not contribute to the dielectric relaxation spectrum because of small dipole moment in contrast to PCB54 in the toluene/PCB54 system. The toluene/PS system was investigated as early as 1975 by Adachi et al. [1098] by dielectric, thermal analysis, and NMR, and they found both the primary  $\alpha$ -relaxations of toluene and PS together with some local relaxations. This work was followed up by others on the same toluene/PS systems by dielectric and depolarized Rayleigh scattering experiments [1099]. In the latter experiment, the primary  $\alpha$ -relaxations of PS and toluene were found over a frequency range of 10 decades, and their relaxation times all have the usual VFTH temperature dependence of cooperative  $\alpha$ -relaxations. The most recent investigation reported on the toluene/PS mixtures uses the sensitive adiabatic calorimetry method in combination with dielectric relaxation by Taniguchi et al. [1100]. The high molecular weight PS has  $T_g = 373$  K, and the difference between  $T_g$  of PS and toluene is 256 K. This enormous difference in  $T_{g}$ , together with the absence of domination by the PS contribution in dielectric spectra, is an ideal condition to test any interpretation. The

measured heat capacity  $C_p$  on toluene/PS mixtures containing 20, 30, 40, 50, and 70 wt% PS using an adiabatic calorimeter indicates that the  $C_p$  vs. temperature T curve exhibits a double-sigmoidal shape [1100]. The  $T_{gs}$  corresponding to the two sigmoids are denoted here by  $T_{gh}$  and  $T_{gl}$  with  $T_{gh} > T_{gl}$ . The same was found in other systems [169(a), 1098]. For the mixture with 70 wt% PS,  $T_{gh} = 230$  K is associated with the segmental  $\alpha$ -relaxation of the PS component and  $T_{gl} = 145$  K is related to the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of the toluene component. The difference between the two  $T_{gs}$ decreases with decreasing wt% of PS as expected. For example, the mixture with 30 wt% PS has  $T_{gh} = 153$  K and  $T_{gl} = 130$  K. The dielectric measurements found three relaxation processes. The slowest and intermediate processes are identified as the segmental  $\alpha$ -relaxation of the PS component and the rotational  $\alpha$ -relaxation of toluene, respectively. The dielectric  $T_{gs}$  of these two processes, defined as the temperatures at which their dielectric relaxation times attain  $10^3$  s, agree well with the calorimetric  $T_{gh}$  and  $T_{gl}$ . From the isochronal loss spectra at 1 kHz of the mixtures, the temperature at the maximum loss of the fastest relaxation is about 110 K and insensitive to composition of the mixture. Converting 1 kHz to time, we find that its relaxation time is  $1.6 \times 10^{-4}$  s at 110 K, which falls on top of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation times of neat toluene, indicating that the fastest relaxation in the toluene/PS mixtures is the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of the toluene component. From the calorimetric and dielectric data of the toluene/PS mixtures, Taniguchi et al. found the cooperative rotational  $\alpha$ -relaxation of toluene (with VFTH dependence for its relaxation time) and a much faster local relaxation also originating from toluene. This result contradicts the confinement interpretation of Lorthioir et al. [1070]. This is best elucidated by the mixture with 70 wt% PS. Taniguchi et al. [1100] still find the cooperative rotational  $\alpha$ -relaxation of toluene at  $T_{gl} = 145$  K, which is 85 K below the  $T_{gh} = 230$  K of the PS component. However, according to Lorthioir et al., the PS chains should be frozen below  $T_{gh} = 230$  K to confine the toluene and preempt cooperative motion involving the toluene, resulting in the local toluene motion at temperatures below  $T_{gh} = 230 \text{ K}.$ 

Another binary mixture system that supports our interpretation and refutes that of Lorthioir et al. [1070(a)] and Cangiolosi et al. [1095] is the study by Svanberg et al. of propylene carbonate (PC) dissolved in PMMA polymer matrix of a gel consisting of 100% PC down to 50% PC [683]. The difference in  $T_{gs}$  of the two components is about 240 K. The confinement in the gel is of a fractal type with randomly interpenetrating and percolating phases of PMMA and PC. Thus, it is even more appropriate to say that PC is confined in this system than PVME is confined in frozen PS by Lorthioir et al. and toluene is confined in frozen PCB54 by Cangiolosi et al. Nevertheless, both the primary  $\alpha$ -relaxation and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of PC were observed. In neat PC, the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation is not resolved and shows up as an excess wing like in neat picoline, tert-butylpyridine, or quinaldine. On increasing PMMA content, the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of PC is slowed down while the excess wing continuously transforms toward a resolved JG β-relaxation of PC. The effects are similar to that seen in mixtures of picoline, tert-butylpyridine, or quinaldine with tristyrene. Dielectric relaxations of MTHF mixed with 3S show  $\alpha$ -process with VFTH law (also by DSC), and  $\alpha'$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$  processes all with Arrhenius law [1070(c)].  $\alpha'$ 

is suggested to come from some MTHF by confinement, but this is not exactly the scenario of Lorthioir et al. because  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -relaxations coexist.

### Mixtures of Toluene with Polychlorinated Biphenyl (PCB54)

From the discussion given above, it is clear that had Lorthioir et al. accepted the overwhelming evidence pointing to universal presence of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation although not resolved in neat PVME, and it becomes resolved when mixed with PS with much lower mobility (like picoline, tert-butylpyridine, or quinaldine when mixed with tri-styrene), they would have no need to propose the superfluous concept of confinement in frozen matrix and invent the untenable  $\alpha'$ -relaxation. However, their proposal is maintained in a recent study of mixtures of toluene with polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB54) with 0, 20, 35, 50, 70, and 100 wt% of PCB54 by Cangialosi et al. [1095]. Although the  $T_g = 246$  K of pure PCB54 is much higher than the  $T_{\rm g} = 117$  K of toluene, the toluene/PCB54 mixtures are not equivalent in properties to PVME/PS blends or mixtures of tri-styrene with picoline, tertbutylpyridine, or quinaldine. For one, the higher  $T_g$  component PCB54 has much larger dielectric strength than toluene, dominates the loss spectra, and makes it impossible to see the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of the toluene component even in mixtures with 20 wt% PCB54. Another difference is that the lower  $T_{g}$  component toluene already has a well-resolved secondary relaxation in isothermal dielectric loss spectra [841, 971], which is the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation because toluene is a rigid molecule and also its relaxation time is in agreement with the calculated primitive relaxation time of the coupling model [671]. These undesirable properties should make the toluene/PCB54 mixtures the wrong system to test either the interpretation of Lorthioir et al. or ours. The proposal of Lorthioir et al. would expect a local toluene relaxation, the analogue of the  $\alpha'$ -relaxation in PVME/PS blends, with relaxation time having Arrhenius temperature present at temperature below  $T_{gh}$  of PCB54 component in the mixture. But this is hard to verify because toluene already has its JG  $\beta$ -relaxation with the same characteristics. In fact, the local relaxation found in 20, 30, and 50 wt% PCB54 have relaxation times indistinguishable from that of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of neat toluene (see Fig. 168). Our interpretation expects both the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of the toluene component to be present. But this cannot be checked because the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of toluene if present would be eclipsed by the dominant PCB54 loss peak in the spectrum. Shahin Thayyil and Capaccioli et al. [1101] have recently made dielectric measurements of a more ideal system of 10% toluene/90% OTP mixtures because OTP gives negligible contribution to the loss spectrum. They find both the  $\alpha$ - and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of the toluene component and the change of T-dependence of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation time at  $T_g$  of the toluene component, exactly the same as like picoline, tert-butylpyridine, or quinaldine when mixed with tri-styrene (see Figs. 147, 149, and 152).

Despite the toluene/PCB54 mixtures are less than ideal systems, it is worthwhile to compare the data from Cangialosi et al. [1095] with the calorimetric and dielectric data of toluene/PS mixtures from Taniguchi et al. [1100]. This comparison is made in Fig. 168. There the glass transition temperatures  $T_g$  at which



**Fig. 168** Comparison of PCB54/toluene data of Cangiolosi et al. with PS/toluene mixture with 50 wt% PS data of Taniguchi et al. The glass transition temperatures  $T_g$  at which the calorimetric and dielectric α-relaxation times  $\tau_{\alpha}$  of PS and toluene in the 50 wt% PS mixture with toluene attain the value of about 1500 s are indicated by the *blue ovals* on the upper *x*-axis. Isochronal dielectric loss data at 1 kHz (equivalent to relaxation time of =  $1.59 \times 10^{-4}$  s) show the presence of three peaks coming from *left to right* the α-relaxation of PS component, the α-relaxation of toluene component, and the JG β-relaxation of toluene component. The temperatures at the peak maxima are shown on the *dashed line* at constant relaxation time of  $1.59 \times 10^{-4}$  s by *two filled ovals* for the α-relaxation of PS component and the toluene component, and the *filled square* for the JG β-relaxation of the toluene component. The JG β-relaxation of neat toluene (*thick dashed straight line*). The PCB54/toluene data of Cangiolosi et al. represented by *symbols* and *lines* are the same as in the original article by these authors. PCB54 wt%: 100% (*circles*), 70 (*squares*), 50 (*inverted triangles*), 35 (*diamonds*), 20 (*circles*), 0 (*triangles*). Data from [1095] redrawn and illustration added

the calorimetric and dielectric  $\alpha$ -relaxation times  $\tau_{\alpha}$  of the PS and the toluene components in the PS/toluene mixture with 50 wt% PS attain the value of about 1500 s (the timescale of calorimetric measurement) are indicated by the filled oval symbols on the upper x-axis. The widths of the symbols represent the differences between the calorimetric and dielectric  $T_g$ . Isochronal dielectric loss data at 1 kHz (equivalent to relaxation time of =  $1.59 \times 10^{-4}$  s, dotted line in the middle) show the presence of three peaks coming from the  $\alpha$ -relaxations of the PS component and the toluene component, and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of the toluene component. The temperatures at the isochronal peak maxima are shown on the dashed line at the constant relaxation time of  $1.59 \times 10^{-4}$  s by two filled ovals for the  $\alpha$ -relaxations of the PS component and the toluene component, and the larger filled square for the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of the toluene component. The PCB54/toluene data of Cangialosi et al. represented by symbols and lines are the same as in the original article by these authors. They are for mixtures of toluene with polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB54) with 0, 20, 35, 50, 70, and 100 wt% of PCB54. I have added the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation time of neat toluene from [841, 971]. By inspection of Fig. 168, it can be seen that there is good agreement of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation time of both neat toluene and toluene in the PS/toluene mixture with 50 wt% PS with the relaxation time of the  $\alpha'$  process found by Cangialosi et al. in their toluene/PCB54 mixtures. The agreement shows once again the  $\alpha'$  process in toluene/PCB54 mixtures is the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of the toluene component in these mixtures.

# Why So Much Discussion on the Interpretation by Lorthioir et al.?

The reader may wonder why so much discussion has been given in the above to refute the explanation of the faster component dynamics in mixtures offered by Lorthioir et al. and Cangialosi et al. There are two reasons for this. The first one is the true interpretation of the dynamics, showing the  $\alpha$ - and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of the fast component l are connected, is very important for the glass transition problem. The connections include (1) the coexistence of the  $\alpha$ - and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of the fast component l at all temperatures down to  $T_g(l)$  of the fast component where the  $\alpha$ -relaxation time,  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_{g}(l))$ , is a long time of the order of 10<sup>2</sup> s and (2) the JG  $\beta$ relaxation time,  $\tau_{JG}(T)$ , changes its T-dependence when crossing  $T_g(l)$ , mimicking the well-known behavior of  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$ . Therefore, we should not let the wrong interpretation of Lorthioir et al. confuse the facts and obscure this important connection between the  $\alpha$ - and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. The second reason is that the interpretation of Lorthioir et al. or similar ideas has been widely applied to nanoconfined water [1102-1105], aqueous mixtures [1106-1108], and hydrated biomolecules [1109-1108]1112]. These are more complicated systems and interpretation of the dynamics is more difficult than the mixtures discussed above. Continued use of the interpretation of Lorthioir et al. which has been proven wrong in simpler systems, to these more complicated cases will bear no fruit and only retard real progress. Therefore it is necessary to document in detail the experimental data that prove the interpretation of Lorthioir et al. untenable.

# Reminder of Similar Behavior of JG β-Relaxation in Neat Glassformer

For glassformers that have an unresolved JG relaxation, which appears as an excess wing, the temperature dependence of  $\tau_{\rm JG}$  above  $T_{\rm g}$  can be inferred from the shift of the excess wing with temperature. Since  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is non-Arrhenius above  $T_{\rm g}$  and the excess wing is on the high frequency side of the  $\alpha$ -peak, the shift of the excess wing with temperature will also be non-Arrhenius, albeit less than that of  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . This non-Arrhenius behavior of the excess wing for  $T > T_{\rm g}$  has been shown for glycerol, propylene carbonate, propylene glycol [424], and KDE [597]. Thus, for all JG relaxations, hidden or resolved,  $\tau_{\rm JG}$  has different temperature dependences above and below  $T_{\rm g}$ .

The implication of the above discussion is that a change in *T*-dependence of  $\tau_{JG}$  above  $T_g$  is a general feature in glass-forming liquids. The temperature dependence of  $\tau_{JG}$  above  $T_g$  is much stronger than the dependence below  $T_g$ . Theoretical support of this behavior comes from the CM equation (2.67),  $\tau_{JG}(T) \approx$  $\tau_0(T) = [\tau_\alpha(T)]^{1-n} t_c^n$ . This equation indicates the temperature dependence of  $\tau_{JG}$ has Arrhenius temperature dependence below  $T_g$  because there  $\tau_\alpha$  is Arrhenius and *n*  is temperature independent. Above  $T_g$ , again from the CM equation,  $\tau_{JG}$  necessarily has a stronger temperature dependence than the Arrhenius dependence as a consequence of the even stronger VFTH temperature dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . This is the case whether *n* decrease with increasing temperature or not.

# 2.3.2.16 Doubt on the Universal Presence of the JG β-Relaxation? Glassformers Only Showing a Non-JG Secondary Relaxation

If the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation is truly of fundamental importance, a prerequisite is its presence in all glassformers. This requirement is fulfilled in many molecular and polymeric glassformers as well as in some exotic glassformers such as plastic crystals [145, 681], inorganic oxide glasses [952, 985, 987], the molten salt 0.4Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>–0.6KNO<sub>3</sub> (CKN) [951], and metallic glasses, Pd<sub>77.5</sub>Cu<sub>6</sub>Si<sub>16.5</sub> [1113], La<sub>55</sub>Al<sub>25</sub>Ni<sub>20</sub> [955], Pd<sub>43</sub>Ni<sub>10</sub>Cu<sub>27</sub>P<sub>20</sub> [956], Zr<sub>65</sub>Al<sub>7.5</sub>Cu<sub>27.5</sub> with fragility index m = 36.4 - 38.4 [957, 960, 1114], Zr<sub>46.75</sub>Ti<sub>8.25</sub>Cu<sub>7.5</sub>Ni<sub>10</sub>Be<sub>27.5</sub> (Vit4), Pd<sub>40</sub>Ni<sub>10</sub>Cu<sub>30</sub>P<sub>20</sub>, and others [959, 1115], Pd<sub>77</sub>Cu<sub>6</sub>Si<sub>17</sub> with m = 52.8 - 77.0 [960]. We show this by the 1 Hz isochronal shear modulus data of Pd<sub>43</sub>Ni<sub>10</sub>Cu<sub>27</sub>P<sub>20</sub> [956] in Fig. 169.

The isochronal loss shear modulus data of  $Zr_{65}Al_{7.5}Cu_{27.5}$  [957] show the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation appearing in the form of an excess wing, as well as the presence of the nearly constant loss at lower temperatures as pointed out in [1114] (see Fig. 170).

Despite the common occurrence of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation, there are glassformers in which none of the commonly used experimental methods has been able to produce evidence of the existence of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. Ironically, these cases are found in some small molecular and organic glassformers, a class of materials that have usually shown the presence of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation by dielectric relaxation spectroscopy. This conundrum raises serious doubt in skeptics on the claim that the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation is universal and plays an important role in glass transition. In some of these cases, application of special procedure such as aging of the glassformer in the glassy state [424, 682, 684, 1014] or elevating the pressure [657, 669, 671, 708] were able to find the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation by resolving it from the higher intensity





**Fig. 170** The loss modulus G''(T) of Zr<sub>65</sub>Al<sub>7.5</sub>Cu<sub>27.5</sub> measured by Rösner et al. (*open squares*), and the calculated the isochronal shear loss modulus contributed by the dominant  $\alpha$ -relaxation,  $G''_{\alpha}(T)$  (*dashed line*), at 5.4 kHz. The JG relaxation is not resolved as a peak and instead appears as an excess wing. The most probable temperature of the JG relaxation is estimated to lie between  $T_{\text{JG}} = 647$  K and  $T_{\text{JG}} = 635$  K. Reproduced from [1114] by permission

 $\alpha$ -relaxation appearing at lower frequencies, and sometimes also from the highfrequency non-JG secondary relaxation. However, there are some glassformers for which none of these procedures have produced evidence for the presence of the JG β-relaxation. These glassformers include the lower members of the dialkyl phthalates, viz., dimethyl phthalate (DMP), diethyl phthalate (DEP) [660], and dibutyl phthalate (DBP) [661] or dissobutyl phthalate (DiBP). A secondary  $\gamma$ -relaxation is present in all these phthalates, but it is not the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation we are looking for because its dynamics bear no correlation with the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. For example, its relaxation time is insensitive to applied pressure, in stark contrast to the  $\alpha$ -relaxation time,  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . Based on the chemical structure of the phthalates, this  $\gamma$ -relaxation is related to the rotation of the alkyl group about the flexile oxygen bond and only involves a part of the molecule. These phthalates are good glassformers and extensively used in industry for various purposes. Their dynamic properties over broad frequency/time range have been studied by several experimental techniques including optical Kerr effect [332], dynamic light scattering [1116], dielectric relaxation measurements at ambient and elevated pressures [558, 660, 661, 1117], and inelastic X-ray scattering [1118]. The results of these studies have impact on theoretical models of glass transition [34, 35, 1119].

Another glassformer with similar situation as the phthalates is benzophenone (BZP) or diphenylketone,  $H_5C_6$ -CO- $C_6H_5$ . It was studied by optical Kerr effect [332], dynamic light scattering [1047], and broadband dielectric spectroscopy [1120, 1121]. In fact, although BZP shows a well-resolved secondary relaxation, there is some evidence that such process could be of intramolecular nature [1122, 1123]. Moreover, its relaxation time near  $T_g$  is much shorter than the calculated primitive relaxation time  $\tau_0$  of the CM [508].

The lack of evidence of the presence of JG β-relaxation in some well-studied glassformers including the phthalates and BZP can undermine its importance in glass transition. In a log-log plot of dielectric loss against frequency, the highfrequency flank of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak of the phthalates and BZP has maximum absolute value of the slope near 0.5. If the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of the phthalates and BZP indeed were non-existent, then these exceptional cases may even invite the interpretation of  $\alpha$ -relaxation which gives little importance to the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation [1045, 1046]. As we have seen from some examples given before, whenever the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation is resolved, its relaxation time  $\tau_{JG}$  is approximately the same as the primitive relaxation time  $\tau_0$  of the coupling model (CM), which can be calculated by Eq. (2.68) from  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and the stretch exponent of the Kohlrausch correlation function of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. It turns out that the calculated  $\tau_0$ , and hence the expected  $\tau_{IG}$ , of the phthalates (see Fig. 4 in [661]) and BZP [508] is located in between the  $\alpha$ - and the  $\gamma$ relaxations. Thus, it is possible that the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation cannot be resolved in these glassformers because it is sandwiched between the slower and much more intense  $\alpha$ -relaxation on one side and the well-resolved faster non-JG  $\gamma$ -relaxation on the other side. To resolve the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation we must increase the separation between the  $\alpha$ - and the  $\gamma$ -relaxations. One way to do this is by mixing the phthalates and BZP with a host that have a much higher glass transition temperature  $T_g$  as demonstrated before for other polar rigid molecules including picoline, QN, and TBP in mixtures with tri-styrene, other oligomers of styrene, or *ortho*-terphenyl (OTP) discussed in a previous subsection. However, there is a difference of the present case from the previously discussed rigid molecules, which has no y-relaxation. Nevertheless, the presence of the less mobile host molecules slows down the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of the phthalate molecules in the mixture more than in the neat phthalate. On the other hand, the local and intramolecular  $\gamma$ -relaxation is not sensitive to the presence of the host molecules. Hence, the increased separation between  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{\gamma}$  of the phthalate in the mixture gives a better chance for the supposedly universal JG  $\beta$ -relaxation to be resolved. This is the rationale of the method to uncover JG  $\beta$ -relaxation, which is particularly effective in using dielectric spectroscopy when the host molecules are apolar like oligomers of styrene or OTP, and the dynamics of the polar phthalates or BZP are seen exclusively in the observed dielectric spectra. In the recent past, the method was applied to the phthalates, BZP, and other glassformers by mixing with low molecular weight polystyrene, and demonstrated that the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation exists in DMP, DEP, DBP, DiBP, and BZP by broadband isothermal dielectric relaxation of all these glassformers in the pure state as well as in mixtures with polystyrene and OTP. Results of some selected glassformers are presented in the following subsections.

## Butylacetate (BuAc)

The first demonstration of the method was given for a lesser known dipolar glassformer, butylacetate (BuAc,  $T_g = 125.7$  K) [1124]. Figure 171 shows the temperature dependence of the isochronal (i.e., obtained at 1 kHz) dielectric loss  $\varepsilon''$  data of neat BuAc, 10 wt% BuAc in isopropylbenzene (IPB,  $T_g = 128.5$  K), and 10 wt%



**Fig. 171** Logarithm of dielectric losses at 1 kHz vs. temperature for butylacetate: pure (*triangles*), mixed with IPB (*stars*), mixed with OTP (*open circles*). *Lines* are guides for eyes. *Inset* shows the molecular structure and the internal rotation that gives rise to the  $\gamma$ -relaxation, which is insensitive to mixing with OTP. Data from [1124] replotted together with structure of butylacetate

BuAc in *o*-terphenyl (OTP,  $T_g = 244$  K). Shown in the same figure is the chemical structure of BuAc together with the rotation of the –OOC–CH<sub>3</sub> group around its oxygen bond. This internal motion is responsible for the  $\gamma$ -relaxation of neat BuAc seen as a maximum of  $\varepsilon''$  at T = 109 K (Fig. 171). The  $T_g$  of IPB is only 3 degrees higher than that of BuAc, and the mixing shifts the isochronal  $\alpha$ -loss peak (at 1 kHz) from about 139.5 K of neat BuAc to 149 K of BuAc in IPB. The shift of less than 10 degrees is too small to significantly increase the separation between  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{\gamma}$  of the BuAc in this mixture to resolve the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. A much higher  $T_g$  of the host such as OTP is required toward accomplishing the goal. In fact, isochronal loss spectra at 1 kHz of BuAc in OTP (Fig. 171) show that the  $\alpha$ -loss peak has been shifted from about 139.5 K for neat BuAc to 245 K for BuAc in OTP. On the other hand, the shift of the  $\gamma$ -loss peak is small as expected for local and intramolecular secondary relaxation. It is clear by inspection of the figure that a new secondary relaxation between  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{\gamma}$  of the BuAc in OTP concomitant with the large separation between  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{\gamma}$  of the BuAc in OTP.

#### The Dialkyl Phthalates

The same method was applied more recently to prove the existence of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in the dialkyl phthalate. In these experiments, the motions of the polar dialkyl phthalates (DaP) including dimethyl phthalate (DMP,  $T_g = 193$  K), diethyl phthalate (DEP,  $T_g = 188$  K), and diisobutyl phthalates (DiBP,  $T_g = 191$  K) were studied by dielectric spectroscopy in 10 wt% mixtures with PS of molecular weight equal to 800 Da (PS800). For DMP this is equivalent to 0.095 mole fraction of

DMP in PS800, and less for the higher members. These mixtures all satisfy the requirement of large difference in  $T_g$  of the dipolar guest phthalate and the apolar host PS800 ( $T_g = 282$  K) for resolving the so far unseen JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of the phthalates [1125].

# Diethyl Phthalate (DEP)

The relaxation map in Fig. 172 shows the relaxation times of all resolved processes in neat DEP, and in 10 wt% mixtures of DEP with PS800 [1125]. As a reminder, only one secondary relaxation labeled  $\gamma$  was resolved in neat DEP at ambient or elevated pressure. The large shift to significantly higher temperatures of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  of DEP when dissolved in PS800 is evident. There is only a small shift of the  $\gamma$ loss peak, as it can be expected from its intramolecular origin involving the rotation of the alkyl group about the oxygen bond (see inset in Fig. 172 left panel), and therefore the different environment for the molecule in the mixture cannot change the energy barrier for the internal motion. In the mixture a newly resolved secondary ( $\beta$ -) relaxation appears at temperatures intermediate between the  $\alpha$ - and the  $\gamma$ -loss peaks in some selected isothermal spectra of 10 wt% of DEP in PS800 presented in the right panel of Fig. 172. All three relaxations,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$ , originate from the DEP component in the mixture. The relaxation frequencies,  $\nu_{\alpha}$ ,  $\nu_{\beta}$ , and  $\nu_{\gamma}$ , of all three relaxations of DEP in the mixture as well as  $\nu_{\alpha}$  and  $\nu_{\gamma}$  of neat DEP are shown as a function of temperature in Fig. 172. It can be seen that  $\nu_{\alpha}$  is practically the same in



**Fig. 172** (*Left*) Relaxation map of neat DEP (*open circles*) and 10 wt% of DEP in PS800 (*filled circles*). Only one secondary relaxation of DEP, namely  $\gamma$ , is resolved in neat DEP at ambient or at elevated pressure. This  $\gamma$ -relaxation originates from the rotation of the alkyl group about the flexile oxygen bond as illustrated by the picture in the *inset*. In the mixture with PS800, DEP has two resolved secondary relaxation frequency of the  $\gamma$ -relaxation of DEP in the mixture is nearly the same as of neat DEP. The slower  $\beta$ -relaxation of DEP in the mixture is the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation that we are looking for. The *lone star* is the primitive frequency of neat DEP calculated from the  $\alpha$ -relaxation frequency at the lowest measurement temperature and *n* obtained by fitting the  $\alpha$ -loss peak by the Kohlrausch function. Its proximity to the  $\gamma$ -relaxation frequency explains why in neat DEP the  $\beta$ -relaxation cannot be resolved from the more intense  $\gamma$ -relaxation. Reproduced from [1125] by permission

the pure state and in the mixture. The new secondary relaxation found comes from motion of the DEP molecule, and since it is slower than the  $\gamma$ -relaxation, it is likely the candidate for the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. The situation is similar to the mixtures with tri-styrene with other polar molecules including picoline, QN, and TBP discussed in Section 2.3.2.8 except no  $\gamma$ -relaxation is present in these rigid molecules. From the pressure dependence of relaxation time shown before in Figs. 146, 148, and 149, the secondary relaxation of picoline and QN found in the mixtures are definitely their JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. By inference, the slower  $\beta$ -relaxation of DEP found when mixed with PS800 is its JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. In the left panel of Fig. 172, the lone star indicates the location of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation frequency  $\nu_{\beta}$  of neat DEP estimated by the primitive frequency  $v_0$  calculated by Eq. (2.68) with n = 0.36 from [660] and the lowest  $v_{\alpha}$  data obtained experimentally. The separation between log  $v_{\alpha}$  and log  $\nu_{\beta}$  indicated by the dashed-dotted line in neat DEP is shorter by about two decades compared with that found for DEP in the mixture for the same  $\nu_{\alpha}$ . There is increase of intermolecular coupling of DEP (or n) when executing the  $\alpha$ -relaxation with the slower host PS800 molecules, and the increase of separation between log  $\nu_{\alpha}$  and log  $\nu_{\beta}$  follows as a consequence of Eq. (2.69), which in turn confers the bonus of having the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation resolved in support of its universal presence.

If the found  $\beta$ -relaxation is truly the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of DEP that we are looking for, it can be confirmed by applying pressure to the mixture of DEP with PS800 and observing it shift to lower frequencies, in contrast to the pressure insensitivity of the  $\gamma$ -relaxation seen before in neat DEP [660]. Confirmation is obtained by performing dielectric measurements under elevated pressures on the mixture of 10 wt% of DEP in PS800 [1125]. The loss spectra shown in the left panel of Fig. 173 confirm the pressure dependence of  $\nu_{\beta}$ . The measurements confer a bonus. As shown in the right panel of Fig. 173, there is a change of pressure dependence of  $\nu_{\beta}$  near the



**Fig. 173** (*Left*) Loss spectra of DEP component in 10 wt% DEP mixture with PS800 at four different elevated pressures and constant temperature of 282.9 K The shift of the  $\beta$ -relaxation of DEP to lower frequencies along with the  $\alpha$ -relaxation is clear. (*Right*) Relaxation map of  $\nu_{\alpha}$  and  $\nu_{\beta}$  as function of pressure at constant *T* = 282.9 K. There is a change to a stronger *P*-dependence of  $\nu_{\beta}$  near the vitrification pressure (of the DEP component) at which  $\nu_{\alpha}$  corresponds to the  $\alpha$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha} = 100$  s as indicated by the *vertical arrow*. Reproduced from [1125] by permission

vitrification pressure  $P_g$  of the DEP component at which  $\nu_{\alpha}(P_g)$  corresponds to the relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}(P_g) = 100$  s. This is another evidence of the connection between the  $\beta$ -relaxation and the  $\alpha$ -relaxation, characteristic of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation.

There is yet another remarkable feature of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of DEP in the mixture that is worth pointing out by comparing its relaxation time  $\nu_{\beta}(P_g)$  at the vitrification pressure  $P_g$  with  $\nu_{\beta}(T_g)$  at the vitrification temperature  $T_g$  of the DEP component. Both  $P_g$  and  $T_g$  are defined by the same  $\alpha$ -relaxation frequency, i.e.,  $\nu_{\alpha}(P_g) = \nu_{\alpha}(T_g)$ , and both correspond to  $\alpha$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha} = 100$  s as indicated by the horizontal dotted lines near the bottom in both panels of Fig. 174. Guided by the vertical arrows, it can be seen by inspection that  $\nu_{\beta}(P_g)$  is nearly the same as  $\nu_{\beta}(T_g)$ . Therefore, we have invariance of  $\nu_{\beta}$  to combinations of P and T while maintaining  $\nu_{\alpha}$  constant, another remarkable characteristic of JG  $\beta$ -relaxation.



**Fig. 174** (*Left*) Relaxation map of DEP in 10 wt% DEP mixture with PS800 as a function of reciprocal temperature at ambient pressure. (*Right*) As a function of pressure at constant T = 282.9 K. The *lower horizontal dotted lines* indicate  $\alpha$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha} = 100$  s. The *vertical arrows* indicate the location of either the vitrification temperature  $T_g$  or pressure  $P_g$  where  $\tau_{\alpha} = 100$  s. The *upper horizontal lines* in both panels correspond to the same frequency. The constructions show that  $v_{\beta}(P_g)$  is nearly the same as  $v_{\beta}(T_g)$ . Reproduced from [1125] by permission

Measurements similar to those described for DEP and 10 wt% of DEP in PS800 have been performed for DBP, DiBP, and DOP in their 10 wt% mixtures with OTP and PS800. The results obtained are similar to DEP in resolving the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of these phthalates in the mixtures [1125], supporting that results are general for the phthalates, which will not be presented here.

### Dimethyl Phthalate (DMP)

Among the phthalates, DMP has the shortest alkyl (methyl) group connected by the oxygen bond and has the highest  $T_g = 193$  K. On mixing with OTP to form the 5% DMP/95% OTP mixture, the  $\beta$ -relaxation shows up in the loss data at ambient pressure (see left panel of upper level of Fig. 175). The relaxation maps of pure DMP and component DMP in the mixture are present in the right panel of Fig. 175. Dielectric measurements at elevated pressure have been made on a mixture of 90% DMP with PS2460, polystyrene with molecular mass of 2460 Dalton (lower level of Fig. 175). The  $\beta$ -relaxation is resolved in this DMP-rich mixture, and it shifts to



Fig. 175 Upper level (left) Isothermal loss spectra of DMP in 5% DMP/95% OTP mixture at ambient pressure. The slower  $\beta$ -relaxation is resolved at lower temperatures. (*Right*) Relaxation map of neat DMP and the DMP component in 5% DMP/95% OTP mixture. Only one secondary relaxation of neat DMP has been resolved at all temperatures. Lower level (left) Dielectric loss spectra of DMP at constant T = 220.2 K and various pressures in 90% DMP/10% PS2460, a mixture rich in DMP. PS2460 is polystyrene with molecular mass of 2460 Da. From left to right, the solid lines are data taken at P = 0.5, 0.62, 0.75, 112.5, 150, 190, and 300 MPa. The  $\beta$ -relaxation is resolved when P = 300 MPa (bottom solid line). (Right) Loss spectra of pure DMP at constant T = 211.5 K and various pressures. From right to left, the solid lines are data taken at P = 60, 85, 115, 150, 249.4, and 348.4 MPa. The  $\beta$ -relaxation is barely resolved at the highest pressure. Courtesy of M. Shahin Thayyil and S. Capaccioli

lower frequencies on increasing pressure. It is barely resolved in pure DMP at high pressure.

# Benzophenone (BZP)

The dielectric loss data of BZP obtained over a wide range of temperatures and 12 decades of frequencies by Pardo et al. [1120, 1121] are reproduced in the left panel of Fig. 176. Within the wide ranges of temperature and frequency covered, only one secondary  $\gamma$ -relaxation is found together with the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. The  $\alpha$ -loss peak is narrow and near  $T_g$  it is well fitted by the Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function with exponent (1 - n) = 0.70. Here  $T_g$  is defined as the temperature at



**Fig. 176** (*Left*) Frequency-dependent dielectric loss of BZP for various temperatures. The *solid lines* are fits with the sum of a Cole–Davidson function and a CC function. The *dashed lines* show the CC part of the fits accounting for the observed secondary γ-relaxation. From Pardo et al. [1120, 1121]. (*Right*) Fitting the α-loss peak by the Kohlrausch function yields n = 0.30 near  $T_g$  defined as the temperature at which  $\tau_\alpha(T_g) = 10^2$  s. The relaxation time  $\tau_\gamma$  of the observed γ-relaxation at  $T_g$  is about  $1.4 \times 10^{-6}$  s. The *large filled circle* placed just outside the *y*-axis of the figure is the point with coordinates given by  $(n = 0.30, \log \tau_\gamma = -5.8)$ . The *arrow* helps the reader to find it. This point falls way outside the relation between log  $\tau_{JG}(T_g)$  and *n* established for the JG β-relaxation of many glassformers including those shown. From this alone, it is clear that the observed secondary γ-relaxation by Pardo et al. is not the JG β-relaxation of BZP. Reproduced from [1121] by permission

which  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_g) = 10^2$  s. The relaxation time  $\tau_{\gamma}$  of the observed secondary relaxation at  $T_g$  is about  $1.4 \times 10^{-6}$  s. In the right panel of Fig. 176, the data from BZP are represented by the large filled circle with coordinates (n = 0.30, log  $\tau_{\gamma} = -5.8$ ) in a plot of the logarithm of the secondary relaxation time against n. It falls way outside the empirical correlation between log  $\tau_{JG}(T_g)$  and n established by the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of many glassformers including those shown in Fig. 105. The line is the relation between the primitive relaxation time  $\tau_0$  and n. There is large deviation of  $\tau_{\gamma}(T_g)$  and n of BZP from either that expected from the empirical correlation of  $\tau_{JG}(T_g)$  with n or  $\tau_0$  with n. This indicates that the observed secondary  $\gamma$ -relaxation by Pardo et al. is not the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of BZP.

To find the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of BZP, it is dissolved in PS800 at 0.123 mole fraction. The right panel of Fig. 177 shows the spectra of BZP in the mixture at two temperatures in the glassy state. A new  $\beta$ -relaxation appear on the low-frequency flank of the faster  $\gamma$ -relaxation. The relaxation map in the left panel of Fig. 177 shows the new  $\beta$ -relaxation located in between the  $\alpha$ - and the  $\gamma$ -relaxation, which has larger activation energy than the  $\gamma$ -relaxation. It is likely the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of BZP. The relaxation times  $\tau_{\gamma}$  of BZP in the mixture of 0.123 mole fraction of BZP in PS800 are within experimental errors not too different from that of neat BZP determined by Pardo et al. [1120, 1121] and by Walker and coworkers [1126].

#### The Plastic Crystals

Some liquids, usually consisting of globular or spherically symmetric molecules, can be vitrified on cooling to form plastic crystals, which are translationally ordered



**Fig. 177** (*Right*) The loss spectra of BZP in the mixture with PS800 show the presence of a slower secondary relaxation on the low-frequency flank of the  $\gamma$ -relaxation seen before in pure BZP. (*Left*) Relaxation map of the  $\alpha$ - and  $\gamma$ -relaxations of neat BZP, and of the  $\alpha$ -,  $\beta$ -, and  $\gamma$ -relaxations of BZP in the mixture with PS800. Courtesy of M. Shahin Thayyil and S. Capaccioli

on a lattice but are orientationally disordered. On cooling, the orientational motion slows down. When cooled past some temperature  $T_g$  the orientational relaxation time becomes too long for the structure to reach equilibrium, resulting in a orientational glassy state. Despite lacking translational degree of freedom, the dynamics of reorientation of molecules on lattice sites of plastic crystals resembles in many ways ordinary glass-forming liquids possessing both rotational and translational degrees of freedom. These include the presence of the primary  $\alpha$ -relaxation and the secondary relaxation with typical behavior, and signature of vitrification exemplified by thermodynamic properties on crossing  $T_{g}$ , all with properties similar to ordinary glassformers [144, 145, 681, 947, 1016, 1048, 1127-1129]. The orientational relaxation dynamics at high temperature and short relaxation times from molecular dynamics simulations and neutron scattering performed on difluorotetrachloroethane ( $CFCl_2$ – $CFCl_2$ ) plastic crystal is like that found in ordinary small molecular glassformers [326]. The simulation used the Buckingham potential  $\phi(r) = A \exp(-\rho \cdot r) - C/r^6$  for interaction between molecules. The similar properties include the self-angular correlation functions  $C_l$  decaying all the way to zero exponentially at high temperatures, a crossover from exponential to stretched exponential at  $t_c$  of about 0.6 ps is seen at some intermediate temperatures. At sufficiently lower temperatures,  $C_l$  changes from exponential decay to a plateau-like decay before the decay to zero at long time, which is well fitted by a stretched exponential.

If the JG relaxation is universal, it should be present in plastic crystals and should have similar properties and relations to the  $\alpha$ -relaxation as regular glassformers. An earlier broadband dielectric relaxation study by Brand et al. [145] have identified and found the JG relaxation in five plastic crystals, adamantanone, ethanol, cyclo-hexanol, cyclo-octanol, and pentachloronitrobenzene (see Fig. 106). In some of these plastic crystals, more than one secondary relaxation is present. One of the criteria Brand et al. and Bauer et al. [145] used to identify which one is the true

JG relaxation is the good correspondence between its observed relaxation time  $\tau_{IG}$ and the calculated primitive relaxation time  $\tau_0$  of the coupling model (CM), i.e.,  $\tau_{\rm IG} \approx \tau_0$ . Brand et al. found this relation is satisfied by the relaxation time of the slower of the two secondary relaxations. This, together with the fact that the JG relaxation is usually the slowest of all secondary relaxations serves to identify JG relaxation of the plastic crystals. For cyclohexanol, there is support of the dielectric identification of JG relaxation from measurement by adiabatic calorimetry [1048]. Calorimetry found the relaxation time of the slowest secondary relaxation as  $10^3$ s at 116 K. This together with the dielectric relaxation time at about  $10^{-2}$  s at 150 K gives an activation enthalpy of about 40 kJ/mol (see Fig. 108a and b). More recent dielectric relaxation study by Murthy and coworkers [681, 1129] of pure plastic crystals and supercooled orientationally disordered binary solid solutions have revived the interest of identifying the JG relaxation in this type of glassformers. In all three different solid solutions of cyclohexanol (with neopentylglycol (CHXOL-NPGOL) [681(a)], cyclohexanol-cycloheptanol (CHXOL-CHPOL), and neopentanol-neopentylglycol (NPOL-NPGOL) [681(b)] they found two sub-Tg processes,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ . The slower  $\beta$ -process has relaxation time in good agreement with the primitive relaxation time of the CM, and this led Murthy and coworkers to identify it with the JG  $\beta$ -process. These results are not so surprising because in the pure state one of the components already shows the presence of the JG β-process.

On the other hand, JG β-relaxation has not been resolved and found in pure plastic crystals, isocyanocyclohexane (ICNCH), cyanocyclohexane (CNCH), and 1-cyanoadamantane (CNADM). These polar plastic crystals all have the main dipole moment situated in their side group  $-C \equiv N$  or  $-N \equiv C$ . As shown by Singh and Murthy [1129], isothermal and isochronal spectra of these plastic crystals can resolve only one secondary relaxation (let us call it the  $\gamma$ -relaxation from now on) accompanying the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. For ICNCH, the dielectric loss spectra presented in the left panel of Fig. 178 show no trace of a slower secondary relaxation in between the  $\alpha$ - and the  $\gamma$ -relaxation. The  $\alpha$ -loss peak is rather narrow, and the fit by the Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function yields coupling parameter n = 0.30. The relaxation time of this resolved  $\gamma$ -relaxation is much shorter than the primitive relaxation time calculated by Eq. (2.68) with this value of *n*, and therefore it is likely not the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. The relaxation frequencies  $f_{\alpha}$  and  $f_{\gamma}$  of the  $\alpha$ and the y-relaxation of pure ICNCH are plotted as functions of reciprocal temperature and shown by open squares in Fig. 179, left panel. Although in [1129], Singh and Murthy have mistakenly identified it as the universal JG  $\beta$ -relaxation, they no longer hold this belief as professed in papers published afterward [681(a), (b)]. Other than this unimportant mistake, Singh and Murthy made important contribution by their dielectric and calorimetric measurements of these three polar molecules dispersed in less mobile and effectively non-polar ortho-terphenyl (OTP). A new secondary relaxation appears in the mixtures and it is the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of ICNCH, CNCH, and CNADM ( $C_{10}H_{15}CN$ ), which has not been seen before. The results are presented below.



**Fig. 178** (*Left*) Double logarithmic plot of  $\varepsilon''$  vs. frequency at different temperatures showing the  $\alpha$ - and the  $\gamma$ -relaxation of pure ICNCH. (*Right*) Arrhenius plot of the  $\alpha$ - and the  $\beta$ -loss peak frequencies of the polar solutes, ICNCH, CNCH, CNADM, and CHC, in the solvent OTP. Also shown are the approximate low  $f_{\alpha}$  values of the solutes in the mixtures corresponding to the enthalpy relaxation at  $T_g$  estimated from the DSC curves (for a heating rate of 10° min<sup>-1</sup>). The *dashed lines* along the  $\alpha$ -process are guide to the eye and the *straight lines* along the  $\beta$ -process is the Arrhenius fit. CHC has not been discussed in the text. Data from [1129] are replotted here in both figures



**Fig. 179** (*Left*) Arrhenius plot of the logarithm of the α- and the γ-loss peak frequencies of pure ICNCH (shown by *open squares*), and the logarithm of the α- and the β-loss peak frequencies of ICNCH in the mixture of 20 wt% of ICNCH in OTP (shown by *filled circles*). Also shown is the approximate low  $f_{\alpha}$  value of ICNCH in the mixture corresponding to the enthalpy relaxation at  $T_{\rm g}$  estimated from the DSC curve (for a heating rate of 10° min<sup>-1</sup>). The *vertical arrow* indicates the large separation between  $f_{\alpha}$  and  $f_{\beta}$  at the DSC  $T_{\rm g} = 237$  K of ICNCH in the mixture. The three *open diamonds* are the primitive frequencies  $f_0$  of pure ICNCH calculated from  $f_{\alpha}$  (*open circles*) with n = 0.30. (*Right*) Isothermal β-loss peak at several temperatures. The two *arrows* indicate the same loss peak frequency  $f_{\beta}$  at 120.5 K in the *left and right panels*. Data from [1129] are replotted together with additional illustrations

# *Isocyanocyclohexane (ICNCH), Cyanocyclohexane (CNCH), 1-Cyanoadamantane (CNADM)*

We have already mentioned that the dielectric loss data of pure ICNCH show the presence of only the fast  $\gamma$ -relaxation (left panel of Fig. 178), which is not JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. The spectra show no evidence of the presence of a slower JG  $\beta$ relaxation. This is unsurprising in view of the small value of n = 0.30 for ICNCH. Like BZP, which has the same small n value, the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation is too close to the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and hence difficult to be resolved. Nevertheless, work has to done in order to show the universal presence of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. The presence of OTP in the mixture should enhance intermolecular coupling of ICNCH and increase its coupling parameter n. This has the beneficial effect of separating the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation further away from the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and a better chance of having it resolved. This scenario is in fact realized in 20 wt% of ICNCH in OTP. The right panel of Fig. 179 shows a new  $\beta$ -relaxation slower than the  $\gamma$ -relaxation appearing in the loss spectra of the polar ICNCH component in the mixture of 20 wt% of ICNCH in OTP. The relaxation frequencies  $f_{\alpha}$  and  $f_{\beta}$  of the  $\alpha$ - and the  $\beta$ -relaxation of ICNCH dissolved in OTP are shown as functions of reciprocal temperature by filled circles in the left panel of Fig. 179. They are also shown in the right panel of Fig. 178 together with similar data of CNCH and CNADM dissolved in OTP to be discussed later. There, all data were obtained from dielectric measurements except for one data point of  $f_{\alpha}$  with the lowest value. It was obtained by calorimetric measurement of the mixture, which gives  $T_g = 237$  K for the ICNCH component in the mixture [1129]. The isochronal dielectric loss data obtained at 1 kHz (see Fig. 180, left panel) also reveal the presence of the slower  $\beta$ -relaxation of ICNCH dissolved in OTP, as well as the slower  $\beta$ -relaxations of CNCH and CNADM dissolved in OTP. The isochronal loss data of pure OTP are also shown to prove the point that OTP essentially contributes nothing to the observed dielectric relaxation spectra.



**Fig. 180** (*Left*) Isochronal loss data of the solutes, ICNCH, CNCH, CNADM, and CHC, dissolved in OTP, as well as that of pure OTP. (*Right*) Isothermal  $\beta$ -loss spectra of 7% CNADM dissolved in OTP. The *vertical dashed line* indicates the loss peak frequency  $f_{\beta}$  at 170.9 K is slightly larger than 1 kHz. The two *arrows* indicate the isochronal  $\beta$ -loss peak temperature in the *left panel* is nearly the same as 170.9 K. Data from [1129] are replotted together with additional illustrations

When dissolved in OTP,  $f_{\alpha}$  of ICNCH are shifted to higher temperatures, have steeper reciprocal temperature dependence, and hence large fragility index *m*. These changes of  $f_{\alpha}$  are expected from the increase in intermolecular coupling of the guest ICNCH molecules in less mobile OTP host. The vertical arrow and its sizeable length are used to show that  $f_{\alpha}$  and  $f_{\beta}$  are now well separated in the mixture, and hence the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation can be resolved.

In the left panel of Fig. 179, the three open diamonds are the primitive frequencies  $f_0$  of pure ICNCH calculated from the  $\alpha$ -relaxation frequency  $f_\alpha$  (open circles) at the same temperatures with n = 0.30 obtained from the fit of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak of pure ICNCH by the Kohlrausch function. These values of  $f_0$  of pure ICNCH are nearly the same as the relaxation frequencies  $f_\beta$  of the directly observed JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of ICNCH dissolved in OTP (filled circles). This is perhaps no accident because in many cases, the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation frequency of a glassformer is not changed much when mixed with another glassformer.

There is yet a faster relaxation of ICNCH in the mixture besides the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. It appears as a weak shoulder of  $\varepsilon''$  in the isochronal spectrum at 1 kHz starting at about 100 K and extended to lower temperatures, as shown in the left panel of Fig. 180. The location in temperature of this shoulder of  $\varepsilon''(f = 1 \text{ kHz})$  for ICNCH in the mixture is close to that of the isochronal  $\gamma$ -loss peak found in  $\varepsilon''(f = 1 \text{ kHz})$  for pure ICNCH (see Fig. 1b in [1129]). The weaker intensity of the former compared with the latter is understandable because there is only 20 wt% of ICNCH in the mixture. This observation means that the faster  $\gamma$ -relaxation of pure ICNCH survives in the mixture with OTP, and its relaxation time is practically unchanged. Although not shown in the relaxation map (Fig. 179, left panel), it coexists with the slower JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of ICNCH in the mixture.

We have given in the previous paragraphs detailed discussion, analysis, and interpretation of the data of pure ICNCH and ICNCH dissolved in OTP. The plastic crystal CNCH is closely related to ICNCH in molecular structure, and one might expect similar behavior in relaxation dynamics. In fact this is the case for both pure CNCH and CNCH dissolved in OTP (see Fig. 178, right panel, and Fig. 180 left panel). The data of CNCH are isomorphic to that of ICNCH, and all discussions of ICNCH in the previous subsection apply to CNCH here. The isochronal spectrum of CNCH in mixture with OTP at 1 kHz exhibits a shoulder starting at below 100 K (see Fig. 7 in [1129]). Just like ICNCH, this indicates that the faster and resolved secondary relaxation of pure CNCH is still present when mixed with OTP, and it has about the same frequency.

The results of CNADM from the study of Singh and Murthy are also similar to ICNCH and CNCH. The resolved JG  $\beta$ -relaxations of 7% CNADM dissolved in OTP are shown by isothermal and isochronal data in the right and left panels of Fig. 180. The two arrows indicate good agreement of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation frequency at 170.9 K and its temperature at 1 kHz.

#### Breakdown of Correlation Between Kinetic Fragility and Thermodynamic Fragility

Singh and Murthy calculated the thermodynamic fragility index  $m_{th}$  of pure CNCH, ICNCH, and CNADM from their own DSC data using the formula given by Wang

and Angell [573]. They found  $m_{\text{th}} = 49.5$ , 40.1, and 20.7 for CNCH, ICNCH, and CNADM, respectively. When compared with the kinetic fragility index *m* of CNCH, ICNCH, and CNADM, the trend in kinetic fragility index is not the same as that of the thermodynamic fragility index, and the trend is even reversed. Here is another example of the thermodynamic fragility not correlating with the kinetic fragility. This is no surprise because not only thermodynamics but also many-body relaxation dynamics determined  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and hence the kinetic fragility index *m*.

# Ethanol

Ethanol can be prepared in either a supercooled liquid or a plastic phase as shown first in the heat capacity measurements [1130]. Dielectric measurements in structurally disordered ethanol [621, 1131–1133] were compared to that of the plastic crystalline phase of ethanol by several groups [145, 612, 1134, 1135]. Brand et al. [145] obtained spectra of ethanol in its supercooled plastic phase. At higher temperatures the  $\alpha$ -relaxation is significantly slower in the plastic phase than the supercooled liquid, but approaches values close to each other at lower temperatures to show identical glass temperatures of 99 K for both disordered phases. Since the *T*-variation of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is weaker in the plastic crystalline state than the supercooled liquid, the fragility index *m* of the former is smaller than the latter. However, the  $\alpha$ -peak is significantly broader for the plastic crystalline state than the supercooled liquid at lower temperatures near  $T_g$ , as shown in Fig. 181 at 96 K where the loss peaks of the two phases having the same peak frequency,  $f_{\alpha}$ , are fitted by the Cole–Davidson functions.



Fig. 181 Comparison of the dielectric loss spectra of structurally (*open symbols*) and orientationally (*closed symbols*) disordered ethanol. The *solid lines* are fits with the sum of a Cole–Davidson (for  $\alpha$ -relaxation) and a Cole–Cole (for  $\beta$ -relaxation) function. For 96 K, the two functions used in the fit are shown by *dashed and dotted lines*. The *arrows* indicate the primitive frequencies calculated for the two cases using their Kohlrausch exponents (fits not shown). Data from [145] are replotted

Hence, the correlation between fragility index *m* and non-exponentiality index *n* breaks down even for the same compound but in different phases, indicating once more that fragility is dependent on both thermodynamics and many-body relaxation. Figure 181 also shows the JG  $\beta$ -relaxations of the two phases obtained by Brand et al. by additive superposition of the Cole–Davidson fit to the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and a Cole–Cole function for the JG relaxation with peak frequency  $f_{\rm IG}$ . Also shown is the primitive frequency  $f_0$  calculated by Eq. (2.68) with the *n* values obtained from the Kohlrausch fits to the  $\alpha$ -loss peaks (not shown). By inspection of the locations of  $f_{\rm IG}$  or  $f_0$  in Fig. 181, it can be seen that the correlation of  $\log(f_{\rm IG}/f_{\alpha})$  with *n*, or  $\log(f_0/f_{\alpha})$  with *n*, continues to hold. This is because the relation between  $f_{\rm IG}$  and  $f_{\alpha}$  is determined solely by many-body relaxation exemplified by *n*.

# 2.3.2.17 Change of *T*-Dependence of Relaxation Strength $\Delta \varepsilon_{JG}$ on Crossing $T_g$

The  $\beta$ -relaxations in 12.1 and 16.6 mol% chlorobenzene–decalin mixture [945, 971, 1136], 71%/29% mixture of pyridine and toluene [1138], polybutadiene, toluene [971], 5-methyl-2-hexanol [1137], and D-sorbitol [1031, 1139] are all of the JG kind. The relaxation strength,  $\Delta \varepsilon_{JG}$ , of the JG relaxation in all these glassformers is found to change on heating through the glass transition temperature in a similar manner as the changes observed in the enthalpy *H*, entropy *S*, and volume *V*. Results from studies on 16.6 mol% chlorobenzene–decalin mixture and D-sorbitol are shown in Fig. 182, and polybutadiene and toluene in Fig. 183 (left panel). The derivative of  $\Delta \varepsilon_{JG}$  with respect to temperature,  $d\Delta \varepsilon_{JG}/dT$ , is positive and increases from relatively low values below  $T_g$  to higher values above  $T_g$ . This is the same behavior observed for the specific heat  $C_p$  and the thermal expansion coefficient,



**Fig. 182** Plot of  $\Delta \varepsilon_{IG}$  against *T* of 16.6 mol% chlorobenzene–decalin mixture. Note the change in slope when crossing  $T_g$ . The decrease in the slope in the 115–125 K range is due to spontaneous structural relaxation in the glassy state. *Inset* is a schematic plot of *H*, *S*, and *V* of a glass heated through its  $T_g$ . Data from [1136] are digitized and replotted. Similar result for D-sorbitol [1139] is not shown here



**Fig. 183** (*Left*) The relative relaxation strength of the JG  $\beta$ -process plotted against  $T_g/T$ : 12.1% chlorobenzene in *cis*-decalin, as measured by Johari (CB/DEC, *full squares*) together with polybutadiene (PB, *open triangles*), and toluene (*open diamonds*). Shown also is relative relaxation strength of the resolved secondary relaxation of *m*-fluoroaniline (FAN, *full circles*), which originates from the hydrogen bondings and is not the JG  $\beta$ -process. *Solid lines* are drawn to guide the eye to note the strong increase above  $T_g$ . Reproduced from [971] by permission. (*Right*) Dielectric strengths  $\Delta \varepsilon_{IG}$  and  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\alpha}$  of the mixture of 16 wt% *tert*-butylpyridine (TBP) in tri-styrene. *Closed symbols* are for  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\alpha}$  of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation (*right axis*) and open symbols for  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\alpha}$  of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation (*left axis*). *Dotted lines* are linear fits of  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\alpha}$  below and above  $T_g$ . Dashed vertical line indicates T = 211.5 K [where  $\log(\tau_{\alpha}/s) = 3$ ] and is near the temperature at which occurs the crossover of temperature dependence of  $\Delta \varepsilon_{IG}$  with an elbow shape. Reproduced from [1093] by permission

which are proportional to the derivatives dH/dT and dV/dT, respectively. The rotation angle and/or translational displacement for the motions underlying the JG relaxation, and hence  $\Delta \varepsilon_{JG}$ , likely depends on the specific volume and the entropy. Thus, it is expected that the rate of change of  $\Delta \varepsilon_{JG}$  with temperature should be similar to that of these thermodynamic quantities. For the same reason, the angle of rotation or  $\Delta \varepsilon_{JG}$  is expected to depend on the density, entropy, and thermal history of the glass, a denser glass having a smaller  $\Delta \varepsilon_{JG}$  as found by experiments. These general properties of  $\Delta \varepsilon_{JG}$  indicate once more a connection between the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation and the  $\alpha$ -relaxation.

The same behavior of  $\Delta \varepsilon_{JG}$  is found for the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of the more mobile component of binary mixtures of van der Waals liquids. The case of 16.6 mol% chlorobenzene–decalin mixture is already an example. It is found in the other mixtures amply discussed on other properties of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation such as quinaldine, picoline, and *tert*-butylpyridine in tri-styrene. The example from measurements on the mixture of 16 wt% *tert*-butylpyridine (TBP) in tri-styrene taken from [1093] is presented in Fig. 183 (right panel).

Water is one component of many aqueous solutions of hydrophilic glassformers. The dielectric strength of the secondary relaxation of water in aqueous mixtures also shows change of *T*-dependence on crossing  $T_g$  of the mixture. The data from studies on mixtures of 35 wt% of water with oligomers of ethylene glycol, 3EG, 5EG, and PEG600, and mixtures of water with fructose with wt% of fructose ranging from 70.0 to 94.6 are shown in Figs. 184 and 185, respectively.



**Fig. 184** Dielectric strengths  $\Delta \varepsilon_{IG}$  and  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\alpha}$  of the mixture of 35 wt% of water with 3EG, 5EG, and PEG600. *Full symbols* are for  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\alpha}$  of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and *open symbols* for  $\Delta \varepsilon_{IG}$  of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of water in the mixtures. *Full lines* are linear fits of  $\Delta \varepsilon_{IG}$  below and above  $T_g$ . Each *dashed vertical line* indicates the temperature  $T_g$  near which the elbow-shape crossover of temperature dependence of  $\Delta \varepsilon_{IG}$  occurs. Here  $T_g$  is defined as the temperature at which  $\log[\tau_{\alpha}(T_g)/s] = 3$ . Reproduced from [1093] by permission



It should be noted that similar changes are observed also for some secondary relaxations that are not JG  $\beta$ -relaxations. The secondary relaxation of *m*-fluoroaniline shown in Fig. 183 (left panel) is not the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation as demonstrated in [671], and yet its dielectric strength changes its *T*-dependence on crossing  $T_g$ . Other examples include the  $\gamma$ -relaxation in the epoxies, DGEBA and PPGE [242, 842], and the  $\gamma$ -relaxation in tetramethyl BPA-polycarbonate (TMPC) and 50% TMPC/50% PS blends [1141]. These secondary  $\gamma$ -relaxations are also sensitive to the change in the dependence of density on temperature when crossing  $T_g$ . For

DGEBA, PPGE, and *m*-fluoroaniline; this may be due to the encroachment of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation toward the  $\gamma$ -relaxation with increasing temperature past  $T_g$  discussed before for DGEBA and PPGE (see Figs. 123 and 124), and for *m*-fluoroaniline it can be seen in Fig. 1 of [671] from the locations of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation suggested by the calculated primitive frequencies. The hybridization of the  $\gamma$ -relaxation with the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation may transfer to the former the property of the latter, which is the change of *T*-dependence of relaxation strength  $\Delta \varepsilon_{JG}$  on crossing  $T_g$ .

In line with the discussion of the relaxation strength of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in this section, worth mentioning is the experimental observation that it also determines the non-linear mechanical response of polymer glasses [938(b)]. The relaxation strengths of JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of PMMA and PEMA are much larger than BPA-polycarbonate (PC) and polysulfone (PSF), and the former group of glassy polymers exhibits larger deviations from neo-Hookean behavior than the latter group. The larger relaxation strength of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of PMMA and PEMA and PEMA originates from motion of the side group together with rotation of the main chain. In contrast, the PC and PSF have no side groups, and their JG  $\beta$ -relaxations only involve motion of the backbone.

# 2.3.2.18 Correlation of JG β-Relaxation with α-Relaxation: Evidence from Spin-Lattice Relaxation Weighted Stimulated-Echo Spectroscopy

Böhmer and coworkers [998, 999] using spin-lattice relaxation weighted stimulatedecho spectroscopy found evidence for a correlation of the  $\alpha$ - and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation times above the calorimetric glass transition temperature of *ortho*-terphenyl, Dsorbitol, and cresolphthalein-dimethylether (CDE or KDE when kresol in German is replaced by cresol in English). The methodology was justified by Geil et al. in [1142]. It was found that the  $\alpha$ -relaxation can be modified by suppressing the contributions of those subensembles characterized by relatively slow secondary relaxation, which are the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in these glassformers. For CDE, its JG  $\beta$ -relaxation is located at the excess wing seen by dielectric spectroscopy. An earlier deuteron NMR experiment also gave indication of a possible correlation of the  $\alpha$ - and JG  $\beta$ -relaxations of polystyrene [226(b)].

The results of spin-lattice relaxation weighted stimulated-echo spectroscopy are important additional evidence for the existence of correlation of the  $\alpha$ - and JG  $\beta$ -relaxations. Nevertheless, it was pointed out by Nowaczyk et al. [999] that the experiment only demonstrates the existence of the correlations but does not address the question of whether one process triggers the other. On the other hand, according to the coupling model (CM), the primitive relaxation or the faster JG  $\beta$ -relaxation is the precursor that "triggers" the  $\alpha$ -relaxation.

Suppressing or delaying the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation or the primitive relaxation will certainly affect the  $\alpha$ -relaxation, by retarding it further. JG  $\beta$ -relaxation at the interface of ultrathin film of PMMA supported on silica is suppressed by hydrogen bonding of the ester group on the side chain of PMMA and the hydroxyl groups on the silica surface [1143]. The suppression of JG relaxation of PMMA results in increase of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation time and the corresponding increase of  $T_g$  was observed. A detailed description of this effect is given in Section 2.3.2.21.

# 2.3.2.19 JG $\beta$ -Relaxation in the Glassy State, like the $\alpha$ -Relaxation, Is Sensitive to Thermodynamic (*T*,*P*) Path, Thermal History, and Annealing

Sensitivity to (T,P) Path

Glasses with different density and entropy can be obtained from the same glassformer by different thermodynamic paths involving pressure and temperature. Starting at some temperature  $T_i$  above  $T_g$  at ambient pressure, one path (A) is first elevating the pressure to  $P_{\rm f}$  till the liquid is transformed to a glass and then cooling the glass down to a final temperature  $T_{\rm f}$ . A different path (B) is to cool the liquid under ambient pressure from  $T_i$  to  $T_f$  at which the liquid is transformed to a glass, and then elevate the pressure up to  $P_{\rm f}$ . Thus, the initial and final temperatures and pressures are the same, but the two glasses have different densities and entropies. Glass formed by path A is denser than that by path B because pressure has a larger effect on the liquid than the glass. The spectra of the resolved secondary relaxation measured for the two paths are shown in Fig. 186 for four glasses, poly(phenylglycidylether) (PPGE), PDE, BMPC, and PPG400. Only in the case of PPGE, the secondary relaxation is the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. The interesting observation on poly(phenylglycidylether) (PPGE) [1042] is that the JG relaxation frequency  $v_{IG}$ in Hz is lower by a factor of about 5, and the dielectric strength of the JG relaxation is *decreased* by about 10% in the denser glass obtained by path A than by



**Fig. 186** Loss spectra of the secondary relaxation measured after vitrification along path A (*triangles*) and B (*squares*) for (**a**) PPGE, (**b**) PDE, (**c**) BMPC, and (**d**) PPG400. The spectra measured after vitrification along path A are vertically shifted by shift factor (SF) to obtain the same value of maxima loss of those measured after vitrification along path B. Reproduced from [1042] by permission

path B. The resolved non-JG secondary relaxations in phenolphthalein (PDE) show dependence of its relaxation time on the thermodynamic path, but the change is significantly smaller than the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in PPGE [1146] (see Fig. 185b). The non-JG secondary relaxation in BMPC and PPG400 is the same independent of the path (see Fig. 185c and d)

A similar observation as PPGE is made on the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of *bis*-5hydroxypentylphthalate (BHPP) [1144]. The observed change of  $\tau_{JG}$  and strength on varying the (*T*,*P*) path to vitrification indicates that the JG relaxation depends sensitively on the structure of the final glassy state, just like  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , although the latter is usually inaccessible deep in the glassy state by experiment.

Another example is cooling the polymer 1,2-polybutadiene (1,2-PBD) at high pressure of 350 MPa, followed by release of the pressure below  $T_g$ , which produces a polymer glass expected to be denser than that obtained by cooling under ambient pressure to the same temperature of 242.8 K. The  $\nu_{JG}$  ( $\tau_{JG}$ ) of the pressure-densified 1,2-PBD glass is lower (longer) by about a factor of 3. However, the dielectric strength of the JG relaxation is *increased* by about 10% [1145(a)], in opposite direction to that found in PPGE. In diisobutyl phthalate (DiBP) the  $\gamma$ -process is almost totally pressure independent and is not the JG process, but it reveals a nonnegligible dependence on the thermodynamic path [1147]. Hence, some non-JG secondary relaxations can have some property similar to JG processes, but not all the properties.

The technique of applying high pressure on cooling to vitrify a glass can be used to suppress the mobility of the JG relaxation in amorphous pharmaceuticals and biopharmaceuticals. This has the beneficial effect of stabilizing the substances against crystallization or degradation, as discussed later.

## Sensitivity to Thermal History and Annealing

Much earlier Johari had already noted that the JG relaxation in the glass was affected by thermal history and cooling rate used to vitrify the liquid [1148]. The JG dielectric strength  $\Delta \varepsilon_{JG}$  generally is less in denser glasses obtained by slow cooling or by aging. The earliest example is annealing of a rigid molecular toluene–pyridine mixture ( $T_g = 127$  K) at temperatures in the range 112–123.4 K [1148], followed by similar studies on poly(propylene oxide) and poly(carbonate) [1149], and PMMA [1150]. In all these studies, the most probable  $\tau_{JG}$  is either unchanged or changed very slightly. The decreases of relaxation strength vary from about 6 to 10% and are comparable to those found in the different (T,P) paths of PPGE discussed above. However, for PPGE, large increase of  $\tau_{JG}$  by more rapid quenching was observed [1151(a)], as shown in Fig. 187.

There are more recent studies of the change of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of quenched glasses with time on annealing at temperature below  $T_g$ . One study on D-sorbitol glass by Power et al. [1152] is by dielectric relaxation measurements in real time during annealing at 221.1 K, which is 47 K below its  $T_g$  of 268 K. As the glass structurally relaxes during annealing up to 16 ks, the relaxation strength  $\Delta \varepsilon_{JG}$  decreases from 4.1 to 3.6, the relaxation peak initially at 48 Hz shifts to a higher frequency



up to 70 Hz (corresponding to a decrease in  $\tau_{IG}$ ), and the relaxation spectra become narrower as indicated by the increase from 0.252 to 0.254 of the exponent  $\alpha_{IG}$  of the Cole-Cole function that measures the breadth of the JG relaxation. Although the changes are systematic, they are small and hence interpretation can be tricky. Nevertheless, Power et al. proposed that shift of the relaxation peak to a higher frequency and narrowing of the relaxation spectra occur when local, loosely packed regions of molecules in the glass structure collapse non-uniformly and the relaxation time of some of the molecules in the collapsed state becomes too long to contribute to the JG-relaxation spectra. Consequently, the half-width of the spectra decreases, and the relaxation peak shifts to a higher frequency. Similar to sorbitol, slight decrease of dielectric strength and 12% decrease in  $\tau_{JG}$  were also found after aging 1,2-PBD ( $T_g = 272.5$  K) for 163 hours at 240.9 K by Casalini and Roland [1145(a)]. It is worth pointing out that on annealing glasses, the decrease in  $\tau_{IG}$  of sorbitol and 1,2-PBD is opposite to the increase in  $\tau_{JG}$  of PMMA ( $T_g = 383$  K) at 247 K. Thus, although the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation is sensitive to thermal history and annealing, the changes of a denser glass depend on the glassformer. Another example is the aforementioned *increase* of the  $\Delta \varepsilon_{IG}$  of the denser 1,2-PBD glass obtained by the pressure-densification path compared to the glass vitrified at atmospheric pressure by cooling [1145(a)]. Moura Ramos and coworkers also found the slowest secondary relaxation of 4,4'-methylene bis(N,N-diglycidylaniline) and of ethyl cellulose changes significantly with aging [1151(b), (c)], and identified them as the JG β-relaxation.

## Detection of JG $\beta$ -Relaxation by Rapid and Deep Quenching

## OTP

From the above, the fact that rapidly quenched glass has larger  $\Delta \varepsilon_{JG}$  can be used advantageously to detect JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in glassformers in experiment, which otherwise is not easy or possible. Such a case is dielectric relaxation measurement of



**Fig. 188** Isothermal annealing experiment for the initially quenched *o*-terphenyl glass at T = 238 K. The annealing effect is monitored in terms of the time-dependent dielectric loss  $\varepsilon''(t)$  measured at a fixed frequency of f = 1 kHz. The *inset* shows  $\varepsilon''(T)$  for f = 1 kHz for quenched *o*-terphenyl (*dashed line*) and after annealing at T = 234 K for 24 h (*solid line*), which has suppressed the JG loss peak around T = 205 K. Reproduced from [829] by permission

*o*-terphenyl (OTP) that has small dipole moment. The JG β-relaxation was detected in the quenched glassy state of *o*-terphenyl ( $T_g = 246$  K) over a wide temperature range from  $T_g$  down to 180 K by Wagner and Richert [829]. On annealing the quenched glass, the JG dielectric strength  $\Delta \varepsilon_{JG}$  was observed to decay as shown in Fig. 188, and as result the isochronal JG loss peak at 1 kHz of the quenched glass disappears (see inset).

# Indomethacin

The same technique was used by Carpentier et al. in their study of the pharmaceutical, indomethacin ( $T_g = 316$  K) [1000(b)]. After a rapid and deep quench below  $T_g$ , they were able to resolve a slower JG  $\beta$ -relaxation as well as a faster  $\gamma$ -relaxation in the isochronal loss spectra (see Fig. 189, left panel). The JG  $\beta$ relaxation appears as a shoulder in the loss spectra in the liquid state as suggested by the calculated primitive frequency  $\nu_0$  (see Fig. 189, right panel). The  $\gamma$ -relaxation is not the JG relaxation because its relaxation time at  $T_g$  is 2–3 orders of magnitude shorter than the calculated primitive relaxation It is also present in a crystalline phase of indomethacin and is attributed to rotation of the chlorobenzyl end group.

The JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of amorphous indomethacin was also detected using differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) by Vyazovkin and Dranca. It appears as a small endothermic feature when reheating quenched sample after annealing in the temperature region from 253 to 278 K [992(b)]. The same was applied to poly(vinylpyrrolidone) (PVP) and ursodeoxycholic acid (UDA), and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of these pharmaceuticals is found in the glassy state. This method, enthalpy relaxation by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) scan of the rapidly



**Fig. 189** (*Left*) Isochronal dielectric loss of glassy indomethacin after a rapid and deep quench below  $T_g$  measured while scanning temperature upon heating at the rate of 1 K/min. Data are shown for frequencies 10, 100 Hz and 1 KHz and 10 KHz. At 10 Hz, the *vertical arrow with circle on its tip* points to the temperature of the maximum loss of the fast  $\gamma$ -relaxation of intramolecular in origin, while the other arrow points to the location of the resolved Johari–Goldstein process. (*Right*) Dielectric loss of indomethacin at 317 and 321 K. The *lines* are KWW fits to the  $\alpha$ -relaxation peaks with n = 0.41. The *arrows* indicate the locations of the primitive relaxation frequencies calculated from the CM equation. Reproduced from [1000(b)] by permission

quenched glass after annealing at temperature well below  $T_g$ , of detecting JG  $\beta$ -relaxation has been discussed before in Section 2.3.2.1.

### Dipropyleneglycol Dibenzoate

In a detailed study of glass of dipropyleneglycol dibenzoate ( $T_g = 220$  K) obtained by rapid cooling from 300 K, on aging the glass at  $T_a = 173.15$  K, the dielectric strength decreases by about 10% as usual [1014]. But  $\tau_{JG}$  shows an *increase* by more than a factor of 10 after 7272 s, not seen in aging of the other glasses. This will be further discussed in the next section.

## Cross-Linking 1,2-PBD and DGEBA

Casalini and Roland [1145(b)] also studied the effect of chemical cross-linking of 1,2-PBD on the JG relaxation. They compare the imaginary part of the permittivity at atmospheric pressure and T = 224 K for the neat sample and cross-linked sample by cooling both from the equilibrium state at the same rate (2 K/min). They found that  $v_{JG}$  ( $\tau_{JG}$ ) of the cross-linked sample is about a factor of 3 times higher (shorter) than the neat sample. In other words, cross-linking makes the JG relaxation significantly faster than for the linear 1,2-PBD. This trend found in cross-linking 1,2-PBD is opposite to that found in cross-linking or polymerizing DGEBA, where the JG relaxation is found to become slower (see Figs. 1, 3, 4, and 9 in [1051] and references therein, and also Fig. 5 in [1153]).

# **Possible Factors**

Glasses obtained with different thermodynamic paths and cooling rates have different degrees of structural heterogeneities and distributions of local density fluctuations (as seen by small and intermediate angle X-ray scattering by Song and Roe [1154]), as well as different average density  $\rho$  and entropy *S*. Thus, more than one parameter are needed to fully characterize the glassy state, and their difference from one glassy state to another may not change  $\tau_{JG}$  and the strength of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in the same direction. Also the dispersion of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and the  $\alpha$ -relaxation cannot be observed. These may explain the variations in changes of  $\tau_{JG}$  and strength of the JG relaxation in glasses having different thermal history, or vitrified by different thermodynamic and chemical paths.

The lack of correlation of the changes with density change may lead one to jump to the conclusion that the dynamics of the JG relaxation bears no relation to the density or entropy. This conclusion must be tempered by the fact that this occurs only in the glassy state and the variations causing the lack of correlation are small. Lest one forgets, a considerable amount of evidences in the equilibrium liquid state and across  $T_g$  given in many subsections have shown that the dynamics of the JG relaxation like the  $\alpha$ -relaxation is dependent on density  $\rho$  and entropy S. Therefore, one should not be distracted from this important property of the JG relaxation in the equilibrium liquid state by the minor complications encountered in the glassy state. Let us explore possible explanations. Denoting all the parameters that characterize the glassy state collectively by  $\lambda$ , the dependence of  $\tau_{\rm JG}$  on  $\lambda$  are related to that of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  by the CM equations (2.67)–(2.69):

$$\tau_{\rm JG}(\lambda) \approx \tau_0(\lambda) = [\tau_\alpha(\lambda)]^{1-n(\lambda)} t_c^{n(\lambda)} \text{ or } \tau_\alpha(\lambda) \approx [t_c^{-n(\lambda)} \tau_{\rm JG}(\lambda)]^{1/(1-n(\lambda))}.$$
 (2.81)

Here we have taken into consideration possible dependence of n on  $\lambda$ . If indeed n is not the same for different glasses, it can affect the corresponding observed changes of  $\tau_{\rm JG}$ . Since  $\tau_{\alpha}(\lambda)$  and  $\tau_{\alpha}(\lambda')$  are unknown, the possibility that  $n(\lambda)$  and  $n(\lambda')$  of glassy states  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda'$  with different thermal histories are different cannot be ruled out, and Eq. (2.81) is satisfied without any significant difference between  $\tau_{\rm JG}(\lambda)$  and  $\tau_{\rm JG}(\lambda')$ , or change in  $\tau_{\rm JG}(\lambda)$  in the simpler case of physical aging.

An analogy of this scenario can be given by the comparison of the pressure dependences of  $\tau_{JG}$  and  $\tau_{\alpha}$  for sorbitol at constant temperature [659(b)] as shown in Fig. 190. The dielectric  $\alpha$ -loss peak of sorbitol was observed to broaden on elevating the pressure and temperature, indicating increase of intermolecular coupling or n (see left panel of Fig. 190). The increase is due to the breaking of hydrogen bonds in sorbitol at higher temperature associated with elevated pressure, as in the case of m-fluoroaniline [671]. This observed increase of n(P) with increasing P at constant T results in a weak P-dependence of  $\tau_{JG}$  (see right panel of Fig. 190), a result which



**Fig. 190** (*Left*) The dielectric loss of sorbitol ( $\blacksquare$ ) at elevated pressure (340 MPa) at 286.4 K and at atmospheric pressure at (O) 272 and (×) 274 K. The *right panel* shows the superposition obtained by shifting the 272 K spectrum by 0.8 decades, and broadening of the loss peak at the elevated pressure/temperature. The conductivity contribution, assumed proportional to  $1/\omega$ , has been subtracted from the spectra. (*Right*) The structural (*open symbols*) and secondary (*filled symbols*) relaxation times for sorbitol as a function of pressure at several temperatures: (*squares*) 282.0; (*circles*) 286.4, and (*triangles*) 303.0 K. Data from [659(b)] are replotted in all figures here

follows from the equation,  $\tau_{\text{JG}}(P) \approx \tau_0(P) = [\tau_\alpha(P)]^{1-n(P)} t_c^{n(P)}$ . In fact, an approximately linear increase of n(P) with increasing P can quantitatively relate the two experimentally observed relaxation times,  $\tau_{\text{JG}}(P)$  and  $\tau_\alpha(P)$ , throughout the range of pressure.

## 2.3.2.20 Increase of $\tau_{\beta}$ on Aging in Some Glassformers

The glassy state is thermodynamically a non-equilibrium state of frozen-in disorder. Left at a temperature  $T_e$  below  $T_g$ , the glass spontaneously densifies with the passage of time at a rate that is higher, the higher is  $T_e$ . This occurs by irreversible structural relaxation toward equilibrium liquid state. In this process called aging, all thermodynamic and kinetic properties of the glass change with time. The change of the disordered structure by this spontaneous process is described as a decrease of the fictive temperature,  $T_f$ , of the glass [782, 266]. Here we are interested in the change of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation with aging time  $t_e$ . On aging a glass of dipropyleneglycol dibenzoate (DPGDB) ( $T_g = 220$  K) formed by rapid quenching from the liquid state at 300 K to the glass state at 173.15 K, it was found [678] that  $\tau_{JG}$ increases with aging time,  $t_a$ , as shown in Fig. 191 (see also Fig. 117 in Section 2.3.2.2). Similar results were obtained for several other glass characterized by the



**Fig. 191** (*Left*) Dielectric loss spectra of two secondary relaxations of DPGDB measured at different times during annealing at 173.1 K after a quench at about 30 K/min (the rate through  $T_g$ ) from 323 K. (*Right*) Maximum loss frequency  $v_{max}$  of the JG  $\beta$ -(*open symbols*) and the  $\gamma$ -(*closed symbols*) processes at 173.15 K, plotted as a function of the elapsed time after thermal stabilization. *Squares* refer to the spectra measured after vitrification at quench rate of 30 K/min and *triangles* to spectra measured after vitrification at quench rate of 9 K/min. Reproduced from [678] by permission

fictive temperature  $T_{\rm f}$ , just like the well-known dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  on  $T_{\rm f}$  [266]. This dependence of  $\tau_{\rm JG}$  on  $T_{\rm f}$  follows from the CM equations (2.67)–(2.69) rewritten as  $\tau_{\rm JG}(T_{\rm f}) \approx \tau_0(T_{\rm f}) = [\tau_{\alpha}(T_{\rm f})]^{1-n} t_{\rm c}^n$ . The increase of  $\tau_{\rm JG}$  on aging a glass follows from this relation and the well-known increase of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  with  $t_{\rm a}$ . The increase in  $\tau_{\rm JG}$  with aging mimics the behavior of  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , as illustrated in Fig. 117 and explained in [1014]. Although the change in  $\tau_{\rm JG}$  is less than that of  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , the effect on the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation is much greater than on the faster, non-JG  $\gamma$ -relaxation. Similar results have been found for resolved JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in xylitol by Lunkenheimer et al. [1155] and sorbitol by Olsen [1031].

The JG  $\beta$ -relaxations of glycerol, propylene carbonate (PC), and propylene glycol (PG) are not resolved and appear in the loss spectrum as an excess over the Kohlrausch fit and an excess wing [424, 972]. On aging, the excess wing is converted to a shoulder, which is evidence for the resolved JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. The fact that these excess losses shift to lower frequencies on physical aging at constant temperature below  $T_g$  as shown in Fig. 192-1 for PC and PG [1156] is indication that the JG relaxation is sensitive to densification or loss of entropy on aging (see also Fig. 121 in Section 2.3.2.3).

Dipropylene glycol (2PG) [658], dibutyl phthalate (DBP), and isoeugenol [684] each has a resolved secondary  $\gamma$ -relaxation. The JG relaxation lying in between the  $\alpha$ - and  $\gamma$ -relaxations is not resolved. Aging further separated the JG relaxation from the  $\alpha$ - and  $\gamma$ -relaxations enabling the excess losses to become visible and the shift of the excess wing to lower frequencies with aging time evident. The results are shown for 2PG in Fig. 192-2 (left), and DBP in Fig. 193 (right).

As mentioned before in the previous section, despite the number of glassformers cited above that have  $\tau_{JG}$  shifted to longer times with aging, there are glassformers which have  $\tau_{JG}$  insensitive to aging or even exhibiting a slight shift



**Fig. 192-1** (*Left*) Shifts of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and the excess wing of propylene carbonate at 152 K after aging for the periods of time as indicated. (*Right*) Shifts of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and the excess wing of propylene glycol at 157 K after aging for the periods of 100 s to nearly 10<sup>6</sup> s



**Fig. 192-2** (*Left*) Dielectric loss for PPG dimer at pressures (*from right to left*) of 67.6, 248.7, 335.7, 520, and 510 MPa. The last one was measured after 12 h of aging. There is a pressure-independent secondary peak at  $\sim 10^4$  Hz, which exhibits a negligible response to aging. Reproduced from [658] by permission. (*Right*) Dielectric loss of dibutyl phthalate (DBP) at ambient pressures measured after aging for different periods of time as indicated. The excess wing becomes evident after aging for a sufficiently long time. Reproduced from [684] by permission

of the most probable value to shorter times. The examples are the rigid molecular toluene–pyridine mixture [1148], sorbitol [1031, 1152] poly(propylene oxide), poly(carbonate) [1149], PMMA [1150], and 1,2-polybutadiene or PVE [1157], some of which have already been discussed in the previous Section 2.3.2.19. Again, for the same reason given before in Section 2.3.2.19, if aging in these glassformers causes an increase in  $n(t_e)$  with increasing  $t_e$ , as a consequence of the CM relation  $\tau_{\text{JG}}(t_e) \approx \tau_0(t_e) = [\tau_{\alpha}(t_e)]^{1-n(t_e)} t_c^{n(t_e)}$ , there will be no significant increase of  $\tau_{\text{JG}}$ . This scenario is plausible for these glassformers all having larger intermolecular coupling or n, and even slight densification on aging can cause increase of n and a large increase of  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . Such increase of n was found on aging of a very rapidly quenched polystyrene discussed before in Section 2.2.5.4, and shown in Fig. 80.



**Fig. 193** Isothermal volume relaxation of a high molecular weight polystyrene as function of annealing time at three different annealing temperatures. Data of Chen and Wang [988] replotted

Sizeable increase of n on aging with small change of the fast or primitive relaxation time was observed in colloidal suspensions of hard spheres and Laponite also considered in that same section.

There is yet another possible reason for why  $\tau_{JG}$  shifts to longer times on aging in some glasses, but not in some other glasses. For hydrogen-bonded glassformers such as sorbitol,  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is not that sensitive to density as evidenced by the small value of  $\gamma = 0.13$  in its  $TV^{\gamma}$ -dependence [119]. Also, 1,2-polybutadiene has  $\gamma = 1.9$ which is also small compared with van der Waals glassformers. The sensitivity of  $\tau_{JG}$  to density would be even less in these two glasses, and hence its shift to longer times is not observed. On the other hand, van der Waals glassformers are much more sensitive to density as can be deduced from the larger values of  $\gamma$  they have. For example,  $\gamma = 8.5$  for 1,1'-di(4-methoxy-5-methylphenyl)cyclohexane (BMMPC),  $\gamma = 7$  for 1'-bis(p-methoxyphenyl)cyclohexane (BMPC),  $\gamma = 4.5$ for cresolphthalein-dimethylether (KDE),  $\gamma = 6.2$  for o-terphenyl/o-phenylphenol (2/1) mixture,  $\gamma = 5.2$  for salol,  $\gamma = 4.5$  for phenolphthalein-dimethylether (PDE), and  $\gamma = 3.7$  for propylene carbonate. For BMMPC, BMPC, KDE, PDE, and PC, we know that the excess wing (which is the unresolved JG relaxation) shifts to lower frequencies on aging in concert with the  $\alpha$ -relaxation.

# 2.3.2.21 JG Relaxation Responsible for Structural Change Deep in the Glassy State by Aging

Throughout the history of scientific study, it is well known that glasses or amorphous solids in general are not in thermodynamic equilibrium at temperatures below glass transition [6, 61, 62, 788, 1158–1162]. The specific volume, enthalpy, and entropy of a glass are all in excess of the equilibrium state. Slow relaxation toward equilibrium can be observed by relaxation of volume and enthalpy by experiment at temperatures that can be significantly below  $T_g$ . This kind of aging is called physical aging [788] to distinguish it from aging caused by change in chemical structure with time.
A historic example is the observation of the effect of aging of silicate glasses thermometer at room temperature over a period of 38.5 years, from April 1844 to December 1882 by James Prescott Joule [6], which was recently discussed by Nemilov and Johari [7]. Aging of the silicate glass caused change of the thermometer scale which in turn was measured by the shift in the "zero-point temperature." The  $T_g$  of silicate glasses, typically in the range of 680–900 K, is much higher than room temperature, and the  $\alpha$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  would be much longer than a century. However, structural change of the silicate glass was found by Joule from the change of zero-point temperature of about 8°F over 38.5 years. Nemilov [123, 1163] fitted the time dependence of the zero-point temperature data of Joules by the expression,  $13.58 - 9.56 \times [\exp(-t/13.50)]$ , where the unit of time is year. Since  $\tau_{\alpha}$ is much longer than 38.5 years, the observed change by Joule cannot be effected by the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation. However,  $\tau_{IG}$  is much shorter and some local changes of the glass structure can occur through the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. The mechanism for this observed spontaneous relaxation of glass at room temperature is attributed to the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation which causes local regions in the network and in turn the Si–O–Si bond angles in the immediate surroundings to change [7].

In many applications of glasses and particularly amorphous polymers, physical aging is an important concern because it results in time dependences of important properties such as increases in density, modulus, and brittleness, and decreases in permeability, impact strength, fracture energy, and deformation [788, 1164–1167]. Physical aging is measured by various rates. For volume changes, it is defined by  $r_V = -V^{-1} [dV/d \log t_e]$ , where  $t_e$  is the aging time. If aging is monitored by the shift to longer times by a factor *a* of mechanical response such as shear creep compliance, the aging rate  $\mu$  is defined by  $\mu = -d \log a / d \log t_e$ . Struik [788] reported for amorphous polymers that  $\mu$  is constant over wide ranges of  $t_e$ , has value near unity at temperatures slightly below  $T_g$ , decreases with decreasing temperature, and is still more than 0.5 down to some temperature,  $T_{1/2}$ , appreciably below  $T_g$ . For PMMA, PS, and PVC,  $T_{1/2}$  is about 100 K below  $T_g$ . For aromatic backbone polymers BPA-PC and polysulfone, their  $T_{1/2}$ s are even much further down below  $T_{\rm g}$ , which for BPA-PC may be due to the low temperature and intermolecular coupled  $\gamma$ -relaxation involving the  $\pi$ -flips of the phenyl rings on the main chain [358, 883–890], in addition to the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. Therefore, the appreciable aging rate observed deep in the glassy state at or near  $T_{1/2}$  has to originate from JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of these polymers. In fact Struik stated as one of his main conclusions that the temperature range in which aging occurs is not restricted to a narrow region below  $T_g$  but instead extends from  $T_{\rm g}$  down to the secondary relaxation transition temperature  $T_{\beta}$ , which is  $-50^{\circ}$ C for PVC ( $T_{g} = 71^{\circ}$ C), and is  $-100^{\circ}$ C for BPA - PC ( $T_{g} = 144^{\circ}$ C). Aging disappears at temperatures below  $T_{\beta}$ , and this is another indication that the secondary relaxations including the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation can effect aging. These results of aging had led Struik to make statements "... secondary motion appears to be prerequisite for segmental motion ... " and "A general explanation for this behavior might be that the motions of segments as a whole ( $\alpha$  process) are strongly facilitated by internal rearrangements ( $\beta$  processes)." This qualitative deduction from aging studies is consistent with the strong connection between the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation described in all the subsections above and to follow. However, in rationalizing the observation that the aging range falls between  $T_g$  and  $T_\beta$ , Struik suggested that aging does not affect secondary relaxations. This is not generally true. We have seen the resolved JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of small molecular glassformers such as DiPGDB as well as the unresolved one of dipropylene glycol, DBP, DOP, and isoeugenol, shifts to lower frequencies on aging. Recent and precise measurements of dynamic shear modulus of PMMA and PS showed changes of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation with aging time [1162].

Supplementary evidence of JG  $\beta$ -relaxation being responsible for aging deep in the glassy state is given by results of the aging rate study of PMMA in supported ultrathin film on silica substrate at 305 K (i.e., 88 K below  $T_g$  of bulk PMMA) [1143], and in nanocomposites of silica (10–15 nm diameter) nanoparticles in PMMA at 296 K [1168]. Observed in both cases is the vastly reduced aging rate compared with bulk PMMA. The molecular origin of the suppressed physical aging is due to the strong hydrogen bonding interactions between the ester groups of PMMA and the hydroxyl groups on silica. As discussed before in earlier sections, the JG β-relaxation of PMMA originates from the motion of the ester group accompanied by rotation of the main chain. The interfacial hydrogen bonding of the ester groups arrests the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. This explains the large reduction in aging rate since it is the molecular mobility of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation which is responsible for physical aging of PMMA deep in the glassy state. This explanation is supported by the observation of large reduction in the dielectric strength of the JG  $\beta$ -process of PMMA in silica-PMMA nanocomposites due to the interfacial hydrogen bonds [1168].

If the temperature  $T_e$  of aging is much lower than  $T_g$  such that  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is so long that it cannot be instrumental in aging, for sure it is the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation which is responsible for the observed aging. If the aging temperature  $T_e$  is increased and becomes sufficiently close to  $T_g$  such that  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is not much longer than  $t_e$ , not only the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation but also the  $\alpha$ -relaxation may become active in effecting aging. Nevertheless, the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation is still the initiator of aging, and  $\tau_{\alpha}$  of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation in the glassy state is governed by  $\tau_{JG}$  according to Eq. (2.69). Thus, the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation plays a role in aging even in this special case.

The conclusion, JG  $\beta$ -relaxation is responsible for physical aging of PMMA deep in the glassy state, has additional support from different results coming from aging experiments of polystyrene (PS) films. When aged deep in the glassy state of 20 nm thick PS film on silica [1143(b)] or 0.4 vol% silica–PS nanocomposites or 0.4 vol% silica–PS nanocomposites [1169], arrested or retarded aging is not found. PS contains no atoms that will form hydrogen bonds with hydroxyl groups and hence no reduction in the strength of the JG  $\beta$ -process of PS and no suppression of aging.

Another difference between 20 nm thick PS film and PMMA film, both supported on silica, should be pointed out. As mentioned before, attractive PMMA–silica interactions enhance the  $T_g$  of the ultrathin PMMA film to 407 K compared with 393 K of bulk PMMA. On the other hand, data by Ellison et al. [1170] and Keddie et al. [1171] indicate that a 20 nm thick PS film has  $T_g$  that is lower than that of the bulk,  $T_{g,bulk}$ , by 14–17 K. There are two factors determining  $T_g$  of ultrathin films supported on silica. The free-surface effect reduces intermolecular interaction (or n), increases molecular mobility, and hence lowers  $T_g$ . The other is the substrate effect from hydrogen-bond interactions between the polymer and the hydroxyl groups on the silica surface, which increases  $T_g$  and is present in PMMA but not in PS. Drawing from what we have learned from aging studies, let us restate the substrate effect more precisely as originating from the reduction in strength or suppression of the JG  $\beta$ relaxation by interfacial hydrogen bonding of the ester groups of PMMA with the hydroxyl groups on the silica surface. With this more precise restatement of the substrate effect, the increase of  $T_g$  of the ultrathin PMMA is due to suppression of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. In the CM, the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation is the initiator of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. Also NMR experiment has shown that the  $\alpha$ -relaxation is modified when part of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation is suppressed [998, 999]. Thus, the substrate effect of suppressing the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation necessarily also suppresses the  $\alpha$ -relaxation in the ultrathin PMMA film at the interface. The absence of suppression of JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of ultrathin PS film naturally explains the decrease of  $T_g$  by the free-surface effect acting alone.

Actually studies of physical aging or annealing of quenched glasses at temperatures well below  $T_g$  had been made starting as early as the 1980s and continued to present days in various glassformers. The glassformers studied include polymers [988, 984], an inorganic glass (B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) [989], metallic glasses (Pd<sub>48</sub>Ni<sub>32</sub>P<sub>20</sub>, (Fe,Co,Ni)<sub>75</sub>Si<sub>10</sub>B<sub>15</sub>) [954, 990, 991, 958, 960(b)], pharmaceuticals [992, 1000(b)], and inorganic oxide glasses [986, 987]. Aging the quenched glass well below  $T_g$ relaxes the enthalpy and volume, particularly the part associated with the JG βprocess. On reheating the aged glass, the lost enthalpy is recovered and detected by an endothermic peak associated with the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation, called sub-T<sub>g</sub> peak, in the specific heat  $C_p$  spectrum that precedes the larger endothermic peak associated with the  $\alpha$ -process and glass transition. All studies cited above indicate that JG  $\beta$ relaxation is responsible for physical aging of deeply and rapidly quenched glasses. In the study by Chen and Wang [988], they found sub- $T_g$  structural relaxation from specific heat change and volume contraction in a nearly monodisperse high molecular weight polystyrene ( $M_{\rm w} = 2 \times 10^5$ ,  $T_{\rm g} = 382$  K by DSC at 10 K/min) by annealing at temperatures ranging from 32 to 62 K below  $T_g$  over times up to 90 h for volume as shown in Fig. 193. This sub- $T_g$  structural relaxation is likely associated with the JG relaxation of polystyrene because the  $\alpha$ -relaxation time is much longer than 90 h, and the samples recover the initial heat content and volume without reheating through  $T_{g}$ .

Hachenberg et al. [960(b)] studied physical aging of deeply and rapidly quenched (at the rate of  $\approx 10^5$  K/s) metallic glasses, Pd<sub>77</sub>Cu<sub>6</sub>Si<sub>17</sub> (m = 52.8 - 77.0) and Zr<sub>65</sub>Al<sub>7.5</sub>Cu<sub>27.5</sub> (m = 36.4 - 38.4), by dynamic mechanical measurements. A significant increase in the mechanical modulus was observed on reheating the aged glasses at temperature significantly below  $T_g$ , and the increase is dependent on heating rate. This observation supports that the physical aging of deeply and rapidly quenched metallic glasses is promoted by the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. As in some molecular glasses, the relaxation strength of the JG  $\beta$ -process is considerably decreased by aging [829, 1148].

# 2.3.2.22 JG β-Relaxation Governs the Rate of Crystal Nucleation, the Initial Process of Crystallization

# Conventional Glassformers

At temperatures below and near Tg, an amorphous glass also crystallizes but the process is different from crystallization in the liquid state. Crystallization is preceded by nucleation and can start only after the formation of stable nuclei that reach some critical size [1172]. Studies of organic molecular glasses have shown that localized motions may lead to nucleation and crystallization of the glassy state over a long period of time. According to Oguni and coworkers [810, 811, 1173, 1174], in the rigid structure of the glass it is the Johari–Goldstein relaxation that leads to nucleation or crystal embryo formation, and then crystallization proceeds by the coalescence of crystal nuclei formed homogeneously in the vicinity of crystal surface into the crystalline phase. This new process, called the homogeneous nucleation based (HNB) crystallization, was found by optical spectroscopy and calorimetry in typical glassformers including o-terphenyl, salol, toluene, triphenylethylene, and benzophenone at temperature below and around their glass-transition temperatures. The homogeneous nucleation process is thus the precursor of HNB crystallization. The suggestion that the local JG  $\beta$ -relaxation is responsible for crystal nucleation is consistent with the proposal by Turnbull and Fisher [1175] that crystal nucleation proceeds via the attachment or release of one molecule at a time on the surface of a crystal embryo. It was confirmed by order of magnitude agreement of the calculated and observed crystal growth rate in o-terphenyl and toluene by using the experimental values of  $\tau_{JG}$  for the relaxation time of molecular rearrangement by Oguni and coworkers. The crystal growth rates calculated with  $\tau_{\alpha}$  are many orders of magnitude too small compared with the observed values. The evidences are pointing to the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in governing the crystal nucleation process. Although, like Oguni and coworkers [1174, 1175], I have attributed the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation to be responsible for enhanced nucleation and glassy crystal growth near and below  $T_{g}$ , I am not committed to their view that the crystal growth is necessarily the coalescence of homogeneous crystal nuclei, not individual molecules, onto existing crystal surfaces [1173]. I should mention also the entirely different view of Tanaka [1176] that enhanced crystallization in the glass results from increase in mobility of the particles surrounding the crystal provided by the free volume created from the stress around a crystal growing in the glass.

Recently, Sun et al. [1177(a)] studied the growth of crystals in the glass in a number of polymorphs from the fragile liquid of 5-methyl-2-[(2-nitrophenyl)amino]-3-thiophenecarbonitrile with m = 71, called ROY for its red, orange, and yellow crystal polymorphs. Some of the polymorphs show crystal growth in the glass. From the observed properties and kinetics of the growth, Sun et al. did not favor the explanations either by Oguni and coworkers, or by Tanaka. Instead, they suggested that "it is solid-state transformation enabled by local molecular motions native to the glassy state." Their term "local molecular motions" is no different from the primitive relaxation of the coupling model which involves local motion of the entire molecule, and hence the conclusion of Sun et al. [1177(a)] is no different from my own on crystallization in the glass. It is true that the primitive and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxations are not identical, but they are related because the former is part of the latter.

Notwithstanding the same conclusion reached by Sun et al. and myself, confusion could be created by an accompanying paper by Sun et al. [1177(b)] in which they presented dielectric relaxation data of ROY and showed no secondary relaxation peak was observed, even in glasses made by fast quenching. This is no surprise because the width of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak of ROY is narrow and characterized by  $\beta_{\rm KWW} = 0.73$ , or n = 0.27, and hence the separation of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation from the  $\alpha$ -relaxation is small and the former cannot be resolved from the latter even by rapid quenching. Not able to resolve and observe the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in ROY by dielectric spectroscopy does not mean it is not present, but this was used by Sun et al. to rule out the role of JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in crystal growth in the glass. They cited also the case of ortho-terphenyl (OTP), which has weak dipole moment and is the main reason why its JG  $\beta$ -relaxation only can be barely observed by dielectric relaxation after rapid quenching and can disappear on annealing [829]. This fact of the dielectric JG β-relaxation of OTP not being observed while there is crystal growth in the glass has led them [1177(b), 1177(c)] to conclude this secondary relaxation of OTP is irrelevant to the growth, and suggested that other secondary processes perhaps detectable with other techniques could be associated with the growth. I do not see any secondary relaxation of OTP other than the motion of the entire OTP molecule (i.e., the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation) can contribute to crystal growth in the glass. Another technique to observe secondary relaxation in OTP is by dynamic light scattering by confining OTP in 2.5 nm silanized glass pores [492-494]. The large optical anisotropy of OTP makes possible detection of relaxation process even when confined. Under confinement to 2.5 nm pores, the cooperativity is reduced by reduction in either length scale or density, and the primitive or JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of OTP has been found. The relaxation time of OTP confined in 2.5 nm glass pores is about the same as that found by dielectric relaxation [494]. When the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation is not resolved in glassformers with small n like in ROY, it is usually located at the high-frequency flank of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak and shows up as an excess wing in the plot of log  $\varepsilon''$  against log(frequency). From the published log  $\varepsilon''$  of ROY, the shift of the excess wing with temperature has temperature dependence which turns out to be parallel to that of the crystal growth rate in the glassy state  $(\tau_u)_g$  at temperatures less than 250 K [1177(d)], which is another evidence of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation being the rate-determining factor of crystal growth. The same is true for OTP as can be seen by comparing the temperature dependence of  $(\tau_u)_g$  with that of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation found by Wagner and Richert [829].

Sun et al. [1177(a), 1177(b)] also studied crystallization of ROY above  $T_g$ , the mechanism of which is controlled by the self-diffusion of the ROY molecules. Like that found previously for TNB and OTP [347, 453, 454, 805, 807], breakdown of Stokes–Einstein relation (see Section 2.2.5(E)) can be deduced for ROY polymorphs not showing fast crystal growth in the glassy state from the fractional power law relation between the growth rate u and structural relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  or with viscosity  $\eta$  given by  $u \propto [(\tau_u)]^{-\xi}$  and  $u \propto \eta^{-\xi}$ , where  $\xi \approx 0.7$ . The  $\alpha$ -relaxation process

in ROY obeys time-temperature superposition for  $\tau_{\alpha}$  over the range from 100 s to 100 ns, with the constant width of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak characterized by a constant  $\beta_{\text{KWW}} = 0.73$ . Thus, as explained in Section 2.2.5.6, the breakdown of Stokes-Einstein relation in ROY polymorphs is another example demonstrating the failure of the explanation by spatial heterogeneous dynamics [450, 454–457] discussed in Section 2.2.5.6. The viable explanation for ROY is also given by the CM based on the smaller coupling parameter  $n_{\text{D}}$  for diffusion than  $n_{\alpha}$  for rotation or  $n_{\eta}$  for viscosity [275], analogous to that illustrated in Fig. 85 for TNB. The only difference is ROY having smaller  $n_{\alpha} = 0.27$  compared to  $n_{\alpha} \approx 0.50$  of OTP and TNB.

For OTP, the time  $(\tau_u)_D$  required for the crystal to grow one molecular layer for diffusion-controlled growth above  $T_g$  is compared with the corresponding  $(\tau_u)_g$  for the "diffusionless" crystal growth in the glassy state. When extrapolated to  $T_g$ ,  $(\tau_u)_D$ is more than 4 orders of magnitude longer than  $(\tau_u)_g$ . This difference reflects the disparity in the mechanism of the crystallization at temperatures above and below  $T_g$ . Above  $T_g$ , it is diffusion and  $n_D$  for diffusion-controlled growth is non-zero albeit smaller than  $n_\alpha$  or  $n_\eta$ , like the demonstration given for TNB in Fig. 85. On the other hand, it is the "local" or primitive relaxation for growth in the glass. The difference of more than 4 orders of magnitude between  $(\tau_u)_D$  and  $(\tau_u)_g$  at  $T_g$  can be rationalized by  $n_D$  having some non-zero value. Sun et al. also found the crystal growth in the glass of ROY slows slightly over time, as has been reported for glassy OTP. In 10 h the crystal growth rate u at 248 K ( $T_g$ -11 K) decreases by 13% while the glass  $\tau_\alpha$  increases by 23 times. This slight slowing down of u is readily explained by the small effect of physical aging on the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation time, which is known to increase much less than that found on  $\tau_\alpha$ .

# Metallic Glasses

Crystallization of the metallic glass,  $Pd_{42.5}Ni_{7.5}Cu_{30}P_{20}$ , by ultrasonic annealing at temperature below  $T_g$  was also found by Ichitsubo et al. to be caused by the accumulation of atomic jumps associated with the local JG  $\beta$ -relaxation (with relaxation frequencies ~MHz range at temperature slightly below  $T_g \approx 300$  C) being stochastically resonant with the ultrasonic vibrations, which eventually leads to crystallization [958]. Under ultrasonic vibrations at 0.35 MHz, the metallic glass was found to be fully crystallized within only 18 h at 290°C. On the other hand, without ultrasonic vibrations at this temperature, crystallization was negligible when the samples were annealed for 75 h.

Ichitsubo et al. further proved that indeed the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation is responsible for the accelerated crystallization at 290°C under ultrasonic vibrations at 0.35 MHz by successfully detecting the increase of internal friction,  $Q^{-1}$ , at MHz frequencies (see left panel of Fig. 194) at temperatures where the atomic motions are associated with the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. The latter was supported by the independent internal friction measurements of a similar Pd-based metallic glass by Pelletier et al. [956] obtained at lower frequencies and extended to MHz frequencies by Ichitsubo et al. by using the activation energy (E = 1 eV) and prefactor ( $\tau_{\infty} = 10^{-14}$  s) of the



**Fig. 194** (*Left*) Temperature dependence of high-frequency (0.4 MHz) internal friction  $Q^{-1}$  as a function of temperature measured for Pd<sub>40</sub>Ni<sub>40</sub>P<sub>20</sub> metallic glass ( $T_g \approx 300^\circ$ C). Shear vibration modes were used for the internal friction measurements, and the rate of temperature scan was about 1°C/min. The increase of the internal friction means that the periodic ultrasonic strain is resonant with atomic motion. (*Right*)  $Q^{-1}$ -T curves of the JG β-relaxation in Pd-based metallic glass in the MHz range calculated by Ichitsubo et al. using the activation energy and prefactor of the JG β-relaxation obtained by Pelletier et al. [956] at lower frequencies also from internal friction measurement for a Pd–Ni–Cu–P glass by Pelletier et al. The *circle* and *shaded region* indicate the JG β-relaxation is responsible for the atomic motion in resonance with the periodic ultrasonic strain in the *left panel*. Figure courtesy of Ichitsubo

JG  $\beta$ -relaxation frequency determined by Pelletier et al. [956]. This is illustrated in the right panel of Fig. 194. Crystallization at such a low temperature (below  $T_g$ ) is related to the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation, the atomic motions of which are stochastically resonant with the ultrasonic vibrations. Thus, the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation is proven to be responsible for the observed ultrasound-induced crystallization of bulk metallic glasses. Ichitsubo also observed crystallization of Zr<sub>70</sub>Ni<sub>30</sub>, Pd<sub>40</sub>Ni<sub>40</sub>P<sub>20</sub>, and ZrAlNiCu at temperature below  $T_g$  that is attributed also to the action of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation.

Caution on Interpretation of Microstructure of Metallic Glasses by Ichitsubo et al.

Ichitsubo et al. [958] identified the microstructure of the metallic glass,  $Pd_{42.5}Ni_{7.5}Cu_{30}P_{20}$ , before crystallization with the microstructure of the partially crystallized metallic glass that has isolated as amorphous islands of the size of  $\sim$ 5 nm surrounded by crystallized regions. This identification is not justified and likely to be incorrect, because the microstructure of the partially crystallized sample obviously is not the same as the original metallic glass. The reader should be aware of this problem of the interpretation by Ichitsubo et al. on the nanoscale

microstructure of *metallic glasses* in terms of the weakly bonded region and strongly bonded region with size  $\sim 5$  nm, which is only applicable to the partially crystallized sample.

Energy Barrier of Shear Transformation Zone (STZ) in Metallic Glasses,  $W_{STZ} \approx$ Activation Energy of JG  $\beta$ -Relaxation

Technological applications of metallic glasses depend on their mechanical property, namely plasticity, ductility, and yield strength. It was Argon [959(a), (b)] who introduced a shear transformation zone (STZ) model to explain the plastic deformation of metallic glasses. According to this model, shear deformation takes place by spontaneous and cooperative reorganization of a small cluster of randomly closepacked atoms. The atomistic basis for other mechanical properties is also the STZ. For example, the yield strength and plasticity of metallic glasses is thought to be determined by the shear transformation zones (STZs) [959(c)–(h)]. According to the cooperative shearing model (CSM) developed by Johnson and Samwer [959(g)], the total potential energy barrier for an STZ is  $W_{\text{STZ}} = (8/\pi^2)G\gamma_C^2 \varsigma \Omega$ , where  $\Omega$ is the actual volume of STZ defined by the plastic core,  $\zeta \sim 3$  is a correction factor arising from matrix confinement of an STZ,  $\gamma_{\rm C} = 0.0267$ , and G is the shear modulus. The expression for  $W_{STZ}$  has been simplified by Wang and coworkers [959(i)] to  $W_{\text{STZ}} \approx 0.39 GV_{\text{m}}$ , where  $V_{\text{m}}$  is the molar volume of the STZ. The STZ volumes of bulk metallic glasses (BMGs) were determined by means of nanoindentation experiment [959(j)]. The measured STZ volumes of 200–700 atoms are in good agreement with those predicted by cooperative shearing model of Johnson and Samwer [959(g)] and MD simulations [959(k)]. The results demonstrate that the plastic yielding of metallic glasses occurs upon cooperative shearing of STZs. The ductility of bulk metallic glasses was found to correlate with their STZ volumes [959(j)]. From the measured values of G and V<sub>m</sub> of many BMGs, Wang and coworkers [959(i)] have obtained the potential energy barrier of STZ,  $W_{\text{STZ}}$ , and compared with the corresponding activation energy,  $E_{IG}$ , of the primitive or JG  $\beta$ relaxation. Remarkably Wang and coworkers found the two quantities to be almost equal, i.e.,  $W_{\text{STZ}} \approx E_{\text{JG}}$  [959(i)]. This finding indicates that the flow resistance of STZs and the primitive or JG  $\beta$ -relaxation have common microstructural origin. The fact that the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation is instrumental in the dynamics of the STZ may not be surprising because, at temperatures way below  $T_g$  of metallic glasses, the mobility of atoms can only be initiated by the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. The experimental data from [959(i)] demonstrated all that said above are shown in Fig. 194-1 from a to d.

Figure 194-1a illustrates typical isochronal mechanical data of metallic glasses exhibiting the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation and how their activation energies are determined. Figure 194-1b shows the relation between  $E_{JG}$  or  $E_{\beta}$  and  $RT_g$ . Although there is a rough correlation, there is no strictly linear relation between the two as demonstrated before for non-metallic glassformers in [996]. Figure 194-1c depicts the nearly linear relation between  $E_{\beta}$  and  $W_{STZ}$ .



**Fig. 194-1** (a) The temperature dependence of the loss modulus E'' for a La<sub>55</sub>Al<sub>15</sub>Ni<sub>10</sub>Cu<sub>10</sub>Co<sub>10</sub> metallic glass, measured at frequencies *f* (*from top to bottom*, indicated by the *arrow*) of 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, and 8 Hz, at the heating rate of 3 K/min. The *inset* plots log *f* vs. 1000/ $T_p$ , where  $T_p$  is peak temperature of the  $\beta$ -relaxation hump. (b) Activation energy of  $\beta$ -relaxation  $E_\beta$  plotted against  $RT_g$  for metallic glasses. The *solid line* is a least-squares linear fit. (c) Relationship between activation energy of  $\beta$ -relaxation  $E_\beta$  and energy barriers of STZs,  $W_{STZ}$ . (d) Relationship between  $W_{STZ}$  and Poisson ratio  $\nu$  for metallic glasses. *Solid curve with arrow* is drawn to guide the eye. MGs based on La, Ca, Mg, Ce, and Yb not included in this plot for reason explained in [959(i)]. All figures redrawn from the data of [959(i)] are kindly provided by W.H. Wang

The Poisson ratio  $\nu$  when plotted against  $W_{\text{STZ}} \approx E_{\text{JG}}$  for many Au-, Pt-, Zr-, Cu-, Fe-, and some late rare-earth-based metallic glasses in Fig. 194-1d demonstrates yet another correlation between these quantities. This result has important implication for applications because the Poisson ratio is an indicator of ductility. Thus, the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation like the STZs is fundamental in the consideration of plasticity, yield stress, and ductility of metallic glasses. Lower the value of  $W_{\text{STZ}} \approx E_{\text{JG}}$ , better the plasticity and ductility of the BMG. Also, larger the Poisson ratio of the BMG, better its plasticity [959(1)]. The characteristics of the primitive or JG  $\beta$ relaxation is fundamental for understanding the Poisson ratio criterion for plasticity of BMGs [959(i)]. The important findings by Wang and coworkers,  $W_{\text{STZ}} \approx E_{\text{JG}}$ and the primitive or JG  $\beta$ -relaxation is the microstructural origin of STZ, will make the primitive relaxation or JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of metallic glasses a center of attention in improving their mechanical properties. Although  $E_{JG}$  or  $E_{\beta}$  is an important parameter of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation for STZs and resulting mechanical properties of BMGs in view of the relation  $W_{STZ} \approx E_{JG}$ , another relevant parameter is the actual values of its relaxation time  $\tau_{JG}$  in the glassy state. Faster  $\tau_{JG}$  together with smaller  $E_{JG}$  in the glassy state is expected to act in concert to facilitate thermal events driven by STZs and to enhance ductility and plasticity. Thus the value of  $\tau_{JG}(T_g)$  is another relevant parameter. As found from experiment and predicted by the CM [251], larger the intermolecular coupling parameter n, shorter  $\tau_{JG}(T_g)$ . Moreover from the ancillary CM relation,  $E_{JG} = E_0 = (1 - n)E_{\alpha}$  in connection with Fig. 200 to be introduced later, it is clear that  $E_{JG}$  is smaller for glassformers with a larger n if they all have comparable  $E_{\alpha}$ , the activation energy of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation in the glassy state. From the above considerations, intermolecular coupling (or n) and the established relation between ( $\tau_{JG}$ ,  $E_{JG}$ ) and ( $\tau_{\alpha}$ ,  $E_{\alpha}$ ) via the CM may be the fundamental for the consideration of STZs and mechanical properties of BMG.

### Similar Correlation of Mechanical Properties of Glassy Polymers to β-Relaxation

It is worthwhile to follow the authors of [959(i)] to point out the general recognition in polymer glasses that the mechanical properties are determined to some extent by the secondary relaxation [883–888, 934–936, 959(n)–959(s)]. The transition from ductile to brittle was found in many polymeric glassformers to occur at the characteristic temperature of the secondary relaxations [959(n)–959(q)]. Impact toughness, yield strength, and failure modes were also often correlated with secondary relaxations [959(n)], and polymers with strong secondary relaxations often possess good ductility and vice versa [959(n), 959(p)]. Again these correlations between mechanical properties of glassy polymers with secondary relaxation may ultimately originate from the established relation between ( $\tau_{IG}$ ,  $E_{IG}$ ) and ( $\tau_{\alpha}$ ,  $E_{\alpha}$ ) via the intermolecular coupling (or *n*) predicted by the CM.

### Pharmaceuticals

Recently, there is interest in pharmaceutical industry to develop amorphous solid pharmaceuticals. This is because the amorphous state of a pharmaceutical has various advantages over the crystalline state such as improved solubility and bioavailability [136, 1178, 1179]. However, the non-equilibrium glassy state is thermodynamically unstable, and tends to revert to the crystalline form on storage as found in the much studied anti-inflammation drug, indomethacin. Slow crystallization of indomethacin was reported at temperatures as low as about 25°C below  $T_g$  [1180–1184]. The tendency toward crystallization results in poor product performance over passage of time, which is a major concern. When stored at temperature sufficiently below  $T_g$  and the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation is completely frozen, the origin of nucleation and crystallization instabilities of amorphous pharmaceuticals might be attributed to the molecular motions that can still exist below  $T_g$  [1183, 1185–1187]. By analogy to nucleation and crystallization of organic molecular glasses studied by Oguni and coworkers, the molecular motion below  $T_{\rm g}$  is likely the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. In fact, recently JG  $\beta$ -relaxation has been found in indomethacin [992, 1000(b)], and other pharmaceuticals including acetyl salicylic acid (aspirin) [1188, 1189], fananserine (C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>24</sub>FN<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S) [1000(c)], and (2*RS*)-2[4-(2-methylpropyl)phenyl]propanoic acid (C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O<sub>2</sub>), commonly known as ibuprofen [1004].

Occurrence of nucleation in glassy indomethacin was proven experimentally by detecting crystallization and melting in DCS curves on heating samples annealed for 10 days at 5°C (38 degrees below  $T_{\rm g}$ ). The nuclei formed during annealing set off the observed crystallization when the annealed samples are heated [992]. There is also evidence of homogenous nucleation occurring somewhere between the glassy state and the onset of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation in ibuprofen. Nucleation is instrumental for cold crystallization observed when heating from the completely amorphous state to the temperature of crystallization near and above  $T_{g}$  [1004]. Nucleation is likely caused by the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of indomethacin and ibuprofen. This is reasonable because at temperatures much below  $T_g$ , the kinetics are governed by the primitive or JG  $\beta$ -process. Additional evidence that the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation is responsible for the nucleation preceding crystallization in indomethacin came from the comparison of the critical size of the nucleus formed by nucleation,  $r^*$ , and the length scale,  $\xi$ , of the cooperative  $\alpha$ -relaxation. The fact that  $r^* = 0.7 - 0.9$  nm is much smaller than  $\xi = 3.4$  nm for indomethacin rules out the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and suggests the more local JG  $\beta$ -relaxation [992(b)]. Similarly, Surana et al. [992(c)] reported increased nucleation upon annealing samples of amorphous trehalose below the glass transition temperature.

From the results of the studies mentioned above, deterioration of amorphous pharmaceuticals by nucleation and crystallization can be avoided by storage at temperatures where the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation time,  $\tau_{\text{JG}}$ , becomes sufficiently very long. For any predetermined storage time  $t_{\text{S}}$ , the temperature  $T_{\text{S}}$  may be determined by the condition  $\tau_{\text{JG}}(T_S) \approx t_{\text{S}}$ , with the help of the Arrhenius *T*-dependence of  $\tau_{\text{JG}}(T)$  in the glassy state.

Less direct evidence of the crystallization kinetics being coupled with the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation and not the  $\alpha$ -relaxation comes from the investigation of the isothermal crystallization kinetics of a drug called SSR at different temperatures above  $T_g$  by Alie et al. [1186]. The temperature dependence of the crystallization time is much weaker than  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and is similar to that of a secondary relaxation found. Although the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation is responsible for initiating the crystallization. This is because the observed secondary relaxation has relaxation time of the order of  $10^{-7}$  s at  $T_g$ , which is much too short compared with  $\tau_{\rm JG}$  expected from the narrow isothermal dielectric  $\alpha$ -loss peak (after conductivity has been subtracted) with full-width at half-maximum less than two decades, and also the low value of m = 54.7. Thus, the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation may not have been resolved but still be active in crystallization.

Pikal and coworkers measured the crystallization onset time  $\theta_c$  by polarized light microscopy and the dielectric  $\alpha$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  above  $T_g$  of amorphous pharmaceuticals. They found linear relation between  $\ln(\theta_c)$  and  $\ln(\tau_{\alpha})^{\beta}$  in sucrose [1190], and in indomethacin, flopropione, and felodipine [1191(a) and (b)] given by

$$\ln(\theta_{\rm c}) = (M/\beta) \ln(\tau_{\alpha})^{\beta} + C, \qquad (2.82)$$

where  $\beta \equiv (1 - n)$  is the stretch exponent of the Kohlrausch correlation function of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation, and M and C are constants. This relation predicts well the order of magnitude of the crystallization onset time  $\theta_c$  at (pharmaceutically relevant) temperatures below  $T_{\rm g}$ , from  $(\tau_{\alpha})^{\beta}$  measured at the same temperatures by DSC for sucrose, indomethacin, and flopropione. Thus, Eq. (2.82) may have practical applications. Interestingly, this equation is equivalent to  $\ln(\theta_c) = (M'/\beta) \ln(\tau_0) + C'$ . or  $\ln(\theta_c) = (M'/\beta)\ln(\tau_{JG}) + C'$ . The equivalence follows from the CM equation (2.68) when rewritten as  $(\tau_{\alpha})^{\beta} = t_{c}^{-n}\tau_{0} \approx t_{c}^{-n}\tau_{JG}$  and recognizing that  $t_{c}$  is a constant,  $\beta \equiv (1 - n)$ , and  $\tau_{0} \approx \tau_{JG}$ . Thus, the correlation of  $\theta_{c}$  with  $(\tau_{\alpha})^{\beta}$  found by Pikal and coworkers can be restated as correlation between  $\theta_c$  and  $\tau_0$  or  $\tau_{IG}$ , suggesting that the primitive or JG  $\beta$ -relaxation also is responsible for the nucleation and crystallization of the pharmaceuticals studied. The crystal growth rate of glassy phenobarbital and solid dispersions of phenobarbital with PVP and L-proline also were found to correlate with  $(\tau_{\alpha})^{\beta}$  [1191(c)]. The authors of [1191(c)] called  $(\tau_{\alpha})^{\beta}$ explicitly the structural relaxation time, but more appropriately it is the primitive or JG  $\beta$ -relaxation time except for the factor  $t_c^{-n}$ . Deep in the glassy state,  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is much longer than  $(\tau_{\alpha})^{\beta}$ .

Bhugra et al. [1190(a)] reported for spray-dried sucrose at  $T_g$  that  $(\tau_\alpha)^\beta = 0.0069$ with  $\beta = 0.61$ , the unit of  $\tau_\alpha$  being hour. The pharmaceuticals considered are all small molecular glassformers, and hence  $t_c$  can be taken approximately equal to 2 ps or  $5.6 \times 10^{-16}$  h. The value of  $\tau_{JG}(T_g)$  for sucrose calculated from  $\tau_{JG} \approx$  $(\tau_\alpha)^{0.61}(5.6 \times 10^{-16})^{0.39}$  is  $10^{-8.1}$  h or  $10^{-4.6}$  s, which is within an order of magnitude the same as that of  $\tau_{JG}(T_g)$  determined by dielectric spectroscopy (see Fig. 206 in Section 2.3.3.26 on carbohydrates). For indomethacin, Bhugra fitted the dielectric relaxation data with the Cole–Davidson function having the fractional exponent  $\beta_{CD} = 0.41$  and gave  $(\tau_\alpha)^\beta = 0.1$  at  $T_g$  where again the unit of  $\tau_\alpha$  is hour. It is known that  $\beta_{CD}$  is smaller than  $\beta$  of the Kohlrausch function used to fit the same data [85(c)]. In fact, the value  $\beta = 0.59$  was given by Carpentier et al. from their fits to the dielectric loss data of indomethacin [1000(b)]. The value of  $\tau_{JG}(T_g)$  calculated from  $\tau_{JG} \approx (\tau_\alpha)^{0.59} (5.6 \times 10^{-16})^{0.41}$  is  $10^{-7.3}$  h or  $10^{-3.7}$  s, which is in good agreement with that deduced from the study of Carpentier et al. The good agreement found in sucrose and indomethacin further substantiates other evidences of the role of the primitive or JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in nucleation and crystallization of pharmaceuticals.

Bhattacharya and Suryanarayanan [1192] have recently reviewed the literature that recognized that local molecular mobility originating from the secondary relaxation is responsible for the physical as well as chemical instability of amorphous pharmaceuticals including crystallization. The review emphasizes the significance of local motions in amorphous pharmaceuticals, primarily the Johari–Goldstein relaxations, and the utility of the CM in relating the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation to global mobility effected by the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. These authors have also reviewed the influence of additives including water on the local motions in amorphous matrix, as in molecular dispersions.

#### 2.3.2.23 JG β-Relaxation in Pharmaceuticals

Having just discussed the importance of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in crystallization of amorphous pharmaceuticals, it is worthwhile to give more details on the nature of JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in some examples. The objective here is to show the general presence of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in pharmaceuticals, and the same concepts used to describe the properties of JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in non-pharmaceutical supercooled liquids and glasses apply directly to pharmaceuticals. These demonstrations are deemed beneficial for researchers in pharmaceutical sciences by the transfer of knowledge from basic research of glass transition to industrial applications.

# Aspirin

Acetyl salicylic acid (HCOO–C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>–COOCH<sub>3</sub>), commonly known as aspirin, has been studied by calorimetry [1193, 1194], optical heterodyne-detected optical Kerr effect [1195], deuteron NMR [1196], and dielectric relaxation [1189]. It has  $T_g =$ 243 K. The dielectric spectra measured in the frequency range  $10^{-1} < \nu < 10^{6}$ Hz show the presence of a well-resolved secondary relaxation at temperatures below  $T_g$ from 230 K down to 130 K. The *T*-dependence of its relaxation time is Arrhenius and given by  $\tau_{\beta}(T) = 3 \times 10^{-15} \exp(4900/T)$  s, and is shown in Fig. 195 together



**Fig. 195** (*Left*) Arrhenius plot of the correlation times of methyl deuterated acetyl salicylic acid (ASA) (*closed symbols*) and of fully protonated ASA (*open symbols*). The correlation times were obtained from dielectric measurements at 1 kHz ( $\nabla$ , from G.P. Johari) and in a broader range ( $\blacktriangle$  and  $\bigcirc$  from [1188]), from differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) at 10 K/min ( $\diamond$  from [1193]), from stimulated-echo NMR (• from [1189]) and from  $T_1$  experiments ( $\blacklozenge$  from [1188]). The *dash-dotted line* represents an Arrhenius law and the *solid line* a Vogel–Fulcher law. The DSC results from [1194] depended somewhat on the heating rate which was varied between 20 and 150 K/min. Here shown are those data which approach the dielectric ones closest. All results described so far were obtained for supercooled or glassy ASA. The *closed squares* refer to CD<sub>3</sub> group reorientation times in crystalline ASA as reported in [1197] with the *dashed line* representing an energy barrier of 510 K. The *lone red filled circle* is the primitive relaxation time calculated from the CM equation (see text). (*Right*) Frequency-dependent dielectric loss factor of ASA. The upturn seen at 230 K and low frequencies is due to the high-frequency flank of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. The *lines* for T = 70 K are fits using the Cole–Cole expression. Reproduced from [1188] by permission

with  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$  obtained by dielectric, calorimetric, stimulated-echo NMR, and spinlattice relaxation measurements and fitted to the VFTH dependence. Using the VFTH dependence, a steepness index  $m(T_g) = 79 \pm 5$  was determined at  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_g) =$ 100 s. The closed squares refer to methyl CD<sub>3</sub> group reorientation times in crystalline acetyl salicylic acid with the dashed line as fit to the Arrhenius dependence with an energy barrier of 510 K. The Kohlrausch correlation function of the  $\alpha$ relaxation was determined by the stimulated-echo amplitude measurements for supercooled partially deuterated aspirin (HCOO–C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>–COOCD<sub>3</sub>) as a function of the mixing time at several temperatures from about 258 K down to 247 K. The Kohlrausch exponents  $\beta \equiv (1 - n)$  determined exhibit mild linear decrease with decreasing temperature dependence and was used to obtain its value at  $T_g$ . The result is  $\beta(T_g) = 0.42$  or  $n(T_g) = 0.58$ . Inserting the values of  $n(T_g) = 0.58$ ,  $t_c = 2$  ps, and  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_g)$  from the VFTH fit into the CM equation  $\tau_0(T_g) = [\tau_{\alpha}(T_g)]^{1-n(T_g)} t_c^{n(T_g)}$ , the calculated  $\tau_0(T_g)$  shown as the lone solid red circle in Fig. 196 is in excellent



**Fig. 196a** Relaxation map of indomethacin. The *open circles* are relaxation time determined by dielectric measurements: isothermally above  $T_g$  and fitted with the Havriliak–Negami function; isochronally below  $T_g$  and determined from the temperature of the maximum loss factor below  $T_g$ . The *solid lines* represent the VFTH fit to the *T*-dependence of the  $\alpha$ -process, and the Arrhenius fit to *T*-dependence of the  $\gamma$ -process. The *two closed circles* correspond to the primitive relaxation times predicted by the CM by using Eq. (2.68), the low-temperature point (*the closed inverted triangle*) is obtained from isochronal dielectric loss factor of the deeply quenched liquid. The *dashed-dotted line* has activation enthalpy of 56 kJ/mol. The *full squares* correspond to the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR  $T_{1z}$  (short times) and  $T_{1\rho}$  (long time) minima of the amorphous phase, the *open squares* to the  $T_{1\rho}$  minima measured in the crystalline  $\gamma$ -phase. Reproduced from [1000(b)] by permission

agreement with the experimental value of  $\tau_{JG}(T_g)$  obtained by extrapolating the Arrhenius dependence to  $T_g$ . This good agreement between  $\tau_0(T_g)$  and  $\tau_{JG}(T_g)$  was found by Nath et al. [1189], and is indication that the observed secondary relaxation of aspirin is the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation.

Fast dynamics of aspirin was studied by optical heterodyne-detected optical Kerr effect (OHD-OKE) experiment by Cang et al. [1195]. The OHD-OKE signal S(t) of aspirin is qualitatively no different from that of salol (phenyl salicylate) [330(a)], *ortho*-terphenyl [330(b)], and dibutyl phthalate (DBP) [331]. An intermediate power law (IPL) having time dependence  $t^{-1+c}$  with  $c\approx0.2$  in the time region from about 2 to 20 ps was observed in aspirin at 312 K. At 284 and 276 K, the IPL with  $c\approx0$  was observed immediately after a highly damped vibration with a period of 2 ps. When *c* becomes small at these lower temperatures, the  $t^{-1+c}$  decay of S(t) corresponds to the near constant loss (NCL) spectrum in the susceptibility  $\chi''(v)$  discussed before in Section 2.3.2.6. Thus, even the dynamics of aspirin at short times when molecules are caged is similar to that of ordinary glassformers.

#### Ibuprofen

Correia and coworkers investigated the structure and dynamics in the supercooled liquid and glassy states of another common drug (2*RS*)-2[4-(2methylpropyl)phenyl]propanoic acid (C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O<sub>2</sub>), commonly known as ibuprofen, which is a widely used non-steroidal pharmaceutical having analgesic, antipyretic, and anti-inflammatory properties [1004]. Two secondary relaxations,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ , are found together with the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation by broadband dielectric relaxation spectroscopy (DRS). The slower  $\beta$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{\beta}(T)$  determined has been shown before in Fig. 131 in Section 2.3.2.5 together with  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$  and  $\tau_{\gamma}(T)$ . There, the discussion is on the encroachment of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation toward the  $\gamma$ -relaxation near  $T_g$ , which causes the anomalous *T*-dependence of  $\tau_{\gamma}$ . Here the focus is on the properties of the  $\beta$ -relaxation and its relation to the  $\alpha$ -relaxation.

The temperature dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$  is well described by the VFTH law, VFTH(T) = 1.2 × 10<sup>-14</sup> exp [1426/(T – 187)], which shows that  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$  reaches  $\tau_{\alpha} = 100$  s at T = 226 K, which can be taken as  $T_g$ . From the VFTH dependence, the steepness index  $m(T_g) = 93$  was calculated showing that ibuprofen is a "fragile" glassformer. The slowest relaxation labeled D in Fig. 131 is associated with the hydrogen-bonding network, similar to that found in *n*-propanol and other hydrogenbonded glassformers. The isothermal dielectric loss spectra at temperatures near and above  $T_g$  are fitted well by the one-sided Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function with  $\beta \equiv (1 - n) = 0.52$ . Inserting this value of n(T) = 0.48,  $t_c = 2$  ps, and  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$  from the VFTH fit into the CM equation  $\tau_0(T) = [\tau_{\alpha}(T)]^{1-n}t_c^n$ , the calculated  $\tau_0(T)$  in a narrow range of temperatures near and above  $T_g$  are shown as stars (and labeled as  $\tau_{JG,CM}$ ) in Fig. 131). The calculated  $\tau_0(T)$  are in good agreement with the experimental value of  $\tau_{\beta}(T)$  shown as open triangles in the figure, indicating that the  $\beta$ -relaxation is the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of ibuprofen. Another indication of the same comes from change of the temperature dependence of  $\tau_{\beta}(T)$  of ibuprofen from the Arrhenius dependence below  $T_g$  to a manifestly stronger *T*-dependence above  $T_g$ , like that found in other glassformers. This temperature dependence of  $\tau_{\beta}(T)$  above  $T_g$  albeit is weaker than that of VFTH(*T*) for  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$ .

Change of temperature dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$  from one VFTH function to another and accompanying changes of temperature dependence of the dielectric strength of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation were found in ibuprofen at  $T_{\rm B} = 265$  K [1004]. As shown before, these features are commonly found in non-pharmaceutical small molecular glassformers as well as in amorphous polymers such as poly(vinyl acetate). Thus, in many respects, the dynamic properties of ibuprofen are no different from ordinary glassformers.

#### Indomethacin

Indomethacin, C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>16</sub>ClNO<sub>4</sub> (1-(*p*-chlorobenzoyl)-5-methoxy-2-methylindole-3acetic acid), is another anti-inflammation pharmaceutical that is commonly prescribed for patient suffering from gout. Carpentier and coworkers [1000(b)] found evidence of the presence of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in indomethacin by isothermal dielectric measurements above  $T_{g}$ , and in the glassy state obtained by a rapid and deep quench in the isochronal spectra (see Fig. 189). The  $T_g = 315$  K was determined by DCS scan at the rate of 2 K/min. Good fits to the isothermal dielectric  $\alpha$ -loss peak at 317 and 321 K were obtained by the Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function with n = 0.41 (Eq. (2.1)). There is excess loss appearing on the high-frequency side of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak at frequencies consistent with the location of the primitive relaxation frequency  $v_0$  calculated from the CM equation with n = 0.41. This coincidence suggests that the excess loss is due to the JG  $\beta$ relaxation. The isochronal spectrum at 10 Hz as a function of temperature exhibits a loss peak at 245 K. This result together with the primitive relaxation times  $\tau_0$  calculated from the experimental values  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and n = 0.41 at two temperatures near  $T_{\rm g}$  are shown by two filled circles in the relaxation map (Fig. 196a). Interpolation of these data points by a straight line is the predicted Arrhenius T-dependence of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation time,  $\tau_{JG} = (9.5 \times 10^{-17} \text{ s}) \exp(56 \text{ kJ/mol/}RT)$ , of indomethacin. The faster  $\gamma$ -relaxation (open circles and open squares) is not the JG relaxation because it is also present in a crystalline phase of indomethacin and is attributed to rotation of the chlorobenzyl end group.

A secondary  $\beta$ -relaxation of amorphous indomethacin was also detected as a small endothermic peak by Vyazovkin and Dranca in differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) when reheating quenched sample after annealing in the temperature region from 253 to 278 K [992(b)]. Shift of the peak temperature with the heating rate allows the activation energy of  $\beta$ -relaxation to be estimated. The activation energy of the  $\beta$ -process obtained by this method is 57 kJ/mol, in good agreement with 56 kJ/mol determined by the combination of the dielectric  $\tau_{\beta}$  and the calculated  $\tau_0$  shown in Fig. 196a by the dashed-dotted line, and reaffirms its identify as the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. Another confirmation comes from the thermally stimulated depolarization current study by Correia et al. [1198].



**Fig. 196b** (*Left*) Dielectric loss spectra of indomethacin all obtained at P = 400 MPa and temperatures in the range 372.15  $\ge T \ge 264.15$  K. (*Right*) Comparison of dielectric loss spectra at P = 0.1 MPa and T = 264 K of two different glassy indomethacin obtained from the liquid state by different pressure and temperature paths. One path is by simply supercooling the melt at ambient pressure (*filled black squares*). The other path is first compressing the sample at T = 310 K at pressure of 400 MPa, then decreasing the temperature of sample down to 264 K while maintaining the pressure at 400 MPa, and finally reducing the pressure back to P = 0.1 MPa. Reproduced from [1199] by permission

Broadband dielectric measurements of indomethacin under high pressure of 400 MPa by Z. Wojnarowska et al. [1199] managed to find the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation appearing as a broad flat loss in the glassy state of indomethacin located at frequencies below the resolved  $\gamma$ -relaxation. This is shown in the left panel of Fig. 196b. Forced fitting of the flat loss was attempted in [1199] to determine the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation under elevated pressure of 400 MPa. However, one must be beware of large uncertainties accompanying these reported values.

Study of the dependence of the secondary relaxations of indomethacin on the thermodynamic paths carried out in [1199] is helpful to show the difference between the unresolved JG  $\beta$ -relaxation and the resolved  $\gamma$ -relaxation. One path is by simply supercooling the melt at ambient pressure (filled black squares) down to 264 K. The other path is first compressing the sample at T = 310 K at pressure of 400 MPa, then decreasing the temperature of sample down to 264 K while maintaining the pressure at 400 MPa, and finally reducing the pressure back to P = 0.1 MPa. It can seen in the right panel of Fig. 196b that the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation is sensitive to the difference in the thermodynamic paths but not the resolved  $\gamma$ -relaxation.

# Poly(vinylpyrrolidone) (PVP) and Ursodeoxycholic Acid (UDA)

The DSC method used by Vyazovkin and Dranca also found the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in poly(vinylpyrrolidone) (PVP) and ursodeoxycholic acid (UDA). The activation enthalpies are 68 and 67 kJ/mol for PVP and UDA, respectively, and are supported by dynamic mechanical measurements [992(a)].

# Acetaminophen (Tylenol)

Isothermal dielectric spectra of glassy and ultraviscous states of acetaminophen (C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>9</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>, and known as Tylenol and others), an analgesic and antipyretic with  $T_g = 296$  K, have been measured over the frequency range 10 Hz–0.4 MHz by Johari and coworkers [1200]. The relaxation spectra are well fitted by the Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function with exponent,  $\beta \equiv (1 - n)$ , remaining constant at 0.79±0.02 over the 305–341 K range. The JG  $\beta$ -relaxation was not reported probably because it was not resolved in acetaminophen because of the small value of the coupling parameter, n = 0.21, as expected from the correlation between *n* and the separation between the  $\alpha$ - and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation (see Section 2.3.2.1). Indirect evidence of the presence of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in acetaminophen was deduced from the temperature dependence of  $\varepsilon_{\infty}$ , the limiting high-frequency permittivity of the  $\alpha$ -process.

Dielectric spectroscopy was used to study supercooled liquid and glassy mixtures of acetaminophen and nifedipine (calcium channel blocker) with compositions ranging from pure acetaminophen (ACE) to pure nifedipine (NIF) by El Gorsey and Böhmer [1201]. The glass transition temperatures defined by  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_{g}) = 100$  s vary linearly with composition, indicating good miscibility. The steepness index  $m(T_g)$  characterizing the  $\alpha$ -relaxation as well as the dispersion width of this process was almost independent of composition. Acetaminophen has  $m(T_g) = 90$ , and  $\beta \equiv (1 - n) = 0.75$ , which is consistent with the value  $0.79 \pm 0.02$  given by Johari et al. [1200]. The large  $m(T_g) = 90$  and yet the small n = 0.21 - 0.25 of acetaminophen and nifedipine is another example of cases in which "fragility" does not correlate with non-exponentiality such as propylene carbonate (for other examples see Section 2.2.3). A well-resolved low-temperature  $\gamma$ -relaxation was found and likely originates from the side group. In fact, the ratio of its activation enthalpy  $E_{\gamma}$  to  $RT_{g}$  is 11.7 much smaller than the usual value of JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. Neither the isothermal nor the isochronal dielectric spectra resolve the JG β-relaxation, which is expected in view of the small n. Nevertheless, its presence was suggested by the wing on the low-temperature side of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak in the isochronal spectra at 1.6 Hz (see Fig. 197). The wing of  $\varepsilon''(T)$  at 1.6 Hz is similar to that found in the loss modulus G''(T) at 5.4 kHz of metallic glass shown before in Fig. 170, except the absence of the  $\gamma$ -relaxation in the metallic glass. Assuming the ratio of the activation enthalpy  $E_{JG}$  of the unresolved JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of the mixtures of acetaminophen and nifedipine to RTg is in the neighborhood of 24 as found in many glassformers, and assuming the prefactor  $\tau_{\infty}$  of  $\tau_{\rm JG}(T)$  is  $10^{-14}$  s, the location of the JG relaxation on the isochronal spectrum measured at  $\nu = 1.6$  Hz was calculated. Its location on the wing as indicated by an arrow in Fig. 197 supports the interpretation given above.

Complex heat capacity,  $C_p^* = C'_p - iC''_p$ , where  $C'_p$  and  $C''_p$  are the real and imaginary components, of ultraviscous melt and glassy acetaminophen was measured by dynamic heat capacity spectroscopy [1202]. The Kohlrausch exponent  $\beta$  from the  $C_p^*$  measurements is 0.65 for acetaminophen. It is somewhat less than the value of 0.79±0.02 obtained from dielectric relaxation studies, but the corresponding value



**Fig. 197** (*Left*) Loss factor of NIF<sub>0.25</sub>ACE<sub>0.75</sub> from El Gorsey and Böhmer [1201]. The *lines* are drawn to guide the eye. The *arrow* marks the JG loss peak temperature at v = 1.6 Hz (*filled squares*) expected on the basis of the estimate made in the text. Reproduced from [1201] by permission. (*Right*) The dielectric loss factor of fananserine at 296 and 302 K. The *lines* are KWW fits to the  $\alpha$ -relaxation peaks with n = 0.30 (302 K) and n = 0.32 (296 K). Vertical arrows indicate the location of the corresponding primitive relaxation frequencies  $f_0$  calculated from the CM equation and the parameters  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and n of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. Reproduced from [1000(c)] by permission

of n = 0.35 is still small to resolve the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in the  $C_p^*$  spectra. This difference was explained by the fact that the configurational changes that contribute to the entropy and therefore to the  $C_p$  involve all types of molecular arrangement in a melt, including translational diffusion without rotation, and the breaking and reforming of hydrogen bonds (particularly relevant to acetaminophen having the -OH, and -NH- groups), all of which contribute to structural fluctuations. In contrast, dielectric relaxation involves only those modes of motions that change the dipole vector. The greater multiplicity of the modes involved in calorimetric relaxation was used to rationalize why  $\beta$  is less for  $C_p$  measurements than for dielectric relaxation.

# Fananserine

Fananserine (C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>24</sub>FN<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S) is a pharmaceutical having anxiolytic properties. The dynamics of amorphous fananserine was studied by dielectric relaxation, complex heat capacity, and NMR measurements by Carpentier et al. [1000(c)]. Its calorimetric  $T_g$  at the scanning rate of 5 K/min is 292 K, which is about the same as 290 K, the dielectric  $T_g$  arbitrarily defined as the temperature at the dielectric  $\tau_{\alpha} = 100$  s. From the VFTH *T*-dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , the steepness index value of  $m(T_g) = 77$  was determined. The Kohlrausch fit to the isothermal dielectric loss spectrum at 296 K gave n = 0.32, which is small and similar to that of acetaminophen (0.25 from dielectric, and 0.35 from calorimetry). Like acetaminophen, the consequence of small *n* is that the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of fananserine was not resolved and showed up as an excess wing on the high-frequency flank of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak. The location of the excess wing is consistent with the calculated primitive frequency  $v_0$ . These are shown in the right panel of Fig. 197.

#### Amorphous Tramadol Monohydrate and Its Salt

Tramadol is a drug used to treat severe pain and most types of neuralgia and usually produced as the hydrochloride salt in two forms: solid dosage forms and injectable. The molecular dynamics of tramadol monohydrate and tramadol hydrochloride was studied by Kaminski et al. [1203]. The dielectric loss spectra of tramadol monohydrate at several temperatures and superpositions for reduction to a master curve at 251 K are shown, respectively, in the inset and the main part of Fig. 198a [1203]. The features of the frequency dispersion are almost the same as propylene carbonate (see Fig. 35b) except there is absence of the resolved  $\gamma$ -relaxation in propylene carbonate. There is the presence of an excess wing at the high-frequency flank of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak, which is well fitted by the Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function with  $\beta_{KWW} = (1 - n) = 0.70$ . The location of the primitive relaxation frequency at 251 K calculated by the CM equation indicated by the arrow in the figure lies within the domain of the excess wing, and suggests the excess wing is the unresolved JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. The steepness or fragility index *m* is 76. All these characteristics of the dynamics of tramadol monohydrate are similar to propylene carbonate.

Changing tramadol monohydrate to its hydrochloride salt results in significant increase in glass transition temperature. Large conductivity contribution to dielectric loss in tramadol hydrochloride makes it impossible to characterize the  $\alpha$ -relaxation by the data represented by  $\varepsilon''(f)$ . Kaminski et al. resorted to analyzing the data represented by the complex electric modulus  $M^*(f) \equiv 1/\varepsilon^*(f)$ . The electric loss modulus, M''(f), at 333 K of tramadol hydrochloride in the liquid state shows the presence of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation by the shoulder instead of the excess wing in the monohydrate



**Fig. 198** (a) Dielectric loss spectra of tramadol monohydrate and five indicated temperatures after shifting in frequency to superimpose the high-frequency side of  $\alpha$ -relaxation. The *inset* shows the same spectra before superposition. The *solid line* is a KWW function with  $\beta_{KWW} = 0.70$ . The *arrow* denotes the position of the primitive relaxation predicted by the CM equation at 251 K. (b) Frequency dependence of the imaginary part M'' of the electric modulus for tramadol hydrochloride at T = 333 K. The *solid line* is a KWW function with  $\beta_{KWW} = 0.65$ . The *arrow* denotes the position of the primitive relaxation predicted by the CM equation at 333 K. The structure of tramadol monohydrate is the same as tramadol hydrochloride shown except HCl is replaced by H<sub>2</sub>O. Reproduced from [1203] by permission

(see Fig. 198b). The  $\alpha$ -loss modulus peak is fitted by the Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function with  $\beta_{KWW} = (1 - n) = 0.65$ . The calculated primitive relaxation frequency is consistent with the probable frequency of the barely resolved JG  $\beta$ -relaxation.

As Kaminski et al. pointed out, the fact that the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in the monohydrate is closer to the  $\alpha$ -process than in the hydrochloride salt may explain why the monohydrate is much more stable against crystallization than the hydrochloride. This means that tramadol monohydrate has less local mobility from its JG  $\beta$ -relaxation than tramadol hydrochloride.

#### Telmisartan

Telmisartan is a drug commonly prescribed to patients with high blood pressure. The dielectric loss data of Telmisartan obtained at ambient pressure by Adrjanowicz et al. [1204(a)] are shown in Fig. 199-1. It has an extremely broad  $\beta$ -process, which can be resolved at the lowest temperature 403.15 K but still above  $T_g$  (left panel). Below  $T_g$ , a faster  $\gamma$ -relaxation (right panel of Fig. 199) appears together with the  $\beta$ -process. The full-width at half-maximum of the  $\beta$ -process in the glassy state is estimated to be about eight decades in frequency. The  $\alpha$ -loss peak at 403.15 K can be fitted by the Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function with  $\beta_{KWW} = 0.61$ . The calculated primitive frequency  $\nu_0 \approx 10^3$  Hz is indicated by the location of the thick vertical arrow consistent with the probable relaxation time of the flat  $\beta$ -process, and thus the latter is the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of telmisartan.



**Fig. 199-1** (*Left*) Dielectric loss spectra of telmisartan obtained on cooling at ambient pressure and temperatures above the glass transition temperature between temperatures 469.15 and 403.15 K, after subtracting the conductivity. The *thick arrow* indicates the primitive frequency  $v_0 \approx 10^3$  Hz calculated for 403.15 K. (*Right*) Dielectric loss data in the glassy state. The chemical structure of TEL is shown in the *inset*. Reproduced from [1204(a)] by permission

# Celecoxib

Another pharmaceutical, celecoxib, was studied by dielectric relaxation, and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation was found together with a faster intramolecular  $\gamma$ -relaxation [12049(b)]. Some data are shown in Fig. 199-2. Good agreement was found between the calculated  $\tau_0$  and  $\tau_{JG}$  near  $T_g$ , and this suggests the change of *T*-dependence of  $\tau_{JG}$  when crossing  $T_g$  like in other neat glassformers and mixtures. See caption of Fig. 199-2 for more details.



**Fig. 199-2** The *inset* shows the loss spectrum of amorphous celecoxib at 64 and 66°C (after shifting to superpose with the data of 64°C) fit by the Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function with n = 0.33. The *arrow* indicates the calculated primitive  $f_0$ . The main figure shows the  $\alpha$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  (•) and the VFTH fit (*dashed line*), the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{1G}$  (**II**) with Arrhenius *T*-dependence, and the calculated primitive relaxation time  $\tau_0$  from the VFTH fit to  $\tau_{\alpha}$  (*thick line*). The *two stars* are  $\tau_{1G}$  obtained by fitting the spectra at the two temperatures by the Cole–Cole representation of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. Note the near agreement between the calculated  $\tau_0$  and  $\tau_{JG}$  near  $T_g$ , and the suggested change of *T*-dependence of  $\tau_{JG}$  when crossing  $T_g$ 

# 2.3.2.24 Relation Between the Arrhenius Activation Energies of $\tau_{\alpha}$ and $\tau_{JG}$ in the Glassy State

As demonstrated in the previous sections, at temperatures above  $T_g$ , the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{JG}$  has been shown to correspond well to the primitive relaxation time  $\tau_0$ , and both are related to the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation by Eqs. (2.68) and (2.69). This equation should continue to hold at temperatures below  $T_g$ . However, testing this relation in the glassy state is more difficult because of either the scarcity or the unspecified thermal history of the data on the  $\alpha$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . Full and reliable information on the characteristics of the structural relaxation can be acquired only

when the structure is at equilibrium and such condition is rarely satisfied at temperatures well below  $T_g$ . In fact, glassy systems are non-ergodic and their properties can depend on aging time and thermal history. However, for glasses in isostructural state with a constant fictive temperature  $T_f$ ,  $\tau_\alpha$  as well as  $\tau_{JG}$  and  $\tau_0$  should have Arrhenius *T*-dependences with activation enthalpies  $E_\alpha$ ,  $E_{JG}$ , and  $E_0$ , respectively. The CM equations (2.68) and (2.69) in this case lead us to the relation

$$(1-n)E_{\alpha} = E_0 = E_{\rm JG} \tag{2.83}$$

between the activation enthalpies. This relation was predicted by another model of relaxation by Perez and coworkers in glassformers [1205–1208], although it differs from the CM in quantitative details. When checking this prediction, it is important to bear in mind that both  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{JG}$  used to determine  $E_{\alpha}$  and  $E_{JG}$  are derived from experiment under isostructural condition with  $T_{\rm f}$  being held constant. Wrong conclusion could result from data of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{\beta}$  obtained in glassy states having different  $T_{\rm f}$ , a consequence of different cooling rates, annealing temperatures. and waiting times. In fact, it has been demonstrated in physical aging experiments [1209] that the structural states induced in three different types of thermal history (isothermal, isochronal, and isostructural) are very different. Thus, to verify the relation (2.83), it is important to know the thermal history for fulfillment of isostructural condition. This was done for dipropyleneglycol dibenzoate (DPGDB) by a procedure explained in [1014]. Knowing  $E_{\alpha}$  of the isostructural state of DPGDB and n = 0.43, the product  $(1 - n)E_{\alpha}$  has the value of 48.4 kJ/mol. On the other hand, by fitting the experimental JG  $\beta$ -relaxation times in the lower temperature region to an Arrhenius equation, its activation energy  $E_{JG}$  has the value of 48.0±0.6 kJ/mol. There is good agreement between  $(1 - n)E_{\alpha}$  and  $E_{JG}$ .

Unfortunately, most of the papers in literature concerning JG relaxations do not report any information about thermal history and one cannot be sure if the relaxation times were derived isostructurally. The simple relation (Eq. (2.83)) can be tested for other systems where genuine JG relaxation has been reported in the literature, although the thermal history followed to obtain the glass is not given for all of them. The results from the work of Capaccioli et al [669] are shown in Fig. 200, where the product  $(1 - n)E_{\alpha}$  is plotted against the experimentally measured activation energy  $E_{\rm IG}$  of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. A linear regression of data yields an angular coefficient of  $0.99\pm0.01$ , meaning a remarkable agreement between experiments and model predictions, in spite of possible errors due to unknown thermal history of the glassy state. The data reported in Fig. 200 include some low molecular mass van der Waals glassformers (like OTP, isopropylbenzene, and toluene), some hydrogen-bonding system (like glucose and *n*-propanol), the mixture benzyl chloride in toluene, and the polymer PMMA. Additionally the figure displays also the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of some epoxy compounds having multiple relaxation scenario (only the slowest secondary relaxation is the genuine JG) [242, 1052], the data of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation for the polymer PET [1210], and the recently discovered JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of polyisoprene [978].



Fig. 200 Linear correlation between the experimental activation energy in the glassy state for the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation process (*abscissa*) and the activation energy predicted by the CM for the primitive relaxation (*ordinate*). *Symbols* for simple van der Waals molecules, H-bonded systems, polymers, chlorobenzene/toluene mixture, and epoxy oligomers are shown in the figure. The *solid line* is a linear regression of data (linear coefficient 0.99 $\pm$ 0.01). Reproduced from [669] by permission

Application: Using the JG  $\beta$ -Relaxation to Predict the  $\alpha$ -Relaxation Time Deep in the Glassy State

The Arrhenius *T*-dependence of  $\tau_{JG}$  below  $T_g$  usually can be determined and can be extrapolated to lower temperatures. Using this relation between  $E_{\alpha}$  and  $E_{JG}$  which is completely determined by (1 - n), one can estimate the magnitude of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  at any temperature in the glassy state. This has practical application in materials engineering in estimating  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , which is far too long to be determined directly by any means.

# 2.3.2.25 Aging of the JG β-Relaxation Used to Probe Structural Relaxation in the Glassy State

In Section 2.3.2.21 experimental evidences have been given to show that the JG relaxation is responsible for structural change deep in the glassy state on aging. Also in Section 2.3.2.24 the ratio of the activation energies of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{JG}$  in the glassy state,  $E_{JG}/E_{\alpha}$ , has been shown to be approximately equal to (1 - n). These results are indications of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation playing a fundamental role in physical aging, and also there is still a connection between the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation and the  $\alpha$ -relaxation in the glassy state. In particular, this connection should show up in some aspects of physical aging of non-equilibrium glass. Densification of the glass by physical aging should have concurrent effects on the JG relaxation and the  $\alpha$ -relaxation, although the magnitudes of the effects are different. Because of this, physical aging can be studied through either the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation or the JG relaxation. Conventional study of aging is on the  $\alpha$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  increases on

aging. Study of aging via the JG relaxation, although unconventional, nevertheless has the advantage that its relaxation time  $\tau_{JG}$  is much shorter, and the change of the characteristics of the JG relaxation on aging can be monitored with ease and precision. This advantage was exploited by Casalini and Roland [1211] in their study of aging of 1,2-polybutadiene or poly(vinylethylene) (PVE) by measuring the variation the JG dielectric loss  $\varepsilon''(\tilde{f}, t_{ag})$  with aging time  $t_{ag}$  at a fixed frequency  $\tilde{f}$  in the vicinity of loss maximum, and at different aging temperatures T well below  $T_g$ . The time variation of  $\varepsilon''(\tilde{f}, t_{ag})$  clearly deviates from single exponential decay, but is well described by the Kohlrausch stretched exponential function,  $\exp[-(t_{ag}/\tau_{ag})^{\beta_{ag}}]$ , with the stretching exponent  $\beta_{ag} = 0.4$  for all aging temperatures (see Fig. 200, left panel). Although fixing  $\beta_{ag}$  equal to 0.4 for all aging temperatures is an assumption, this value is the same as the stretch exponent  $\beta(T_g)$ of the Kohlrausch function whose Fourier transform fits well the dielectric  $\alpha$ -loss peak of PVE close to  $T_g$  [981]. This coincidence between  $\beta_{ag}$  and  $\beta$  suggests that the variation of  $\varepsilon''(\tilde{f}, t_{ag})$  with  $t_{ag}$  results from change in structure by aging, which is ultimately caused by the  $\alpha$ -relaxation in this study, and  $\tau_{ag}$  is somehow related to the  $\alpha$ -relaxation.

The values of  $\tau_{ag}$  determined in this manner at various aging temperatures are shown in the right panel of Fig. 200 together with the JG relaxation times  $\tau_{JG}$  as a function of T in the glass state of PVE. Casalini et al. calculated  $\tau_{\alpha}$  from the CM, assuming the Kohlrausch exponent  $\beta$  in the glassy state retains the value of  $\beta(T_g) = 0.40$  determined at  $T_g$ , consistent with the assumption that  $\beta_{ag}$  has the same value for all aging temperatures. It can be seen from the figure that both the absolute values and the temperature dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  calculated from the CM for  $T < T_g$ ,  $\tau_{\alpha}^{cm}$ , are in good agreement with  $\tau_{ag}$ . These results suggest that although the aging time  $\tau_{ag}$  is ultimately determined by the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation, it is still tied to  $\tau_{JG}$ in the glassy state by the CM relation. Like the results shown in Section 2.3.2.24 above, it is possible to use the JG relaxation as a probe of the structural dynamics in the glassy state, which otherwise is inaccessible because of its immeasurably long relaxation time.

With the experimental protocol used to reach the various aging temperatures well below  $T_{\rm g}$ , Casalini et al. assume they are studying a glass with a fixed structure, and the determined  $\tau_{\rm ag}$  are isostructural values. This assumption seems to be inconsistent with the definition of aging which must involve structural changes, and the isostructural hypothesis means no change in structure and hence no aging. Discussed in previous subsections, some glassformers are more sensitive to density as indicated by larger exponent  $\gamma$  in the  $TV^{\gamma}$ -dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  in the liquid state. A weaker  $TV^{\gamma}$ -dependence with the same  $\gamma$  holds for  $\tau_{\rm JG}$ , to be shown in Section 2.3.2.29 later. Densification on aging shifts  $\tau_{\rm JG}$  in concert with  $\tau_{\alpha}$  to longer times on aging. Glassformers less sensitive to density (smaller  $\gamma$ ) including PVE here show no such shift of  $\tau_{\rm JG}$  on aging. In this case, the increase of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is suggested to be caused by increase of the dispersion of the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation or n, which reflects the structural change necessary for aging. Increase of n or decrease of  $\beta_{\rm ag}$  with  $t_{\rm ag}$  is a possibility, and may be consistent with the aging data of  $\varepsilon''(\tilde{f}, t_{\rm ag})$  when implemented in a more sophisticated fitting procedure than that used by Casalini et al.



**Fig. 201** (*Left*) Dielectric loss at f = 1 kHz vs. aging time  $t_{ag}$  normalized to the value at  $t_{ag} = 0$  for PVE at five temperatures. The *solid lines* are fits to an expression describing the dependence of the normalized loss on  $t_{ag}$  by a stretched exponential function (see text). The characteristic time  $\tau_{ag}$  of the stretched exponential increases with decreasing aging temperature indicated in the figure. The values of  $\tau_{ag}$  are shown by *filled triangles* in the *right panel*. (*Right*) Relaxation times for the  $\alpha$  and JG processes of PVE, together with the aging decay time  $\tau_{ag}$  and the  $\tau_{\alpha}$  calculated from CM and labeled by  $\tau_{\alpha}^{cm}$ .  $\tau_{ag}$  has the same behavior as  $\tau_{\alpha}^{cm}$ . The *solid line* is a VFTH fit to  $\tau_{\alpha}$  above  $T_g$ . The *dotted lines* are Arrhenius fits. The *inset* shows  $\tau_{\alpha}$  for polystyrene in the equilibrium liquid and glassy states, the latter determined from the intensity of second harmonic generation from chromophores within the polystyrene from [1212]. For more details see Casalini and Roland [1211]. Reproduced from [1211] by permission

Increase in *n* on aging beyond  $n = 1 - \beta(T_g) = 0.6$  has the consequence of changing the temperature dependence of  $\tau_{ag}$  from that obtained by Casalini et al. assuming  $\beta(T_g) = 0.4$ . This change may account for the deviations of  $\tau_{ag}$  from  $\tau_{\alpha}^{cm}$  in detail which can be seen in Fig. 201, right panel.

Similar result was obtained from the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of the pharmaceutical, telmisartan, on aging at 353.15 K by Adrjanowicz et al. [1204]. The isothermal dielectric loss spectra of telmisartan have been presented before in Fig. 199.

# 2.3.2.26 The Carbohydrates, Monosaccharides, Disaccharides, and Polysaccharides

Carbohydrates or saccharides, from the Greek word meaning sugar, constitute a large class of biomolecules that play many roles in biological processes, biochemical reactions, pharmaceutical processing as well as are a source of energy in living organisms [1213, 1214]. They are important in food science as one of the major ingredients, cryobiology as cryoprotectant [1215–1226], and pharmaceutical industry as matrix for amorphous drugs [1227, 1228]. The basic carbohydrate units are called monosaccharides. Among them, fructose and sorbose have six carbon atoms. Four of them and one oxygen form a five-membered ring, and hydroxyl groups are attached to three of the carbons and the resulting ring is a *furanose*. Each of the two remaining carbons and a hydroxyl group are attached to one of the two carbons adjacent to the oxygen. For glucose and galactose, five carbon atoms and one oxygen



Fig. 202 Chemical structures of the monosaccharides: glucose, fructose, D-ribose, and 2-deoxy-D-ribose

form a six-membered ring, and hydroxyl groups are attached to four of the carbon, and the resulting ring is a *pyranose*. The remaining carbon and a hydroxyl group are attached to one of the carbon atoms adjacent to the oxygen. The structures of these two monosaccharides are depicted in Fig. 202, more can be found in [708].

D-Ribose ( $C_5H_{10}O_5$ ) is a monosaccharide containing a total of five carbon atoms forming a pyranose ring. Closely related to D-ribose is 2-deoxy-D-ribose ( $C_5H_{10}O_4$ ). The main difference between the two is that, in the case of 2-deoxy-D-ribose, the hydroxyl group attached to the carbon (#2) in D-ribose is reduced to hydrogen (see Fig. 202). D-Ribose and 2-deoxy-D-ribose are, respectively, the building blocks of the backbone chains in the nucleic acids, RNA (ribonucleic acid) and DNA (deoxyribonucleic acid). Monosaccharides can be linked together into disaccharides and polysaccharides (e.g., starch, cellulose, glycogen, chitosan, dextran) in a variety of ways by different glycosidic bonds. For instance, the disaccharide sucrose is formed by linking D-glucose and D-fructose together by a glycosidic bond as shown in Fig. 203. Notable disaccharides maltose and trehalose ( $C_{12}H_{22}O_{11}$ ) are formed by linking two glucopyranose rings together by two different glycosidic linkages (see Fig. 203 here and more in [1012(c)]). While the pyranose and furanose rings of monosaccharide units are fairly rigid, the glycosidic bonds confer flexibility to disaccharide and polysaccharide molecules.

Carbohydrates in general are glass-forming substances. In view of their importance in science and applications, their molecular dynamics has been amply investigated using various kinds of spectroscopies in monosaccharides [1012(a), 1229–1238], disaccharides [1012(b), 1012(c), 1239–1242], and polysaccharides [1012(d), 1243–1252].



Fig. 203 Chemical structures of the disaccharides: sucrose and trehalose

# Monosaccharides

Earlier experimental studies of monosaccharides including glucose and fructose have found only one secondary relaxation much faster than the  $\alpha$ -relaxation at the glass transition temperature. An important advance of a more recent broadband dielectric study over wide temperature ranges down deep into the glassy states is the discovery of the presence of a slower secondary relaxation in these two monosaccharides as well as in galactose, sorbose, and ribose [1012(a)]. The isothermal loss data of fructose and galactose are shown in Fig. 204. Naturally, this new secondary relaxation is called the  $\beta$ -relaxation and the previously known secondary relaxation the  $\gamma$ -relaxation. Dielectric relaxation measurements of fructose and ribose at elevated pressures show that the  $\beta$ -relaxation shifts to lower frequency with increasing pressure [1012(a)], mimicking the pressure dependence of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. The experimental facts together with other ancillary considerations lead us to conclude that the  $\beta$ -relaxation is the fundamental Johari–Goldstein  $\beta$ -relaxation of the monosaccharides. On the contrary, the relaxation time of the y-relaxation of fructose shifts by 0.2 decade compared with 1.1 decade of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation on applying pressure of 4800 bar.

The  $\gamma$ -relaxation possibly originates from the hydrogen-bonding scheme in these substances, but the data are not sufficient to make any definite conclusion. NMR study of the motion of the methylol in glucose [1233] has shown that the glucose ring and the methylol (CH<sub>2</sub>OH) group mobility are strongly correlated and that the rotation of the methylol should not be used to explain the faster  $\gamma$ -relaxation process of glucose. Since the monosaccharides are hydrophilic, the presence of water in normally called dried samples and its motion (JG relaxation of water component) may give rise to the  $\gamma$ -relaxation, which is another possibility. This is supported by



**Fig. 204** (*Left*) The dielectric loss spectra of fructose at ambient pressure. Dc conductivity has been subtracted. The spectra were measured from T = 333 to T = 225 K with step of 4 K and from T = 218 to 178 K with step of 10 K. (*Right*) The dielectric loss spectra of galactose at ambient pressure. Dc conductivity has been subtracted. The spectra were measured from T = 353 K to T = 305 K with step of 4 K and from T = 299 K to T = 175 K with step of 4 K. Reproduced from [1012(a)] by permission

the  $\gamma$ -relaxation times, and activation energies of the monosaccharides are about the same as the JG relaxation of water confined in vermiculite clay, molecular sieves, and silica gels, as well in some aqueous mixtures [1093].

The JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of galactose can be seen as a resolved loss peak at temperatures much below  $T_g$ , but only as a shoulder near and below  $T_g$ . It only shows up as a shoulder at all temperatures in fructose, glucose, sucrose, and ribose [1012(a)]. The shoulders are sufficient evidence for the presence of the  $\beta$ -relaxation. However, its relaxation times were determined in [1012(a)] by modeling its contribution to the loss with a Cole–Cole function and fitting the loss spectrum with the additive contributions of the  $\alpha$ - and  $\gamma$ -relaxations. As pointed out near the end of Section 2.3.2.1, this procedure is incorrect because it violates the fact that the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation is correlated with and is a precursor of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. Likely the procedure also overestimates  $\tau_{IG}$  because the shoulder is assumed to be the high-frequency flank of the Cole–Cole distribution. This should be borne in mind when considering the  $\tau_{IG}$  deduced in this way as shown by Fig. 7 in [1012(a)], especially when comparing it with the primitive relaxation time  $\tau_0$  calculated from the coupling model. Also, at temperatures above  $T_g$ , the  $\alpha$ -loss peaks of fructose, glucose, galactose, and sorbose are broadened by the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation as well as the  $\gamma$ -relaxation, both of them having high dielectric strengths, and thus the true coupling parameter n of these monosaccharides would be smaller than that deduced from the width of the  $\alpha$ -loss peaks. The situation in D-ribose and 2-deoxy-D-ribose is better in that the dielectric strengths of the  $\gamma$ -relaxations are much smaller than the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. The Kohlrausch fit of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak gives n = 0.45 [1012(e)]. The actual n of D-ribose and 2-deoxy-D-ribose could be somewhat smaller than 0.45 because residual broadening by the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation and presence of water in trace amount cannot be excluded. Nevertheless, the location of the primitive frequency  $v_0$  calculated from n = 0.45 is located as the shoulder found on the high-frequency flank of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak.

### Disaccharides

Dielectric measurements of disaccharides have revealed the presence of two secondary relaxations in their glassy states [1012(b), 1239, 1240]. Here we show the faster  $\gamma$ - and the slower  $\beta$ -relaxations by the dielectric loss data of seven disaccharides, sucrose, maltose, trehalose, lactulose, leucrose, lactose, and cellobiose, all in the glassy state in Fig. 205 (left panel). These disaccharides are each composed of two monosaccharides chosen from fructose, glucose, or galactose, and connected through different glycosidic bonds. Trehalose has the highest glass transition temperature  $T_g$  of the disaccharides. The faster  $\gamma$ -relaxations of the disaccharides have comparable relaxation times and activation enthalpies as the  $\gamma$ -relaxation in monosaccharides, and seems to be a common feature of the entire family of the carbohydrates (see the relaxation map in Fig. 206). Elevated pressures were applied to one of the disaccharides, leucrose, in dielectric measurements [1253], and the spectra in the right panel of Fig. 205 shows that at constant *T* the  $\gamma$ -relaxation does not shift with pressure *P*. Hence it is intramolecular in origin and has been shown



**Fig. 205** (*Left*) Comparison of the loss spectra measured at almost the same temperature for all six disaccharides. In the *inset* are presented dielectric loss spectra measured for trehalose T = 278 K, lactulose T = 280 K, cellobiose T = 277 K, and sucrose T = 285 K, spectra of sucrose and maltose measured at the same γ-relaxation time. All spectra were vertically shifted to the height of γ-peak of maltose. Data from [1012(b)] replotted here. (*Right*) (**a**) Dielectric loss spectra of leucrose measured at T = 295 K and P = 0.1, 60, 120, 200, 280, 360, 440, and 480 MPa, showing the shift of the β-relaxation on elevating pressure, but not the γ-relaxation. (**b**) Pressure dependence of the isothermal relaxation times  $\tau_{\beta}$  at 295 K. The activation volume  $\Delta V_{\beta} = 21.5$  ml/mol. Data from [1253] replotted here

to be the intramolecular motion within the gluco- or fructopyranosyl rings of leucrose [1253]. From the similarity of the  $\gamma$ -relaxations in di- and monosaccharides, their motions are of the same type. On the other hand, the slower ( $\beta$ ) relaxation moves to lower frequencies with increasing *P* and hence it is the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of the disaccharide. These interpretations are supported by the insensitivity of the  $\gamma$ -relaxation time and sensitivity of the  $\beta$ -relaxation time to changes in density of the glass effected by different thermodynamic histories to arrive at the same final *P* and *T* [1253].

The origin of the slower ( $\beta$ ) relaxation in sugars was a subject of our very recent studies. It was shown by Kaminski et al. that in sucrose this relaxation may originate from twisting rotation of the two monosugar rings (glucose and fructose) around the glycosidic bond [1012(b)]. The same point of view was presented by Meissner et al. [1250]. The properties of the  $\beta$ -relaxation of the other disaccharides including the

relaxation time and activation energy are similar to that of leucrose. From this, we conclude that they all are JG  $\beta$ -relaxations.

Large conductivity contributions in the sucrose, lactulose, trehalose, maltose and leucrose studied only allow observation of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and determination of its relaxation time over a limited range of temperatures in the liquid state. It is impossible to obtain the true frequency dispersion of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and hence the coupling parameter *n*. In the case of lactose and cellobiose, the  $\alpha$ -relaxation is totally masked by the conductivity in the whole studied temperature range. The relaxation times of the three processes,  $\tau_{\alpha}$ ,  $\tau_{\beta}$ , and  $\tau_{\gamma}$ , determined are plotted against reciprocal temperature in Fig. 206.

De Gusseme et al. [1240] were able to probe the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of trehalose  $(C_{12}H_{22}O_{11})$  closer to  $T_g$  not possible with dielectric relaxation by means of specific heat spectroscopy performed by temperature-modulated differential scanning calorimetry (TMDSC). They also performed dielectric relaxation measurements and found the two secondary relaxations ( $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ ) in addition to the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. Their relaxation map is reproduced in Fig. 207. The  $T_g$ , at which the TMDSC relaxation time is 100 s, was 390 K, consistent with that determined by standard calorimetry. The measured  $\tau_{\alpha}$  by dielectric relaxation and TMDSC above  $T_g$  were combined to give rough estimate of the "fragility" index *m*. The value of *m* calculated for trehalose is 107, a rather high value for small molecular glassformers (130 according to [1012(b)]). The stretching exponent  $\beta \equiv (1 - n) = 0.30$  was estimated from



**Fig. 206** Arrhenius plot of the relaxation times,  $\tau_{\alpha}$ ,  $\tau_{\beta}$ , and  $\tau_{\gamma}$ , of three relaxation processes observed in the six disaccharides. Reproduced from [1012(b)] by permission



the isochronal specific heat spectroscopy data, according to the method proposed by Böhmer et al. [1254]. The uncertainty in determining this low value of  $\beta$  was not given, but for sure it is there because of the crudeness of the method. The primitive relaxation time  $\tau_0(T_g)$  calculated with  $\beta = 0.30$  and assuming the usual  $t_c \approx 2$ ps is represented by the filled circle in Fig. 207. The calculated  $\tau_0(T_g)$  is about a decade shorter than  $\tau_{\beta}(T_g)$  obtained by extrapolating the Arrhenius T-dependence of  $\tau_{\beta}$  measured below  $T_{g}$ . On the other hand,  $\tau_{0}(T_{g})$  calculated with  $\beta = 0.35$  and represented by the filled square in Fig. 207 is closer to  $\tau_{\beta}(T_g)$ . Dielectric relaxation measurement of anhydrous maltose, an isomer of trehalose, was made by Noel et al. in 1996 [1241] with limited dynamic range. They found the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and the  $\gamma$ -relaxation but not the  $\beta$ -relaxation. Nevertheless, they were able to obtain the frequency dependence of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak. This allowed De Gusseme et al. to fit it to the Kohlrausch function and gave the value of 0.32 for the stretch exponent  $\beta$ , which is similar to the value of 0.30 deduced for trehalose. Again the loss peak reported by Noel et al. is broadened by conductivity contribution and hence the value of  $\beta$ corresponding to the true value of the coupling parameter  $n \equiv (1 - \beta)$  could be larger than 0.32.

It is interesting to compare the values of *m* and *n* of the disaccharides with the monosaccharides. The *m* value of trehalose is larger than that of the monosaccharides having six carbons [1012(a)], which in turn is larger than D-ribose, the monosaccharide having five carbons. The values of  $n \approx 0.70$  or 0.65 of trehalose and  $n \approx 0.68$  of maltose are larger than n = 0.53 (i.e.,  $\beta = 0.47$ ) of the monosaccharide glucose deduced from dielectric data by Chan et al. [1236] and 0.45 of

D-ribose by Kaminski et al. [1012(e)]. Thus, n increases with increasing number of carbon atoms or the molecular complexity in these sugars. This trend is expected by the coupling model as due to increase of intermolecular coupling with the number of carbon atoms of glassformers in the same family. An analogue of this is the series glycerol, threitol, xylitol, and sorbitol discussed before [841].

The disaccharides are effective as bioprotectant in the preservation of life, and trehalose appears to be the most efficient. In fact in nature, trehalose is widely found in various organisms that can survive adverse environmental conditions such as extreme drought and high or low temperatures in the state of almost complete dehydration and all metabolic processes are blocked [1255–1257]. The organisms enter into a state called *anhydrobiosis*, where almost all biological activities are suspended to allow them to survive the extreme conditions. These anhydrobiotic organisms include yeast, fungi, mushroom, and vegetation and life in desert areas. When dehydrated, all metabolic processes are blocked and life cycle in these organisms apparently ceases, but life is restarted upon rehydration. The molecular mechanisms that distinguish trehalose for its superior capabilities of biopreservation still remain unclear, despite various experimental and theoretical works [1258, 1259].

# Polysaccharides

Polysaccharides including cellulose, starch, curdlan, and dextran belong to the group of polyglycanes that have the same repeating unit, namely the anhydroglucose unit. Their chemical structures differ mainly by the form of the glycosidic linkage in their polymer backbone. Cellulose has the  $\beta(1-4)$  linkage, starch has the  $\alpha(1-4)$  linkage, curdlan has  $\beta(1-3)$  linkage, and dextran has the  $\alpha(1-6)$  linkage. For each repeat unit, cellulose, starch, and curdlan have two hydroxyl side groups and one hydroxymethyl side group, while dextran has three hydroxyl groups and no hydroxymethyl group. The repeat units of three polysaccharides are shown in Fig. 208. The disaccharides, maltose and cellobiose, respectively, have the  $\alpha(1-4)$  and  $\beta(1-4)$  glycosidic linkages of two glucose units.

The JG  $\beta$ -relaxation has been found in the mono- and disaccharides as discussed before. It should be present in oligomers of saccharides and polysaccharides if it lives up to the expectation of being a universal and fundamental feature in all glassformers. We have seen that the  $\gamma$ -relaxation in the mono- and disaccharides invariably has larger relaxation strength than the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation, making the detection of the latter difficult. This is usually the case when a faster non-JG secondary relaxation with large dielectric strength is present such as the dialkyl phthalates discussed before. The oligomeric and polymeric carbohydrates are no exception. A dominant faster  $\gamma$ -relaxation with large strength found in the dielectric spectra of many polysaccharides has led some researchers to put emphasis on it instead of the slower  $\beta$ -secondary relaxation. On the other hand, dynamic mechanical spectroscopy has seen both relaxations with nearly equivalent strength. A critical review of data of polysaccharides and interpretations can be found in [1012(d)].

It turns out that the  $\beta$ - and  $\gamma$ -relaxations of cellulose and its oligomers (cellotribiose, cellotetrabiose, and cellopentabiose) have almost the same relaxation



Fig. 208 Chemical structures of the repeat units of cellulose, pullulan, and dextran. Dextran is cross-linked

times and activation energies as those of its dimer, namely the disaccharide, cellobiose. These are shown by the comparison of their isothermal spectra at similar temperatures in Fig. 209 (left) and the *T*-dependences of their relaxation times in Fig. 209 (right). Since the  $\beta$ -relaxation of disaccharides has been identified as the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation, from the concurrence it follows that the  $\beta$ -relaxation of the polysaccharides are their JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. The molecular interpretation of the  $\gamma$ -relaxation of polysaccharides is as uncertain as that for the monosaccharides and disaccharides mentioned earlier. One possibility is reorientation of bound water [1248, 1260] or confined water in the polysaccharides [1261], which can been reinterpreted as the JG relaxation of water in the polysaccharides [1093].

#### 2.3.2.27 JG β-Relaxation (or Primitive Relaxation) of Water

Water is the most abundant substance in our planet Earth, present in significant proportion in all living organisms, and without which life is impossible. Despite the fact that water molecule is simple compared with other glass-forming substances, its thermodynamic and kinetic properties are intriguing and their interpretation controversial. The study of glass transition of bulk water is hampered by crystallization. Water can be supercooled from the melting point at 273 K down to 235 K, but no further because it crystallizes [1263–1267]. Glass transition was reported to occur at a temperature  $T_g$  of about 136 K in vapor-deposited amorphous solid water (ASW) [1268, 1269]. Rapidly quenching water to temperatures below 100 K resulted in hyperquenched glassy water (HGW), which exhibits a glass to liquid like calorimetric transition at  $T_g \approx 136$  K [1270–1272], and in low-density amorphous water (LDA) at  $T_g \approx 129$  K[1273]. Analysis of dielectric data of ASW and HGW also



Fig. 209 (Left) Isothermal dielectric loss spectra showing the lower frequency  $\beta$ -relaxation and the higher frequency  $\gamma$ -relaxation of two polysaccharides, cellulose and dextran, and the pentamer, cellopentaose, obtained by Meissner et al. [1250(a)]. Cellulose (green diamonds, at 243 K), cellulose (black circles, at 258 K), dextran (light blue squares, at 258 K), cellopentaose (red triangles, at 243 K), and cellopentaose (magenta inverted triangles, at 258 K). The chemical structure of the pentamer cellopentaose is shown on top. These data are compared with the lower frequency JG  $\beta$ -relaxation and the higher frequency  $\gamma$ -relaxation of four disaccharides obtained by Kaminski et al. [1012(c)], and all shown by lines. Cellobiose (magenta dashed line at 263 K), maltose (blue solid line at 261 K), sucrose (brown short dashed-long dashed line at 285 K), and at the bottom trehalose (green dotted line at 268 K, and grey dashed line at 273 K). The  $\varepsilon''$  of these four disaccharides have been scaled to have the same loss at the maximum of the  $\gamma$ -relaxation as that of the y-relaxation of cellulose at 258 K. The actual data points of the disaccharides are not shown to avoid crowding. (*Right*) Relaxation map of relaxation times. On the top are the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation times of some disaccharides represented by *lines* (data not shown for the sake of clarity except for leucrose): sucrose (red), trehalose (green), cellobiose (brown), maltose (magenta) from Kaminski et al. [1012(c)], trehalose from De Gusseme et al. (*blue*) [1240]. JG  $\beta$ -relaxation times of the disaccharide, leucrose, are shown by open green squares. The filled black circles are the relaxation time of the so-called " $\delta$ -relaxation" (equivalent to  $\beta$ -relaxation in this chapter) of cellulose obtained by Einfeldt et al. [1249]. The three black open circles nearby are dynamic mechanical data of cellulose by Montes et al. [1247]. The *lone open diamond* is from the mechanical data of cellulose by Kubat et al. [1262]. The blue line represents the Arrhenius fit to the relaxation times of the so-called  $\beta$ -process of anhydrous dextran obtained by Montes et al. [1252] by a combination of dielectric and mechanical relaxation data represented by *filled squares* near it. The data of Scandola et al. [1245] consistent with that of Montes et al. are not shown. On the *bottom* are the  $\gamma$ -relaxation times of monosaccharides, fructose (+), glucose (green diamonds), and galactose (magenta inverted triangles), and disaccharides, maltose (filled red circles) and cellobiose (blue triangles). The black solid and long dashed lines represent the Arrhenius temperature dependences of the relaxation times of the  $\beta_E$ -relaxation of two kinds of cellulose, linters pulp and avicell, respectively, from Einfeldt et al. The  $\beta_E$ -relaxation (equivalent to the  $\gamma$ -relaxation in this chapter) times of the Merck starch are indistinguishable from that of linter pulp cellulose, and are not shown. The long and short dashed red line is the Arrhenius T-dependence of dried pullulan from Einfeldt et al. [1249] and the *lone filled diamond* is the isochronal dielectric data of pullulan at 10 Hz from Nishinari et al. [1244]. At the very *bottom* are the relaxation times of the  $\beta_E$ -relaxation (equivalent to the  $\gamma$ relaxation in this chapter) obtained by Einfeldt et al. and the  $\gamma$ -relaxation time obtained by Montes et al. of dextran and combined with data of Scandola et al. and Nishinari et al. Reproduced from [1012(d)] by permission



Fig. 210 (*Right*) Dielectric loss data of aqueous mixture of tri-ethylene glycol (3EG) with 35 wt% water at various temperatures in the upper panel from [1093, 1295]. The lower panel shows the water relaxation in the mixture (called the v-process in [1295]) resolved as a peak at 163 K near  $T_g$ where  $\tau_{\alpha}$  reaches 10<sup>3</sup> s as shown in the *left panel*. Reproduced from [1295] by permission. (*Left*) Temperature dependence of the dielectric relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  (*open symbols*) and  $\tau_{\nu}$  (corresponding closed symbols) of mixtures of 35 wt% of water with various ethylene glycol oligomers. Circles for 6EG. Squares for 5EG. Downward pointing triangles for 4EG. Diamond for 3EG. Upward*pointing triangles* for 2EG. Some of the data of the relaxation time of the v-process,  $\tau_v$  (closed symbols), overlap and cannot be easily seen. For this reason, we use the dashed lines to indicate the Arrhenius temperature dependences assumed by  $\tau_v$  of the mixtures starting approximately at temperatures below  $T_g$  of the mixtures defined by  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_g) = 10^3$  s. The vertical arrow locates  $1000/T_g$  for each mixture. The change of temperature dependence of  $\tau_v$  at  $T_g$  is similar to that seen of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of a component in non-aqueous mixtures. The *inset* presents the dielectric strength  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\alpha}$  of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\nu}$  of the  $\nu$ -process in the mixture of 3EG with 35 wt% of water, as well as their sum. The vertical arrow indicates the location of  $1000/T_g$ . It can be seen that  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\alpha}$  increases with decreasing temperature typical of  $\alpha$ -relaxation, and  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\nu}$  increases with increasing temperature and it assumes a stronger T-dependence above  $T_g$ , characteristic of secondary relaxation of glassformers

indicated a  $T_g$  of 136 K [1274]. However, crystallization to cubic ice sets in at 150 K and thus bulk supercooled water is inaccessible to experimentation in the temperature range between 150 and 235 K. Consequently, controversies abound in the studies of water. For example, Angell and coworkers [1275, 1276] proposed water's  $T_g$  may be 165 K based on the heat-release behavior of HGW, but this was contradicted by Johari [1277]. The possibility of  $T_g$  in the range of 160–165 K was given by computer simulation [1278], by the consideration of thermodynamic data [1279], and by thermal desorption experiments of layered nanometer-scale films of labeled ASW [1280]. None of these can be verified directly because supercooled bulk water is inaccessible in the relevant temperature range above 150 K and below 235 K.
Adiabatic calorimetric measurements of uncrystallized water confined in 1.1 nm pores of silica gel made by Oguni and coworkers found two glass transitions at 115 and 160 K [1281(a), 1282]. They interpreted the data by dividing the water into two parts: "interfacial water" and "internal water." The "interfacial water" molecules at the silica interface form hydrogen bonds with the oxygen of the silica wall of the nanopore and Oguni and coworkers suggested that they are responsible for the glass transition at 115 K. The "internal water" molecules were considered to be located in the central part of the pore, interacting with each other like in bulk water, and thus the observed  $T_g = 160$  K seems to support the proposed  $T_g$  of bulk water at 165 K by Angell and coworkers [1275, 1276]. However, Mallamace et al. calculated the number of water molecules that can fit across the diameter of 1.1 nm size pore [1284(a)]. Given that the diameter of a water molecule is 0.38 nm, they showed only three (=1.1/0.38) water molecules can fit across the 1.1 nm pore diameter. Out of these, two would be interfacial water molecules bonded to the silica wall, leaving only one water molecule in the "internal water" in the center of the pore not hydrogen bonded to silica. This state of "internal water" molecules with only one molecule layer not hydrogen bonded to silica cannot be considered equivalent to bulk water. Thus the observed Tg of 160 K of water in the 1.1 nm pores cannot be due to the cooperative  $\alpha$ -relaxation of bulk water, and the data do not support the proposed  $T_g = 160$  K of bulk water by Angell and coworkers. The same reason was given by Mallamace et al. to refute the use of the 227 K peak in the heat capacity  $C_p$  of the "internal water" component of water confined in the 1.1 nm size pore of Oguni and coworkers by Angell in [1285] to support his proposed order-disorder transition of supercooled water at that temperature.

Adding to the confusion and controversy is the extension of the studies of water in several different forms of nanoconfinement using adiabatic calorimetry by Oguni and coworkers [1281(a), 1281(b), 1282, 1283]. As mentioned already, they reported the specific heat  $C_p$  of water confined in 1.1 nm pores of nanoporous silica gel has a peak at about 225 K [1281(a), 1282]. The revision of pore size from 3 in the original article [1281(a)] to 1.1 nm is explained by the paper by Johari et al. [1284(b)]. Angell [1285] have used the data of Oguni and coworkers to propose supercooled *bulk* water would show a broad  $\lambda$ -type transition at 225 K, resembling the  $\lambda$ -type disorder-order transition of binary metal alloys and the orientational transition of C60. In this proposal, the assumption was made that the heat capacity  $C_p$  of bulk water is the same as that of water confined in the  $SiO_2$  gel. This assumption was shown by Johari et al. [1284(b)] to be invalid. They argued that the average volume of a water molecule is  $\sim 30 \text{ Å}^3 (= 18/6.03 \times 10^{23})$ , and therefore, the effective diameter of its circumscribed sphere is 0.38 nm. Accordingly, only about three water molecules (= 1.1/0.38) can fit across the diameter in a 1.1 nm pore, ignoring the excluded volume effect. Two of these would be interfacial molecules at the silica wall forming a nanoshell. This leaves only one H<sub>2</sub>O molecule near the center of the 1.1 nm diameter cross section which would be hydrogen bonded exclusively to four other  $H_2O$  molecules. This state is not the same as that of a  $H_2O$  molecule in supercooled bulk water and therefore the data of Oguni and coworkers [1281(a), 1282] may not be used for a thermodynamic discussion of bulk water. It is possible

that instead the interfacial water bonded to the silica wall is responsible for the  $C_p$  peak of water confined in the SiO<sub>2</sub> gel observed at 225 K by Oguni and coworkers.

Adiabatic calorimetry measurements were made of water confined to 1.2, 1.6, and 1.8 nm diameter linear pores of molecular sieves, MCM-41 (silica), by Oguni and coworkers [1283]. Glass transitions were found at 115 K, and near 165 K in 1.2 nm pores. On increasing the pore size, a new feature appears at higher temperatures in the relevant range of 160–220 K. The  $C_p$  of confined water in 1.8 nm diameter pores of MCM-41 appears like a glass softening endotherm, from which Oguni et al. [1283] suggested anew that glass transition of nanoconfined as well as bulk water occurs at 210 K, and not at 136 or 165 K. However, Johari [1284] showed that the exothermic and endothermic features observed on heating rapidly precooled and slowly precooled states of water in 1.8 nm pores of MCM-41 and the unusually large increase in the specific heat in the 210-230 K range are inconsistent with kinetic unfreezing of a disordered solid, or glass softening. The exotherm is attributable to the melt's gradual conversion to distorted ice-like structures and the endotherm to the reverse process until their fractional amounts reach a reversible equilibrium on heating. As argued by Johari [1284], his analysis also puts into question a conclusion based on neutron scattering studies by Liu et al. [1289] that supercooled water undergoes a structural and kinetic transition at  $\sim$ 225 K while remaining a liquid.

Another controversial subject is the proposed fragile-to-strong transition in supercooled water [1286–1288] also by Angell and coworkers. The term is used to describe an abrupt change of the structural relaxation time on supercooling water from a stronger to a weaker *T*-dependence, which was inferred from comparison of data of the hyperquenched glassy water at low temperatures and supercooled water above 235 K. Chen and coworkers claimed that they have observed directly the fragile-to-strong transition for both relaxation time and diffusivity in uncrystallized water confined in molecular sieves with 1.5 nm diameter [1289, 1290]. The break in temperature dependence of the relaxation time from neutron scattering, and diffusivity from NMR, occurs at 220 K. This is near 225 K the specific heat peak temperature of water confined in 1.1 nm silica gel nanopores, but was now reinterpreted by Angell and coworkers as order–disorder transition [1285]. Stanley and co-workers have attributed this change observed by Chen et al. to crossing a Widom or supercritical fluctuations line [1291].

However, the claim of observation of the fragile-to-strong transition by Chen and coworkers was contested by Swenson and coworkers [1292, 1293(a)]. These authors ruled out that the relaxation observed by Chen and coworkers is the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of supercooled water. Cerveny et al. [1294] invoked dielectric data of water confined in molecular sieves with pore sizes 5 and 10 Å by Jansson and Swenson [1102] to show that the crossover of the structural relaxation time of supercooling water from VFTH temperature dependence to Arrhenius dependence actually occurs at lower temperature than that observed by Chen and coworkers, and is not due to the fragile-to-strong mechanism. Instead, they explained the crossover on supercooling water as due to finite size effect imposed by confinement within the pore, which occurs when the length scale of the structural relaxation can no longer extend

further than the pore size. This finite size effect leads to a crossover from liquid-like behavior (structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation time having the VFTH dependence) toward localized motions (Arrhenius dependence), the model proposed by Lorthioir et al. for binary polymer blends [1070]. The same explanation was given by Cerveny et al. for the crossover of the *T*-dependence of an observed relaxation of water in aqueous mixtures [1107, 1108]. As shown before in Sections 2.3.2.10 and 2.3.2.15 for several different binary mixtures, this explanation along the line of Lorthioir et al. is untenable because the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation was observed separately and together with the faster relaxation that changes *T*-dependence at  $T_g$  in the same temperature range. Hence the relaxation undergoing the crossover of the *T*-dependence cannot be the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation. More evidence against the interpretation of Cerveny et al. in aqueous mixtures will be found below. As for the fragile–strong transition observed by Chen and coworkers, several studies by others have failed to confirm it. These studies will be discussed later in appropriate subsections.

No attempt is made here to review in detail the conflicting views on dynamics of supercooled water and to cite the vast literature on this subject here. Instead the focus is on experimental evidences showing the existence of the JG relaxation of water in aqueous mixture and hydrated proteins, in uncrystallized water under nanoconfinement, and in other situations. As we shall see, this upshot enriches the understanding of the dynamics of water because JG relaxation is an integral part of the dynamics leading to glass transition, as shown by the multiple correlations and relations it has with the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation. The paper that has definitively identified the JG relaxation of water from experiments is by Capaccioli et al. [1093]. They show that the relaxation of the water component present in many different aqueous mixtures [1295] has the same properties as the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of a component in binary mixtures of van der Waals liquids (discussed before in Section entitled "Well-Resolved JG Relaxation of a Component in Mixtures of van der Waals Liquids" of Section 2.3.2.8). The knowledge of the  $\alpha$ - and JG  $\beta$ -relaxations of a component gained from the study of the van der Waals mixtures was transferred to elucidate and interpret the experimental data on the dynamics of water in aqueous mixtures, in nanoconfinement [1093], and in hydrated proteins [1094]. The exercise illustrates the benefit of "cross-field fertilization" in scientific research, i.e., the application of the clear understanding achieved in the study of one class of glass-forming materials to the class of aqueous mixtures where hydrogen bondings make the interpretation more difficult.

Before proceeding to the specifics in the following subsections, also it should be mentioned that Bergman, Swenson, and coworkers found evidence of relaxation process in mixtures of water with other glassformers [1261] and in water with one dimension or more dimensions reduced to nanometer scale [1102, 1296]. However, these authors interpreted the observed relaxation in aqueous mixtures [1261] as well as the observed process of a "two-water-layer phase" confined between the platelets of sodium vermiculite as the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of bulk water [1296] not as the JG relaxation of water. For the "two-water-layer phase" [1296] Johari has shown that the interpretation of  $\alpha$ -relaxation of bulk water is untenable [1297]. One reason given by Johari is that the dielectric strength decreases on decreasing the temperature, which is the opposite of that expected from the Curie law for  $\alpha$ -relaxation. This fact can also be used to reject the interpretation by Cerveny et al. [1107, 1108] that it is the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of water in aqueous mixtures. On the other hand, this observed T-dependence of the dielectric strength is consistent with the behavior of secondary relaxation and with the interpretation of the observed relaxation originating from water in aqueous mixtures as the JG  $\beta$ relaxation of water. Under extreme confinement, cooperative α-relaxation becomes impossible because of the small size, but the local JG  $\beta$ -relaxation survives and is observed. I think this is also the current view of Bergman, Swenson, and coworkers. Actually, like water confined in sodium vermiculite, extreme confinement of the polymer poly(methylphenylsiloxane) (PMPS) of layers less than 2 nm thick in galleys of nanocomposites has produced the same result [495, 496], as discussed before in Section 2.2.5.8. That is, the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of bulk PMPS is no longer observed, and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation that survives is nearly the same as that found in bulk PMPS. The effect is the same for OTP, PDMS, and PMPS confined in 2.5 nm silanized glass pores, also discussed before in Section 2.2.5.8.

# JG β-Relaxation of Water in Aqueous Mixtures

Small in size and having strong affinity for hydrogen bonding, water does not behave in exactly the same way as other glassformers in binary mixtures with another component. In aqueous mixtures the other component is usually hydrophilic and susceptible to hydrogen bonding with water. Examples include 1-propanol, ethylene glycol (EG), ethylene glycol oligomers (EGO), tri-propylene glycol, glycerol, xylitol, sorbitol, poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG), poly(vinylpyrro1idone) (PVP), and poly(vinylmethylether) (PVME). Broadband dielectric relaxation spectroscopy was used together with differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) to characterize the dynamics of these aqueous mixtures by several groups [131, 1069, 1071, 1093, 1106–1111, 1140, 1298, 1300–1310].

Representative results from some aqueous mixtures [1093, 1295] are shown in Figs. 210 and 211. The two figures on the right show as an example the dielectric loss spectra of aqueous mixture of 3EG with 35% water at various temperatures from [1295, 1093]. The results of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  (open symbols) and  $\tau_{\nu}$  (closed symbols) for mixtures of 35 wt% water with five ethylene glycol oligomers, 2EG, 3EG, 4EG, 5EG, and 6EG, are shown in the left panel. At lower temperatures, the water relaxation in the mixture (called the v-process in [1295], which is the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of water in the mixtures) is resolved as a peak at higher frequencies than the  $\alpha$ -loss peak, particularly clear at 163 K near  $T_g$  where  $\tau_{\alpha}$  reaches  $10^3$  s. For aqueous mixtures, we shall use v and JG  $\beta$  to denote the same secondary relaxation of the water component. Their relaxation times,  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{\nu}$ , are shown as functions of reciprocal temperature altogether in Fig. 210. For ease of identification of the data of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{v}$  from the same mixture, the same shapes of the open ( $\tau_{\alpha}$ ) and closed symbols  $(\tau_{v})$  are used. Similar plots are given for 20, 30, and 40 wt% water mixtures with glycerol in Fig. 211. The dielectric loss spectra of mixtures with 30 and 40 wt% of water are shown in the right panel, and the relaxation map of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{\nu}$  in the left



**Fig. 211** (*Left*) Temperature dependence of the dielectric relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  (*open symbols*) and  $\tau_{\nu}$  (corresponding *closed symbols*) of mixtures of various wt% of water with glycerol. *Triangles* for 20 wt% water. *Circles* for 30 wt% water. *Squares* for 40 wt% water. Each *dashed line* indicates the Arrhenius temperature dependence assumed by  $\tau_{\nu}$  of the labeled mixture starting approximately at temperatures below  $T_g$  of the mixture defined by  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_g) = 10^3$  s. The *vertical arrow* locates  $1000/T_g$  for each mixture. The change of temperature dependence of  $\tau_{\nu}$  at  $T_g$  is similar to that seen of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of a component in non-aqueous mixtures. Reproduced from [1093] by permission. (*Right*) Dielectric loss spectra of neat glycerol, and mixtures of glycerol with 30 and 40 wt% water showing the  $\alpha$ -process of the mixture and the water  $\nu$ -process. Reproduced from [1295] by permission

panel. In all cases,  $\tau_{\alpha}$  has VFTH-like temperature dependence down to the longest times measured or down to temperatures near the  $T_g$  of the mixture indicated by heat capacity measurements wherever it is available. On the other hand, the temperature dependence of  $\tau_v$  is Arrhenius at lower temperatures but it crosses over to a stronger temperature dependence when temperature is increased above  $T_g$ . In these two figures, the dielectric glass transition temperature  $T_g$ , defined by  $\log [\tau_{\alpha}(T_g)/s] = 3$ , is indicated by the location of the vertical arrow for each mixture. It can be seen by inspection of the figures that the change of the temperature dependence of  $\tau_v$  occurs near the dielectric  $T_g$  in all cases. More examples from other aqueous mixtures showing the same behavior can be found in [131, 1069, 1071, 1093, 1106–1111, 1140, 1298–1310]. This general crossover property of the *T*-dependence of  $\tau_v$  or  $\tau_{JG}$  of water in aqueous mixtures is exactly analogous to that of TBP, QN, and picoline in mixtures with tri-styrene shown in Figs. 147 and 149, and in neat glassformers including xylitol and sorbitol (see Fig. 162). Hence the same interpretation holds, and we can conclude that the observed faster v-process is the JG secondary relaxation of water *above and below*  $T_g$ , and it is coupled with the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of the aqueous mixture.

It is important to note that  $\tau_{\nu}$  of the  $\nu$ - or the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of water in mixtures with glycerol changes significantly with the composition of the mixture (see Fig. 211). This fact is another evidence that the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of water is coupled with the glycerol in the mixture and the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of the glycerol–water mixture because otherwise  $\tau_{\nu}$  would be independent of the composition.

The inset of left panel of Fig. 210 shows the dielectric strengths,  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\alpha}$  of the  $\alpha$ relaxation and  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\nu}$  of the  $\nu$ -processes in the mixture of 3EG with 35 wt% of water, as well as their sum. The vertical arrow indicates the location of  $1000/T_g$ . It can be seen that  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\alpha}$  increases with decreasing temperature typical of  $\alpha$ -relaxation. On the other hand,  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\nu}$  of the water  $\nu$ -process increases with increasing temperature above as well as below Tg, characteristic of secondary relaxation of glassformers, and cannot be  $\alpha$ -relaxation above  $T_g$  as proposed by Cerveny et al. Moreover,  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\nu}$ changes its T-dependence when crossing  $T_g$  from the glass state of the mixture to assume a stronger dependence above  $T_g$ . The fact that  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\nu}$  increasingly dominates  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\alpha}$  at higher temperatures above  $T_{\rm g}$  makes it experimentally easy to resolve the v-process and determine  $\tau_v$  at higher temperatures. Figure 212 (left panel) shows  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\alpha}$  and  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\nu}$  of mixtures of 35 wt% of water with 3EG, 5EG, and poly(ethylene glycol) of molecular mass of 600 (PEG600). The change of T-dependence of  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\nu}$  on crossing  $T_{\rm g}$  in all three aqueous mixtures are demonstrated in this figure. The right panel serves as a reminder of the same behavior exhibited by the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of 16 wt% tert-butylpyridine (TBP) in mixture with tri-styrene. These figures are repeats of Figs. 183 and 184. They are shown again to heighten the awareness of the same effect found in aqueous mixtures. The similar behavior of  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\nu}$  found in mixture of water with fructose also has been shown previously in Fig. 185, and in



**Fig. 212** (*Left*) Dielectric strengths  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\alpha}$  and  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\beta}$  of the mixtures of 35 wt% water and 65 wt% of 3EG, 5EG, and PEG600. *Full symbols* are for  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\alpha}$  of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and *open symbols* for  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\beta}$  of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. *Full lines* are linear fits of  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\beta}$  below and above  $T_g$ . Each *dashed vertical line* indicates the temperature  $T_g$  near which the elbow-shape crossover of temperature dependence of  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\beta}$  occurs. Here  $T_g$  is defined as the temperature at which  $\log_{10} [\tau_{\alpha}(T_g)/s] \sim 3$ . (*Right*) The same behavior exhibited by the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of 16 wt% *tert*-butylpyridine (TBP) in mixture with tri-styrene. Reproduced from [1093] by permission

mixture of water with glucose was reported by Tyagi and Murthy [1306]. Whenever data are available, the dielectric strength,  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\nu}$  or  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\text{JG}}$ , of the  $\nu$ - or JG-process of water in aqueous mixtures increases monotonically with temperature with an elbow shape that indicates a change of slope at  $T_{\text{g}}$ . This property is typical of secondary JG relaxation of a component in non-aqueous mixtures, and also in neat glassformers [1136, 1137], which serves as another indication that the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation are coupled. Hence, it can be considered as another indication that the process is the secondary JG relaxation of the water component coupled to the  $\alpha$ -relaxation in the aqueous mixtures.

Despite the evidence given above for identifying the faster process in aqueous mixtures as the JG relaxation of water, other workers differ in their opinion. They have given different interpretations of the change from a stronger temperature dependence of its relaxation time above  $T_g$  to a weaker and Arrhenius dependence below  $T_{\rm g}$ . Swenson and coworkers suggested that the observed faster process is a merged  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -process of water at temperatures above  $T_g$  [1106, 1261, 1292]. Cerveny and coworkers [1108, 1111, 1294] interpreted the relaxation at temperatures above  $T_g$  of the aqueous mixture not as the JG relaxation but as the structural α-relaxation of water, and the observed crossover of its relaxation time from stronger dependence of  $\alpha$ -relaxation to a weaker Arrhenius T-dependence on supercooling the aqueous mixture past  $T_g$  as due to finite size effect of "confinement" by a frozen matrix. These two interpretations have in common of identifying the faster relaxation as the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of the water component above  $T_g$ . They are incorrect because as shown for one example in Fig. 212, the dielectric strength of the faster process  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\nu}$  decreases on decreasing the temperature at temperatures above  $T_{\rm g}$ , opposite to the Curie law for  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\alpha}$  of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. Moreover, the interpretation of the faster process in aqueous mixtures by Cerveny et al. is a repeat of that previously applied by Lorthior et al. to explain similar crossover in T-dependence of a relaxation of the more mobile component in binary polymer blends [1070] and van der Waals liquid mixtures [1095]. As discussed before in Section 2.3.2.15, this explanation has been ruled out experimentally at temperatures above  $T_g$  by the presence of a slower  $\alpha$ -relaxation in parallel with the faster relaxation (considered also as the  $\alpha$ -relaxation by Lorthior et al.) originating from the same component in several binary blends and mixtures, as shown before in Figs. 147 and 149. This simple fact rules out the interpretation by Lorthior et al. because this interpretation has to contend with the coexistence of two different  $\alpha$ -relaxations of the more mobile component, which is impossible unless the mixture is heterogeneous. Also the dielectric strength of the observed relaxation of the more mobile component in mixtures of van der Waals liquids increases with increasing temperature above  $T_g$  (see Fig. 212, right panel for an example), which is another experimental fact that rules out its interpretation as the  $\alpha$ -relaxation.

Emphasis has been made on the observed increase with increasing temperature of the dielectric strength  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\nu}$  of the faster  $\nu$ -process of water in aqueous mixture above  $T_{\rm g}$  (see Fig. 212), opposite to the behavior of the dielectric strength  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\alpha}$  of  $\alpha$ -relaxation, which always increases with decreasing temperature. One may think that this is sufficient evidence to deter any interpretation of the  $\nu$ -process as the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of water above  $T_{\rm g}$  of the mixture. So far this has not happened. Cerveny and coworkers [1107, 1108, 1111, 1294] still hold on to their interpretation of the faster relaxation in aqueous mixture above  $T_g$  as the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of water. This is possibly due to them not having the chance of confronting the contradiction of the observed *T*-dependence of  $\Delta \varepsilon_v$  to their interpretation. In fact in all their publications before the one in 2009 [1111], they never show their own data of  $\Delta \varepsilon_v$  above  $T_g$  in any aqueous mixtures. The 2009 paper [1111] on dielectric relaxation of super-cooled mixtures of ribose and deoxyribose with water reports isothermal spectra of the v-process (called process II in the paper) at temperature *above* and *below*  $T_g$  of the mixtures with 30 wt% of water. These spectra taken at temperatures ranging



Fig. 213 (Left) Dielectric loss spectra for ribose (upper) and deoxyribose (lower) solutions with 30 wt% water in the temperature range of 155–233 K obtained by Pagnotta et al. [1312]. The calorimetric glass transition temperature Tg is about 190 K, slightly higher and lower for the mixture of ribose and deoxyribose, respectively. The solutions of the two sugars show two relaxation processes. The faster relaxation (process II) originating from water appears already at temperatures below the  $T_g$  and continues to be observed above  $T_g$  up to 233 K. Note that the dielectric strength of the faster relaxation monotonically increases with temperature above and below  $T_{g}$ . The slower structural  $\alpha$  relaxation (process I) appears in the spectra at temperatures only when near and above  $T_{g}$ , and its relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  has the VFTH temperature dependence. (*Middle*) Temperature dependence of the faster water relaxation time  $\tau_{\beta}$  (of process II) for mixtures of ribose with 20, 25, 30, and 40 wt% water (upper), and mixtures of deoxyribose with 20, 30, and 40 wt% water (lower). There is a change of T-dependence of  $\tau_{\beta}$  at  $T_{g}$  for all these mixtures. This is indicated for 30 wt% water mixtures ( $\times$ ) by the vertical arrow, which is located at 1000/T<sub>g</sub>. Reproduced from [1312] by permission. (Right) The same result as the middle panel was found by Capaccioli, Ancherbak et al. (to be published) in deoxyribose + 30 wt% of water. The lower panel clearly demonstrates the monotonic increase of the dielectric strength of the faster  $\beta$ - or v-relaxation originating from water above  $T_g$ , proving that it cannot be  $\alpha$ -relaxation as suggested in [1312]

from 155 to 233 K are shown in Fig. 213 (left panel). The calorimetric glass transition temperature  $T_g$  has been determined to be about 190 K, slightly higher and lower for the mixture of ribose and deoxyribose, respectively. It can be seen by inspection of the spectra that the intensity or the dielectric strength of process II (i.e.,  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\nu}$ ) is increasing with increasing temperature *below* and *above*  $T_g$ . The very broad loss peak at the highest temperature of 233 K can be used as evidence of the loss contributed by process II having increased to the same level as the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of the mixture. All these have been confirmed by repeat of the measurements and analysis of the data at the Univ. of Pisa by Capaccioli and coworkers.

The middle panel of Fig. 213 shows the temperature dependence of the faster water relaxation time  $\tau_{v}$  (of process II) for mixtures of ribose with 20, 25, 30, and 40 wt% water (upper), and mixtures of deoxyribose with 20, 30, and 40 wt% water (lower) from [1312]. There is a change of *T*-dependence of  $\tau_{\beta}$  at  $T_{g}$  for all these mixtures, like that found in other aqueous mixtures. This is indicated for the 30 wt% water mixtures (×) by the vertical arrow, which is located on the *x*-axis at 1000/ $T_{g}$ , with  $T_{g} \approx 190$  K. Since Cerveny and coworkers have found the monotonic increase of  $\Delta \varepsilon_{v}$  with increasing temperature *above*  $T_{g}$  from their own data of aqueous solutions of ribose and deoxyribose [1312], now they cannot ignore addressing the apparent contradiction of this experimental fact with their interpretation involving the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of water *above*  $T_{g}$ .

Trend of  $\tau_{\nu}$  or  $\tau_{JG}$  on Increasing Concentration of Water in Aqueous Mixtures: Used to Obtain the Upper Bound for the  $\tau_{JG}$  of Bulk Water

The study of glass transition of bulk water is hampered by crystallization at lower temperatures before vitrification can be reached. Up to certain concentration depending on the hydrophilic solute, crystallization of water does not occur and the relaxation of dynamics of water in the mixture can be studied over an immense time range from picoseconds to thousands of seconds. Examples have been given before in Figs. 210–213. This is re-emphasized by the relaxation map of 35 wt% water mixture with hexaethylene glycol (6EG) in Fig. 214, showing the large dynamic range  $10^{-2} < f < 10^{10}$  Hz of the v- or JG process of water measured. The inset shows schematically the symmetrica v-loss peak and the asymmetric  $\alpha$ -loss peak at





temperatures above  $T_g$ . It also shows  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\nu}$  is larger than  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\alpha}$  above  $T_g$ , which can be seen in the left panel of Fig. 212. The ease of obtaining rich information of the  $\nu$ process in mixtures suggests the following strategy to deduce the dynamics of bulk water. On increasing the concentration of water in the mixture, the trend exhibited by  $\tau_{\nu}$  gives an upper bound of  $\tau_{IG}$  of bulk water for all temperatures where  $\tau_{\nu}$  is determined, without being pre-empted by crystallization.

The general trend exhibited by  $\tau_{v}$  on increasing concentration of water in aqueous mixtures is elucidated by the data of 20, 30, and 40 wt% of water mixtures with glycerol shown before in Fig. 211. On increasing water content,  $\tau_{v}$  decreases for all measurement temperatures above and below  $T_{g}$ . In the glassy state where  $\tau_{v}$  has Arrhenius T-dependence, the activation energy also decreases. Mixtures at significantly higher wt% of water cannot be studied because water crystallizes in it. However, for the same weight fraction, higher molar concentration of water can be achieved by using solute of higher molecular weight such as the polymers, PVME [1108], poly(vinylpyrrolidone) (PVP) [1298, 1310], and hydrogel of poly (2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate) (PHEMA) [1313]. The  $\tau_{v}$  determined by dielectric relaxation measurements on mixtures of 35 wt% water with 3EG and poly(ethylene glycol) 600 (PEG600) [1093, 1299], 50 wt% of water with PVME [1108], 50 wt% of water with PVP [1298], and 38.6 wt% of water with PHEMA [1313] are shown in Fig. 215 (left panel). All these mixtures have a glass transition effected by the  $\alpha$ -relaxation; none of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation times is shown in the figure because the emphasis here is on their  $\tau_{\nu}$ . Included also are  $\tau_{\nu} = 10^3$  s of water confined in MCM-41, silica gels, and in the hydration shell of bovine serum albumin (BSA) determined by adiabatic calorimetry [1281, 1282, 1285]. The two vertical arrows indicate the location of  $1000/T_g$  for the mixtures with 3EG and with PEG600, and it is where the Arrhenius T-dependence of  $\tau_v$  below  $T_g$  (dashed line) changes to a stronger dependence above  $T_{g}$ . This is the case for all aqueous mixtures including those with PVME, PVP, and PHEMA, although not illustrated as such. It is clear by inspection of the figure that at all temperatures  $\tau_{v}$  decreases on increasing molar concentration of water in the mixtures in the order of 3EG, PEG600, PVME, PVP, and PEHMA, and its activation energy below  $T_g$  is within the range from 45 to 50 kJ/mol. The right panel shows the relaxation times at the high-temperature/short relaxation time region more clearly. There one can see the dielectric relaxation time of few layers of water in the hydration shell of lysozyme [1314, 1315] and bovine serum albumin (BSA) [1316, 1317], and the relaxation time,  $\tau_c$ , of reorientational motion of heavy water  $(D_2O)$  in  $D_2O$ -hydrated myoglobin (0.35 g/g) from deuteron NMR [1318, 1319]. They are nearly the same as  $\tau_v$  of the mixtures.

From this trend of  $\tau_v$  on increasing molar concentration of water in the mixtures, we [1320] can deduce that the JG relaxation time of bulk water,  $\tau_{JG,bulk}$ , has to be shorter than  $\tau_v$  of all the aqueous mixtures shown in the figure at all temperatures. In other words, *the shortest*  $\tau_v$  *of the mixtures is an upper bound of*  $\tau_{JG,bulk}$ .

In both panels of Fig. 215, the relaxation time of water nanoconfined in molecular sieves, MCM-41 by dielectric relaxation [1281, 1282, 1287, 1093] and in graphite oxide by dielectric relaxation [1321(a)] and by neutron scattering [1321(b), (c)] are included. They differ by a factor of about 5. Any cooperativity that water relaxation



**Fig. 215** (*Left*)  $\log_{10}(\tau_{\nu})$  vs. 1000/*T*, of the  $\nu$ -relaxation of water component in 35 wt% water mixture with 3EG (blue closed diamond) and PEG600 (purple closed inverted triangle), 50 wt% water mixture with PVME (red squares), 50 wt% water with PVP (pale blue open square), 38.6 wt% of water with PHEMA (magenta closed triangles), 90 wt% water with polyvinyl alcohol at short times (*pale blue squares* with  $\times$  inside), 80 wt% water with PVP at short times (*blue open squares*) [1310], and bulk water at short times (brown open circles [1311]). Dielectric relaxation time of water in the hydration shell of lysozyme ( $\bigstar$ ) and bovine serum albumin (BSA) (open black triangle), and the relaxation time,  $\tau_c$ , of reorientational motion of heavy water (D<sub>2</sub>O) in D<sub>2</sub>O-hydrated myoglobin (0.35 g/g) from deuteron NMR (thick blue line). The relaxation times of 20% water nanoconfined in graphite oxide from dielectric relaxation (purple Arrhenius line that fits the data, actual data not shown [1321(a)]), and from neutron scattering (green open circles [1321(b)]). Dielectric data of relaxation time of water confined in MCM-41 with pore diameter 2.14 nm at hydration levels 12 wt% (open circles), 22 wt% (green ▼), 55 wt% (open blue diamonds). The relaxation times of water in 20 wt% water with 1-propanol (black •) are related to the discussion of Fig. 216. (*Right*) The data of the *left panel* are magnified by restricting to the higher temperature range. Not shown are relaxation times of pure bulk water at higher temperatures in the picoseconds range determined by neutron scattering [1327], synchrotron radiation based inelastic ultraviolet scattering [1328], and heterodyne-detected optical Kerr effect [1329]. All of them is much shorter than the shortest dielectric relaxation times [1311] shown here

may have would be suppressed by the finite size effect caused by nanoconfinement. If the observed relaxation is local water motion, then its relaxation time  $\tau_{conf}$  should be close to  $\tau_{JG,bulk}$  of bulk water. It can be seen in Fig. 215 that the shortest  $\tau_v$  of the mixtures is almost indistinguishable from  $\tau_{conf}$  of water confined in MCM-41, and this further supports that *the shortest*  $\tau_v$  *of the mixtures as well as*  $\tau_{conf}$  of water confined in MCM-41 is an upper bound of  $\tau_{JG,bulk}$ .

### Observation of the $\alpha$ -Relaxation of Water in Mixture with 1-Propanol

The relaxation time of a relaxation originating from water in mixture with 1propanol has been included in the relaxation map shown in Fig. 215. The dielectric loss spectra of pure 1-propanol (PrOH) and the mixture with 20 wt% water obtained by Sudo et al. [1307] are shown at 123 K in Fig. 216 a and b on the left side. For pure PrOH, the spectra are exactly the same as that found by Hansen et al. [348(b)] with the Debye process at lowest frequency and the unresolved  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -relaxations, labeled as processes I, II, and III, respectively, in Fig. 216. The *T*-dependences of all relaxation times are as found by Hansen et al. presented before in Fig. 115. For 20 wt% water mixture, all these processes are still observed and their relaxation times are nearly the same as pure 1-propanol. However, a new loss peak is observed at the frequency range lower than all the propanol loss peaks. This can be seen in Fig. 216b from the loss peak labeled I'. This new process originates from the motion of water in the mixture.

What distinguishes 1-propanol from all other solutes in aqueous mixtures discussed so far is that the  $\alpha$ -relaxation time of 1-propanol, either pure or in the 20 wt% water mixture, is far shorter than even  $\tau_{\nu}$  of the mixtures as well as  $\tau_{conf}$  of water confined in MCM-41 or in graphite oxide. The reader can verify this by comparing Fig. 115 with Fig. 215 or gather this from the low  $T_g$  of 1-propanol, which lies below 100 K. Due to this unusual circumstance, the relaxation process of water (I')



**Fig. 216** (*Left*) Isothermal dielectric loss spectra at 123 K of pure 1-propanol (*a*), 20 wt% water mixture with 1-propanol. (*Right*) Temperature dependences of the relaxation strengths (*upper panel*) and frequency Cole–Cole and KWW dispersion parameters (*lower panel*) of all observed relaxation processes observed in the 20 wt% water mixture with 1-propanol. The interest here is mainly on the parameters of process I' originating from water. Data replotted from [1307] with additional illustrations

found in the mixture is slower than all processes associated with 1-propanol (see the spectrum at 123 K in Fig. 216 (left)). Moreover, its properties are not like those of the local v-process of water observed in all aqueous mixtures discussed before. This can be seen from the monotonic decrease of its relaxation strength,  $\Delta \varepsilon_{I'}$ , with increasing temperature as shown in Fig. 216 (right upper panel), and nearly constant dispersion width parameter  $\beta_{CCL}$  over a considerable range of temperature above  $T_{\sigma}$ . These properties actually reflect that process I' is the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of water in the mixture. However, this a rather unusual  $\alpha$ -relaxation because the temperature dependence of  $\tau_{1'}$  is nearly Arrhenius, and not far from the local water relaxation times  $\tau_v$  in the mixtures as well as  $\tau_{conf}$  of water confined in MCM-41 and graphite oxide as shown in Fig. 215 (left panel). These characteristics of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of 20 wt% water in 1-propanol suggest it has negligible intermolecular cooperativity and small coupling parameter. From what we have discussed on the component dynamics in binary mixtures in Sections 2.2.5.7 and 2.3.2.12, this can be readily understood because the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of water in the mixture is facilitated by the presence of the much more mobile 1-propanol. From preliminary dielectric relaxation study of water/methanol mixtures by Li-Min Wang and coworkers, we have found similar dynamics of the water component as in the water/1-propanol mixture. This is not surprising because methanol like 1-propanol is more mobile than water.

Thus the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of 20 wt% water in 1-propanol effectively is no different from the local secondary relaxation of water, and  $\tau_{I'}$  in Fig. 215 offers another estimate of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation time of bulk water  $\tau_{JG,bulk}$ , consistent with the estimate given before from the shortest  $\tau_v$  of the mixtures as well as  $\tau_{conf}$  of water confined in MCM-41 and graphite oxide. However,  $\tau_{1'}$  of water in more mobile 1-propanol can be faster than  $\tau_{JG,bulk}$ , and hence  $\tau_{I'}$  is its lower bound. These facts inspire the construction of the shaded rectangle placed diagonally in Fig. 217. The vertical span of the rectangle is only half a decade, but it seems to contain the  $\tau_v$  of aqueous mixtures expected in the high water concentration limit, the  $\tau_{conf}$  of water confined in MCM-41 and graphite oxide, and part of the  $\tau_{I'}$  of water in mixture with 1-propanol. Furthermore, it covers the thousand seconds relaxation time of water confined in MCM-41 and hydration water in hydrated bovine serum albumin (BSA) determined by adiabatic calorimetry; the much shorter dielectric relaxation times of hydration water in hydrated myoglobin, lysozyme, and BSA; the very short dielectric relaxation time of water in 90 wt% water mixtures with PVA, 80 wt% water mixture with PVP [1310], and pure bulk water [1311]. Not included in all figures are the relaxation time of water in the hydration shell of collagen and elastin with Arrhenius *T*-dependence in the reciprocal temperature range of 3.6 < 1000/T < 7.3determined dielectrically by Gainaru et al. [1322(a)], and the nuclear spin-lattice relaxation data from ms to 10 ps range of Lusceac et al. [1322(b)]. The relaxation times are about the same as  $\tau_{JG,bulk}$  of aqueous mixtures of PVP and other hydrated proteins in Figs. 215 and 217 with similar activation energy, and are not plotted to avoid overcrowding.

All these relaxation times mentioned above are good estimates of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation time of bulk water  $\tau_{JG,bulk}$ , and thus the shaded rectangular box in Fig. 217 approximately locates within it the Arrhenius or near Arrhenius



**Fig. 217** Data same as in Fig. 215 (*left panel*). The *shaded rectangular box* is added to show all estimates of the secondary relaxation time  $\tau_{JG}$  of bulk water seemed to be located within the box, and hence its relaxation time has approximately the Arrhenius *T*-dependence over 14 decades with activation energy of about 50 kJ/mol. The *inset* is a plot of the  $\alpha$ - and  $\nu$ -relaxation times of mixtures of 3EG with water against the molar fraction of water,  $X_W$ , at 179 K taken from [1295]. *Open circles* and *filled circles* represent the relaxation times of the  $\alpha$ - and  $\nu$ -relaxations, respectively. The *dashed line* is an extrapolation of log  $\tau_{\nu}$  to  $X_W = 1$ , i.e., bulk water. The extrapolation suggests log  $\tau_{JG}(179 \text{ K})$  of bulk water is equal to -5.3, and it falls within the *shaded box* in the main figure

temperature dependence of  $\tau_{JG,bulk}$  over 14 orders of magnitude. It has activation energy of about 46 kJ/mol according to the slope of the box. Since the strength of a hydrogen bond is approximately 20 kJ/mol, this corresponds to the energy required to break two hydrogen bonds in order for local rotation and translation of the water molecule. This is confirmed by the diffusion of water in channels of crystalline dihydrate of trehalose at temperatures from 25 to 65°C (1000/*T* from 3.36 to 2.96 and corresponds to the bottom end region of the box in Fig. 217) that has an activation energy of 49.4±3.9 kJ/mol [1323(a)]. There water moves between two discrete crystal positions by breaking two of the hydrogen bonds. The free energy of a hydrogen bond is around 23 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> from dielectric constant data [1323(b)].

The inset in Fig. 217 is a plot of the  $\alpha$ - and  $\nu$ -relaxation times of mixtures of 3EG with water against the molar fraction of water,  $X_W$ , at 179 K taken from [1295]. Open circles and filled circles represent the relaxation times of the  $\alpha$ - and  $\nu$ -relaxations, respectively. The dashed line is an extrapolation of log  $\tau_{\nu}$  to  $X_W = 1$ , i.e., bulk water. The extrapolation suggests log  $\tau_{JG}(179 \text{ K})$  of bulk water is equal to -5.3. The reader can verify that it falls within the shaded box in the main figure. This is another indication that  $\tau_{JG}$  of bulk water has been located in Fig. 217.

Deducing the Dynamics of Bulk Water from the  $\alpha$ -Relaxation and  $\nu$ -Relaxation in Aqueous Mixtures with Oligomers of Ethylene Glycol

If the aqueous mixture has large enough molar fraction of water, the  $\alpha$ -relaxation necessarily involves water in cooperative motion with the solute. The CM equation (2.69) between the  $\alpha$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{JG}$  of water in the mixture can be used to determine the coupling parameter *n* and hence the degree of cooperativity of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation involving the water. Again taking  $t_c = 2 \text{ ps}$ , *n* can be obtained from Eq. (2.69) when rewritten as

$$n = (\log \tau_{\alpha} - \log \tau_{\nu}) / (\log \tau_{\alpha} + 11.7), \qquad (2.84)$$

since the v-relaxation time  $\tau_v$  is the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation time of water in the mixture. Furthermore, on changing the solute systematically in such higher water content mixtures and observing the trend of the corresponding change of *n*, some insight into the dynamics of bulk water possibly may be obtained. This can be done by utilizing the 35–40 wt% water mixtures with the oligomers of ethylene glycol. Figure 218 presents the *T*-dependence of the  $\alpha$ - and v-relaxation times of the 35 wt% water mixtures with tri-ethylene glycol (3EG) and with di-ethylene glycol (2EG), and 40 wt% of water mixture with ethylene glycol (EG). From  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{v}$  available at the lowest



**Fig. 218** Same data as in Figs. 215 and 217 except shown here in a restricted temperature range and with the addition of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation times (*open symbols*) and v-relaxation times (*closed symbols*) of mixture of 35 wt% water with di-ethylene glycol (*inverted triangles*), and 40 wt% of water with ethylene glycol (*squares*). The data of 35 wt% water with tri-ethylene glycol are still represented by *diamonds* like before. The *inset* is a plot of the coupling parameter *n* of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of aqueous solutions of tri-ethylene glycol, di-ethylene, and ethylene glycol against the number of carbon atoms of these glycols, and the dependence is linearly extrapolated to zero number of carbon atoms to deduce the coupling parameter of bulk water

temperature for each mixture, the values of coupling parameter *n* calculated by Eq. (2.74) are 0.24, 0.19, and 0.10 for mixtures with 3EG, 2EG, and EG, respectively. These values of n are plotted against the number of carbon atoms  $N_{\rm C}$  of the solute in the inset of Fig. 218. A linear extrapolation of the data as shown suggests that *n* is nearly zero when there is no more carbon atoms. This extrapolated value of ncannot be strictly identified with that for bulk water. Nevertheless, with or without extrapolation, the n vs.  $N_{\rm C}$  plot indicates that n of bulk water at low temperatures say below  $T_{\rm g} \approx 162$  K of tri-propylene glycol is small. At high temperatures between 0 and 35°C, precision complex permittivity data of pure water in the frequency range  $0.2 \le f \le 410$  GHz by Buchner et al. [1311] also find Debye relaxation with n = 0. These short relaxation times data have been shown before in Fig. 215. The dielectric relaxation of water in 90 wt% water mixtures with PVA and 80 wt% water mixture with PVP [1310], at temperatures ranging from 0 to  $25^{\circ}$ C and relaxations within the range  $10^{-11} < \tau < 10^{-10}$  s, show narrow symmetric loss peak. The fits by the Cole–Cole function with exponents  $\beta = 0.9$  indicates that the coupling parameter n is less than 0.1. The reader may recognize that all the experimental data are obtained by dielectric relaxation except those from adiabatic calorimetry and one by NMR. These collections of dielectric relaxation data show that the coupling parameter of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of water is small for all relaxation times that range over 13 orders of magnitude.

Such small *n* deduced from dielectric data at long times is consistent with a molecular dynamics simulation of the dynamics of water molecules in deeply supercooled liquid states by Sciortino et al. [1324] in the time regime starting from  $10^{-3}$ ps and up to  $10^4$  ps. The effective potential used is the extended simple point charge (SPC/E) model [1325]. This potential treats a single water molecule as a rigid set of point masses with an OH distance of 0.1 nm and a HOH angle equal to the tetrahedral angle 109.47°. After the end of the plateau corresponding to caged water dynamics, the time dependence of the incoherent intermediate scattering function,  $F_{\rm s}(Q,t)$ , is well described by the Kohlrausch function with fractional exponent  $\beta_{\rm K} \equiv (1 - n)$  being Q-dependent. At 206 K and  $Q = Q_{\rm max} = 18 \, {\rm nm}^{-1}$  or 1.8 Å<sup>-1</sup>, where  $Q_{\text{max}}$  is the location of the maximum of the oxygen–oxygen partial static structure factor,  $\beta_{\rm K}(Q_{\rm max}) \approx 0.7$  and increases toward unity when Q falls below  $Q_{\text{max}}$  and/or at higher temperatures. For example at  $Q = 0.33 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ ,  $\beta_{\rm K}(Q) \approx 0.85$  at 206 K and larger at higher temperatures. Since dielectric relaxation data are macroscopic measurements, comparison should be made with simulation data for  $Q < Q_{\text{max}}$ . Thus, the deduction of small n of bulk water from dielectric measurements is supported from molecular dynamics simulations.

Before leaving this subsection, mention must be made of the measurement of bulk water using techniques other than dielectric relaxation. All these other techniques have the limitations of only measuring the relaxation or diffusion of water at times shorter than 10 ps.

One is incoherent quasielastic neutron scattering investigations of diffusive motions in supercooled water by Chen et al. [1326] and Teixeira et al. [1327] over the temperature range  $-20^{\circ}C \leq T \leq 20^{\circ}C$ . They identified two relaxation times, both much shorter than all relaxation times shown before in Fig. 215

were interpreted as jump diffusion of protons and fluctuations of hydrogen bonds, respectively.

Masciovecchio et al. [1328] used the novel synchrotron radiation based inelastic ultraviolet scattering technique to measure the dynamic structure factor of normal and supercooled liquid at a momentum transfer  $Q = 0.1 \text{ mm}^{-1}$  or  $0.01 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ , in the temperature range 260–340 K. The relaxation times are close to those determined by dielectric relaxation [1311] shown before in both panels of Fig. 215. This is expected because of the smaller Q used in the experiment than neutron scattering. These authors reported the Kohlrausch exponent  $\beta$  of about 0.6 for the correlation function used to fit the dynamic structure factor  $S(Q, \omega)$ . Although error estimates were given, it is not clear that this value of  $\beta$  can be taken with confidence because there are several contributions besides the structural relaxation to the observed  $S(Q, \omega)$ , and convolution with experimental resolution. This is to be contrasted with dielectric relaxation of water in the same temperature range [1311], where the  $\beta \approx 1$  can be ascertained directly either by inspection of the observed loss peak or by fitting it by any of the empirical functions.

Torre et al. [1329] used heterodyne-detected optical Kerr effect experiments (HD-OKE) to study water in the time regime of 0.3 < t < 30 ps and temperature from  $254 \leq T \leq 314$  K. The data at times longer than 0.5 ps can be fitted by the Kohlrausch function with  $\beta_{\rm K} = 0.6$  for all temperatures, even smaller than the  $\beta_{\rm K}$  values of Sciortino et al. at 206 K. The relaxation time obtained by Torre et al. is shorter by a factor of more than 5 than that of Sciortino, and shorter by about 25–100 times than the various relaxation times in the same temperature range of  $254 \leq T \leq 314$  K as can be seen in Fig. 215. At present, the data of structural relaxation from Torre et al. cannot be reconciled with those of other spectroscopies, particularly dielectric relaxation. Also,  $\beta$ -relaxation of water was found in LiBr-6H<sub>2</sub>O [1329], with  $\tau_{\beta}$  much shorter than nanoconfined water.

On comparing the results obtained by the non-dielectric techniques in Fig. 215, it is clear that there is large variations of relaxation times. Moreover these techniques cannot provide data of relaxation time longer than  $10^{-11}$  s, pertinent to the glass transition problem. Therefore, if the purpose is to understand the dynamics of water in relation to glass transition, it is wise to restrict consideration of experimental data coming solely from dielectric relaxation and calorimetry.

## Deduction from $T_{\rm g}$ of Glassy Water

If cooled sufficiently fast as to avoid crystallization, water can be vitrified. The cooling rate required is about  $10^6$  K s<sup>-1</sup> and the resulting material is called hyperquenched glassy water (HGW). However, at least two distinct forms of glassy water can form. The two forms most extensively studied are known as low-density amorphous ice (LDA) and high-density amorphous ice (HDA) [130]. There is also the amorphous solid water (ASW) films formed by molecular beam deposition onto Au(111) and Ru(001) single-crystal substrates at deposition rates between 0.1 and 7  $\mu$ m h<sup>-1</sup> and temperatures between 10 and 120 K. After annealing in vacuum from 77 to about 113 K [1269], ASW relaxes to a material that is indistinguishable

from HGW. The glass transition temperatures of LDA, HGW, and ASW determined by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) with heating rate of 30 K/min are all 136 K, and 124 K for LDA at 10 K/min [1269, 1271, 1330–1333] (see the review of Debenedetti [130]). The location of the vertical dotted line on the x-axis in Fig. 218 corresponds to 136 K. If these various forms of glassy water truly represent bulk water, and their  $T_g = 136$  K is that of bulk water, then the coupling parameter of bulk water can be estimated from the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation time,  $\tau_{JG,bulk}$ , by using the CM equation (2.84). Taking  $\tau_{JG,bulk}$  to lie within the shaded box in Fig. 217, and assuming  $\tau_{\alpha}$  from DSC is 10<sup>2</sup> s at 136 K, we find n = 0.18. If  $\tau_{\alpha} = 10^3$  s at 136 K, then n = 0.23. If the true  $T_g$  of bulk water is lower than 136 K, or if  $t_c$  of water is shorter than 2 ps, then the coupling parameter n is even smaller. These small values of nof bulk water estimated from the DSC data of LDA, HGW, and ASW are consistent with similar conclusion deduced from aqueous mixtures and from simulations. At temperatures above  $T_{\rm g} = 136$  K, the T-dependence of  $\tau_{\rm JG,bulk}$  may not be perfectly Arrhenius as suggested by the shaded box in Fig. 217, but the deviation may not be large because n is already small at  $T_{g}$  and decreases as temperature rises, as found by molecular dynamics simulations [1324].

The Mystery of the Dynamics of Bulk Water Resolved?

The analyses of various experimental data mainly from a single technique, namely dielectric relaxation, with the help of adiabatic calorimetry, in the above have led to the following conclusions on the dynamic properties of the  $\alpha$ - and the JG  $\beta$ relaxation of bulk water if crystallization does not occur. The T-dependence of its JG  $\beta$ -relaxation time,  $\tau_{JE,bulk}$ , is nearly Arrhenius over 14 decades from  $10^{-11}$  to  $10^3$  s. After breaking two hydrogen bonds, as suggested by the activation energy  $E_a \approx 50$  kJ/mol of the JG relaxation time  $\tau_{\beta} = \tau_{\infty} \exp(E_a/kT)$  of water, the water molecule is free to rotate and translate. The change from hydrogen-bonded to free rotation-translation engenders entropy change, which can explain the prefactor  $\tau_{\infty}$  is of the order of  $10^{-19}$  s deduced from the Arrhenius *T*-dependence of  $\tau_{\beta}$  in Fig. 217. The coupling parameter *n* of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation is small at  $T_{g}$  and smaller at higher temperatures, implying that the structural relaxation of water has low degree of intermolecular cooperativity and its relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is not much longer than  $\tau_{JG,bulk}$  at all temperatures above  $T_g$ . The last conclusion may not be farfetched because water is the smallest molecule in nature and the strength of hydrogen bond is only about 20 kJ/mol. If this is a correct description of the properties and behavior of bulk water, the mystery of the dynamics of bulk water is resolved.

The remarks given above applies only to *bulk* water, which has limited applicability because bulk water crystallizes at lower temperatures. In practice, water is studied not in the pure bulk state, but in situations where it does not crystallize. Examples include mixtures with hydrophilic substances, in hydration shell of biomolecules, confined in silica gels or molecular sieves discussed before, and other possibilities. Chemical and/or physical interaction of water in these cases can drastically modify the frequency dispersion of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of the water-coupled system, and change its relaxation time, leading to a system-specific glass transition temperature. The modification is less so for the local JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of bulk water. Nevertheless, because of the existence of the connection between the  $\alpha$ - and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation for all glassformers, the Arrhenius *T*-dependence of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation time no longer holds above  $T_g$  of the system.

In view of the modifications of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation in these systems, it is dangerous to identify the modified characteristics observed with that of bulk water. Furthermore, since the faster JG  $\beta$ -relaxation is also present and if observed, there is another danger for it to be misinterpreted as the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of water either of the system itself or of bulk water. As discussed before, this happens in the interpretation of relaxation processes in aqueous mixtures by others. Swenson and coworkers suggested that the observed faster process is a merged  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -process of water at temperatures above  $T_g$  [1106, 1261, 1292]. Cerveny and coworkers [1107, 1108, 1111, 1294, 1312] interpreted the faster relaxation above  $T_g$  as the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of water. The relaxation processes observed in water confined in nanometer space have been mentioned in the introduction and will be further discussed in the following section. The faster relaxation observed in water confined in molecular sieves (MCM-41) has been interpreted by Swenson and coworkers as the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of water as well [1293].

## The JG $\beta$ -Relaxation of Water in Nanoconfinement

Mention of the dynamics of water confined in nanometer space has been made in the previous section, and used in deducing the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation time,  $\tau_{JG,bulk}$ , of bulk water. In view of the importance of these data on dynamics of water per se and interest in change of dynamics by nanoconfinement in general, a more detailed account of the experimental data is worthwhile to be given below.

#### The Dynamics of Water Nanoconfined in Molecular Sieves

The dynamics of water confined in molecular sieves had been studied by means of dielectric spectroscopy by Jansson and Swenson [1102]. In a cylindrical pore with diameter of 10 Å, two major relaxation processes were observed dielectrically by Jansson and Swenson as shown in the loss spectrum at 180 K in the lower part on the left side of Fig. 219. According to them, the much more intense slower process (open squares) is contributed by most of the water molecules in 10 Å pores, and is likely due to strong interactions with the hydrophilic inner surfaces of molecular sieves. Its relaxation time,  $\tau_{sMS}$ , increases with decreasing temperature to attain 100 s at about 167–170 K (see relaxation map on the right side of Fig. 219). The relaxation time of the weaker and faster process,  $\tau_{fMS}$  (closed squares), has Arrhenius temperature dependence at low temperatures below about 175 K. The magnitude of  $\tau_{\rm fMS}$  is nearly the same as the relaxation time  $\tau_{6\,\text{\AA}}$  (closed circles) of the 6 Å ultrathin water layer (two molecular layers) confined in fully hydrated Na-vermiculite clay measured by dielectric spectroscopy also shown in the figure [1296], and the JG relaxation time,  $\tau_{\rm JG}(T)$ , of the water component in 50% water mixtures with PVME (not shown). Like  $\tau_{JG}$  of this aqueous mixture, the Arrhenius temperature dependence of  $\tau_{fMS}$  does not persist at higher temperatures, but changes to



**Fig. 219** (*Right*) Relaxation map of various relaxation times. Shown are the relaxation times,  $\tau_{sMS}$ and  $\tau_{fMS}$ , of a slower (open squares) and faster (closed squares) relaxation of water confined in molecular sieves of cylindrical pore with diameter of 10 Å [1102]. The Arrhenius temperature dependence of  $\tau_{\rm fMS}$  changes to stronger temperature dependence at approximately  $T_{\rm g} = 167$  K (indicated by the vertical line) where  $\tau_{sMS}$  will reach about 10<sup>3</sup> s. The values of  $\tau_{sMS}$  calculated by the CM equation are shown by *closed triangles* (see text). Shown also are more recent dielectric data [1293] of  $\tau_{fMS}$  of water confined in molecular sieves MCM-41 C10 with pore diameter 2.14 nm at hydration levels of H=12 wt% (open circles), 22 wt% (closed inverted triangles), 55 wt% (open circles with + inside). The change of T-dependence of  $\tau_{\text{fMS}}$  is made clear by the two *lines* with different slopes. The two *open inverted triangle* are  $\tau_{sMS}$  deduced from the dielectric loss spectrum at 220 and 240 K (see inset) after subtracting off the conductivity. (Left) The dielectric loss spectrum at 180 K is shown by the figure on the *left* at the *bottom*. For comparison, we also include the dielectric relaxation time (closed circles) of 6 Å thick layer of water in fully hydrated Na-vermiculite clay [1296]. The two *larger circles* ( $\otimes$ ) are the relaxation times of  $\tau_{sMS}$  and  $\tau_{fMS}$ of water confined within 1.2, 1.6, and 1.8 nm nanopores of silica MCM-41 obtained by adiabatic calorimetry having the value of  $10^3$  s at T=115 and 165 K, respectively [1283]; data of 1.2 and 1.6 nm are shown on top of the left panel. The red closed squares are the average translational relaxation time  $\tau_{\rm T}$  determined by quasielastic neutron scattering of water confined in nanoporous silica matrices MCM-41-S with pore diameters of 14 Å [1289]. Dielectric data reproduced from [1102, 1293] by permission. Calorimetric data are reconstructed from data of [1283]

stronger temperature dependence at temperatures above 180 K. This crossover of temperature dependence of  $\tau_{fMS}$  is the same as what we have seen in  $\tau_{JG}$  of the JG-process in various non-aqueous and aqueous mixtures (see Fig. 215), and is a general property of JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. The striking similarity of this feature of  $\tau_{fMS}$  and that shown by  $\tau_{JG}$  leads us to interpret the observed faster process in a molecular sieve as the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation associated with slower process, which is the  $\alpha$ -process

of water strongly interacting with the hydrophilic inner surfaces of the molecular sieve. Such a relation between the two processes is further supported by applying the coupling model (CM) relation between  $\tau_{\rm JG}$  and  $\tau_{\alpha}$  to the present case of  $\tau_{\rm fMS}$  and  $\tau_{\rm sMS}$  for water confined in 10 Å pores. Using the CM relation adapted for the present case,  $\tau_{\rm sMS}(T) = [t_c^{-n} \tau_{\rm fMS}(T)]^{1/(1-n)}$ ,  $\tau_{\rm sMS}$  are calculated from the data of  $\tau_{\rm fMS}$  (closed triangles) by assuming  $\tau_c \approx 2$  ps as other molecular glassformers and  $(1 - n) \equiv \beta_K = 0.69$ . With this choice of the Kohlrausch exponent,  $\beta_K = 0.69$ , the calculated  $\tau_{\rm sMS}$  (closed triangles) are in approximate agreement with the experimental values (open squares) in Fig. 219. The value of  $\beta_{\rm K} = 0.69$  chosen is not far from the value of 0.65 reported by Swenson et al. [1104] as the Kohlrausch exponent obtained by means of quasielastic neutron scattering after averaging the result from various scattering vectors.

More recent dielectric loss data were reported by Hedström et al. [1293] of water confined in molecular sieves MCM-41 C10 with pore diameter 2.14 nm at hydration levels of 12, 22, and 55 wt%, and are also shown in Fig. 219 (right panel). The isothermal loss data are shown in the inset. The resolved loss peaks correspond to the fast process of Jansson and Swenson [1102] with relaxation times comparable in magnitude to  $\tau_{\rm fMS}$ . There is also the change of *T*-dependence of  $\tau_{\rm fMS}$ , made clear by the two lines drawn with different slopes. The temperature of about 166 K at which the change occurs is indicated by the vertical solid line.

Adiabatic calorimetry measurements of the enthalpy relaxation of water confined within MCM-41 nanopores was made by Oguni and coworkers [1283]. Again a faster and a slower relaxation of water confined within 1.2 and 1.6 nm nanopores of silica MCM-41 have been found. Their adiabatic calorimetric relaxation times.  $\tau_{\rm sMS}$  and  $\tau_{\rm fMS}$ , have the characteristic value of 10<sup>3</sup> s at T=115 and 165 K, respectively, and are shown in Fig. 219 by the two larger circles ( $\otimes$ ). The temperature location of  $\tau_{sMS} = 10^3$ s from adiabatic calorimetry in Fig. 1 is 165 K. Remarkably, extrapolation of the Arrhenius T-dependence of the dielectric relaxation time  $\tau_{fMS}$ of water confined in molecular sieves with pore diameter of 10 Å from Jansson and Swenson [1102] to lower temperatures shows it is consistent with the calorimetric  $\tau_{\rm sMS} = 10^3$  s at 115 K. This temperature also is nearly the same as that obtained by extrapolating the Arrhenius dependence of the dielectric  $\tau_{fMS}$  from Hedström et al. [1293] to  $10^3$  s, as demonstrated in Fig. 219. The agreement shows that  $\tau_{\rm fMS}$ from dielectric relaxation and calorimetry belong to the same process. Moreover, the dielectric  $\tau_{sMS}$  of the slower process from Jansson and Swenson [1102] reaches  $10^3$  s at a temperature close to 165 K, the calorimetric temperature for  $\tau_{sMS} = 10^3$  s. Thus the adiabatic calorimetry data confirm the presence of the slower process and support the  $\tau_{sMS}$  determined from the dielectric data by Jansson and Swenson.

One may ask why no  $\tau_{sMS}$  was reported by Hedström et al. This is due to the large conductivity contributions at low frequencies masking the slower process at most temperatures (see inset of Fig. 219, right panel). However, at the two temperatures 220 and 240 K, the loss shows an excess wing on the high-frequency side of the conductivity contribution to  $\varepsilon''$ , indicating the presence of the unresolved slow process. After subtracting off the conductivity contribution, the slow process has been resolved, and its relaxation time determined at these two temperatures. The

open inverted triangles are  $\tau_{sMS}$  deduced in this way from the dielectric loss spectra at 220 and 240 K (see inset). They are close to  $\tau_{sMS}$  of the resolved slow relaxation found by Jansson and Swenson (open squares), and this supports that the slow process also is present in the water confined in molecular sieves MCM-41 studied by Hedström et al. [1293].

It is important here to emphasize the change of *T*-dependence of  $\tau_{\rm fMS}$  found by dielectric relaxation [1102, 1293] occurs at about 166 K when  $\tau_{\rm sMS}$  from both the dielectric and the adiabatic calorimetry measurements reaches 10<sup>3</sup> s, just like the case of aqueous mixtures. This clearly shows that  $\tau_{\rm fMS}$  and  $\tau_{\rm sMS}$  are related in physically the same manner as in aqueous mixtures. Possibly the hydrogen-bonding interactions of water with the interface of the molecular sieves replace the role played by the hydrophilic solute in aqueous mixtures, and give rise to the slow process and the relation of its  $\tau_{\rm sMS}$  to  $\tau_{\rm fMS}$ , the primitive or JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of water. This scenario of water confined in solid materials like MCM-41 is found in water confined in solid hydrogels and silica gels to be discussed below. Again, there is the experimental evidence of the change of *T*-dependence of the water relaxation time near  $T_{\rm g}$  of the slow process found by both dielectric spectroscopy and calorimetry (see Fig. 220). The scenario even can be extended to hydrated



**Fig. 220**  $\tau_{sC}$  (open diamonds, and open inverted triangles for dry samples) and  $\tau_{fC}$  (closed diamonds, and closed inverted triangles for dry samples), of slower and faster relaxation of water confined in aged silica hydrogels [1334].  $\tau_{fC}$  has Arrhenius *T*-dependence in the lower temperature range (activation enthalpy  $\approx$ 50 kJ/mol.).  $\tau_{\alpha}$  or  $\tau_{sPJ}$  (open triangles) and  $\tau_{JG}$  or  $\tau_{fPJ}$  (dashed line) of mixtures of 38.6 wt% of water with PHEMA [1335];  $\tau_{\alpha}$  (closed triangle) of 34 and 42% of water with PHEMA (DSC from [1336]);  $\tau_{JG}$  or  $\tau_{fPJ}$  (+) of mixtures of 50 wt% of water with PHEMA [1335]. The two  $\otimes$  are relaxation times of two processes both equal to  $10^3$  s detected by adiabatic calorimetry at *T*=115 and 160 K for water in the pores of silica gel with average diameter of 1.1 nm [1282]. Inset: Temperature dependence of the rates of spontaneous heat release and absorption, observed in the heat-capacity measurements of ordinary water (H<sub>2</sub>O) by an intermittent heating method. Average pore diameter = 1.1 nm. The systematic heat-evolution and -absorption effects for the rapidly and slowly cooled samples are characteristics of a glass transition, and there are two transitions found in the 1.1 nm pores. Reproduced from [1282] by permission

proteins, a subject of extensive discussion in Section 2.3.2.28. There are about two layers of water surrounding the hydrated protein, and present are the slow process of the protein coupled to the hydration water by hydrogen bondings and the fast water relaxation. Remarkably, the hydration water relaxation time changes its Tdependence near  $T_{\rm g}$  of the slow process found by both dielectric spectroscopy and calorimetry [131, 1071, 1093]. It is unfortunate that in publishing their most recent paper in 2010 [1112], Jansson and Swenson did not have the benefit of the detailed discussion here on the analogy between water confined in solid materials and water in biological and other soft systems, although our paper published in 2007 [1093] has already indicated that the dynamics of water confined in solid materials like MCM-41, hydrogels, and silica gels are similar to water in aqueous mixtures. Due to unawareness, they made the erroneous statement to the effect that the dynamics of water confined in solid materials is drastically different from that in hydrated proteins and other soft systems. Based on this wrong conception, they [1112] went on to suggest the way out of the conundrum they fabricated is to adopt the explanation of the dynamic crossover in soft systems by Cerveny et al. [1107(a)] as the change from cooperative  $\alpha$ -relaxation of water above  $T_g$  to restricted motion of water in confined spaces below  $T_{g}$ . We have given before ample experimental evidence to show the explanation of Cerveny et al. is untenable not only in aqueous mixtures but also in mixtures of van der Waals liquids. Here I just remind the reader of the monotonic increase of the dielectric strength of the water in aqueous mixtures with increasing temperature above  $T_g$  (see Figs. 212 and 213 for examples), which is the signature of secondary relaxation and the opposite behavior of the cooperative  $\alpha$ -relaxation of water above  $T_g$  proposed by Cerveny et al. The loss spectra of hydrated myoglobin at two hydration levels of h = 0.33 and 0.50 presented by Jansson and Swenson [1112] also contradict the interpretation by Cerveny et al. This can be seen from the monotonic increase of the dielectric strength of the water relaxation with temperature above the dynamic crossover temperature  $\sim 160$  K (see panels (A) and (B) of Fig. 2 in [1112]). More examples of the same from other hydrated proteins can be found in [1317, 1358(a), 1358(c)].

The dynamics of water confined in nanoporous silica matrices MCM-41-S with pore diameters of 18 and 14 Å has been studied down to 200 K by quasielastic neutron scattering. The data were analyzed by using a relaxing cage model by Faraone et al. [1289(a)] and by Liu et al. [1289(b)]. These authors determined the temperature variation of the average translational relaxation time  $\langle \tau_T \rangle$  and found it exhibits an abrupt change from VFTH-like at higher temperatures to Arrhenius law at  $T \approx 225$  K with activation energies of 5.28 and 6.72 kcal/mol for 18 and 1.4 Å size pores, respectively. They interpreted this behavior as the fragile-tostrong liquid–liquid transition of water suggested by Angell and coworkers [563], now involving  $\langle \tau_T \rangle$  of the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation of nanoconfined water. Their data (red closed squares) are plotted in Fig. 219. Similar behavior was observed in the *T*-dependence of the reciprocal of the self-diffusion coefficient of water confined in cylindrical nanoporous matrices (MCM-41-S) with 14 Å pores [1290].

As can be seen in Fig. 219, there is good agreement between these neutron scattering  $\langle \tau_T \rangle$  of Chen and coworkers and the dielectric  $\tau_{fMS}$  of Hedström et al. in the overlap region, except that the purported "fragile-to-strong" transition exhibited by the neutron data of  $\langle \tau_T \rangle$  at about 225 K was not observed by the dielectric experiment. The neutron  $\langle \tau_T \rangle$  with the VFTH dependence seems to be continuation of the dielectric  $\tau_{fMS}$  of water confined in molecular sieves MCM-41 C10 with pore diameter 2.14 nm at hydration levels of 22 wt% (closed inverted green triangles).

As shown before in Figs. 217 and 218, the dielectric relaxation time  $\tau_{fMS}$  of the faster process of water in molecular sieves has about the same activation enthalpy as  $\tau_v$  of the 50% water mixtures with PVME, PVP, and other aqueous mixtures shown in these figures as well as  $\tau_{6 \text{ Å}}$  of the 6 Å water layer confined in fully hydrated Navermiculite clay. Moreover, the  $\tau_{fMS}$  from adiabatic calorimetry located at T=115 K is nearly the same as value obtained by extrapolation of the Arrhenius dependence of  $\tau_{JG}$  of the 50% water mixtures with PVME or with PVP to lower temperatures [1093]. These coincidences indicate that  $\tau_{fMS}$  from dielectric relaxation and adiabatic calorimetry is an intrinsic feature of water, i.e., its primitive relaxation or JG  $\beta$ -relaxation, present in mixtures and in nanoconfinement.

Before closing this subsection, we revisit the adiabatic calorimetry data of water confined in the 1.8 nm diameter cylindrical pores of MCM-41 of Oguni et al. [1283]. They found on heating from 80 K the rapidly precooled sample of the water confined to 1.8 nm pores, an exothermic peak of enthalpy release rate at 210 K appeared in addition to the two peaks at 110 and 160 K found before in water confined in 1.2 and 1.6 nm pores (see Fig. 219). This observation led them to the conclusion that the glass-softening temperature  $T_g$  of bulk water is 210 K, in conflict with the other proposed values of  $T_g$  of supercooled water at 136 or 165 K. The conclusion also is at odds with the order-disorder transition at 227 K of supercooled water proposed by Angell [1285], as pointed out by Mallamace et al. [1284(a)]. Johari [1284(b)] offered a different interpretation of the exothermic peak observed in the 180-230 K range of water confined to 1.8 nm pores. He argued from other evidences it is inconsistent with glass relaxation and is attributed to growth and decay of ice-like distorted unit cells or of stacking faults that remain at equilibrium with the parent structure. The peak centered near 110 K was attributed by him to reorientation of H<sub>2</sub>O in the nanoshell with little change in their center-of-mass position, which is not inconsistent with our interpretation of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of water. The intermediate peak in the range of 125–175 K was attributed by him to the change in the population of bonds between water and silica surface. Whatever the final outcome of the true interpretation of the intermediate exothermic peak and the  $T_{\rm g}$  of nanoconfined and bulk water, the identification of the primitive or JG  $\beta$ -relaxation time of water as given above seems well supported by experiments.

## The Dynamics of Water Nanoconfined in Hydrogels and Silica Gels

Since the primitive relaxation or JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of water is an intrinsic process, its characteristics should not be sensitive to other modes of nanoconfinement including silica hydrogels [1334], hydrogel of poly(2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate) (PHEMA) [1335], and in pores of silica gels [1282]. Two relaxation processes of pure water confined in aged silica hydrogels were also observed dielectrically [1334]. Their

relaxation times are shown in Fig. 220 as a function of reciprocal temperature. The relaxation time,  $\tau_{fC}$ , of the faster relaxation has Arrhenius temperature dependence in the lower temperature range with an activation enthalpy of about 50 kJ/mol. The resemblance of these properties of the faster relaxation to that of some of the JGprocesses of water in aqueous mixtures suggests that it is the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of water confined in hydrogels. The slower relaxation has VFTH temperature dependence for its relaxation time,  $\tau_{sC}$ , like that of typical  $\alpha$ -process. It was suggested [1334] that this relaxation originates from water molecules within the pores that do not interact strongly with the matrix and behave collectively. Another possibility is interaction of water with silica and silica being modified by hydrolysis. Whichever is the ultimate interpretation of the slower relaxation, it is an  $\alpha$ -relaxation, and the faster relaxation with Arrhenius temperature dependence may be its JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. Their relaxation times,  $\tau_{sC}$  and  $\tau_{fC}$ , may be related by the CM equation just like the relation between  $\tau_{sMS}$  and  $\tau_{fMS}$  of water confined in a molecular sieve shown in Fig. 219. In fact, when we compare  $\tau_{sC}$  with  $\tau_{sMS}$ , and  $\tau_{fC}$  with  $\tau_{fMS}$  (not shown), the values of each pair are similar, indicating the origins of the fast process as well as the slow process are similar in the two cases.

We consider here also the relaxation of water confined in a hydrogel of PHEMA studied by Pathmanathan and Johari (PJ) [1335]. Within the experimental frequency range from 10 to  $10^5$  Hz, again there are two relaxation processes. The faster relaxation of the 38.6 wt% water has Arrhenius temperature dependence for its relaxation time,  $\tau_{\rm fPJ}$ , with activation energy of 60.8 kJ/mol, as shown in Fig. 220 by the Arrhenius fit to the data (dashed line) but without the data themselves to avoid crowding. It can be seen from this figure that the relaxation times  $\tau_{\rm fPJ}$  are near  $\tau_{\rm fC}$  of the faster process found in water confined in aged silica hydrogels as well as  $\tau_{\rm fMS}$  of water confined in a molecular sieve. This coincidence suggests that  $\tau_{\rm fPJ}$  is the JG relaxation time of water in the PHEMA hydrogel. The slower process of water in PHEMA hydrogels can only be observed at higher temperatures dielectrically. At lower temperatures, the dc conductivity dominates and preempts the observation of the slow process. Its relaxation time,  $\tau_{\rm sPJ}$ , determined from the dielectric loss spectra at several temperatures is shown as open triangles in Fig. 220. Again, at the same temperature,  $\tau_{\rm sPJ}$  is about the same as  $\tau_{\rm sC}$  or  $\tau_{\rm sMS}$ .

Calorimetric measurements of H<sub>2</sub>O and D<sub>2</sub>O in hydrogels of PHEMA by Hofer et al. [1336] show water has a very weak endothermic step at 132 ± 4 K for H<sub>2</sub>O and another endothermic step at 162 ± 2 K (H<sub>2</sub>O) and 165 ± 2 K (D<sub>2</sub>O), all for a heating rate of 30 K/min. The lower temperature, 132 ± 4 K, is nearly the same as  $T_g$  of hyperquenched glassy water [1271, 1333, 1337]. The activation energy of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of hyperquenched glassy water is about 55 kJ/mol, which is also not far from 60.8 kJ/mol for  $\tau_{\rm fPJ}$ . Moreover, from the calorimetric data, PJ deduced that  $\tau_{\rm fPJ} = 53$  s at 135 K. The larger endothermic step at 162 K at the heating rate of 30 K/min indicates the relaxation time of the slower process,  $\tau_{\rm sPJ}$ , is also 53 s at 162 K. This result, shown by a lone closed triangle located at 1000/T = 6.17in Fig. 220 and indicated there that it comes from Hofer et al., seems to be the continuation of  $\tau_{\rm sPJ}$  obtained by dielectric measurements at higher temperatures, as could be suggested by drawing a line to connect them. It can be seen by inspection of Fig. 220 that  $\tau_{sPJ}$ ,  $\tau_{sC}$ , and  $\tau_{sMS}$  (not shown) all have comparable values over the same temperature region where they increase toward long timescale of vitrification. The activation enthalpy of  $\tau_{sPJ}$  from calorimetric relaxation near 162 K is about 120 kJ/mol, twice the activation energy of  $\tau_{fPJ}$ . From the contrasting properties of  $\tau_{sPJ}$  and  $\tau_{fPJ}$  discussed above, we suggest that the slower process in PHEMA hydrogels is the relaxation of water interacting with PHEMA, like water interacting with the inner surface of molecular sieves [1102] or with the silica in silica hydrogels [1334]. The faster process could be considered as the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of water in PHEMA hydrogels. Again  $\tau_{sPJ}$  and  $\tau_{fPJ}$  are related by the CM equation, and there is a change of the *T*-dependence of  $\tau_{fPJ}$  at the temperature where  $\tau_{sPJ}$  reaches the long time of 10<sup>3</sup> s like found for aqueous mixtures.

New study of water confined in the pores of silica gel with average diameter of 1.1 nm by adiabatic calorimetry reported again the presence of a faster and a slower process [1282]. We do not discuss the data of water confined in 6, 12, and 52 nm pores of silica gel in this reference because of the reported large fraction of ice formed in the central portion of these pores, which could complicate the observed results. The relaxation times of the slow and fast processes,  $\tau_{scal}$  and  $\tau_{fcal}$ , both equal to  $10^3$  s were detected by adiabatic calorimetry at T=115 and 160 K. They are shown by the two larger circles ( $\otimes$ ) all having relaxation time of 10<sup>3</sup> s in Fig. 220. When the data of  $\tau_{sC}$  and  $\tau_{sPJ}$  are extrapolated to longer times, the temperature at which they reach  $10^3$  s is close to 160 K. Therefore, the dielectric  $\tau_{sPJ}$  and  $\tau_{sC}$  are in approximate agreement with the calorimetric  $\tau_{scal}$ , albeit the confining media are different in the three cases. Similarly, extrapolation of  $\tau_{\rm fPI}$  and  $\tau_{\rm fC}$  to longer times also shows rough agreement with  $\tau_{\text{fcal}}$ . Thus, the two processes of water confined in 1.1 nm pores of silica gel observed by adiabatic calorimetry have to be interpreted in the same way. In particular, the faster one is an intrinsic process of water, not very sensitive to the form of confinement or mixtures. These facts are at odds with the interpretation by Oguni et al. [1282] that the fast process, which they observed to have relaxation time of  $10^3$  s at its  $T_g = 115$  K, is caused by the "interfacial water" molecules bonded to the silanol groups of the wall of the pores. The other relaxation they observed having relaxation time of  $10^3$  s at its  $T_g = 160$  K is attributed to "internal water" molecules located in the central part of the pore, interacting with each other like in bulk water. As mentioned before, only one water molecule is in the "internal water" of the 1.1 nm diameter pores. This state of "internal water" molecules with only one molecule layer not hydrogen bonded to silica cannot give rise to cooperative α-relaxation of bulk water. The relaxation that has relaxation time  $10^3$  s at  $T_g = 115$  K should be identified with the JG relaxation because of good agreement with the extrapolation of the dielectric JG relaxation time of water in some aqueous mixtures down to lower temperatures by its Arrhenius T-dependence. This is at odds with the interpretation of the relaxation of  $10^3$  s at 115 K as caused by the "interfacial water" molecules bonded to the silanol groups of the wall of the pores by Oguni et al. Bonding to the immobile silanol group should make the water molecule have longer relaxation time than the JG relaxation of water, and thus it is more reasonable to identify it with the relaxation observed at the higher temperature of 160 K.

### The Dynamics of Water Confined in Cement Paste

The dynamics of supercool water confined into the calcium silicate hydrate (cement paste) was studied by quasielastic neutron scattering (QENS) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) by Zhang et al. [1289(e)]. The measured QENS spectrum was analyzed with the relaxing cage model. The translational relaxation time  $< \tau >$ of the confined water plotted against 1000/T exhibits Arrhenius T-dependence for T below about 210 K with activation energy  $\sim$ 38 kJ/mol, and when extrapolated to lower temperatures  $< \tau >$  reaches 100 s in the neighborhood of 110 K. Moreover,  $< \tau >$  crosses over broadly in the range from 210 to 225 K to assume a stronger T-dependence at higher temperatures, which is accompanied by the observation of enthalpy relaxation by DSC in this temperature range. The latter indicates the presence of a slower relaxation with much longer relaxation time than  $< \tau >$  undergoing glass transition in the temperature range. All these features of the dynamics of water confined in cement paste (see Fig. 220-1) are similar to those found for water confined in molecular sieves and silica gels shown before in Figs. 219 and 220, suggesting  $< \tau >$  from QENS is the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of water confined in cement paste. The slower relaxation detected by DSC at long times of the order of 100 s is water interacting with the cement paste, analogue of water interacting with the walls of molecular sieves and silica gels. The only difference is the higher  $T_g$  in the present case perhaps due to stronger interaction of water with the confining cement paste. This natural and analogous interpretation of  $\langle \tau \rangle$  from QENS differs from that of Zhang et al. who interpreted their observation to the so-called *dynamic crossover* or fragile-to-strong transition of water at 225 K. The difference of nearly 10 orders of magnitude in timescale between  $< \tau >$  of the process observed by QENS and the relaxation time of the process detected by DSC means the two are very



**Fig. 220-1** Arrhenius plot of the translational relaxation time  $\langle \tau \rangle$  for water confined in aged cement paste. The *upper inset* shows extrapolation of the Arrhenius T-dependence down to 10 s. The *lower inset* shows the DSC curve of water confined in hydrated cement paste. Data from [1289(e)] are digitized and replotted here

different processes, and yet bear some relation to each other because of the change in *T*-dependence near  $T_g$ . This can be explained by our interpretation because of the connection between the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. However, it is not clear how it can be explained by the idea of *dynamic crossover* or *fragile-to-strong transition*.

Chen et al. [1289(g)] revisited the neutron scattering and DSC data of water confined in aged cement paste published in [1289(e)] summarily shown in Fig. 220-1. To support their claim that confined water exhibits the fragile-to-strong crossover in the neutron data at a temperature  $T_{\rm L}$  coincident with the heat flow peak temperature of the DSC cooling scan, they claim this is a general phenomenon found in other glassforming liquids. They present viscosity, diffusion, and DSC data from the literature of several small molecular glassforming liquids to show their viscosity n and diffusion coefficient D also exhibit the fragile-to-strong crossover phenomena (FSC) at the peak temperature of heat capacity curve obtained by DSC at a heating rate of 10°C/min. These effects are considered by Chen et al. to be intrinsic properties of bulk liquid systems, and the FSC temperature  $T_{\rm L}$ , suggested by them to be about 20% higher than the calorimetric glass transition temperature  $T_g$ , is an important transition temperature (or crossover temperature) for the dynamic behavior of liquids in general. The viscosity and DSC data of o-terphenyl, salol, alpha-phenylo-cresol used by Chen et al. are from the publication of Laughlin and Uhlmann [375]. The viscosity data of *tris*-naphthylbenzene (TNB) are not from Laughlin and Uhlmann, although incorrectly stated as such by Chen et al., but likely from Plazek and Magill [347, 743, 744] presented before in Fig. 11. The semilog plots of the viscosity  $\eta$  data against reciprocal temperature do give the impression that the T-dependence of  $\eta$  changes from a VFTH law to an Arrhenius law at some temperature  $T_{\rm L}$  and the latter is maintained down to  $T_{\rm g}$ . However, the use of rather large size symbols for the data may obscure any deviations. The data of  $\eta$  for values higher than  $10^8$  poise obtained by Laughlin and Uhlmann using a beam-bending technique lack precision, which can be seen from the scatter of the data in the plots. The most accurate data of  $\eta$  at lower temperatures where Arrhenius law is claimed to hold by Chen et al. are those of TNB from the creep compliance measurement of Plazek and Magill. Their TNB data and the good fit by the VFTH equation given by them originally have been shown before in Fig. 11. The appearance of satisfactory fit of the data to an Arrhenius law by Chen et al. is made possible by the large size symbols they use to represent the data, ignoring the deviation at the lowest temperature (see Fig. 3 in [1289(e)]). The crudeness of fitting the viscosity by the Arrhenius law can be seen from the discrepancy in its onset in two publications involving the same principal workers, which is  $\log(\eta/\text{poise}) > 6$  in [1289(g)] but  $\log(\eta/\text{poise}) > 4$  in [1289(h)].

If the fragile-to-strong crossover is a real physical happening and an universal behavior of liquid dynamics as suggested by Chen et al., then it should be found not only in viscosity and diffusion data and self-intermediate scattering function from simulations [1289(f)] but also by dielectric relaxation and photon correlation spectroscopy. Broadband dielectric spectroscopic data of many glassforming liquids including those considered by Chen et al. are available. The frequency range

of dielectric measurements, typically from a few gigahertz down to  $10^2$  Hz, is broad enough to test the claim of Chen et al. However, the experimental data of the dielectric  $\alpha$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  of most if not all the glassforming liquids do not show change of *T*-dependence from the VFTH or other super-Arrhenius *T*-dependence to strictly Arrhenius law at any temperature above  $T_g$  down to  $T_g$  [236, 348, 424, 441, 455, 456, 621, 660, 661, 682, 971, 972, 1014, 1121, and many others]. Dynamic light scattering together with photon correlation spectroscopy also covers time range from nanoseconds down to  $10^3$  s and has not found evidence of the crossover to Arrhenius *T*-dependence either [348, 719].

Another experimental result that baffles understanding is the report [1289(i)] of breakdown of Stokes–Einstein relation of confined water in fully hydrated MCM-41-S with pore diameters of 14 and 18 Å when the average translational relaxation time  $\langle \tau_T \rangle$  determined by neutron scattering is longer than 2 ps but shorter than 100 ps, where it is found that  $D \sim (\langle \tau_T \rangle)^{0.74}$ . This is different from the case of conventional glassformers. At these short relaxation times, the SE relation holds for conventional glassformers, and breakdown becomes evident only when the  $\alpha$ -relaxation time becomes much longer than nanoseconds. The authors of [1289(i)] are unaware that heterogeneous dynamics cannot explain the breakdown of the Stokes-Einstein relation in OTP, TNB, sucrose benzoate and ROY as evident from their statement: "The SER breakdown and the dynamical heterogeneities are thus intimately connected".

### The Dynamics of Water Nanoconfined in Clay

By confining water within a layered Na-vermiculite clay, the effect of nanoconfinement and the presence of intercalated sodium ions suppress crystallization of water, Bergman and Swenson found a relaxation process with an Arrhenius temperature dependence for its relaxation time in the 6 Å thick layer of water over a wide temperature range, including the usually inaccessible temperature region of bulk water [1296]. The data of this process have been shown in Fig. 219, and used as supporting evidence of the JG relaxation of water. However, Bergman and Swenson interpreted the process otherwise as evidence of the strong part of the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation of deeply supercooled bulk water to support the existence of a fragile-to-strong transition of supercooled water (i.e., the presumed change from a Vogel-Fulcher-Tammann-Hesse type temperature dependence of the structural relaxation time to an Arrhenius type) proposed to occur around 228 K by Angell and coworkers [563]. A critique of this interpretation was given by Johari [1297]. He pointed out that it is inappropriate on fundamental grounds to compare the dielectric properties of structural relaxation of bulk water with those of the two-molecule thick water layer between the platelets of sodium vermiculite clay. Moreover, he noticed that the change in dielectric strength with temperature can be determined from the area under the dielectric loss peaks published in [1296] at different temperatures. The estimate of the area seems to decrease on decreasing the temperature, which is the opposite of that expected from Curie law for the structural relaxation of liquids including water. On the other hand, this observed temperature dependence of the dielectric strength is in accord with secondary or JG relaxation.

The behavior of the water accommodated between the silicate layers of a clay Na<sub>8</sub>[Si<sub>32</sub>O<sub>64</sub>(OH)<sub>8</sub>] · 32H<sub>2</sub>O was investigated by Oguni and coworkers using adiabatic calorimetry [1338]. Each sodium ion is coordinated octahedrally by six water molecules, half of which form hydrogen bonds with silanol-oxygen atoms, and the other half are located far from silanol oxygen and not forming a hydrogen bond with it. The water molecules, without strong hydrogen bonds with silicate layers, were found to show signature of glass transition at a temperature  $T_g$  of 106 K due to freezing of the water molecule in their orientations. From its relaxation time of 10<sup>3</sup> s at the  $T_g$  of 106 K and the assumption that the pre-exponential factor of its Arrhenius *T*-dependence is 10<sup>-14</sup> s, the potential energy barrier of the reorientation relaxation was assessed to be about 34 kJ/mol, which is slightly smaller than the energy required to break two hydrogen bonds, and comparable to the observed activation energy of the JG relaxation of water in some aqueous mixtures.

The Dynamics of Water in Nanochannel of Crystal

Water confined in nanochannel of crystal was studied by adiabatic calorimetry by Oguni and coworkers [1339]. The crystalline material is  $[Co(H_2bim)_3](TMA) \cdot 20H_2O$ , where H<sub>2</sub>bim is 2,2'-biimidazole, TMA is 1,3,5-benzene tricarboxylic acid, and 20H<sub>2</sub>O represents the water forming nanochannel in the crystal. A glass transition was observed at a  $T_g$  of 107 K. It was discussed in the 2010 paper [1339] as glass transition of a small number of water molecules around the center of the water nanotube. It may be considered as another manifestation of the JG or primitive relaxation of water as suggested by its  $T_g$  of 107 K at 10<sup>3</sup> s, nearly the same as those found for the JG relaxation of water in other systems.

The Dynamics of Water Nanoconfined in Graphite Oxide

Dynamics of water intercalated in graphite oxide (GO) was investigated by Cerveny et al. [1321(a)] using dielectric spectroscopy over the frequency range  $10^{-2} - 10^9$  Hz, temperature range 140–300 K, and water concentration from 0 wt% (anhydrous GO) up to 25 wt% without crystallization occurring. According to these authors, the interlayer distance during hydration increases from 5.67 to 8 Å which corresponds to the uptake of a water monolayer in the interlayer space of GO. The rotational water relaxation observed is slightly dependent on the hydration level. For water concentrations higher than 15 wt%, the authors claimed they have observed a change in the temperature dependence of the relaxation times between  $10^{-7}$  and  $10^{-6}$  s for 25 wt%. However, the change is slight and the authors also fitted the entire range by Arrhenius *T*-dependence, which was shown in the case of the 25 wt% sample together with the neutron scattering data by others [1321(b)] in Figs. 215, 217, and 218.

# 2.3.2.28 Hydrated Proteins

Proteins are biological macromolecules essential for function of living systems. The research in proteins and other biomolecules such as enzymes involves the study of their structure, dynamics, and function. By now, the problem of the structure of



**Fig. 221** (*Left*) A stylized look into Mb displays the parts of the protein that are involved in protein dynamics and function. The lower half of the structure shows three  $\alpha$ -helices, part of the protein backbone, with one side chain as an example. The upper half provides a space-filling view. Between the two halves is a heme group (*in red*). Hydration waters are shown as *red-and-white spheres*. Two cavities that can hold ligands are also depicted. The hydration shell and the bulk solvent envelop the protein and dominate protein dynamics. Reproduced from [1341] by permission. (*Right*) Protein–water interaction: (*Left*, without water) hydrophilic interactions have to be saturated internally; (*Right*, with water) water allows the structure to expand; the optimal hydrogen bond configuration for the coupling of two functional sites is shown [1318]. Reproduced from [1318, 1341] by permission

many proteins including hemoglobin and myoglobin has been solved and the functions of numerous proteins are also known at least qualitatively. The structure of myoglobin is shown in Fig. 221 (left) together with the hydration shell and bulk solvent. Water penetrates the disordered fringes and activates liquid-like translational displacements of side chains, which allows the diffusion of small ligand molecules across the protein. Figure 221 (right) illustrates how in the dry system hydrogen bonds have to be saturated internally, while water molecules offer alternative binding sites which open the structure. Water thus introduces fluctuations, facilitates protein motions, and enables function.

However, the dynamics of proteins is still an unsolved problem. This is unsurprising because proteins are complex systems with structures more complex than ordinary supercooled liquids and glasses. Water is important for biological systems to function. Dehydrated proteins cannot function, but about two layers of water (called the hydration shell) surrounding the folded protein fully activates the protein functionality [133, 1340, 1341]. The dynamics of proteins is coupled to that of the solvents surrounding the protein molecules. However, in the literature, different views of this coupling and explanation of the dynamics have been offered [133, 134, 1318, 1319, 1344–1358].

# Dynamic Transition from Neutron Scattering Experiments

Insight into motions of hydrated proteins apparently came first from the meansquared displacement (msd),  $\langle r^2 \rangle$ , of fast processes in hydrated proteins measured by Mössbauer effect [1342, 1343] and by neutron scattering [133, 134, 1318, 1319,

1341–1347]. The timescales of the msd measured by neutron scattering depend on the energy resolution of the instrument. The backscattering spectrometer IN13 at Grenoble has energy resolution of 7 µeV corresponding to a time window of 100 ps [1318, 1319, 1344], and the time window of IN6 with energy resolution of 100 µeV covers about 15 ps. Roughly, instrument energy resolution 10 µeV corresponds to window timescale 100 ps. Mössbauer resonance absorption spectroscopy monitors the displacements of the heme iron inserted in the protein that has a much higher energy resolution than neutron backscattering and a much longer time window of 141 ns, the nuclear lifetime of <sup>57</sup>Fe. In the elastic neutron scattering experiments, only processes which are fast enough to be resolved by the spectrometer contribute to the loss and are probed. On increasing temperature, the measured msd exhibits a change from a weaker temperature dependence to a significantly stronger dependence at some temperature,  $T_{\rm D}$ , traditionally referred to as the dynamic transition temperature. The temperature  $T_{\rm D}$  is dependent on the protein, the solvent, and its weight fraction. For hydrated myoglobin, values of 200 K and up to 240 K [1319] have been reported, and 220 K for hydrated lysozyme [1347]. Neutron scattering measurements of myoglobin hydrated by fully deuterated water show the same characteristic temperature dependence of the msd, and this result indicates that the non-exchangeable hydrogens of the hydrated protein participate in the motions from which the observed msd originates. An example is taken from the paper by Tsai et al. [1345(a)] of four samples prepared to maximize the scattering from the protein relative to the other components (see Fig. 222, right panel). The samples are dry D-exchanged lysozyme, lysozyme powder hydrated with 30% D<sub>2</sub>O, and dehydrated lysozyme-deuterated glycerol samples prepared with 20 and 50% deuterated glycerol. The  $T_{\rm D}$  of the so-called "dynamic transition" is 210 K for the 30% D<sub>2</sub>O sample, 270 K for the 50% deuterated glycerol sample, 330 K for the 20% deuterated glycerol sample, and not detected in the dehydrated lysozyme.

The phenomenon was first interpreted by Doster and coworkers [1344, 1354] as a "dynamic transition" of protein motions, which are coupled to the kinetic glass transition of the solvent near the protein surface. This interpretation was made more explicit by Doster [1319] by saying that "water near the protein surface can perform long range diffusion, which is arrested near 200 K [1318], it shows liquid behavior above 200 K and glassy behavior below. The slow  $\alpha$ -process can be associated with the elementary step of diffusion. Hydration- and bulk water thus differ essentially in the magnitude of the diffusion coefficient and the rate of crystallization."

A different interpretation of such a rapid increase in the conformational msd was given by Frauenfelder and coworkers [134, 1341, 1348, 1355, 1356]. These authors suggested from their energy landscape model of hydrated proteins with hierarchical tiers that it is due to the  $\beta$ -fluctuations (in the hydration shell) in the lowest tier, which has Arrhenius temperature dependence for its relaxation time.

Zanotte et al. [1347] believed that the rapid increase of msd is not associated with a thermal event as detected by calorimetry and suggested that it is more appropriate to describe the phenomenon as "dynamic crossover" instead of "dynamic transition."



Fig. 222 (Left) Dielectric  $\alpha$ -, JG  $\beta$ -, and primitive relaxation times of glycerol represented by circles, squares, and asterisks, respectively, taken from [424]. The horizontal dashed line indicates 1 ns. The short vertical dashed line indicates the temperature  $\sim 277$  K above which  $\tau_{\beta}$  of glycerol becomes shorter than 1 ns, the timescale corresponding to the neutron backscattering instrument with an energy resolution of 1 µeV. (Right) Mean-squared displacement as a function of temperature for lysozyme samples from Tsai et al. obtained by the neutron backscattering instrument with an energy resolution of 1 µeV [1345(a)]. The timescale corresponding to the neutron backscattering instrument at NIST with an energy resolution of 1  $\mu$ eV is 1 ns. These authors determine  $T_D$ by visual inspection to be the temperature at which the slope of these curves changes: 210 K for 30% D<sub>2</sub>O, 270 K for 50% glycerol, and 330 K for 20% glycerol. The temperature,  $T_{1ns} = 277$  K, determined from the *left panel* and indicated by the *longer vertical dashed line* is consistent with  $T_{\rm D} \sim 270$  K of lysozyme-glycerol (50–50) given by Tsai et al. [1345(a)]. Going back to Fig. 217, there it has been shown that  $\tau_{\beta}$  of water becomes shorter than 1 ns at temperatures above 238 K. The shorter vertical dashed line indicates this temperature, which is slightly higher than 210 K given by Tsai et al. Alternatively, the  $\tau_{\beta}$  of water in hydrated lysozyme at hydration level of h = 0.4obtained by Khodadadi et al. [1358(a)] shown in the inset extracted from [1358(a)] can be used to determine  $T_{1 \text{ ns}} = 235 \text{ K}$  for 1 ns resolution and  $T_{2 \text{ns}} = 228 \text{ K}$  for 2 ns resolution as shown in the inset by the horizontal line. Data from [424, 1345(a), 1358(a)] are replotted in the redesigned figures

A most recent Raman and Brillouin scattering measurement was done to estimate the glass transition temperature,  $T_g$ , of hydrated lysozyme at hydration level of h = 0.4 [1357]. The measurements found glass transition in the hydrated lysozyme over a broad range of  $T_g \sim 180 \pm 15$  K. However, the "dynamic transition" exhibited as a sharp rise in msd  $< r^2 >$  occurs at temperatures around  $T_D \sim 200-230$  K [1358], significantly higher than  $T_g$ . The Brillouin scattering data determining  $T_g$  are presented in the left panel of Fig. 223. The fast dielectric relaxation process ( $\blacktriangle$ ) changes its *T*-dependence at  $T_g$ . The protein relaxation process measured by neutron spectroscopy (O) in lysozyme hydrated by D<sub>2</sub>O at hydration level  $h \sim 0.4$  [1357, 1358] exhibits the dynamic transition of its msd  $< r^2 >$  at  $T_D \sim 218$  K indicated by the arrows. The neutron scattering data of  $< r^2 >$  were obtained by the backscattering spectrometer instrument with an energy resolution of 1  $\mu$ eV with corresponding time window of 1 ns. The slower dielectric relaxation process ( $\bigtriangleup$ ) may be due to ice (see [1317]). The right panel presents the msd in dry and hydrated lysozyme and



Fig. 223 (*Left*) Temperature variation of the Brillouin peak frequency  $\Omega$  ( $\blacktriangle$ ) and width  $\Gamma$  ( $\bigcirc$ ). Solid lines show different temperature regimes. Dashed line indicates the width of the Brillouin spectrometer resolution function. (*Middle*) Temperature variations of the main and fast dielectric relaxation process ( $\bigstar$ ), the slower dielectric relaxation process ( $\bigtriangleup$ ), and the protein relaxation process measured by neutron spectroscopy (O) in lysozyme with hydration level  $h \sim 0.4$  [1357]. The arrows indicate estimated  $T_{\rm D}$  and averaged  $T_{\rm g}$  of the hydrated lysozyme. The shadowed area marks the broad glass transition range. The dashed-dotted line marks relaxation time  $\tau = 100$  s that usually corresponds to  $\tau$  of the main relaxation process at  $T_{\rm g}$ . Numbers show the temperatures at which these relaxation processes reach  $\tau = 100$  s. (*Right*) Mean-squared atomic displacements in dry and wet lysozyme and RNA [1315, 1358(b)] obtained by using the neutron backscattering instrument at NIST with an energy resolution of 1  $\mu$ eV. The sharp rise in  $< r^2 >$  of hydrated biomolecules at T above 200 K is the dynamic transition. Reproduced from [1315, 1357, 1358(b)] by permission

RNA, obtained by the backscattering instrument with an energy resolution of 1  $\mu$ eV, to show the dynamics transition occurring at about 220 K for both.

Thus, the "dynamic transition" has a different physical origin than glass transition of the hydrated protein. Actually the sharp increase of  $< r^2 >$  at temperatures above  $T_{\rm D}$  is caused by a hydration-induced fast relaxation process that enters the high-frequency window of the neutron spectrometer. As we shall see later on, high-frequency susceptibility from dielectric and neutron scattering measurements shows that the properties of hydration water and hydrated protein change smoothly when crossing  $T_D$ , confirming the "dynamic transition" is just the manifestation of the hydration water relaxation process entering the frequency window of the neutron spectrometers, and therefore the term "dynamic crossover" suggested by Zanotti et al. is more appropriate. The relaxation process that causes the sharp rise in  $< r^2 >$  has characteristic relaxation time  $\tau \sim 10^{-8} - 10^{-11}$  s at  $T_{\rm D}$  depending on the spectral resolution of the instrument (1 µeV resolution corresponds to 240 MHz or about 1 ns). This is demonstrated in the next subsection by the  $< r^2 >$  data of the 30% D<sub>2</sub>O hydrated lysozyme, and the 50% dehydrated lysozyme-50% deuterated glycerol obtained by Tsai et al. using the neutron backscattering instrument with an energy resolution of 1 µeV.

## Coupling Between the Protein Dynamics and the Solvent Dynamics

The coupling between protein dynamics and hydration-water dynamics is of fundamental importance for understanding protein function. Although some correlation between solvent and protein dynamics has been proposed based on experimental and simulation evidences [131, 134, 355, 1071, 1105, 1341, 1317–1319, 1345–1371], the interpretations of the origin differ greatly. In this subsection, relevant experiment and simulation data are discussed to elucidate the exact nature of the coupling.

The left panel of Fig. 222 shows the dielectric  $\alpha$ - and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation times of neat glycerol as well as the primitive relaxation times calculated from the experimental values of the stretch exponent of the Kohlrausch function that fits the spectrum as given in [424]. The horizontal dashed line indicates 1 ns. The short vertical dashed line indicates the temperature  $T_{1ns} \sim 277$  K above which  $\tau_{JG}$ of glycerol becomes shorter than 1 ns, the timescale corresponding to the neutron backscattering instrument with an energy resolution of 1  $\mu$ eV. The value of  $T_{1ns} \sim 277$  K is near the dynamic transition temperature  $T_D \sim 270$  K of the lysozyme given by Tsai et al., as indicated in the plot of  $< r^2 >$  vs. T by the longer vertical dashed line located at 277 K in the right panel of Fig. 222. The good correspondence between  $T_{1 ns}$  deduced from the dynamics of pure glycerol and  $T_D$ from msd of lysozyme (with 50% deuterated glycerol) is remarkable. It shows that lysozyme and glycerol are dynamically coupled, and the fast relaxation responsible for the dynamic transition is the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of the solvent, glycerol.

For the 30% D<sub>2</sub>O hydrated lysozyme, the temperature  $T_{1 ns}$  above which  $\tau_{JG}$  of water becomes shorter than 1 ns has been determined before from the data of  $\tau_{JG}$  of water in Fig. 217 to be within the range,  $T_{1ns} \sim 208 - 238$  K. Measurement of  $\tau_{JG}$  over the range from  $10^{-3}$  to  $10^{-11}$  s of water in hydrated lysozyme with hydration level h = 0.4 is available from the combined dielectric relaxation and nuclear scattering study by Khodadadi et al. [1358(a)] using the same nuclear spectrometer at NIST as Tsai et al. with resolution of 1 µeV. From Fig. 3 of this reference (shown partly as inset in Fig. 222, right panel), one can see  $T_{1ns} \sim 235$  K for 1 ns resolution, and  $T_{2ns} \sim 228$  K for 2 ns for the resolution used by Khodadadi et al. All of the above values of  $T_{1 ns}$  can be considered to be consistent with the dynamic transition temperature  $T_D \sim 210$  K for 70% lysozyme–30% D<sub>2</sub>O from Tsai et al. Again the rough agreement between  $T_{1 ns}$  or  $T_{2 ns}$  deduced from the dynamics of water and  $T_D$  from msd of lysozyme (with 30% D<sub>2</sub>O) can be considered as evidence of dynamical coupling between the lysozyme and water, and the fast relaxation exhibiting the dynamic transition is the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of water in the hydrated lysozyme.

To further show the relation of the secondary relaxation of the solvent to the dynamic transition, the neutron scattering msd data of dry trehalose-coated carbon monoxy myoglobin (CO-myoglobin) obtained by Cordone et al. using the IN16 spectrometer [1372] is compared with hydrated myoglobin using the IN13 spectrometer by Doster et al. [1344] in the left panel of Fig. 224. Also shown on the right panel for comparison are the MSD data of protein C-phycocyanin (C-PC) hydrated by D<sub>2</sub>O with h = 0.5, and by D<sub>2</sub>O with various deuterated trehalose included by Köper et al. [1373, 1374] in order to observe individual motions of the non-exchangeable protons of the protein. These include the C-PC/trahalose/D<sub>2</sub>O samples with compositions 1.0/0.3/0.7, 1.0/0.75/0.75, and 1.0/1.0/0.0. The last one is entirely deuterated trehalose. It can be seen from both figures that no dynamic transition was observed for trehalose, and the msd and the density of state function are those of a harmonic solid, up to room temperature in the case of CO-myoglobin,



Fig. 224 (Left) Mean-squared displacements calculated from elastic neutron scattering measurements (IN16 with resolution of 1  $\mu$ eV) and plotted as  $\langle u^2 \rangle/6$  (Å<sup>2</sup>) vs. temperature T (K), for comparison with data: (O) trehalose-coated CO-myoglobin and (+) trehalose, from Cordone et al. [1372]; ( $\blacktriangle$ ) D<sub>2</sub>O hydrated myoglobin from Doster et al. using IN13 with resolution of 10  $\mu$ eV [1344]. The *continuous lines* represent the fits of data points by harmonic vibrational contribution, including data from Doster et al. at low temperature (50-170 K). Inset: Data relative to trehalose on an expanded scale. As can be seen, the harmonic model is obeyed in the whole temperature range only for the trehalose sample, whereas non-harmonic contributions (protein-specific motions) are evident in the hydrated myoglobin sample at high temperature. (*Right*) MSDs  $\langle u^2 \rangle$  of protonated C-PC samples embedded by deuterated trehalose/D<sub>2</sub>O at different ratios as a function of temperature (40–318 K). The *solid* and *dotted lines* represent the harmonic behavior of each sample, respectively, before and after the "dynamical transition." Data from Köper et al. [1373, 1374] using IN13 with energy resolution (FWHM) of 8 µeV, which gives access to dynamics on a timescale above 150 ps and up to 400 ps. Reproduced from [1372, 1373] by permission. The temperature of the hydration water corresponding to 400 ps according to the middle panel of Fig. 223 is 235 K. which is in approximate agreement with the dynamic transition temperature indicated by Köper et al. using the vertical arrow in the right panel of Fig. 224

and up to above 320 K in the case of C-CP. No dynamical transition was observed, in contrast to proteins hydrated by  $D_2O$ . This can be explained by the  $\gamma$ -relaxation times of trehalose,  $\tau_{\nu}$ , being still longer than 1 ns and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation time,  $\tau_{\beta}$ , being much longer than 1 ns at 320 K, compared with the time window of 15 ps for IN6 and 400 ps for IN13. These can be seen by inspection of the relaxation map of trehalose given before in Fig. 206 in Section 2.3.2.26. Since the motion associated with the intramolecular  $\gamma$ -relaxation and the intermolecular JG  $\beta$ -relaxation do not occur in the neutron scattering temperature range, it is clear no dynamic transition from protein fluctuations can be observed in the protein/trehalose samples even when the protein is coupled to the trehalose via hydrogen bonding. This also explains on a molecular dynamics basis the role of trehalose in stabilizing protein by inhibiting some of its fluctuations which cause protein unfolding and denaturation. It is consistent with the relatively higher stability of hydrogen bonds with the protein [1375], and more water molecules can be substituted [1376] in the case of trehalose, as compared to other bioprotecting agents and sugars. Our explanation based on the secondary relaxations of trehalose is more direct than those based on the "high viscosity" hypothesis, according to which the denaturation processes are
hindered by the higher glass transition temperature of trehalose solutions [1220, 1221, 1377–1382], at least as far as connection with the dynamic transition is concerned. This is because viscosity is related to the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation of trehalose, which definitely is not involved in causing the dynamic transition.

The identification of this fast process detected from the dynamic transition behavior of  $\langle r^2 \rangle$  by neutron scattering is a major problem in dynamics of hydrated biomolecules. It was considered as originating from the hydration water by some researchers, particularly those engaged in dielectric spectroscopy [1105, 1110, 1360–1362]. However, by replacing ordinary water with heavy water  $D_2O$  in hydrating the protein or deuterated glycerol in the study by various workers such as Bellissent-Funel [1374] and Tsai et al. [1345(a)], neutron scattering from the water is suppressed, and the observed scattering is from the hydrogen in the hydrated protein. This kind of neutron scattering data, such as that shown in the right panel of Fig. 222 by Tsai et al., in the right panel of Fig. 224 by Köper et al. [1373, 1374], in the left panel of Fig. 225 by Doster and coworkers [1319], and in the right panel of Fig. 225 by Wood et al. [1363], as well as by Sokolov and coworkers in the right panel of Fig. 223 [1358], definitely shows that this relaxation also can be detected by neutron scattering from hydrogen in the hydrated protein. Most interesting is the change in slope of the temperature dependence of  $\langle r^2 \rangle$  in the protein takes place at almost the same temperature as  $\langle r^2 \rangle$  of its solvent including hydration water This can be seen directly in Fig. 223 for hydrated myoglobin [1319] and for hydrated maltose binding protein (MBP) by Wood et al. [1363],



Fig. 225 (*Left*) Mean-squared displacements, IN6: D<sub>2</sub>O-hydrated myoglobin (*closed circles*) and hydration water (H<sub>2</sub>O-hydrated myoglobin) (*open squares*). Mean-squared displacements, IN13: D<sub>2</sub>O-hydrated myoglobin (*open triangles*) and H<sub>2</sub>O-hydrated myoglobin (*closed triangles*). Gaussian component with contribution of methyl groups removed [1319]. (*Right*) Mean-squared displacements of ns–ps motions in maltose binding protein (H-MBP-D<sub>2</sub>O sample; *gray circles*) and in its hydration water (D-MBP-H<sub>2</sub>O sample; *black diamonds*). Dynamical transitions (changes in slope of temperature-dependent mean-squared displacements) in the protein and in its hydration water take place at similar temperatures (~220 K). Data from Wood et al. [1363] using the backscattering spectrometer IN16 at the ILL. The instrumental energy resolution of 0.9  $\mu$ eV (FWHM) implies that only motions in the ps–ns timescale are probed. Reproduced from [1319, 1363] by permission

and suggests dynamical coupling between protein and solvent motions at least on the ns-ps timescale of neutron scattering experiments. More discussions of these measurements and computer simulations [1363] are given below.

The connection between the relaxation of hydration water and the relaxation of the hydrated protein is revealed by comparing the msd observed in H<sub>2</sub>O-hydrated myoglobin with that in D<sub>2</sub>O-hydrated myoglobin, both at the same hydration level of 0.35 g/g. The data from the IN6 spectrometer with time window of 15 ps from Doster [1319] are shown in the left panel of Fig. 225. In both systems the msd increases in the same manner with a common onset at 180-200 K. In the H<sub>2</sub>Ohydrated system, the msd is mainly contributed by water, because of the large cross-section and the large motional amplitude of water relative to protein structural fluctuations. In the D<sub>2</sub>O-hydrated myoglobin, the msd comes from protein fluctuations with smaller amplitudes. The same two samples with H<sub>2</sub>O and D<sub>2</sub>O hydration were investigated on a 50 ps timescale using backscattering IN13 spectrometer in which the methyl group rotation contributes significantly. After the contribution of the methyl groups was subtracted, the resulting displacements are shown by open and closed triangles in Fig. 225. Both protein and water displacements are similar in magnitude and exhibit the same temperature dependence. The similar temperature dependence of the msd of protein and water suggests a common cause. Doster also explained the onset at 180 K to originate from fluctuations of hydrogen bonds, previously proposed to explain the temperature dependence of the IR amide bands of myoglobin in mixed solvents [1358]. The enthalpy and entropy differences are  $\Delta H \approx 14(\pm 2)$  kJ/mol and  $\Delta S/R \approx 3.5(\pm 0.3)$ , respectively. He suggested the hydrogen-bond fluctuations to be the  $\beta$ -process which involves localized librational motions and acts as a precursor of long-range diffusion of water near the protein surface. The latter was used by Doster to explain the rapid rise of the msd due to what he called the liquid behavior above 200 K.

The study by Wood et al. [1363] went even further (see right panel of Fig. 225). They performed incoherent neutron scattering experiments on designed samples of a soluble protein (maltose binding protein, MBP), which allow them to separately probe protein and solvent dynamics, and directly showed that the dynamic transitions of the protein MBP and its hydration water occur at the same temperature. One sample is a deuterated MBP powder hydrated by H<sub>2</sub>O; the measured  $< r^2 >$ primarily coming from the hydration water dynamics revealed a broad transition at  $T_{\rm D}$  around 220 K. The other sample is hydrogenated MBP in D<sub>2</sub>O, the measured  $< r^2 >$  originating from the protein dynamics exhibited a dynamical transition at the same temperature. The backscattering spectrometer IN16 at the ILL they used has instrumental energy resolution of 0.9 µeV (FWHM) implying that only motions in the ps-ns timescale are probed. As mentioned before in connection with Figs. 217 and 222, the temperature  $T_{1 ns}$  above which  $\tau_{JG}$  of water becomes shorter than 1 ns, the timescale corresponding to the neutron backscattering instrument with an energy resolution of 1  $\mu$ eV, lies within the range,  $T_{1 ns} \sim 208 - 238$  K. This range of  $T_{1 ns}$ is consistent with the broad dynamic transition at  $T_{\rm D}$  around 220 K.

Khodadadi et al. [1358(a)] found similar susceptibility spectra and the same relaxation times from neutron scattering and dielectric relaxation in lysozyme

hydrated by  $D_2O$  (also see inset of the right panel of Fig. 222, and the middle panel of Fig. 223). Since neutron scattering probes exclusively the lysozyme and not the deuterated hydration water while dielectric relaxation probes also the deuterated hydration water, it follows the same fast relaxation was observed by both techniques, and the dynamics of lysozyme and water is coupled.

In Section 2.3.2.27 on dynamics of aqueous mixtures, we have already mentioned several properties of the dynamics that the two components, hydrophilic solute and water, are coupled together because of hydrogen bonding of water to the solute. One outstanding property is the change of *T*-dependence of the primitive relaxation or JG relaxation time,  $\tau_0$ ,  $\tau_v$ , or  $\tau_{JG}$ , of water at  $T_g$  of the mixture, which depends strongly on the solute (see Figs. 210, 211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 217, and 218). This property already signals the water relaxation is coupled to the solute. Here we underscore this coupling by the fact that  $\tau_{y}$  or  $\tau_{IG}$  of water depends sensitively on the composition of the aqueous mixture shown by the example of mixtures of water with glycerol in the right panel of Fig. 226. Obviously, if the two components are not coupled at the local level,  $\tau_0$  or  $\tau_{JG}$  of water should be independent of composition, which is not the case. In the left panel of Fig. 226 are dielectric relaxation time of the fast water dielectric relaxation process in hydrated lysozyme from Khodadadi et al. [1357, 1358], and in Fig. 227 the same process in hydrated collagen and elastin by Gainaru et al. [1322(a)], Luceac et al. [1322(b)], and Vogel et al. [1385] at different hydration levels h. The dependence of its relaxation time on hydration level



Fig. 226 (*Left*) Temperature variations of the relaxation time of the fast dielectric relaxation process in hydrated lysozyme at different hydration levels from Khodadadi et al. [1357, 1358], which is identified here as the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation time of water. *Arrows* indicate change in *T*-dependence at  $T_g = 190$  for h = 0.2 and 180 for h = 0.4. Reproduced from [1357, 1358] by permission. (*Right*) The JG  $\beta$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{JG}$  of water in 20, 30, and 40% mixtures with glycerol, the *arrows* indicate the change of *T*-dependence of the relaxation time at  $T_g$  where the  $\alpha$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha} = 10^3$  s (*dotted line on top*). The data of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  are not shown (but see Fig. 210) because the emphasis here is on the analogy between the hydrated lysozyme and water–glycerol mixtures. The glass transition temperatures  $T_g$  are indicated by the *vertical arrows* at which  $\tau_{\alpha}$  attains the value of  $10^3$  s. Note that  $\tau_{IG}$  depends on the hydration level in the case of hydrated lysozyme, and composition in the case of the glycerol–water mixture, and also it changes *T*-dependence when crossing  $T_g$ . Both are indications that the  $\alpha$ - and JG  $\beta$ -relaxation are coupled



**Fig. 227** The relaxation time of water in hydrated collagen and elastin. All are from dielectric data from Gainaru et al. [1322(a)] except  $\tau_s$  and  $\tau_a$  that are from NMR experiments based on the analysis of spin-lattice relaxation by assuming symmetric or asymmetric  $\tau$  distributions, respectively, and  $\tau_2$  from stimulated-echo experiments by Vogel and coworkers [1322(b), 1385]. The *dashed line* represents an activation energy of 6330 K or 52 kJ/mol. Reproduced from [1322(b)] by permission

is made amply clear by inspection of these figures, and also the coupling between the biomolecules with water.

It is worthwhile to point out here that although Khodadadi et al. recognized the coupling between the protein and water [1357, 1358], they identified the fast relaxation as the coupled protein–solvent *structural* relaxation, in contrast to our identification here as the primitive or JG  $\beta$ -relaxation time of water. Our identification for hydrated lysozyme with  $h \ge 0.2$  is justified by its relaxation times and activation energies being comparable to other aqueous mixtures (see Figs. 215, 226, and 227), and its *T*-dependence also changes at  $T_g$  (indicated by the *arrows* in the figure). Thus, the dynamics of water and protein are coupled down to the local level in hydrated protein as well as in other aqueous mixtures.

Evidence of coupled dynamics also comes from molecular dynamics simulations of the globular protein Ribonuclease A by Tarek and Tobias [1353], which show that the anharmonic and diffusive motions involved in the protein structural relaxation are correlated with the protein–water hydrogen bond dynamics, and also the complete structural relaxation of the protein requires relaxation of the hydrogen bond network via water translational displacement. They also found that arresting the protein–water hydrogen bond dynamics by inhibiting the solvent translational mobility, the protein atomic fluctuations are reduced throughout the protein, both in the backbone and side chains. The extent of the reduction is similar to that of dehydration of the system. This effect (see Fig. 3 in [1353]) was found by performing simulation in the 0.1–50 ps range at 300 K. At this temperature and short time range, there is no difference between the primitive or JG relaxation time and the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of water as discussed before in Section 2.3.2.27 and suggested by the relaxation map of Fig. 215 or Fig. 217. The coupling parameter is effectively zero as shown by the relaxation time of water in various hydrated proteins obtained by neutron scattering, high-frequency dielectric relaxation, and deuteron NMR being close to  $\tau_0$  or  $\tau_{JG}$  of water. Some examples have been shown in Figs. 215 and 217, and more to be shown later. Thus, there is no difference between saying the primitive/JG relaxation of water by us and the water translational displacement by Tarek and Tobias as being instrumental for protein atomic fluctuations to occur.

The dynamics of protein and the solvent are coupled, resulting in the protein relaxation and the solvent secondary relaxation in hydrated proteins to involve some motions of both the hydration water and the protein. Consequently, similar effects are seen in data obtained by techniques that can exclusively probe the motion of the protein or the water. The neutron scattering experiment of Wood et al. [1363] is a good example, where motions in maltose binding protein and hydration water were measured separately by using the H-MBP-D<sub>2</sub>O sample, and the D-MBP-H<sub>2</sub>O sample, respectively. To complement their neutron scattering data, Wood et al. carried out molecular dynamics simulations on the same system and reproduced the principal result observed by experiment: the dynamical transitions in both the protein and the hydration water occurring at the same temperature. The results of simulations are presented in Fig. 228a and b. The temperature dependence of the msd from the simulations for protein non-exchangeable H atoms (i.e., those probed by incoherent neutron scattering) exhibits a dynamical transition at 240 K (Fig. 228a), qualitatively consistent with the neutron data. Also plotted in Fig. 228a is the temperature dependence of the protein-water hydrogen-bond relaxation rates determined from a hydrogen-bond correlation function. The H-bond relaxation rate increases rapidly



**Fig. 228** (a) Temperature dependence of mean-squared displacements of non-exchangeable H atoms in MBP computed as 1 ns averages over the MD simulation trajectories ( $\bullet$ ), and protein-water H-bond network relaxation rates ( $\phi$ ). (b) Time evolution of mean-squared displacements of water O atoms over a range of temperature from 150 to 300 K (*bottom to top*: 150, 180, 190, 200, 210, 220, 230, 240, 250, 270, 300 K; the curve at 240 K, the temperature of the dynamical transition in the simulations, is indicated by a *heavy line*). (*Inset*) Temperature dependence of the water msds at 100 ps. Reproduced from [1363] by permission

with temperature at the dynamical transition of the protein, consistent with the previous conclusion by Tarek and Tobias [1353] that the protein transition is associated with relaxation of the network of protein-water H-bonds. The motion of the water molecules can be inferred from the time dependence of the msd of the O atoms of the water molecules from 0.1 to 100 ps plotted in Fig. 228b for several temperatures. At temperatures well below the protein dynamic transition  $T_{\rm D} \sim 240$  K in the MD simulations, after ballistic motion ends at about 0.3 ps, the msd increases very slowly with time corresponding to the nearly constant loss (NCL) if the data are shown as frequency dependence of the susceptibility, indicating that the water molecules are caged. The msd at 3 ps increases merely by a factor of about 2.5 in response to increase of T from 150 to 240 K. This weak T-dependence of the msd in the NCL regime of the hydrated protein is analogous to that observed in ordinary glassformers, discussed before in Section 2.3.2.6 and will be detailed in Sections 2.3.2.33, 2.3.2.34, 2.3.2.35, and 2.3.2.36. NCL is a universal feature of dynamics of caged molecules that precedes the primitive or JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. The latter is the first true relaxation process causing dissolution of caging. This action by the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation can be seen at higher temperatures in the msd rising after a few picoseconds. At the highest temperature of 300 K, the msd has not risen sufficiently to assume the  $t^{1.0}$ -dependence of diffusion even at 100 ps, the longest time of the simulation. At  $T_{\rm D} \sim 240$  K, the msd has time dependence of about  $t^{0.56}$  at 100 ps. This time dependence indicates that the relaxation time of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of water for translation is longer than 100 ps at temperatures lower than 300 K and certainly so at  $T_{\rm D} \sim 240$  K, and therefore the rise of the msd is due to the presence of the primitive or JG β-relaxation of water. This deduction from the simulation data supports our interpretation that the dynamic transition originates from the primitive and JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of water entering the time window of the neutron spectrometer.

Other experimental studies showing evidences that the dynamics of protein and solvent are physically coupled can be found in the review by Ringe and Petsko [133]. Here we cite just two [1351, 1352].

The Primitive or the JG  $\beta$ -Relaxation of Solvent Responsible for the Dynamic Transition in  $\langle r^2 \rangle$ 

The neutron scattering observations of the change in slope of the temperature dependence of  $\langle r^2 \rangle$  in the protein taking place at almost the same temperature  $T_D$  as  $\langle r^2 \rangle$  of its solvent make the identification of the origin of the relaxation in the hydrated protein responsible for it very challenging. Some of the previously proposed explanations of the dynamic transition either cannot explain it or have no explicitly clear explanation of it. For example, Sokolov and coworkers [1358] argued, from their dielectric and neutron data of lysozyme at hydration level of h = 0.4, that this process is a *coupled protein–solvent structural relaxation*. But they honestly admitted having difficulty to rationalize why at the  $T_g \sim 180$  K, the relaxation time of this fast hydrated protein relaxation is  $\sim 10^{-4} - 10^{-5}$ s and not  $10^2$  or  $10^3$  s as expected for structural relaxation. In fact, by extrapolation of the Arrhenius T-dependence established at higher temperatures down to lower temperatures, the relaxation time reaches  $\sim 10^2$  s only at a much lower temperature of  $T \sim 125$  K (Fig. 223, middle panel). On the other hand, the fast relaxation responsible for  $< r^2 >$  and its dynamic transition should be identified as the primitive or JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of water. This is because, first, the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation times  $\tau_{IG}$  are short enough to match the 15 ps to 1 ns time windows of the neutron scattering measurements (see Fig. 215). Second, the relaxation times of the observed fast relaxation are nearly coincident with that of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of water in nanoconfinement or in various aqueous mixtures in the same temperature range (see Figs. 215 and 217 for a few examples). Third, the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation time of water in some aqueous mixtures is  $\sim 10^{-4} - 10^{-5}$  s at the  $T_{\rm g} \sim 180$  K of hydrated lysozyme, similar in magnitude as that found for the fast relaxation. Fourth, the relaxation time of the fast relaxation changes its T-dependence at  $T_g$  of the hydrated protein, like JG  $\beta$ relaxation time of water in various aqueous mixtures. All these can be verified by inspection of the figures presented before. Finally but not the least in importance, this identification is consistent with the simulations by Tarek and Tobias [1353] and by Wood et al. [1363], who found the protein structural relaxation are correlated with the protein-water hydrogen bond dynamics, and protein atomic fluctuations cannot occur without water translation in short timescale of the neutron scattering. As discussed before, the simulation by Wood et al. also found at  $T_{\rm D} \sim 240$  K that the msd is contributed by the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation.

It is worthwhile to reiterate that, when the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of hydration water appears inside the short time window of neutron scattering, its  $\tau_{JG}$  is not expected to be much shorter than  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , because of the small coupling parameter of water deduced before in Section 2.3.2.27. Hence the rapid rise of the msd above 250 K in  $H_2O$ hydrated myoglobin in the left panel of Fig. 225 obtained by IN6 spectrometer with resolution of 15 ps can be reconciled with what Doster called the *liquid* behavior above 250 K. The explanation by Doster of the onset of the rise in msd at 180 K to originate from fluctuations of hydrogen bonds can be retained, because these are faster processes than the primitive or JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of water. However, another candidate is the caged water dynamics showing up in the msd with time dependence  $t^{-c}$  with c a small positive number, or equivalently as the nearly constant loss (NCL) in the susceptibility. The major difference between our and Doster's explanations is the pivotal role played by the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation from which originates the rise of msd above 250 K. The hydrogen-bond fluctuations or the NCL precedes the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. However, it is the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation but not the hydrogen-bond fluctuations or NCL which acts as the real precursor of diffusion of water near the protein surface. This is supported by the much smaller activation enthalpy 14 kJ/mol of hydrogen-bond fluctuations than 46–50 kJ/mol of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of water.

Here and there we have used the terms primitive or JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of hydration water, and structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation of the hydrated protein. Although these terms seem to imply only one component is involved in each process, influence or participation of the other component must be borne in mind because of coupling of hydration water to protein by hydrogen bonding.

The primitive or JG  $\beta$ -relaxation times of water in various aqueous mixtures, in nanoconfinement, and in hydrated biomolecule are not exactly the same but not very different when it becomes shorter than 1 ns. The actual values depend on the water content, the hydrophilic solute, or the hydrated biomolecule. This can be seen from the relaxation maps in Figs. 215 and 217. Due to the mutual coupling of water and the hydrophilic solute or the biomolecule in dynamics, not only  $\tau_{IG}$  but also the dynamics of the solute or hydrated biomolecule depends on the water content and on the chemical structure of the solute or the hydrated biomolecule. This dependence was the main point of contention in the 2010 paper of Khodadadi et al. [1358(d)], where they show results contradicting the traditional view that the dynamics of biological macromolecules simply follow the dynamics of hydration water (i.e., slaved dynamics). We have no disagreement with the results of Khodadadi et al. [1358(d)] since repeated emphasis on the coupled dynamics of biomolecules and hydration water has been given in previous subsections, and the relaxation maps have demonstrated the dependence. Nevertheless at short times probed by neutron scattering and for sufficiently high hydrated levels, the dependence of the dynamics of either the hydration water or the hydrated biomolecule on the biomolecule is not large. This explains why the dynamic transitions of various highly hydrated biomolecules occur at temperatures  $T_{\rm D}$  that are not very different when spectrometers with the same time window are used. Evidence for this expected behavior can be seen from the D<sub>2</sub>O-hydrated RNA and lysozyme data of Sokolov and coworker [1315, 1358(b)] shown before in the right panel of Fig. 223, and hydrated lysozyme data of Tsai et al. [1345(a)] in right panel of Fig. 222. Neutron scattering measurements of hydrated RNA and lysozyme were performed by using the high-flux backscattering instrument (resolution  $\sim 1 \,\mu eV$ ) at NIST, and  $T_D \sim 220$  K. Zanotte et al. [1347] used the IN16 spectrometer at ILL with energy resolution 1 µeV, same as that in NIST, to measure the msd of 0.4 g  $D_2O$  g<sup>-1</sup> hydrated lysozyme. They also found  $T_{\rm D} \sim 220$  K. The same IN16 spectrometer was used by Wood et al. [1363] to measure the msd of the D<sub>2</sub>O-hydrated maltose binding protein (H-MBP-D<sub>2</sub>O), and they found  $T_{\rm D} \sim 220$  K (see right panel of Fig. 225). Using the neutron backscattering spectrometer at NIST with a resolution of 0.8 eV, Chu et al. also found  $T_{\rm D} \sim 220$  K in the hydration water of the biopolymer RNA [1289(d)].

Another example is approximately the same  $T_D$  of D<sub>2</sub>O-hydrated myoglobin in the study by Doster (see Fig. 225, left panel) and C-phycocyanin (C-PC) in various deuterated trehalose/water environments (see Fig. 224, right panel), both using the same IN13 spectrometer in ILL with energy resolution (FWHM) of 8 µeV. The fact that  $T_D$  is nearly the same in spite of the large variations in the chemical structure and backbone chemistry (amino acids or nucleic acids) of the protein is an indication that the dynamic transition does not reflect an intrinsic property of the protein. Instead, the dynamic transition originates from the primitive or JG β-relaxation of water, the relaxation time of which is insensitive to the protein at short times probed by neutron scattering. Of course, the role of coupling of water to the protein by hydrogen bonding is crucial to see the dynamic transition by neutron scattering from the hydrogen atoms in the protein. Function of protein in biological and biochemical processes depends on the internal dynamics of the protein. From the above discussions, it is clear that the JG relaxation of hydration water plays an important role in enabling protein function.

Dried protein of course does not function, but then the problem in protein biopharmaceuticals industry (80 billion dollars in 2007) is how to stabilize the protein to avoid degradation on storage for timely use and application. A most recent review is given in [1383(a)]. The method commonly used is storage in a sugar-based glass, and the sugars are the disaccharides including sucrose and trehalose. There are collections of experimental data from several laboratories showing that the storage stability of dried proteins is determined by the fast local mobility of processes including the secondary relaxation and caged dynamic process of the sugar, rather than its structural relaxation or  $T_g$  [1383(b)–(e), 1384(a)–(c)]. Further discussion of this subject is deferred to a separate section at the end of this chapter.

Dielectric Relaxation and Enthalpy Relaxation Experiments

The knowledge acquired from the dynamics of aqueous mixtures in Section 2.3.2.26 can be put to use to understand the dynamics of hydration water and the role it has for protein dynamics. Dielectric relaxation data of a sample containing an equal weight fraction of myoglobin, water, and glycerol (i.e., 50 wt% water in the solvent, and a total solvent content h = 2) were published by Swenson and coworkers [1110]. Several relaxation processes were found in the dielectric spectra. The relaxation times of four such processes are shown in Fig. 229 together with some of the aqueous mixtures, 35 wt% water/PEG400, 50 wt% water/PVME, and 50 wt% water/PVP, shown before in Figs. 210 and 215. One of the two slower processes, with VFTH *T*-dependence of its relaxation time,  $\tau_{Mb}$ , is likely originating from some cooperative protein conformational fluctuations coupled with water, giving rise to glass transition of the hydrated protein. By inspection of Fig. 229, at approximately 200 K,  $\tau_{Mb}$  is of the order of  $10^3$  s. Thus,  $T_{gMb}$  of the hydrated myoglobin is near or slightly higher than 200 K.

At temperatures below some  $T_x$  which is near  $T_{gMb} \approx 200$  K, the relaxation time of the fastest relaxation process in the hydrated myoglobin,  $\tau_{fMb}$  (black closed circles), is nearly the same as  $\tau_{JG}$  of water in the aqueous mixtures of water with the polymers PVME and PVP (see Fig. 229). Moreover, as a function of temperature,  $\tau_{fMb}$  exhibits a crossover from stronger temperature dependence at high temperatures to approximately Arrhenius dependence at lower temperatures, again like the behavior of  $\tau_{JG}$  of water in the aqueous mixtures. The temperature at which the crossover takes place is at  $T_x \approx T_{gMb} \approx 200$  K. Therefore, by analogy, the fastest relaxation in the mixture of myoglobin with 50 wt% water–glycerol solvent is naturally identified as the JG relaxation of the hydration water [131]. The second fastest process has been attributed to motions of polar side groups of the protein [1110], but it could be the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of the glycerol–water mixture.

The result,  $T_x \approx T_{gMb}$ , found in hydrated myoglobin is exactly the same as we have seen before in aqueous mixtures. This is best brought out by the *T*-dependence of  $\tau_{JG}$  of 50 wt% water/PVME and the location of  $T_{gm}$  of this aqueous polymer



Fig. 229 (Left) Dielectric relaxation times of four processes observed by Swenson et al. in a sample containing an equal weight fraction of myoglobin, water, and glycerol [1110]. The relaxation times of the  $\beta$ -relaxation process of water (*black closed circles*), motions of polar side groups of the protein (black squares with crosses inside), and conformational protein fluctuations (black open *circles and inverted triangle*) all identified as such by the authors of [1110]. The *light black curves* are VF fits. The broken black vertical line indicates the temperature  $T_g$  of the hydrated myoglobin where one of the VF fits reaches  $10^3$  s.  $T_g$  is nearly coincident with  $T_x$ , the temperature above which the JG relaxation time assumes a stronger T-dependence than the Arrhenius dependence below it. The JG  $\beta$ -relaxation time,  $\tau_{\beta}(T)$ , of the water component in (a) 50 wt% water/PVME are indicated by magenta closed squares, and (b) in 50 wt% water/PVP by green closed triangles. The change of T-dependence of  $\tau_{\beta}$  of 50 wt% water/PVME occurs at  $T_{\rm gm}$  determined by DSC (located by the vertical magenta line) which is accidentally almost the same as  $T_x$ . Open and closed blue diamonds are  $\tau_{\beta}(T)$  and  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$ , respectively, for mixture of 35 wt% water with PEG400 [1295]. The vertical line indicates the temperature at which  $\tau_{\alpha}(T) = 10^3$  s. The large symbols on top all having relaxation times of  $10^3$  s are from adiabatic calorimetric measurements of a 20% (w/w) aqueous solution of bovine serum albumin [1316]. The two larger circles ( $\otimes$ ) connected by the thick line indicate the range from 170 to 200 K of a slower relaxation found in the quenched sample. The *red square* in between them is for the annealed sample. The *open square with circle* inside is the relaxation occurring at T=110 K found for the quenched and annealed samples. The other *circle* ( $\otimes$ ) next to it represents the relaxation time of the secondary relaxation of water confined within 1.1, 1.6, and 1.8 nm nanopores of molecular sieves (silica MCM-41) obtained by adiabatic calorimetry having the value of  $10^3$  s at T=115 as found by adiabatic calorimetry [1283]. (*Right*) Same as on the left except for the additions of (1) the relaxation time of conformation fluctuations in Zn-substituted Mb (ZnPP-Mb) dissolved in a water-glycerol mixture with a volume ratio of 1:3 with a concentration of about 0.3 mM (ZnPP-Mb-W:3G) [1386] and shown here as the red dashed line; and (2) the dielectric relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$  (open symbols) and  $\tau_{\beta}(T)$  (corresponding closed symbols) of mixtures of 30 and 40 wt% of water with glycerol. Circles are for 30 wt% water/glycerol, and squares for 40 wt% water/glycerol. Each dashed line indicates the Arrhenius temperature dependences assumed by  $\tau_{\beta}(T)$  of the labeled mixture starting approximately at  $T_{g}$  of the water/glycerol mixture defined by  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_g) = 10^3$  s, located at the vertical arrow drawn. The change of temperature dependence of  $\tau_{\beta}(T)$  at  $T_{g}$  is similar to that seen of the  $\beta$ -relaxation of the faster component in other aqueous and non-aqueous mixtures [1295] as well as in the hydrated Mb. Reproduced from [131] by permission

mixture, presented before in Fig. 215 and reproduced in Fig. 229, adopted from [131]. Not only is the  $\tau_{JG}$  of the aqueous PVME mixture about the same as  $\tau_{fMb}$ of the hydrated myoglobin, but also it exhibits the same kind of change of the Tdependence at the glass transition temperature,  $T_{\rm gm}$  for the aqueous polymer mixture and  $T_{gMb} \approx 200$  K for the hydrated myoglobin. Incidentally, the crossover temperatures of the two cases are almost the same. Therefore, the relaxation dynamic of hydrated myoglobin has a nearly exact analogy to that of the 50 wt% water solution of PVME, and is similar to that of other aqueous mixtures (Fig. 215), and non-aqueous mixtures (Fig. 147) [1093]. This general property found in hydrated myoglobin and the other systems naturally implies similar physical mechanism and analogous interpretation as the other simpler and better understood systems [131]. Specifically for hydrated myoglobin or other proteins, the secondary relaxation of water in the hydration shell and the conformation fluctuations of the protein are inseparable or symbiotic processes. The secondary relaxation of water hydrogen bonded to the protein is the first step in the evolution of processes with increasing complexity that eventually leads to cooperative motion involving the local conformations of the protein and the hydrogen-bonded water molecules altogether, and glass transition at  $T_{gMb}$  when the cooperative relaxation time becomes too long. The feed-back of the effect of this glass transition to the precursory secondary relaxation of water in the mixture is the cause of the change of temperature dependence of its relaxation time at  $T_{\rm gMb}$  [131]. The literature on dynamics of hydrated proteins and other biomolecules is vast. Many published studies show evidences that the dynamics of protein and solvent are physically coupled [133]. In short, the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of the water in the 50/50 wt% of water and glycerol solvent in hydrated myoglobin is the precursor and governor of both the local protein process and the cooperative conformational fluctuations in the protein responsible for the glass transition-like behavior of the protein.

Our interpretation of the fastest relaxation process of the hydrated myoglobin in Fig. 229 with relaxation time,  $\tau_{\rm fMb}$ , as the JG relaxation of the hydration water differs from that offered by Swenson et al. [1110]. They attributed the cause of this Arrhenius process in hydrated myoglobin at low temperatures (below  $T_x \approx T_{gMb} \approx$ 200 K) to confinement of water resulting in a local β-process. At temperatures above  $T_{\rm x} \approx T_{gMb} \approx 200$  K, they interpreted the observed hydration water solvent process with a stronger temperature dependence of  $\tau_{fMb}$  as a merged  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -process. This interpretation is debatable because the behavior of  $\tau_{fMb}$  of the hydration water process is analogous in every respect to the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation process of water in aqueous and van der Waals liquid in non-aqueous mixtures [1093], and evidences from these other systems have shown that the process is entirely one and the same JG relaxation above and below the crossover temperature (see Fig. 147 for an example). Our interpretation also implies that the cooperative  $\alpha$ -relaxation of the 50/50 wt% of the water/glycerol solvent of the myoglobin may also have been observed in the dielectric spectra of [1110]. Its relaxation times could be located in Fig. 228 at or near the data points represented by the squares (with crosses inside) and interpreted in [224] as due to the motion of polar side groups of myoglobin.

Calorimetric measurements have been widely used in studying the molecular motions in hydrated proteins. Examples from publications of earlier years are cited as [1351, 1387–1390]. Here we discuss the results of a recent study using adiabatic calorimetry by Kawai et al. [1316]. The relaxation processes of another hydrated protein, a 20% (w/w) aqueous solution of bovine serum albumin (BSA), were obtained by adiabatic calorimetry at temperatures ranging from 80 to 300 K [1316]. Measurements were made on sample after quenching it from 300 down to 80 K and on another sample after it had been annealed at temperatures in the range of 200-240 K. Shown in the left panel of Fig. 230 is the temperature dependence of the enthalpy relaxation rates, -dH/dt, for the quenched sample indicating the presence of two enthalpy relaxation. One is centered at around 110 K and the other over a wide temperature range from 120 to 190 K. The annealed sample showed three separate relaxation processes having  $T_{\rm g} = 110$  K, 135 K, and a broad range of temperatures higher than 180 K. Kawai et al. suggested these processes in order of increasing temperature to originate from the rearrangement motions of (1) primary hydrate water forming a direct hydrogen bond with the protein, (2) part of the internal water localized in the opening of a protein structure, and (3) the disordered region in the protein. We shall see that dielectric relaxation measurements on the same hydrated BSA offer a different interpretation of these processes.

Dynamics of processes probed by adiabatic calorimetric data is limited to long times of the order of  $10^3$  s. A better understanding of the processes can be gained by knowledge of their dynamics over a wider time/frequency range. Toward this goal, broadband dielectric measurements were performed by Shinyashiki et al. on the 20 and 40% (w/w) aqueous solution of BSA [1317] to complement the adiabatic calorimetry data of the same system [1316]. The measurements were made over frequency range of 12 decades from 2 mHz to 20 GHz isothermally at temperatures



**Fig. 230** (*Left*) Temperature dependence of -dH/dt for the quenched sample. *Horizontal bar* and *arrow* indicate the glass transition region and the  $T_g$ , respectively. *Inset* shows enlargement of T = 80 - 200 K region. (*Right*) Temperature dependence of -dH/dt for the annealed sample. *Horizontal bar* and *arrow* indicate the glass transition region and the  $T_g$ , respectively. Reproduced from [1316] by permission



Fig. 231 Plots of relaxation times,  $\tau$ , for 20 wt% BSA–water mixture against reciprocal temperatures of processes Ia (solid circles), Ib (open circles), Ic (solid and open diamonds), IIa (solid triangles), IIb (open triangles), III (solid squares). The open symbols of the processes IIb (open triangles), Ib (open circles), and parts of Ic (open diamonds) indicate that the loss peaks of them are not observed definitely (see text). The plots of the relaxation times of process Ia (open circles with cross), IIa (open triangles with cross), and III (open squares with cross) are obtained for 40 wt% BSA-water mixtures. Crosses and asterisks are the plots of pure ice by our measurement and that quoted from [28], respectively. Enthalpy relaxations with relaxation time of  $10^3$  s originating from different processes found by Kawai et al. [1316] are indicated by the thick line bounded on both sides by circles with cross in the broad range from 170 to 220 K, double circle at 135 K, and circle with plus at 110 K. The magenta solid curve represents the relaxation time  $\tau_c$  of reorientational motion of heavy water ( $D_2O$ ) near the surface of myoglobin in  $D_2O$ -hydrated myoglobin (0.35 g/g) determined by deuteron NMR [1318, 1319]. The magenta straight dashed line represents the relaxation time  $\tau_{HN}$  obtained for the  $\beta$ -fluctuations in the hydration shell of myoglobin embedded in solid polyvinyl alcohol reported in [1389, 1390]. Reproduced from [1317] by permission

from 80 to 300 K. Figure 231 displays the temperature dependences of the relaxation times  $\tau_p(T)$  of the various processes in 20% (w/w) aqueous solution of BSA. Subscripts are used to label the processes Ia, Ib, Ic, IIa, IIb, and III observed.

For comparison we include the relaxation time of pure ice,  $\tau_{ice}(T)$ , obtained by Shinyashiki et al. [1317] and Johari and Whalley [1391], and the data of the 40% (w/w) aqueous solution. It can be seen in the figure that  $\tau_p(T)$  of processes p=IIa and IIb straddle  $\tau_{ice}(T)$ , and the three have similar activation energies in both the 20 and 40% solutions. This observation leads to the conclusion that processes IIa and p=IIb originate from crystallized bulk water in the aqueous solutions of BSA. Kawai et al. [1316] found in their 20% (w/w) aqueous solution of BSA a broad enthalpy relaxation by adiabatic calorimetry with relaxation time of 10<sup>3</sup> s centered at about 135 K in a sample after it was annealed at temperatures in the range of 200–240 K (see Fig. 230, right panel). Incidentally,  $\tau_{IIa}$  of process IIa and  $\tau_{ice}$ are near this enthalpy relaxation time at 135 K (shown by circle within circle in Fig. 230). This coincidence suggests processes IIa and IIb may be identified with the enthalpy relaxation at 135 K. The relaxation times,  $\tau_{Ia}$  and  $\tau_{Ib}$  of processes Ia

and Ib, are comparable, have almost the same activation energies, and hence can be considered to originate from the same molecular mechanism. Moreover, their relaxation times also are comparable to that of the secondary (or Johari-Goldstein) relaxation of water found in the glassy states of various aqueous mixtures [1295] and in the glass state of myoglobin solvated by an equal fraction of water and glycerol [1110]. The similarities suggest that processes Ia and Ib are also the secondary relaxation of uncrystallized water (UCW) in the hydration water. The difference in various environments of water in the hydration layers may explain the broad frequency dispersion of the observed secondary relaxation of water, which requires two Cole–Cole processes Ia and Ib to fit it. On extrapolating the Arrhenius T-dependence of  $\tau_{Ia}$  and  $\tau_{Ib}$  of the 20 and 40 wt% solutions of BSA to lower temperatures, the relaxation time of  $10^2$  s is reached by  $\tau_{Ia}$  and  $\tau_{Ib}$  within the range from 110 to 95 K. These relaxation processes can be identified with that found by adiabatic calorimetry from the peak of the enthalpy relaxation rate at 110 K in the same system. This is because the enthalpy relaxation time of  $10^3$  s occurs in a neighborhood of 110 K (shown by circle at 110 K in Figs. 229 and 231), which is comparable to that found for our dielectric relaxation times  $\tau_{Ia}$  and  $\tau_{Ib}$ . Thus, the identification of the secondary relaxation of water in the hydrated BSA from dielectric measurements is substantiated by the same from adiabatic calorimetry measurements of Kawai et al. [1316] and vice versa. This interpretation also is supported by adiabatic calorimetry measurements of water confined in the pores of silica gel with average diameter of 1.1 nm [1282] (see Fig. 220). The study reported the presence of a faster and a slower process. The relaxation times of the faster process of confined water is  $10^3$  s at T = 115 K, comparable to what we found for  $\tau_{Ia}$  and  $\tau_{Ib}$ .

The relaxation times  $\tau_{Ia}$  and  $\tau_{Ic}$  of processes Ic and Ia are presented in two non-overlapping temperature regions, giving an impression that these are distinctly different processes. This is a false impression originating from unavoidable complications and uncertainties in data analysis caused by contribution to  $\varepsilon^*$  from the processes of the crystallized water overlapping that from process Ia. However, processes Ia and Ic originate from the same molecular motions detected through the same dipole moments. One is replaced by the other when crossing  $T_{gBSA}$ . The apparent mismatch of  $\tau_{Ia}$  and  $\tau_{Ic}$  in the neighborhood of  $T_{gBSA}$  is an artifact resulting from the procedure used to fit the dielectric spectra, and the assumption that they are two separately different relaxations in the first place. Interpreted this way as a single process and the secondary relaxation of water, the stronger T-dependence of its relaxation time for  $T > T_{gBSA}$  than the Arrhenius T-dependence for  $T < T_{gBSA}$ is to be noted. Such change of the T-dependence of the secondary relaxation of water in 20 and 40 wt% aqueous solutions of BSA has been found in many aqueous mixtures, myoglobin solvated by an equal fraction of water and glycerol, and even in the secondary relaxation of the faster component of binary van der Waals liquids. In the other systems, the change of T-dependence occurs at the glass transition temperature of the other component of the mixture. We shall see the same holds for our present system after identifying the molecular mechanism of process III in the following paragraph, and explanation given before in the other systems applies here.

Process III is the slowest among processes originating from molecular motions in the aqueous solutions of BSA. Compared with  $\tau_{Ic}$  and  $\tau_{II}$ ,  $\tau_{III}$  has much stronger T-dependence of the Vogel-Fulcher-Tammann-Hesse form, typically shown by structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation of glass-forming liquids. As can be seen in Fig. 231,  $\tau_{III}$ reaches 100 s at  $T_{\rm gBSA} \approx 200$  K and  $10^3$  s at about 192 K. This means that this process is related to the enthalpy relaxation with relaxation time of  $10^3$  s seen in the region from 170 to 220 K by Kawai et al. (indicated in Fig. 231 by the thick line bounded on both sides by circles with cross in the broad range from 170 to 220 K). Thus, process III is responsible for the glass transition at  $T_{gBSA}$  of the hydrated BSA as seen by dielectric relaxation. The glass transition is driven by the cooperative motion of BSA and the water entering into the protein. The temperature at which  $\tau_{\rm III}$  attains 100 s agrees well with the broad range above 180 K of glass transition temperatures of protein observed by calorimetric measurements. This agreement suggests that process III corresponds to the molecular motion responsible for the glass transition of protein. The relation of processes Ia and Ic combined (secondary relaxation of water in the hydration shell) to process III in hydrated BSA resembles that found in myoglobin solvated by water/glycerol mixtures, as well as in many aqueous mixtures, in the dynamics of a component in binary mixtures of van der Waals glass-forming liquids, and in neat glassformers. The commonality is the change of the T-dependence of the secondary relaxation time from Arrhenius dependence at temperatures below  $T_g$  ( $\tau_{Ia}$  and  $T_{gBSA}$  in the present case) to a stronger T-dependence above  $T_g$  ( $\tau_{Ic}$  and  $T_{gBSA}$  in the present case). This indicates that the secondary relaxation of water in the hydration shell and the structural relaxation of the hydrated BSA are connected to each other as inseparable processes.

Excluding the relaxation of ice formed in the bulk water discussed in the above that is irrelevant for the present discussion, two major relaxation processes originating from water in the hydration shell and the hydrated myoglobin or BSA are observed. Their relaxation times determined from fits to the isothermal data of  $\varepsilon^*(\nu)$  (not shown) are plotted against reciprocal temperature in Fig. 232 (left).

The loss peak of water in the hydration shell of the 20 wt% BSA–water mixture at lower temperatures seems to be composed of two processes close to each other, and their relaxation times are represented by open and closed blue squares. A single process is obtained for the 40 wt% BSA–water mixture and shown by green closed diamonds. All these relaxation times of water in the hydration shell, collectively denoted by  $\tau_W$ , have Arrhenius *T*-dependence from 100 K and up to about 200 K. Above 200 K, the relaxation times of water in the hydration shell are represented by blue open circles and closed diamonds for the 20 and 40% (w/w) BSA–water mixtures, respectively. It can be seen that the Arrhenius *T*-dependence of  $\tau_W$  at temperatures below about 200 K is replaced by a stronger and non-Arrhenius *T*dependence after crossing some temperature in the neighborhood of 200 K. The gap between  $\tau_W$  in this neighborhood is the artifact of fitting isothermal data by Cole–Cole functions, and should not be there. The relaxation times of the hydrated BSA,  $\tau_{BSA}$ , are shown by the blue closed triangles and green open triangles for the 20 and 40% (w/w) BSA–water mixtures, respectively. With the much stronger



**Fig. 232** (*Left*) Dielectric relaxation times of 20 and 40% (w/w) solution of bovine serum albumin (BSA) in water [1317]: The faster relaxation, with Arrhenius *T*-dependence below about 190 K and changing to a stronger *T*-dependence above it, is the β-relaxation of hydration water  $\tau_W$  (*squares and diamonds*). The slower α-relaxation with relaxation times  $\tau_{BSA}$  (*triangles*) reaches about 10<sup>3</sup> s at about 190 K. The relaxation of ice formed in the bulk water, dc conductivity, and other processes observed in the dielectric experiment are omitted to highlight these two relevant processes. The *two large circles joined by a line* indicate the temperature range of the α-process of hydrated BSA and the *large circle with four spokes* is the temperature of the water β-relaxation observed by adiabatic calorimetry at 10<sup>3</sup> s [1316]. (*Right*) Dielectric data of hydrated BSA same as in *left panel*. Added are the following. Dielectric relaxation times,  $\tau_W$  (*red closed circles*) and  $\tau_{Mb}$  (*red open circles*) in water/glycerol solution of myoglobin [1110]. The *magenta solid line* is VFTH fit to the relaxation time,  $\tau_c$ , of reorientational motion of heavy water (D<sub>2</sub>O) in D<sub>2</sub>O-hydrated myoglobin (0.35 g/g) from deuteron NMR [1318, 1319]. The *grey straight dashed line* represents the relaxation time  $\tau_{HN}$  obtained for the β-fluctuations in the hydration shell of myoglobin embedded in solid polyvinyl alcohol reported in [1392, 1393]

T-dependence,  $\tau_{BSA}$  reaches  $10^2 - 10^3$  s near 200 K, suggesting it is the structural α-relaxation, and the glass transition of the hydrated BSA occurs at some temperature  $T_{\rm gBSA} \approx 200$  K. This happening is supported by heat capacity and enthalpy relaxation rate measurements of a 20 % (w/w) BSA-water mixture by Kawai et al. [1316]. These authors have found several enthalpy relaxations with relaxation time of  $10^3$  s originating from different processes. The one found in the broad range from 170 to 220 K is indicated by the thick line at  $10^3$  s bounded on both sides by  $\otimes$  in Fig. 231. It can be seen from the figure that the dielectric  $\tau_{BSA}$  falls within the temperature range of enthalpy relaxation. Kawai et al. found another enthalpy relaxation with relaxation time of  $10^3$  s in the temperature range from 100 to 120 and centered at 110 K (indicated by ⊕). This temperature is in rough agreement with the dielectric  $\tau_{\rm W}$  when extrapolated to  $10^3$  s, proving that adiabatic calorimetry and dielectric relaxation are observing the same water relaxation. Almost the same enthalpy relaxation was found for water confined within 1.1, 1.6, and 1.8 nm nanopores of silica MCM-41 [1283] and of silica gels [1282]. The  $\tau_{\rm W}$  of water in the hydration shell at temperature below  $T_{gBSA}$  is comparable in magnitude and

activation energy to the dielectric JG relaxation of water in hydrophilic mixtures and nanoconfined water [1093]. This suggests that  $\tau_{\rm W}$  is truly the primitive or JG relaxation of water in the hydration shell. The change of the T-dependence of  $\tau_{\rm W}$  at  $T_{\sigma \rm BSA}$ is the same as that found in the JG relaxation of water in other aqueous mixtures, nanoconfined water, and a component in mixtures of two van der Waals glassformers [1093]. This general relation between the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation and the  $\alpha$ -relaxation has been explained by the coupling model. The relaxation times,  $\tau_{fMb}$  (equivalent to  $\tau_{\rm W}$ ) and  $\tau_{\rm Mb}$ , of the JG relaxation of water and the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of hydrated myoglobin from Swenson et al. [1110] are reproduced (red open and closed circles) in Fig. 231 (right) to compare with those of the hydrated BSA. Again, the JG relaxation time  $\tau_{\rm W}$  of water in the water/glycerol solvent of myoglobin is similar to what we find in hydrated BSA, and there is change of the T-dependence of its relaxation time at the glass transition temperature of the hydrated myoglobin where  $\tau_{Mb}$ reaches 1000 s, which is also near 200 K. In the glassy state the relaxation times of JG relaxation of water in the water/glycerol solvent of myoglobin are longer and have larger activation energy than pure water in hydrated BSA. This is understandable because glycerol is less mobile than water. The JG relaxation of water with similar relaxation time and activation energy also was found by Svanberg et al. in hydrated palmitoyloleoyl phosphatidylcholine, an asymmetric lipid belonging to the phosphatidylcholine family with 16 and 18 carbon atoms in the tails [1394]. Also observed is a change of temperature dependence of the relaxation time at 210 K from one with activation energy of 56.3 kJ/mol at lower temperatures, and 31 kJ/mol above 210 K. This change to a weaker temperature dependence of water relaxation above 210 K obviously cannot be due to merging of  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -relaxation of water suggested for hydrated myoglobin [1110].

Also shown in Fig. 231 (right) is the relaxation time,  $\tau_c$ , of reorientational motion of heavy water  $(D_2O)$  near the surface of myoglobin in D<sub>2</sub>O-hydrated myoglobin (0.35 g/g) determined by deuteron NMR [1318, 1319]. The method probes the reorientational relaxation of the O–D vector, and  $\tau_c$  obtained from about 200 to 300 K has been fitted by the VFTH T-dependence,  $\tau_c(T) = (0.96) \exp[460/(T-167)]$  ps, given in [1319] and shown here in Fig. 231 (right) by the solid magenta line. Good agreement between  $\tau_c$  from NMR and  $\tau_W$  from dielectric measurements can be seen. According to our interpretation like in other non-aqueous and aqueous mixtures, and nanoconfined water,  $\tau_W$  as well as  $\tau_c$  is the JG relaxation time of hydration water which is not undergoing glass transition at 200 K itself but is the precursor of the glass transition of the hydrated protein at near 200 K. In fact, the dielectric  $\tau_W$  reaches  $10^3$  s near 110 K in the case of hydrated myoglobin, and at about 100 K for the hydrated BSA, which is near 110 K, the glass transition temperature of hydration water from calorimetry. As mentioned before, the coupling parameter of hydration water is small, and hence there is no big difference between the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation time,  $\tau_{\rm W}$  or  $\tau_{\rm c}$ , and the structural relaxation time  $\tau_{\rm cr}$ of hydration water. Bearing this in mind, the interpretation here and in [131, 1317] can be reconciled with that offered by Doster and Settles on their  $\tau_c$  from NMR in their statement: "The structural relaxation time related to translation of hydration water varies however in a super-Arrhenius manner with temperature as the neutron scattering and the deuteron relaxation data demonstrate." This is obvious because as said before,  $\tau_{\alpha} \approx \tau_{W}$  or  $\tau_{c}$ . However, their statement following the one cited above "These results provide evidence that hydration water turns into a glassy state near 200 K" cannot be reconciled. Only the hydrated myoglobin (to be distinguished from hydration water) turns into a laboratory-timescale glassy state (with relaxation time > 10<sup>3</sup> s) near 200 K. The deuteron NMR and the neutron scattering measure the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation which is not directly responsible for glass transition. The same interpretation by Doster, kinetic glass transition of the solvent near the protein surface coupled to the protein motions, was used earlier in the interpretation of the "dynamic transition," i.e., rapid increase of the msd of hydrated protein motion past  $T_{d} \approx 200$  K seen by Mössbauer effect [1343] and neutron scattering [1344]. As discussed in the previous subsection, the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in hydrated proteins is accountable for rapid increase of the msd of hydrated protein motion past  $T_{d} \approx 200$  K.

A different interpretation of the rapid increase in the conformational MSD was given by Frauenfelder and coworkers [1392, 1393] based on earlier work by Fenimore et al. [135]. These authors stated that there is no "dynamical transition" in proteins near 200 K proposed by Doster and coworkers [1318, 1319, 1344]. Frauenfelder and coworkers suggested the rapid increase in the mean-squared displacement with temperature in many neutron scattering experiments can be quantitatively predicted by the  $\beta$ -fluctuations in the hydration shell. In their energy landscape model of hydrated proteins with hierarchical tiers, the  $\beta$ -fluctuation in the hydration shell is the lowest tier, which has Arrhenius temperature dependence for its relaxation time. Doster remarked that these suggestions by Frauenfelder et al. are misleading because diffusion within the hydration shell is ignored, and a well-defined energy landscape controlling protein dynamics does not account for the solvent. Although the term  $\beta$ -fluctuations used by Frauenfelder and coworkers sounds similar to ours, their interpretation of  $\beta$ -fluctuations is different from the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of hydration water in hydrated protein that we advocate. Actually, in their dielectric relaxation study [1392, 1393] of hydrated myoglobin embedded in solid polyvinyl alcohol from 40 Hz to 110 MHz, they emphasized that the  $\beta$ -fluctuations in the hydration shell are different from the JG relaxations in glassformers. Their isothermal dielectric loss functions  $\varepsilon''(\omega)$  of the  $\beta$ -fluctuations measured from 40 Hz to 110 MHz at 235 K and up to 295 K are fitted to the Havriliak–Negami (HN) functions. The HN relaxation times,  $\tau_{\rm HN}$ , have the Arrhenius T-dependence given by  $\tau_{\rm HN}/s = 10^{-20.5} \exp[(79.2 \text{ kJ/mol})/RT]$ . As shown by the dashed grey line in Fig. 231 (right),  $\tau_{\rm HN}$  are about four decades longer than  $\tau_{W}$ , the JG relaxation time of water in the hydration shell of BSA as well as of myoglobin. The fact that  $\tau_{HN}$  of the  $\beta$ -fluctuations deduced is not much shorter than the  $\alpha$ -relaxation time of the hydrated BSA and myoglobin suggests they may be the same process. Nevertheless, Frauenfelder and coworkers were able to explain the rapid increase in the mean-squared displacement measured by Doster and Settles [1318] using the IN13 neutron spectrometer at ILL with a time resolution  $\log(\tau_n/s) = -\log(\omega_n/s^{-1}) = -10$  in the temperature range from 235 to 295 K by calculating the part of the dielectric loss spectrum with angular frequencies  $\omega$  that exceed  $\omega_n = 10^{10}$  radians/s. This was carried out by extrapolating the HN fits to practically infinite frequencies. As can be seen by inspection of Fig. 231 (right), there are many decades of frequency separating  $\omega_n$  and  $\omega_{HN} = 1/\tau_{HN}$ , and thus the extrapolation by the HN function can involve large uncertainties.

Using quasielastic neutron scattering S.-H. Chen et al. [1359] measured the msd of the hydrogen atom of hydrated water in hydrated lysozyme at the hydration level of h = 0.3 (i.e., 0.3 g H<sub>2</sub>O per g dry lysozyme). At this hydration level, almost a monolayer of water covers the protein surface. An identical second sample was prepared using  $D_2O$  and the measurements were used to subtract out the incoherent scattering contribution from the protein hydrogen atoms in the H<sub>2</sub>O sample. The results indicate that a "dynamic transition" of the msd at a temperature  $T_{\rm d}$  between 200 and 220 K. Analyzing the neutron data using the relaxing cage model, they determined the average translational relaxation time,  $\tau_{\rm T}$ , for the hydration water in lysozyme. They found  $\langle \tau_{\rm T} \rangle$  follows a VFTH *T*-dependence above  $T_{\rm L} = 220$  K, but changes abruptly to have the Arrhenius T-dependence with activation energy of 3.13 kcal/mol, as shown by brown open circles in the lower left part of Fig. 233. Like for similar observation of nanoconfined water by the same group [1289], this behavior of  $\langle \tau_{\rm T} \rangle$  is interpreted as fragile-to-strong liquid–liquid transition involving the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation of the hydration water. The near coincidence of  $T_d$  and  $T_L$  has led Chen et al. to claim that the "dynamic transition" of hydrated proteins is effected by the fragile-to-strong dynamic crossover of the hydration water. The "dynamic transition" has been seen by neutron scattering in glycerol and lysozyme mixtures [1345(a), 1346]. In these systems, water and its purported fragile-to-strong dynamic



**Fig. 233-1** (*Left*) Same as the right panel of Fig. 232 except for the additions of: the following. (1) Translational relaxation time,  $\langle \tau_T \rangle$ , for the hydration water in lysozyme from S.-H. Chen et al. [1359] (*large brown open circles*) show change from VFTH *T*-dependence above  $T_L = 220$  K to Arrhenius *T*-dependence below  $T_L$ . (2) The slower and faster dielectric relaxation times of hydrated lysozyme by Khodadati et al. [1358],  $\tau_{1 \text{ K}}$  (\*) and  $\tau_{2 \text{ K}}$  (+). Neutron relaxation time (×). Note the good agreement of  $\tau_{2 \text{ K}}$  with  $\langle \tau_T \rangle$  below  $T_L$ , and  $\tau_{1 \text{ K}}$  with  $\langle \tau_T \rangle$  above  $T_L$ . (*Right*) The high temperature part is magnified

crossover obviously are absent, and the "dynamic transition" observed cannot be explained by that proposed by Chen et al. The combined neutron scattering and dielectric relaxation times of  $D_2O$ -hydrated lysozyme by Khodadadi et al. [1357, 1358] also did not find the fragile-to-strong dynamic crossover, nor the dielectric data of hydrated collagen and elastin [1383].

It can be seen by inspection of Fig. 233-1, above  $T_{\rm L} = 220$  K where  $\langle \tau_{\rm T} \rangle$  follows the VFTH T-dependence of the so-called "fragile" water, there is good agreement between  $\langle \tau_{\rm T} \rangle$  of hydration water in lysozyme with the dielectric JG relaxation time,  $\tau_{\rm W}$ , of hydration water in myoglobin [1110] or in BSA [1317], and also with the reorientational time,  $\tau_c$ , of heavy water (D<sub>2</sub>O) near the surface of myoglobin in D<sub>2</sub>O-hydrated myoglobin determined by deuteron NMR [1318, 1319]. Such agreement suggests, above  $T_{\rm L} = 220$  K,  $\langle \tau_{\rm T} \rangle$  is actually the primitive or JG relaxation time of hydration water. However, neither  $\tau_W$  nor  $\tau_c$  exhibits the abrupt change to the Arrhenius T-dependence at 220 K as Chen et al. found for  $\langle \tau_{\rm T} \rangle$ . Although the dielectric data of Khodadadi et al. [1358] found the water relaxation time changes its T-dependence at 180 K, the  $T_g$  of the hydrated lysozyme [1357], as JG  $\beta$ -relaxation behaves in general. Extrapolating the Arrhenius T-dependence of  $\langle \tau_{\rm T} \rangle$  below  $T_{\rm L} = 220$  K given by Chen et al. to low temperatures, we find roughly 47 K would be the glass transition temperature for the "strong" water defined by  $\langle \tau_{\rm T} \rangle = 10^3$  s. This low value of  $T_{\rm g}$  for hydration water is unrealistic, and shows once more that Chen et al. [1359] did not observe the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of hydration water below  $T_{\rm L} = 220$  K as they claimed.

Most recently Doster et al. [1395(a)] made more sensitive measurements of water on perdeuterated C-phycocyanin (C-PC) using the new neutron backscattering spectrometer SPHERES, which has a resolution (FWHM) of 0.62  $\mu$ eV. The protein is the same one studied by Köper et al. [1373, 1374] using IN13 and shown before in Fig. 224, but now at the hydration level h = 0.3 g/g. Using the same model and procedure as Chen et al. [1359] to analyze their own neutron scattering data of C-PC, Doster et al. found similar sign of the fragile-to-strong transition near  $T_{\rm L} = 220$  K. However, they showed the temperature dependence of the relaxation times is highly sensitive to data evaluation, and demonstrated that the procedure used by Chen et al. is inadequate. With an improved data analysis, Doster et al. obtained results that show no sign of such a fragile-to-strong transition, and are in good agreement with the results of dielectric relaxation and deuteron NMR, without any anomaly. They argued that the observation of the fragile-to-strong transition by Chen et al. is likely to be an artifact of the data analysis. Problems of the analysis of data used by Chen et al. were given later in more detail by Doster [1395(b)], including the use of a phenomenological power law model to adjust the apparent *O*-dependence without giving a plausible justification, and finding the fragile-tostrong crossover at a temperature, where the line width of the spectrum falls below the instrumental resolution.

The results of a recently published dielectric relaxation study of lysozyme hydrated to a level of h = 0.4 g water per gram of protein by Khodadadi et al. [1358(c)] may offer another possibility to unravel the mystery of the identity of the "strong" water having Arrhenius *T*-dependence of  $\langle \tau_T \rangle$  below  $T_L = 220$  K. In the

isothermal spectrum at temperatures between 203 and 223 K, one major loss peak shows up but in addition there is a faster process on its high-frequency flank with much weaker relaxation strength. The spectra in this temperatures range were fitted by the sum of two Cole–Cole distribution functions, and the relaxation times of the slower and major process,  $\tau_{1K}$ , and the faster and minor process,  $\tau_{2K}$ , determined. At temperatures above 223 K, the spectra show only the major loss peak and were fitted with a single Cole-Cole distribution function. The results presented in Fig. 233-1 show that  $\tau_{1K}$  (black asterisks) of hydration water in lysozyme is not too different from  $\tau_W$  of hydration water in BSA or in myoglobin, or  $\tau_c$  of heavy water (D<sub>2</sub>O) in myoglobin from deuteron NMR for temperatures down to about 167 K. Moreover,  $\tau_{1 \text{ K}}$  is approximately the same as  $\langle \tau_{\text{T}} \rangle$  of Chen et al. above  $T_{\rm L} = 220$  K, but unlike  $\langle \tau_{\rm T} \rangle$  it continues to be present at temperatures below 220 K. Remarkably,  $\tau_{2K}$  of the faster minor relaxation (shown by + sign) has about the same value and small activation energy as  $\langle \tau_{\rm T} \rangle$  of Chen et al. [1359] below  $T_{\rm L} = 220$  K. This correspondence was also pointed out by Khodadadi et al. in [1358]. The comparison with the dielectric data suggests that quasielastic neutron scattering method and analysis used by Chen et al. could be more sensitive to the faster minor process having relaxation time  $\langle \tau_{\rm T} \rangle \approx \tau_{\rm 2K}$  at lower temperatures. Because of this, Chen et al. possibly missed detecting the slower major process below  $T_{\rm L} = 220$  K, resulting in the abrupt change of temperature dependence of  $\langle \tau_{\rm T} \rangle$  from the non-Arrhenius form of  $\tau_{1\,\rm K}$  to the Arrhenius one of  $\tau_{2\,\rm K}$ . Hence, there is no fragile-to-strong dynamic crossover of hydration water at  $T_{\rm L} = 220$  K.

In addition to dielectric relaxation, Khodadadi et al. [1358] studied the dynamics of lysozyme hydrated in  $D_2O$  using quasielastic neutron scattering. The neutron scattering data were cast in terms of the imaginary part of the susceptibility,  $\chi''(Q, \nu)$ , where Q is the scattering vector and  $\nu$  the frequency, and the characteristic relaxation times from its peak frequency. There is only one peak in  $\chi''(Q, \nu)$  and its relaxation time,  $\tau_{nK}$ , is almost the same as  $\tau_{1K}$  over the temperature range from 200 to 295 K, and also comparable to  $\tau_{\rm W}$  of hydration water in BSA or in myoglobin, or  $\tau_c$  of heavy water (D<sub>2</sub>O) in myoglobin. Since neutrons scatter mostly from hydrogen atoms, the neutron scattering spectra of the  $lysozyme/D_2O$  sample reflect the motion of the protein and not the deuterated water of hydration. However, this observed protein motion is *not* the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation of the hydrated lysozyme. This is because we have learned from the dielectric data of hydrated myoglobin [1110], hydrated elastin and collagen [1383], and hydrated BSA [1316, 1317] that the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation of hydrated proteins is a separate entity with relaxation time different from  $\tau_{\rm W}$  or  $\tau_{\rm c}$ , and has a  $T_{\rm g}$  of its own determined at 10<sup>3</sup> s by adiabatic calorimetry (see Figs. 230 and 233-1). Furthermore,  $\tau_{1 \text{ K}}$  and  $\tau_{n \text{K}}$  are comparable to  $\tau_{\rm W}$  or  $\tau_{\rm c}$ , indicating the former like the latter are the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation times and not the structural relation times of the hydrated protein. Altogether, these facts cannot be reconciled with the assignment of the motion with relaxation time  $\tau_{1 \text{ K}}$  or  $\tau_{n \text{ K}}$  to "structural relaxation of the protein" or "protein's structural relaxation coupled to hydration water" by Khodadadi et al.

From the discussions above of the experimental data on dynamics of hydrated proteins, we conclude that the adiabatic calorimetric measurements [1316] are

pivotal in proving that the two major relaxation processes, the primitive or JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of hydration water and the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation, are distinct but yet connected. They are distinct because their relaxation times reach 10<sup>3</sup> s at vastly different temperatures,  $T_{g\beta}$  for the  $\beta$ -relaxation and  $T_{g\alpha}$  for the  $\alpha$ -relaxation, determined by adiabatic calorimetry. They are connected because of the observed change in the temperature dependence of the dielectric  $\beta$ -relaxation time when  $T_{g\alpha}$  is crossed [1110, 1317, 1357, 1358]. These features are analogous to the dynamics found in many aqueous mixtures. Moreover, in the Arrhenius temperature regime, the  $\beta$ -relaxation time of hydration water in protein is comparable in magnitude and has similar activation enthalpy as the primitive or JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of many aqueous mixtures. There is also analogy with binary mixtures of van der Waals liquids, although the analogy is not total because in the absence of hydrogen bonding each component has its own  $\alpha$ - and JG  $\beta$ -relaxations. There are other connections between the primitive or JG  $\beta$ -relaxation and the  $\alpha$ -relaxation in mixtures and in neat glassformers beyond the one discussed here. These connections occur because the primitive relaxation is the precursor of the many-body relaxation dynamics evolving with time until the  $\alpha$ -relaxation is reached. The explanation has been given by the coupling model, and the same explanation applies to the dynamics of hydrated proteins.

Without the benefit of considering the full spectrum of long-time adiabatic calorimetric and low-frequency dielectric data, wrong conclusions and interpretations were made by researchers that were based on short-time neutron scattering data or deuteron NMR data alone. Chen et al. [1389] and Khodadadi et al. [1358] concluded that they have observed the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation of the hydrated protein. Doster and Settles [1318, 1319] interpreted their deuteron NMR data as the structural relaxation related to translation of hydration water of myoglobin. However, as clearly demonstrated in several figures shown before, the neutron  $\langle \tau_T \rangle$  above  $T_L = 220$  K of Chen et al., the neutron  $\tau_{nK}$  and dielectric  $\tau_{1 \text{ K}}$  of Khodadadi et al., as well as  $\tau_c$  from deuteron NMR data of Vogel [1322(b)] cited by Doster and Settles are the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation times of hydration water at temperatures above  $T_{g\alpha}$  unequivocally determined by adiabatic calorimetry in the case of hydrated BSA. The structural relaxation time of the hydrated BSA and myoglobin determined by dielectric relaxation spectroscopy has much longer times than  $\langle \tau_T \rangle$ ,  $\tau_{nK}$ ,  $\tau_{1 \text{ K}}$ , and  $\tau_c$  obtained by the other authors.

Studies of dynamics of the hydration shell in hydrated collagen and elastin by Vogel and coworkers using <sup>2</sup>H-NMR [1322(b), 1385(a)] and by Gainaru et al. using dielectric relaxation [1322(a)] were reported. In the first <sup>2</sup>H-NMR study [1385(a)], a change in *T*-dependence of  $\tau_{\beta}$  at  $T \approx 200$  K was reported, but it is no longer present in the second report of another study [1322(b), 1322(c)]. In fact, Lusceac et al. stated that the temperature dependence can roughly be described by an Arrhenius law, with the possibility of a weak crossover in the vicinity of 220 K. These authors were careful to say that this is only a possibility because the crossover is so weak that it may not be real. Thus it can be concluded that none of these investigations of hydrated collagen and elastin found evidence of the existence of a sharp fragile-to-strong transition reported for lysozyme at about 220 K by Chen and coworkers

[1359] (see Fig. 227). The dielectric data of elastin at hydration level h = 0.40 (g water/1 g protein) found a secondary relaxation with Arrhenius *T*-dependence for its relaxation time  $\tau_{\beta}$  at all temperatures below  $T_{g} = 275$  K with activation energy of 53 kJ/mol corresponding to the energy needed to break two hydrogen bonds to free water molecules to rotate and translate. The characteristics of  $\tau_{\beta}$  is similar in every respect to what we found and called the primitive or JG relaxation in hydration water [1093]. The change of *T*-dependence of  $\tau_{\beta}$  at  $T_{g}$  was not observed in hydrated collagen and elastin by dielectric relaxation because  $T_{g}$  is much higher than other hydrated proteins, and the data were taken at temperatures below  $T_{g}$  (see Fig. 227).

Although we often use the term primitive or JG relaxation in general for secondary relaxations which are related to the primary relaxation, not all JG relaxations in different systems have the same characteristics. The amplitudes of rotation and/or translation of the JG relaxation depend on the chemical structure and bonding of the glassformer. Water is the smallest molecule in nature that involves hydrogen bonding. After breaking two hydrogen bonds, as suggested by the activation energy  $E_a \approx 45-53$  kJ/mol of the JG relaxation time  $\tau_{\beta} = \tau_{\infty} \exp(E_a/kT)$  of hydration water, the water molecule is free to rotate and translate. The change from hydrogenbonded to free rotation-translation engenders entropy change, which nicely explains the prefactor  $\tau_{\infty}$  is of the order of  $10^{-19}$  s (see Fig. 217). This can take place and water molecules can translate to longer distance by repeated breaking and remaking hydrogen bonds even at temperatures much below the glass transition temperature of the hydrated protein [1385(b)]. On the other hand, the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of a polymer such as polybutadiene, which has bonded interaction along the chain, cannot translate, and the rotation angle is restricted by the conformations [1322(d)]. Even motion in toluene is more restricted by dense packing and steric constraints [1322(d)] compared with water. Most conventional JG  $\beta$ -processes in van der Waals glassformers involve only spatially localized molecular motions. The combined rotational and translational freedom of the JG relaxation of water can rationalize the observation that its <sup>2</sup>H-NMR line-shape is Lorentzian at higher temperatures down to about 210 K ( $\tau_{\rm B} \sim 10^{-7}$  s) in hydrated myoglobin, and at all temperatures investigated in elastin and collagen as found by Lusceac et al. [1322(b)]. The anisotropy of the NMR interaction is averaged out by the presence of the translational motion, rendering the rotational motion of water isotropic, rather than anisotropic.

For some idiosyncratic reason, Lusceac et al. made negative comment on our use of the term "JG  $\beta$ -relaxation" for the observed secondary relaxation of water in [1093, 1094, 1140(a)]. Specifically they stated: "Moreover, the isotropy of the high temperature motion is strong evidence against the conjecture that the water dynamics can be identified with the JG  $\beta$ -process. ..." From our usage of this term, Lusceac et al. forcefully asserted that necessarily we are saying that the waterspecific secondary relaxation must have the same characteristics as JG  $\beta$ -process of conventional glassformers. Nowhere in [1093, 1094, 1140(a), 1071] we have said that, and in fact sometimes we have alternatively called it the v-process or the primitive relaxation of water. Thus, the assertion of Lusceac et al. is a concoction coming from their own idiosyncrasy. We are experts on secondary relaxations and are fully aware of the fact that not all "JG  $\beta$ -relaxations" have the same characteristics. As discussed before in various subsections, secondary relaxation that we classify as JG  $\beta$ -relaxation has to satisfy some criteria indicating that it is connected to the  $\alpha$ -relaxation [120, 685]. It is found not only in polymers and van der Waals glassformers, but also in other lesser known glassformers. These include metallic glasses, ionic liquids, inorganic glasses with covalent bonding, and molten salts. The difference in physical structure and chemical bonding of these glassformers necessarily makes the characteristics of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation different. Metallic glasses such as Pd77Cu6Si17 and Zr65Al7.5Cu27.5 have secondary relaxation which is referred to in the literature as JG  $\beta$ -relaxation [960(b)] not only by us. So is one of the secondary relaxations in ionic liquids such as 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium *bis*(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide (BMIM-BMSF) shown in Fig. 111-2, the secondary relaxation of which involves rotation and translation of the cation and/or anion molecules. These secondary relaxations satisfy some criteria for us to call it JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. It is obvious that the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in metallic glass and ionic liquid must involve translation motion of atoms and molecules, respectively, and different from the conventional JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in polymers and van der Waals glassformer having only spatially localized molecular motions. The atoms in metallic glasses do not even rotate when translating. If anyone follows the logic of Lusceac et al., he or she would make the following objection: "The fact that secondary relaxation in metallic glasses and ionic liquids involves translational motion of atoms and molecules, respectively, is strong evidence against the conjecture that it can be identified with the JG  $\beta$ -process." This hypothetical objection is bunk and no more than splitting hair in semantics. The situation in real life is like persons who were only familiar with snakes in their own country that move smoothly along its own contour (reptate), but had never been in the southwestern part of USA and Mexico to see a sidewinder. When finally the person came to USA and saw a sidewinder, and was told that it is just another snake, the person objected to the use of the term "snake" for the sidewinder. This is because, according to his or her experience, conventionally snakes do not move by lateral and looping bodily motion as the sidewinder does. This objection is without merit, because the sidewinder is indeed a snake according to zoology. The triviality of the objection of calling the water-specific relaxation as JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of water can be debunked in the same way as the objection of calling *sidewinder* as *snake* as follows. Water in secondary relaxation of aqueous mixtures and hydrated proteins (sidewinder) moves differently than secondary relaxation of toluene or polybutadiene (Python in Greek mythology, or any common snake you can find in Northern Europe), but it is legitimate to call them all by the same name, JG  $\beta$ -relaxations (*snakes*), according to the classification by Ngai and Paluch [120] (by zoologists).

Furthermore, even within the same family of glassformers, the angle of rotation of JG relaxation in the glassy state also can vary greatly. The <sup>2</sup>H-NMR study of JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of neat polyalcohols, including glycerol, threitol, xylitol, and sorbitol [239], established an empirical relation, sin  $\Theta \approx (\Delta \varepsilon_{\beta} / \Delta \varepsilon_{\alpha})^{1/2}$  between the semiangle  $\Theta$  of reorientation of the JG relaxation and the ratio of its dielectric strength to that of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. For sorbitol,  $(\Delta \varepsilon_{\beta} / \Delta \varepsilon_{\alpha})$  is about 2.5 × 10<sup>-2</sup>, and the semi-angle is about 10° at  $T = T_g/1.3$  which is about three times larger than that of threitol. For some of the aqueous mixtures [1093], the ratio  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\beta} / \Delta \varepsilon_{\alpha}$  is much larger than sorbitol. For example, in a mixture of 35 wt% of water with trimer of ethylene glycol,  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\beta} / \Delta \varepsilon_{\alpha} \approx 1$ . Hence the empirical relation suggests the amplitude of the motion of the water JG relaxation in hydrated proteins can be of the order of the tetrahedral angle as observed by <sup>2</sup>H-NMR. By clarifying the special nature of the JG secondary relaxation of water in the above, its properties are fully consistent with those observed by Lusceac et al. using <sup>2</sup>H-NMR. Lusceac et al. prefer to use "waterspecific  $\beta$  process" to describe the process and not the term JG  $\beta$ -relaxation we use, although they have no idea of its function like we do, not only for hydrated proteins, aqueous mixtures, but also for glass-forming materials in general. This is clear from the statement made by Lusceac et al. themselves: "... suggesting the existence of a water-specific  $\beta$  process. However, exact origin and possible universality of this  $\beta$ process are open questions."

We have no problem in replacing the term "JG β-relaxation of water" by "waterspecific  $\beta$  process" if anyone prefers. This is because nothing is changed or lost in our explanation of the hydration water dynamics in hydrated proteins by the change in terminology. The main purpose of our use of the term "JG  $\beta$ -relaxation" is to distinguish secondary relaxations that involve the motion of the entire molecule, have properties connected to the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and relaxation time in approximate agreement with the primitive relaxation time of the CM [685], and inseparable from the  $\alpha$ -relaxation [998, 999]. For the secondary relaxation of water in aqueous mixtures or hydrated proteins, one such property is the change in T-dependence of its relaxation time at  $T_g$ , which is found for JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in conventional glassformers. We could have simply called them secondary relaxations that belong to the special class, but this is cumbersome. It is more expedient when referring to these relaxations by giving them a name. I do not have the audacity to call them the Ngai secondary relaxation, which arguably can be justified because I am the first one to distinguish them from other secondary relaxations, and point out their symbiotic relation with the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation and its fundamental role it plays in glass transition. But instead I chose to call them "JG  $\beta$ -relaxations." This term serves also the ancillary purpose of honoring Johari and Goldstein for their seminal work that shows even totally rigid glassformers can have a secondary relaxation. However, our ideas on the "JG  $\beta$ -relaxation" differ from some of that issued by Johari as well as by Goldstein. It does not make sense for anyone to identify the view of these two illustrious colleagues on what we call the "JG  $\beta$ -relaxation" with ours. Under any circumstance, when others say that it is not a "JG  $\beta$ -relaxation" when referencing us like Lusceac et al. did for the "water-specific  $\beta$  process," they should justify it by experimental facts to counter our very own definition of "JG  $\beta$ -relaxation," and not what were written by Johari and Goldstein, jointly or separately. The experimental facts of the "water-specific  $\beta$  process" in hydrated proteins have been amply discussed, and clarification of the nature of secondary relaxation of water has been given above. There is no contradiction of the facts with our own definition of the "JG  $\beta$ -relaxation," and hence the "water-specific  $\beta$  process" is no more or no less than the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of water. The secondary relaxation of glycerol has been shown to cause the dynamic transition in glycerol-coated lysozyme (see Fig. 222, left and

right, and discussion). This secondary relaxation of glycerol satisfies all our criteria for it to be the "JG  $\beta$ -relaxation" according to our definition of this term [120, 195, 239, 424], and its motion is not the same as that of water.

The deuteron NMR experiment of Lusceac et al. has confirmed the process is a "water-specific  $\beta$  process" at temperatures *above* and *below*  $T_g$ . This fact contradicts the interpretations of others in [1105–1108, 1111]. One interpretation [1107, 1108, 1111] proposed that at high temperatures above  $T_g$ , water reorientation is an  $\alpha$ -relaxation. Another proposed that it is a merged  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -process above  $T_g$  [1105, 1106]. These interpretations also contradict the monotonic increase of the dielectric strength of the water relaxation with temperature above and below  $T_g$ , which is the signature of secondary relaxation. On the other hand, it is well known that the dielectric strength of  $\alpha$ -relaxation has the opposite temperature dependence. There are ample experimental evidence for the monotonic increase of the dielectric strength of the "water-specific  $\beta$  process" with temperature above  $T_g$  in aqueous mixtures (see Figs. 212 and 213 for example), and also in hydrated proteins [1112, 1317, 1358(a), 1358(c)].

Additional Proofs of the Primitive or JG β-Relaxation of Water Is Responsible for the Dynamic Transition Observed by Neutron Scattering and Mössbauer Spectroscopy in Hydrated Proteins and Enzymes

## Combining Data from Hydrated Biomolecules, Aqueous Mixtures, and Nanoconfined Water

The most convincing way we can demonstrate that the fast water-specific relaxation observed in hydrated biomolecules is the primitive or JG β-relaxation of water is to compare their relaxation times  $\tau_{\rm W}$  shown before in Fig. 233-1 with the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation times of the water component in several aqueous mixtures and water nanoconfined. Some of the data of  $\tau_{\rm W}$  are shown again in the left panel of Fig. 233-2 with large symbols or thick lines to distinguish them from those of  $\tau_{IG}$  of water in various mixtures and in confinement. Added are the data of  $\tau_{\rm W}$  found in hydrated collagen and elastin [1322(a)], shown here by the thick line with Arrhenius temperature dependence in the measurement temperature range faithfully reproduced. The adiabatic calorimetry data of water confined in molecular sieves and silica gels are added to that of the hydrated BSA on the line with  $\tau = 10^3$  s. The small open and closed pale blue diamonds are  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{IG}$  of 35 wt% of water mixture with triethylene glycol, and are shown here to reemphasize the generally observed change of T-dependence of  $\tau_{JG}$  at  $T_g$  of aqueous mixtures as well as the hydrated BSA [1316, 1317], myoglobin [1318, 1319], and lysozyme [1358]. The solutes in the aqueous mixtures include PVP, PEHMA, PVME, PVA, PEG, PEI, and 1-propanol, and water is confined in MCM-41, and graphite oxide. High-frequency dielectric data of bulk water is also included in the plot. All data shown here have been discussed before.

We can see from the figure that, when  $\tau_W$  of the water-specific process in hydrated biomolecules becomes short (<10<sup>-4</sup> s for the examples here), it is nearly indistinguishable from  $\tau_{JG}$  of aqueous mixtures and confined water. At low



**Fig. 233-2**  $\log_{10}(\tau)$  vs. 1000/*T*. (*Left*) The *larger symbols* are  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{W}$  of the hydrated BSA and lysozyme as in Fig. 233-1. Data of Swenson et al. and Chen et al. therein are omitted here. The thick magenta solid line is VFTH fit to the relaxation time,  $\tau_c$ , of reorientational motion of heavy water ( $D_2O$ ) in  $D_2O$ -hydrated myoglobin (0.35 g/g) from deuteron NMR. The relaxation times from neutron scattering of hydrated lysozyme are now represented by red closed circles. The thick line is  $\tau_{\rm W}$  of hydrated collagen and elastin. All smaller symbols and thinner lines are for  $\tau_{JG}$  or  $\tau_{\nu}$  of aqueous mixtures and confined water. (1) 35 wt% water mixture with 3EG (faint blue open diamonds for  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and closed diamond for  $\tau_{\rm JG}$ ), 50 wt% water mixture with PVME (red closed squares), 50 wt% water with PVP (blue open square), 38.6 wt% of water with PHEMA (brown open triangles), 20 wt% water with 1-propanol (black •). At times shorter than  $10^{-10}$ s are  $\tau_{JG}$  of 90 wt% water with polyvinyl alcohol (pale blue squares with × inside), 80 wt% water with PVP at short times (*blue open squares*), and bulk water at short times (*brown open circles*). The long thinner dashed line is the Arrhenius fit to  $\tau_{IG}$  of 20% of water nanoconfined in graphite oxide from dielectric relaxation, actual data not shown, and green open circles with  $\tau_{IG} < 10^{-9}$  s are from neutron scattering. Dielectric data of relaxation time of water confined in MCM-41 with pore diameter 2.14 nm at hydration levels of 22 wt% (green  $\mathbf{V}$ ). The horizontal dashed line indicates  $\tau_W = 10^{-9}$  s, the upper bound of the time window of neutron scattering instrument having energy resolution of 1 µeV. (Right) All data shown in the left panel together with the following additions. Water JG or water-specific relaxation times  $\tau_{\rm IG}$  from the neutron scattering from [1354(c)], dielectric relaxation [1105], Mössbauer spectroscopy [1354(c)], and NMR of hydrated myoglobin [1322(c)], and neutron scattering from hydration water on C-phycocyanin (C-PC) [1395(a)]. For  $\tau_{\rm JG}$  shorter than 10<sup>-6</sup> s, the new additions overlap the previous ones and cannot be resolved, indicating that  $\tau_{IG}$  are approximately the same for different proteins and hydrophilic solutes. Thus, the water JG relaxation is responsible for the dynamic transition observed by neutron scattering and Mössbauer spectroscopy

temperatures in the glassy state, the deviations of the dielectric  $\tau_W$  of water in hydrated BSA from  $\tau_{JG}$  are noteworthy but the deviations are probably due to large uncertainty in determining  $\tau_W$  from the very broad loss peaks in the spectra. Nevertheless, the adiabatic  $\tau_W$  of hydrated BSA is in good agreement with the averaged  $\tau_{JG}$  from various aqueous mixtures and confined water. In the right panel of Fig. 233-1, more data of the relaxation time of the process responsible for the dynamic transition observed by neutron scattering and Mössbauer spectroscopy of hydrated myoglobin and neutron scattering of C-phycocyanin (C-PC) are added. These new data cannot be resolved from each other in the short-time regime, supporting the conclusion made before.

## The Dynamic Transition Temperature $T_d$ is Nearly the Same for Hydrated Biomolecule with Different Chemical Structures when Measured by Spectrometers Having the Same Resolution

The dynamic transition is exhibited by a crossover from a weak to a stronger temperature dependence of the MSD at some temperature  $T_d$ . A lower  $T_d$  is found for the same hydrated biomolecule from the MSD obtained by spectrometer having longer observation time window. This trend is best illustrated by neutron scattering data of hydrated heparan sulfate, an important cell surface polysaccharide, obtained by three spectrometers, IN6, IN13, and IN16, on three different timescales  $t_{exp}$  of 10 ps, 100 ps, and a few ns, respectively [1370(b)]. The MSD of the dry HS, 0.15 g D<sub>2</sub>O/g HS (HS-0.15 g/g) and 0.43 g D<sub>2</sub>O/g HS (HS-0.43 g/g) samples are shown here in Fig. 233-3 from (A) to (C).  $T_d$  of the dynamic transition observed in the 0.43 g



**Fig. 233-3** Counter-clockwise. (A)–(C) Mean-squared displacements of hydrated heparan sulfate (HS) extracted for the dry HS, 0.15 g D<sub>2</sub>O/g HS (HS-0.15 g/g) and 0.43 g D<sub>2</sub>O/g HS (HS-0.43 g/g) samples from measurements using, respectively, IN6 (~10 ps), IN13 (~100 ps), and IN16 (few ns). Reproduced from [1370(b)] by permission. (D) Mean-squared displacement of 24 wt% hydrated ribonuclease (RNase) measured by the Fermi Chopper spectrometer at NIST with resolution of 140  $\mu$ eV comparable to IN6 with resolution of 90  $\mu$ eV. Reproduced from [1345(b)] by permission. The *arrow* indicates the location of *T*<sub>d</sub> for each case

D<sub>2</sub>O/g HS (HS-0.43 g/g) sample are suggested by the locations of the arrows in panels (A)–(C). The decrease of  $T_d$  on increasing the long time limit of the observation time window is clear. This trend has been explained by the so-called "frequency-window model" as an instrumental energy resolution effect [1364(b)]. That is, the increase of the MSD on increasing *T* past  $T_d$  is caused by a relaxation process entering the time window of the instrument. The relaxation process according to us is the primitive or JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of hydration water. As discussed before, this relaxation is coupled by hydrogen bonding to the biomolecules, and that is why the dynamics transition can be observed in the 0.43 g D<sub>2</sub>O/g HS (HS-0.43 g/g) samples.

Panel (D) of Fig. 233-3 shows the MSD of 24 wt% hydrated ribonuclease (RNase) measured by the Fermi Chopper spectrometer at NIST with resolution of 140  $\mu$ eV comparable to IN6 with resolution of 90  $\mu$ eV [1345(b)]. Despite the difference of the two biomolecules,  $T_d$  is about 260 K, the same for both HS-0.43 g/g and the hydrated RNase. It can be seen from Fig. 233-2 at T = 260 K, the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation time of the hydration water  $\tau_{JG}$  starts to become comparable to the 10 ps timescale  $t_{exp}$  of these spectrometers (see Fig. 233-2). The same can be said for  $T_d \sim 240$  K and  $T_d \sim 225 - 230$  K from the MSD obtained by IN13 and IN16, respectively, on HS-0.43 g/g. Again from Fig. 233-2, the most probable JG  $\beta$ -relaxation time starts to become comparable to 100 ps and ns at 240 and 225 K, respectively. Hence from these data, it seems that the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation causes the dynamic transition.

The effect of the instrumental energy resolution on the MSD obtained by elasticincoherent neutron scattering has also been demonstrated in partially deuterated lysozymes hydrated by D<sub>2</sub>O, and H<sub>2</sub>O at h = 0.4 [1380(b)]. Data were obtained for the same sample both by the IN13 and the IN10 spectrometers. The elastic energy resolution (FWHM) of IN13 was 8 µeV, which corresponds to an elastic time resolution of 500 ps, and the MSD of the hydrated lysozyme shows the dynamic transition at  $T_d \approx 240$  K. On the other hand, the elastic energy resolution (FWHM) of the IN10 spectrometer was 1 µeV, which corresponds to an elastic time resolution of 4000 ps, and the MSD of the same hydrated lysozyme shows the dynamic transition at a lower  $T_d \approx 220$  K.

Next we compare the MSD of three different hydrated biomolecules and disaccharide aqueous mixtures all obtained from neutron scattering using IN13 in Fig. 233-4. Panel A is the MSD of protonated C-PC samples embedded by deuterated trehalose/D<sub>2</sub>O at different ratios as a function of temperature [1373] shown before in Fig. 224. Panel B presents the MSD of trehalose–, maltose–, and sucrose– 19H<sub>2</sub>O and –19D<sub>2</sub>O mixtures [1361(a) and (b)]. Panel C gives the total MSD of single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) at 0 and 0.55 h, and double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) at 0 and 0.55 h. Panel D gives the MSD of ferric horse myoglobin (met–Mb) hydrated with D<sub>2</sub>O at 20% level, and also the MSD when it was encapsulated in silica gel. Although no uniform criterion can be used to determine  $T_d$ , its values are about the same (230–240 K) for all four systems. There is true dynamic transition at  $T_d \approx 230 - 240$  K for the three hydrated biomolecules (Panels A, C, and D). However, the observed change of *T*-dependence of MSD of the disaccharide aqueous mixtures (Panel B) should not be considered as dynamic transition equivalent



**Fig. 233-4** MSD of different hydrated biomolecules and aqueous mixtures of three disaccharides obtained by IN13 with energy resolution (FWHM) of 8  $\mu$ eV, which gives access to dynamics on a timescale above 150 ps and up to 400 ps. (a) MSD of protonated C-PC samples embedded by deuterated trehalose/D<sub>2</sub>O at different ratios as a function of temperature (40–318 K). Reproduced from [1373] by permission. (b) Temperature dependence of the MSD for trehalose–, maltose–, and sucrose–H<sub>2</sub>O and –D<sub>2</sub>O mixtures. Reproduced from [1361] by permission. (c) Temperature dependence of the total MSD for single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) at 0 h (*empty squares*), ssDNA at 0.55 h (*empty circles*), double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) at 0 h (*full squares*) and dsDNA at 0.55 h (*full circles*). The *lower dashed line* is the Gaussian contribution  $< u^2 >_G$  to the total MSD of dsDNA at 0 h. Reproduced from [1346(c)] by permission. (d) Temperature dependence of the MSD of ferric horse myoglobin (met–Mb) hydrated with D<sub>2</sub>O at 20% level. *Filled circles*: met–Mb encapsulated in silica gel; *open squares*: met–Mb hydrated powder. MSD obtained using the dynamical heterogeneity model, for methyl hydrogens. Reproduced from [1362] by permission

to that observed in the hydrated biomolecules where  $T_d$  is higher than  $T_g$ . This is because Magazu and coworkers [1363(a) and (b)] found in the disaccharide aqueous mixtures that the observed  $T_d$  is the same as  $T_g \approx 238$  K for the trehalose mixture,  $\approx 235$  K for the maltose mixture, and  $\approx 233$  K for the sucrose mixture, as previously determined by experiment [1221]. In many other glassformers, starting from low temperatures, on increasing temperature the MSD measured by neutron scattering exhibits a marked increase after crossing  $T_g$  [114,115(a),507,509,510], and is explained by the sensitivity to change from glassy state to the liquid state at  $T_g$  of either the nearly constant loss (NCL) from caged dynamics [507, see Section 2.3.2.34] or very fast secondary relaxation (see Section (2.3.2.15)). This is exactly the origin of the change in *T*-dependence of the MSD found by Magazu and coworkers [1373] in the disaccharide aqueous mixtures. In the disaccharide aqueous mixtures, the change is *not* due to the water JG relaxation entering the time window of the IN13 spectrometer at 230–240 K, while it is the case for the JG relaxation of the hydration water in hydrated proteins. In fact dielectric relaxation data of aqueous mixture of trehalose [1140(c)] at the same concentration as Magazú et al. show the water JG relaxation times  $\tau_{\rm JG}$  at  $T_{\rm g} = 238$  K is two decades longer than the timescale  $t_{exp} \sim 100$  ps of the IN13 spectrometer used. Dielectric data of aqueous mixture of sucrose at slight lower concentration also indicate the same situation [1103]. Thus the water JG relaxation cannot explain the change of T-dependence at  $T_{\rm g}$  of the MSD observed in the aqueous mixtures of trehalose and sucrose, which nevertheless has an explanation from the NCL. Trehalose and sucrose have a fast  $\gamma$ -relaxation with short relaxation time  $\tau_{\gamma}$  but not short enough at 230–240 K to contribute to the MSD [1012(c)]. Only if  $\tau_{\gamma}$  becomes much shorter at 230–240 K to become comparable to  $t_{exp} \sim 100$  ps when trehalose and sucrose are dissolved in water will the y-relaxation contribute to the observed change of the MSD Tdependence at  $T_g$  of these aqueous mixtures, but at this time there is no evidence for this.

The change of T-dependence of the MSD of glassformers at  $T_g$  is usually mild [114,115(a),507,509,510]. On the other hand, the MSD of the aqueous disaccharide mixtures exhibits much stronger increase at temperatures above  $T_g$  (see Panel B). This feature may be due to the translation motion of the water JG relaxation in the mixtures when the relaxation times enter the time window of the IN13 spectrometer at some temperature  $T_d$  higher than  $T_g$ , and it is the true analogue of the dynamic transition seen in hydrated biomolecules [1361(d)]. Thus the MSD data actually show two crossovers of T-dependence, one at  $T_g$  due to NCL and another one at a higher temperature  $T_d$  due to the water JG relaxation in the mixtures. The MSD data of aqueous glucose mixtures from Di Bari et al. [1346(e)] obtained also by IN13 support this interpretation as well as <sup>1</sup>H-NMR measurements [1346(f)] by Van den Dries et al. Their data of aqueous glucose mixtures shown in Fig. 233-4'(a) clearly show the larger change of T-dependence of the MSD occurs at 20 or more degrees higher than  $T_{\rm g}$ , and has to be associated with the dynamic transition at  $T_{\rm d}$ . Additional confirmation that this is indeed the dynamic transition can be taken from the IN13 neutron scattering data of lysozyme embedded in glucose-water matrices published by Cornicchi et al. in [1346(b)] as a function of the water content [Fig. 233-4'(b)]. Although there are some uncertainties in the values of  $T_d$  for lysozyme embedded in glucose-water reported in Fig. 233-4'(a), on increasing water content, the observed trend of decreasing  $T_d$  is consistent with the expected decrease of the water JG relaxation time. The dynamic transition temperatures  $T_d$  given by Cornicchi et al. are nearly the same as that of the aqueous glucose mixtures found by Di Bari et al. using the same IN13 spectrometer, and by Van den Dries et al. from <sup>1</sup>H-NMR measurements [see Fig. 233-4'(a)]. The finding that  $T_d$  for lysozyme embedded in glucose–water is nearly the same as  $T_d$  follows from two established facts: (1) the coupling of lysozyme to the glucose-water solvent and (2) the water JG relaxation time of the solvent when shorter than 150 ps resolution of IN13 is insensitive to whether with or without lysozyme at sufficiently high concentration of water. The



**Fig. 233-4'a** Dynamic transition temperatures  $T_d$  for lysozyme embedded in glucose–water matrices from [1346(b)] by Cornicchi et al. (•) and for glucose–water matrices (o) from [1346(e)] by Di Bari et al. as a function of the water content obtained from IN13 neutron scattering spectroscopy with energy resolution of 9  $\mu$ eV (FWHM) make accessible motions faster than ~150 ps. For comparison, the critical temperatures  $T_c$  of glucose–water mixtures (\*) obtained from <sup>1</sup>H-NMR measurements [1346(f)] by Van den Dries et al. are also reported. The *continuous line* represents the glass transition temperatures  $T_g$  of glucose–water systems determined by differential scanning calorimetry [1220] and dielectric relaxation technique [1241]. The *dashed line* is guide for the eye



latter has been shown before in Fig. 233-2 for hydrated proteins and for aqueous hydrophilic solute mixtures in Fig. 217.

According to our interpretation, the dynamic transition of proteins and biomolecules embedded in a solvent containing water originates from the water JG relaxation time entering the time window of the nuclear scattering spectrometer when temperature is increased above  $T_{\rm d}$ . This explanation implies that dynamic transition is also observed in the water-containing solvent even without the presence of the protein or biomolecule. Also, at sufficiently high concentration of water in the solvent, the dynamic transition temperature  $T_{\rm d}$  should not be too different for the two cases. These natural consequences of the explanation are consistent with the observation of dynamic transition with similar values of  $T_{\rm d}$  in the glucose–water by Di Bari et al. and in the lysozyme embedded in glucose-water by Cornicchi et al. The same should apply to the case of pure water as the solvent. Although experiment cannot be performed on bulk water due to intervention by crystallization, the dynamics of water confined in a hydrophobic mesoporous carbon material has been studied by incoherent quasielastic neutron scattering [1289(f)]. This study by Chu et al. found change of T-dependence of the MSD-like dynamic transition of hydrated biomolecules in the neighborhood of 200-210 K using the HFBS spectrometer at NIST with an energy resolution of 0.8  $\mu$ eV (FWHM). The temperature 200–210 K is comparable to  $T_{\rm d} \sim 210 - 220$  K found for lysozyme:water (70:30) by Tsai et al. [1345(a)] using the same HFBS spectrometer at NIST (see Fig. 222, right), and  $T_{\rm d} \sim 220$  K found for hydration water of maltose binding protein (D-MBP-H<sub>2</sub>O) by Wood et al. using IN16 with resolution of 0.9  $\mu$ eV (FWHM) [1363] (see Fig. 225, right).

On the left side of Fig. 233-5 in panel (b) is the classic MSD of the nonexchangeable hydrogens in D<sub>2</sub>O-hydrated myoglobin (0.35 g/g) measured with the backscattering spectrometer IN13 by Doster. Only the collective Gaussian component is shown, the methyl group contribution was subtracted [1354(c)]. According to Doster, only processes faster than 50 ps contribute to the displacements, and this is consistent with  $T_d \sim 240$  K observed. Mössbauer spectroscopy data of myoglobin heme iron in myoglobin covered by two different solvents shown in panel (a) will be discussed in the next subsection.

The top panel on the right side of Fig. 233-5 show the T-dependence of MSD of maltose binding protein (MBP) hydration water in the D-MBP-H<sub>2</sub>O sample from measurements using IN5 (filled circles) by Paciaroni et al. [1346(d)], and compare with the MSD of the same sample obtained by using IN16 (empty circles) and from molecular dynamics simulations (stars) by Wood et al. [1363], shown before in Fig. 225. The MSD of D-MBP-H<sub>2</sub>O coming from the picoseconds time-window of IN5 and the nanosecond time-window of IN16 appeared to Paciaroni et al. to have the dynamic transition occurring at nearly the same temperature. The following is the statement made by them in [1346(d)]: "Quite interestingly, if one looks at the MSD, as estimated in both of the spectrometers, the dynamical onset of the protein hydration water motions takes place at around the same temperature." If this conclusion is correct, then it is the first example contradicting many other experiments showing that  $T_d$  decreases with the larger time-window of the experiments such as in Fig. 233-3 for hydrated heparan sulfate (HS) [1370(b)]. In the same panel of Fig. 233-5, Paciaroni et al. present the MSD of MBP(H) +  $D_2O$  (filled squares) measured on IN5, and the dynamic transition occurs at higher temperature with  $T_{\rm d}$  equal to or large than 250 K. The large difference between D-MBP- $H_2O$  and MBP(H) +



Fig. 233-5 (Left) (a) Mean-squared displacements of the heme iron of myoglobin measured with Mössbauer spectroscopy in various solvents: full circles: *line* is a fit assuming that the  $\alpha$ -relaxation water crosses the experimental time window of IN13. Instrumental time window. Reproduced from [1354(c)] by permission. (*Right, upper panel*) Mean-squared displacements vs. T of MBP hydration water, as calculated from measurements on IN5 (full circles), on IN16 1363] (empty circles), and from molecular dynamics simulations [1363] (stars), together with MSD of MBP(H) + D<sub>2</sub>O (full squares) measured on IN5. The experimental MSD of hydration water were corrected for the contribution of exchangeable protein protons. The MSD reported by Wood et al. [1363] had been recalculated and rescaled for comparison. (Right, lower panel) MSD of MBP(H)+D2O measured on IN5 (full circles) and on IN16 (empty triangles). Data The experimental timescale is 140 ns. (b) Mean-squared displacements of the non-exchangeable hydrogens in D<sub>2</sub>O-hydrated myoglobin (0.35 g/g) measured with the backscattering spectrometer IN13 (ILL). Only the collective Gaussian component is shown, the methyl group contribution was subtracted. The full water in myoglobin crystals, squares: 75% glycerol-water, and triangles: 80% sucrose-water solution. The viscosity at the onset temperatures is indicated from [1346(d)] are replotted

D<sub>2</sub>O in their values of  $T_d$  from MSD measured by IN5 also contradicts that  $T_d$  is the same from MSD measured by IN16 [1363], as well as the belief that the dynamics of the protein and the hydration water are coupled as far as the dynamic transition phenomenon is concerned. Before accepting this exception proposed by Paciaroni et al. as a matter of fact, one should notice that there is no MSD data of D-MBP-H<sub>2</sub>O obtained by IN5 (filled circles) in the critical temperature range from 225 to 250 K. Without data filling in this critical *T*-range, the actual  $T_d$  of D-MBP-H<sub>2</sub>O from IN5 experiment is still unknown and the exception to the rule may not be real. On the other hand for MBP(H) + D<sub>2</sub>O, the  $T_d$  obtained from IN16 is evidently lower than that from IN5. This normal behavior can be seen in the lower panel on the right side of Fig. 233-5.

The MSD of three different hydrated biomolecules from ns – ps motions obtained by IN16 are presented in Fig. 233-6. Panel A are the MSD extracted by linear regression from the Guinier plots in the low *Q*-range (0.19 Å<sup>2</sup> <  $Q^2$  < 1.13 Å<sup>2</sup>) for samples of human serum butyrylcholinesterase (HuBChE) including HuBChE-H<sub>2</sub>O, HuBChE-D<sub>2</sub>O, and HuBChE-dry from [1364(c)]. Human serum butyrylcholinesterase is a homotetrameric sialoglycoprotein synthesized in the liver. Panel B are the MSD of wild-type (WT), the AA, and AA+Y RC mutants from *Rhodobacter sphaeroides*, compared to the buffer (D<sub>2</sub>O, 0.1% Triton X-100 detergent, HEPES 10 mM, pD 7.93 (pH 7.5)) from [1365]. Panel C are MSD in maltose binding protein samples, H-MBP-D<sub>2</sub>O and D-MBP-H<sub>2</sub>O shown before in Fig. 225. Panel D are MSD of 0.4 g D<sub>2</sub>O g<sup>-1</sup> hydrated lysozyme taken from the work of Zanotti, Gibrat, and Bellissent-Funel [1347]. Nearly the same  $T_d \sim 220 - 225$  K is found for the four different hydrated biomolecules. At this temperature, the relaxation time of the hydration water  $\tau_{JG}$  matches the timescale of the IN16 spectrometer  $t_{exp}$  of the order of ns, as can be verified by inspection of Fig. 233-2.

Nearly the same  $T_d$  is found in widely different hydrated biomolecules by using the same spectrometer or different spectrometers with the same timescale. This fact is good indication of the relaxation process responsible for the dynamic transition being insensitive to the biomolecule. Since these hydrated biomolecules have different  $T_g$  and hence very different  $\tau_{\alpha}$  at the same temperature, the fact rules out the involvement of the α-relaxation in the dynamic transition. The primitive or JG βrelaxation time of the hydration water is a strong candidate for the relaxation process because not only are its relaxation times  $\tau_{IG}$  nearly independent of the biomolecules when shorter than 10 ns (as demonstrated before in Fig. 233-2 for various hydrated biomolecules, aqueous mixtures, and confined water), but also at  $T_d$  they match the timescale  $t_{exp}$  of the spectrometer used for all the hydrated biomolecules considered here. However, even at timescales shorter than 10 ns, the relaxation times  $\tau_{JG}$ do depend on the chemical structure of the hydrated biomolecule because of the coupling between the hydrated water with it. We have seen ample examples of this dependence from the data of  $\tau_{IG}$  in aqueous mixtures, albeit usually the data were taken at longer times than 10 ns. For hydrated biomolecules this dependence is in accord with the spread of  $\tau_{JG}$  from the collection of experimental data shown in Fig. 233-7 as well as the data published by Khodadadi et al. [1358(d)].



Fig. 233-6 MSD from IN16. (a) MSD of HuBChE-H<sub>2</sub>O, HuBChE-D<sub>2</sub>O, and HuBChE-dry. Reproduced by permission from [1364(c)]. (b) MSD of wild-type (WT) (closed squares) and the AA (open circles) and AA+Y (open triangles) RC mutants from R. sphaeroides, compared to the buffer (D<sub>2</sub>O, 0.1% Triton X-100 detergent, HEPES 10 mM, pD 7.93 (pH 7.5)). Error bars are only shown for the WT RC but are of similar magnitude for the mutant proteins. The dots correspond to the solvent and detergent alone measured and analyzed under the same conditions. The solid line is a linear fit of the lower temperature portion of MSD common to all proteins, and dashed *lines* indicate the differing slopes of MSD for the mutants and the WT above  $T_d$ , the dynamical transition temperature (approximately 220–230 K for all three proteins). This change is attributed to the onset of anharmonicity and diffusive dynamics. Above  $T_d$ , until the melting temperature  $(277 \text{ K in } D_2O)$ , larger amplitude motions, corresponding to increased flexibility, are found for the mutated proteins. Reproduced from [1365] by permission. (c) MSD of ns – ps motions in maltose binding protein (H-MBP-D<sub>2</sub>O sample; gray circles) and in its hydration water (D-MBP-H<sub>2</sub>O sample; *black diamonds*). Dynamical transitions (changes in slope of temperature-dependent mean-squared displacements) in the protein and in its hydration water take place at similar temperatures ( $\sim$ 220 K). Reproduced from [1363] by permission. (d) Dynamic transition in 0.4 g D<sub>2</sub>O g<sup>-1</sup> hydrated lysozyme.  $T_d = 220$  K was chosen by the authors of [1347]. Reproduced from [1347] by permission

## Primitive or JG $\beta$ -Relaxation of Hydration Water Mistaken as $\alpha$ -Relaxation of Hydrated Protein

The role of the primitive or JG  $\beta$ -relaxation (or water-specific secondary relaxation) of hydration water in causing the dynamic transition as well as the connection it


**Fig. 233-7** Plots of  $\log_{10}(\tau)$  vs. 1000/*T* for various systems: for 20 wt% water–BSA mixture,  $\tau_{\alpha}$ (large blue closed triangles) and  $\tau_{v}$  (large closed blue squares); for 40 wt% water–BSA mixture,  $\tau_{\alpha}$  (large open green triangles), and  $\tau_{\nu}$  not shown. The large symbols on top all having relaxation times of  $10^3$  s are from adiabatic calorimetric measurements of a 20% (w/w) aqueous solution of bovine serum albumin. The two larger circles connected by the thick line indicate the range of a slower relaxation found in the quenched sample. The open square is the relaxation occurring at T = 110 K found for the quenched and annealed samples. The other circle ( $\otimes$ ) next to it represents the relaxation time of the secondary relaxation of water confined within 1.1, 1.6, and 1.8 nm nanopores of molecular sieves (silica MCM-41) obtained by adiabatic calorimetry having the value of 10<sup>3</sup> s at T = 115. For hydrated lysozyme,  $\tau_v$  (green  $\star$ ). The relaxation times from neutron scattering of hydrated lysozyme are now represented by large red closed circles. The thick straight *line* is  $\tau_v$  of hydrated collagen and elastin (Arrhenius fit to data only). Water-specific relaxation times  $\tau_{v}$  of hydrated myoglobin from neutron scattering (*medium black closed circles*, look like open circles because of overlaps with other data), Mössbauer spectroscopy (single large inverted black triangle), dielectric relaxation (large closed red triangles), and NMR (large  $\times$ ). Neutron scattering data of hydration water on C-phycocyanin (C-PC) (large closed squares). For  $\tau_v$  shorter than  $10^{-6}$  s, the new additions overlap the previous ones and cannot be resolved, indicating that  $\tau_{\nu}$  are approximately the same for different proteins and hydrophilic solutes. Thus, the water JG relaxation is responsible for the dynamic transition observed by neutron scattering and Mössbauer spectroscopy. The horizontal dashed line indicates  $\tau = 10^{-9}$  s, roughly the upper bound of the time window of neutron scattering instrument having energy resolution of 1 µeV. All smaller sym*bols* and *thinner lines* are for  $\tau_{JG}$  or  $\tau_{\nu}$  of aqueous mixtures and confined water. (1) 50 wt% water mixture with 3EG (faint blue open diamonds for  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and closed diamond for  $\tau_{\nu}$ ), 50 wt% water mixture with PVME (red closed squares), 50 wt% water with PVP (blue open squares), 38.6 wt% of water with PHEMA (brown open triangles), 20 wt% water with 1-propanol (black •). At times shorter than  $10^{-10}$  s are  $\tau_v$  of 90 wt% water with poly(vinyl alcohol) (*pale blue squares* with  $\times$ inside), 80 wt% water with PVP at short times (blue open squares), and bulk water at short times (brown open circles). The long thinner dashed line is the Arrhenius fit to  $\tau_{JG}$  or  $\tau_{\nu}$  of 20% of water nanoconfined in graphite oxide from dielectric relaxation, actual data not shown, and green open circles with  $\tau_{\nu} < 10^{-9}$  s are from neutron scattering. Dielectric data of relaxation time of water confined in MCM-41 with pore diameter 2.14 nm at hydration levels of 22 wt% (red inverted triangles)

has with the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of the hydrated protein is made clear by the various experimental facts presented in this section. However, at this time, the identity of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of hydration water and the role it plays in the dynamics of the hydrated biomolecule are not recognized or appreciated by the research community. An example is given here to illustrate the common misconception of the primitive or JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of hydration water.

The right panel of Fig. 233-8 is a reproduction of a figure in [1354(c)] by Doster. It is the Arrhenius plot of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation rate  $k_{\rm S} \equiv 1/\tau_{\alpha}$  deduced from viscosity measurements and specific heat spectroscopy of various solvents: 80% sucrose-water (diamonds magenta), 90% glycerol-water (blue triangles), 75% glycerol-water (green squares), 60% ethylene glycol-water (red circles), and hydration water of myoglobin (HW). For the present purpose in this subsection, we consider only the  $k_{\rm S} \equiv 1/\tau_{\alpha}$  data of hydration water of myoglobin (HW) in this figure. The black closed circles are  $k_{\rm S}$  determined by Doster with neutron scattering, open triangles are from dielectric relaxation by Swenson et al. of hydrated myoglobin with 0.8 g water per g protein [1105], the lone inverted closed triangle is from Mössbauer spectroscopy [1354(b), 1318], the two open diamonds at the bottom are taken from calorimetry of hydrated myoglobin with  $T_g = 170$  K [1354(c)]. Doster also referenced calorimetry data of myoglobin crystal [1351(b)] and hydrated bovine serum albumin (BSA) [1281(b)] in his figure caption, but I do not understand the connection of the figure to these data. The lone right pointing triangle of HW was not described by Doster [1354(c)]. The fits of these data of HW to a VFTH equation are also shown (full lines). Doster considered all of these data as the reciprocal of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  of the myoglobin hydration water. The VFTH fit exhibits prominent curvature, and this has led Doster [1354(c)] to proclaim that "Water is a highly fragile liquid." This statement is incorrect because Doster mixed up the cooperative  $\alpha$ -relaxation of the hydrated myoglobin responsible for glass transition with the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of the hydration water (or the water-specific secondary relaxation). This point becomes clear when the complete set of dielectric relaxation data are included as shown in the left panel of Fig. 233-8.

The same symbols are used in the left panel of Fig. 233-8 for all the relaxation time data of HW presented by Doster in the right panel. All of them are black in color and large in size to distinguish them from added data from other sources. Most cogent are the large red closed triangles, which are the water-specific secondary relaxation times of hydrated myoglobin from dielectric measurements by Swenson et al. [1105]. The red closed triangles should be exactly the same as the large black open triangles (corresponding to those shown by Doster in the right panel) at the same temperature. The slight differences between the two are due to digitizing the same data from the figure of Doster [1354(c)] and from the publication of the original data by Swenson et al. [1105]. It is clear from the left panel of Fig. 233-8 that Doster took only the higher temperature part of the complete set of the dielectric relaxation time of the hydration water relaxation (large black open triangles) and considered them to be  $\tau_{\alpha}$  of the myoglobin hydration water. The data continuing with Arrhenius *T*-dependence down to lower temperatures, which has the signature of secondary relaxation, are not considered. If there is a reason for Doster to do



and specific heat spectroscopy of various solvents: 80% sucrose-water (diamonds magenta), 90% glycerol-water (blue triangles), 75% glycerol-water (green squares), 60% ethylene glycol-water (red circles), and hydration water of myoglobin (HW). For the hydrated myoglobin, the black closed circles are from neutron scattering, open triangles are from dielectric relaxation, the lone inverted closed triangle is from Mössbauer spectroscopy, the two open diamonds at he bottom are from calorimetry. The lone right pointing triangle of HW was not described by Doster [1354(c)]. The fits to a VFTH equation are also shown full lines). For the source of the data and the parameters of the VFTH fits, see [1354(a), (c)]. (Left) The same data of HW together with the VFTH fit in the left panel are reproduced in Arrhenius plot of  $\tau_{\alpha} \equiv 1/k_s$  shown in the main figure. Same symbols all black in color and with large size are used for ease in distinguishing them from the other data. The latter are described as follows. Large size red closed triangles are the full set of dielectric data obtained by Swenson et al. [1105], only part of which were used by Doster (large open black triangles). The smaller size red open triangles are water JG relaxation or water-specific secondary relaxation from myoglobin at hydration level of h = 0.33 [1112]. The *inverted open* and *closed triangles* are, respectively, the water IG relaxation time  $\tau_{1G}$  and the presumably  $\tau_{\alpha}$  of 0.8 g of water/g of myoglobin [1109]. The NMR relaxation times of hydrated myoglobin are represented by Fig. 233-8 (*Right*) This is a reproduction of Fig. 3 of [1354(c)] by W. Doster. It is the Arrhenius plot of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation rate  $k_3 \equiv 1/\tau_{\alpha}$  from viscosity he multiplication signs [1322(c)]. The closed squares are from neutron scattering from hydration water on C-phycocyanin (C-PC) [1395(a)] this, it is perhaps he took the suggestion of Swenson and coworkers [1112] that the observed dielectric relaxation is a  $\beta$ -relaxation below  $T_{g}$ , and a merged  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ relaxation of water above  $T_g$ . This suggestion of Swenson and coworkers has been discussed before and shown to be untenable. To reinforce this in the present case, the relaxation times of two relaxation processes observed by Swenson and coworkers in 0.8 g of water/g of myoglobin [1109] are shown in the left panel of Fig. 233-8 by the inverted purple open and inverted closed triangles. The hydration level of the myoglobin in this publication [1109] is the same as the sample in [1105], and naturally the relaxation times of the faster relaxation (open inverted triangles) are practically the same as the secondary relaxation (large closed red triangles) from [1105]. The relaxation time of the slower process (inverted closed triangles) reaches 100 s at about 175 K consistent with the calorimetry data (open diamonds), and hence it is the cooperative  $\alpha$ -relaxation of the hydrated myoglobin. In the latest publication of dielectric study of hydrated myoglobin by Swenson and coworkers, they found again the slow and the fast processes at hydration level of h = 0.33 [1112]. The relaxation times of the two processes are shown by open and closed blue squares in the left panel of Fig. 233-8. The relaxation time of the slower process (closed blue squares) reaches 100 s at about 170 K, and thus is the cooperative α-relaxation of the hydrated myoglobin. Having identified the slow process to be the cooperative α-relaxation leading to glass transition at  $T_g \sim 170$  K, consistent with the calorimetry data (large open diamonds), the association of the selected faster water-specific relaxation time data (large open triangles) with the calorimetry data by Doster is questionable. The conclusion by Doster that "Water is a highly fragile liquid" is mistaken because it is obtained by mixing up the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of hydration water with the cooperative α-relaxation of the hydrated myoglobin. The simultaneous presence of the waterspecific relaxation responsible for the dynamic transitions and a slower relaxation responsible for the calorimetric glass transition is not unique to hydrated myoglobin. The hydrated bovine serum albumin (BSA) discussed before together with the data presented in Figs. 230–232 is such an example.

In the left panel of Fig. 233-8, the data represented by the multiplication signs are the relaxation times of the water-specific process in hydrated myoglobin obtained from NMR spectroscopy by Lusceac et al. [1322(c)]. They are in good agreement with the neutron scattering and dielectric relaxation data, and indicate they are the primitive or JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of the hydration water. Justification has been given before that the intermolecular coupling between water molecules themselves is small and practically zero at short relaxation times probed by neutron scattering and by the NMR spectroscopy. Hence the  $\alpha$ -relaxation or the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of the hydration water is close to each other, and NMR spectroscopy cannot tell them apart. Also, JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of water molecule itself can translate once the hydrogen bonds are broken to make its rotational motion isotropic like the characteristic of  $\alpha$ -relaxation. Therefore, for the relaxation observed at short times above  $T_g$  by NMR, it makes hardly any difference by calling it the  $\alpha$ -relaxation instead of the  $\beta$ -relaxation of the hydration water. We see no value in insisting that the relaxation has to be interpreted exclusively as the  $\alpha$ -process of hydration water above  $T_g$  by Lusceac et al.

Added to the left panel are relaxation times of hydration water on C-phycocyanin (C-PC) determined by neutron scattering [1395(a)]. Represented by closed brown squares, they are in agreement with the relaxation times of hydration water on myoglobin. This is another example of the insensitivity of the primitive or JG  $\beta$ -relaxation time of the hydration water to the biomolecule at high frequencies.

#### Glycerol-Water and Sucrose-Water as Solvents

The dynamic transition also was observed in myoglobin covered by solvents that are aqueous mixtures instead of pure water [1354(a)–(c)]. This has been shown before in the left panel (a) of Fig. 233-5 by the mean-squared displacements of the heme iron of CO-myoglobin (MbCO) measured with Mössbauer spectroscopy in 75% glycerol–water (75% G/W) and 80% sucrose–water (80% S/W) solution. The experimental timescale  $t_{exp}$  of Mössbauer spectroscopy is 140 ns. The viscosity  $\eta$  of the solvent at the onset temperatures  $T_d$  indicated in the figure shows that it has the very high value of  $6.4 \times 10^{11}$  poise and corresponding very long  $\tau_{\alpha}$  of order of 1 s for 80% S/W. This is clearly telling us that the dynamic transition at 140 ns timescale has nothing to do with the  $\alpha$ -relaxation or viscosity of the solvent in this case. On the other hand, Doster tried to overcome this difficulty of relating the dynamic transition to viscosity of the solvent by making the assumption: "These results suggest instead, that the viscosity near the protein surface differs from its bulk value."

Before we proceed to discuss the dynamic transition of myoglobin with 75% G/W and 80% S/W as solvents, I hasten to remind the reader that pure water as the solvent is a special case. As discussed in the above, there is hardly any difference between the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and the  $\beta$ -relaxation of the hydration water at the short timescale of neutron scattering. Hence, for H<sub>2</sub>O- or D<sub>2</sub>O-hydrated proteins, it is legitimate for Doster [1354(c)] to say that "the onset of the dynamical transition depends on the solvent viscosity near the protein surface." However, this statement does not apply generally for all solvents, an example is 80% S/W. According to us, the generally valid statement is "the onset of the dynamical transition depends on the primitive or JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of the solvent near the protein surface." To support our statement,  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and the  $\beta$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{JG}$  of the solvent are considered in relation to the dynamics transition of myoglobin found by Mössbauer spectroscopy when the solvent is either 75% G/W or 80% S/W. The relation can be gleaned from the Arrhenius plot of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{IG}$  of the two solvents in the left panel of Fig. 233-9. The  $\tau_{\alpha}$  of 80% S/W was taken from the VFTH equation given in [1354(a)]. The  $\beta$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{JG}$  of 80% S/W is not available, and instead  $\tau_{JG}$  of 70% S/W is shown by the thick dotted line obtained by dielectric relaxation spectroscopy [1103]. The actual data of  $\tau_{JG}$  of 70% S/W having this Arrhenius T-dependence were determined experimentally no shorter than about  $10^{-6.5}$  s. The horizontal dashed line locates  $t_{exp}$  of Mössbauer spectroscopy at 140 ns. The dynamic crossover temperature  $T_{\rm d} \approx 240$  K of myoglobin covered with 80% S/W [1354(b)] is indicated by the vertically pointing arrow on the left. It can be seen from the figure that at  $T_d$ ,  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is about  $10^{-2}$  s which is five orders of magnitude longer than  $t_{exp}$ , and hence cannot



**Fig. 233-9** (*Left*) Temperature dependence of the dielectric relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  (*open symbols*) and the corresponding  $\tau_{JG}$  of water (*closed symbols* of the same kind) of three mixtures of various wt% of water with glycerol. Triangles for 20 wt% water. Circles for 30 wt% water. Squares for 40 wt% water. Each down pointing vertical arrow is used to indicate the change of T-dependence of  $\tau_{JG}$  of water at  $T_g$  of the mixture with  $T_g$  defined by  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_g) = 10^3$  s. Note that the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of the mixture and the water JG relaxation merge together at short times and  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is practically the same as  $\tau_{JG}$ . The *dashed* and *solid lines* are the VFTH dependences of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  of the mixtures of glycerol with 25 wt% water and sucrose with 20 wt% of water, respectively, from [1354(a)]. These are labeled here as 75% glycerol-water and 80% sucrose-water, and as 75% G/W and 80% S/W in [1354(a), (c)]. The horizontal dashed line corresponds to 140 ns, the long time limit of Mössbauer spectroscopy. From right to left, the two arrows pointing at the 140 ns line indicate  $1000/T_d$ , where  $T_d$  is the temperature of the dynamic transition determined from the mean-squared displacements of the heme iron of myoglobin measured with Mössbauer spectroscopy in 75% glycerol-water ( $T_{\rm d} = 215$  K) and 80% sucrose-water solution ( $T_{\rm d} = 240$  K) shown before in Fig. 233-5a. The upper arrow pointing at the  $\tau_{\alpha}$  line of 80% sucrose-water indicates that  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_d)$ is about 0.1 s. The *dotted line* is the Arrhenius T-dependence of  $\tau_{IG}$  of water in 70% sucrosewater with activation energy of 0.59 eV from [1103]. The *plus signs* are the reciprocals of the entry rates of CO across the surface of myoglobin, 1/ksB, as function of reciprocal temperature. (*Right*) Arrhenius plot of ligand escape rates  $k_{CS}$  (closed symbols) and solvent relaxation rate  $k_s$  (*lines*) for horse myoglobin in different solvents, 60% ethylene glycol/water, 75% and 90% glycerol/water, and 80% sucrose/water. For a comparison of the temperature dependence, additionally shown is the ligand escape rate of 75% glycerol/water scaled by a factor of 2  $\times$  $10^4$  (open squares) to the corresponding solvent relaxation rate. Reproduced from [1354(a)] by permission

have anything to do with the dynamics transition. On the other hand,  $\tau_{JG}$  of 70% S/W comes close to  $t_{exp}$  at a temperature close to  $T_d$ . This indicates that indeed the primitive or JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of 80% S/W is responsible for the dynamics transition observed by Mössbauer spectroscopy.

Shown also in Fig. 233-9 are  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{JG}$  of 80, 70, and 60% of glycerol-water mixtures presented before in Fig. 211. The  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -relaxations of these aqueous mixtures have merged together at  $t_{exp} = 140$  ns of Mössbauer spectroscopy, and  $\tau_{\alpha} \approx \tau_{JG}$ . These two, effectively the same, relaxation times reach  $t_{exp} = 140$  ns at a temperature close to the dynamic crossover temperature  $T_d = 215$  K [1354(b)] of myoglobin covered by 75% G/W (indicated by the vertically pointing arrow). Like the case of proteins hydrated by pure water, in the present case with 75% G/W as the solvent, its  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -relaxations are practically the same. Thus, one can say either the  $\alpha$ -relaxation or the  $\beta$ -relaxation of the 75% G/W solvent is responsible for the dynamic transition. Lichtenegger et al. [1354(b)] gave viscoelastic relaxation times of 75% G/W in the temperature interval between 220 and 230 K to decrease from 2 ms to 200 ns in the range of the <sup>57</sup>Fe-nuclear lifetime. Their viscoelastic relaxation times are longer than the dielectric relaxation times. The latter are more consistent with  $T_d \sim 215$  K for myoglobin in 75% glycerol/water given by Lichtenegger et al. themselves [1354(b)]. See also Fig. 233-5a.

If the solvent is 80% S/W, then it is definitely not the  $\alpha$ -relaxation but the  $\beta$ -relaxation of the 80% S/W solvent which is responsible for the dynamic transition. This is evident from the viscosity of 80% S/W being of the order of  $10^{12}$  poise, many orders of magnitude higher than 75% G/W at the respective  $T_d$  of myoglobin mixed with these two solvents (see panel (a) of Fig. 233-5). The viscosity of the solvent coupled to the protein is not less than the neat solvent.

The statement by Doster [1354(c)], "... dynamical transition depends on the solvent viscosity near the protein surface," is valid for solvents including pure water, 75% G/W, 90% G/W, and 60% ethylene glycol-water (60% E/W), where the times of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation are practically the same at the timescale of neutron scattering experiments, i.e.,  $\tau_{\alpha}(T > T_{\rm d}) \approx \tau_{\rm IG}(T > T_{\rm d})$ . However, this statement does not hold straightforwardly if the solvent is 80% S/W, which has  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_{\rm d}) >> \tau_{\rm JG}(T_{\rm d})$ , unless the assumption is made by Lichtenegger et al. [1354(b)] that the microviscosity of the solvent at protein-solvent interface is much lower than in the bulk. A possible way to justify this assumption is partial demixing of water and sucrose in the protein solvation shell [1354(b)], but that has to be so severe for the relaxation times to be reduced by many orders of magnitude. Also this assumption may have contradicted the observation of the dynamics of the 80% sucrose/water solvent by Mössbauer spectroscopy by adding K457Fe(CN)6 (potassium ferrocyanide, PFC) to the solvent. The sample has a concentration of 3.3%PFC and 96.7% sucrose/water solution (concentration of sucrose/water, 78.7:21.3, w/w). They found the temperature dependence of the Mössbauer parameters derived for this sample is remarkably similar to those of myoglobin with 80% S/W as solvents. The mean-squared displacements of the <sup>57</sup>Fe nucleus of the two samples as a function of temperature show dynamic transition at practically the same temperature in the range of 230–240 K. The data of the two samples are reproduced here in Fig. 233-10, and comparison also is made with that obtained with deoxymyoglobin crystals by Parak et al. [1343]. Unless by happenstance there is the same partial demixing of water and sucrose in the PFC sample, the same dynamic transition temperature found in the two samples casts doubt on the explanation by Lichtenegger et al.

Validity of the Doster's statement can be restored if it is modified as follows: "The dynamic transition depends on the relaxation time of the primitive or JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of the solvent near the protein surface." The view from this modified statement is not congruent either with the suggestion of Frauenfelder and coworkers that the viscosity-independent  $\beta$ -processes in the hydration shell controlling the onset of the



**Fig. 233-10** Mean-squared displacements,  $\langle x^2 \rangle$ , of <sup>57</sup>Fe vs. temperature: potassium ferrocyanide in 80% sucrose/water ( $\Box$ ), MbCO in 80% sucrose/water ( $\bullet$ ), and deoxymyoglobin crystals (×) for comparison. The *dashed line* represents a linear extrapolation of  $\langle x^2 \rangle$  to T = 0 K. Reproduced from [1354(b)] by permission

dynamics transition [1355, 1356]. The difference can be bought out by comparing in detail the nature of the viscosity-independent  $\beta$ -processes in the hydration shell of these authors [1355, 1356] with our JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of our explanation.

#### Ligand Escape Rate

The relation between the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation rate  $k_{\rm S} \equiv 1/\tau_{\alpha}$  of the solvent and the ligand escape rate,  $k_{\rm CS}$ , in myoglobin with 80% S/W as the solvent was found to be different from that common to samples when the solvent is either 75% G/W, 90% G/W, or 60% E/W. This difference was found by Kleinert et al. [1349(a)], reiterated by Doster [1354(c)], and reproduced here in the right panel of Fig. 233-8. The solvent relaxation rate  $k_{\rm S}$  was determined by specific heat spectroscopy data as shown in the right panel of Fig. 233-8. The ligand escape rates  $k_{\rm CS}$  in myoglobin with 75% G/W, 90% G/W, or 60% E/W as solvent have about the same temperature dependence as  $k_{\rm S}$ , explicitly demonstrated in the figure for 75% G/W by multiplying  $k_{\rm CS}$ by a factor of  $2 \times 10^4$  to match the corresponding k<sub>S</sub>. However, k<sub>CS</sub> in myoblobin with 80% S/W as solvent has a much weaker T-dependence than  $k_{\rm S}$  of the solvent, which can be seen in both the right and the left panels of Fig. 233-9. Moreover, the comparison of the T-dependence of  $1/k_{CS}$  in myoblobin with 80% S/W as solvent with other relaxation times suggests it is comparable to that of  $\tau_{JG}(T)$  of the same solvent. Although  $\tau_{\rm JG}(T)$  of 80% S/W has not been determined in the same temperature range of  $1/k_{CS}$ , its T-dependence is expected to be stronger than the Arrhenius T-dependence below  $T_g$  as shown for the 70% S/W, and hence similar to that of  $1/k_{\rm CS}$ . This suggests that the ligand escape rate,  $k_{\rm CS}$ , in myoblobin with 80% S/W as solvent is controlled by the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of the solvent, and not its

viscosity. The same conclusion applies to  $k_{\rm CS}$  in myoglobin with 75% G/W, 90% G/W, or 60% E/W as solvent because  $\tau_{\alpha}(T) \approx \tau_{\rm JG}(T)$  in the temperature range of the  $k_{\rm CS}$  data presented in the figure. Hence, the result showing that  $k_{\rm CS}(T)$  and  $k_{\rm S}(T)$  have the same *T*-dependence in the figure can be restated as  $k_{\rm CS}(T)$  and  $k_{\rm JG}(T)$  have the same *T*-dependence, where  $k_{\rm IG}(T) \equiv 1/\tau_{\rm JG}(T)$ .

There is yet another difference between the ligand CO escape rate  $k_{CS}$  of MbCO with 80% S/W as solvent than the others.  $k_{CS}$  are generally much smaller than the structural relaxation rate  $k_S$  of the solvents. The 80% S/W sample is an exception. Its  $k_{CS}$  approaches  $k_S$  and even exceeds it at 240 K. As Doster stated [1354(c)], this result makes sense only if he assumes that the viscosity near the protein surface is lower than in the bulk. However, this assumption need not be made if one accepts that  $k_{CS}$  is controlled by the primitive or JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of the solvent in general. It is worthwhile to mention here that there are experimental evidences showing that diffusion of gas molecules such as methane and CO<sub>2</sub> in polystyrene with activation energy of 49 kJ/mol or less [1354(d)] in both the liquid and glassy states is controlled by the secondary relaxation. This is also supported by diffusion of methane in poly(ethylene terephthalate) with activation energy of 12.22 kcal/mol [1354(e)], and diffusion of helium in BPA-polycarbonate having activation energy of 3.9 kcal/mol from experiment and 3.7 kcal/mol from simulation [1354(f)].

### Applicability of the Mode Coupling Theory?

Mode coupling theory (MCT) was applied by Doster and coworkers as early as 1990 [1344] to describe the dynamics of hydrated proteins. Doster maintained in recent papers [1354(c), 1395(b)] that the theory should be applicable to density fluctuations of the protein hydration shell. To support this assertion, he showed the intermediate scattering function I(q, t) of myoglobin hydration water derived by Fourier transforming H<sub>2</sub>O/D<sub>2</sub>O difference spectra (IN6, ILL) to the time domain. His results are reproduced here in Fig. 233-11.

The two-steps decay of I(q,t) found are considered as the  $\beta$ -process and  $\alpha$ relaxation of MCT, and hence as evidence of the applicability of MCT. According to Doster [1354(c)], on decreasing temperature, the  $\alpha$ -process slows down, and the timescale of the fast MCT  $\beta$ -process is nearly independent of the temperature, only the amplitude decreases at low temperatures. The timescale of the MCT  $\beta$ -process is shorter than  $\sim 2$  ps. This, together with the insensitivity of its timescale to temperature, indicates that the  $\beta$ -process is due to vibrational density of states or to hydrogen bond fluctuations accountable by an asymmetric two-state model [1395(b)]. An analogue of it and identification with vibrational density of states can be found in the neutron scattering study of glycerol [1396]. Thus, the data of I(q, t) from IN6 alone do not tell much about the dynamics of hydration water in the temperature range of 320 > T > 180 K, even though it is consistent with MCT in the two-steps decay and the excess dynamic structure factor was in agreement with MCT prediction. The susceptibility  $\chi''(\omega)$  obtained from measurements using IN13 over energy range, corresponding to times within 0.1 < t < 30 ps, exhibits a minimum and its frequency dependence was well fitted by the MCT equation [1344]. However, it is



**Fig. 233-11** (*Left*) Intermediate scattering function I(q,t) of myoglobin hydration water derived by Fourier transforming H<sub>2</sub>O/D<sub>2</sub>O difference spectra (IN6, ILL) to the time domain. The time range of the  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -processes of MCT are indicated in the figure by Doster. With decreasing temperature the  $\alpha$ -process slows down. The timescale of the fast  $\beta$ -process is nearly independent of the temperature, only the amplitude decreases at low temperatures, according to Doster [1354(c), 1395(b)]. Reproduced from [1354(c)] by permission. (*Right*) Proton mean-squared displacements of hydration water adsorbed to C-PC obtained by neutron scattering taken with spectrometer having resolution of 2 ns (*full circles*) and 15 ps (*open triangles*, myoglobin). The *arrows* indicate the glass temperature  $T_g$  and the two resolution-dependent onset temperatures  $T_{on}$  of the dynamic transition. Redrawn from a figure in [1395(b)]

noteworthy that caged dynamics in terms of the susceptibility minimum as described by MCT for glassformers in general is restricted to temperatures higher than  $T_c$ , which is higher than  $T_{g}$ . Starting at some temperature near or below  $T_{c}$ , the minimum becomes so flat or changes to a power law,  $\chi''(\omega) \propto \omega^{-c}$  with  $c \ll 1$ , which cannot be described by either the idealized MCT prediction considered in [1344], or the other versions of MCT [33-35]. More discussions of the limited applicability of various versions of MCT will be given in Sections 2.3.2.33-2.3.2.36, and 2.3.2.37. Actually, we have come across an example from hydrated maltose binding protein (MBP) and hydration water, H-MBP-D<sub>2</sub>O and D-MBP-H<sub>2</sub>O by Wood et al. [1363]. Wood et al. carried out molecular dynamics simulations on the same system and reproduced the principal result observed by experiment. Here we revisit the evolution of the MSD of the O atoms of the water molecules plotted in Fig. 228b for each temperature from 150 to 300 K. Well below the protein dynamical transition temperature  $T_{\rm d}$  (~ 240 K) in the MD simulations, following the initial, subpicosecond rise, corresponding to ballistic motion, the MSD are essentially flat, and the corresponding susceptibility  $\chi''(\omega)$  will have flat minimum, which is better described as nearly constant loss. The condition persists from 150 K up to at least 220 K, indicating none of these data can be fitted by the idealized MCT. Interestingly, the  $\chi''(\omega)$  data of hydrated myoglobin from IN13 that exhibit the minimum were taken at  $T \ge 250$  K, which is above  $T_d \sim 240$  K (see Fig. 233-5b). Data of  $\chi''(\omega)$  at 220 K were limited to times less than 3 ps. If the hydrated myoglobin data were like the simulations of Wood et al. then  $\chi''(\omega)$  will exhibit a flat minimum that cannot be described by idealized MCT. Thus, MCT fails to describe the fast dynamics at

temperatures below  $T_d$ , for sure in hydrated MBP and maybe in other hydrated proteins, and questionable is the proposal that it can explain the dynamics transition, and the long time dynamics of hydrated proteins at lower temperatures down to  $T_g$ .

The right panel of Fig. 233-11 is a plot of the proton mean-squared displacements (MSD) of hydration water adsorbed to protein C-phycocyanin (C-PC) obtained by neutron scattering taken with spectrometer having resolution of 2 ns (full circles) [1395(a), (b)]. Also shown for comparison is the MSD of hydrated myoglobin taken by IN6 with resolution of 15 ps (open triangles). The arrows indicate the glass temperature  $T_{\rm g}$  and the two resolution-dependent onset temperatures  $T_{\rm on}$  of the dynamic transition. For hydrated C-PC, below  $T_{\rm g} \sim 170$  K the displacements are dominated by harmonic vibrational motion with linear increase of the MSD with temperature. After crossing  $T_{\rm g} \sim 170$  K, the MSD shows a stronger increase with temperature, and then even stronger increase at the dynamics transition with onset at  $T_{\rm on} \approx 220$ . The same changes of T-dependence of the MSD at  $T_g$  and  $T_{on}$  are found in hydrated myoglobin except  $T_{\rm on} \approx 250$  K because of the 15 ps timescale of the IN6 (see Fig. 233-3 for MSD of other hydrated proteins obtained by IN6 or spectrometer with comparable resolution that have  $T_{\rm on} \approx 250$  K). The changes in the T-dependence of the MSD on crossing  $T_g$  found in these two hydrated proteins by Doster, as well as in hydration water of hydrated maltose binding protein (MBP) by Wood et al. (see Fig. 233-6), are just examples of a general phenomenon shown by all glassformers [114, 115(a), 507–510, 1062]. This phenomenon will be discussed in Sections 2.3.2.33–2.3.2.36, and an explanation given before will be reiterated over there. To the best of my knowledge, MCT has no explanation for this phenomenon, at least so far.

Returning to the intermediate scattering function data in the left panel of Fig. 233-11, actually the relaxation time estimated from I(q, t) at 320 K is of the order of 10 ps, and at the range of 270–250 K is of the order of 100 ps. These estimates are consistent with the primitive or JG  $\beta$ -relaxation time of hydration water (see Fig. 233-2). No distinction between secondary and primary relaxation of water can be made at such short times and high temperatures because the two processes have merged together. Also, water molecules have weak intermolecular coupling and the separation between  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -relaxations is not large even at lower temperatures as discussed before.

# Dynamic Transition due to v-Relaxation: Evidence from $\langle x^2 \rangle^{\gamma}$ of the Heme Iron

Parak and coworkers in 2007 [132] considered the new modes of motions causing the dynamic transition observed at  $T_d$  in hydrated myoglobin crystal (Mbmet) by Mössbauer spectroscopy to be associated with what they called the flexible state of the myoglobin. They analyzed the mean-squared displacements,  $\langle x^2 \rangle^{\gamma}$ , of the heme iron, which is the sum of vibration part,  $\langle x_{vib}^2 \rangle^{\gamma}$ , and the contribution from the flex state  $\langle x_{flex}^2 \rangle^{\gamma}$ . Below  $T_d$  the Mössbauer spectra can be fitted by Lorentzians with common line widths, and can be explained by  $\langle x_{vib}^2 \rangle^{\gamma}$  alone. With rising temperatures, new modes of motions of the flex state contribute and become measurable above  $T_d$  giving rise to  $\langle x_{flex}^2 \rangle^{\gamma}$  and the broad lines observed in the Mössbauer

spectra. The motions in the flexible state were described by a Brownian oscillator using the Langevin equation plus a restoring force, together with the Gibbs free energy needed to arrive at the free state given by  $\Delta G_{\text{flex}} = \Delta H_{\text{flex}} - T \Delta S_{\text{flex}}$ . Using this model, Parak et al. fitted the  $\langle x^2 \rangle^{\gamma}$  data in the temperature range from 80 to 340 K, yielding  $\Delta H_{\text{flex}} = 35 \text{ kJ/mol}$  and  $\Delta S_{\text{flex}} = 121.3 \text{ J/K/mol}$ . These parameters suggest the relaxation rate of the process corresponding to the motions in the flex state is given by  $\tau = \tau_{at} \exp[(\Delta H_{flex} - T \Delta S_{flex})/RT]$ . Its activation energy of  $\Delta H_{\text{flex}} = 35 \text{ kJ/mol}$  is remarkably close to activation energy of the relaxation time,  $\tau_v$ , of the hydration water in the range of 40–50 kJ/mol. The entropy factor,  $\exp\left[-\frac{\Delta S_{\text{flex}}}{R}\right]$ , evaluates to  $10^{-6.3}$ . Thus, from the attempt frequency,  $(\tau_{at})^{-1} \sim 10^{-13}$  or  $10^{-14}$  s, the result of Parak et al. translates to the pre-exponential factor,  $\tau_{\infty,f} \equiv \tau_{at} \exp\left[-\frac{\Delta S_{flex}}{R}\right]$ , having order of magnitude of  $10^{-19}$  or  $10^{-20}$  s. Remarkably, this is about the same as the preexponential factor of  $\tau_v$  of the hydration water. In fact the average of the relaxation times  $\tau_v$  of the hydrated proteins shown in Fig. 233-2 when fitted by Arrhenius *T*-dependence,  $\tau_v = \tau_{\infty} \exp[H/RT]$ , has  $H \sim 48$  kJ/mol and  $\tau_{\infty} \sim 10^{-19.2}$  s. The approximate agreement of H and  $\tau_{\infty}$ from  $\tau_v$  with  $\Delta H_{\text{flex}}$  and  $\tau_{\infty,f}$  from the analysis by Parak et al. is likely not an accident. This is because in myoglobin crystal (Mbmet), the heme iron is coordinated by a water molecule. Also, as found by replacement of H<sub>2</sub>O by D<sub>2</sub>O in experiments [1363], the same dynamic transition was observed from motion of water or from the protein, indicating the motion of the hydration water is coupled to the protein. Thus, the results from Parak et al. provide another support that the primitive or vrelaxation of hydration water coupled to the flexible state is causing the dynamic transition.

## 2.3.2.29 TV<sup> $\gamma$ </sup>-Dependence of $\tau_{JG}$

If intermolecular potential V(r) for liquids is a repulsive inverse power potential (IPP),  $U(r) = \varepsilon(\sigma/r)^q$  where r is the intermolecular distance, q is a constant, and  $\varepsilon$  and  $\sigma$  have respective dimensions of energy and length, it was shown by Hoover et al. [1397] that the canonical partition function and hence also all thermodynamic properties depend on a single density-temperature variable,  $\rho(\varepsilon/kT)^{1/\gamma}$ with  $\gamma = q/3$ , rather on T and density  $\rho$  (or volume V) separately. If distance is scaled by  $\rho^{-1/3}$ , and time scaled by the characteristic time  $\rho^{-1/3} (m/kT)^{1/2}$ , Hoover and Ross pointed out that the scaled equations of motion of the particles are simplified to show that the forces depend only on the density-temperature product variable  $\rho(\varepsilon/kT)^{1/\gamma}$  with  $\gamma = q/3$ . Hence, for a fixed value of  $\rho(\varepsilon/kT)^{1/\gamma}$  with  $\gamma = q/3$ , the dynamic variables of the system when expressed in terms of the scale distance and time at any density or temperature are the same. In other words, all dynamical quantities can be cast in forms that depend on the single combined variable  $\rho^{\gamma}/T$  or alternatively  $T^{-1}V^{-\gamma}$  with  $\gamma = q/3$  [1401]. Experimentally, the dependence on  $\rho^{\gamma}/T$  was demonstrated by the dynamic structure factor of OTP from quasielastic neutron scattering (QENS) being invariant when measured over several combinations of *T* and *P*, subject to the condition that the quantity  $TV^{\gamma}$  with  $\gamma = 4$  remains constant by Tölle and coworkers [1402, 1403]. Dynamic light-scattering  $\alpha$ -relaxation times for OTP, measured at various *T* and *P*, were shown to superpose when plotted as a function of  $\rho^4/T$  or  $1/TV^4$  by Dreyfus et al. [1404, 1405]. The results of these pioneering experimental works are shown together in Fig. 234a.



**Fig. 234a**  $1/TV^4$  scaling of QENS dynamics structure factor and  $\alpha$ -relaxation time from photon correlation spectroscopy demonstrated by Tölle and coworkers [1402, 1403] and Dreyfus et al. [1404, 1405], respectively, for *o*-terphenyl. Reproduced from [1402, 1404] by permission

Recent models of energy landscapes, used to describe glass transition, are also based on this inverse power law potential but with the addition of a density-dependent constant to account for the long-range attractive forces [1399–1401].

Superposition of viscosity data for OTP with the same exponent,  $\gamma = 4$ , has also been demonstrated [1406]. Suggested by these results for OTP indicating that for local properties the repulsive forces are paramount and the power *q* of the repulsive inverse power law depends on the glassformer, Casalini and Roland investigated possible scaling of other glassformers mainly by broadband dielectric spectroscopy [1407–1409]. Treating the exponent  $\gamma$  as a material-specific constant,  $\alpha$ -relaxation times  $\tau_{\alpha}$  from different combinations of *T* and *P* for various molecular and polymeric glassformers (see Fig. 234b) can be expressed as a unique function of  $\rho^{\gamma}/T$ , with the exponent varying in the range  $0.13 \leq \gamma \leq 8.5$  for the glassformers investigated to date [119]. Here we show by experimental results that  $\tau_{\rm JG}$  also depends on the variable  $T^{-1}V^{-\gamma}$  with the same  $\gamma$  as that of  $\tau_{\alpha}$ .

Let us first consider the small molecular glassformers that have narrow  $\alpha$ -loss peak and an excess wing on the high-frequency flank (but otherwise no other resolved secondary relaxation in their dielectric spectra), and recall the experimental evidences indicating that the excess wing is an unresolved JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. The glassformers, salol, PC, PDE, and BMMPC, all have  $\tau_{\alpha}$  as functions of  $T^{-1}V^{-\gamma}$ 



**Fig. 234b** (*Left*) Dielectric  $\alpha$ -relaxation times of molecular liquids as a function of the reciprocal of temperature times the specific volume, with the latter raised to the indicated power of  $\gamma$  [1407, 1408(a)]. (*Right*) Dielectric  $\alpha$ -relaxation times of polymers as a function of the reciprocal of temperature times the specific volume, with the latter raised to the indicated power of  $\gamma$  [1409]. Data from [1408(a), 1409] are collected together and replotted in the two figures here

with different  $\gamma$ , as shown in Fig. 234b. We have shown before in Section 2.2.4 in the liquid state of these glassformers that the entire dispersion, the  $\alpha$ -loss peak plus the excess wing or the unresolved JG  $\beta$ -relaxation, is invariant to various combinations of temperature and pressure at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}(T^{-1}V^{-\gamma})$ . This property immediately implies the invariance of  $\tau_{\rm JG}$  to changes in *T* and *P* while maintaining  $\tau_{\alpha}(T^{-1}V^{-\gamma})$  constant, and it follows that  $\tau_{\rm JG}$  must also be a function of  $T^{-1}V^{-\gamma}$  with the same  $\gamma$ .

Next we consider glassformers that have a resolved JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in the liquid state. Experimental data given in Section 2.3.2.8 have shown that  $\tau_{JG}$  is invariant to changes in *T* and *P* while maintaining  $\tau_{\alpha}(T^{-1}V^{-\gamma})$  constant in benzoin isobutylether (BIBE), dipropyleneglycol dibenzoate (DPGDB), and oligomer of PMMA. Hence, for these glassformers,  $\tau_{JG}$  must also be a function of  $T^{-1}V^{-\gamma}$  with the same  $\gamma$ .

Finally we consider the  $\alpha$ - and JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of a component in binary mixtures including picoline [676] and quinaldine [677] dissolved in tri-styrene. On varying the combinations of *P* and *T* while keeping  $\tau_{\alpha}$  constant, the ratio  $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{\rm JG}$  and the frequency dispersion of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation are both invariant (see "Well-Resolved JG Relaxation of a Component in Mixtures of van der Waals Liquids" in Section 2.3.2.8). These two properties were observed at several values of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  above  $T_{\rm g}$ . From the invariance of the ratio  $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{\rm JG}$  at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and the  $T^{-1}V^{-\gamma}$ -dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , it follows that  $\tau_{\rm JG}$  also is a function of  $T^{-1}V^{-\gamma}$  albeit its functional form,  $f_{\rm JG}(T^{-1}V^{-\gamma})$ , is different from  $f_{\alpha}(T^{-1}V^{-\gamma})$  of  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . Moreover,  $f_{\rm JG}(T^{-1}V^{-\gamma})$  is a weaker function of  $T^{-1}V^{-\gamma}$  than  $f_{\alpha}(T^{-1}V^{-\gamma})$  which can be inferred from the wellknown experimental fact that the ratio  $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{\rm JG}$  increases with increasing  $\tau_{\alpha}$  applicable also to neat glassformers.

The importance of the general results discussed above is that the  $T^{-1}V^{-\gamma}$ -dependence of the relaxation rate is inherent to the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation, which has transpired long before the commencement of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. It may be inferred from this that the origin of the  $T^{-1}V^{-\gamma}$ -dependence of molecular mobility may be attributed to the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. In the view of the coupling model (CM), the stronger  $T^{-1}V^{-\gamma}$ -dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  than  $\tau_{\rm JG}$  is due to its many-body nature, which magnifies all effects [196]. Here it is relevant to mention the work of Rault [766] in which he considered the VFTH temperature dependence of the cooperative  $\alpha$ -relaxation to originate from participation of increasing number of non-cooperative  $\beta$ -relaxations. The conclusion derived solely from experimental data is anticipated by the CM from the relation between  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_0$ , and  $\tau_0 \approx \tau_{\rm JG}$ , which is combined to become

$$\tau_{\alpha}(T, P, V, S) = [t_{c}^{-n}\tau_{0}(T, P, V, S)]^{1/(1-n)} \approx [t_{c}^{-n}\tau_{JG}(T, P, V, S)]^{1/(1-n)}.$$
 (2.85)

Here we write out explicitly all the possible dependences of the relaxation times on the thermodynamic variables *P*, *V*, and *T* and entropy *S*, which are related by  $(\partial S/\partial P)_T = -(\partial V/\partial T)_P$  [742]. From this it follows that if  $\tau_{\alpha}$  can be written as a function of  $T^{-1}V^{-\gamma}$ , then  $\tau_{\rm JG}$  must also be a function of  $T^{-1}V^{-\gamma}$ . The relation between  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{\rm JG}$  becomes

$$\tau_{\alpha}(T^{-1}V^{-\gamma}) = [t_{c}^{-n}\tau_{0}(T^{-1}V^{-\gamma})]^{1/(1-n)} \quad \text{or} \quad [t_{c}^{-n}\tau_{JG}(T^{-1}V^{-\gamma})]^{1/(1-n)}.$$
(2.86)

This is a CM prediction that both  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{JG}$  (or  $\tau_0$ ) depend on the same variable  $T^{-1}V^{-\gamma}$ , but their dependences are different and related by the equation above.

Causality Implies that  $T^{-1}V^{-\gamma}$ -Dependence Originating from the Primitive or JG  $\beta$ -Relaxation

The experimental evidences given above have clearly shown that  $\tau_{\rm JG}$  (or  $\tau_0$ ) depends on the same variable  $T^{-1}V^{-\gamma}$  as  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . From this and the fact that the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation or the primitive relaxation has transpired long before the  $\alpha$ -relaxation, it follows from the principle of causality that the dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  on the variable  $T^{-1}V^{-\gamma}$  originates from the same of  $\tau_{\rm JG}$  (or  $\tau_0$ ).

Molecular Dynamics Simulation: Indication of  $T^{-1}V^{-\gamma}$ -Dependence Originating from the Primitive or JG  $\beta$ -Relaxation

Before ending this section, we cite the results from other studies that support the  $T^{-1}V^{-\gamma}$ -dependence of dynamic quantities actually originate from that of the primitive relaxation of the CM or the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. Earlier it was found that the static structure factor and the local structure in non-associated liquids are sensitive to the repulsive part of the potential at short distance [69, 1410] but not the

nature of the attractive potential extending to longer distance. Recently, Coslovich and Roland [1411(a)] simulated binary Lennard-Jones liquids with intermolecular potential  $U(r) \propto [(\sigma/r)^m - (\sigma/r)^6]$ , with the attractive exponent fixed at the value of 6, and the repulsive exponent *m* varied over the values of 8, 12, 24, and 36. In agreement with experimental results of various glassformers, the diffusion coefficients for the simulated Lennard-Jones liquids in normal and moderately supercooled states are a unique function of the variable  $\rho^{\gamma}/T$  as shown in Fig. 235.

The magnitude of the exponent  $\gamma$  is always larger than m/3 due to the contributions of the attractive term, but not far from it. For m = 36 ( $\gamma = 13.4$ , and m/3 = 12), m = 24 ( $\gamma = 9.1$ , and m/3 = 8), m = 12 ( $\gamma = 5.0$ , and m/3 = 4), and m = 8 ( $\gamma = 3.5$ , and m/3 = 2.7). Instead  $\gamma$  is determined by the steepness of the repulsive part of U(r) in the region bounded by  $r_c$  and  $r_{1/2}$  which are *closest* approach distance between the particles and separation corresponding to half-height of the radial distribution functions between large particles  $g_{11}(r)$ , respectively, in the supercooled regime. This is illustrated in Fig. 236-1.



**Fig. 235** Reduced diffusion coefficients *D* as a function of  $1/(TV^{\gamma})$  for different values of the repulsive exponent *m* at different pressures: P=5 (*squares*), P=10 (*circles*), and P=20 (*triangles*). For m = 36 ( $\gamma = 13.4$ ), m = 24 ( $\gamma = 9.1$ ), m = 12 ( $\gamma = 5.0$ ), and m = 8 ( $\gamma = 3.5$ ). The estimated uncertainty on  $\gamma$  is  $\pm 0.1$  ( $\pm 0.2$  for m = 36). Reproduced from [1411(a)] by permission



origin of thermodynamic scaling - steepness of potential

**Fig. 236-1** *Top panel*: Radial distribution functions between large particles  $g_{11}(r)$  at P = 10 for T = 1.50 (*dotted line*), T = 1.00 (*dashed line*), and T = 0.84 (*solid line*). *Middle panel*:  $g_{11}(r)$  at the lowest equilibrated T: T = 0.75 at P = 5 (*dotted line*), T = 0.84 at P = 10 (*dashed line*), and T = 1.05 at P = 20 (*solid line*). *Bottom panel*: pair potential  $U_{11}(r)$  (*solid line*) and the intermediate power law,  $r^4$  (*dotted line*) that fits  $U_{11}(r)$  in the range from  $r_c = 0.95$  to  $r_{1/2} = 1.01$ . This range is bounded by the two *vertical dotted lines* in all panels. After Coslovich and Roland [1411(a)]. The extreme right column of the table lists the values of q of the fits. The exponent  $\gamma$  that scales the diffusion coefficient in Fig. 235 given in the third column is close to q. Redrawn from data of [1411(a)]

The distance within the range  $r_c < r < r_{1/2}$  is smaller than the average separation between the large particles corresponding to the first peak of the pair distribution function  $g_{11}(r)$ . At such small distances I would think that the primitive or the local JG  $\beta$ -relaxation is more directly related to the repulsive part of V(r) than the cooperative  $\alpha$ -relaxation which involves more particles and longer length scale. Thus, I take this as direct evidence that the dependence of dynamic quantities on  $\rho^{\gamma}/T$ originates in the primitive relaxation of the CM or the local JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. This original dependence of  $\tau_{JG}$  (or  $\tau_0$ ) on  $T^{-1}V^{-\gamma}$  is passed onto  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and magnified in  $\tau_{\alpha}$  of the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation at later times through action of the many-body dynamics. The stronger dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  on  $T^{-1}V^{-\gamma}$  than  $\tau_{JG}$  (or  $\tau_0$ ) also follows directly from the CM equation (2.86) by raising the dependence to the superlinear power of 1/(1 - n).

### Scaling in Soft Spheres: Fragility Invariance on the Repulsive Potential Softness

The purely repulsive inverse power law potential  $U(r) = \varepsilon(\sigma/r)^q$  has been utilized in recent simulation studies by De Michele et al. [1412(a)] of several binary mixtures of soft particles with q = 6, 8, 12, and 18, which change the "softness" of the interaction and value of  $\gamma = q/3$  [69]. For different power q of the inter-particle repulsive potential, the results show that the diffusion coefficients as a function of T for various values of the exponent of the repulsive potential collapse onto a single curve, thus showing that fragility is independent of the exponent q characterizing the short-range repulsion. In other words, there is no correlation between  $\gamma$  and fragility, or the fragility does not depend on the repulsive interaction potential. It is the isochoric fragility obtained because the simulations were performed at constant volume.

The potential of De Michele differs from the standard (q = 12, p = 6) Lennard-Jones or the generalized LJ potentials used by Bordat et al [264] or Coslovich and Roland [1411(a)] in dropping the attractive part of the potential. The results of De Michele differ from that of Bordat et al. who found that both fragility and nonexponentiality of the binary LJ systems with the (12,11), (12,6), and (8,5) potentials are all different. The difference suggests that fragility is determined mainly by the attractive part of the potential U(r) in conjunction with the repulsive part in the anharmonicity it produces at longer distance than  $r_c$ . This is palatable to the fact that fragility, the characteristic of the cooperative  $\alpha$ -relaxation and its transport coefficients, is determined by the slowing down effect of the many-body dynamics involving motion of multiple particles and longer length scales than presented by the repulsive interaction potential, in addition to change of volume and entropy with temperature.

Another way to understand the result of De Michele et al. is to recall that for the purely repulsive inverse power law potential they used, all thermodynamic and dynamics properties depend on the product variable  $TV^{q/3}$ . Hence it is sufficient to obtain either the *T*-dependence or the *V*-dependence of any quantity. One consequence of this is that the fragility does not change upon changing the density of the soft binary mixture of De Michele et al. at fixed *q*. Since intermolecular coupling strength depends on density, this property implies that intermolecular coupling is not an important factor in determining the fragility for all binary mixtures of De Michele et al. Based on experimental data as well as the CM, we have already shown before that, in addition to change in entropy and density, intermolecular coupling and the resulting many-body effects on  $\tau_{\alpha}$  determines fragility (see Section 2.2.3). From this and the fact that intermolecular coupling does not enter into consideration in determining the fragility of all the binary mixtures of De Michele, the observed invariance of fragility to the density change is hence explained.

The simulations of De Michele et al. and the explanation given here are consistent with the  $T^{-1}V^{-\gamma}$ -dependence of dynamic quantities of glassformers determined by the repulsive potential at short distance, and  $T^{-1}V^{-\gamma}$ -dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  actually stems from that of the primitive relaxation of the CM or the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. In real non-hydrogen-bonded glassformers, the presence of the attractive part of the intermolecular potential U(r) can change the actual value of  $\gamma$  from  $\gamma = q/3$ determined by the repulsive part of U(r) acting alone (see table in Fig. 236-1). Except for the modification of  $\gamma$ -value, the scaling properties of thermodynamic and dynamic real glassformers are passed on from that of the inverse power law (IPL) from Hoover and Ross [1397, 1398], and others [1401]. The short-range repulsive part of U(r) imparts the  $\rho^{\gamma}/T$ -dependence onto the primitive relaxation time  $\tau_0$  or the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{\rm JG}$ . The longer range attractive part of U(r) enters into many-molecule dynamics, which involves more particles and grows in length scale with increase of time, until finally the terminal  $\alpha$ -relaxation is reached. The essential effect of the many-molecule dynamics is to make  $\tau_{\alpha}$  much longer than  $\tau_0$  or  $\tau_{\rm JG}$  but it still depends on density and temperature via the same product variable  $\rho^{\gamma}/T$ . However, since the effect of the many-body dynamics on slowing down the primitive relaxation is non-linear, the functional form of the dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  on  $\rho^{\gamma}/T$  is different from that of  $\tau_0$  or  $\tau_{\rm JG}$ , although they have the same  $\gamma$ . A stronger dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  on  $\rho^{\gamma}/T$  can be expected intuitively from the participation of more molecules.

# Lack of Correlation Between $\gamma$ and the Characteristics $(n, m_P, \xi_{het})$ of the $\alpha$ -Relaxation: Another Support of Its $\rho^{\gamma}/T$ -Dependence Originating from That of $\tau_0$ or $\tau_{JG}$

The evidences given in the above suggest that the  $\rho^{\gamma}/T$ -dependence originates from that of  $\tau_0$  or  $\tau_{\text{JG}}$ , and the stronger dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  on  $\rho^{\gamma}/T$  is due to the build-up of many-body dynamics. In the context of the CM,  $\tau_{\alpha}(\rho^{\gamma}/T)$  is obtained from  $\tau_0(\rho^{\gamma}/T)$  by Eq. (2.86) by raising the dependence to the superlinear power of 1/(1-n), i.e.,  $\tau_{\alpha}(\rho^{\gamma}/T) \propto [\tau_0(\rho^{\gamma}/T)]^{1/(1-n)}$ . From this relation, it is clear that the non-exponentiality index *n* of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and  $\gamma$  are *independent* parameters and they bear no obvious correlation with each other. This relation also indicates that the isobaric fragility index  $m_P$  (say at ambient pressure) of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation is determined by both *n* and  $\gamma$ , and therefore like *n*,  $m_P$  bears no correlation with  $\gamma$ .

The values of  $\gamma$  and  $m_P$  of many glassformers have been given in Tables 2 and 3 in [119]. In some glassformers, different values from more than one source are given. We [1412(b)] use exclusively the data from dielectric relaxation from the works published by Casalini, Paluch, and Roland cited in [119], and by Alba-Simionesco et al. [547(c)], and whenever there is a choice between the two we take the data from the works of Casalini, Paluch, and Roland for the sake of consistency with the results from the same group of collaborators. These are exactly the same data used by Casalini and Roland in [557] to support their claim that the degree of fragility can be deduced solely from the  $\rho^{\gamma}/T$ -dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$ .

Added are new dielectric data of vitamin E ( $\gamma = 3.9$ , n = 0.35,  $m_P = 63$ ,  $m_V = 41.6$ ) [1413(b)], diphenyl-vinylene carbonate (DPVC) ( $\gamma = 3.3$ , n = 0.29,  $m_P = 92$ ,  $m_V = 61$ ) [697], heptapropylene glycol dimethylether (7PGDE) ( $\gamma = 3.1$ , n = 0.52) [652(c)], and benzoin isobutylether (BIBE) ( $\gamma = 3.8$ , n = 0.39,  $m_P = 78.4$ ,  $m_V = 44.3$ ) [687]. In Fig. 236-2, we plot *n* against  $\gamma$ , and  $m_P$  against  $\gamma$  to test if there is any correlation between  $\gamma$  and *n* or  $m_P$ , the characteristics of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. It is clear from the plots that there is neither any correlation between  $\gamma$  and  $m_P$ . Thus, the observed  $\rho^{\gamma}/T$ -dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  bears no relation to fragility  $m_P$  and non-exponentiality of the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation even if glycerol and sorbitol are excluded.

Our conclusion contradicts that of Casalini and Roland (CR) [557]. Instead, they concluded that fragility is a consequence of the relative interplay of temperature and density effects indicated by the  $\rho^{\gamma}/T$ -dependence near  $T_{\rm g}$ , and from the observed



**Fig. 236-2** (*Left*) Plot of *n* against  $\gamma$ , where the *closed circles* are data of small molecular van der Waals glassformers, the *open diamonds* are polymeric glassformers, and the *two closed squares* are data of glycerol (smaller value) and sorbitol (larger value). (*Right*) Plot of isobaric fragility index,  $m_P$ , against  $\gamma$ . The *symbols* stand for the same glassformers as in the *left panel*. There is neither any correlation between *n* and  $\gamma$ , nor between  $m_P$  and  $\gamma$ 

 $\rho^{\gamma}/T$ -dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  they can infer whether a glassformer is strong or fragile, i.e., its fragility. Specifically, strong behavior reflects a substantial contribution from density (larger  $\gamma$ ), while the relaxation of fragile liquids is more thermally activated (smaller  $\gamma$ ). This they illustrated in a figure, which is reproduced here in the



**Fig. 236-3** (*Left*) Parameter  $\gamma$  vs. the inverse isochoric fragility  $m_V$  for 26 materials. The data (in order of increasing  $m_V$ ) are: PCB62, BMMPC, BMPC, PCB54, PCB42, KDE, salol, glycerol, PDE, PMPS, OTP, PECH, PMTS, PVME, PVAc, PPG, PC, DGEBA, PI, PPGE, PVAc(2), PVE, PB, PCGE, and sorbitol. The *solid line* is a linear fit (correlation coeff.=0.92) to all data (polymeric, *open squares*; small molecular, *open circles*) except the H-bonded materials (*open triangles*). Representations of the potential energy hypersurface taken from Angell. Reproduced from [557] by permission. (*Right*) Reproduction of the anticorrelation between  $\gamma$  and  $m_V$  by using the data of the same 26 materials given in Tables 2 and 3 in [119] and references cited in [557], and new data of vitamin E, DPVC, and BIBE. The *closed circles* stand for data of small molecular van der Waals glassformers, the *open diamonds* are for polymeric glassformers, and the two *closed squares* are data of glycerol and sorbitol

left panel of Fig. 236-3. In this figure they have plotted  $\gamma$  against the reciprocal of isochoric fragility,  $100/m_V$ , for 26 materials, demonstrating the anticorrelation between the two quantities, that is larger  $\gamma$  (strong dependence on density  $\rho$ ) corresponding to smaller isochoric fragility  $m_V$ . We independently reproduced the same anticorrelation with the values of  $\gamma$  and  $m_V$  of the 26 glassformers considered by CR taken from the tables in [119] together with the new data of vitamin E, DPVC, and BIBE (see right panel of Fig. 236-3). Actually this anticorrelation between  $\gamma$  and  $m_V$  is natural or unsurprising, because stronger dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  on  $\rho$  (larger  $\gamma$ ) implies that its  $T_g$ -scaled T-dependence at constant  $\rho$  (isochoric condition) will be weaker (smaller  $m_V$ ). Thus, its manifestation when considering real glassformers does not indicate anything deeper. This is also the opinion of CR which can be inferred from their own statement: "As discussed above,  $\gamma$  is a measure of the relative contribution of T and v, which means that  $\gamma$  should also be related to  $m_V$ ." So, what is the crux of the argument CR used to arrive at their conclusion?

The crux of the argument offered by CR in their own words is as follows. "For a given material, a dominant short-range repulsive potential gives rise to stronger (less fragile) dynamics. Larger  $\gamma$  implies steeper potential wells as depicted in Fig. 3 with the sketch taken from Angell, and hence a liquid structure more resistant to changes in T. Relaxation is facilitated by changes of the energy barriers (from changes in intermolecular distances); thus the effect of V becomes more important for strong liquids. For fragile liquids, the potential energy surface is characterized by flatter minima (illustrated in Fig. 3), so that thermally activated motion can proceed. Evidently the shape of the potential affects its anharmonicity, a steeper potential (larger  $\gamma$ ) being more harmonic. According to this interpretation, the fragility of liquids increases with the anharmonicity of the potential ...." It is clear from these statements that CR considered only the short-range repulsive part of the intermolecular potential and deemed it solely determines the depth and anharmonicity of the potential well. This bold hypothesis may not work for the real glassformers in Fig. 236-3 having a wide range of chemical structures and sizes because the attractive part of the potential can vary from one glassformer to another in its relation to the repulsive part, and the variation can change the overall shape and anharmonicity of the resulting intermolecular potential.

Whether the argument of CR is valid or not, the fact remains that from experiment there is no correlation between  $\gamma$  and fragility or non-exponentiality, the two principal characteristics of the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation, as shown in Fig. 236-2. Here it is worthwhile to emphasize that the term fragility usually means isobaric fragility, and it is measured by the steepness index  $m_P$ . Furthermore, the representations of the potential energy hypersurface in Fig. 236-3 by CR were taken from Angell's publication [216], where Angell related the potential energy hypersurface to isobaric fragility  $m_P$  at ambient pressure, and not  $m_V$ .

In dismissing the lack of correlation between fragility and  $\gamma$  found by De Michele et al. [1412(a)], CR made the statement: "Of course, our observed correlation between fragility and  $\gamma$  is an experimental fact, notwithstanding any connection of the latter to the intermolecular potential." If by fragility CR means isochoric fragility, this statement cannot make connection with De Michele et al. because

they studied soft particles having only the repulsive potential, while CR considered real glassformers with both the repulsive and the attractive potentials. If by fragility CR means isobaric fragility, then their statement has no support because they did not examine the correlation between  $m_P$  and  $\gamma$  themselves. No one has checked this out either until now, 5 years after the publication of [557] by CR. We find no correlation between  $m_P$  and  $\gamma$  as indicated by the plot in Fig. 236-2.

The dynamics of colloidal suspensions of soft spherical particles with the softness of the particles ranging from very soft, intermediate soft, to the conventional hard spheres have been shown before in Fig. 34c for fragility and Fig. 34d. A less steep repulsive potential is expected for softer spheres. However, the softer spheres have lower fragility, opposite to the trend suggested by CR that less steep repulsive potential engenders higher fragility.

Important Role of the Attractive Part of the Intermolecular Potential in Determining the α-Relaxation: Support from Molecular Dynamics Simulations

Another way to show that the short-range repulsive part of the intermolecular potential does not solely determine the characteristics of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation is the results of molecular dynamics simulation of Berthier and Tarjus (BT) on binary Lennard-Jones systems of Kob and Andersen [1412(c)]. Similar in spirit to the work of Bordat et al. [264], BT modify the standard LJ potential of Eq. (2.4) with q = 12 and p = 6by truncating its range and reducing it to the purely repulsive part of the pair potentials proposed by Weeks-Chandler-Andersen (WCA) [1410]. Otherwise the other parameters of the LJ potential are the same. The results of BT reproduced are here in Fig. 236-4.

Characterized by the pair correlation functions g(r) obtained by summing over the partial  $g_{AA}(r)$ ,  $g_{AB}(r)$ , and  $g_{BB}(r)$  weighed by the concentrations of the species A and B, the static structures of the LJ and the WCA liquids are about the same, especially at higher temperatures as shown in the left panel for two temperatures of T = 0.45 and 1.5 at the typical liquid density  $\rho$  of 1.2 (all quantities measured in LJ units). This is consistent with the studies by Hoover and Ross [1396, 1397], Hiwatari



**Fig. 236-4** Comparison between the LJ and its WCA description at the typical liquid density  $\rho = 1.2$  for several temperatures. (*Left*) Static pair correlation functions g(r) as a function of r; (*middle*) time dependence of the self-intermediate scattering function for the majority component A; (*right*) Arrhenius plot of the relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  defined from  $F_s(q, t = \tau_{\alpha}) = 1/e$  (the lowest *T* is 0.43 for LJ and 0.26 for WCA), with high-T Arrhenius fits shown as *dashed lines*. Despite similar pair structure, both systems display dramatically different  $\alpha$ -dynamics, but note the faster secondary relaxation is the same. Reproduced from [1412(c)] by permission

et al. [1401], and Chandler et al. [1410] showing that the structure of dense nonassociated liquids, the pair correlation functions, and the thermodynamics all can be predicted from the short-ranged repulsive part of the intermolecular potentials.

However, the dynamics of the two liquids is very different at lower temperatures. This is shown in the middle panel by the self intermediate scattering function,  $F_s(q, t)$ , with  $q_{AA} = 7.2$ , which corresponds to the position of the peak of the total static structure factor at  $\rho = 1.2$ . At high temperatures, there is good agreement in  $F_s(q, t)$ , but the difference between the two systems rapidly increases at lower temperatures and becomes very large at the lowest accessible temperatures. The relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  determined by  $F_s(q, t = \tau_{\alpha}) = 1/e$  of the WCA model is then more than three orders of magnitude faster than that of the LJ model (see middle and right panels). Since the static pair correlation function is practically the same while the dynamics changes a lot, BT pointed out that their observation contradicts the mode coupling theory, which predicts the dynamics of the liquids is uniquely determined by the static pair correlation functions. The results of BT also unambiguously show that the attractive part of the intermolecular potential in the LJ liquid plays the pivotal role of considerably slowing down the  $\alpha$ -relaxation on cooling the liquid to approach glass transition, consistent with our point of view.

There is yet another difference in the dynamics of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation between the LJ and WCA models. The density scaling of the relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  determined that is empirically found in real glass-forming liquids and polymers [1402–1409], and in the LJ model as well (see left panel of Fig. 236-5 and [1412(a)–(d)]), is absent when attractive forces are truncated. There is large change of fragility with density for  $\rho \leq 1.4$  of the WCA liquid with the short-ranged repulsive potential. These findings show in another way the crucial role played by the attractive component of the pair potential in determining the characteristics of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation.

An interesting feature of the  $F_s(q, t)$  in Fig. 236-4 not mentioned by BT is that the faster secondary relaxation resolved at lower temperatures is the same for the LJ and WCA model potentials (see the example at T = 0.45), in contrast to the



**Fig. 236-5** Rescaling of the relaxation data for the LJ and WCA models over a wide range of densities and temperatures. BT used the activation energy scale  $E_{\infty}(\rho)$  obtained by fitting the high-*T* data to an Arrhenius formula. (*Left*) Arrhenius plot of the relaxation time for a scaled temperature  $T/E_{\infty}(\rho)$  for LJ. (*Right*) Same plot for WCA. Note the large change of fragility with density for  $\rho \leq 1.4$ , not seen in the LJ data. Reproduced from [1412(c)] by permission

large difference in the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. This feature follows as a consequence of the proposal I made before that the short-ranged repulsive potential dictates the density and temperature dependence of the local primitive or JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. This local relaxation is the same for the LJ and the WCA liquids because the repulsive part of the potential in the LJ model is identical to that in the WCA model. Moreover, at higher temperatures, the  $\alpha$ -relaxation merges with the local relaxation in the WCA model as can be seen from  $F_s(q, t)$ . This explains why density scaling works for  $\tau_{\alpha}$  in the WCA model at higher temperatures (see the Arrhenius part in Fig. 236-5, right panel).

From the importance of the attractive part of the intermolecular potential demonstrated by BT, they also pointed out the danger of theoretical treatments of glass transition in which the structure and the dynamics of viscous glass-forming liquids are controlled by the short-ranged repulsive forces, and the attractive part of the interactions is treated as a mere cohesive background amenable to perturbative treatment. Examples they mentioned are studies based on the mode coupling theory of glasses [1412(d), (e)], the self-consistent phonon theory [582], model potential energy landscapes [1399], as well as recent work focusing on the correlations between pressure and energy fluctuations [1411(c), (d)] and on the density scaling of the relaxation time [1411(a)]. They commented that the short-ranged repulsive potential is also the predominant factor in the "jamming scenario," which postulates that the physics of glasses and glass-forming liquids is controlled by a zero-temperature critical point characteristic of the jamming [1412(f), (g)], or in the glass transition of spheres with finite-ranged repulsive interactions [1412(h)]. In both cases, the longer-ranged attraction was considered as a perturbation.

 $T^{-1}V^{-\gamma}$  or  $\rho^{\gamma}/T$  Scaling Holds for the Full-Time Dependence of Both  $F_s(k,t)$  and  $\chi_4(t)$  Using the Same Scaling Exponent  $\gamma$ 

Coslovich and Roland published another simulation [1411(b)] of the Kob–Andersen binary mixtures of particles interacting with the Lennard-Jones potential,  $U(r) \propto [(\sigma/r)^{12} - (\sigma/r)^6]$ . This time they investigated by simulations whether or not the  $\rho^{\gamma}/T$  scaling holds for the self-intermediate scattering function,  $F_s(k,t)$ , and the four-point dynamic susceptibility  $\chi_4(k,t)$  using the *same* scaling exponent  $\gamma$ . As discussed before,  $\gamma$  is related to the steepness of the effective repulsive potential in the range of closest approach between particles. Earlier in Section 2.2.2.7, fourpoint correlation function,  $G_4(\vec{r}, t)$ , was defined by Eq. (2.38).  $\chi_4(k,t)$  is related to it by the Fourier transform and is equal to the variance of  $F_s(k,t)$ , i.e.,  $\chi_4(k,t) =$  $N[< f_s^2(k,t) > -F_s^2(k,t)]$ , where  $f_s(k,t)$  is the instantaneous value such that the ensemble average  $< f_s(k,t) >$  is just  $F_s(k, t)$ .  $\chi_4(k,t)$  has a maximum,  $\chi_4^{max} =$  $\chi_4(k, t_{max})$ , proportional to the dynamic correlation volume [523, 527] at  $t = t_{max}$ , and it turns out that  $t_{max}$  is approximately the same as the structural relaxation time  $\tau$  for k that matches the position of the first peak in the static structure factor [527].

Actually an answer can be given in the affirmative that the  $\rho^{\gamma}/T$  scaling holds for the self-intermediate scattering function,  $F_s(k, t)$ , and the four-point dynamic susceptibility  $\chi_4(k, t)$  using the *same* scaling exponent  $\gamma$ , before carrying out the simulation by making use of previously known experimental facts. The data presented in Section 2.2.4 have amply shown the co-invariance of the  $\alpha$ -dispersion (or *n*) and  $\tau_{\alpha}$  to various combinations of *T* and *P* [618], and thus *n* is also a function of  $\rho^{\gamma}/T$ . Moreover, in Section 2.2, various experimental facts have been invoked to support that *n* is a surrogate for the extent or intensity of the many-body  $\alpha$ -relaxation, and naturally *n* correlates with the length scale or the dynamic correlation volume of the many-body  $\alpha$ -relaxation. As a matter of fact, for the few glassformers that have the length scale measured by multidimensional NMR, the length scale of dynamic heterogeneity  $\xi_{het}$  from NMR has been shown to correlate with *n*, as shown before in Fig. 33-2. On combining these two properties, it can be expected that the  $\rho^{\gamma}/T$  scaling holds for  $F_s(k, t)$  and  $\chi_4(k, t)$  using the *same* scaling exponent  $\gamma$ .

The simulations were carried out in the *NVT* ensemble for five isochoric paths in the density range of  $1.150 \le \rho \le 1.1350$ , at a fixed reduced wave vector  $k^* = k(\rho^{1/3}) = 7.44$ , which matches the position of the first peak in the static structure factor (k = 7.0) for the well-studied density  $\rho = 1.2$ . In the beginning of Section 2.3.2.29, mention has been made of the general result by Hoover and Ross [1396, 1397] for the dynamic behavior of systems having an inverse power potential (IPP),  $U(r) = \varepsilon(\sigma/r)^q$ . When distance is scaled by  $\rho^{-1/3}$  and time scaled by  $\rho^{-1/3}T^{-1/2}$ , the dynamics properties of the IPP system at any density or temperature are the same for a fixed value of  $\rho(\varepsilon/kT)^{1/\gamma}$  with  $\gamma = q/3$ . However, the presence of the attractive term in the (12,6) LJ potential modifies the slope of the repulsive term and the steepness of the effective repulsive potential in the range of closest approach between particles. Consequently  $\gamma$  is not exactly 4.

With time *t* scaled to become the reduced time  $t^* = t(\rho^{1/3}T^{1/2})$ , the reduced relaxation times  $\tau^*$ , defined as the time for  $F_s(k, t^*)$  to decay by a factor of *e*, are shown in the left panel of Fig. 236-6 vs. the scaling variable  $\rho^{\gamma}/T$ . The material constant  $\gamma = 5.1 \pm 0.1$  provides the optimal collapse of  $\tau^*$  onto a single curve; this value is in accord with the scaling behavior found previously for the diffusion coefficient of this system (see Fig. 235, m = 12,  $\gamma = 5.0$ ). The intermediate scattering functions  $F_s(k, t^*)$  were obtained for each of the five densities at the respective temperatures corresponding to a fixed value of  $\rho^{\gamma}/T = 5.07$ .

In the inset of the left panel of Fig. 236-6, these correlation functions are plotted as a function of reduced time, and,  $F_s(k^*, t^*)$  has essentially the same shape for state points for which  $\rho^{\gamma}/T$  is constant. Thus, not only do the relaxation times  $\tau^*$  superpose as a function of  $\rho^{\gamma}/T$ , but the entire *t*-dependence of the correlation functions is invariant for state points for which  $\rho^{\gamma}/T$  is constant. This result has the fundamental implication that the characteristic times of the faster processes, including the primitive relaxation time  $\tau_0$  or the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{\rm d}$ . One can recognize that this is consistent with the experimental findings that  $\tau_0$  (or  $\tau_{\rm JG}$ ),  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , and *n* (or the shape of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation) are co-invariants to changes in *P* and *T* (see Section 2.3.2.8). Since the primitive or the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation has transpired



**Fig. 236-6** (*Left*) Reduced relaxation times  $\tau^* = \tau(\rho^{1/3}T^{1/2})$  as a function of  $\rho^{\gamma}/T$  with  $\gamma = 5.1$  for all studied densities. *Inset*: Self-intermediate scattering functions as a function of reduced time  $\tau^* = t(\rho^{1/3}T^{1/2})$  for state points at which  $\rho^{\gamma}/T = 5.07$ : T = 0.402 (at  $\rho = 1.15$ ), T = 0.50 (at  $\rho = 1.20$ ), T = 616 (at  $\rho = 1.30$ ), and T = 0.912 (at  $\rho = 1.350$ ). A constant reduced wave vector  $k = k^*(\rho^{1/3}) = 7.44$  is considered. (*Right*) Maximum of four-point susceptibility as a function of reduced time  $\tau^* = t(\rho^{1/3}T^{1/2})$  for state points at which  $\rho^{\gamma}/T = 5.07$  (same state points as inset of the figure on the left). Reproduced from [1411(b)] by permission

before the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation, the result of  $F_{\rm s}(k^*, t^*)$  from the simulation provides another support for the  $\rho^{\gamma}/T$  or  $T^{-1}V^{-\gamma}$ -dependence of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation time to originate from that of  $\tau_0$  (or  $\tau_{\rm JG}$ ). The stronger  $\rho^{\gamma}/T$ -dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  with the same  $\gamma$  is merely a magnification from that of  $\tau_0$  (or  $\tau_{\rm JG}$ ) by the many-body effect.

### Correlation of Heterogeneous Dynamics Length Scale, $\xi_{het}$ , with n

Since  $\chi_4^{\text{max}}$  is proportional to the dynamic correlation volume,  $N_c$ , of the  $\alpha$ relaxation (or the corresponding length scale,  $\xi_{het}$ , of heterogeneous dynamics discussed before in Section 2.2.2), from the results of Fig. 236-6 we can conclude that  $N_c$  for a given material (defined in the simulations by the Kob–Andersen model) depends only on the magnitude of  $\tau^*$  or  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , independent of T or  $\rho$ . The  $\alpha$ -dispersion or *n* also depends only on the magnitude of  $\tau^*$  or  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , independent of *T* or  $\rho$ . An example is shown in the inset in the left panel of Fig. 236-6 for one  $\tau^*$ . Not shown are the same that hold for the self intermediate scattering functions for other values of  $\tau^*$  or  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . Moreover, from unpublished results of Coslovich and Roland, the values of *n* increase monotonically with  $\rho^{\gamma}/T$  just like  $\chi_4^{\text{max}}$  and  $N_c$  (or  $\xi_{\text{het}}$ ) shown in the right panel of Fig. 236-6. Thus the simulations demonstrate the existence of a correlation between  $N_c$  (or  $\xi_{het}$ ) and *n*, which has support from multidimensional NMR experiments on real glassformers [422] discussed before in Section 2.2.2.  $N_{\rm c}$  from  $\chi_4^{\text{max}}$  (or  $\xi_{\text{het}}$  from NMR measurement) offers valuable information on the heterogeneous dynamics of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. However, this quantity is not easy to acquire and not available for most glassformers. In contrast, the width of the  $\alpha$ -dispersion or *n* is readily obtained by direct measurements using various spectroscopic techniques. Although the quantitative relation between  $N_c$  (or  $\xi_{het}$ ) and *n* is not obvious, both quantities reflect different measures of the extent of the many-body relaxation dynamics. Hence for understanding the effects of many-body relaxation dynamics on physical quantities relevant to glass transition, it is much more expedient to use n than  $N_c$  (or  $\xi_{het}$ ).

# 2.3.2.30 Invariance of the Primitive Relaxation Time, $\tau_0$ , to Variations of *P* and *T* While Keeping $\tau_{\alpha}$ Constant Deduced from the Same Observed on the Normal Mode Relaxation Time, $\tau_n$ , of Type-A Polymers

Dielectric relaxation data were obtained for various temperatures T and pressures P on the polymers, poly(oxybutylene) (POB,  $M_{\rm p} = 4800$  and  $M_{\rm w}/M_{\rm p} = 1.10$  [1413], polypropylene glycol (PPG, M = 400 Da) [701, 1414], and 1,4-polyisoprene (PI) [637, 638, 1414]. These polymers have dipole moment along the backbone of the polymer which enables motions of longer length scale of the chain, called normal modes, to be detected in the dielectric spectra [1415]. The local segmental  $\alpha$ -relaxation is made visible dielectrically as usual by the normal component of the dipole moment. Thus, dielectric relaxation spectra of these so-called type-A polymers show not only the  $\alpha$ -relaxation but also the longer time normal or Rouse modes of the polymer chains. Dielectric measurements were carried out not only at ambient pressure but also at elevated pressures on these polymers and the results provide additional information of the relation between the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and the normal modes. The loss spectra of POB obtained at ambient pressure and elevated pressure in the left panel of Fig. 237 show the shape (or frequency dispersion) of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak is invariant to changes in the combination of P and T that maintains  $\tau_{\alpha}$ constant at  $\sim 10^{-3}$  s. This is a general property of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of glassformers discussed before in Section 2.2.4.

What is new in the left panel of Fig. 237 is that the shape of the normal mode loss peak also does not change, and the separation of the two loss peaks remains the same. The latter means that the ratio,  $\tau_n/\tau_\alpha$ , is invariant to variations of *P* and *T* while maintaining  $\tau_\alpha$  constant. This is shown in another manner by the plot of log  $\tau_\alpha$  vs. log  $\tau_n$  at three constant temperatures and varying pressure. The two other type-A polymers, PI and PPG, behave like POB, and this is shown in Fig. 238. The reader may recall the similar result for the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation that the ratio  $\tau_\alpha/\tau_{JG}$  (or  $\tau_\alpha/\tau_0$ ) is the same for different combinations of *P* and *T* having the same  $\tau_\alpha$ , shown before in Section 2.3.2.8. This apparently general relation of the normal modes to the  $\alpha$ -relaxation is interesting as well as challenging to explain.

In Section 2.2.5.9 we have seen another general property of polymer dynamics, which is the breakdown of thermorheological simplicity of relaxation mechanisms of different time/length scales. Specifically, the relaxation times of the normal modes have weaker temperature dependence than that of the local segmental relaxation, and this causes viscoelastic anomalies observed in polymers. These have been shown before in Figs. 99, 102, and 103 for unentangled PPG, PS, and PMPS, respectively. Explanation has been given by the CM in the literature [173, 360, 837, 904] as well as conveyed by Eqs. (2.63)–(2.65). The basic difference between normal modes and local segmental  $\alpha$ -relaxation is their coupling parameters. The coupling



**Fig. 237** (*Left*) Comparison of the peaks for the normal and segmental modes at an approximately constant value of  $\tau_{\alpha} \sim 10^{-3}$  s. The *ordinate* has been normalized by the peak intensity of the segmental mode. The relative intensity of the normal mode increases with increase of either pressure or temperature. Note that there is negligible change in either the peak shapes or the separation of the two peaks [1413]. (*Right*) Segmental relaxation times as a function of normal mode relaxation times for three isotherms. The pressure variation was in the range from 11 to 952 MPa. The slope of the fitted line reveals the near equivalence of the pressure dependences for the two relaxation modes. The fact that the data falls on the master line means, for any fixed  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , that  $\tau_{n}$  is the same independent of *P* and *T*. The *inset* shows the logarithm of the ratio of the two relaxation times, plotted vs. the normal mode relaxation time (*symbols* same as in main figure). Reproduced from [1413] by permission

parameter  $n_{\alpha}$  is from the intermolecular potential between repeat units. On the other hand,  $n_n$  is from entanglement coupling of longer length scale than the molecular weight of entanglement  $M_e$ . For unentangled polymers, the Rouse type of normal modes,  $n_n$  is zero. For fully entangled linear polymers,  $n_n$  is about 0.41 deduced from the experimentally observed  $M^{3.4}$ -dependence of the terminal relaxation time or viscosity and compared with the CM predicted  $M^{2/(1-n_n)}$  dependence. The traditional approach to polymer dynamics [29] assumes that relaxation mechanisms of all length scales have one and the same monomeric friction coefficient  $\zeta_0(T, P)$ governing the temperature and pressure dependence of their relaxation times. The consequence of this assumption is that all viscoelastic mechanisms shift uniformly with temperature, and the ideal behavior is called thermorheological simplicity. In reality, the  $\alpha$ -relaxation time has stronger *T*-dependence [165–168, 170–172, 206, 867–869, 909, 910] and *P*-dependence [637–639, 1413, 1414] than the chain modes of longer length scale (see Section 2.2.5.9). According to the CM it is due to the difference in the coupling parameters  $n_{\alpha}$  and  $n_n$ , and the fact that many polymers have



**Fig. 238** (*Left*) Segmental relaxation times as a function of normal mode relaxation times for six isotherms measure for PPG (M = 4000 Da); data from [701] and shown as such in [1413]. The slope was obtained by simultaneously fitting all data. (*Right*) Segmental relaxation times as a function of normal mode relaxation times for PI of respective molecular weight equal to 1200 Da (*open symbols*) from [638], and 10,600 Da (*filled symbols*) from [637], and plotted as such in [1413]. The temperatures (K) are as indicated. Reproduced from [1413] by permission

 $n_{\alpha}$  larger than  $n_{\rm n}$ . The primitive relaxation times of all viscoelastic mechanisms have the same primitive friction coefficient  $\zeta_0(T, P)$ , but after many-body dynamics due to coupling is taken into account by the CM equation, the dependence is modified to  $[\zeta_0(T, P)]^{1/(1-n_{\alpha})}$  for the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and  $[\zeta_0(T, P)]^{1/(1-n_{\rm n})}$  for the normal mode. With the primitive relaxation times of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and the longest normal mode written as  $\tau_0 = A_0\zeta_0(T, P)$ , and  $\tau_{0{\rm n}} = A_{\rm n}\zeta_0(T, P)$ , the resultant relaxation times after many-body effects have been taken into account are given by

$$\tau_{\alpha}(T,P) = [t_{c}^{-n_{\alpha}} A_{0}\zeta_{0}(T,P)]^{1/(1-n_{\alpha})}$$
(2.87)

and

$$\tau_{\rm n}(T,P) = [\hat{t}_{\rm c}^{-n_{\rm n}} A_{\rm n} \zeta_0(T,P)]^{1/(1-n_{\rm n})}, \qquad (2.88)$$

where  $\hat{t}_c$  for entangled normal modes has to be distinguished from  $t_c$  for  $\alpha$ -relaxation because the former is longer than the latter. For the simplest case of unentangled and Rouse-like normal modes where  $n_n = 0$ , Eq. (2.88) reduces to

$$\tau_{\rm n}(T,P) \equiv \tau_{0{\rm n}} = A_{\rm n}\zeta_0(T,P),$$
(2.89)

and we have

$$\tau_{\rm n}(T,P)/\tau_{\alpha}(T,P) = (A_{\rm n}/A_0)[t_{\rm c}/\tau_{\alpha}(T,P)]^{n_{\alpha}}.$$
(2.90)

On the right-hand side of this equation, the quantities  $A_n$ ,  $A_0$ , and  $t_c$  are constants, and  $n_{\alpha}$  is invariant to changes in *P* and *T* while keeping  $\tau_{\alpha}$  constant because the frequency dispersion of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation is unchanged. It follows that  $\tau_n/\tau_{\alpha}$  is invariant to changes in *P* and *T* while keeping  $\tau_{\alpha}$  constant, as found in POB (see Fig. 237), and also in PPG and PI (see Fig. 238). The same conclusion can be drawn for barely entangled or fully entangled normal modes where  $n_n$  is non-zero and if independent of *P* and *T* at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . The expression used to show this is messy, and hence not written out here.

Returning to the case of unentangled normal modes, since  $\tau_n$  is proportional to  $\tau_0$  by the multiplicative constant  $A_n/A_0$  from the experimental observation of constant  $\tau_n/\tau_\alpha$  at constant  $\tau_\alpha$  independent of *P* and *T* in type-A polymers, it follows that the same holds for  $\tau_0/\tau_\alpha$ . Furthermore, the same holds for  $\tau_{JG}/\tau_\alpha$  because  $\tau_{JG} \approx \tau_0$  as found generally in glassformers.

# **2.3.2.31** *TV<sup>\gamma</sup>*-Dependence of the Primitive Relaxation Time, $\tau_0$ , Same as that of the Normal Mode Relaxation Time, $\tau_n$ , of Type-A Polymers

Like other polymeric and non-polymeric glassformers, the logarithm of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation time,  $\log(\tau_{\alpha})$ , of the type-A polymers, POB, PPG, and PI, obtained by dielectric measurements for various temperatures *T* and pressures *P* yields a master curve when plotted vs.  $T^{-1}V^{-\gamma}$  [119, 1402–1409]. The analysis was extended to the dielectric normal mode in these type-A polymers [1413, 1414]. The normal mode relaxation times (strictly speaking, the longest normal mode relaxation times,  $\tau_n$ ) superpose to a single master curve when plotted against  $T^{-1}V^{-\gamma}$ , using the *same* value of  $\gamma$  as for the local segmental  $\alpha$ -relaxation times,  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . This concurrent property of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and the normal mode in POB and PPG are displayed in Fig. 239, and can be expressed ins term of two different functions of the same variable  $T^{-1}V^{-\gamma}$  by  $\tau_{\alpha} = f_{\alpha}(T^{-1}V^{-\gamma})$ , and  $\tau_n = f_n(T^{-1}V^{-\gamma})$ . As can seen by the comparison in the figure,  $f_n(T^{-1}V^{-\gamma})$  for  $\tau_n$  has a weaker dependence on  $T^{-1}V^{-\gamma}$ 

This result is can be derived from Eqs. (2.87) and (2.88). Experiments have shown that  $\tau_{\alpha} = f_{\alpha}(T^{-1}V^{-\gamma})$ , and mean  $\zeta_0(T, P)$  in Eq. (2.87) necessarily has the dependence on the product variable  $T^{-1}V^{-\gamma}$ , and it should be rewritten as  $\zeta_0(T^{-1}V^{-\gamma})$ ), and

$$\tau_{\alpha}(T^{-1}V^{-\gamma}) = [t_{\rm c}^{-n_{\alpha}}A_0\zeta_0(T^{-1}V^{-\gamma})]^{1/(1-n_{\alpha})}.$$
(2.91)

Substituting  $\zeta_0(T^{-1}V^{-\gamma})$  into Eq. (2.88), we arrive at

$$\tau_{\rm n}(T^{-1}V^{-\gamma}) = [\hat{t}_{\rm c}^{-n_{\rm n}}A_{\rm n}\zeta_0(T^{-1}V^{-\gamma})]^{1/(1-n_{\rm n})}.$$
(2.92)



**Fig. 239** (*Left*) Dielectric normal mode (*squares*) and local segmental (*circles*) relaxation times of POB measured as a function of temperature at P = 0.1 MPa ( $\blacksquare$ , •) and as a function of pressure at T = 246.6 K (*lower half filled*  $\Box$ , *lower half filled* O), 273.2 K ( $\Box$ , O), and 297.4 K (*upper half filled*  $\Box$ , *upper half filled* O). The data were superposed using an exponent  $\gamma = 2.65$ . The *arrows* indicate the constant vertical shift has been applied to all data points of log  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . This operation clearly shows that  $\tau_{\alpha}$  has a stronger  $TV^{\gamma}$ -dependence than  $\tau_n$  for the same  $\gamma$ . (*Right*) Normal mode and local segmental relaxation times as a function of the quantity  $T^{-1}V^{-\gamma}$ , where  $\gamma = 2.5$ for PPG400, T = 258 (O), 268 (<), 283 ( $\triangle$ ), 293 ( $\nabla$ ), 303 ( $\diamond$ ), and 313 K ( $\Box$ ). Reproduced from [1413, 1414] by permission

Thus,  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_n$  are both functions of  $T^{-1}V^{-\gamma}$ , but their dependences on  $T^{-1}V^{-\gamma}$ are different. Usually,  $n_{\alpha}$  is larger than  $n_n$ , and certainly in the case of the Rouse normal modes of unentangled polymers because  $n_n = 0$ . Thus, a stronger dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  on  $T^{-1}V^{-\gamma}$  than  $\tau_n$  is predicted by the two equations given above. The prediction can be tested quantitatively after the values of  $n_{\alpha}$  and  $n_n$  have been determined by analysis of the dielectric spectra performed in [1415]. The experimental findings were explained by the CM equations (2.91) and (2.92). The values of  $n_{\alpha}$  and  $n_n$  were determined from the dielectric spectra, and the stronger dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  on  $T^{-1}V^{-\gamma}$  than  $\tau_n$  was explained quantitatively. For details, see [1415].

Combining the results of this subsection and the previous one, for polymers it can be said that all three processes, the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation, the local segmental  $\alpha$ -relaxation, and the normal chain mode relaxation, are functions of the same  $T^{-1}V^{-\gamma}$  variable, albeit the function for the  $\alpha$ -relaxation is different from those of the other two relaxations, and they can all be different if entanglement coupling enters into the relaxation of the normal modes. In the explanation of the relation between  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{n}$ on their  $T^{-1}V^{-\gamma}$ -dependences given by the CM via the equations above, playing an important role is the primitive friction factor  $\zeta_0(T^{-1}V^{-\gamma})$ , which is also that of the primitive relaxation time  $\tau_0$  or the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{JG}$ . Therefore, the experimental fact that  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_n$  are functions of  $T^{-1}V^{-\gamma}$  offers another support that the dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  on  $T^{-1}V^{-\gamma}$  originates from the dependence of  $\tau_0$  or  $\tau_{JG}$  on the same variable.

An Earlier Example of Relation Between *P*- and *T*-Dependences of Normal Mode and Local Segmental Relaxation in Accordance with the CM

Although the different dependences of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{n}$  on the same  $T^{-1}V^{-\gamma}$  variable only has been demonstrated recently, different temperature and pressure dependences of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{n}$  were found before in 1986 in amorphous and unentangled poly(methylphenyl siloxane) (PMPS). Measurements by photon correlation spectroscopy [199] found for the local segmental relaxation from the fit to the correlation function by the Kohlrausch function that  $\tau_{\alpha} = \tau_{\alpha\infty} \exp[(B_{\alpha} + a_{\alpha}P)/R(T - T_{0})]$ where  $B_{n}/R = 1659 \pm 43$  K,  $a_{\alpha} = 67.6 \pm 1.5$  cm<sup>3</sup>/mol,  $T_{0} = 207$  K, and  $n_{\alpha} = 0.56$ . For the normal mode of diffusion, its relaxation time is given by  $\tau_{n} =$  $\tau_{n\infty} \exp[(B_{n} + a_{n}P)/R(T - T_{0})]$  with  $B_{n}/R = 732 \pm 18$  K,  $a_{n} = 32.4 \pm 0.8$  cm<sup>3</sup>/mol,  $T_{0} = 207$  K, and  $n_{n} = 0$  because the polymer is unentangled. The CM equations (2.87) and (2.88) leading to the following predictions are  $(1 - n_{\alpha})B_{\alpha} = B_{n}$ , and  $(1 - n_{\alpha})a_{\alpha} = a_{n}$ . Both predictions have been verified [201].

#### 2.3.2.32 Calorimetric Detection of JG Relaxation

One of the conventional methods to detect glass transition is by heat capacity measurement. Commercial instruments for thermal analysis such as DSC usually observe the response of the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation at  $T_{g\alpha}$ , but do not have the sensitivity to pick up that of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. This is obviously because the local primitive or JG  $\beta$ -relaxation involves the motion of lesser molecules and with smaller amplitudes than the  $\alpha$ -relaxation, and consequently has smaller magnitudes in all thermodynamic and dynamic quantities. Nevertheless, use of the precision adiabatic calorimetry was able to detect heat capacity changes at another temperature  $T_{g\beta}$  below  $T_{g\alpha}$  in isopropylbenzene, propylene carbonate, and 1,3diphenyl-1,1,3,3-tetramethyldisiloxane, and o-terphenyl by Oguni and coworkers [1048, 1075, 1416]. This heat capacity response arises from the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation, and  $T_{g\beta}$  is called the (calorimetric) JG  $\beta$ -glass transition temperature at which  $\tau_{\rm JG}(T_{\rm gB})$  is typically of the order of 10<sup>3</sup> s. Similarly, as discussed before in Sections 2.3.2.27 and 2.3.2.28, the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of water in nanoconfinement and in hydrated proteins have been found by adiabatic calorimetry. Mentioned before in Section 2.3.2.16 is the detection by adiabatic calorimetry of the JG  $\beta$ relaxation of cyclohexanol in its plastic crystalline phase [1048]. Thus adiabatic calorimetry is a very useful tool to detect JG relaxation particularly when it cannot be resolved by other techniques especially at long relaxation times of the order of  $10^3$  s.

#### Relation to the Correlation Index c of Fujimori–Oguni

Fujimori and Oguni (FO) [1075] have proposed to plot both  $\log[\tau_{\alpha}(T)]$  and  $\log[\tau_{\beta}(T)]$  against the scaled temperature,  $T_{g\beta}/T$ , where  $T_{g\beta}$  is the  $\beta$ -glass temperature at which  $\tau_{\beta}(T_{g\beta})$  is equal to  $10^3$  s. This plot is shown in Fig. 240 for four glassformers, propylene carbonate, 1,3-diphenyl-1,1,3,3-tetramethyldisiloxane (PMS), *o*-terphenyl, and isopropylbenzene. The conventional glass temperature, denoted by  $T_{g\alpha}$ , is defined as the temperature at which  $\tau_{\alpha}(T_{g\alpha})$  is also equal to  $10^3$  s. FO defined a "correlation index,"  $c \equiv (1 - T_{g\beta}/T_{g\alpha})$ , which they believe to be related to the size of the correlated region of molecules executing the  $\alpha$ -relaxation at  $T_{g\alpha}$ . Small or zero value of *c* according to FO means the absence of correlation, and larger value of *c* indicates that the molecules form correlated region. Although this proposal by FO is interesting, a theoretical theory or model that takes FO from *c* to the size of correlation is absent.

It turns out that the "correlation index" *c* of FO and the coupling parameter *n* of the CM are linearly proportional to each other and given by  $c = 14.7n/(3-\log \tau_{\beta\infty})$ . This was shown in [251]. The belief of FO that their quantity *c* has something to do with cooperativity (or correlation) of molecular motions has a theoretical basis provided by the coupling model.



**Fig. 240** Arrhenius plots of the  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -relaxation times  $\tau$  against the inverse of temperature scaled by  $T_{g\beta}$ , the respective  $\beta$ -glass transition temperatures at  $\tau = 1$  ks: (O) propylene carbonate (c = 0.59); ( $\bullet$ ) PMS (c = 0.59); ( $\Box$ ) o-terphenyl (c = 0.45); ( $\blacksquare$ ) isopropylbenzene (c = 0.43). The *shaded area* represents the probable region of  $\beta$ -relaxation times. From [1075] of Fujimori and Oguni. They pointed out that all the relaxation times of the  $\alpha$ -processes at high temperatures are well in the shaded region, indicating validity of their proposition that the  $\beta$ -process could be elementary for the  $\alpha$ -process in what they called the correlated region of molecules. Reproduced from [1075] by permission

#### 2.3.2.33 JG β-Relaxation Causes Cage Decay and Terminates the NCL

We have seen from many of the previous subsections that the primitive or JG  $\beta$ relaxation is well connected to the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. Without the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation as the precursor, the  $\alpha$ -relaxation would not have taken place. There is yet another important role played by the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in controlling the dynamics at earlier times when all molecules are confined within cages, each of which is formed by neighboring molecules acting by the intermolecular potential. Except at very high temperatures, the caged dynamics starts early at time of the order of ps for molecular glassformers after appearance of vibrations, and the loss is due to motion within the anharmonic potential of the cage and the fluctuations of the cages themselves. Unlike rotational and translational motions involved in secondary and primary relaxations, the loss  $\varepsilon''(\nu)$  or  $\chi''(\nu)$  observed in this caged regime has no characteristic relaxation time and thus its frequency dependence is a power law,  $pv^{-c}$ , with c positive and usually small. From the relation of susceptibility to intermediate scattering function and mean-squared displacement in Chapter 1, this loss corresponds to a mean-squared displacement with time dependence of  $p't^c$ . It continues indefinitely until the cages start to decay by the onset of a secondary relaxation involving the motion of the entire molecule, which is the primitive or JG β-relaxation. Non-JG secondary relaxation involving rotation of only a part of the molecule is not as effective as JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in this respect. At lower temperatures where  $\tau_{JG}$  is long compared with ps, the loss within the cage,  $pv^{-c}$ , extends over many decades of frequency before  $v_{IG}$  is reached when cage decay has already commenced. This necessarily means that the exponent c has to become smaller at lower temperature as experimentally observed (and longer  $\tau_{IG}$ ) for otherwise at times before reaching  $\tau_{IG}$  the mean-squared displacement, whether translational or rotational, would be too large to be consistent with the molecules that are still caged. The caged dynamics observed as  $pv^{-c}$  with  $c \ll 1$  is naturally called the nearly constant loss (NCL), which was briefly discussed before in Section 2.3.2.6. It is also observed by quasielastic neutron scattering in terms of the mean-squared displacement  $\langle u^2(t) \rangle$ with *t*-dependence  $\sim t^c$  with  $c \ll 1$  at low enough temperatures. An example from  $\langle u^2(t) \rangle$  of water O atoms of hydrated protein over a range of temperature was given before in Fig. 228b. Anharmonicity of the intermolecular potential as well as the amplitudes of fluctuations of cages generally decreases with decreasing temperature, and hence this is one of the reason why the magnitude of the NCL decreases mildly with decreasing temperature. There is yet another reason which will become clear below.

The time when the cages start to decay marks the termination of the NCL regime [195, 508, 685], and  $\tau_{\rm JG}$  or  $\tau_0$  is the time of dissolution of cages through local relaxation by rotation and/or translation of the entire molecular unit. Actually we have seen this before from the discussion in Section 2.3.2.28 of the dynamic transition of biomolecules covered by water or other solvents. The  $\langle u^2 \rangle$  measured by Mössbauer spectroscopy and neutron scattering at temperature below the dynamic transition temperature  $T_{\rm d}$  comes from vibrations and NCL of caged dynamics (in some cases also the rotation of the methyl group), and exhibits mild increase with temperature. This NCL regime is terminated at  $T_{\rm d}$ , beyond which  $\langle u^2 \rangle$  rises rapidly

with temperature. Via Figs. 222, 224, 233-3 to 233-8, we have verified that the primitive or JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of the solvent at  $T_d$  matches the timescale of the spectrometer used, and hence these experimental findings provide clear evidence of the termination of NCL by the primitive or JG  $\beta$ -relaxation.

This expected relation between the NCL and the primitive or the JG β-relaxation has been verified by dielectric relaxation data of ionic conductors and molecular glassformers [149, 1057–1059, 1061, 1417], which show that the primitive frequency  $v_0 \equiv 1/(2\pi\tau_0)$  or the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation frequency  $v_{JG} \equiv 1/(2\pi\tau_{JG})$  is located below but not far from  $v_{\text{NCL}} \equiv 1/(2\pi t_{x1})$ , the lower bound of the NCL frequency regime where  $\varepsilon''(v) = pv^{-c}$  with  $c \ll 1$ . The loss in between  $v_{NCL}$  and  $v_0$  or  $v_{IG}$ represents the frequencies of transitory and local relaxation processes that transpire during course of cage decay. The dielectric loss data of NMEC, glycerol, propylene carbonate, PIB, PI in Figs. 133–136 and other glassformers [508] show the relation between  $v_{NCL}$  and  $v_{JG}$  with the latter estimated by the primitive relaxation frequency  $v_0 \equiv 1/(2\pi\tau_0)$  of the CM calculated by Eq. (2.68). The termination of the NCL by the primitive or JG relaxation frequency is further illustrated for NMEC, threitol, and xylitol in Fig. 241 here. From this relation between NCL and the primitive or JG relaxation, argument has been advanced to show the level of NCL of the same glassformer is inversely proportional to  $\log(t_{x1}) - \log(t_{ons})$ , where  $t_{ons}$  is the onset time of the NCL [507].

Onset Time of NCL

It is worthwhile to mention that the time regime of NCL does not extend indefinitely to shorter times. The onset time of NCL,  $t_{ons}$ , in van der Waals liquids is about 2 ps,



**Fig. 241** The dielectric loss data scaled by the maximum loss of three molecular glassformers by NMEC (n = 0.24), threitol (n = 0.36), and xylitol (n = 0.46) that have approximately the same primary relaxation time  $\tau$ . The *vertical arrows* indicate the location of the calculated  $\nu_0$ . Reproduced from [149] by permission

which can be gathered by inspection of the signal S(t) obtained by optical heterodyne detection (OHD) of the optical Kerr effect (OKE) in salol [330a] and OTP [330b] shown in Fig. 242. With the appropriate selection of polarization conditions. the imaginary part of the Fourier transform of the OHD-OKE signal, S(t), is proportional to susceptibility  $\chi''(\omega)$  data obtained from depolarized light scattering, i.e.,  $\chi''(\omega) \propto \text{Im FT}\{S(t)\}$ . Thus, if  $S(t) \propto t^{-1+c}$ , then  $\chi''(\omega) \propto \omega^{-c}$ . At 247 K for salol and 284 K for OTP,  $S(t) \propto t^{-1+c}$  and  $\chi''(\omega) \propto \omega^{-c}$ , with  $c \ll 1$  is the NCL, and it can be seen that it starts at  $t \sim 2$  ps. Therefore,  $t_{ons} \sim 2$  ps. The OHD-OKE was applied to other supercooled molecular liquids including benzophenone and 2-biphenylmethanol [332]. Like salol and OTP, the data show the onset of the intermediate power law (IPL),  $S(t) \propto pt^{-1+c}$  with  $c \ll 1$ , at  $t_{ons} \sim 1-2$  ps. In all cases, this NCL feature in the dynamics is followed in the course of time by the merged JG relaxation and the  $\alpha$ -relaxation which decays exponentially at long times, suggesting that the caged dynamics manifested by the NCL is terminated by the relaxation. The so-called intermediate power law (IPL) of  $S(t) \propto t^{-1+c}$  with c << 1 is the time-domain equivalent of the near constant loss (NCL) observed in susceptibility spectrum [1056, 1062].



**Fig. 242** (*Left*) OHD-OKE signal *S*(*t*) data of salol from ~1 ps to ~10 ns for several temperatures: 247, 250, 253, 257, 261, 266, 270, 280, and 290 K (*bottom to top*). The data are scaled, S(t = 0) = 1. The data appear to be a power law at intermediate times. This can be seen most clearly in the T = 247 K data, where the intermediate power law span is 2 < t < 500 ps. The *dashed line* represents a  $t^{-1}$  power law. (*Right*) OHD-OKE signal *S*(*t*) data from OTP plotted for some of the temperatures measured to illustrate the temperature-dependent trends in the data. The temperatures are 284.0, 292.0, 296.0, 303.9, 309.8, 319.7, 334.5, and 361.0 K. The data were normalized at 300 fs. The slowest portions of the lowest temperature is lowered, the time span of the data expands. The *dashed line* represents a  $t^{-1}$  power law. Reproduced from [330a, 330b] by permission
Molecular dynamics simulations of OTP by Wahnström and Lewis also show the onset of NCL is at about 2 ps at temperatures equal to 205 K and below it (see Fig. 6) [315].

The coincidence of  $t_{ons}$  and  $t_c$ , the crossover time from primitive relaxation to Kohlrausch  $\alpha$ -relaxation appearing in the CM equation (2.67), may not be accidental because both are indicators of the time when intermolecular interaction starts to exert its influence manifested by either caging or  $\alpha$ -relaxation. In terms of the theoretical basis of the CM discussed in Section 2.2.1.3, whether it is caging or  $\alpha$ -relaxation, the physics involves onset of chaos in non-linear Hamiltonian dynamics, and their onset times are naturally the same.

#### NCL in CKN

The dielectric relaxation measurements of 0.4Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>–0.6KNO<sub>3</sub> (CKN) by Lunkenheimer and coworkers [1034, 1418, 1419] over a wide frequency range from  $4 \times 10^{11}$  Hz to  $10^{-2}$  Hz and consistent with those obtained published earlier by Howell et al. at lower frequencies and down to the glassy state [55] are most revealing on all the relaxation mechanisms. Although conductivity relaxation is measured by dielectric relaxation, its conductivity relaxation time is not much different from the structural relaxation time at temperatures higher than 373 K, indicating the two relaxations are coupled together. For frequencies higher than 0.1 GHz and temperatures above the putative MCT critical temperature  $T_c=375$  K, the dielectric loss spectra exhibit minima consistent with neutron scattering [1420] and depolarized light scattering (DLS) [1421] in the same spectral range. However, the "knee" found in DLS spectra at lower temperatures [1421], and supposedly verifying a prediction of the idealized MCT, turned out to be an experimental artifact [1422].

In reality, at lower temperatures, the NCL appears in the dielectric loss spectra, and its frequency range increases with decreasing temperature [195, 1057, 1058]. Shown in the inset of Fig. 243 are the electric loss modulus,  $M''(v) \equiv \text{Im}M^*(v)$ , data of 0.4Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-0.6KNO<sub>3</sub> (CKN) at 342 K from the Lunkenheimer et al. For definition of the complex electric modulus  $M^*(v)$ , see Section 1.2.2. The glass transition temperature  $T_g$  is 333 K for CKN. The dashed line is the fit to the  $M^*(\nu)$ data by the imaginary part of  $M_n^*(\nu)$ , obtained from the Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function,  $\exp[-(t/\tau_K)^{1-n}]$ , with n = 0.34 or  $\beta \equiv (1 - n) = 0.66$ and  $\tau_{\rm K} = 6.6 \times 10^{-4}$  s according to Eq. (1.56). From this fit, the corresponding  $\varepsilon_n^*(\nu)$  is obtained from the identity  $\varepsilon_n^*(\nu) = 1/M_n^*(\nu)$ . The main figure shows the data represented by  $\varepsilon''(v)$  and the dashed line is the imaginary part of  $\varepsilon_n^*(v)$ . The  $\varepsilon''$  data at 342 K demonstrate the presence of the NCL over about three decades, and there is a crossover to a power law  $\varepsilon''(\nu) \propto \nu^{-\beta}$  at lower frequencies, with  $\beta = 1 - n$ , and eventually  $\varepsilon'' \propto \nu^{-1}$  at even lower frequencies in the dc conductivity regime. The data in the M'' representation show also the crossover from NCL to the power law  $M'' \propto (\nu)^{-\beta}$ . The data  $\varepsilon''(\nu)$  deviate from Im  $\varepsilon_n^*(\nu)$  at higher frequencies and we determine  $v_{x2}$  as the frequency above which the deviations are more than 10%. Similarly, we determine  $v_{x1}$  as the frequency below which the data is more than 10% larger than the  $\nu^{-c}$ -dependence (c << 1) chosen to represent



**Fig. 243** Dielectric loss  $\varepsilon''$  as a function of frequency of CKN at 342.5 K showing the existence of the NCL over three decades in frequency. The *dashed line* is Im  $\varepsilon_n^*(\nu)$  from the fully cooperative ion conductivity relaxation calculated from  $M_n^*(\nu)$ , the fit by the Kohlrausch function with n = 0.34 to the complex electric loss modulus data. The *dashed line* in the *inset* shows this fit to the imaginary part of  $M^*$ , the experimental data. The deviation of the data from the Kohlrausch fit at higher frequencies is marked by one crossover frequency,  $\nu_{x2}$ . The deviation of the data from the NCL at lower frequencies is marked by the other crossover frequency,  $\nu_{x1}$ . The locations of  $\nu_K$  and the calculated independent relaxation frequency,  $\nu_o$ , are also indicated. Data after [1034, 1418, 1419]. Reproduced from [195] by permission

the NCL. When data are not sufficient as in the present case, the  $v_{x1}$  shown in the figure is somewhat arbitrary. Either from  $\varepsilon''(v)$  or M''(v), we can discern three spectral regimes discussed in the previous section: (1) an NCL regime with  $\alpha \approx 0$  for  $\nu > \nu_{x1}$  or  $t < t_{x1} \equiv 1/(2\pi\nu_{x1})$ , (2) an intermediate crossover regime defined by  $v_{x1} > v > v_{x2}$  or  $t_{x1} < t < t_{x2} \equiv 1/(2\pi v_{x2})$ , and (3) the fully cooperative regime for  $\nu < \nu_{x2}$  or  $t > t_{x2}$ , where the Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function fits well the M''(v) data. The location of the primitive relaxation frequency,  $v_0$ , is calculated by the CM equation from n = 0.34 and  $\tau_{\rm K} = 6.6 \times 10^{-4}$  s with  $t_{\rm c} = 2$  ps, previously deduced for CKN from high-frequency/high-temperature measurements (see Fig. 8). Note that a factor of two uncertainty in determining  $t_c$  or  $v_c$  introduces via the CM equation uncertainty of only  $2^n$  in  $v_0$ , which is negligible for the present purpose. The most important point to make is that  $v_0$  lies well inside the intermediate time regime and satisfies the inequality  $\nu_{x1} >> \nu_0 >> \nu_{x2} >> \nu_K$  or  $t_{x1} << \tau_0 << t_{x2} << \tau_K$  which is necessary in order to justify the dual roles of the primitive relaxation, i.e., the terminator of the caged or the NCL regime and the precursor of the fully cooperative relaxation.

Having explained the procedure of determining the crossover frequencies by an example, the isothermal  $\varepsilon''(v)$  data for many temperatures obtained by Lunkenheimer and coworkers had been analyzed in the same manner [1057,1058].

Some representative data at T = 342, 350, 356, and 361 K are reproduced here in the left panel of Fig. 244. At 361 K,  $\varepsilon''(\nu)$  already exhibits a very flat minimum from 10<sup>10</sup> to 10<sup>8</sup> Hz. The NCL is even more evident in  $\varepsilon''(\nu)$  at lower temperatures. Isothermal data similar to that shown for 342 K were produced by Lunkenheimer et al. at 325.0, 350.3, 356.4, 361.0, 365.4, 370.0, 385.0, 393.0, 417.0, 440.0, and 468.0 K. Kohlrausch fits to these data in the electric modulus representation had previously been done by Lunkenheimer [1034], and from his work the parameters, n and  $\nu_{\rm K}$ , are obtained for all temperatures. From these parameters,  $\nu_0$  are calculated. Analyses of these data at other temperatures similar to that shown for 342 K give the parameters,  $\nu_{x1}$  and  $\nu_{x2}$ , which define the frequency regimes for each temperature. The primitive relaxation frequency,  $\nu_0 \equiv 1/(2\pi \tau_0)$ , is found to be located between  $\nu_{x1}$  and  $\nu_{x2}$ .

In the right panel of Fig. 244-1,  $v_{x1}$ ,  $v_0$ ,  $v_{x2}$ , and  $v_K$  are plotted as a function of temperature. At all temperatures where these four frequencies can be determined, the inequality  $v_{x1} >> v_0 >> v_{x2} >> v_K$  is satisfied, and it shows once again that the primitive relaxation terminates the caged dynamics in the NCL regime and heralds the onset of the cooperative processes leading finally to the



**Fig. 244-1** (*Left*) The *inset* shows the CKN data of  $\log_{10} \sigma'(v)$  vs.  $\log(\text{frequency/Hz})$  of CKN at T=342 K to show the near linear frequency dependence of the NCL in Fig. 1. The *dashed line* that includes the dc conductivity and the high-frequency power law  $v^{1-\beta}$  or  $v^n$  corresponds to the Kohlrausch fit to the electric modulus (shown by the dashed line in the inset of Fig. 243) with n = 0.34. In the main figure, the *symbols* are dielectric loss data of CKN by Lunkenheimer et al. at T=342, 350, 356, and 361 K (going from *bottom* to *top*). The *dashed lines* are the loss due to cooperative ion hopping calculated from the Kohlrausch fits to the electric modulus data and converted to a dielectric loss function of frequency. The crossover frequencies  $v_{x1}$  and  $v_{x2}$  (not shown) are determined from these data in the same manner as explained in the text (and in Fig. 242). (*Right*) *Solid squares* are the Kohlrausch conductivity relaxation frequency  $v_K$  obtained from the fits to the data of CKN [1034, 1418, 1419] at various temperatures in the electric modulus representation. *Open triangles* are the primitive frequencies  $v_0$  calculated from the fits. The *open* and *closed diamonds* are the crossover frequencies,  $v_{x1}$  and  $v_{x2}$ . Reproduced from [195] by permission

Kohlrausch relaxation. The crossover region is narrower at higher temperatures. Although broader at lower temperatures, the region is only about two and a half decades wide at 324 K, the lowest measurement temperature below  $T_g$ . The separation between  $v_0$  and  $v_K$  becomes smaller at higher temperatures. This trend is expected from the CM relation,  $(\log v_0 - \log v_K) = n[\log v_c - \log v_K]$ , where  $v_c \equiv 1/2\pi t_c$ , because of the increase of  $v_K$  and also the possible decrease of *n* on increasing temperature.

The interpretation of the evolution of dynamics from NCL to primitive or JG relaxation and to the cooperative relaxation given above for CKN which is both an ionic conductor and a glassformer applies verbatim to glassy as well as crystalline ionic conductors, the dielectric spectra of which show all the common features [1057, 1058]. There are plenty of such examples, and the common features will be discussed in Chapter 3 on universal properties of many-body relaxation. The same remark applies to experimental data and interpretation of polymeric and non-polymeric molecular glassformers, inorganic and metallic glassformers and colloidal suspensions.

#### Evidence from Helium Transport in Glassy BPA-PC

Gusev et al. [1354(e)] obtained experimental and simulation data on helium transport in glassy BPA-PC. The data were collected over the temperature range from 100 to 300 K ( $T_g$  of BPA-PC is ca. 450 K). They found good agreement between experiment and simulation of the diffusion coefficient and permeability of helium and they have Arrhenius *T*-dependence with activation energy of 3.9 and 3.7 kcal/mol for diffusion from experiment and simulation, respectively. The size of the activation energy is like that of the primitive relaxation.

Figure 244-2 depicts the calculated time dependence of the mean-squared displacement  $\langle r^2 \rangle$  of helium molecules in the BPA-PC. At the low temperature of 110 K, after a few picoseconds the  $\langle r^2 \rangle$  of the helium molecules has the  $\langle r^2 \rangle \propto t^c$  with  $c \sim 0.14$  over many decades of time, indicating caged helium molecule dynamics or NCL. Finally at long times, the helium dynamics becomes diffusive with  $\langle r^2 \rangle = 6Dt$ , where D is the diffusion coefficient. Since the helium molecule is the analogue of local secondary relaxation, the time dependence of  $\langle r^2 \rangle$  can be considered as evidence for termination of caged dynamics (or NCL) by onset of secondary relaxation.

#### NCL Is Not an Additive Contribution

Although the presence of NCL is clear from the dielectric (Fig. 240) and mechanical (Figs. 169 and 170) spectra, and the optical Kerr effect (OHD-OKE, Fig. 241), none of these spectra can rule out that the NCL is an additive contribution to the secondary and primary relaxation that appears at longer times. The data cannot rule out that the NCL can exist at even longer times than these relaxations. In fact, the high-frequency dielectric spectra of glycerol has fitted successfully by assuming  $\varepsilon''(\nu) = c_1 \nu^{-b} + c_2 \nu + c_3$ , where the last term represents the NCL [682(b)]. The



**Fig. 244-2** Dynamics of helium molecules in BPA-PC. *Symbols* at 300 and 175 K show averages over 1500 random walks (500 walks in each of the three microstructures) and *symbols* at 110 K over 150 random walks. *Solid lines* with slope 1 exactly represent the Einstein diffusive mode  $\langle r^2 \rangle = 6Dt$ . The onset of Einstein diffusion occurs at several dozen angstroms. Reproduced from [1354(e)] by permission

OHD-OKE signal, S(t), is fitted by the function  $S(t) = [pt^{-1+c} + dt^{b-1}] \exp(-t/\tau_{\alpha})$ , where the first term with  $c \ll 1$  corresponds to the NCL and is assumed to be an additive term [332]. These assumptions are at odds with our idea that NCL is terminated at by primitive or JG relaxation. Our idea can be substantiated by comparing dielectric relaxation and dynamic light-scattering experimental data of CKN in the same temperature and frequency ranges. The comparison is made in Fig. 245 [1451]. While the dielectric data admit the possibility that the NCL is an additive contribution to the observed  $\varepsilon''(v)$ , the dynamic light-scattering data of  $\chi''(v)$  at 468 K clearly rule this out, because if otherwise  $\chi''(v)$  would show a flat loss at low frequencies which is not observed.

#### NCL in Ionic Liquid

The orientational dynamics of the supercooled ionic organic liquids *N*-propyl-3-methylpyridinium *bis*(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide (PMPIm) were studied by optically heterodyne-detected optical Kerr effect (OHD-OKE) in the short time range from 1 to about  $3 \times 10^5$  ps [333]. The shape of the decay curve is very similar to those that have been observed earlier for supercooled molecular liquids by the same technique, including the onset of the intermediate power law (IPL),  $pt^{-1+c}$ with  $c \ll 1$ , at about 2 ps, to be followed by the merged JG relaxation and the  $\alpha$ -relaxation which eventually decays exponentially. At 233 K, the IPL appears in the range from 2 to 30 ps [333]. Thus this is another example of NCL terminated by the JG relaxation now merged with the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. As an aside, the authors of the OHD-OKE study interpreted their data in terms of the two-correlators schematic MCT model with the orientation coupled to density fluctuations by Götze and Sperl



**Fig. 245** (*Left*) Isothermal data of  $\varepsilon''(v)$  of CKN taken by Lunkenheimer et al. at higher temperatures of 393, 412, 417, and 468 K (from *bottom* to *top*). Each *line* is obtained by fitting the isothermal electric modulus data  $M^*(v)$  by the Kohlrausch function and transforming the obtained fit to  $\varepsilon''(v)$ . The *inset* compares the temperature dependence of the frequency of the structural relaxation peak in  $\chi''(v)$  from the dynamic light-scattering measurement of Li et al. [1421] on CKN (*open circles*) with the frequency of the M''(v) peak (*filled squares*). (*Right*)  $\chi''(v)$  data from the dynamic light scattering [1421] of CKN at 423 and 462 K. The peak at lower frequencies is the structural relaxation. The *dashed lines* are the fit by the imaginary part of the Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch functions with parameters given by Li et al. Reproduced from [1451] by permission

[34]. However, as acknowledged by the authors themselves [333], the empirical equation they used to analyze the data is not compatible with MCT. For more discussion of IPL,  $pt^{-1+c}$  with  $c \ll 1$ , and relation to NCL and MCT, see Section 2.3.2.37.

## NCL in Metallic Glasses

Another example is the isochronal mechanical loss G'' spectrum of metallic glasses presented in Fig. 169 by the 1 Hz shear loss modulus data of  $Pd_{43}Ni_{10}Cu_{27}P_{20}$  [956], and in Fig. 170 by the 5.4 kHz shear loss modulus data of Zr<sub>65</sub>Al<sub>7.5</sub>Cu<sub>27.5</sub> [957]. They clearly show the presence of both the NCL and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation either resolved or in the form of the excess wing. The NCL is identified in the semilog plot of G'' vs. T by its characteristic weak T-dependence, approximately proportional to  $\exp(T/T_0)$  in these figures, also found in several molecular glassformers by dielectric, mechanical, and spin-lattice relaxation spectroscopies (see Fig. 6 in [971]). The presence of both the excess wing (or IPL with  $c \approx 0.2$  or other similar values) and the NCL (IPL with  $c \approx 0$ , or logarithmic decay of orientation correlation function) in metallic glass is interesting because there is no rotation. Hence rotationaltranslational coupling is absent in metallic glass, and the recent versions of MCT by Götze and Sperl [34, 35] and Cummins [1119] that incorporate rotationaltranslational coupling cannot explain the IPL with  $c \approx 0$  or NCL in metallic glasses. The isochronal dynamic shear modulus data,  $G^*(T, \nu) = G''(\nu) + iG''(\nu)$ , of the metallic glass  $Pd_{43}Ni_{10}Cu_{27}P_{20}$  at  $\nu = 1$  Hz by Pelletier et al. were able to resolve the JG relaxation as a shoulder instead of showing up as an excess wing [956] (see Fig. 169). At temperatures lower than about 150°C, the temperature dependence of  $G''(\nu)$  becomes weak and indicates it is the contribution from the NCL. The data demonstrate once more that it is the JG relaxation terminating the NCL.

Extending the Frequency Range of NCL in Glycerol to 12 Decades

It is interesting to put together the observation of NCL in  $\chi''(v)$  of glycerol  $(T_g = 185 \text{ K})$  at 160 K by dynamic light scattering in the 1–40 GHz range by Kisluik et al. [1423] (see Fig. 1b in this reference), and also in  $\varepsilon''(v) = p v^{-c}$  with  $c \approx 0.07 \pm 0.02$  at nearly the same temperatures by dielectric spectroscopy in the  $10^{-2} - 10^5$  Hz range by Kudlik et al. [971] (see Fig. 3 and Eq. (7) in this reference). The NCL observed in these two widely different frequency ranges at the same temperature has the same property and is likely exemplification of the same physical process. Although no data of either  $\chi''(\nu)$  or  $\varepsilon''(\nu)$  were obtained in the intermediate frequency range from  $10^5$  to  $10^9$  Hz, if measurable at 160 K, in all likelihood they are also NCL interpolating the low-frequency  $\varepsilon''(v)$  data and the high-frequency  $\chi''(\nu)$  data. This is because there is no other relaxation process in glycerol that will appear within the intermediate frequency region at 160 K that one can think of. The excess wing hiding the JG relaxation and the  $\alpha$ -loss peak of glycerol at 160 K are located at lower frequencies than  $10^{-2}$  Hz. The result indicates that NCL pervades over the entire frequency range from  $10^{-2}$  Hz to 40 GHz (over 12 decades) at 160 K and lower temperatures, and is terminated by the JG relaxation residing in the excess wing. Moreover, the dielectric loss data of glycerol [971] show that between 160 K and  $T_g = 185$ , the NCL is 2–3 orders of magnitude smaller than the maximum loss of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. The small NCL relative to the  $\alpha$ -relaxation in glycerol is consistent with the small value of the coupling parameter,  $n(T_g) = 0.29$  (obtained from the Kohlrausch fit of dielectric dispersion of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of glycerol [195]), and the correlation between the size of NCL and n [507] to be discussed in a subsection after the following one.

# 2.3.2.34 Change of *T*-Dependence of NCL at *T*<sub>g</sub> in Analogy to the JG β-Relaxation Strength

The connection that NCL has with the primitive or JG  $\beta$ -relaxation discussed in the above has other consequences. One consequence manifests itself by the change of temperature dependence of NCL from a weaker increase with temperature in the glassy state ( $T < T_g$ ) to a stronger one  $T > T_g$  in the liquid state [115, 149, 507, 1056, 1424]. Examples include CKN shown here in Fig. 246 and PIB and PI shown before in Figs. 135 and 136. The sensitivity of the NCL to glass transition at  $T_g$  parallels that of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation which has its dielectric strength as well as its relaxation time changing temperature dependence when crossing  $T_g$  as discussed before in Sections 2.3.2.15 and 2.3.2.17. This parallel behavior implies the existence of a connection between NCL and JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. The connection comes from the fact that the primitive relaxation or the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation is the terminator of the NCL [507]. For a derivation of the observed change in *T*-dependence of the NCL when crossing  $T_g$  from the latter fact, see [507].



Support from Colloidal Particles Suspension by Confocal Microscopy Experiment

To support the interpretation of NCL as the manifestation of caged molecular dynamics and the caged decay and termination of NCL as caused by the onset of primitive or JG  $\beta$ -relaxation given above, we cite the experimental results obtained on colloidal particles (hard spheres) suspension by confocal microscopy experiment by Weeks et al. [141(a)] shown before in Fig. 1, and by Weeks and Weitz [141(b)]. Here we select the data in the case of volume fraction  $\phi = 0.56$  and reproduce them in Fig. 246. This spectroscopy has the advantage that motions of all colloidal particles can be observed continuously as a function of time, and hence the change in dynamics is seen directly. In the right panel, Weeks et al. [141(a)] show from the trajectories of particles that they spent most of their time confined in cages formed by their neighbors and moved significant distances only during quick and rare cage rearrangements. A typical particle takes average time  $\tau_c$  of 500 s to shift position and leaves the cage. This time, identifiable with the primitive relaxation of the CM, is indicated by the vertical arrow in the log-log plot of mean-squared displacement,  $<\Delta x^2(\Delta t)>$ , against  $\Delta t$  in the left panel of Fig. 247 and in Fig. 1 (further discussion of the other issues in the figure and the insets are deferred till Chapter 3). It can be seen that  $\langle \Delta x^2(\Delta t) \rangle \sim (\Delta t)^c$  with  $c \approx 0.13$  at times shorter than 200 s, during which effectively the particles are all confined in cages because  $\tau_c$  of 500 s has not yet been reached. The  $\langle \Delta x^2(\Delta t) \rangle$  for  $\Delta t < 200$  s corresponds to susceptibility with frequency dependence  $\chi''(\nu) \sim (\nu)^{-c}$  with  $c \approx 0.13$ , which is the NCL in the caged regime. The lower-right inset is a plot of the cage correlation function  $C_{\text{cage}}(\Delta t)$  against  $\Delta t$  for three systems with  $\phi = 0.56, 0.52$ , and 0.46 (from top



**Fig. 247** (*Left*) Mean-squared displacement  $\langle \Delta x^2(\Delta t) \rangle$  for volume fractions  $\phi = 0.56$  from Weeks et al. [141]. One *vertical arrow* indicates  $\Delta t = 500$  s, the time when a typical particle shifts position and leaves the cage determined by confocal microscopy experiment [141]. The other *vertical arrow* indicates the time  $t_{x2}$  when the non-Gaussian parameter  $\alpha_2(\Delta t)$  assumes its maximum as shown in the *inset* on the *upper-left corner*. The *dashed line* has slope 0.13 that indicates the NCL regime. In the *lower-right corner* is a plot of the cage correlation function  $C_{cage}(\Delta t)$  against  $\Delta t$  for three systems with  $\phi = 0.56$ , 0.52, and 0.46 (from *top* to *bottom*), and the *vertical arrow* indicates  $\Delta t = 500$  s. (*Right*) A 2D representation of a typical trajectory in 3D for 100 min for  $\phi = 0.56$  from Weeks et al. [141] to illustrate that particles spent most of their time confined in cages formed by their neighbors and moved significant distances only during quick rare cage rearrangements. The particles shown took ~500 s to shift position. Reproduced from [141(a), 141(b)] by permission

to bottom), and the vertical arrow indicates  $\Delta t = 500$  s. It can be seen that for  $\phi = 0.56$ ,  $C_{\text{cage}}(\Delta t)$  undergoes significant decay starting at  $\Delta t = 500$  s.

At  $\phi = 0.60$ , the colloidal particles are in the glassy state, and the change from  $\phi = 0.56$  to  $\phi = 0.60$  in Fig. 1 is the analogue of cooling a glassformer from the supercooled liquid state to the glassy state. The caged regime where  $\langle \Delta x^2(\Delta t) \rangle \sim$  $(\Delta t)^c$  extends to longer times because the average time  $\tau_c$  of particles leaving the cage is longer. There is concomitant decrease in the magnitude of  $\langle \Delta x^2(\Delta t) \rangle$  due to decrease in size of cage with increase in  $\phi$ , and the exponent c becomes smaller. All these properties have analogues in the behavior of the NCL of glassformers on cooling, as can be seen in the data of NMEC, CKN, glycerol, propylene carbonate, etc., as well as the inter-comparison (see Fig. 241). In particular, the analogue of  $\tau_c$ is  $\tau_0$  or  $\tau_{IG}$  in glassformers. The clear picture of motions of colloidal particles from confocal microscopy leads to unequivocal understanding of the origin of the NCL, which is in accord with our interpretation as the loss due to motions within the cages defined by the anharmonic intermolecular potentials and fluctuations of the cages themselves. The cages decay and the NCL regime is terminated starting at times of the order of the primitive or JG relaxation time. This relation between the NCL and the primitive or JG relaxation explains why their properties are interrelated.

The volume fraction  $\phi$  replaces temperature as the thermodynamic variable for the athermal colloidal particles suspensions. The  $\alpha$ -relaxation time increases rapidly with increasing  $\phi$ , diverges at  $\phi_g \approx 0.58$ , and forms glass [142]. The magnitude of NCL at  $\phi = 0.53$ , 0.56, 0.60, and 0.61 can be estimated from Fig. 1 by  $< \Delta x^2(\Delta t_{x1}) >$ , where the  $\Delta t_{x1}$  is the time near which  $\log(< \Delta x^2(\Delta t) >)$  changes slope. The plot of  $< \Delta x^2(\Delta t_{x1}) >$  linearly against  $\phi$  (not shown) indicates there is a change of slope near  $\phi_g$ , i.e., a stronger dependence of the NCL in the liquid state when  $\phi < \phi_g$  than in the glassy state when  $\phi > \phi_g$ . This behavior of the NCL of hard spheres on crossing  $\phi_g$  is the analogue of the NCL of glassformers changing *T*-dependence on crossing  $T_g$  (see Figs. 135, 136, and 246).

# **2.3.2.35** Correlation Between the Level of NCL at $T_g$ and $n(T_g)$

As have seen before in several subsections presented before, several properties of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation including relaxation time and strength are correlated with  $n(T_g)$ , the frequency/time dispersion parameter of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. Combining this with the connection between the NCL with the JG relaxation shown in Section 2.3.2.33, it is not surprising that some correlation between the NCL and  $n(T_g)$  can be expected and shown to be true in the following.

From Quasielastic Neutron Scattering

The NCL at high frequencies and low temperatures near and below  $T_g$  can be probed by quasielastic neutron scattering (QENS). From the incoherent scattering function,  $S(Q, \omega, T)$ , the elastic part of the scattering,  $S_{el}(Q, \Delta, \omega, T)$ , is operationally defined by the integral of  $S(Q, \omega, T)$  over  $\omega$  within  $-\Delta\omega < \omega < \Delta\omega$ , where Q is the momentum transfer and  $\Delta\omega$  is the resolution frequency width. After normalizing  $S_{el}(Q, \Delta\omega, T)$  measured at temperature T by its value at T = 0,  $S_{el}(Q, \Delta\omega, T = 0)$ , one defines a Debye–Waller factor,  $W(Q, \Delta\omega, T)$  and a mean-squared displacement  $< u^2(T) >$  of the fast relaxation by

$$S_{\rm el}(Q, \Delta\omega, T)/S_{\rm el}(Q, \Delta\omega, T=0) = \exp[-2W(Q, \Delta\omega, T)]$$
  
=  $\exp[- \langle u^2(T) \rangle Q^2/3].$  (2.93)

Thus,  $\langle u^2(T) \rangle$  is a measure of intensity of the fast relaxation after the vibrational contribution has been removed. If temperature is near and below  $T_g$ , this fast relaxation has to be the relaxation in the caged regime or the NCL. This is because at these low temperatures and short times corresponding to the resolution width of neutron scattering spectrometers, neither the  $\alpha$ -relaxation nor the primitive or JG relaxation can contribute in many glassformers. Even water in aqueous mixtures or in nanoconfinement, its primitive or JG relaxation contributes to quasielastic neutron scattering only above  $T_g$ . The spectrometers IN6, IN10, IN13, and IN16 of the Institut Laue-Langevin have energy resolutions (FWHM) of 80, 1, 8, and 0.9  $\mu$ eV, respectively. The elastic intensities measured by IN6, IN10, IN13, and IN16 include fast relaxation with frequencies higher than 19, 0.24, 1.9, and 0.22 GHz, respectively. The *T*-dependences of the fast relaxation intensity from neutron scattering data of different glassformers obtained using the same neutron spectrometer expressed as a mean-squared displacement,  $\langle u^2(T) \rangle$ , are shown in Fig. 248.



**Fig. 248** (*Left*) The *inset* shows the mean-squared displacement  $\langle u^2 \rangle$  as a function of  $T/T_g$  of several glassformers (B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Se, 1,4-polybutadiene (1,4-PB), PMMA, and bisphenol A polycarbonate (PC)) obtained from incoherent neutron scattering by the IN6 spectrometer of the Institut Laue–Langevin together with the data on PIB taken with the LAM-40 spectrometer by Kanaya et al. The main figure shows  $\langle u^2 \rangle$  of a number of amorphous polymers (PIB, *cis*-1,4-polybutadiene (*cis*-1,4-PB), atactic polypropylene (aPP), and polystyrene (PS)) obtained using the LAM-80ET spectrometer with 0.02 meV energy resolution by Kanaya et al. (*Right*) The mean-squared displacement  $\langle u^2 \rangle$  as a function of  $T/T_g$  for several polymeric glassformers (deuterated polystyrene (PS-d5), polybutadiene (PB), and PIB) together with *o*-terphenyl (OTP) from incoherent neutron scattering obtained with the IN10 spectrometer, except for PB, which was obtained with the IN16 spectrometer. The *inset* shows data on OTP, crystalline OTP, and glycerol obtained with the IN13 spectrometer. Reproduced from [115(a)] by permission

From these figures it can be seen that  $\langle u^2(T) \rangle$ , identified here as the NCL, exhibits a change in slope around  $T_g$ . For the same class of glassformers, their NCL can be compared after temperature has been scaled by the respective  $T_g$  as already been done in Fig. 248 where  $\langle u^2(T/T_g) \rangle$  is plotted against  $T/T_g$ . As shown before using experimental data in [115(a), 1424] glassformers having larger  $n(T_g)$  have stronger increase of  $\langle u^2(T/T_g) \rangle$  or NCL with increasing  $T/T_g$  in the glassy state. The correlation is particularly strong when glassformers of the same class are compared. From this it follows at once that, at  $T = T_g$ ,  $\langle u^2(T_g) \rangle$  is larger for glassformer with larger  $n(T_g)$ . Also found above  $T_g$  is that glassformer with larger  $n(T_g)$  has more rapid increase of  $\langle u^2(T/T_g) \rangle$  as a function of  $T/T_g$ . These correlations have been rationalized in [507] by using two facts: (1) the NCL is terminated by the primitive or JG  $\beta$ -relaxation and (2) both the primitive and JG  $\beta$ -relaxation times,  $\tau_0(T/T_g)$  and  $\tau_{JG}(T/T_g)$ , are shorter for glassformers having larger  $n(T_g)$  shown before in Section 2.3.2.1. The correlations are analogues of correlation between  $n(T_g)$  and the  $T_g$ -scaled T-dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}(T/T_g)$  quantified by the fragility index m (see Section 2.2.3). This remarkable analogy between

this property of the fast caged relaxation or NCL and the slow structural relaxation suggests that the mechanisms giving rise to them bear some relation to each other. Qualitative arguments given in [507] have led to the result that the level of NCL measured by  $\langle u^2(T/T_g) \rangle$  is proportional to  $1/\log \tau_0(T/T_g)$  or  $1/\log \tau_{\rm JG}(T/T_g)$ . From this proportionality, the correlation between  $\langle u^2(T/T_g) \rangle$  and  $n(T_g)$  follows because  $\tau_{\rm JG}(T/T_g)$  is shorter for glassformer with larger *n* (see Section 2.3.2.1).

Niss et al. [1422(d)] have recently revisited the phenomenon of the mean-squared displacement (MSD) measured at short time scales from 4 ns to 10 ps by neutron scattering, which can sense glass transition by the change of its T-dependence near  $T = T_{g}$  [115(a), 507, 510, 1424]. The most complete collection of MSD of small molecular and polymeric glassformers previously measured by neutron scattering showing this phenomenon can be found in the review article [115(a)] published in 2000. Niss et al. supplied new data of a number of small molecular glassformers including DBP, cumene, DHIQ, TPP, m-toluidine, and sorbitol. Niss et al. claimed in their abstract there is one-to-one connection between the MSD's temperature dependence and the liquid's fragility index m when the MSD is evaluated on a time scale of  $\sim$ 4 ns. However, on closer examination of the data, this claim is not obviously supported by the plot of  $\langle u^2(T) \rangle$  or  $\langle u^2(T) \rangle /a^2$  against  $T/T_g$ . Here a is the intermolecular distance assumed to scale with density  $\rho$  at  $T_{\rm g}$  according to  $a^2 \propto \rho^{-2/3}$ . There is better correlation between m and the values of  $d \ln < u^2(T) >/d \ln T$  calculated at  $T = T_g$  from data in the temperature range from  $T_g$  to  $\sim 1.1T_g$ , as shown in Fig. 4 of Niss et al. [1422(d)]. This correlation is used by Niss et al. to support the elastic model [583] for glass transition, which relates a larger MSD to a shorter  $\alpha$ -relaxation time, and the temperature dependence of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation time is governed by the temperature dependence of the MSD. The elastic model regards the observed MSD  $< u^2(T) >$  is totally vibrational in origin for all temperatures below and above  $T_g$  and hence is very different from our interpretation especially at higher temperatures where at least part of the  $\langle u^2(T) \rangle$  corresponds to the nearly constant loss of motion of molecules confined in the anharmonic cage.

The pivotal support of the correlation in Fig. 4 of Niss et al. is the one point from DHIQ which has much larger values of both  $d\ln < u^2(T) > /d\ln T$  and m. Without DHIO, the results of the rest of the glassformers, except for glycerol, cluster together and cannot be used to support the correlation (see inset of Fig. 248-1). Glycerol is also special in not having any resolved secondary relaxation like the others. In interpreting the  $\langle u^2(T) \rangle$  of DHIQ as totally vibrational by the elastic model, there is a problem not anticipated by Niss et al. Apparently they are not aware of the discovery of the exceptionally fast JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in the glassy state of DHIQ by Paluch et al. [667]. By either extrapolating the Arrhenius T-dependence of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{JG}$  determined in the glassy state to  $T_g$  or using the calculated primitive relaxation time  $\tau_0$  at temperatures above  $T_g$  as shown before in [667] and reproduced here in Fig. 248-1, we can see that  $\tau_{JG}$  likely will enter into the time window shorter than  $\sim 4$  ns of the IN10 neutron scattering spectrometer at temperatures in the range from  $T_g$  to  $1.1T_g$ . This is illustrated in Fig. 248-1 where the horizontal lines from top to bottom indicate times of 100 s, 4 ns, and 0.4 ns, and the vertical lines correspond to  $1000/T_g$  and  $1000/(1.1T_g)$  for both sets of data obtained at ambient pressure and at 0.5 GPa. Without subtracting off the probable significant contribution of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation to the observed  $\langle u^2(T) \rangle$  and dln  $\langle u^2(T) \rangle/d$ ln *T* of DHIQ, the data from DHIQ cannot be used in Fig. 4 of Niss et al. to support  $\langle u^2(T) \rangle$  is entirely vibrational. Hence it is premature to claim that the linear relation between dln  $\langle u^2(T) \rangle/d$ ln *T* and *m* supports the elastic model from  $\langle u^2(T) \rangle$  obtained by IN10 at time scales shorter than 4 ns. Moreover, by comparing the time scale of 0.4 ns of IN13 spectrometer with  $\tau_{\rm JG}(T)$  estimated by  $\tau_0$  in Fig. 248-1, it can be verified that  $\tau_{\rm JG}(T)$  of DHIQ is longer than 0.4 ns in the range from  $T_{\rm g}$  to 1.1 $T_{\rm g}$ , and therefore the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation does not contribute to  $\langle u^2(T) \rangle$  obtained by IN13. Consequently, dln  $\langle u^2(T) \rangle/d$ ln *T* of DHIQ from IN13 is much reduced than the same quantity from IN10, as found experimentally by Niss et al. (see inset of Fig. 248-1). This exceedingly large reduction can be considered as proof of significant contribution to the  $\langle u^2(T) \rangle$  and dln  $\langle u^2(T) \rangle/d$ ln *T* of DHIQ observed by IN10.

The  $\langle u^2(T) \rangle$  observed by neutron scattering at temperatures below 1.1 $T_g$  when transformed to susceptibility will appear as nearly constant loss (NCL), implying it has the same origin as the NCL observed by broadband dielectric spectroscopy over frequencies higher than the relaxation frequency  $f_s$  of the fastest secondary relaxation present. At sufficiently low temperatures when  $f_s$  is low, say 10 Hz, the NCL can be found to extend from say 10<sup>3</sup> Hz up to the highest dielectric measurement frequency, typically 10<sup>9</sup> Hz. Examples of the latter have been given in



**Fig. 248-1** Relaxation map of DHIQ showing the  $\alpha$ - and  $\gamma$ -relaxation times at ambient and elevated pressure of 0.5 GPa. In the figure, the  $\gamma$ -relaxation time is labeled by  $\tau_{\beta}$ . The relaxation time of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation found at ambient pressure is denoted by  $\tau_{new}$ . The stars are the primitive relaxation times  $\tau_0$  calculated by the CM relation. The three horizontal lines are located at 100 s, 4 ns, and 0.4 ns. Data taken from [667]. The vertical lines indicate  $1000/T_g$  and  $1000/(1.1T_g)$ . The inset is a plot of  $d \ln \langle u^2(T) \rangle / d \ln T$  against fragility index  $m_P$  reproduced from [1422(d)] with permission. *Full symbols* in *blue* are data obtained from IN10 on 4 ns time scale, and the *open symbols* in *red* are data obtained from IN13 on a 10 times shorter time scale of 0.4 ns

Figs. 241, 244-1, and 246. Various correlations of the observed NCL with different characteristics of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation have been found, including the degree of non-exponentiality and fragility in Fig. 241 and change of *T*-dependence near  $T_g$  in Fig. 246. The NCL observed at such low frequencies cannot be related to vibration and the elastic model, and yet the fast process corresponding to the NCL correlates with the  $\alpha$ -relaxation.

# From Susceptibility Spectra

Susceptibility spectra from dielectric relaxation and dynamic light scattering of many glassformers have been analyzed to obtain the constant loss  $\Delta \chi''(T)$  for different glassformers. The NCL data were compared in a plot of  $\Delta \chi''(T)/\Delta \chi''(T_g)$  against  $T/T_g$  [1424]. When compared in a plot against  $T_g$ -scaled temperature, the NCL of different glassformers exhibited a pattern that correlates with the  $n(T_g)$ . The rapidity of increase of  $\Delta \chi''(T)/\Delta \chi''(T_g)$  with  $T/T_g$  is quantified by the steepness index, R, defined in a similar way as the other steepness or "fragility" index, m, by

$$R \equiv d \left\{ \log \left[ \Delta \chi''(T) / \Delta \chi''(T_g) \right] \right\} / d \left[ \log \left( T / T_g \right) \right] \Big|_{T_g}$$
(2.94)

*R* is a meaningful parameter for differentiating the temperature dependences of the constant loss near  $T_g$  of various glassformers. Its values for a number of glassformers are plotted against  $\beta_{\alpha}(T_g) \equiv 1 - n(T_g)$  in Fig. 249, which shows a strong correlation



**Fig. 249** Steepness index of the scaled constant loss, *R* (defined in Eq. (2.94)), vs. the Kohlrausch exponent of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation at  $T_g$ ,  $\beta_\alpha(T_g)$ , for light-scattering measurements on CKN( $\Box$ ), OTP(•), glycerol ( $\diamond$ ), and ZnCl<sub>2</sub> ( $\nabla$ ); dielectric measurements on CKN ( $\blacksquare$ ), glycerol ( $\diamond$ ), propylene carbonate (+), and cyclo-octanol( $\blacktriangle$ ); and neutron scattering measurements on Na<sub>0.5</sub>Li<sub>0.5</sub>PO<sub>3</sub>(\*) and Zr<sub>46.8</sub>Ti<sub>8.2</sub>Cu<sub>7.5</sub>Ni<sub>10</sub>Be<sub>27.5</sub> (×). Reproduced from [1424] by permission

between *R* and  $n(T_g)$ . This correlation found for the fast NCL is remarkably similar to that between the fragility *m* of the slow  $\alpha$ -relaxation and  $n(T_g)$ , suggesting once more that the mechanisms giving rise to them bear some relation to each other.

An objective method to compare the NCL of different glassformers from their susceptibility spectra having the same peak frequency  $\nu_{max}$  is to normalize  $\chi''$  by  $\chi''_{max}$  in each case. This procedure has been followed in comparing the dielectric susceptibility spectra of three small molecular glassformers (NMEC, threitol, and xylitol) as shown before in Fig. 241 where  $\varepsilon''$  is normalized by  $\varepsilon''_{max}$ . Here the comparison is made on the dynamic light-scattering spectra of the inorganic glassformers CKN and ZnCl<sub>2</sub> in the left panel, and CKN and B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in the right panel of Fig. 250-1.



**Fig. 250-1** (*Left*) Susceptibility minima from dynamic light scattering for CKN at 468 and 423 K [1421]. The *dashed* and *dashed-dotted curves* are the fits by the Kohlrausch functions with  $n \equiv (1-\beta)$  equal to 0.43 and 0.47 for 468 and 423 K, respectively. Also shown are data of ZnCl<sub>2</sub> with *n* nearly equal to zero from Lebon et al. [1426(a)]. (*Right*) Depolarized light-scattering susceptibility spectrum of B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> at 1273 K [1426(c)] compared with CKN data at 468 and 423 K [1421]

These figures demonstrate, after normalizing  $\varepsilon''$  by  $\varepsilon''_{max}$  and  $\chi''$  by  $\chi''_{max}$ , the NCL increases with the width of the  $\alpha$ -dispersion or the value *n* at the same value of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  for the different glassformers. Here  $\chi''_{max}$  denotes the maximum loss of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. The susceptibility minima from dynamic light scattering for CKN at 468 and 423 K obtained by Li et al. [1421] are much higher than that of ZnCl<sub>2</sub> from the paper by Lebon et al. [1426(a)]. This indicates that the NCL contribution to  $\chi''/\chi''_{max}$  of CKN is larger than that of ZnCl<sub>2</sub>, which correlates with the larger *n* of CKN equal to 0.43 and 0.47 at 468 and 423 K, respectively, as compared with the nearly zero value of ZnCl<sub>2</sub> [1426(a)]. The fragility or steepness index *m* of CKN (~93) is also much larger than that of ZnCl<sub>2</sub> (~30). The same remarks apply to the comparison of CKN with B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. At 1273 K, the high-frequency slope of log  $\chi''(\nu)$ . log  $\nu$  is -0.8, which suggests *n* of B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> at this temperature is about 0.20. Also, m = 32 for B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [112].

While the much deeper susceptibility minima of the "strong" glassformers  $ZnCl_2$  and  $B_2O_3$  compared with CKN can be rationalized in terms of NCL by the correlation of NCL with *n* or *m* [507, 1426(b)], they violate the predictions of MCT as pointed out before by Lebon et al. and Brodin et al.

#### NCL and the Non-ergodicity Parameter or Factor

The intermediate self scattering function  $F_s(Q,t)$  obtained by neutron scattering or by molecular dynamics simulations exhibits a two-step decay separated by a plateau with height  $f_s(Q, t)$  often referred to as the non-ergodicity parameter. At the end of plateau, the decay of  $F_s(Q, t)$  down to zero is often approximately described by  $F_s(Q,t) = f_s(Q,t) \exp[-(t/\tau_\alpha)^{1-n}]$ . The plateau, where  $F_s(Q, t)$  decreases very slowly, comes from motions of caged molecules by the anharmonic intermolecular potentials. When  $F_s(Q, t)$  has been Fourier transformed to frequency space, the plateau becomes the NCL in the susceptibility spectrum  $\chi''(\omega)$ . From this interpretation of the non-ergodicity parameter, we can expect that more anharmonic intermolecular potential gives rise to larger NCL and lower plateau value  $f_s(Q, t)$ , provided  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is kept constant. This expectation has been verified by Bordat et al. from molecular dynamics simulations of three binary LJ particle systems with different potentials (see Eq. (2.68)) [264]. Also found is that *n* is larger and the fragility index *m* of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is higher for system with more anharmonic potential. Hence, we have the anticorrelation (correlation) of the non-ergodicity parameter  $f_s(Q, t)$  (the NCL) with the non-exponentiality parameter n and the fragility index m. Moreover, Bordat et al. calculated the  $T_g$ -scaled temperature dependence of the non-ergodicity parameter for the three systems above  $T_g$ , and found that this quantity anticorrelates with n and m. The result is in accordance with the anticorrelation of the non-ergodicity parameter with fragility found by Scopigno et al. [571(a)] from their analysis of inelastic X-ray scattering data of small molecular glasses at temperatures below  $T_g$ . Both results found that  $[f_s(Q, t)]^{-1}$  plotted against  $T/T_g$  increases linearly from unity at  $T/T_g = 0$  with a slope that correlates directly to the fragility of the corresponding system or liquid, although the simulation results were obtained above  $T_g$  and the X-ray data below  $T_g$ .

However, there is breakdown of the correlation in the inelastic X-ray scattering data when the polymers, PVC, BPA-PC, PMMA, PET, PIB, PVAc, and PS, are added to the original data of mainly small molecular glassformers in Scopigno et al. by Buchenau and Wischnewski [572]. Except for PET, all the *m* values of all the polymers used by Buchenau et al. are taken from Böhmer et al. [112], which are determined by mechanical creep compliance and considered to be most accurate. The *m* value of PET equal to 80 used by Buchenau et al. was taken from dielectric relaxation data of not totally amorphous PET, and is much lower than the value of 124 of [1089, 1090]. Recently Scopigno et al. [571(b)] reconsidered the inelastic X-ray data of some of polymers from Buchenau et al. data. For the polymer glasses, BPA-PC, PMMA, PET, PIB, PVAc, and PS, they believed that the deviations from the correlation are due to contributions of the secondary relaxation process from internal degrees of freedom. They suggested a way to remove the effect of the secondary relaxation, and restore the correlation between their parameter nonergodicity factor,  $\alpha$ , defined by  $[f_s(Q, t)]^{-1} = 1 + \alpha T/T_g$  and *m* of the polymers but still not for PIB and PS [571]. Notwithstanding, their *m* values for polymers are taken from dielectric relaxation measurements, which are not the same as the mechanical *m* values used by Buchenau. If the mechanical *m* values of the polymers were used, the correlation,  $m \propto \alpha$ , is not as ideal as that shown in Fig. 250-2.



**Fig. 250-2** Correlation between the temperature dependencies of viscosity (fragility, *m*) and non-ergodicity factor ( $\alpha$ ) accounting for the presence of secondary relaxations for all polymers possessing secondary relaxation processes plus dibutylphthalate (DBP), *n*-butylbenzene (n-BB). IXS (*black symbols*, in order of increasing fragility: NiZr, BeF2, silica, cyanoadamantane, glycerol, 1,4-polybutadiene (1,4 PB), normal-butylbenzene, salol, *m*-toluidine, *o*-terphenyl (OTP), selenium, and *m*-tricresylphosphate (*m*TCP). Compressibility measurements from Brillouin spectroscopy (*blue symbols*, in order of increasing fragility: dibutylphtalate, poly(propylene glycol) (PPG), PMMA, PS, PET, BPA-PC), photon correlation spectroscopy (*red symbols*, in order of fragility: As<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 0.5Li–0.5NaPO<sub>3</sub>, OTP, NaPO<sub>3</sub>, LiPO<sub>3</sub>). Sound velocity jump at *T<sub>g</sub> (green symbols*, in order of increasing fragility: germanium dioxide (GeO<sub>2</sub>); propylene glycol) (PPG); diglycidylether of bisphenol A (DGEBA); 1,2-polybutadiene (1,2 PB)). *Large symbols*, explicitly labeled, are used for those systems having non-zero residual entropy, i.e., subject to a correction. For details and source of data, see [571(b)]. Reproduced from [571(b)] by permission

Sidebottom et al. [1427] used photon correlation spectroscopy to measure  $F_s(Q, t)$  in a series of glass-forming liquids with widely different fragility and demonstrated a correlation between the non-ergodicity parameter  $f_s(Q, t)$  of the liquid and its fragility index *m* at temperatures above  $T_g$ . There is also the correlation of  $f_s(Q, t)$  with the non-exponentiality parameter *n*, although the correlation is not as good as with *m*. Their data have been incorporated into Fig. 250-2.

#### 2.3.2.36 Fast Relaxation (NCL) Senses the Hole Volume from PALS

Positron annihilation lifetime spectroscopy (PALS) is a technique to deduce the unoccupied volume, or the so-called "free volume," of glassformers. The positronium lifetime,  $\tau_3$ , is measured, and usually the dimension of the hole modeled by a cavity with radius *R* is *nearly* linearly proportionality to  $\tau_3$ . Positron annihilation measurements of  $\tau_3$  on four widely studied small molecular glassformers,

*o*-terphenyl, glycerol, propylene glycol (PG), and propylene carbonate (PC), over a wide temperature range both below and above the glass transition [387] have been discussed before in Section 2.2.5.1 and shown in Fig. 64. There, we have demonstrated from the data of all four glassformers that the change of the *T*dependence of  $\tau_3(T)$  at  $T_g$  and  $T_B$  mimics that shown by the  $\alpha$ -relaxation in the *T*-dependence of  $\tau_\alpha(T)$ , its derivative or generalized "fragility"  $m(T) \equiv \frac{d \log \tau_\alpha}{d(T/T_g)}$ , and the Kohlrausch stretch exponent  $\beta(T) \equiv [1 - n(T)]$ . As a reminder,  $T_B$  is the temperature at which  $\tau_\alpha(T)$  changes from one VFTH dependence to another, accompanied by the change of magnitude and *T*-dependence of n(T). Examples of these can be seen in Figs. 59–63, and in particular, Fig. 61 for PC, Fig. 62 for OTP and PG, and Fig. 63 for salol and OTP. The  $T_g$ -scaled temperature dependence of  $\tau_3(T/T_g)$  is reproduced once more as an inset in the left panel of Fig. 251, where the temperature  $T_r$ , where  $\tau_3(T)$  changes its *T*-dependence, is nearly the same as  $T_B$  for all four glassformers. The main part of the figure shows m(T) and its change in *T*-dependence at  $T_B \approx T_r$ .



**Fig. 251** (*Left*)  $\tau_3$  as a function of the  $T_g$ -scaled temperature for OTP, PC, PG, and glycerol. A crossover in the thermal dependence of  $\tau_3$  is observed both at  $T_g$  and an upper temperature  $T_r$ , indicated by the *vertical arrows*. Typical standard uncertainties in  $\tau_3$  are  $\pm 0.025$  ns. (*Right*)  $< u^2 >$  as function of  $T_g$ -scaled temperature for OTP, PC, PG, and glycerol. The *inset* shows the deviations from a linear fit to the data established in the region  $T/T_g < 1$ . Standard uncertainties in  $\langle u^2 \rangle$  are typically less than the size of the data markers. Reproduced from [387] by permission

Yet another connection of  $\tau_3(T/T_g)$  has been made to the fast relaxations of these same four glassformers obtained by quasielastic neutron scattering in terms of the mean-squared displacement,  $\langle u^2(T/T_g) \rangle [387]$ . The data were obtained from the use of different neutron scattering spectrometers, but all having comparable resolutions  $\approx 1 \mu eV$ , and only motions with frequencies higher than 0.24 GHz, or times shorter than 0.66 ns can contribute to  $\langle u^2 \rangle$ . These timescales are comparable to that of PALS experiment. Shown in the right panel of Fig. 251, the *T*-dependences of  $\langle u^2 \rangle$  are approximately but not exactly linear below  $T_g$ . If the region  $T/T_g < 1$  is fit to a straight line, the inset of the right panel of Fig. 251 emphasizes the deviations from linearity in this region. The *T*-dependence of this generally non-linear  $\langle u^2 \rangle$  bears a striking resemblance to the trend in  $\tau_3$  and *m*. Strong increases in the non-linear  $\langle u^2 \rangle$  occur near  $T/T_g \sim 1.2$  in OTP and PC and  $T/T_g \sim 1.5$  in glycerol and PG. The *T*-dependences of  $\tau_3$ , *m*, and  $\langle u^2 \rangle$  all undergo changes at  $T_B$  and  $T_g$ .

It is remarkable that the fast relaxations (0.24 GHz or higher) reflected in  $\langle u^2 \rangle$ sense the change of hole volume at  $T_{g}$ , which is determined by macroscopic variables, like enthalpy or specific volume, at timescales closer to a hundred or a thousand seconds. Even the dielectric relaxation data occur on a timescale much slower than the neutron scattering  $\langle u^2 \rangle$ . Stickel and coworkers [621] reported that the dielectric  $\alpha$ -process has a frequency  $\nu_{\alpha} \sim 10^{-1}$ Hz at  $T_{g}$  in PC, and the unresolved JG  $\beta$ -relaxation is close by. This intriguing behavior can be understood if  $\langle u^2 \rangle$  represents the NCL of molecules moving inside their cages of unoccupied volume defined by the anharmonic intermolecular potential of its neighbors, as well as from fluctuations of the cages due to motion of the neighbors. In a glass this cage is relatively rigid, but heating through  $T_{g}$  introduces an abrupt increase of the temperature dependence of the cage size. This would naturally lead to an increase in the rate of change of  $\langle u^2 \rangle$  with temperature after crossing  $T_g$ . At  $T_B$ , the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation has merged with the  $\alpha$ -relaxation, and their common relaxation frequency  $\nu_{\alpha}$  is  $\sim 10^7$  Hz for PC (see Fig. 61), only one decade slower than the  $\approx 10^8$  Hz motions reflected in  $\langle u^2 \rangle$ . For T less than T<sub>B</sub>, the fast relaxation in  $\langle u^2 \rangle$  has little or no direct contribution from the  $\alpha$ -relaxation or the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. However, these relaxations make contribution to  $\langle u^2 \rangle$  at temperatures exceeding T<sub>B</sub>, and this explains the more rapid rise of  $\langle u^2 \rangle$ .

For a harmonic solid,  $\langle u^2 \rangle$  increases linearly with T. The highly non-linear variations of  $\langle u^2 \rangle$  in Fig. 251 thereby indicate anharmonic motions of the caged particles. From their similar temperature variations for T less than  $T_{\rm B}$ , the picture arises that both  $\tau_3$  and  $\langle u^2 \rangle$  reflect the level of anharmonicity in the intermolecular caging. Glycerol appears to form a strongly caged glass with harmonic-like motions (linear in  $\langle u^2 \rangle$ ) persisting nearly to  $T_g$ . Very strong caging and a low degree of anharmonicity are consistent with the small nanopores (smallest  $\tau_3$  values) in glycerol. This is also consistent with the smaller coupling parameter n and the smaller separation between  $\tau_{JG}$  and  $\tau_{\alpha}$  in glycerol, which has been proposed as the cause of lower level of the NCL in the above and in [507]. Conversely, the  $\langle u^2 \rangle$  of OTP deviates from linearity well below  $T_{g}$ , indicating an onset of anharmonicity deep in the glassy state. This implies relatively weaker caging, supported by the large  $\tau_3$  values in OTP, more anharmonic and stronger intermolecular potential, and the larger coupling parameter n than glycerol. Thus,  $\tau_3$  and its temperature dependence provide a measure of the anharmonicity of the caging potential in these small molecule organic glassformers.

There are also studies of the fast relaxation of caged molecules by neutron and Raman scattering, and combined with measurements of unoccupied volume fraction with PALS in a few polymeric systems, *cis*-1,4-PB, PIB, and aPP, by Kanaya and coworkers [1428–1430]. The data show that  $\langle u^2 \rangle$  and the hole volume

have remarkably similar temperature dependence. In particular, like  $\langle u^2(T) \rangle$ , the hole volume as a function of temperature exhibits a change in slope at  $T_g$ .

# Experimental Evidence of $\langle u^2(T) \rangle \propto V_h(T)$ , and Explanation

Most interesting is the study by both quasielastic light scattering (QELS) and quasielastic neutron scattering (QENS) by Duval and coworkers [1431–1433]. They subtracted from the measured  $\langle u^2(T) \rangle$  of PMMA a linear temperature-dependent term contributed by harmonic vibrations. The result,  $\Delta < u^2(T) >$ , has nearly the same temperature dependence as the dynamic hole volume fraction,  $\Delta F_{\rm h}(T)$ , obtained from PALS by Yee, Gidley, and coworkers [1434] for a wide range of temperatures at and below  $T_g$ . The data of  $\Delta < u^2(T) >$  from QELS and QENS are nearly identical but only those from QENS are shown in Fig. 252. The result immediately points out that the fast relaxation or NCL senses the hole volume, which is consistent with other properties of the NCL discussed earlier including the change of T-dependence when  $T_g$  is crossed. It is also unsurprising on recalling that NCL is connected to the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation, and we already know from Section 2.3.2.29 that the primitive or JG relaxation time has the  $TV^{\gamma}$ -dependence and the primitive or JG relaxation strength changes T-dependence on crossing  $T_g$ . Furthermore, if the temperature dependence of the primitive or the JG relaxation time,  $\tau_0$  or  $\tau_{JG}$ , is written in the form suggested by Doolittle [26, 29],  $\tau_0 \propto \exp[V_0/V_h(T)]$ , where  $V_h$  is the hole volume, then it together with the relation,  $\langle u^2(T) \rangle_{\text{NCL}} \propto 1/\log \tau_0$ , derived in [507], leads to  $\langle u^2(T) \rangle_{\text{NCL}} \propto V_h(T)$ . The result explains the relation between free



**Fig. 252** Comparison between the mean-squared displacement  $\Delta < u^2 >$  represented by *closed circles*, and the dynamic hole volume fraction  $\Delta F_h(\times)$ . Data from Duval and coworkers [1431–1433], and Hristov et al. [1434]. Reproduced from [1431] by permission

volume and the NCL fast relaxation found from experimental studies of Bartos et al. [1430], Duval et al. [1431, 1432], and Ngai et al. [387].

#### 2.3.2.37 Comparison of the MCT Description of Caged Dynamics with NCL

Revision of the Idealized MCT (2002) in Response to the Observation of the Logarithmic Decay of the Orientational Correlation Function and Its Equivalent, the NCL in the Susceptibility

We have heuristically described the dynamics of molecules or ions at times when all molecules are mutually caged and before the onset of the primitive relaxation (i.e., caged regime), and identified them with the intermediate power (IPL),  $pt^{-1+c}$ observed by OHD-OKE, the NCL in susceptibility,  $\chi''(\omega) \propto \omega^{-c}$ , or the MSD,  $\langle u^2(t) \rangle$ , all with  $c \langle 1 \rangle$ . However, no rigorous theory has been given to support it at this time. This is in contrast to the mode coupling theory (MCT) which gives mathematically rigorous results to describe the caged regime. The MCT predictions on the caged dynamics are compared here with our heuristic description and experimental data. There are several versions of MCT introduced in the past two decades. In earlier years the one version most considered and compared with experiments is the idealized or schematic MCT [31, 32, 1435, 1436], which is limited to temperatures above the critical temperature  $T_c$  high above  $T_g$ . Its predictions were often used to compare with short-time dynamics observed by neutron scattering [309, 1437–1441] and light-scattering experiments [32, 1421, 1442–1445]. Idealized MCT predicts at temperatures above  $T_c$  a susceptibility minimum produced by a crossover in the frequency dependence of the susceptibility  $\chi''(\nu)$  from  $v^a$  for higher frequencies to  $v^{-b}$  for lower frequencies, where the exponents a and b are related and determined by the control parameter  $\lambda$ , and some scaling relations. For  $T < T_c$ , it predicts a low-frequency crossover from  $v^1$  to  $v^a$ , which produces a "knee," and was found in the original depolarized light-scattering spectra of  $Ca_{0.4}K_{0.6}(NO_3)_{1.4}$  at low temperatures [1421], but it turns out to be an experimental artifact [1422]. Despite the idealized MCT having been favorably compared with experiments and MD simulations of fast processes in a number of glassformers at earlier years (often the "fragile" ones, but not the "strong" ones such as  $ZnCl_2$  [1426] and  $B_2O_3$  [1446]), some new experiments started at the year 2000 have shown features of the fast processes in "fragile" glassformers that cannot be explained. The first came from optical heterodyne-detected optical Kerr effect (OHD-OKE) experiments on salol (phenyl salicylate) and OTP [330]. OHD-OKE experiment measures an impulse response function S(t), the imaginary part of the Fourier transform of which is directly proportional to the dynamic susceptibility  $\chi''(\nu)$  from depolarized light scattering, i.e.,  $\chi''(\nu) \propto \text{Im FT}\{S(t)\}$ . The entire data extending from 2 ps up to about  $3 \times 10^4$  ps were well fitted by the empirical function [332]

$$S(t) = [pt^{-1+c} + dt^{-1+b}] \exp(-t/\tau_{\alpha}).$$
(2.95)

In a range of time longer than 2 ps and extending to some longer times that increase with decreasing temperature, a portion of the OHD-OKE signal, S(t), exhibits a power law decay,  $pt^{-1+c}$  (the first term inside the bracket in equation above). Here p is the amplitude, and the positive fractional exponent c has significant temperature dependence and decreases to a value close to 0 at temperatures somewhat below the critical temperature  $T_c$  of MCT. Since this new feature appears on timescales longer than intramolecular vibrations, and before the von Schweidler power law of MCT (the second term inside the bracket in the equation), it is called the intermediate power law (IPL). The IPL with  $c \approx 0$  is not predicted by the idealized MCT. Neither can the extended mode coupling theory [1440, 1443, 1444] that introduces, in addition, a full range of hopping times explain the IPL and its temperature dependence near and below  $T_c$ . If c were exactly zero, then the IPL would correspond to a logarithmic time dependence of the polarization-polarization correlation function. Perhaps it is for this reason that this part of the OHD-OKE data was sometimes referred to as logarithmic decay of the orientational correlation function [33, 34, 332]. Logarithmic decay of correlation function was found also by photon correlation spectroscopy in dense colloidal suspensions [254]. The existence of the IPL with exponent  $c \approx 0$  or equivalently the logarithmic decay had been well recognized by Götze and Sperl [33]. In 2002, a special solution of MCT for states near higher-order glass-transition singularities that have correlation function with logarithmic decay was proposed by them to explain the OHD-OKE data [33].

The OHD-OKE signal S(t) measures the time derivative of the polarizabilitypolarizability correlation function, which is equivalent to the time derivative of the orientational correlation function  $\phi(t)$  of the molecules. Therefore, the imaginary part of the Fourier transform of S(t) is the susceptibility spectrum  $\chi''(v)$  that can be obtained directly by dynamic light-scattering experiment [1062, 1053]. In particular, the time dependence  $t^{-1+c}$  of the IPL corresponds to the frequency dependence  $v^{-c}$  of  $\chi''(v)$ . Since c becomes small at lower temperatures, the  $t^{-1+c}$  decay of S(t) corresponds to  $\nu^{-c}$  of  $\chi''(\nu)$  with c << 1, which is appropriately called the nearly constant loss (NCL) in the susceptibility  $\chi''(\nu)$  or the dielectric loss  $\chi''(\nu)$ spectrum. Immediately following the OHD-OKE experiment on salol [330] is the publication of  $\chi''(\nu)$  data of polyisobutylene (PIB) in the 5 <  $\nu$  < 40 GHz range from dynamic light-scattering experiment by Sokolov et al. [1062]. This publication reported the observation of susceptibility spectra that have the power law dependence,  $\chi''(v) = p'v^{-c}$  with  $c \ll 1$ , an exact correspondent of the IPL,  $pt^{-1+c}$ with  $c \ll 1$ . The measured  $\chi''(\nu)$  of PIB is nearly frequency independent over a broad temperature range from below  $T_g$  to higher than  $1.3T_g$ . The same was found in another polymer, 1,4-polyisoprene (PI) [1063]. The amplitude p' has an approximately linear dependence on temperature, increasing by about a factor of 4 from  $T_{\rm g} \approx 200$  K to nearly 290 K [507] (see Figs. 135 and 136). The temperature dependence of p' of PIB and PI is weaker below  $T_g$  than above  $T_g$  and exhibits a change of slope at  $T_g$ . The sensitivity of the NCL in these two polymers to glass transition is remarkable [114, 510] when considering that it was observed in the GHz range, corresponding to times of order of  $10^{-10}$  s, whereas glass transition at  $T_{\rm g}$ occurs at timescale of thousands of seconds. If NCL originates from excursions of

caged molecule within anharmonic potential and fluctuations of cages, then the following possible explanation can be considered. The amplitudes of fluctuations and excursions depend on temperature through density and entropy. The change in the temperature dependences of density and entropy when crossing  $T_g$  is well known, and this change leads to corresponding change of the *T*-dependence of amplitudes of fluctuations and excursions, and hence the intensity of the NCL. No further change of *T*-dependence of p' was observed above  $T_g$ , up to temperatures as high as  $1.3T_g$ .

Similar observations of the presence of the NCL were made from dynamic lightscattering data of glycerol and poly(methylmethacrylate) (PMMA) [1423]. It is worthwhile to point out that while dynamic light scattering can measure the NCL of glycerol in the 5 <  $\nu$  < 40 GHz range at 160 K (i.e., below T<sub>g</sub>) and 200 K (i.e., above  $T_g$ ), the best broadband dielectric relaxation measurement of glycerol by Lunkenheimer and coworkers [972] lacks the sensitivity to detect the low dielectric loss in the same frequency and temperature ranges. Consequently, no dielectric loss  $\varepsilon''(v)$  data of glycerol were reported in the GHz to 40 GHz frequency range at temperatures below 213 K. The  $\varepsilon''(v)$  data obtained above 100 GHz and below 1 GHz at 195 K or below  $10^{-1}$  GHz at 184 K (see Fig. 4 in [972]), for example, were interpolated by Brodin et al. [1047] by a smooth curve to suggest the presence of a minimum (see Fig. 1a in [1047]) instead of the NCL found directly in the dynamic light-scattering data [1423]. Dielectric measurements in other glassformers that have no resolved JG relaxation as glycerol suffer the same problem of not having the sensitivity to see the weak NCL in the same high-frequency region as in the case of glycerol. These include propylene carbonate (at T = 163 K,  $T_g = 159$  K) [972], 2-picoline, propylene glycol, and 4-*tert*-butylpyridine [1447, 1448]. All these glassformers have smaller n, and their weak NCL follows from the correlation of the NCL intensity as well as the JG relaxation with n [149, 507].

# A Digression: NCL Should Not Be Confused with Excess Wing or IPL with $c \approx 0.2$

This warning has been issued before in Section 2.3.2.6, but repeated here because more experimental facts have been given here in the present subsection, and it is important to remove any confusion introduced in identifying the excess wing of types A, B and C (see Section 2.3.2.6 for definitions) observed by dielectric relaxation with the IPL observed by OHD-OKE in the interpretation of the dynamics by others [1047, 1053, 1054].

Returning to the dielectric data of glycerol at 195 and 184 K [972], the loss measured at frequencies lower than  $10^{-1}$  GHz shows power law decrease of  $\varepsilon''(\nu) \sim \nu^{-c}$ with  $c \approx 0.23$  and 0.17, respectively, in excess on the high-frequency side of the fit of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation loss peak by either the Cole–Davidson empirical function or the one-sided Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch stretched exponential function. Similar excess loss,  $\varepsilon''(\nu) \sim \nu^{-c}$  with  $c \approx 0.2 - 0.3$ , was found in the susceptibility spectrum of the other glassformers [972, 1447, 1448], and is often called the "excess wing," which corresponds in the time domain to the IPL,  $\varepsilon(t)$  or  $\chi(t) \sim t^{-1+c}$ , with  $c \approx 0.2 - 0.3$ . Perhaps it is the inability of dielectric spectroscopy to reveal the presence of the NCL at high frequencies (>1 GHz) that has led Brodin et al. to emphasize exclusively the excess wing with  $c \approx 0.2$  or about as a fundamental feature of the dynamics at all temperatures [1047, 1053, 1054], but not the NCL although it was actually seen by experiments. NCL was found by dynamic light scattering [1062, 1063, 1423] as  $\chi''(\nu) = p'\nu^{-c}$ , and in OHD-OKE [330, 332] as the IPL,  $t^{-1+c}$ , with c nearly zero in both cases. Even in the high-frequency range ( $10^{-3} < \nu < 10^2$  GHz), dielectric relaxation experiment has found the NCL in 0.4Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-0.6KNO<sub>3</sub> (CKN,  $T_g = 335$  K) as  $\varepsilon''(v) = p'v^{-c}$ with  $c \approx 0$  (see Fig. 244). This is made possible by the sizeable dielectric loss higher than 0.1 of CKN at 342 K and higher temperatures [1034, 1057] (at these temperatures the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation and the ionic conductivity relaxation are practically coupled together [55]). Thus from the experimental evidences given above, the NCL found at higher frequencies and temperatures is also an important and fundamental feature of the dynamics, and should not be forgotten for the sake of emphasizing the excess wing in dielectric relaxation (at frequencies below 1 GHz) and dynamic light scattering or IPL in OHD-OKE all with  $c \approx 0.2$  or about as done by Brodin et al. [1053, 1054]. This is also clear from the fact that the NCL is needed to explain the flat susceptibility instead of a minimum at high frequencies from dynamic light scattering [1062, 1063, 1423] and some IPL with c nearly zero from OHD-OKE [330, 332]. Later on, we shall return to discuss the origin of this so-called excess wing and its relation to the NCL, which is actually the precursor of the excess wing.

Even the state-of-the-art commercial dielectric relaxation cannot measure the complex dielectric permittivity  $\varepsilon^*(v)$  at frequencies higher than 2 GHz at temperatures near  $T_g$  to capture the NCL in glassformers including glycerol, propylene carbonate [972], 2-picoline, propylene glycol and 4-tert-butylpyridine [1447, 1448], and benzophenone (BZP) [1120, 1121]. However, a special experimental method using a circular parallel-plate capacitor was able to measure the  $\varepsilon^*(v)$  at the frequencies from 100 MHz to 20 GHz for five glassy polymers, PMMA, polystyrene (PS), polyethylene terephthalate (PET), polyethylene naphthalate (PEN), and polyvinylchloride (PVC), at room temperature by Nozaki and coworkers [1149a]. Power law dependence of the loss factor with very small exponent c was found in these polymers in the glassy state, which is definitely identifiable with the NCL. Combining this high-frequency dielectric technique with conventional lower frequency measurements, Nozaki and coworkers [1149b] were able to find the NCL with  $c \approx 0.1$  of sorbitol and xylitol in the glassy states that essentially pervades the entire frequency range from 2 GHz down to 100 Hz. For sorbitol, such a vast expanse of the NCL was found at 183, 163, and 143 K. The  $\beta$ -relaxation time of sorbitol has been determined in the glassy state [615], and it has the Arrhenius temperature dependence given by  $\tau_{\beta}/s = 1.3 \times 10^{-17} \exp(E_{\beta}/RT)$  with  $E_{\beta} = 61$  kJ/mol. At 143 K,  $\log_{10}(\tau_{\beta}/s)$  calculated by the Arrhenius relation is equal to 5.4, and the frequency of the  $\beta$ -loss maximum  $\nu_{\beta}$  would be located at about 10<sup>-6</sup> Hz. If the  $\beta$ -relaxation represented by a symmetric Cole–Cole function were assumed to

be responsible for the observed NCL, its half-width at half-maximum is at least 15 decades. This immense width is not easy to comprehend or justify. Published isochronal dielectric loss data of xylitol at 10 kHz also by Nozaki and coworkers (see Fig. 3a in this [1450]) capture the  $\alpha$ -relaxation peak and the  $\beta$ -relaxation, and  $\log(\varepsilon'')$  exhibits the exp $(T/T_0)$ -dependence at lower temperatures down to the lowest temperature of measurement of about 70 K. The β-relaxation time of xylitol has been determined in the glassy state [615], and it has the Arrhenius temperature dependence given by  $\tau_{\beta}/s = 10^{-15} \exp(E_{\beta}/RT)$  with  $E_{\beta} = 52$  kJ/mol. At 150, 100, and 70 K,  $\log_{10}(\tau_{\beta}/s)$  calculated by the Arrhenius relation is equal to 3.1, 12.2, and 23.8, respectively. If the  $\beta$ -relaxation were responsible for the observed loss at 10 kHz (equivalent to  $\log_{10}(\tau_{\beta}/s)$ ) and assuming it is represented by a symmetric Cole-Cole function, its loss maximum would be 7.9, 17, and 28.6 decades of frequency below 10 kHz at temperatures 150, 100, and 70 K, respectively. The corresponding complete span of the symmetric Cole-Cole function would be twice as large. Thus, unthinkably huge breadths of the  $\beta$ -relaxation are needed to account for the observed loss data of xylitol at these lower temperatures. This is highly improbable, and hence also is the hypothesis that the high-frequency (excess) wing of the  $\beta$ -relaxation is responsible for the observed loss having the exp $(T/T_0)$  temperature dependence at temperatures below 150 K. Instead, the results are additional proofs that the NCL is a separate and fundamental process of glass-forming substances that should be distinguished from the loss in excess of the KWW  $\alpha$ -relaxation coming from processes involving cooperative motion of increasing number of molecules and initiated by the Johari–Goldstein  $\beta$ -relaxation [973].

# A New MCT (2004) That Includes Rotational-Translational Coupling

In 2003, additional observations of IPL,  $pt^{-1+c}$  with c << 1, by OHD-OKE in the supercooled liquids, benzophenone (BZP), and 2-biphenylmethanol (BPM) were presented [332], together with refurbished IPL data that were previously obtained on ortho-terphenyl (OTP), salol [330], and dibutyl phthalate (DBP) [331]. In more recent past, IPL was found by OHD-OKE in aspirin [1195] as mentioned before in Section 2.3.2.23, and in the orientational dynamics of the supercooled ionic organic liquids N-propyl-3-methylpyridinium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide (PMPIm) [333]. Depolarized light-scattering (DLS) experiments on BZP reported good agreement with the OHD-OKE results [1047]. The observed IPL may be consistent with the logarithmic decay of orientation correlation function obtained by a special solution of MCT near a high-order singularity proposed in 2002 to replace the idealized MCT [33]. However, this way to explain the IPL was abandoned by Fayer and coworkers [332] in 2003 because this solution by MCT may apply only in very rare situations for systems that have special inter-particle interaction potentials such as colloids, while the logarithmic decay was found in the five glassformers with different chemical structures and interaction potentials. This may not be obvious from the fact that Fayer and coworkers were able to fit the T-dependence of p by the  $(T - T_c)^{1/2}$ -dependence suggested by idealized MCT. The amplitude p of IPL in all the five glassformers increases weakly with temperature. It turns out that a





nearly linear dependence on *T* is also an acceptable description of the same data as shown in Fig. 253, similar to the nearly linear *T*-dependence of the NCL found in PIB, PI, glycerol, and PMMA by dynamic light scattering above 1 GHz [1062, 1063, 1423], and as shown in Figs. 135 and 136 for PIB and PI, respectively. Thus, for OHD-OKE data, the  $(T - T_c)^{1/2}$ -dependence of *p* is not unique, and the nearly linear *T*-dependence is equally acceptable. The latter or other comparable forms of *T*-dependence are the characteristic of NCL in glassformers with different chemical structures that include CKN in Figs. 244 and 246 from dielectric loss, and various glassformers in Figs. 248 and 251 from  $< u^2(T) >$  obtained by neutron scattering, and PIB, PI, glycerol, and PMMA by dynamic light scattering above 1 GHz.

In 2004, by including rotational-translational coupling in a schematic model, Götze and Sperl [34], and later on Sperl [35] showed that the IPL data of salol and benzophenone (BZP) from OHD-OKE could be explained by the nearly logarithmic decay of correlation function obtained from the two-correlators model of MCT. One correlator is for density fluctuations and the other for reorientational fluctuations of the molecules. By assuming the presence of strong rotational-translational coupling, the model yields time dependence of response functions consistent with those measured for BZP and salol by OHD-OKE. They demonstrated that the fast β-relaxation of MCT can lead to a symmetrical Cole–Cole (CC) loss peak [217] with its high-frequency flank having the frequency dependence of  $\nu^{-c}$ . The conventional susceptibility loss minimum scenario of the idealized MCT results if the CC loss peak frequency is larger than the microscopic excitations, and the data can be fit by the idealized MCT as usual. According to the new two-correlators model of MCT, the CC loss peak with frequency dependence given by the imaginary part of  $1/[1 + (i2\pi v \tau_{CC})^c]$  also can be located at much lower frequencies. In this case, the high-frequency flank of the CC peak gives rise to a weaker power law  $\nu^{-c}$  (i.e., either an excess wing or logarithmic decay if  $c \approx 0$ ) on the high-frequency side of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak. These two scenarios are best illustrated in Fig. 254 taken from



[1120, 1121]. It was shown by Sperl [35] that this scenario can fit the IPL data of BZP from OHD-OKE. The OHD-OKE signal S(t) of BZP and BMP are shown in the right panel of Fig. 255. Depolarized light-scattering (DLS) experiments on BZP reported good agreement with OHD-OKE in showing the power law  $v^{-c}$  indicating the excess wing [1054].

#### Test by Broadband Dielectric Relaxation Measurements of BZP

Broadband dielectric relaxation measurement were made on BZP by the Augsburg group [1120, 1121] to test the two-correlators model of MCT. Their dielectric loss data are reproduced in the left panel of Fig. 255. These data have been shown before in Fig. 176 for a different purpose than here, and it is worthwhile to show the data again here for comparison with the OHD-OKE data. Indeed the Augsburg group found a symmetric CC peak at low temperatures (200 K and below) that is located at frequencies higher than the  $\alpha$ -loss peak. At higher temperature it tends to merge with the  $\alpha$ -loss peak and was seen as an excess wing from 210 to 225 K. However, the characteristics of the dielectric CC relaxation departs from that of the MCT CC relaxation. As pointed out by the Augsburg group, the departures are the following [1120, 1121]. (1) The relaxation time of the MCT CC peak from the MCT analysis of the OKE data at 251 K [35] is about two orders of magnitude shorter than that of the dielectric CC peak obtained by extrapolation to the same temperature (see Fig. 256). (2) The frequency and width of the MCT CC peak should be temperature



**Fig. 255** (*Left*) Frequency-dependent dielectric loss of BZP for various temperatures. The *solid lines* are fits with the sum of a Cole–Davidson (CD) and a Cole–Cole (CC) function (at  $T \le 190$  K the CD amplitude and at  $T \ge 235$  K the CC amplitude were set to zero). The *dashed lines* show the CC part of the fits accounting for the observed secondary relaxation. The *dotted lines* represent fits of the 215 and 225 K curves performed with the convolution ansatz. The *dashed-dotted lines* at high frequencies are drawn to guide the eyes. Reproduced from [1121] by permission. (*Right*) OHD-OKE signal of BZP and BPM data and fits to  $S(t) = (pt^{-1+c} + dt^b) \exp(-t/\tau_{\alpha})$  for some representative temperatures from 2 ps to the ends of the data. This empirical equation fits the data well over the full ranges of time and temperature. Figure from [332] reproduced by permission. The chemical structure of BZP is shown

independent, while that of the dielectric CC peak in BZP are strongly temperature dependent, and the width parameter *c* reaches values outside the range allowed by MCT. Other problems of the two-correlators MCT in explaining the excess wing were pointed out by Brodin et al. in [1047, 1053, 1054]. Although the intermediate power law or the excess wing can be successfully reproduced by the theory at temperatures above the MCT critical temperature  $T_c$ , the excess wing continues to be observed in BZP and other glassformers at lower temperatures down to  $T_g$ . According to Brodin et al. [1047, 1053, 1054], this poses another problem for this 2004 version of MCT, because still it cannot explain the characteristics of dynamic susceptibility at longer timescales and lower temperatures down to  $T_g$ .

Let us compare the BZP dielectric data of the Augsburg group at 250 K with the OHD-OKE signal at 251 K. The latter was well fit by the empirical equation [332]

$$S(t) = (pt^{-1+c} + dt^{-1+b})\exp(-t/\tau_{\alpha}).$$
(2.96)

The highest frequency in the dielectric measurements at 250 K is about 2 GHz (corresponding to ~100 ps). The IPL,  $pt^{-1+c}$  with c = 0.13, found by OHD-OKE at 251 K occurs in the time regime shorter than about 10 ps. Thus dielectric relaxation measurements were not able to see the IPL in the form of the NCL, which would be  $\varepsilon''(v) = p'v^{-c}$  with c = 0.13, the equivalent of IPL,  $pt^{-1+c}$  with c = 0.13, found by OHD-OKE. Dielectric measurement is not able to see the excess wing that follows after the NCL in time either. This is because the former occurs in the intermediate time regime longer than 10 ps and shorter than  $10^2$  ps (see Fig. 255).



Fig. 256 (*Left*) Relaxation times determined by Lunkenheimer et al. [1121] from the fits shown in Fig. 255 (*full and open circles*). In addition, literature data from OKE and DLS experiments are shown (*open squares* and ×, respectively). The *solid line* is a crude fit of the dielectric data with a VFTH function,  $\tau_{\alpha} = (4.2 \times 10^{-13} \text{ s}) \exp[718.2/(T - 189)]$ . The *dashed line* is a fit of the dielectric data for  $T \ge 255$  K with the MCT equation,  $\tau_{\alpha} \sim (T - T_c)^{-\gamma}$  using the parameters  $T_c = 250$  K and  $\gamma = 1.92$  as reported by Cang et al. [332]. The *dashed-dotted line* is a fit of the secondary relaxation time at  $T < T_g$  assuming an Arrhenius law with pre-exponential factor  $= 8.8 \times 10^{-15}$  s, and activation energy E = 0.36 eV. The *star* shows the CC relaxation time as determined by Sperl [35] from a 2004 version of MCT analysis of the OKE data. The *inset* shows the dielectric data and MCT fit, using a representation that should linearize according to the MCT prediction,  $\tau_{\alpha} \sim (T - T_c)^{-\gamma}$  with  $\gamma = 1.92$  [35]. (*Right*) Width parameters  $\beta_{CD}$  and  $\alpha_{CC}$  of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and the secondary relaxation (*inset*), respectively. They were determined from the fits of the experimental loss spectra shown as solid lines in Fig. 255. The *lines* are drawn to guide the eyes. Reproduced from [1121] by permission

In the case of OHD-OKE experiments carried out at higher temperatures, the JG  $\beta$ - and  $\alpha$ -relaxations have already merged together, and it is the merged relaxation that terminates the IPL having exponent c, which decreases toward the value  $c \approx 0$  with decreasing temperature. The merged relaxation is given by the term  $t^{-1+b} \exp(t/\tau_{\alpha})$  in Eq. (2.96), which in the frequency domain corresponds to imaginary part of the Cole–Davidson function,  $1/(1 - i\omega\tau_{\alpha})^b$ . Such action of the merged JG  $\beta$ - and  $\alpha$ -relaxation in terminating the IPL can be seen by the other product term,  $pt^{-1+c} \exp(-t/\tau_{\alpha})$ , needed in the function given by Eq. (2.96) to fit OHD-OKE data. The factor  $\exp(-t/\tau_{\alpha})$  in the product effectively ends the contribution of the IPL  $pt^{-1+c}$  at time longer than  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . The action ensures that the term,  $pt^{-1+c}$ , is not an additive contribution to the merged  $\beta$ - and  $\alpha$ -relaxation, a fact that has been shown before in the context of NCL (i.e., when  $c \approx 0$ ) from a combined consideration of depolarized light-scattering and dielectric relaxation data of the glass-forming molten salt,  $0.4\text{Ca}(\text{NO}_3)_2 - 0.6\text{KNO}_3(\text{CKN})$ . See Fig. 245 and [1451].

The following is a legitimate question to be asked: why did OHD-OKE produce data that deviate from the traditional and idealized MCT while before 2000 other techniques like quasielastic neutron scattering [32, 1437, 1438, 1441] and

dynamic light scattering [32, 1421, 1440, 1442, 1443, 1445] have found the idealized MCT works in the same fragile glassformer such as salol and ortho-terphenyl (OTP)? The experiments which reported agreement with the idealized or extended MCT predictions include depolarized light scattering on salol, OTP, and CKN, and neutron scattering on OTP and CKN. The answer is that the much wider spectral range of OHD-OKE (from sub-picoseconds to about  $5 \times 10^5$  ps in the case of salol) allows meaningful spectra at lower temperatures to be collected. At the lowest temperature such as 247 K for salol in OHD-OKE experiment, the  $\alpha$ relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is even longer than the upper bound of the time window. This favorable situation at 247 K makes possible for the true caged dynamics, exemplified as IPL with  $c \approx 0$  (logarithmic decay or NCL), to be observed over a broad frequency range and clearly identified. The OHD-OKE data for  $\tau_{\alpha}$  of OTP at 284 K are again very long and about  $10^5$  ps, and the corresponding IPL has  $c = 0.15 \pm 0.03$ . On the other hand, the windows of experiments cited above are for times shorter than 200 ps in neutron scattering, and for frequencies higher than 0.1 GHz in depolarized light scattering. Therefore they are limited to much shorter times or higher frequencies compared with OHD-OKE signal. Moreover, the data collected were usually performed at higher temperature whereby the merged JG  $\beta$ - and  $\alpha$ -relaxations or its high-frequency flank appears in the susceptibility spectrum  $\chi''(\nu) \propto \text{Im}\{1/(i - \omega \tau_{\alpha})^b\}$ , and is seen as the von Schweidler law with  $\chi''(\nu) \propto \nu^{-b}$ , of the idealized MCT. Encroachment of the high-frequency flank of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation from the low-frequency side into the already limited experimental frequency window leaves no room for the NCL to be observed in the neutron and dynamic light-scattering experiments in the past. The consequence is necessarily that the observation of a susceptibility minimum and its characteristics, including the exponents b and a of the power laws defining it, had been compared favorably with the predictions of the idealized MCT in the years before the advent of the OHD-OKE data in 2000 [330], and the dynamic light-scattering data of PIB in 2001 [1062].

Returning to the discussion of BZP dielectric data of Lunkenheimer et al. [1121] shown in the right panel of Fig. 257, the  $\alpha$ -relaxation strength,  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\rm CD}$ , of BZP obtained as a function of temperature from fits of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation by the Cole–Davidson function shows a bump in a neighborhood of temperature around 240 K which is the MCT  $T_c$  and also  $T_B$  of BZP. Here  $T_B$  is the temperature at which  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$  changes its *T*-dependence from one VFTH law to another one as revealed by the Stickel plot in the left panel of Fig. 257, which determines  $T_B = 240$  K. Incidentally,  $T_B$  coincides with  $T_c$ . The product,  $T\Delta \varepsilon_{\alpha}$ , plotted against *T* in the upper inset of the right panel exhibits a cusp anomaly near 240 K like that predicted by the idealized MCT for the non-ergodicity parameter at  $T_c$ . This product  $T\Delta \varepsilon_{\alpha}$  also was considered in earlier works by Schönhals et al. for various glassformers including dibutyl phthalate, salol, propylene carbonate, glycerol, and propylene glycol [1452]. Their results are reproduced in the left panel of Fig. 258.

There was either no cusp at  $T_c$  like in glycerol and propylene glycol, or a pseudocusp with the wrong shape was observed, like in salol, and disagreements with MCT predictions were noted by Schönhals et al. Thus, the MCT-like cusp of  $T\Delta\varepsilon_{\alpha}$  found



Fig. 257 (*Left*) Stickel plot of dielectric data of  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$  showing the change from one VFTH law to another one at  $T_{\rm B} = 240$  K. The scatter at higher temperature is due to no sufficiently finely spaced data points in performing the derivative. Courtesy of P. Lunkenheimer. (*Right*) Relaxation strengths as obtained from the fits of the experimental loss spectra. The *line* in the main frame is a fit of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation strength,  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\rm CD}$ , using the Curie–Weiss law. In the *upper inset*,  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\rm CD}$  multiplied by temperature is shown. The *line* is a fit with the MCT prediction, leading to  $T_{\rm c} = 240$  K. The *lower inset* shows the relaxation strength of the secondary process. Reproduced from [1121] by permission



**Fig. 258** (*Left*)  $(T \Delta \varepsilon)^2$  vs. *T*. Glycerol (O); propylene glycol ( $\Box$ ); propylene carbonate ( $\Diamond$ ); salol ( $\Delta$ ). *Lines* are guides for the eye. *T*<sub>c</sub> is the critical temperature of MCT. (*Right*) Width parameters  $\beta_{CD}$  vs. *T*. Glycerol ( $\bigcirc$ ); propylene glycol ( $\Box$ ); propylene carbonate ( $\Diamond$ ). *Lines* are guides for the eye. *T*<sub>c</sub> is the critical temperature of MCT and *T*<sub>A</sub> is the temperature above which the temperature dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$  becomes Arrhenius. Reproduced from [1452] by permission

in BZP is an exception as far as dielectric relaxation is concerned, possibly caused by the mode of change of the *T*-dependence of the width parameter  $\beta_{CD}$  when crossing  $T_{B} \approx T_{c}$  in BZP unlike that of the other glassformers. The difference is that  $\beta_{CD}$  of BZP in Fig. 256 does not change after T falls below  $T_{\rm B} \approx T_{\rm c}$ , while  $\beta_{\rm CD}$  of the other glassformers shows the largest decrease after T falls below  $T_{\rm B} \approx T_{\rm c}$ .

For this reason and others, we propose an alternative explanation of the anomaly of  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\alpha}$  from consideration of what is happening at T<sub>B</sub> as follows. As discussed before in Section 2.2.5.1, correlated changes of T-dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and the dielectric relaxation strength  $\Delta \varepsilon$  at T<sub>B</sub> were found in a number of glassformers including DBP and salol (Fig. 72), PC and oligomers of PG (Fig. 73), and PVAc (Fig. 74) [758, 1452]. The change of the temperature dependence of the dynamics causing the crossover of the T-dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  from (VFTH)<sub>1</sub> to (VFTH)<sub>2</sub> at T<sub>B</sub> is expected to have an effect on the relaxation strength. This is because both the relaxation strength and the relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  are complimentary characteristics of the dynamics. Concomitant changes of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\alpha}$  were best shown [758] in a plot of  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\alpha}$  against log  $\nu_{\alpha}$  for DBP, salol, and propylene carbonate, where  $\nu_{\alpha}$  is the  $\alpha$ -loss peak frequency and is approximately the same as  $1/(2\pi\tau_{\alpha})$ . These plots indicate two different dependences of  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\alpha}$  on log  $\nu_{\alpha}$ , which when extrapolated intersect at a crossover frequency  $v_{\rm B}$ . It turns out that for all the glassformers  $v_{\rm B}$ is nearly the same as  $1/[2\pi \tau_{\alpha}(T_{\rm B})]$ . Similar results were found also for the polymer, poly(vinyl acetate) [238, 759], and most recently in an amorphous pharmaceutical, ibuprofen [1004]. Therefore, the change of the dependence of  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\alpha}$  on log  $\nu_{\alpha}$  also occurs at the temperature  $T_{\rm B}$ . This phenomenon can also be explained by the more rapid increase of *n* with decreasing temperature (frequency) after crossing  $T_{\rm B}$  ( $\nu_{\rm B}$ ), because the width parameter or *n* reflects the non-exponentiality and the extent or length scale of the cooperative many-body  $\alpha$ -relaxation, and in turn the magnitude of  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\alpha}$ . The temperature dependence of  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\alpha}$  is roughly proportional to 1/T at temperature much higher than  $T_{\rm B}$  consistent with the Kirkwood–Fröhlich theory based on the assumption of non-interacting isolated dipoles as reflected by the smaller values of *n* therein. However, this dependence of  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\alpha}$  does not continue when temperature is lowered to approach  $T_{\rm B}$  and below  $T_{\rm B}$  because *n* increases with falling temperature. Thus, the observed anomaly of the T-dependence of  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\alpha}$  in a neighbor borhood of  $T_{\rm B}$  is another consequence of the change of the temperature dependence of *n* or the degree of cooperativity of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation with falling temperature after crossing  $T_{\rm B}$  [237, 253]. The detail of the change of *n* in crossing  $T_{\rm B}$  in BZP is different from the other glassformers including salol, DBP, propylene carbonate, and propylene glycol. In BZP, n or  $(1 - \beta_{CD})$  increases on approaching T<sub>B</sub> with falling temperature from above to reach a value at  $T_{\rm B}$ , and maintain approximately this value when falling below  $T_{\rm B}$  (see Fig. 4 in [44]). On the other hand, in the other glassformers, n is slowly varying and small when  $T > T_{\rm B}$  and rapidly increases with decreasing temperature after crossing  $T_{\rm B}$  [237, 253]. This difference in the change of temperature dependence of n when crossing  $T_{\rm B}$  of BZP from the other glassformers may explain the cusp anomaly of  $T\Delta\varepsilon_{\alpha}$  found in BZP but not in the others.

Before we close, it is worthwhile to remind the reader of the discussion given before in Section 2.3.2.16 on the nature of the resolved secondary relaxation of BZP found by the Augsburg group. There we have demonstrated that it is not the JG β-relaxation, which is not resolved. This conclusion is consistent with the narrow α-loss peak that is well fit by the Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function with relatively large exponent  $β_{KWW} \equiv (1-n) = 0.70$ . The JG β-relaxation was resolved after BZP was mixed with tri-styrene to enhance its coupling parameter, as shown in Fig. 177.

#### Tests with Pressure and Temperature Dependences

Combined pressure and temperature dependences of the high-frequency depolarized light-scattering susceptibility of *ortho*-terphenyl (OTP) were obtained by Patkowski et al. [644] with the purpose of comparing with idealized MCT predictions and the conclusions of a previous analysis of incoherent neutron scattering data by Tölle and coworkers [1403]. Patkowski et al. found that the time-temperature-pressure superposition does not work for OTP in the frequency range of the susceptibility minimum and both a and b exponents of the MCT are temperature and pressure dependent. At constant temperature, the exponent *a* increases with increasing pressure, being in most cases outside the MCT range (a < 0.39) and approaching 1 at high pressure, while the exponent b decreases with increasing pressure, reaching unreasonable values (< 0.2) at low temperatures. At a constant pressure, the exponent a decreases while the exponent b increases with increasing temperature. This kind of behavior is not consistent with the idealized MCT, in which both exponents *a* and *b* are interrelated by  $[\Gamma^{2}(1 + a)/\Gamma(1 + 2a)] = [\Gamma^{2}(1 - b)/\Gamma(1 - 2b)],$ and they must be constant. When reinterpreted in terms of NCL, these behaviors of the susceptibility minimum can be rephrased as the susceptibility  $\chi''(\nu)$  showing up as a power law,  $p'v^{-c}$ , with c decreasing with increasing  $\tau_{\alpha}$  isothermally at higher pressures or isobarically at lower temperatures. This behavior is no different from the behavior of IPL,  $pt^{-1+c}$ , on decreasing temperature observed by OHD-OKE. As described before, the idealized MCT cannot account for the IPL. If one insists on using MCT to explain the data of OTP, then the choice has to be the two-correlators MCT model. However, OTP has a resolved JG relaxation, which merges with the  $\alpha$ -relaxation at high frequencies of the depolarized light-scattering study, but bifurcates from the  $\alpha$ -relaxation at lower frequencies and temperatures. The Cole–Cole relaxation of the two-correlators MCT model is non-descript and cannot be identified with the JG relaxation of OTP, which has specific properties including various correlations with the  $\alpha$ -relaxation discussed in various subsections before.

Patkowski et al. also found the pressure-induced shift of the position of the minimum is much weaker than that of the  $\alpha$ -peak. Thus there is no universal scaling of the entire susceptibility including both the  $\alpha$ -peak and the MCT susceptibility minimum, contradicting the previously reported conclusions obtained from the analysis of incoherent neutron scattering data by Tölle et al. [1403]. Actually, this is obvious from the fact that caged dynamics (NCL) does not involve either rotational or translational relaxation, and has no characteristic relaxation time as opposed to genuine relaxation processes such as the JG relaxation or  $\alpha$ -relaxation. Change of Caged Dynamics for Different Combinations of *T* and *P* at Constant Density and Static Structure Factor S(Q)

Frick et al. [647] performed neutron scattering spectroscopy on protonated polybutadiene to investigate the inelastic incoherent scattering law,  $S(Q,\omega)$ . These experiments give information on the self-correlation function  $G_{S}(r, t)$  for the protons mainly.  $G_{\rm S}(r,t)$  is related by a space-time Fourier transformation to the  $S(Q,\omega)$  as shown before in Eq. (1.119). The dynamic susceptibility  $\chi''(Q,\omega)$  is obtained by the ratio  $S(Q, \omega)/n(\omega, T)$ . Here  $n(\omega, T)$  is the Bose occupation factor used to correct for temperature changes due to phonon contributions in order to stress the relaxation contributions. Frick et al. also found that the static structure factor S(O) of deuterated polybutadiene is unchanged when P and T are varied along constant density lines. In MCT, the static structure factor controls the dynamics of the density correlation function. Thus, for different combinations of P and T having the same density, MCT predicts that the same dynamic susceptibility,  $\chi''(Q, \omega)$ , should be observed. This prediction is contradicted by the experimental findings of Frick et al. in that both the fast relaxation and  $\alpha$ -relaxation change on varying T and P while maintaining the density constant. This is demonstrated for the fast relaxation at one constant density in Fig. 259 for constant  $Q = 1.6 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ . Frick et al. stated that even given the fact that S(Q) is composed of different unknown partial structure factors, their data still contradict idealized MCT prediction, with the exception of the unlikely case that different partial structure factors cancel each other.



**Fig. 259** (b) The change of the dynamic susceptibility of protonated polybutadiene (*h*6-PB) at  $Q = 1.6 \text{ Å}^{-1}$  in the fast relaxation regime for two different combinations of *P* and *T* measured by inelastic incoherent neutron scattering along an *isochore*. The two sets of data at (P = 0.1 MPa, T = 220 K) and (P = 200 MPa, T = 314 K) are from an isochore with density=935±5 kg/m<sup>3</sup>. The slight uncertainty explains some deviations in the vibration regime. (a) The same behavior was found along an isochore with density = 953 kg/m<sup>3</sup> for dynamic susceptibility data at (P = 0.1 MPa, T = 200 K) and (P = 100 MPa, T = 235 K). Figure from Frick et al. [647], reproduced by permission

The change of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation also was reported by Frick et al. For two (*T*,*P*) combinations at the same density, the one with a higher *T* has shorter  $\alpha$ -relaxation time and higher intensity of the fast relaxation. The fast relaxation shows up like a susceptibility minimum, but the minimum is so flat, particularly for the (*T*,*P*) combination having a higher temperature (see Fig. 259b), that it resembles the dynamic light-scattering data of PIB at 260 K in Fig. 135 and PI at 230 K in Fig. 136. Thus

the flat minimum suggests it may actually be the NCL, which is definitely the case of PIB because of availability of evidence in Fig. 135 at lower temperatures near and below  $T_g$  where the  $\alpha$ -relaxation time,  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , becomes very long. The flat susceptibility minimum observed in polybutadiene by Frick et al. cannot be fitted by the idealized MCT because of serious deviations from the MCT relation between the critical exponents *a* and *b*. An example of such deviation was reported earlier by Zorn et al. in neutron scattering studies of polybutadiene at ambient pressure [310].

On the other hand, if the data are interpreted as NCL instead of idealized MCT, the experimental findings of Frick et al. that  $\chi''(Q, \omega)$  changes with different combinations of *P* and *T* having the same static structure factor can be easily understood. In particular, the observation that the (T,P) combination having a longer  $\alpha$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  has a lower NCL intensity and hence a less shallow susceptibility minimum is rationalized as follows. First, this finding is in accord with the empirical fact that the intensity of NCL increases on decreasing  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . There are many examples of this shown before in previous sections such as Fig. 133 for NMEC and *tert*butylpyridine, Figs. 135 and 135 for PIB and PI, Fig. 244 for CKN, and Figs. 1 and 79 for colloidal particles. Second, longer  $\tau_{\alpha}$  corresponds to lower temperature or higher pressure, and increase in density. The latter means that for tighter cages, more restricted motion of caged molecule, and smaller amplitude of cage fluctuation, there is lower intensity of NCL.

# 2.3.2.38 Conversion of α-Relaxation to the JG β-Relaxation or Primitive Relaxation by Suppression of Cooperativity

The properties of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation or primitive relaxation discussed in the subsections in Section 2.3 all point to the existence of its relation to the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation. At any temperature or pressure, the relation arises from the local JG β-relaxation or primitive relaxation being the basic motion generating the manymolecule dynamics, which increases in length scale with time to become the terminal  $\alpha$ -relaxation with the maximal possible length scale,  $\xi(T)$ , and correlation function given by the Kohlrausch correlation function with exponent, n(T). If one can reduce or suppress the involvement of many bodies in the  $\alpha$ -relaxation, the  $\alpha$ relaxation would have lesser or even no difference from the local JG  $\beta$ -relaxation or primitive relaxation. One way to accomplish this feat is to reduce the spatial dimension of the glassformer to make it less than  $\xi(T)$ . Since one can calculate the primitive relaxation time and make a prediction of the resultant  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$  in the event that many-body cooperative dynamics can be removed totally. Since  $\xi(T_g)$ of bulk glassformer having n not small is of the order of nanometers near and above  $T_g$  (see Section 2.2.2), confinement of the supercooled liquid in nanometersize glass pores is an effective method to reduce many-body cooperative dynamics. The proviso is that the liquid does not chemically bond to or physically interact with the walls, which can be met if the surfaces of the confining walls have been rendered passive by treatment such as with silane for silica-related glass pores. This condition may not be achieved in many experiments reviewed in [1453, 1454]. Nevertheless, there are several studies of the relaxation of the nanoconfined liquids in silanized glass pores that show large reduction of intermolecular cooperativity. Examples include the liquids 1,2-diphenylbenzene (i.e., *ortho*-terphenyl (OTP)) [492–494], salol [490], and the polymers, poly(dimethyl siloxane) and poly(methylphenyl siloxane) which are hydrophobic and form no hydrogen bonds with the glass pore surface [498–500]. Under this ideal condition, molecules nearer the smooth wall experience reduction of intermolecular coupling due to the finite size and the absence of other molecules on the side of the wall. Intermolecular coupling is reduced for all molecules when the pore size becomes comparable or smaller than the many-molecule dynamics length scale. Experimentally, the density of the confined liquid may be lower than the bulk [493], and this happening also facilitates the reduction of intermolecular coupling because at lower density the molecules are further apart.

Reduction of intermolecular coupling in the CM means that the coupling parameter n(T) becomes smaller than the bulk value  $n_{\rm b}(T)$ . Had this effect been the only factor, the dispersion of the liquid in the pore will be narrower than in the bulk. However, there is an additional complication. The cooperative dynamics of molecules situated at various distances from the wall is necessarily affected differently and thus *n* and  $\tau_{\alpha}$  are position dependent. The overall observed relaxation dispersions of confined liquids are additionally broadened by the spatial distribution of the molecules in the pores. This is a dominant effect on the observed dispersion because all molecules inside the pore are sampled by experiment, and it could eclipse the effect of the reduction of n(T) of the molecules particularly those located nearer the smooth wall. Hence, we cannot obtain any of the reduced values of n(T)of molecules from the experimentally observed width of the dispersion of the liquid confined in pores. Nevertheless, we expect that n(T) to decrease with the decreasing size of the pores. The CM equation can be rewritten as  $\tau_{\alpha} = \tau_0 (\tau_0 / t_c)^{n/(1-n)}$  or  $\tau_{\alpha} = \tau_{\rm JG} (\tau_{\rm JG} / t_{\rm c})^{n/(1-n)}$ . Since  $\tau_0$  or  $\tau_{\rm JG}$  is usually much longer than  $t_{\rm c} = 2$  ps and n/(1-n) is a monotonic decreasing function with decreasing n, it is clear that  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$  of nanoconfined liquids decreases on decreasing the size of the pores. Consequently, the difference between  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_0$  or  $\tau_{IG}$  becomes smaller [494, 506, 900]. If, in sufficiently small size pores,  $n \rightarrow 0$ , then  $\tau_{\alpha} \rightarrow \tau_0$  or  $\tau_{JG}$ , and the characteristics of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation will not be very different from that of the JG relaxation. These expected changes are seen experimentally, and described in the following subsections.

## PDMS and PMPS Confined in Silanized Glass Pores

The trend expected from the above discussion has been shown before in Figs. 28 and 29 by the dielectric relaxation data of low molecular weight poly(dimethyl siloxane) (PDMS) and poly(methylphenyl siloxane) (PMPS) confined in silanized glass pores of various sizes down to 2.5 nm obtained by Schönhals and coworkers [498– 500]. Change from random coils to more stretched conformation of these polymers was also observed in confinement, and the induced orientations are an additional cause of enhancement of the mobility of molecules like non-linear deformation
discussed in Section 2.2.5.10. The extremely broad loss peaks of the confined polymers originating from distribution of environments of the confined polymer can be seen from the isothermal spectra given in these figures. In the case of bulk PDMS  $(M_{\rm w} \approx 1400 \,{\rm g}\,{\rm mol}^{-1}, T_{\rm g} = 152 \,{\rm K})$ , the location of the primitive frequency  $\nu_0$ corresponding to  $\tau_0$  calculated from  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and n = 0.48 values of bulk PDMS at one temperature near  $T_g$  by the CM equation is indicated by the short horizontal line in Fig. 260. Note that  $\tau_0$  is shorter than the bulk  $\tau_{\alpha}$  by about five orders of magnitude. On the other hand,  $\tau_{\alpha}$  of PDMS in 5 nm pores at the same temperature is only a factor of 4 longer than  $\tau_0$ , and its temperature dependence is weaker than  $\tau_{\alpha}$  of bulk PDMS, having an apparent activation enthalpy of 48 kJ/mol commonly found for JG relaxation of polymeric glassformers. Moreover, the dielectric strength  $\Delta \varepsilon$  (see Figs. 28 and 29) as well as the thermal capacity  $\Delta C_p$  of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation (see Fig. 30) undergoes marked decrease from the bulk value on decreasing the pore size. At 5 nm, the dielectric strength and  $\Delta C_p$  of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation are significantly smaller than the same quantities of bulk PDMS. They become similar to that observed for the JG relaxation of bulk glassformers by adiabatic calorimetry [1048, 1075]. Moreover, the temperature dependence of  $\Delta \varepsilon$  changes from decreasing with increasing temperature like the behavior of α-relaxation to increasing with increasing temperature as exhibited by secondary relaxation (Figs. 28 and 29). All these changes on decreasing the size indicate that in nanometer size pores down to 5 nm or less, the  $\alpha$ -relaxation is not much different from the JG relaxation.



**Fig. 260** (*Left*) Loss peak frequency,  $f_p$ , of PDMS in bulk or in nanopores of various sizes. Shown also is the primitive frequency  $v_0$  calculated by the CM equation using known values of n = 0.48 of bulk PDMS and  $v_{\alpha}$  at one temperature indicated by the *vertical arrow*. (*Right*) Temperature dependence of the effective mean-squared displacement  $< r^2 >_{\text{eff}}$  for PDMS: from *top* to *bottom*, ( $\Delta$ ) 7.5 nm, ( $\Diamond$ ) 5.0 nm, ( $\nabla$ ) 2.5 nm. The step at about 100 K is ascribed to local methyl group rotations and the second step to the increase of the displacements near the glass transition. For the 2.5 nm pores no increase is observed around  $T_g$ . Reproduced from [500] by permission

It is worthwhile here to also consider the temperature dependences of the meansquared displacement,  $\langle r^2 \rangle_{\text{eff}}$ , of PDMS measured by neutron scattering in bulk PDMS and PDMS confined in the different pore sizes of 7.5, 5, and 2.5 nm. The neutron spectrometer used is IN16 in ILL at Grenoble with energy resolution

1  $\mu$ eV, corresponding to the observation times of the order of  $t \sim \hbar/E \sim 4$  ns. Based on the relaxation map in Fig. 260, the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation time near  $T_g$  suggested by the calculated  $\tau_0$  is about 10<sup>-5</sup> s and at 175 K it is about 10<sup>-7</sup> s. Both are much longer than 4 ns. Hence the caged molecular dynamics is responsible for the measured  $< r^2 >_{\text{eff}}$  of PDMS confined in the 7.5 nm pore in the left panel of Fig. 260 up to 175 K, and the change to a stronger T-dependence after crossing  $T_g$ , estimated to be about 144 K from  $\tau_{\alpha} = 10^2$  s. This behavior of  $\langle r^2 \rangle_{\text{eff}}$  is a particular case of the general property of caged molecular dynamics found in other glassformers (see Figs. 248 and 251). The same behavior is found in the NCL of caged molecular dynamics, which is the equivalent of  $\langle r^2 \rangle_{\text{eff}}$  in the susceptibility,  $\chi''(Q, \omega)$ . Most interesting in the right panel of Fig. 260 is the trend exhibited by  $\langle r^2 \rangle_{\text{eff}}$  on decreasing the pore size. The magnitude of  $\langle r^2 \rangle_{eff}$  not only decreases over the entire temperature range, but also increases above  $T_g$ . The same said in the above about PDMS confined in nanopores was found for PMPS. The data of  $< r^2 >_{eff}$ have been presented before in Fig. 31. The plot of the slope  $d < r^2 >_{\text{eff}} / dT$  for  $T > T_{g,bulk}$  vs. inverse pore size given in the inset of Fig. 31 shows this trend clearly for PMPS. We have concluded before that intermolecular coupling and n are further reduced on decreasing pore size. Hence the trend of  $\langle r^2 \rangle_{eff}$  observed correlates with the decrease in n. The reader may recall that the same correlation is observed when comparing  $\langle r^2 \rangle_{\text{eff}}$  of OTP with glycerol in Fig. 248 (right) and Fig. 251,  $< r^2 >_{\text{eff}}$  of PIB with PS or PMMA in Fig. 248, and the NCL in the susceptibility spectra of ZnCl<sub>2</sub> with CKN. In all these other cases, the correlation of the trend in caged  $< r^2 >_{\text{eff}}$  or NCL with *n* is observed and can be verified. That is glycerol has smaller *n* than OTP, so is PIB than PS and PMMA, and ZnCl<sub>2</sub> than CKN. These other examples of the correlation together with the theoretical rationalization, discussed in [507] and Section 2.3.2.35, can be used to support the proposed enhanced mobility by nanoconfinement caused at least partially by the reduction in intermolecular coupling and *n* according to the CM.

Reduction in the strength as well as the temperature of glass transition of ultrathin polystyrene film compared with the bulk was observed by Ellison and Torkelson [1170] from reduced difference between the liquid-state and the glassy-state slopes of the *T*-dependence of the fluorescence of pyrene-labeled PS in the ultrathin singlelayer film on glass substrate (see Fig. 1a in [1170]). This behavior was seen before by Kawana and Jones [1455] in thin polystyrene films supported on silicon from the decrease of the difference between the expansivities on the glass and liquid sides of the transition with decreasing film thickness. The decrease of  $T_g$  with decreasing thickness h of the PS film can be explained by the decrease of intermolecular coupling and the averaged n. Since n is proportional to the extent and hence the strength of the many-molecule  $\alpha$ -relaxation dynamics (see Section 2.2), the reduction in the  $\alpha$ -relaxation strength in glass transition with decreasing either film thickness or confining pore size is also explained by the decrease of the averaged n. It is worthwhile to point out for polymers of high molecular weights, induced chain orientations in ultrathin films are an additional cause of reduction of intermolecular coupling [1456].

#### OTP Confined in Silanized Glass Pores

The dynamics of OTP confined in silanized nanometer pores were studied by photon correlation spectroscopy [492, 493], and the data were shown before in Fig. 92 and discussed before in Section 2.2.5.8, and in [494]. The  $\alpha$ -relaxation times  $\tau_{\alpha}$ determined from the non-exponential correlation function of OTP confined in the smallest 2.5 nm pores at two temperatures are shown by closed squares in Fig. 92. Shown also are  $\tau_{\alpha}$  (from PCS) and  $\tau_{JG}$  from dielectric data of bulk OTP, and  $\tau_0$ calculated from the bulk OTP parameters of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and *n* obtained from PCS and from dielectric measurements [492, 493]. There are six orders of magnitude reduction of the bulk  $\tau_{\alpha}$  at 243.15 K caused by reduction of intermolecular cooperativity in OTP when it is confined in 2.5 nm pores (with possibly lower density), and this change is correctly predicted by the primitive relaxation time  $\tau_0$  of the CM. In other words, the measured  $\alpha$ -relaxation of OTP confined in 2.5 nm pores is almost a realization of the primitive relaxation process in bulk OTP. Reference [493] pointed out the possibility that the density of OTP in the nanopores is lesser than in the bulk. This is just another cause of reduction of intermolecular coupling and *n* in addition to finite size effect, and its presence does not alter our calculation of  $\tau_0$  and comparison with the observed  $\tau_{\alpha}$  of OTP confined in 2.5 nm pores.

Dynamic mechanical response of liquid salol confined in mesoporous silica with coated inner surfaces has been measured by Kuppensteiner et al. [490] as a function of temperature and frequency (1–100 Hz) for various pore sizes (2.4–7.3 nm). The  $\alpha$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  together with  $T_g$  is reduced on decreasing pore size, which can be attributed to the reduction of length scale of the many-body relaxation and hence *n*. Bulk salol has *n*=0.37, and the largest reduction of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  due to extreme confinement would be  $\tau_0$  that can be calculated from  $\tau_{\alpha}$  of bulk salol by the CM equation. This is done in Fig. 260-1 for  $\tau_{\alpha}=100$  s at  $T=T_g=220$  K. The calculated  $\tau_0$  is  $10^{-3}$  s. The observed  $\tau_{\alpha}$  in coated 2.4 nm pores is about  $2 \times 10^{-2}$  s, which is



**Fig. 260-1** Relaxation time in treated pore centers (*black lines*). *Horizontal line* shows  $\tau$ =100 s. *Gray lines* are relaxation times in untreated pores [490]. Shown also is the primitive relaxation time of bulk salol calculated with *n*=0.37 determined in [596]

about 20 time longer than the largest reduction possible. This indicates that manybody effect is not totally suppressed, and the  $\alpha$ -relaxation has not been converted to the primitive or the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation, consistent with the VFTH *T*-dependence of the observed relaxation time. The reduction of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  of salol in coated 2.4 nm pores is smaller than  $\tau_{\alpha}$  of OTP in the same pores [492–494(a)]. This is consistent with the larger  $n \sim 0.50$  of OTP than 0.37 of salol.

#### PMPS Ultrathin Films in Nanocomposites

Similar effects were found in thin poly(methylphenylsiloxane) (PMPS) films with thickness of the order of 1.5–2.0 nm intercalated into galleries of organically modified layered silicates by Anastasiadis et al. [495]. The modified layered silicates were prepared by a cation exchange reaction between the Na<sup>+</sup> in the silicate hosts and dioctadecyl-dimethyl-ammonium bromide at exchange capacity in order to render the originally hydrophilic silicate surface organophilic. Hybrids were prepared by mixing dry organosilicate and PMPS ( $M_w = 2600, T_g = 223 \text{ K}$ ) with various PMPS concentrations from 15 up to 30%. The observed  $\alpha$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  in the PMPS film is much shorter than in the bulk at the same temperature (see left panel of Fig. 261). The root-mean-squared end-to-end distance of the PMPS chains is estimated to be of the order of 3 nm, which is about twice the thickness of the films. It can be expected that there are significant induced orientations in the chains due to severe chain confinement. This, together with the extremely small thickness of the film, suggests that we may now have large reduction of intermolecular coupling of the local segmental relaxation. Hence, in the thin film, the coupling parameters *n* may be reduced to approach zero value, and the observed  $\alpha$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$  becomes nearly equal to the primitive relaxation time  $\tau_0(T)$  calculated from the parameters,  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$  and n, of bulk PMPS obtained by fitting the dielectric  $\alpha$ -loss peak of PMPS [496]. The coupling parameters n in the Kohlrausch functions used in the fits have the values 0.50, 0.48, 0.46, and 0.40, at 228, 233, 238, and 243 K, respectively. This expected change of  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$  from the bulk values to somewhere in the neighborhood of  $\tau_0(T)$  when confined to 1.5 nm thin film is supported in the comparison made in Fig. 261 with the experimental data at the four temperatures, 228, 233, 238, and 243 K. The reduction of  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$  is comparable with, albeit more severe than, that seen in PMPS confined in 2.5 nm glass pores discussed before (see Fig. 29). Another similar case is thin supported polystyrene films [1457]. The changes of  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$  on decreasing film thickness from 90 to 6 nm are similar to that found in PDMS confined in glass pores when the pore size is decreased from the bulk to 5 nm (Fig. 260) or in PMPS thin films (Fig. 29).

#### PEO Ultrathin Film Confined in Nanocomposites

More recent results have been reported on the effects of confinement on the segmental relaxation of  $\sim 1$  nm poly(ethylene oxide) (PEO) films confined within the galleries of hydrophilic silicates, sodium-montmorillonite (Na<sup>+</sup>MMT) obtained by



**Fig. 261** (*Left*) Temperature dependencies of the various relaxation times of bulk and thin film of PMPS obtained by dielectric spectroscopy.  $\alpha$ -Relaxation rates,  $-\log \tau_{\alpha,bulk}$ , of bulk PMPS ( $\mathbf{v}$ ). Relaxation rates,  $-\log \tau_{\alpha,film}$ , of 1.5 nm thin films of PMPS prepared by mixing dry organosilicate and PMPS with various PMPS concentrations from 15 up to 30% ( $\Delta$  15%,  $\bigcirc$  20%,  $\Box$  25%, 30%  $\diamond$ ). Primitive relaxation rate,  $\nu_0$ , of bulk PMPS calculated by the CM from bulk PMPS data at temperatures above  $T_g$  ( $\blacksquare$ ). The *lines* are VFTH fits to  $-\log \tau_{\alpha,bulk}$  and  $-\log \tau_{\alpha,film}$ . The *inset* compares the  $T_g$ -scaled temperature dependence of the two relaxation times. (*Right*) Comparison of the observed segmental relaxation frequency from  $\nu_{\alpha}$  of the bulk PEO studied in [1458] and the two values n = 0.34 and 0.38 of the coupling parameters n. Reproduced from [1096] by permission

Elmahdy et al. using dielectric spectroscopy [1458]. It was found that confinement results in an acceleration of the PEO segmental dynamics and changes the VFTH temperature dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  found in bulk PEO to an Arrhenius temperature dependence with low activation energy (see right panel of Fig. 261). In bulk and pure PEO, a fast  $\gamma$ -relaxation has been observed together with the segmental  $\alpha$ -relaxation. This  $\gamma$ -relaxation, called the  $\beta$ -relaxation by Elmahdy et al., is not the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of PEO as can be judged by a comparison with the relaxation map of PEO in Fig. 157. The  $\alpha$ -relaxation times have the VFTH temperature dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha} = \tau_{\infty} \exp[B/(T - T_0)]$ , with  $\tau_{\infty} = 1.0 \times 10^{-11}$ s,  $B = 2700 \pm 300$  K, and  $T_0 = 112 \pm 10$  K. The relaxation times for the  $\gamma$ -relaxation of the pure PEO follow an Arrhenius dependence,  $\tau_{\gamma} = \tau_{\gamma\infty} \exp(E_{\gamma}/RT)$ , with a single activation energy  $E_{\gamma} = 35 \pm 0.5$  kJ/mol and  $\tau_{\gamma\infty} = 6 \times 10^{-15}$  s, characteristic of a local process.

The temperature dependences of the relaxation frequencies  $f_{\alpha} \equiv (1/2\pi \tau_{\alpha})$  and  $f_{\gamma} \equiv (1/2\pi \tau_{\gamma})$  of bulk PEO are shown in Fig. 261. For the 50 wt% PEO hybrid

as well as for all hybrids with lower PEO content, the PEO segments are all confined within the galleries and relax much faster than in the bulk. This *new* segmental relaxation process of the  $\sim 1$  nm PEO films (called  $\alpha$ '-process by Elmahdy et al.) is located in between the  $\alpha$ - and  $\gamma$ -relaxations of bulk PEO. It exhibits Arrhenius temperature dependence and its relaxation frequencies observed for 50, 70, and 80% PEO are shown in Fig. 261 by open squares, closed circles, and open inverted triangles, respectively. The faster local y-process was also observed (open circles and closed inverted triangles) with a rate comparable to that of bulk PEO (dashed line), understandably so because of its local character and intramolecular nature that it is insensitive to finite size effect. Due to the semi-crystallinity of bulk PEO, the amorphous region is spatially heterogeneous and the observed  $\alpha$ -loss peak is additionally broadened and cannot be used to deduce the coupling parameter n of the amorphous PEO. As previously shown, the magnitude of n of polymers is related to the chemical structure [244]. Flexible chain backbones have smaller n, while more rigid chains having more rigid pendant groups are associated with larger coupling parameters. Due to the oxygen in the repeat unit, PEO is a very flexible polymer and the chemical structure of its repeat units is not more complicated than polyisobutylene. Thus we expect intermolecular coupling and hence n of PEO is less than those of PIB. The value of *n* of PIB is 0.45 [208–210], which can be considered as an upper bound of the actual value of n of PEO. Another indication that n of amorphous PEO is smaller comes from the small steepness index  $m \equiv d[\log \tau_{\alpha}(T)]/d(T_g/T)$ at  $\tau_{\alpha}(T = T_g) = 100$  s. From the VFTH dependence given for  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$  of bulk PEO [1458], m is 29 compared with 45 of PIB and much larger values of other amorphous polymers including PMPS. In Fig. 261 (right), the two short horizontal lines terminating the vertical arrows indicate the primitive relaxation frequencies,  $v_0$ , of bulk PEO calculated by the CM equation with two assumed but reasonable values of n = 0.34 and 0.38 at the temperature at which  $\tau$  is about 1000 s [1096]. These calculated  $v_0$  are about the same as the observed segmental relaxation frequencies of PEO confined in the galleys of sodium-montmorillonite.

#### POB Confined in Glass Pores

The repeat unit of poly(oxybutylene) (POB) has dipole moment with components normal and parallel to the backbone so that both the segmental  $\alpha$ -relaxation and the normal modes (global chain motion) can be probed by broadband dielectric spectroscopy. Kyritsis and coworkers reported [503] changes of dynamics of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and the normal modes of both hydroxyl- and methyl-ended poly(oxybutylene) (POB) confined in Vycor glass with mean pore diameter of 4 nm. They found, when confined, methyl-ended POB ( $M_n = 970$  g/mol) has segmental  $\alpha$ -mobility significantly higher than in the bulk state, leading to a decrease of  $T_g$ . In contrast, such effect is not observed for the normal (Rouse) modes, the relaxation rate of which is the same whether confined or not (see Fig. 262). This interesting observation has an immediate explanation from the CM based on previous explanations [837] of other different behaviors of segmental  $\alpha$ -relaxation and normal modes of bulk polymers reviewed in [165, 87]. As discussed in Sections Fig. 262 Arrhenius plots of the peak frequencies of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation for OH-ended POB with molecular weight  $M_n = 970 (1000 \text{ H}, squares)$ and methyl group-ended POB with  $M_n = 970 (1000 \text{ M}, circles)$  in bulk (*closed symbols*) and in confinement (*open symbols*). In the *inset* are the Arrhenius plots for the corresponding normal mode processes using the same symbols. Reproduced from [503] by permission



2.3.2.30, 2.3.2.31, and 2.2.5.9, the normal Rouse mode relaxation time,  $\tau_n$ , has weaker temperature and pressure dependences compared with the  $\alpha$ -relaxation time,  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . This difference is explained by the CM based on the fact that the normal (Rouse) modes are entropic and not intermolecularly coupled, and hence has zero coupling parameter. Hence  $\tau_n(T, P) \propto \zeta_0(T, P)$ , the primitive monomeric friction coefficient, whether in the bulk or nanoconfined. On the other hand, for the intermolecularly coupled  $\alpha$ -relaxation,  $\tau_{\alpha}(T,P) \propto [\zeta_0(T,P)]^{1/(1-n_{\alpha})}$ , and hence the stronger T- and *P*-dependences of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  than those of  $\tau_n$ . The different effects that nanoconfinement in Vycor glass have on  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{n}$  observed by Kyritsis can be explained following the same line as used before in [1096]. When nanoconfined, the  $\alpha$ -relaxation coupling parameter,  $n_{\alpha}$ , of POB is reduced. Then it follows from  $\tau_{\alpha} = \tau_0(\tau_0/t_c)^{n_{\alpha}/(1-n_{\alpha})}$ that  $\tau_{\alpha}$  of the nanoconfined POB is significantly reduced (since  $\tau_0 \gg t_c$  under the experimental condition). On the other hand, the normal (Rouse) modes are entropic in nature and intermolecularly uncoupled to start with, and remain so when POB is nanoconfined, and hence  $\tau_n$  of POB in nanoconfinement is the same as in bulk POB.

The size effect on POB is not sufficiently small enough to reduce *n* to near zero to reveal the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. Nevertheless, together with the fact that the same primitive monomeric friction coefficient  $\zeta_0(T)$  governing both  $\tau_n$  of the normal mode and  $\tau_{JG}$  of the JG relaxation (see Section 2.3.2.30), the null effect on  $\tau_n$  by nanoconfinement supports that  $\tau_{JG}$  of the unresolved JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in POB is insensitive nanoconfinement.

Polyethylene-Like Glass Transition of Alkyl Nanodomains in Side-Chain Polymers

In Section 2.2.2.5 we have discussed the polyethylene-like glass transition driven by a process called  $\alpha_{PE}$  occurs within the alkyl nanodomains formed by nanophase separation of incompatible main and side chain parts in higher member of the poly(*n*-alkyl acrylates) (PnAA), and poly(*n*-alkyl methacrylates) (PnAMA) [297, 298]. The size d of the self-assembled alkyl nanodomains is mainly determined by the number, C, of alkyl carbon atoms per side chain and increases from 0.5 nm for C = 4 up to 2 nm for C = 10. The *T*-dependences of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation time,  $\tau_{CPE}$ , for C = 4, 8, and 10 of PnAA are shown in Fig. 25 and for C = 4, 7, and 10 of PnAMA in Fig. 26. For PnBA or PnBMA with C = 4,  $\tau_{4,\text{PE}}$  has Arrhenius T-dependence with prefactor having magnitude of vibrational time, and fragility index m near the minimum allowed value. These all indicate near total absence of cooperativity in the  $\alpha_{\rm PE}$  process of the alkyl nanodomains in PnBA or PnBMA with C = 4, and hence  $\alpha_{PE}$  is not much different from the JG secondary relaxation  $\beta_{PE}$  of polyethylene [481]. On increasing C, alkyl nanodomains in PnAA and PnAMA have larger size, and the  $\alpha_{PE}$  process becomes progressively more cooperative. This trend is reflected by  $\tau_{CPF}$  becoming longer, deviating more from Arrhenius T-dependence to have larger steepness index m. If the JG relaxation is a universal feature accompanying any primary relaxation, the JG relaxation  $\beta_{PF}$  associated with the  $\alpha_{PF}$  process should exist also in the higher members of the PnAA or PnAMA series, and their relaxation times  $\tau_{C,JG}$  should be nearly the same as the observed values of  $\tau_{4,P}$  [481]. JG relaxation has not been resolved from the dielectric or mechanical spectra of PnAA or PnAMA with C > 4 at normal cooling rates. Possibly this is due to the overlap of the JG relaxation process  $\beta_{PE}$  with the  $\alpha_{PE}$  process if  $\tau_{CIG}$  is not much shorter than  $\tau_{CPE}$ . However, rapid quenching introduces additional free volumes which increase the amplitude of the localized JG relaxation in the alkyl nanodomains and can help to resolve it, as done before in other glassformers to reveal the unresolved JG relaxation. In fact, by rapidly quenching C = 10 poly(*n*-decyl methacrylate) and C = 7poly(n-heptyl methacrylate) [482], the JG relaxation in alkyl nanodomains has been resolved, as shown before for C10 in Fig. 27. Here in Fig. 263, the data of quenched C7 poly(*n*-heptyl methacrylate) are reproduced to show the results are general. The relaxation peak has some structure and two values of the relaxation frequencies are given for both cases of C = 10 and 7. It can be seen that these relaxation frequencies are not far from  $1/\tau_{4,PE}$  as we have expected. The additional free volume in the quenched samples disappears as the sample densifies during physical aging at temperatures slightly below  $T_g$  of the  $\alpha_{PE}$  process. Consequently, the JG relaxation process can no longer be resolved.

Having proven the existence of the JG relaxation and located its relaxation time  $\tau_{C,JG}$  of polyethylene-like glass transition in nanodomains in PnAA and PnAMA, we can use the relation between  $\tau_{C,JG}$  and  $\tau_{C,PE}$  given by Eq. (2.69) to calculate the coupling parameter  $n_C$  when  $\tau_{C,PE} = 1$  s. The results have been shown before in Figs. 25 and 26 with  $n_C = 0.35$  and 0.24 for the C = 10 and 8 members of PnAA, respectively, and  $n_C = 0.33$  and 0.20 for the C = 10 and 7 members of PnAMA, respectively.

Recently, Arbe et al. [482(c)] have joined in the study of the dynamics in poly(*n*-butyl methacrylate), PnBMA (C = 4), and poly(*n*-hexyl methacrylate), PnHMA(C = 6). In addition to calorimetry and dielectric relaxation, they introduced neutron diffraction and neutron spin echo spectroscopy in the study. Using isotopic labeling, neutron scattering probed the collective dynamics at the main



Fig. 263 (a) Dielectric loss  $\varepsilon''$  vs. logarithm angular frequency  $\omega$  measured for poly(*n*-heptyl methacrylate) at -90°C. The curves are measured on quenched samples (first sweep:  $t_e = 00$  min) and after further annealing of the same sample for  $t_e = 20$  min (second sweep) or  $t_e = 40$  min (third sweep) at a temperature  $T_e$  slightly below the conventional glass temperature  $T_g$  of  $\alpha_{PE}$ . (b) Arrhenius plots for poly(*n*-heptyl methacrylate), C7. The peak maxima frequencies from dielectric measurements on quenched samples (first sweeps as shown in previous figure) are indicated by *closed triangles*. The relaxation frequencies for the conventional glass transition  $\alpha$  or a (*open symbols*) and for the polyethylene-like glass transition  $\alpha_{PE}$  (*symbols with dot at center*) in these samples are shown for comparison. Data from dielectric spectroscopy (*triangles*), shear (*diamonds*), and heat capacity spectroscopy (*squares*) are included. The *thick dashed line* corresponds to the  $\beta_{PE}$  process in PnBMA and also to  $\alpha_{PE}$  for C = 4 having relaxation angular frequencies equal to  $1/\tau_{4,PE}$ . Reproduced from [482] by permission

chain and the side group for both polymers, and the self-motions of hydrogen atoms in the side groups of PnHMA. The intent of Arbe et al. in making the neutron scattering measurements, a selective technique providing space/time resolution at a molecular level, is to check the validity of the interpretation of the dynamics of the alkyl nanodomain in PnAA and PnAMA by Beiner and coworkers [297, 481, 482(a), 482(b)] as discussed above. The correlation functions probed by neutron scattering are in the short time range,  $2 \times 10^{-12} < t < 10^{-8}$  s. For the side groups in the nanodomains, Arbe et al. found that the correlation function decays nearly as a logarithmic function of time. For PnBMA, the logarithmic t-dependence persists over the range  $4 \times 10^{-12} < t < 10^{-8}$  s at temperatures in the range  $330 \le T \le 420$  K, and over the range  $4 \times 10^{-12} < t < 10^{-9}$  s for T = 450 and 480 K. If this nearly logarithmic time dependence is transformed to susceptibility  $\chi(\omega)$ , the latter would correspond to a nearly constant loss (NCL) reminiscent of caged dynamics, rather than the structural relaxation process ( $\alpha_{PE}$ ) and not even the  $\beta_{PE}$  process. As discussed before in Section 2.3.2.33, the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation is to be distinguished from the NCL because it causes cage decay and terminates caged dynamics. Thus, the NCL precedes the JG β-relaxation in time. This can be checked from the dielectric relaxation times,  $\tau_{\beta}'$ , of the  ${\beta'}^{DS}$  process in the nanodomains obtained by Arbe et al. By extrapolating the nearly Arrhenius T-dependence of  $\tau_{\beta}'$  at higher temperatures of PnBMA and PnHMA in Figs. 3 and 4 of [482(c)] to higher temperatures of neutron scattering measurements, the readers can verify themselves for PnBMA that  $\tau_{\beta}'$  is longer than the upper bound of the logarithmic *t*-dependence found by neutron scattering for all temperatures. The same conclusion can be made for PnHMA. This proves that the logarithmic *t*-dependence found by neutron scattering is the NCL of the alkyl nanodomain in both PnBMA and PnHMA. NCL is not a conventional relaxation process and has no characteristic time.

#### Confusion Caused by Dubious Interpretation of Neutron Spin-Echo Data

Arbe et al. [482(c)] recognized logarithmic decays lack a characteristic timescale. Notwithstanding, they defined the characteristic time,  $\tau_s^{SG}$ , as the time where the normalized correlation function decays a factor 1/e of its value at 2 ps, and took it to be the structural relaxation time of the side groups in the alkyl nanodomains. The reader can find evidence of the identification of  $\tau_s^{SG}$  as structural relaxation time of side groups from various statements one can find in [482(c)]. Examples include the following two statements: ". . . the structural relaxation of the side groups in PBMA and PHMA exhibits extremely stretched (logarithmic-like) decays (Fig. 10)," and "At least for the highest temperatures, both structural times  $\tau_s^{MC}$  and  $\tau_s^{SG}$  approximately follow a power-law dependence on  $n_C$ ." From the proof given in the previous paragraph that the logarithmic *t*-dependence is the NCL, it is clear that it cannot be identified as the structural relaxation time of the side chains in the alkyl nanodomains as done by Arbe et al., and the  $\tau_s^{SG}$  obtained has no meaning.

Moreover, the values of  $\tau_s^{SG}$  obtained in a dubious way and its mistaken identity as structural relaxation have undesirable consequences. An example is the conclusion made by Arbe et al. on comparing the relation between  $\tau_s^{SG}$  and  $\tau_s^{MC}$  (where the superscript MC stands for main chain) of PBMA and PHMA in their Fig. 9: "With increasing side-chain length the dynamics within the nanodomains becomes increasingly faster than at the main-chain level; a dynamic asymmetry clearly develops between main chain system and PE-like nanodomains for high-order PnMAs." This conclusion from neutron scattering contradicts the trend of the relaxation time of the structural relaxation,  $\alpha_{PE}$ , becoming increasingly closer to the relaxation time,  $\alpha$ , of the main chain with increasing side chain length or C [297]. This trend was well established by dielectric and mechanical shear data of poly(*n*-alkyl acrylates) from C = 4 up to C = 10, poly(*n*-alkyl methacylates) from C = 4 up to C = 12, poly(di-*n*-alkyl itaconates) from C = 7 up to C = 11, and hairy rod polyimides from C = 12 up to C = 16 in addition to amorphous polyethylene. The reader can find this general trend of these polymers clearly in Fig. 2c and b of the seminal paper by Beiner and Huth in 2003 [297]. Thus, the mistaken identity of  $\tau_s^{SG}$  and its artificially manufactured values from the logarithmic decay of the correlation function obtained by neutron scattering offer no help, and instead the conclusion derived from it has contradicted the real physics already firmly established earlier [297]. By the way and for the same reason, it is meaningless to compare the logarithmic t-dependence of the normalized dynamic structure factor of PnBMAand PnHMA with the truly structural relaxation of PEMA having the Kohlrausch function time dependence with stretch exponent  $\beta_{\rm K} = 0.5$  and of PE in Fig. 12 of [482(c)]. However, it is meaningful to compare logarithmic *t*-dependence of the normalized dynamic structure factor of PnBMA and PnHMA in the context that they are caged dynamics corresponding to NCL in the susceptibility  $\chi(\omega)$ . The observation that the logarithmic *t*-dependence of PnHMA decays at shorter times than PnBMA at the same temperature is equivalent to PnHMA having a higher level of NCL than PnBMA. This can be explained from the correlation between the level of NCL at  $T_g$  and the coupling parameter,  $n(T_g)$ , discussed before in Section 2.3.2.35, and the fact that  $n(T_g)$  decreases with decrease in side chain length or *C* established before in [481] and supported by [482(a)].

Discussed so far are the collective dynamics of side groups probed by neutron spin echo (NSE) on PnBMA and PnHMA samples that are fully deuterated. Arbe et al. also performed NSE on the PnHMA-d5 sample to examine incoherent scattering from side group hydrogens. The PnHMA-d5 sample is a partially deuterated sample where the side group is protonated and the main chain (including the  $\alpha$ -methyl group) is deuterated. They found a logarithmic-like decay for this correlation function as well. On comparing self-dynamics and collective dynamics of side groups, Arbe et al. found large difference in  $\tau_s^{SG}$  of the two kinds of dynamics. For comparable conditions of scattering vector, the collective relaxation observed at 330 K decays to 1/e at  $\tau_s^{SG}$  of about 40 ns, while the self-correlation function of protons at 310 K relaxes at  $\tau_s^{SG} \approx 70$  ps, more than two orders of magnitude faster. Correctly interpreting the self-dynamics and collective dynamics of side groups as the caged dynamics, this difference can be explained as follows. It is intuitively clear that the mean-squared displacement from the self and individual motion of the hydrogen atoms in the side group is larger than the mean-squared displacement of the collection motion of the atoms in the side chain. This difference corresponds to faster logarithmic decay of the correlation function of the incoherent scattering from side group hydrogens as observed.

The emphasis on the NSE data together with the mistaken identification as the structural relaxation of the alkyl nanodomains has led Arbe et al. to interpret the dynamics of alkyl nanodomains in ways different from that of [297, 481, 482(a)] discussed in the above. Instead they related it to the dynamics of the fast component dynamically confined by the slower component in miscible systems such as dynamically asymmetric polymer blends, which is the same idea shown before to be untenable in Section 2.3.2.15. They also suggested "In the case of dynamically asymmetric polymer blends, the anomalous dynamic features were interpreted in the framework of the MCT, invoking high-order MCT transition." The latter is the 2002 version of MCT proposed by Götze and Sperl [33], which has been rejected by Fayer and coworkers [332] on the grounds that the current MCT higher order singularity case should occur only in very rare situations for systems like colloids that have very specific inter-particle interaction potentials, and thus it is not capable of explaining the universality the logarithmic decay found in different glassformers and now in the alkyl nanodomains of PnBMA and PnHMA. That is the reason why Götze and Sperl proposed a new version of MCT to explain it by invoking translational-rotational coupling in 2004 [34, 35], which also has encountered problem of its own as discussed in Section 2.3.2.37. Mention is also made by Arbe et al.

that the high-order MCT transition has also been proposed to explain the logarithmic relaxation in hydrated proteins found by Lagi et al. [1289(c)] in simulation of the self-dynamics of protein amino acids of hydrated lysozyme powder. The selfintermediate scattering functions of the amino acid residue center of mass show a logarithmic decay over three decades of time, from 2 ps to 2 ns, followed by an exponential  $\alpha$ -relaxation. This corresponds exactly to the OHD-OKE signal of small molecular glassformers with time dependence given by Eq. (2.96) found by Fayer and coworkers [330–333], and also to the time dependence of the mean-squared displacement of water O atoms in hydrated maltose-binding protein showing the plateau obtained by molecular dynamics simulation by Wood et al.[1363] and shown in Fig. 228b. These are nearly constant loss from caged dynamics as shown before.

Finally, a comment is necessary on the following statements made by Arbe et al.: "However, it would be difficult to identify the dielectric process  $\beta'^{DS}$  with such dynamic glass transition ( $\alpha_{PF}$ ) in PHMA. The main reason is that the  $\beta'^{DS}$  relaxations in PHMA and PBMA are nearly indistinguishable, and we have shown above that for PBMA this process is different from the structural SG-relaxation. In this sense, this process could be interpreted as a kind of Johari-Goldstein relaxation of the alkyl nanodomains also in higher order PnMAs, as suggested by Ngai and Beiner." The statement that the relaxation times of the  $\beta'^{DS}$  relaxations in PnHMA and PnBMA are close together is correct as found before by Beiner and coworkers in the same polymers where  $\alpha_{PF}$  is used instead of  $\beta'^{DS}$ . However, the rest of the statements could give a wrong message to confuse the real physics already at hand. Beiner and coworkers have studied poly(n-alkyl acrylates) from C = 4 up to C = 10, poly(*n*-alkyl methacylates) from C = 4 up to C = 12, in contrast to only two, PnBMA (C = 4) and PnHMA (C = 6), by Arbe et al. As shown before by Beiner and coworkers [297, 481, 482(a)], the observed relaxation time of the observed process (called  $\alpha_{PE}$  by Beiner and coworkers, and  $\beta'^{DS}$  by Arbe et al.) in alkyl nanodomain of poly(*n*-alkyl acrylates) and poly(*n*-alkyl methacylates) having longer side chains (larger C) occurs at increasingly higher temperatures and becomes increasingly super-Arrhenius (i.e., larger fragility index m). It is true that the difference of the  $\alpha_{PE}$  relaxation times as well as *m* between PnBMA and PnHMA is small, but the differences of these quantities between PnBMA and higher members of poly(n-alkyl methacylates) with C ranging from 7 to 12 become increasingly larger on increasing C. Therefore, the statement "the  $\beta'^{DS}$  relaxations in PHMA and PBMA are nearly indistinguishable," by Arbe et al. is correct but cannot be generalized to poly(*n*-alkyl methacylates) with  $C \ge 7$ . The  $\alpha_{\text{PE}}$  found by Beiner et al. in poly(*n*-alkyl methacylates) with  $C \ge 7$  have VFTH temperature dependence for its relaxation time and are genuine structural relaxation of the alkyl nanodomains. It is the finite size effect on reducing the length of the side chain that leads to nearly total suppression of intermolecular coupling (cooperativity) when C=3, the case of PnBMA, and makes the structural relaxation time no different from that of the primitive or JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. This is clear from the CM equations (2.67) and (3.69), which reduce to  $\tau_{\alpha} = \tau_0 = \tau_{\beta}$  when  $n \rightarrow 0$ . The nearly Arrhenius *T*-dependence of the  $\alpha_{PE}$ or  ${\beta'}^{DS}$  process in PnBMA is consistent with the small fragility index expected for structural relaxation that has vanishing intermolecular coupling or small coupling parameter *n* [112]. Additional strong evidence that the observed  $\alpha_{PE}$  process in poly(*n*-alkyl methacylates) for *C* = 7 and 10 is the genuine structural relaxation of the alkyl nanodomain can be drawn from the discovery of the faster JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in poly(*n*-decyl methacrylate), *C* = 10, and poly(*n*-heptyl methacrylate), *C* = 7, after rapid quenching (see Figs. 27 and 263) [482(a)]. Rapid quenching is a known technique to find the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation, which is otherwise too weak to be resolved in the equilibrium liquid state. The relaxation times of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation found by rapid quenching of *C* = 10 and 7 turn out to be nearly the same as the Arrhenius relaxation time of the  $\alpha_{PE}$  or  $\beta'^{DS}$  process in *Pn*BMA, and thus reconfirming that the latter is the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of the alkyl nanodomains for all *Pn*AMA from *C* = 4 to 12

#### Thin Polymer Films from Computer Simulations

Employing the bond-fluctuation lattice model, Monte Carlo simulations [1459– 1461] of low molecular weight thin polymer films provide information on Rouse modes which involve standing wave-like motion of the entire chain in thin polymer films. In one simulation [1459], the diffusion coefficient of unentangled chains parallel to the wall confining the thin film is almost the same as that of the bulk in the whole temperature region studied, indicating the same for the Rouse modes. Reference [1460] showed that the relaxation time of the incoherent intermediate scattering function or the coherent polymer scattering function for the wave vector q = 2.94 (the value at the peak of the static structure factor and correspond to the length scale of a bond) is significantly reduced with decreasing film thickness. However, the reductions of the relaxation times of the same functions are much weaker for q = 1.05. Since at q = 1.05 the correlation functions probe density fluctuation on the length scale of a chain, this result is consistent with the lack of change of the relaxation time of the long wavelength Rouse modes [1459]. Another simulation [1461] reported the thickness dependence of the fifth Rouse mode, which has the same length scale as the incoherent scattering function with wave vector qat the maximum of the static structure factor. The relaxation time,  $\tau_a^s$ , of the incoherent scattering function decreases with decreasing film thickness D from bulk to 30 ( $\approx$ 7.5 $R_g$ ) and down to 6 ( $\approx$ 1.5 $R_g$ ) and the effect becomes larger with decreasing temperature (see Fig. 264, left). Here  $R_g$  is the radius of gyration of the polymer. On the other hand, the relaxation time of the fifth Rouse mode,  $\tau_5$ , instead increases with decreasing film thickness, although the increase from the bulk value down to D = 6 is not large (a factor  $\sim 2$ ) and not sensitive to temperature compared with the decrease of  $\tau_q^s$  (see Fig. 264, right). The *T*-dependence of  $\tau_5$  in the thin film is parallel to that of  $\tau_q^s$  in the bulk. In the thin film with D = 6 ( $\approx 1.5R_g$ ), the presence of induced orientation of the chains parallel to the surfaces can decrease the entropy of the Rouse modes and their relaxation rates and explain the increase of  $\tau_5$ . These contrasting behaviors of the local segmental relaxation and the Rouse mode on decreasing film thickness from simulations are analogous to that found by dielectric relaxation in POB confined in Vycor glass with mean pore diameter of 4 nm



**Fig. 264** (*Left*) The temperature dependence of the relaxation times  $\tau_q^s$  for two film thicknesses,  $D = 6 \ (\approx 1.5R_g)$  and  $D = 30 \ (\approx 7.5R_g)$ , and the bulk (unconfined system of linear dimension L = 60). (*Right*) The temperature dependence of the relaxation times,  $\tau_5(T)$ , of the fifth Rouse mode. The ordinate is divided by the infinite temperature result  $\tau_5(\infty)$ , to illustrate the influence of film thickness with decreasing temperature. Two films, D = 6 and D = 30, are compared with the bulk. Reproduced from [1461] by permission

and shown in Fig. 262. Conventional theories of modified Rouse modes for undiluted polymers [29] have the relaxation times of the Rouse modes proportional to the same monomeric friction coefficient that also governs the local segmental relaxation time ( $\tau_q^s$  in this case). Accordingly, the Rouse relaxation times and the local segmental relaxation times should see the same change on decreasing film thickness and maintain to have the same temperature dependence. Thus the observed different changes in the magnitude as well as the temperature dependence on decreasing film thickness of  $\tau_q^s$  of the segmental relaxation compared to  $\tau_5$  of the Rouse modes are truly anomalous and at variance with conventional theories.

On comparing the variation of  $\tau_q^s$  with that of  $\tau_5$  of the bulk polymer in the same entire range of temperature from 0.4 to 0.2 in the two panels of Fig. 264, one can check that  $\tau_q^s$  has a stronger *T*-dependence than  $\tau_5$ . This result is consistent with the data from mechanical compliance and dielectric relaxation [165, 201, 206, 866– 869] measurements of low molecular weight polymers, which showed breakdown of thermorheological simplicity of the viscoelastic spectrum and the local segmental relaxation time to have a stronger temperature dependence than the relaxation times of the Rouse modes. An explanation of the mechanical data has been given by the CM [837] by Eqs. (2.59) and (2.61), and the coupling parameter  $n_R$  of Rouse modes has zero values as compared with non-zero value of  $n_{\alpha}$ , the coupling parameter of the local segmental relaxation. The same explanation applies to the stronger *T*-dependence of  $\tau_q^s$  than  $\tau_5$ . Furthermore, in the thin film with thickness D = 6, the temperature dependence of  $\tau_q^s$  now becomes weaker than in the bulk and is nearly the same as that of  $\tau_5$ . This can be explained by the decrease of  $n_{\alpha}$  in the thin film with D = 6.

Only the fifth Rouse mode of chain length equal to 10 was reported in [1461]. Wavelength of this fifth Rouse mode is comparable to the length scale corresponding to the maximum of the structure factor and hence is rather short. For this reason, the

fifth Rouse mode may not have exactly the properties predicted by the Rouse model. An example is the deviation of the time correlation function of the fifth Rouse mode from a linear exponential function of time found in another simulation by the same group [1462]. Viscoelastic measurements in polyisobutylene were able to solve the Rouse modes with short wavelengths and showed that they deviate from the Rouse model predictions (see Figs. 94 and 95). For this reason, they were called sub-Rouse modes. The coupling parameter of the sub-Rouse modes is intermediate between the local segmental motion and the Rouse modes [56]. A more appropriate comparison between the relaxation times of the longer wavelength Rouse modes and  $\tau_q^s$  from the Monte Carlo simulations was given in [1459, 1460].

# Reversal of Effect due to Physical or Chemical Interaction with Substrate or Confining Wall

In all the cases described above, the enhanced molecular mobility and concomitant reduction in T<sub>g</sub> of the nanoconfined liquid are observed provided strong physical or chemical interaction with the wall or the substrate is absent. If present, the constraints imposed by the chemical bonds or the strong intermolecular constraint/interaction with the immobile substrate or wall tend to slow down the molecules at the surface. The less mobile surface molecules at coordinate z = 0impose stronger intermolecular constraints on the neighboring inner layer (z = 1) of molecules and consequently slow down their  $\alpha$ -relaxation than that in the bulk liquid. The effect propagates inward away from the surface from one layer (z = i)to another (z = j + 1), albeit with decreasing magnitude. In the CM, the effect on the  $\alpha$ -relaxation, layer by layer, is described by n(z = 0) for the surface layer significantly larger than  $n_{\text{bulk}}$ , and n(z = j) for inner layer z = j still larger than  $n_{\text{bulk}}$  but decreasing monotonically with increasing j, and  $n_{bulk}$  is its lower bound. The postulated increase of n(z = j) here is analogous to the increase of n of the more mobile component in binary mixtures discussed before in Sections 2.2.5.7 and 2.3.2.10, and justifiable formally through a mathematical procedure [182, 183]. The correlation function of  $\alpha$ -relaxation of molecules in layer *j* is described by the Kohlrausch function

$$\phi(z,t) = \exp\{-[t/\tau(z)]^{1-n(z)}\},\tag{2.97}$$

where  $\tau(z)$  is given by CM relation

$$\tau(z) = [t_c^{-n(z)}\tau_0]^{1/(1-n(z))}.$$
(2.98)

The primitive relaxation time  $\tau_0$  should be independent of z if density is also independent of z.

This CM description has support from molecular dynamics simulations by Scheidler et al. [322, 1463] of a binary Lennard-Jones (LJ) liquid thin film confined on two sides by walls made of frozen configurations of the same system and remain interacting with the liquid via the LJ potential. The film thickness is 15.0 with the

unit of length given by  $\sigma_{AA}$ , the length parameter in the LJ potential between the A-particles. The center of the film corresponds to a distance z = 7.5 from the walls. Scheidler et al. calculated the spatially resolved self-part of the intermediate scattering function,  $F_s(q, z, t)$ , which takes into account only the particles at a distance z from the wall. The results are shown in the left panel of Fig. 265. For each z, they fit the time dependence of  $\tau_c$  of the Kohlrausch functions,

$$F_{s}(q, z, t) = A(z) \exp -[t/\tau(z)]^{\beta(z)}.$$
(2.99)

Since  $F_s(q, z, t)$  for z = 7.5 at the center of the film is identical to the one in the bulk as found by Scheidler et al., the fit parameters for this highest *z*-value correspond to the bulk values. On approaching the wall or as *z* is decreased,  $\beta$  decreases and  $\tau$  increases monotonically as shown in the inset and the main part of Fig. 265 (right), respectively, for T = 0.55 and q = 7.2 (at the maximum in the static structure factor for A–A correlation). Thus the CM expected increase of  $n(z) = 1 - \beta(z)$  with decreasing distance from the wall is verified by the *z*-dependence of  $\beta$  obtained by Scheidler et al. The next step is to calculate  $\tau(z)$  via Eq. (2.98). The value of  $t_c$  is about 2 in the units of LJ time  $(m\sigma_{AA}^2/48\varepsilon_{AA})^{1/2}$ , of the simulation. First, Eq. (2.98) was used to deduce  $\tau_o(z)$  at z = 7.5 from the "experimental" values of



**Fig. 265** (*Left*) Time dependence of  $F_s(q, z, t)$  for the A particles, for various values of z at T = 0.55, and q = 7.18, the location of the main peak in the static structure factor. The different curves correspond to different distances z from the wall.  $\Delta z$  gives the difference in z for neighboring curves. Reproduced from [1463] by permission. (*Right*)  $\text{Log}_{10}\tau(z)$  vs. z at T = 0.55 and q = 7.2. *Filled circles* are from the molecular dynamics simulation results of Scheidler et al. [1463]. Open squares are calculated by the CM using the Kohlrausch exponents,  $\beta(z)$ , obtained by Scheidler et al. by fitting their self-intermediate scattering function  $F_s(q, z, t)$  to the Kohlrausch functions (*left panel*). These values of  $\beta(z)$  are shown as a function of z in the inset (*filled circles*). Open squares in the inset are the values of  $\beta(z)$  required to get exact agreement of the calculated  $\tau(z)$  with that obtained from simulations. Data from [900] are replotted in figure here

 $\tau(z)$  and  $\beta(z)$  at the same z. The value obtained  $\tau_0(z) = 200$  is assumed to be constant throughout the film, i.e., independent of z, although it is possible that  $\tau_0(z)$  may have some slight dependence on z if particles density of the film is not uniform particularly near the wall. With  $\tau_0(z)$  fixed at 200,  $t_c = 2$ , and (1-n(z)) taken from the data of Scheidler et al. (filled triangles in inset of Fig. 265, right), the CM relation between  $\tau(z)$  and  $\tau_0(z)$  enables  $\tau(z)$  to be calculated, and the results are shown in the figure by open circles. The calculated  $\tau(z)$  reproduce the data quite well within a factor of 2 for z > 0.618. The calculated  $\tau(z)$  for the three smaller z = 0.561, 0.510, 0.510. and 0.463 are smaller by a factor of about 7 than the data. At these z values,  $\tau(z)$  is outside the time window of the simulation. Consequently there is large uncertainty in the determination of  $\beta(z)$  from fitting the  $F_s(q, z, t)$  to the Kohlrausch function by Scheidler et al. Exact agreement between data and calculated  $\tau(z)$  can be achieved by letting  $\beta(z)$  be adjustable in the CM equation. The values of  $\beta(z)$  required are shown as open diamonds in the inset of Fig. 265. One can see by inspection that, for exact agreement at z = 0.561, 0.510, and 0.463 between data and calculation,  $\beta(z)$ has to be equal, respectively, to 0.396, 0.392, and 0.373 instead of 0.453, 0.465, and 0.442 given by Scheidler et al. The differences are not large and can be considered within the errors in determining  $\beta(z)$  by fitting  $F_s(q, z, t)$  data.

Similar effects were found by Weeks and coworkers [1464] in a colloidal suspension confined between two quasiparallel rigid walls by confocal microscopy to directly observe the motion of colloidal particles. The suspension is a mixture of two particle sizes to prevent wall-induced crystallization. The total volume fraction  $\phi$  of the particles is  $\approx 0.42$ , and the motion if unconfined is nearly diffusive, with the MSD growing almost with slope 1 on the log–log plot. This behavior is similar to monodisperse samples with a volume fraction of  $\phi \leq 0.4$ . Thus, this sample is liquid like and far below the glass transition  $\phi_g \approx 0.6$ . In thinner regions, the motion parallel to the walls slows, as seen in the sequence of solid curves in Fig. 266-1(a). This slowing starts at a thickness of  $H = 16.28 \,\mu\text{m}$  (second curve from the top) and slows dramatically for thinner samples. Similarly slowing of motion perpendicular to the walls is shown in Fig. 266-1(b). These results suggest that motion is slower in confinement, and glassy behavior is produced in sufficiently thin region for a



**Fig. 266-1** Mean-squared displacements. (a) Data for sample A, showing motion parallel to the walls, for thickness H = bulk, 16.28, 11.06, 9.41, and 6.92  $\mu$ m (from *top* to *bottom*). The *dashed line* has a slope of 1 (b) similar to (a) but for motion perpendicular to the walls. Data are ordered by thickness at long  $\Delta t$  as in (a). Reproduced from [1464] by permission

sample which is a liquid in an unconfined geometry. One can see in Fig. 266-1(a) on decreasing the thickness H that the MSD does not simply shift to longer times but its time dependence changes to become increasingly flatter or more dispersive. Interpreted in terms of the CM, this can be taken as evidence of increasing coupling parameter n of the particles confined in thinner region, and the observed slowing down explained. Unfortunately, due to contributions by particles of two sizes, the time dependence of the MSD in Fig. 266-1 does not allow the coupling parameter of either one of the two types of particles to be determined.

#### Polymer Surface Mobile Layer

From the simulation data of Scheidler et al. [1463] discussed above and the explanation given by the CM analysis [900], it can be generalized to effects on the structural relaxation of thin polymer films layer by layer by the free (polymer-air) surface or the interface with a substrate or another polymer. The effect can propagate a long way into the thin film. This is consistent with the results found experimentally by Torkelson and coworkers for thin PMMA films [1143] that both the substrate interface and the free surface perturb the relaxation rate at least 100 nm into the film interior, although the effect of free surface is opposite to that of the substrate. At the free surface, cooperative segmental mobility is enhanced and  $T_g$  is reduced. On the other hand, at the substrate interface hydrogen bonds suppress cooperative segmental mobility and lead to an increased  $T_g$ . The competition of different effects from free surface, interface, and substrate on structural relaxation has been illustrated by experiments by Ellison and Torkelson [1170], and the results rationalized by the CM [506]. Tanaka and coworkers [1465(a)] used dynamic mechanical measurement and analysis to probe the local segmental dynamics of thin and ultrathin polystyrene (PS) films supported on substrates, which is either polyimide (PI) or  $SiO_x$ . PI has higher  $T_{\rm g}$  than PS. For the 1.46  $\times$  10<sup>6</sup> molecular weight PS film with thickness equal to 226 nm on PI substrate, the temperature dependence of the relaxation time deduced from isochronal mechanical loss modulus E'' is essentially the same as that of bulk PS. However, as the film thickness is decreased, the E'' relaxation peak becomes increasingly broader on both the lower and higher temperature sides, as shown by the data of 50 and 37 nm thick PS films. The broadening of E'' over a range of about 40 K on the lower temperature side indicates higher molecular mobility caused by the presence of the free surface and a gradual mobility gradient in the surface region, as observed by Ellison and Torkelson [1170]. Since  $2R_g$  is 66 nm for the  $1.46 \times 10^6$ molecular weight PS (Rg is the radius of gyration of an unperturbed chain), induced orientations of chains by confinement in the 37 nm thick film suggested in [1456] and confirmed by diffuse neutron scattering probe of chain conformations of PS thin films [1466(b)] are another possible cause of the enhancement of mobility.

There are other techniques that can selectively probe the free surface layers of polymer films on substrate. Kajiyama, Tanaka, and coworkers measured the dynamical response of the surface of  $\sim$ 200 nm thick monodisperse PS films with molecular weights ranging from 4.9 to 1460 kg/mol by lateral force spectroscopy, a technique using atomic force microscope (AFM). This AFM technique most sensitive to the

surface layers gives a good measure of the segmental relaxation time and hence the  $T_{g}$  of the surface layers. The segmental relaxation time of the surface  $\tau_{s}$  so obtained is much shorter than in the bulk as can be seen by the comparison in the left panel of Fig. 266-2, and the corresponding  $T_{gs}$  of the PS films are markedly lower than the bulk. According to the CM, the surface layer is much more mobile than the bulk because of reduced intermolecular coupling and hence smaller coupling parameter  $n_{\rm s}$  by the absence of molecules on one side. The smaller  $n_{\rm s}$  of the surface layer can be deduced from the observed  $\tau_s$  and the primitive relaxation time  $\tau_0$  by the CM equation. Since  $\tau_0$  is the same for the surface layer as well as for the bulk, it can be calculated at any temperature from the bulk relaxation time  $\tau_{\rm b}$  and the known coupling parameter  $n_{\rm b} = 0.64$  for mechanical relaxation [165], again using the CM equation. This procedure was first used to obtain the reduced coupling parameter of freely standing thin PS films ( $M_w = 767 \text{ kg/mol}, R_g = 57 \text{ nm}$ ) in [1456]; the data and the results of the CM analysis are reproduced in the middle and right panels of Fig. 266-2. The coupling parameter of the freely standing film with thickness = 23.4is reduced to  $n_{\text{film}} = 0.40$  compared with  $n_{\text{b}} = 0.64$ .

Tanaka et al. [1465(c)] followed the same procedure to deduce the reduced value of the coupling parameter of the surface layer. From the surface  $\tau_s$  at



Fig. 266-2 (Left) Semilogarithmic plot of segmental relaxation time against reciprocal absolute temperature for the PS film with  $M_{\rm p}$  of 140 K. The *solid line* denotes the log  $\tau$  vs. 1000/T relation for the corresponding bulk PS calculated from Vogel-Fulcher equation. The vertical dashed line indicates the glass transition temperature of bulk PS, Tgb. The closed and open triangles are the experimental relaxation times at the surface and the one calculated at  $T_{\rm gb}$  by extrapolating experimental surface  $\tau$ , respectively. The *inset* is the same plot for the PS film with  $M_n$  of 4.9 K, with the experimental surface  $\tau$  values represented by *filled circles*. Data from [1465(b)] are replotted here. (middle). The three filled triangles and the circle are the relaxation times of the freely standing thin PS film found experimentally. The dashed curve is an extrapolation of the possible temperature dependencies of the measured relaxation time of the film. The open diamond represents the value of  $\tau_{\rm film} = 10^{-3.3}$  s calculated by the CM equation at  $T = T_{\rm gb} = 370$  K with  $n_{\rm film} = 0.40$ . The solid curve is the local segmental motion retardation time  $\tau_{\rm b}$  of high molecular weight bulk PS from creep compliance data. (*Right*) A comparison of the  $T_{ref}$ -scaled temperature dependences of  $\tau_{\rm b}$  and  $\tau_{\rm film}$ . The legends of symbols and lines are the same as given in the middle panel. The two dotted lines indicate that both relaxation times are equal to 10 s at  $T_{\rm ref}/T = 1$ . Reproduced from [1456] by permission

 $T_{\rm gb} = 9.03 \times 10^{-5}$  s, they deduced the coupling parameter at the PS surface  $n_{\rm s}$  to be approximately 0.2, which is significantly smaller than the bulk value of 0.64. It is not totally reduced to zero value because there are still polymer molecules inside the surface.

Tanaka et al. [1465(c)] reported that the apparent activation energy of  $\tau_s$  is significantly smaller than  $\tau_b$ , consistent with the findings of [1456] in the freely standing PS film. In fact the right panel of Fig. 226-2 shows the  $T_{ref}$ -scaled temperature dependencies of  $\tau_b$  and  $\tau_s$ , with  $T_{ref}$  defined as the temperatures at which the relaxation times are equal to 10 s. The much weaker  $T_{ref}$ -scaled temperature dependencies of  $\tau_s$  compared with  $\tau_s$  is consistent with the smaller coupling parameter of the surface layer than the bulk.

Forrest and coworkers [1465(d), 1465(e)] measured the surface relaxation time  $\tau_s$  of PS and iPMMA by monitoring the temporary recovery of nanoholes fabricated on the free surface of the films. Their findings are consistent with those of Kajiyama and Tanaka [1465(b), 1465(c)].

Napolitano and Wübbenhorst [1465(f)] have fabricated freely standing films of atactic polystyrene ( $M_w$ =932,000 g/mol,  $M_w/M_n$ =1.2,  $R_g$ =28 nm) and investigated the dynamics by dielectric spectroscopy. They found large reduction in the glass transition temperature as the film thickness is decreased from bulk down to 40 nm. They emphasize the finding of a temperature-dependent asymmetric broadening of the structural relaxation peak toward lower temperatures and a reduction of the dynamic fragility down to the monomer limit on decreasing film thickness. These features are similar to those found by others shown in Fig. 266-2 and consistent with the removal of intermolecular coupling. They verified that these observations are manifestations of enhanced molecular mobility at the two free surfaces of the film and the gradient of glass transition temperatures from the surface to the center of the thin film.

#### Concluding Remarks on Nanoconfinement

The results given above for various nanoconfined glassformers in Figs. 92, 260, 2.261, and 2.263 demonstrate the veracity of the primitive relaxation and its relaxation time  $\tau_0$ . They are actually observed as the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and its relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  when the size of the glassformer is scaled down to a few nanometers. This transformation of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of the bulk glassformer to the primitive of the JG relaxation occurs because the many-molecule dynamics in the bulk are suppressed when the size is reduced to the nanometer scale. We recall the observation of the JG relaxation of water confined in molecular sieves and silica gels discussed before in Section 2.3.2.27, but no comparison with  $\tau_0$  was given because the parameters of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of bulk water needed for the calculation are unknown. Some studies of the dynamics of thin polymer films go beyond the local segmental relaxation to include the modes of longer length scales, such as the Rouse modes, and the terminal modes if the polymer is entangled. In bulk amorphous polymers, it is well established experimentally that the temperature and pressure dependences of the more global viscoelastic modes are different from that of the local segmental

relaxation [165]. In considering the viscoelastic data of thin polymer films, one should be mindful of these facts in bulk polymers. When analyzing or interpreting the data of thin films, it is logical to require the theory or model used to be able to account for, or at least consistent with, these known bulk polymer properties. Otherwise, the theory constructed for thin films will not be worthwhile. The CM satisfies this requirement. Extended to consider polymer thin films [496, 505, 506], the CM predicts an increase of the mobility of the local segmental motions as discussed in the preceding section, but the lack of such a change for the mobility of the Rouse modes (see Figs. 262 and 264) and the diffusion of entire polymer chains [1466]. For the latter it is because chains in a thin film formed by a high molecular weight entangled polymer are still entangled. Therefore the coupling parameter of diffusing entangled polymer chains  $n_{\rm D}$  is either unchanged from its value in the bulk polymer [496, 505, 506] or may be even slightly increased from the bulk value due to reduction in entropy of the chains by confinement. These varied predictions of the CM for the segmental relaxation and the chain modes of unentangled and entangled polymer are in accord with experiments and computer simulations.

Since the discovery of more than a decade ago of the deviation from bulk values of the glass transition temperature due to confinement of glassformers in nanometer spaces [485, 1171, 1467], many experiments have shown there can be significant changes of  $T_g$  as well as other dynamic properties in the nanoconfined materials. However, the changes vary greatly depending on the nature of the interfaces, the chemical structure of the nanoconfined glassformer, the experimental methods used, and in the case of polymers the length scale of the dynamics probed [496, 1453, 1468, 1469]. Just for  $T_g$  alone, it can decrease, increase, or remain the same depending upon the experimental or simulation conditions. As concluded in the most recent and comprehensive review [1453], "... the existing theories of  $T_g$  are unable to explain the range of behaviours seen at the nanometre size scale, in part because the glass transition phenomenon itself is not fully understood." We fully agree with the above quoted remark by Alcoutlabi and McKenna, and furthermore we can identify the reason why the existing theories of  $T_g$  fail to explain the range of behaviors of nanoconfinement. Most theories of dynamics of nanoconfinement are based on conventional theories of glass transition for bulk materials. But, as has been shown in [165] and in Section 2.2.5.9, conventional theories and models of glass transition are not able to account for some general dynamic properties of bulk glassformers. What causes the conventional theories to be deficient already for bulk glassformers is the lack of adequate treatment of the many-molecule dynamics or at least taking into account the dispersion of the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation as a fundamental element. Thus, it is unsurprising to find that, when applied to nanoconfinement, the conventional theories have limited success in explaining the range of behaviors of nanoconfinement.

Analogue in a Secondary Relaxation of BPA-PC

Some special secondary relaxation in aromatic backbone polymers such as the  $\gamma$ -relaxation in bisphenol A polycarbonate (BPA-PC) is intermolecularly coupled. It

involves the  $\pi$ -flips of the two phenyl rings in the backbone of a repeat unit, which can be regarded as a primitive motion. Because the phenylene rings are bulky, the primitive motion is coupled to the same in other repeat units resulting in the correlation function describable by a Kohlrausch function with  $n \approx 0.66$  and relaxation time  $\tau_{\gamma}$  having activation energy  $E_{\gamma}$  of about 38 kJ/mol in the glass state of BPA-PC [884]. By dissolving BPA-PC at low concentration in a solvent, NMR measurements showed motion of the phenyl rings becomes much faster, and its relaxation time,  $\tau_{sol}$ , has a smaller activation energy  $E_{sol}$  of about 10–13 kJ/mol [1470, 1471], comparable to the value of the energy barrier obtained by molecular dynamics computation [883, 1472, 1473]. We can view  $\tau_{sol}$  to be the primitive relaxation time of the  $\gamma$ -relaxation because intermolecular coupling is drastically reduced in dilute solution. The ratio  $E_{\gamma}/E_{sol}$  is consistent with the CM prediction that it should be equal to 1/(1 - n). The larger observed value of the ratio than predicted may be due to difference in the environment between bulk BPA-PC and its dilute solution.

David et al. [250] have reached the same conclusion of cooperative dynamics from their mechanical relaxation studies of secondary relaxation in other aromatic backbone polymers including poly(aryl ether ether ketone), poly(phenylene sulfide), and poly(aryl ether sulfone). These authors have also used the coupling model predictions to interpret their experimental data.

## 2.3.2.39 Connection Between the Fast Primitive Relaxation and the Slow Structural Relaxation of Aging Colloidal Suspension of Laponite

Discussed before in Section 2.2.5.5 of Section 2.2.5 is the effect of shear on the nonequilibrium structural dynamics of an aging colloidal suspension of 3 wt% Laponite from light-scattering experiment by Di Leonardo et al. [343]. With or without the application of shear and for any scattering vector q and aging time  $t_w$ , the intermediate scattering function  $F(q, t, t_w)$  conforms to  $\exp(-t/\tau_f)$  form at short times, with  $\tau_{\rm f} \propto q^{-2}$  of normal Brownian motion [338], and thus it corresponds to the primitive diffusion of the CM. At longer times,  $F(q, t, t_w)$  follows the time dependence of  $\exp[-(t/\tau_s)^{1-n}]$ . For practical reason,  $F(q, t, t_w)$  was fitted by the sum  $A \exp(-t/\tau_{\rm f}) + (1 - A) \exp[-(t/\tau_{\rm s})^{1-n}]$ . Increase of the average structural relaxation time  $\langle \tau_s \rangle$  by aging proceeds unaffected by the applied shear at shorter aging times  $t_w$  such that  $\tau_s$  is less than  $\dot{\gamma}^{-1}$ , the reciprocal of the shear rate  $\dot{\gamma}$ . However, the shear flow significantly reduces aging when  $\langle \tau_{s}(t_{w}) \rangle$  is greater than  $\dot{\gamma}^{-1}$  as shown before in Fig. 81 for four different shear rates. The onset of reduction of both  $\langle \tau_{\rm s} \rangle$  and *n* occurs at  $t_{\rm w}$  for which  $\langle \tau_{\rm s}(t_{\rm w}) \rangle \dot{\gamma} \approx 1$ , and the sizes of the reductions increase with the shear rate  $\dot{\gamma}$ . Di Leonardo et al. also study the primitive relaxation time  $\tau_{\rm f}$  on aging and the effect by shear. The results of  $\tau_{\rm f}$  vs.  $t_{\rm w}$  for different values of the shear rate, including  $\dot{\gamma} = 0$ , are shown in the left panel of Fig. 267.

In the presence of shear flow,  $\tau_{\rm f}$  seems to follow the behavior of the  $\tau_{\rm f}(\dot{\gamma} = 0)$ until the *slow* structural relaxation time  $\tau_{\rm s}$  becomes comparable to  $\dot{\gamma}^{-1}$ , i.e., when  $\langle \tau_{\rm s}(t_{\rm w}) \rangle \dot{\gamma} \approx 1$  is satisfied. Beyond this time,  $\tau_{\rm f}$  increases slower than in the absence of shear. The behavior of  $\tau_{\rm f}$  is similar to  $\tau_{\rm s}$ , shown before in Fig. 81. Thus,  $\tau_{\rm f}$ exhibits the crossover to the shear-dominated aging dynamics at exactly the same



**Fig. 267** (a) Crossover to shear-dominated aging as observed in the timescale of fast dynamics.  $\tau_{\rm f}$  is reported as a function of waiting time  $t_{\rm w}$  during aging under different shear rates  $\dot{\gamma}$ : ( $\Delta$ ) 446, (•) 223, ( $\Diamond$ ) 67, (**I**) 22 s<sup>-1</sup>. (O) refer to aging without shear. (**b**) All curves collapse when plotting  $\tau_{\rm f}$  against the respective slow  $\tau_{\rm s}$  and the crossover disappears. *Symbol sizes* are representative of error bars as obtained from the fitting procedure. Reproduced from [343] by permission

time as  $\tau_s$ . In the right panel of Fig. 267,  $\tau_f$  is plotted against  $\tau_s$  for the four different shear rates. All curves tend to collapse together. All the above indicate a close link between the fast and the slow dynamics in Laponite solutions. Since the fast or primitive diffusion transpires before in time than the slow diffusion, the crossover to the shear-dominated aging dynamics property must originate from the former from causality.

## 2.3.2.40 Which Criteria Are Most Critical for Identification of the Johari–Goldstein β-Relaxation?

In the previous subsections, various properties have been used to distinguish secondary relaxation which is true precursor of the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation in the sense that the latter cannot occur without the former taking place at shorter times. These secondary relaxations are called the Johari–Goldstein (JG)  $\beta$ -relaxations in order to distinguish from other secondary relaxations. I hasten to point out that my usage of the term JG  $\beta$ -relaxation differs from other workers not only in the way to specify secondary relaxation but also in the theoretical interpretation of it, including past and recent works by Johari and Goldstein together or separately. In my understanding, the existence of fundamental relation between the  $\alpha$ - and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation implies that some properties of the two relaxations are well connected or inseparable from each other. One may expect that the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation mimics  $\alpha$ -relaxation in some observed properties. Examples include change of the secondary relaxation time,  $\tau_{\beta}$ , on elevating pressure, by aging, and by mixing with another glassformer, as well as change of *T*-dependence of  $\tau_{\beta}$  (dielectric relaxation strength  $\Delta \varepsilon$ ) from Arrhenius (weaker) to a stronger (stronger) *T*-dependence when crossing  $T_g$  from below. We may add the ratio  $E_{\beta}/RT_g$  used by others for identification of JG relaxation if it falls in the neighborhood of 24 [971, 995, 1007], where  $E_{\beta}$  is the activation energy of  $\tau_{\beta}$  in the glassy state, albeit the ratio can vary over a range for genuine JG relaxations, and values close to 24 can be assumed by secondary relaxations which do not belong to the JG class [996]. However, mimicking properties exhibited by a secondary relaxation may not be necessary or sufficient for identifying it as the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. For example, a few secondary relaxations show shift in relaxation time with pressure such as *m*-fluoroaniline [665] and phenolphthalein dimethylether (PDE) [1065] and aging [1042], and change in *T*-dependence when crossing relaxation  $T_g$  of the relaxation time such as *m*-fluoroaniline [971, 1026] and the dielectric strength  $\Delta \varepsilon$  such as diethyl phthalate (DEP) [1474]. But, after all, these secondary relaxations studied are not JG  $\beta$ -relaxation as shown for *m*-fluoroaniline in [671] by Hensel-Bilowka et al.

On the other hand,  $\tau_{\beta}$  of some genuine JG  $\beta$ -relaxation does not change at all or at least some changes to mimic the observed significant change of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  in cases including the following: (1) on applying pressure in hydrogen-bonded glass-formers such as sorbitol [659(b)] and even in poly(ethylene methacrylate) [1475]; (2) on aging of sorbitol and chlorobenzene in *cis*-decalin [1148] by Johari, and poly(vinylethylene) by Casalini and Roland [1157]; and (3) on mixing PEMA with poly(4-vinylphenol)/poly(ethyl methacrylate) [1072] and mixing *tert*-butylpyridine (TBP) with tri-styrene or quinaldine (QN) with tristyrene [672b]. The latter are shown in Fig. 268, where one can see by inspection that not only the change with



**Fig. 268** (*Left*) Arrhenius plot for mixtures of TBP with tri-styrene (3Styr): (\*) 100% 3Styr, ( $\diamond$ ) 5 wt% TBP/3Styr, ( $\bigstar$ )16 wt% TBP/3Styr, ( $\Box$ ) 25 wt% TBP/3Styr, ( $\bullet$ ) 40 wt% TBP/3Styr, ( $\nabla$ ) 60 wt% TBP/3Styr, ( $\otimes$ ) 100 % TBP. *Lines* are fits with the VFTH equation for the structural α-relaxation and Arrhenius equation for β' and β-processes. The β-process is the JG process. (*Right*) Arrhenius plot for mixtures of quinaldine with tri-styrene: (\*) 100% 3Styr, ( $\otimes$ ) 5 wt% Qn/3Styr, ( $\bullet$ )10 wt% Qn/3Styr, ( $\oplus$ ) 25 wt% Qn/3Styr, ( $\bigstar$ ) 40 wt% Qn/3Styr, ( $\lhd$ ) 80 wt% Qn, (O) 100% Qn. *Lines* in the main figure are fits with the VFTH equation for the structural α-relaxation and with the Arrhenius equation for the β-process in the *inset*. Reproduced from thesis of K. Kessairi, [672b], by permission

composition of the mixture of  $\tau_{\beta}$  is small compared with  $\tau_{\alpha}$  but also they are in opposite directions. However, the  $\beta$ -relaxations in these two mixtures are genuine JG  $\beta$ -relaxation or primitive relaxation of the CM according to the most critical criteria that the two relaxations are connected as shown before from invariance of the ratio  $\tau_{\beta}/\tau_{\alpha}$  to various combinations of P and T at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , and agreement with the CM equation for some composition of mixture of QN with tri-styrene (see Figs. 148 and 149), and for mixture of picoline with tri-styrene (see Fig. 146). Basically,  $\tau_{\beta}$ of genuine JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of TBP and ON does not need to shift or shift in the same direction as  $\tau_{\alpha}$  of some genuine JG  $\beta$ -relaxation on mixing with tri-styrene. This is because  $\tau_{\beta}$  of TBP and QN depends on how they pack with tri-styrene, while  $\tau_{\alpha}$  depends sensitively on the intermolecular coupling and variation with composition of the mixture and its change is much larger and predictable when mixed with tri-styrene which is much less mobile. Even without much change of  $\tau_{\beta}$  or change in opposite direction to that of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  on increasing tri-styrene content, the increasing separation between  $\tau_{\beta}$  and  $\tau_{\alpha}$  on increasing tri-styrene content in the mixture is fully consistent with the CM prediction by Eq. (2.69) because of the corresponding increase of *n* due to enhanced intermolecular constraint/interaction. The case of mixing PEMA with poly(4-vinylphenol)/poly(ethyl methacrylate) [1072] is similarly explained by the increase of *n* of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation of the PEMA component on increasing the higher  $T_{g}$  component.

A similar situation arises on elevating pressure in sorbitol [659b]. There  $\tau_{\beta}$  of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of these hydrogen-bonded glassformers changes little compared with the significant increase of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  of pressure. The activation energy of  $\tau_{\beta}$ in the glassy state of sorbitol is 61 kJ/mol, not much larger than the activation energy of uncrystallized water in nanoconfinement, in some aqueous mixtures and hydrated proteins (see Fig. 217), and comparable to the energy to break two hydrogen bonds. From this, it is not that surprising that  $\tau_{\beta}$  is not very sensitive to pressure. Keeping  $\tau_{\alpha}$  fixed, the separation between  $\tau_{\beta}$  and  $\tau_{\alpha}$  increases on increasing pressure. Notwithstanding, this is accompanied by the corresponding increase in *n* as evidenced by the broadening of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak on elevating pressure as shown in the left panel of Fig. 269 for sorbitol [659(b)], and this feature enables the increase in separation between  $\tau_{\beta}$  and  $\tau_{\alpha}$  on increasing pressure to be explained by the CM equation (2.69). Broadening of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak by pressure is found in all the polyalcohols with fewer number of carbon atoms including glycerol, threitol (see Fig. 46), and xylitol in the right panel of Fig. 269 [556].

The cases of aging of sorbitol and chlorobenzene in *cis*-decalin [1148] by Johari and poly(vinylethylene) by Casalini and Roland [1157] can be similarly explained by the increase of n of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation with aging time, although this cannot be checked because the spectra fall in very low frequencies and cannot be measured.

From the above, it is clear that properties of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation mimicked by the secondary relaxation may be helpful to identify the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation, but there are exceptions, and hence they are by no means crucial. I may also mention that usually if there are two secondary relaxations present, the slower one is the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation, but this is violated in DHIQ where the faster secondary relaxation turns out to be the



**Fig. 269** (*Left*) The dielectric loss of sorbitol ( $\blacksquare$ ) at elevated pressure (340 MPa) at 286.4 K and at atmospheric pressure at (O) 272 and (×) 274 K. The *right panel* shows in a double logarithmic plot the attempted superposition obtained by shifting the 272 K spectrum by 0.8 decades. The conductivity contribution, assumed proportional to  $1/\omega$ , has been subtracted from the spectra. Data from [659(b)] replotted. (*Right*) Dielectric loss spectra of xylitol at ambient pressure and elevated pressure of 1 GPa for the same peak frequency. Data from [556] replotted

one [667]. The crucial experimental evidences are those that show the  $\beta$ -relaxation is directly connected to the  $\alpha$ -relaxation such as via the CM equation. An example of direct connection comes from recent NMR studies [998, 999], where modification of the secondary relaxation by a procedure has the consequence of changing the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. Another example comes from measurements of dielectric relaxation over broad frequency range at elevated pressure up to about 2 GPa. These studies of several neat glassformers, BIBE, PPGE, DGEBA, and PVAc, and binary mixtures discussed in Section 2.3.2.8, have shown that the distance of separation between the two relaxations measured by the difference,  $(\log \tau_{\alpha} - \log \tau_{\beta})$ , is invariant to widely different combinations of P and T while holding  $\tau_{\alpha}$  constant. Large changes of volume and entropy are effected by elevating pressure up to four orders of magnitude even compensated by increase in temperature to hold  $\tau_{\alpha}$  constant, hence the invariance of  $(\log \tau_{\alpha} - \log \tau_{\beta})$  is a strong indication that the two relaxations are rigorously related to each other. Another property that connects the  $\beta$ -relaxation to the  $\alpha$ -relaxation is  $\tau_{\beta}$  (or  $\tau_{0}$ ) having the same  $TV^{\gamma}$ -dependence as  $\tau_{\alpha}$  discussed in Section 2.3.2.29. These are the critical experimental evidences showing the  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ relaxations are not independent of each other and can be considered as the foremost criteria for JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. These criteria can stand on their own strength without support by theoretical model, although this relation between the two relaxations is also a prediction of the coupling model (CM). First, as shown repeatedly for many glassformers, there is the approximate agreement between the observed secondary relaxation time,  $\tau_{\beta}$ , and the primitive relaxation time,  $\tau_0$ , of the CM, i.e.,  $\tau_{\beta} \approx \tau_0$ . Second, there is a generally observed invariance of the dispersion or n of the  $\alpha$ relaxation to different combinations of T and P while maintaining  $\tau_{\alpha}$  constant [120, 618]. On combining these two with the CM relation,  $\log \tau_{\alpha} - \log \tau_0 = n \log (\tau_{\alpha}/t_c)$ ,

one is led to the conclusion that  $(\log \tau_{\alpha} - \log \tau_{\beta})$  remains unchanged independent of the combinations of *T* and *P* if  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is maintained constant.

#### The Case of PEMA

Given in the preceding paragraphs are examples of genuine JG  $\beta$ -relaxation, which do not mimic some properties of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation, such as increase of relaxation time on elevating pressure or by aging. Therefore, if the relaxation time  $\tau_{\beta}$  of a secondary relaxation in a glassformer is found not to change significantly with pressure or aging, this observation alone cannot rule out that it is a genuine JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. Due to the specific mode of motion of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation and the chemical structure of the glassformer, it is possible that the motion is insensitive to changes in specific volume or entropy. A case can be taken from the dielectric study of the dynamics of low molecular weight poly(ethyl methacrylate) (PEMA) ( $M_w = 2.0 \times 10^3$  g/mol) by Mpoukouvalas, Floudas, and Williams (MFW) [1475]. They reported that the  $\beta$ -relaxation time changes little with pressure. Presumably from this behavior, they concluded the observed  $\beta$ -relaxation of PEMA is *intramolecular* in origin, which is tantamount to saying that it is not the JG β-relaxation having fundamental connection to the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. The conclusion of MFW contradicts the interpretation of the secondary relaxation as the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of PEMA and likewise in other poly(n-alkyl methacrylates) given in various places in this book and in [252]. The connection between  $\beta$ -relaxation and the  $\alpha$ -relaxation in PEMA is also suggested by the fact that the  $\beta$ -process is not an independent motion, but its rotation around the C-C backbone bond is coupled with a rocking motion of the backbone, previously demonstrated by NMR studies [753–755, 1084, 1476]. The involvement of motion of the backbone in the  $\beta$ -relaxation of PEMA distinguishes itself from other secondary relaxations of some other polymers, which the side group or part of it moves independently of the chain backbone. Motion of the epoxide group in DGEBA and PPGE forming the  $\gamma$ -relaxation discussed before is an example.

When dielectric data are available at elevated pressures, the critical identification of JG  $\beta$ -relaxation is not whether  $\tau_{\beta}$  having significant dependence on pressure P, but is the invariance of  $(\log \tau_{\alpha} - \log \tau_{\beta})$  to widely different combinations of *P* and *T* while keeping  $\tau_{\alpha}$  constant. This criterion is a far more demanding to be satisfied by the data than the lesser criteria based on properties of the secondary relaxation mimicking the  $\alpha$ -relaxation including pressure dependence of  $\tau_{\beta}$ . The published data of MFW are detailed enough for us to perform a test of using this criterion; especially the data were made available to us generously by George Floudas. In Fig. 270 we plot the  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -relaxation times of PEMA against reciprocal temperature at four different pressures  $P_i = 0.1$  MPa (squares), 300 MPa (diamonds), 600 MPa (circles), and 1200 MPa (triangles). Closed symbols stand for the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and the same symbols but now open stand for the corresponding  $\beta$ -relaxation. We take a constant value of  $-\log(\tau_{\alpha}(T_{gi}; P_i)) = -2.5$  at  $T = T_{gi}$  for each pressure  $P_i$ and obtain the corresponding value of  $-\log(\tau_{\beta}(T_{gi}; P_i))$ . The operation is indicated by any one of the vertical arrows. The tip of each arrow locates  $-\log(\tau_{\beta}(T_{gi}; P_i))$ from the data for each applied pressure. It can be seen by inspection of Fig. 270



**Fig. 270** Plot of  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -relaxation times of PEMA ( $M_w = 2.0 \times 10^3$  g/mol) at 0.1 MPa (*squares*), 300 MPa (*diamonds*), 600 MPa (*circles*), and 1200 MPa (*triangles*). The tip of each *arrow* indicates  $-\log(\tau_{\beta}(T_g)/s)$  at the glass transition temperature,  $T_g$ , defined by  $-\log(\tau_{\alpha}(T_g)/s) = -2.5$  for each applied pressure. The spread of the values of  $-\log(\tau_{\beta}(T_g)/s)$  for the different pressures is less than 0.2 of a decade, well within the errors and uncertainties in determining  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{\beta}$  by fitting the loss spectra by superposition of two HN functions. The *solid lines* are fits to the temperature dependence of  $-\log(\tau_{\alpha})$  by the Vogel–Fulcher–Tammann–Hesse equation

that  $-\log(\tau_{\beta}(T_{gi}; P_i))$  is nearly independent of pressure, while  $T_{gi}$  changes with pressure by about 25 K. The spread of the values of  $-\log(\tau_{\beta}(T_{gi}; P_i))$  bounded by the two horizontal lines is less than 0.2 of a decade, well within the errors and uncertainties in determining  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{\beta}$  by fitting the loss spectra by superposition of two HN functions or by the Williams ansatz in [1475] by MFW. The same is true for a different choice of  $-\log(\tau_{\alpha}(T_{gi}; P_i)) = -0.5$ . Thus, the  $\beta$ -relaxation of PEMA satisfies the foremost criterion for JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. Truly it is the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of PEMA and not intramolecular in origin as concluded by MFW, because otherwise  $\tau_{\beta}$  would bear no such rigorous relation to  $\tau_{\alpha}$  at different combinations of  $T_{gi}$ and  $P_i$ .

The same data of  $-\log(\tau_{\alpha})$  and  $-\log(\tau_{\beta})$  in Fig. 270 have been plotted by MFW [1475] themselves as a function of pressure at constant temperature, reproduced here in the right panel of Fig. 271. As appeared and without more detailed description, the pressure insensitivity of  $\tau_{\beta}$  is clear. As mentioned before, this property has led MFW to conclude the observed  $\beta$ -relaxation of PEMA is *intramolecular* in origin, and it is not the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation having fundamental connection to the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. Although this conclusion has been dismissed by the strong connection between  $\tau_{\beta}$  and  $\tau_{\alpha}$  at different combinations of  $T_{gi}$  and  $P_i$  shown in Fig. 270, it is worthwhile to point out much of the data of  $\tau_{\beta}$  plotted by MFW in the right panel of Fig. 271 are in the glassy state of PEMA, where it is well known that the pressure dependence is understandably weak. Thus, the inclusion of  $\tau_{\beta}$  is the glassy state in Fig. 271 (right) risks giving a false impression that  $\tau_{\beta}$  has almost no pressure dependence at all even above  $T_{g}$ .



**Fig. 271** (*Left*) Arrhenius representation of the relaxation times at maximum loss for the processes ( $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , ( $\alpha\beta$ ), and ion mobility as indicated) for PEMA ( $M_w = 2.0 \times 10^3$  g/mol) and for pressures in the range of 0.1 < *P* < 120.0 MPa plotted in 30.0 MPa steps. The *lines* are fits to the VFTH equation for the slow, ( $\alpha\beta$ )-, and  $\alpha$ -processes and to the Arrhenius equation for the  $\beta$ -process. (*Right*) Pressure dependence of the relaxation times at maximum loss for the ( $\alpha\beta$ )-,  $\alpha$ -, and the  $\beta$ -process of PEMA ( $M_w = 2.0 \times 10^3$  g/mol) for temperatures in the range of 353.15 < *T* < 423.15 K, in steps of 10 K. The lines are fits to the pressure-modified VFTH equation for the  $\alpha$ -process whereas linear fits are made for the  $\beta$ - and ( $\alpha\beta$ )-processes. Reproduced from [1475] by permission

To remove this false impression possibly given to the reader by this plot of MFW, the same data for four temperatures  $T_j = 343.15$  (squares), 353.15 (circles), 363.15(up triangles), and 373.15 K (down triangles) are reproduced here in Fig. 272. The × sign is used to mark the symbols that represent data of  $-\log(\tau_\beta)$  in the glassy state of PEMA at each  $T_j$ . Pressure insensitivity of  $-\log(\tau_\beta)$  shown by these marked data is unsurprising because the glass is not as compressible as the liquid. However, without this distinction, all the data of  $-\log(\tau_\beta)$  when viewed together can create a false impression of spectacular pressure insensitivity of  $-\log(\tau_\beta)$ . The solid lines in the figure are fits to the pressure dependence of  $-\log(\tau_\alpha)$  by the pressure-modified VFT equation for the  $\alpha$ -process [1475]. The tip of each arrow indicates  $-\log(\tau_\beta(P_{gj}; T_j))$ at the glass transition pressure,  $P_{gj}$ , as when  $-\log(\tau_\alpha(P_{gj}; T_j)) = -2.5$  for each temperature  $T_j$ . The spread of the values of  $-\log(\tau_\beta(P_{gj}; T_j))$  is 0.2 of a decade if not included the highest temperature and is 0.4 of a decade for all. Again, the foremost criterion for JG  $\beta$ -relaxation is satisfied within the errors and uncertainties in determining  $\tau_\alpha$  and  $\tau_\beta$  by fitting the loss spectra.

Besides interpreting the  $\beta$ -relaxation of PEMA as intramolecular in origin, MFW [1475] also revived the Williams ansatz [77, 968, 1477], which essentially says the  $\beta$ -relaxation relaxes with correlation function  $\varphi_{\beta}(t)$  a portion, (1–*A*), of the total relaxation strength of the dipole, and the  $\alpha$ -relaxation with correlation function  $\varphi_{\alpha}(t)$  relaxes the remaining strength *A*. The combined relaxation function is given by  $\phi(t) = A\phi_{\alpha}(t) + (1 - A)\phi_{\beta}(t)\phi_{\alpha}(t)$ . The two correlation functions  $\varphi_{\beta}(t)$  and  $\varphi_{\alpha}(t)$  are *independent* of each other, and hence no relation exists between their relaxation times. Basically, the Williams ansatz assumes independent contributions from the  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -processes in the time domain, which has been rejected



Fig. 272 Pressure dependence of the relaxation times at maximum loss for the  $\alpha$ - and the  $\beta$ -processes of PEMA ( $M_w = 2.0 \times 10^3$  g/mol) for four temperatures, 343.15 (*squares*), 353.15 (*circles*), 363.15 (*up triangles*), and 373.15 K (*down triangles*). The  $\times$  sign is used to mark the *symbols* that represent data already in the glassy state of PEMA. The *solid lines* are fits to the pressure dependence of  $-\log(\tau_\alpha)$  by the pressure-modified VFT equation for the  $\alpha$ -process. The tip of each *arrow* indicates  $-\log(\tau_\beta(P_g)/s)$  at the glass transition pressure,  $P_g$ , as when  $-\log(\tau_\alpha(P_g)/s) = -2.5$  for each temperature. The spread of the values of  $-\log(\tau_\alpha(P_g)/s)$  is 0.4 of a decade, well within the errors and uncertainties in determining  $\tau_\alpha$  and  $\tau_\beta$  by fitting the loss spectra by superposition of two HN functions

by Böhmer et al. [998, 999] using their NMR data in non-polymeric glassformers. The invariance of  $(\log \tau_{\alpha} - \log \tau_{\beta})$  to variations in combinations of *P* and *T* shown here for PEMA (and elsewhere for non-polymeric glassformers) contradicts the assumption of independent contributions from the  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -processes in PEMA in the Williams ansatz. The reader may recall in Section 2.3.2.15 the exercise of using the Williams ansatz to analyze the relaxation data of the aqueous mixture of 26% wt. fraction of water in PPG400 at ambient and elevated pressures. The results from the Williams ansatz fail to explain the experimental observations, as shown in Fig. 164-2.

A possible rescue of the Williams ansatz is to impose a relation between the two relaxation times of  $\varphi_{\beta}(t)$  and  $\varphi_{\alpha}(t)$  like that given by the CM relation. However, even after this modification,  $\phi(t) = A\phi_{\alpha}(t) + (1 - A)\phi_{\beta}(t)\phi_{\alpha}(t)$  is still an ansatz. There is no guarantee that it gives accurate description of the evolution of the many-body relaxation dynamics starting from the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation to end up with the  $\alpha$ -relaxation, which is an unsolved problem. In PEMA, MFW have observed the marked decrease in  $\beta$ -relaxation strength  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\beta}$  with increasing pressure is accompanied by a complementary increase in the  $\alpha$ -relaxation strength  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\alpha}$ . It is correct that this is consistent by the concept of partial and total relaxations by the  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -relaxations in the Williams ansatz, but it does not prove validity of the ansatz. It is also consistent with the fact that the two relaxations are not independent but connected.

# 2.3.2.41 Broadening of $\alpha$ -Relaxation and Concomitant *Increase* of Separation from the JG $\beta$ -Relaxation in Glycerol and Threitol at Elevated Pressure

If the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation or the primitive relaxation, its counterpart in the CM, is fundamental for glass transition and performs the indispensable role of generating the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation by many-body relaxation, then it better shows its presence in all glassformers. Glycerol is perhaps one of the most studied glassformer, and yet no experiment has ever been able to fully resolve its JG  $\beta$ -relaxation and to settle once and for all that it exists. At ambient pressure, an excess wing shows up on the high-frequency flank of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak in the liquid state as well as the glassy state, giving no direct evidence of the presence of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation [682, 972, 1023, 1034]. As shown before by Fig. 121a, physical aging of glycerol over many days did find the excess wing change its shape slightly to reveal a broad shoulder, which has been taken as evidence of the presence of JG  $\beta$ -relaxation. Nevertheless, possibly there are skeptics who still doubt the universal presence of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation using the example of glycerol.

The CM equation (2.69) demonstrates that the separation between the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation from the  $\alpha$ -relaxation measured by (log  $\tau_{\alpha} - \log \tau_{JG}$ ) is small for glycerol and other glassformers that have small value of the coupling parameter *n*, and this helps to rationalize why it is difficult to resolve the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in glycerol. Following this idea from the CM, the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation will be resolved if somehow its coupling parameter can be increased. One method demonstrated before in Sections 2.3.2.10 and 2.3.2.16 is by mixing the glassformer with another less mobile glassformer. The increase in *n* can be seen by broadening of the frequency dispersion of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation, and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation becomes fully resolved due to larger separation distance (log  $\tau_{\alpha} - \log \tau_{JG}$ ) in the mixture. Many such examples can be found in Figs. 147, 152, 171, 172, 175, and 177. These are examples of van der Waals glassformers. Unfortunately, this method does not apply to glycerol with hydrogen bonds. Mixture of glycerol and sorbitol discussed in Section 2.3.2.12 is the closest analogue but the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of the glycerol component cannot be resolved either.

On elevating pressure accompanied by higher temperature on glycerol, we have seen from Fig. 46 that the  $\alpha$ -loss peak broadens. This indicates an increase of the coupling parameter *n* caused by breaking hydrogen bonds under high pressure and at high temperature. The past experiment [659] was carried out up to the highest pressure of 1.8 GPa and at 255 K, but despite the broadening of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak, the excess wing remains unchanged except for higher intensity. It is possible that higher pressure than 1.8 GPa and higher temperature than 255 K are needed in order to break more hydrogen bonds and further increase the intermolecular coupling before the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation in glycerol can be resolved. This feat has been accomplished in a recent dielectric relaxation experiment by Pronin et al. [1478], where super high pressure up to 6 GPa was applied to glycerol. The  $\varepsilon'(\nu)$  and  $\varepsilon''(\nu)$  spectra at 294 K and different pressures from 1.7 and up to 4.5 GPa are shown in the left panels of Fig. 273-1, and at 4.5 GPa and various temperatures from 363 down to 293 K



**Fig. 273-1** (*Left*) Glycerol dielectric loss spectra at different pressures and 294 K. The lines are fits with the sum of the Cole–Davidson function model for the  $\alpha$ -peak and the Cole–Cole function for the  $\beta$ -peak. (*Right*) Glycerol spectra under 4.5 GPa at various temperatures. The lines are fits with the sum of the Cole–Davidson function model for the  $\alpha$ -peak and the Cole–Cole function for the  $\beta$ -peak. The significant conductivity contributions showing up at low frequencies have been neglected in the fits. Data from Pronin et al. [1478] and reproduced by permission

are shown in the right panels. Pronin et al. were able to fully resolve a secondary relaxation of glycerol in the liquid state as well as in the glassy state starting at pressure higher than 3 GPa, never seen before in the dielectric loss spectra. The resolved secondary relaxation is well separated by many decades in frequency from the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. Johari pointed out the need for Pronin et al. to correct the data for the stray capacitance error. This correction has been done in the data shown in Fig. 273-1.

Concurrent with the appearance of the resolved secondary relaxation is the significant broadening of the dispersion of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation, consistent with the increase in coupling constant *n* at higher pressure and temperature, resulting in the secondary relaxation being more separated from the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. The increase of the isobaric fragility or steepness index  $m_P$  with pressure *P* shown in the right panel of Fig. 273-2 provides another support for the increase of *n*, from the correlation between *n* and *m* (see Section 2.2.3) which should hold since glycerol is considered throughout. It is clear from both panels of the figure that relaxation time  $\tau_{\beta}$  of the secondary relaxation is pressure sensitive and its relaxation strength increasing with temperature. However, as discussed before, these properties of the secondary relaxation are necessary but not sufficient to identify the secondary relaxation to the JG  $\beta$ relaxation. To do so, Pronin et al. applied the criterion based on CM prediction by



**Fig. 273-2** (*Left*) Temperature dependences of relaxation times at four different pressures. The *open symbols* denote the  $\alpha$ -relaxation times  $\tau_{CD}$ . For 0.1 MPa and 4.5 GPa,  $\beta$ -relaxation times  $\tau_{CC}$  are provided (*closed circles* and *triangles*, respectively). The predictions for  $\tau_{\beta}(T)$  from the primitive relaxation time  $\tau_0(T)$  calculated by the CM equation (2.69) are shown as *stars* (for 0.1 MPa) and *crosses* (for 4.5 GPa). The *solid lines* are fits using VFTH law for  $\tau_{CD}(T)$  and the Arrhenius law for  $\tau_{CC}(T)$  at 4.5 GPa. The *dash-dotted line* is drawn to guide the eyes. After Pronin et al. [1478]. Reproduced by permission. (*Right*) Pressure dependences of the VFTH temperature  $T_0$  and  $T_g$  (*left axis*) and of the isobaric fragility  $m_P$  (*right axis*). The *closed squares*, *circles*, and *triangles* represent data of  $T_g$ ,  $T_0$ , and  $m_P$  at four pressures, 0.1, 1.4, 2.7, and 4.5 GPa from Pronin et al. [1478]. The *open squares* at 0.1–0.7 GPa were taken from [1479]. The *crosses* denote  $T_g$  from viscosity measurements of [1480]. The *open triangles* show  $T_g$  and  $m_P$  from [556]. The single points at 1.8 GPa (*stars*) are  $T_g$  and  $m_P$  from [1481(a)]

Eq. (2.69). If the observed secondary relaxation is the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation, its most probable relaxation time  $\tau_{\beta}$  should be equal to the primitive relaxation time  $\tau_{0}$  at least in order of magnitude. Calculation of  $\tau_0$  can be carried only after the coupling parameter *n* has been determined by fitting the  $\alpha$ -relaxation by the Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function. The reader can see in the right panels of Fig. 273-1 from the isothermal data at 4.5 GPa that the peak frequency,  $\nu_{\beta} \equiv 1/(2\pi \tau_{\beta})$ , only can be determined without using any fitting procedure at T = 330 K and below, and marginally at 337 K. Above 337 K, the two relaxations overlap over limited frequency range available to the super high-pressure experiment, and there is no way to determine  $\nu_{\beta}$  without large uncertainty. Pronin et al. obtained  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{\beta}$  by fitting the data with the sum of the Cole–Davidson (CD) function for the  $\alpha$ -peak and the Cole–Cole function for the  $\beta$ -peak, and also the exponent (1-n) of the Kohlrausch function from the exponent of the CD function. With the parameters  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and *n* determined for  $T \leq 337$  K, the primitive relaxation times  $\tau_0$  were calculated by the CM equation (2.68) and are shown in the left panel of Fig. 273-2. Considering the uncertainties in determining  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and *n* from the data, the agreement between  $\tau_{\beta}$  and  $\tau_{0}$  in order of magnitude is fulfilled and allowed Pronin et al. to identify the  $\beta$ -relaxation to be the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of glycerol.

In close relation to the results described above for glycerol is the data of threitol under applied pressure shown earlier in Fig. 46. Threitol has one more carbon atom

than glycerol in the family of polyalcohols. Like glycerol, the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation is not resolved at ambient pressure, but it was resolved at 0.54 GPa and 241 K as shown in Fig. 46. Notwithstanding the fact that the data of threitol are not as detailed as that of Pronin et al., they serve to demonstrate the general property.

# 2.3.2.42 Narrowing of α-Relaxation and Concomitant *Decrease* of Separation from the JG β-Relaxation at Elevated Pressure

#### Tri(dimethylsiloxy)phenylsilane

We have seen in Sections 2.3.2.1 and 2.3.2.8 for van der Waals glass-forming liquids that different combinations of pressure and temperature do not change the frequency dispersion of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation if the most probable  $\alpha$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is kept constant. Moreover, the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{JG}$  remains the same. This remarkable property was called co-invariance of n,  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , and  $\tau_{\beta}$  to P and T variations and is consistent with the CM equation from which follows the co-invariance of n,  $\tau_{\alpha}$ , and  $\tau_0$  to P and T variations. The hydrogen-bonded glassformers behave differently, with broadening of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation dispersion at elevated P and T and shorter  $\tau_{\beta}$ while  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is kept constant. The cases include glycerol (Figs. 46 and 273-1), threitol (Fig. 46), xylitol (Fig. 269), and sorbitol (Figs. 162, 190, and 269). This behavior of hydrogen-bonded glassformers correspond to increase of n and increase of the ratio  $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{\beta}$  at elevated P and T at constant  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . This behavior is again consistent with the CM equation because it predicts the increase of the ratio  $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_0$  to follow as a consequence of the increase of n. The latter reflects the increase of intermolecular coupling by breaking hydrogen bonds.

Now, what about the possibility of having glassformers that behave differently from the two classes discussed above. Specifically, on elevating P and T while keeping  $\tau_{\alpha}$  constant, the frequency dispersion of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation narrows and n decreases. If there are such glassformers, will the ratio  $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{\beta}$  decrease as predicted by the CM equation from the observed decrease of n? The answer is in the affirmative, although examples from this third class of glassformers are few. So far there are only two glassformers behaving this way. One example is from the dielectric relaxation study of tri(dimethylsiloxy)phenylsilane (TDMSPS) [1481(b)]. As shown in this reference and here in the left panel of Fig. 273-3, for nearly the same peak frequency, the  $\alpha$ -loss peak becomes narrower on elevating pressure up to 1.7 GPa. The fit to the Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function requires n = 0.43 at 1.7 GPa and n = 0.49 at ambient pressure. In both cases the primitive relaxation frequencies calculated from the CM equation are in good agreement with the locations of the JG relaxation frequency. Narrowing of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak with applied pressure of 1.7 GPa is accompanied by smaller separation distance between the  $\alpha$ - and the  $\beta$ -relaxations,  $\log v_{\beta} - \log v_{\alpha}$ . This effect is also shown by the relaxation map in the right panel of Fig. 273-3, where the inset demonstrates weaker  $T_g$ -scaled T-dependence and smaller isobaric fragility index  $m_P$  at 1.7 GPa than at ambient pressure. Thus, the decrease of *n* is accompanied by corresponding decrease of  $m_P$ , in accordance with the correlation between *n* and *m*.



**Fig. 273-3** (*Left*) Solid symbols stand for loss data of TDMSPS at ambient pressure, while open symbols are for loss data taken at 1.8 GPa and higher temperatures. The *lines* are fits to the Kohlrausch functions with n = 0.43 at 1.7 GPa and n = 0.49 at ambient pressure. In both cases the primitive relaxation frequencies calculated from the CM equation indicated by the *vertical arrows* are in good agreement with the location of the JG loss peak frequencies. Narrowing of the α-loss peak with applied pressure of 1.7 GPa is accompanied by smaller separation distance between the α- and the β-relaxations,  $\log v_{\beta} - \log v_{\alpha}$ , in TDMSPS. This unique behavior of TDMSPS is contrasted by the changes in opposite directions of hydrogen-bonded glassformers, where the α-loss peak broadens and the separation distance between the α- and the β-relaxations,  $\log v_{\beta} - \log v_{\alpha}$ , as well as weaker  $T_{g}$ -scaled *T*-dependence and fragility index *m* in TDMSPS at 1.7 GPa than at ambient pressure. Reproduced from [1481(b)] by permission

The chemical structure of TDMSPS can be seen in the left panel of Fig. 273-3. Likely what makes TDMSPS so unique is the bulky but flexible Si-based part of the molecule joined to the otherwise rigid phenyl ring. High pressure can alter the relation of the phenyl rings to each other through shielding by the compressed Si-based parts of the molecules. Intermolecular coupling is thereby reduced and the  $\alpha$ -dispersion is narrowed as observed. Supports of this explanation come from the concomitant decrease of the separation between the  $\alpha$ -relaxation and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation and from the decrease in the fragility index *m*.

At a constant structural relaxation time, dielectric relaxation data of a vinylterminated carboranylenesiloxane (VCS) taken at ambient and elevated pressures also show that the structural relaxation dispersion function of VCS narrows with increasing pressure and temperature [1481(e)], and hence this is one of the few cases of non-hydrogen-bonded glassformers where the temperature–pressure superposition breaks down. This can be explained by a reduction of the intermolecular interactions due to the altered spatial relations and weakened interactions of its carborane cages to one another in this vinyl-terminated carboranylenesiloxane. The mechanism is the increased shielding of the carborane cages by the flexible arms of the molecules on elevating pressure. This explanation of narrowing of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak by reduction of intermolecular coupling is supported by concomitant reduction of the fragility parameter *m* with increasing pressure and the correlation between *n* and *m* expected to hold when restricted to the same glassformer.

### Protic Ionic Liquid-Verapamil Hydrochloride (C27H38N2O4·HCl)

Another glassformer behaving like TDMSPS at elevated pressure is the ionic liquid, verapamil hydrochloride (VH), with the chemical formula:  $(\pm)$ -5-((3,4-dimethoxyphenethyl)methylamino)-2-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-2-iso-

propylyaleronitrile monohydrochloride. Its structure is depicted in the inset of Fig. 273-4. Relaxation dynamics of VH was studied at ambient and elevated pressures by dielectric spectroscopy [1481(c) and (d)]. Since it is ionically conducting, the data were presented as electric modulus; the imaginary parts of which are shown in Fig. 273-4 for various combinations of P and T that have the same loss maximum frequency. On elevating pressure, the loss modulus peak narrows continuously. The fits by the Fourier transform of the Kohlrausch function requires stretch exponent  $\beta_{\rm K} = (1-n) = 0.61$  at ambient pressure, and  $\beta_{\rm K} = (1-n) = 0.68$  at the highest pressure applied. The excess wing on the high-frequency side of the loss peak is the unresolved JG  $\beta$ -relaxation with frequency,  $f_{IG}$ , suggested by the primitive frequency,  $f_0$ , calculated by the CM equation. The excess wing as well as  $f_{JG}$ (suggested by the calculated  $f_0$ ) shifts to lower frequency in conjunction with the narrowing of the  $\alpha$ -loss peak on both the high- and low-frequency sides. This effect is consistent with the decrease of separation between the  $\alpha$ - and the primitive or JG  $\beta$ -relaxation concomitant with the narrowing of the dispersion of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation at elevated pressure.



After obtaining the effect of pressure on the temperature dependences  $\tau_{\alpha}(T)$ , it was found that the isobaric fragility,  $m_P$ , also decreases with increasing pressure. Like TDMSPS, the decrease of n is accompanied by corresponding decrease of  $m_P$ . Hence, the correlation between  $m_P$  and n holds on compressing VH by applied pressure.
# 2.3.2.43 JG β-Relaxation of Aqueous Mixture Under High Pressure: Water–Propylene Glycol Oligomer Mixtures

In various places of Sections 2.2 and 2.3, the introduction of elevated pressure in conjunction with temperature to dynamics of glassformers in both the liquid and glassy states has yielded many interesting and general properties that provide insight into the mechanism of the dynamics. So far, none of the glassformers studied in this way involves water and aqueous mixtures. This is understandable in the case of pure water because of the limitations imposed including crystallization even at ambient pressure. Many aqueous mixtures have no such problem and are amenable to the study of the dynamics at elevated pressure. Nevertheless, there is hardly any published experimental data of aqueous mixture under applied high pressure that show the dynamics over wide time/frequency range.

Only very recently has the data become available by the collaborative effort of researchers in the University of Silesia, Poland, and University of Pisa, Italy. Given in a paper by Grzybowska et al. [1304(b)], the results show properties of the dynamics at elevated pressure that have bearing on those of binary mixtures of van der Waals glassformers and of neat glassformers with or without the involvement of hydrogen bonds. Grzybowska et al. performed broadband dielectric spectroscopy measurements of aqueous mixtures of polypropylene glycol of molecular weight  $M_{\rm w} = 400$  g/mol (PPG400) at several concentrations up to 36 wt% of water at ambient pressure from room temperature down to 100 K. The water-rich mixture, PPG400+26 wt% H<sub>2</sub>O, which corresponds to mole fraction of water of  $x_{\rm w} = 0.89$ , was measured under applied pressure up to 1.8 GPa over temperatures ranging from 198 to 295 K.

At ambient pressure and low temperatures in the glassy state, anhydrous PPG400 has two resolved secondary relaxation,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ , shown in Fig. 273-5 (upper left). The faster  $\gamma$ -relaxation is not the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation because in the liquid state its relaxation frequency is much higher than the primitive relaxation frequency (indicated by the bold arrow in Fig. 273-5 (middle right)) calculated with *n*=0.37 from the Kohlrausch function that fits the  $\alpha$ -loss peak. Similar data and conclusion have been shown before in Fig. 125.

Addition of water significantly changes the relaxation dynamics of propylene glycol by the appearance of a new relaxation originating from water, called v-relaxation in previous works [1093, 1295]. This is clear from the evolution of the spectra in the glassy state and in the liquid state in Fig. 273-5 (upper left and upper right), and also from the rapid increase of the dielectric strength  $\Delta \varepsilon_v$  of the v-relaxation on increasing of water wt% in Fig. 273-5 (upper right). Like other aqueous mixtures there is a threshold concentration (mole fraction of water is 0.67 in the present system) above which dielectric strength of v-process is rapidly increasing with water concentration and the relaxation time does not change much with the concentration. In this concentration regime the v-process is believed to reflect the true dynamics of water molecules predominantly surrounded by other water molecules. The spectra of the aqueous mixtures show two well-resolved relaxations, the slow  $\alpha$ -relaxation and the fast v-process, just like other aqueous mixtures shown



Fig. 273-5 (Upper left) Dielectric loss spectra of aqueous mixtures of PPG400 with various concentrations of water in the glassy state at T = 178 K and P = 0.1 MPa. (Upper right) Figure shows the dependences of dielectric strength of the secondary relaxation, originating from water in the aqueous mixtures, called the v-process, against mole fraction of water. (Middle left) Figure presents spectra of the water mixtures with the same  $\alpha$  relaxation times in the liquid state. (Middle right) Comparison of dielectric spectra with the same  $\alpha$  relaxation times, obtained at different P and T combinations for 26 wt% water mixture of PPG400 and anhydrous PPG400. Solid lines denote fits of entire spectra as a superposition of the two HN functions (dotted lines). (Lower left) Comparison of the loss spectra of the aqueous mixture of PPG400 containing 26 wt% water at P = 500 MPa and T = 232.15 K with that of two 35 wt% water mixtures with ethylene glycol oligomers, 3EG and 6EG. Data from [1093, 1295] are replotted here. (Lower right) Temperature dependences of the  $\alpha$  and  $\nu$  relaxation times at various pressures for PPG400+26% H<sub>2</sub>O. All figures are redrawn in different styles and representations than those published in [1304(b)]

before in Section 2.3.2.27. Their relaxation times,  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{\nu}$ , are compared in Fig. 273-5 (lower left) with those of two other aqueous mixtures, 35 wt% water mixtures with ethylene glycol oligomers 3EG and 6EG [1093, 1295]. Except for PPG400+26 wt% H<sub>2</sub>O having a higher  $T_{\rm g}$ , the properties found for  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{\nu}$  are

similar to the aqueous mixtures of 3EG and 6EG shown before in Figs. 214 and 215. There is a change of temperature dependence of  $\tau_v$  from Arrhenius below  $T_g$  to a stronger *T*-dependence above  $T_g$ . The location of  $T_g$  for each of the aqueous mixtures in the lower left panel of Fig. 273-5 is indicated by the vertically pointing arrow. In the glassy state the Arrhenius activation energy of  $\tau_v$  is about the same as that of the other two mixtures, which reflects the nature of the local relaxation of water. Although  $\tau_v$  is longer in PPG400+26 wt% H<sub>2</sub>O than the other two mixtures, the change is small compared with the many more decades of increase of  $\tau_\alpha$  coming from the significant increase of  $T_g$ . Here, the reader is reminded that the same crossover of *T*-dependence at  $T_g$  is found in the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation time of a component in binary mixtures of van der Waals liquids discussed in Section 2.3.2.27.

#### Crossover of $\tau_{v}$ at Elevated Pressure

Dielectric measurements of PPG400+26 wt% H<sub>2</sub>O obtained at elevated pressure of 360 and 500 MPa exhibit two well-resolved relaxation peaks coming from the slower  $\alpha$ -process and the faster v-process, just like at ambient pressure. This is shown by the loss spectrum obtained at P = 500 MPa and T = 232.15 K in Fig. 273-5 (middle right). There, comparison is made with the loss spectrum taken at P = 0.1 MPa and T = 198.15 K of the same mixture having the same  $\alpha$ relaxation frequency (time),  $\nu_{\alpha}$  ( $\tau_{\alpha}$ ). It can be seen that the v-relaxation frequency (time),  $v_{\nu}$  ( $\tau_{\nu}$ ), is not the same for the two cases, with  $v_{\nu}$  ( $\tau_{\nu}$ ) being higher (shorter) by one decade at 500 MPa than at 0.1 MPa. This situation is different from that seen for the relation between the  $\alpha$ - and the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation times of a component in binary mixtures of van der Waals liquids (see Figs. 144, 146, and 148), where there is no change in both the dispersion of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation (or *n*) and  $\nu_{JG}$  ( $\tau_{JG}$ ) for various combinations of P and T that keeps  $\nu_{\alpha}$  ( $\tau_{\alpha}$ ) constant. This is due to the change in structure of hydrogen-bonded systems when subjected to elevated pressure and higher temperature by disrupting the hydrogen-bonding network. The result is the change of intermolecular coupling. The consequence is change in the relation between  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and the primitive relaxation time  $\tau_0$  or  $\tau_{JG}$  according to the CM, as seen before in Section 2.3.2.41 for glycerol, threitol, and propylene glycol in Fig. 46, xylitol in Fig. 269, and sorbitol in Figs. 48, 190, and 269. The preponderance of hydrogen bonding in PPG400+26 wt%  $H_2O$  makes the structure susceptible to change at elevated pressure accompanied by higher temperature. The situation is just like glycerol, threitol, xylitol, and sorbitol. Thus, the increase in separation between  $\nu_{\alpha}$  and  $\nu_{JG}$  or  $\nu_0$  found in PPG400+26 wt% H<sub>2</sub>O at P = 500 MPa and T = 232.15 K than at P = 0.1 MPa and T = 198.15 K in Fig. 273-5 (middle right) follows the same behavior established for the polyalcohols and receives the same explanation.

From the dielectric spectra of PPG400+26 wt% H<sub>2</sub>O taken under isobaric condition with P = 0.1, 360, 500, and 1800 MPa, and isothermal condition with T = 213 and 233.8 K, the relaxation times,  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{\nu}$ , are obtained and plotted against 1000/*T* in Fig. 273-5 (lower right). For all four different pressures, the temperature dependence of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is well described by the VFTH equation and reaches 100 s at the dielectric  $T_g$  which increases with pressure, and  $\tau_{\nu}$  undergoes the change from Arrhenius *T*-dependence to a stronger *T*-dependence when crossing some temperature,  $T_{cross}$ , which is nearly the same as  $T_g$ . The value of  $\tau_v$  at  $T_g$ ,  $\tau_v(T_g)$ , is shorter at higher pressure. The crossover of *T*-dependence of  $\tau_v$  at  $T_{cross} \sim T_g$  and the trend of decrease of  $\tau_v(T_g)$  with pressure are the same as that exhibited by  $\tau_{JG}$  at ambient and elevated pressures of xylitol and sorbitol [1088] and shown before in Fig. 162. The explanation of these observations in xylitol and sorbitol by the CM applies verbatim to PPG400+26 wt% H<sub>2</sub>O. The crux of the CM explanation is the inseparable connection between the primitive relaxation and the structural relaxation leading to the crossover at  $T_g$ . The increase of intermolecular coupling (and coupling parameter *n*) due to suppression of hydrogen bonding by applied pressure and higher temperature results in increased separation between  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_0$ . The decrease of  $\tau_{vcross}$  on increasing pressure when fitted by the exponential function in the inset (b) indicates that  $\tau_{vcross}(P \to \infty) = 3 \mu s$ , not much shorter than  $\tau_{vcross}(P = 1.8 \text{ GPa})$ .

Found in the glassy states of PPG400+26 wt% H<sub>2</sub>O at various pressures are slight differences of the magnitude of  $\tau_{v}$  and its activation energy. The spectra measured at the highest pressure of 1.8 GPa have the  $\alpha$ -relaxation peak hidden by the large contribution of dc-conductivity, and its relaxation times in Fig. 273-5 (middle right) were obtained indirectly from the real part of permittivity. The data of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  over limited temperature range and the VFTH fit cannot yield accurate determination of the isobaric fragility index,  $m_P$ , and conclusion made in [1304(b)] based on it may not be valid.

### 2.3.2.44 JG β-Relaxation of Aqueous Mixture Under High Pressure: Water–Fructose Mixtures

Similar results on applying pressure to aqueous solution of the saccharide, fructose, at various concentrations were obtained by Ancherbak et al. [1140b]. The relaxation times,  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{\nu}$ , obtained behave in the same way as those found PPG400+26 wt% H<sub>2</sub>O. In a plot of  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{\nu}$  against 1000/*T*, there is a change of the *T*-dependence of  $\tau_{\nu}$  from Arrhenius below  $T_g$  to a stronger dependence above  $T_g$ , where  $T_g$  is the temperature at which  $\tau_{\alpha} \sim 10^3$  s (see Fig. 273-6). The water or  $\nu$ -relaxation is clearly resolved in the fructose aqueous solutions, and its dielectric strength  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\nu}$  shows monotonic increase with temperature dependence of  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\nu}$  provides firm evidence that the observed water or  $\nu$ -process is a secondary relaxation for all temperatures above and below  $T_g$  and is not an  $\alpha$ -relaxation of water above  $T_g$  that becomes a secondary relaxation below  $T_g$ , as proposed by other workers in aqueous mixtures [1106, 1261].

# 2.3.2.45 Evidences of Primitive or β-Relaxation and Faster Relaxation Are Responsible for the Stabilization of Dried Protein in Sugar-Based Glass

Biopharmaceuticals including proteins, drugs, and vaccines are important parts of modern medicine with sales of products close to 100 billion dollars per year.



**Fig. 273-6** (*Left*) Relaxation times,  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{\nu}$ , of the structural relaxation of the aqueous mixture of fructose + 26 wt% water at ambient pressure and elevated pressure of 6200 bar, showing the change of *T*-dependence of  $\tau_{\nu}$  at some temperature  $T_c$  near  $T_g$ . Note that  $T_g$  increases with pressure, and  $\tau_{\nu}(T_g)$  becomes shorter on elevating pressure. (*Right on top*) The latter is illustrated by the spectra taken at several pressures and temperatures all having the  $\alpha$ -loss peak frequency. The  $\nu$ -loss peak moves to higher frequencies on increasing pressure. (*Right at bottom*) The dielectric strength of the  $\alpha$ - and  $\nu$ -relaxation showing the  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\nu}$  is a monotonic increasing function of temperature above and below  $T_g$ , the hallmark of secondary relaxation at all temperatures. Figures courtesy of K. Grzybowska and coworkers

The problem of the biopharmaceuticals is inadequate stability in aqueous solution to allow storage, transport, and distribution. Thus, such products are commonly dried to increase stability, but even the dry product is usually not stable during storage. Saccharides and polyols are commonly added to form a glass to protect proteins against degradation during storage [1383(a)–(i), 1384(a)–(d)]. Low molecular weight non-reducing saccharides stabilize effectively, and the disaccharides sucrose and trehalose are commonly used for commercial products. This process to achieve stabilization is like that in nature where living organisms manage to survive unfavorable conditions of extreme drought and high temperatures by producing trehalose to form glassy state to block metabolism. The water substitute hypothesis and the vitrification hypothesis have been commonly used to rationalize stabilization of the biopharmaceuticals by the added sugars to form a glass. The water substitute hypothesis suggests that the excipient sugar operates by replacing water lost to form hydrogen bonds to the protein, and hence thermodynamically stabilizes the native conformation.

On the other hand, the vitrification hypothesis explains increased stability by the much reduced molecular mobility for all degradation processes to proceed when the system is transformed into the glassy state, resulting in significantly lower degradation rate. This hypothesis implies that the difference between the storage temperature T and  $T_g$ ,  $(T - T_g)$ , is a reliable measure for stability. Recent works found that  $(T-T_g)$  is not a critical parameter for stability by comparing formulations

with different sugars of the same protein at the same sugar/protein ratio and water content. This was shown in several ways by Pikal and coworkers for human growth hormone (hGH) in lyophilized disaccharide formulations [1383(h)] as well as by Yoshioka and coworkers in  $\beta$ -galactosidase ( $\beta$ -GA) lyophilized with stachyose, trehalose, and sucrose [1384(a)]. Pikal et al. measured degradation by the loss of the purity, P, that varies with square root of time according to the simple expression,  $P = P(t = 0) - kt^{1/2}$ , where P(t = 0) is the percentage purity at time zero (i.e., initial value) and k is the rate constant. Figure 274-1a is a plot of k vs.  $T - T_g$  for many lyophilized hGH systems including pure hGH. It is divided into two sections, the left for all the lyophilized hGH systems except those using sucrose and the right hGH systems containing sucrose. For the non-sucrose hGH systems all with large negative values of  $T - T_g$ , there is obviously no correlation between stability and  $T - T_{g}$ . The hGH systems with sucrose show a correlation, but the correlation is in the wrong direction, i.e., increasing stability with increasing  $T - T_g$ . As explained by Pikal et al. there is reduction of the  $T_g$  of a protein system ( $T_g$ , dry $\approx$ 136°C) by addition of sucrose ( $T_g$ , dry  $\approx 75^{\circ}$ C), but stabilization is enhanced by increasing levels of sucrose. From this one can see for the comparison of stability between different formulations. It is important to have control of water content, otherwise one can be led to the wrong conclusion. Figure 274-1(b) will be discussed later. It is interesting that there is correlation between stability (reciprocal of rate constant k) and



Fig. 274-1 (a) Correlation of stability of hGH with the difference between storage temperature, T, and the glass transition temperature of the formulation,  $T_g$ . Open symbols are aggregation, filled symbols are chemical degradation, diamonds are pure protein, and circles are disaccharide systems other than sucrose. Sucrose data are triangles. Reproduced from [1383(h)] by permission. (b) Correlation between stability (reciprocal of rate constant k) and relaxation time constant,  $\tau^{\beta}$ , where  $\tau$  is the structural relaxation time and  $\beta$  is the Kohlrausch exponent. Circles and squares are pure human growth hormone (hGH), stachyose (1:1), and trehalose (1:1, 1:3, 1:6 weight ratios of protein/saccharide). The triangles represent aggregation data in sucrose formulations. The rate constant is from square root of time (months) kinetics and  $\tau$  is in hours. All input data for  $\tau$  are for mean moisture samples. Error bars (standard errors) for the non-sucrose formulations are smaller than the symbol size. Note that sucrose formulations are more stable than expected based upon the relaxation time constant  $\tau^{\beta}$ . Reproduced from [1383(h)] by permission

relaxation time constant,  $\tau^{\beta}$ , where  $\tau$  is the structural relaxation time and  $\beta$  is the Kohlrausch exponent [1383(h)] as shown in Fig. 274-1b. There, circles and squares are pure human growth hormone (hGH), stachyose (1:1), and trehalose (1:1, 1:3, 1:6) weight ratios of protein/saccharide. The triangles represent aggregation data in sucrose formulations. The rate constant is from square root of time (months) kinetics, and  $\tau$  is in hours. All input data for  $\tau$  are for mean moisture samples. Note that sucrose formulations are more stable than expected based upon the relaxation time constant  $\tau^{\beta}$ . This aspect will be further discussed later.

The left panel of Fig. 274-2 compares the rate constants k of degradation for different formulations obtained by Pikal and coworkers [1383(h)]. Here we compare only the rate constants of pure hGH, and hGH lyophilized with stachyose, trehalose, and sucrose all at the same storage temperature  $T = 40^{\circ}$ C. Light shading represents chemical degradation, while the dark bars represent degradation by aggregation, which is primarily non-covalent dimer formation. All formulations are nominally "dry." The numeral inside brackets in the labels of the sugars indicates the ratio of sugar/hGH. From this figure, it can be seen that at the same ratio of sugar/hGH, stability increases in the order of stachyose, trehalose, and sucrose, while  $T_g$  decreases in the same order [1383(h)]. Thus stability anticorrelates instead of correlates with the absolute value of  $(T - T_g)$ . Yoshioka and coworkers [1384(a)] also found for the β-GA lyophilized with stachyose, trehalose, and sucrose at 12% relative humidity; the aggregation rate at temperatures below and above  $T_g$  was also lowest for sucrose, intermediate for trehalose, and largest for stachyose, suggesting again that  $\beta$ -GA aggregation rate is not related to  $(T - T_g)$ . The data of Yoshioka et al. showing this trend are presented in the right panel of Fig. 274-2, where the ordinate is the time required for 10% degradation ( $t_{90}$ ) calculated from the apparent first-order rate constant measured.

Failure to correlate protein stability with global mobility indicated by  $(T - T_g)$ has led others to suggest and investigate the possibility that the local motion or local mobility may play an important role in stability using neutron scattering [1383(b), (d), (e), (h)] and carbon (<sup>13</sup>C)  $T_1$  and  $T_{10}$  of nuclear magnetic resonance relaxation times by Pikal and coworkers [1383(g)] and Yoshioka and coworkers [1384(a)-(e)]. Yoshioka et al. [1384(a)] investigated the local mobility of  $\beta$ -GA, as determined by spin-lattice relaxation in the rotating frame at 25°C and 12% RH. Spin-lattice relaxation was significantly retarded by the addition of excipient. The relaxation time  $T_{10}$  of  $\beta$ -GA carbonyl carbon was more markedly increased by the addition of sucrose than by the addition of stachyose. The effect of trehalose on  $T_{10}$  was intermediate when compared to those for sucrose and stachyose. The same conclusion was obtained from <sup>13</sup>C NMR measurements of  $T_1$  at higher frequencies than  $T_{10}$ . Thus, the aggregation rate of  $\beta$ -GA lyophilized with stachyose, trehalose, and sucrose correlates with the local mobility of  $\beta$ -GA, as indicated by  $T_{10}$ , rather than with  $(T - T_g)$ . This indicates that stabilization during storage is effected by reduction of local protein mobility, and reduction is most effective by sucrose and less effective by trehalose and stachyose in this order. From the  $T/T_g$ -dependence of  $t_{90}$ for aggregation of  $\beta$ -GA lyophilized with sucrose, trehalose, and stachyose in the right panel of Fig. 274-2, it can be seen that the temperature dependence of  $t_{90}$  all



Fig. 274-2 (Left) Comparison of stability of freeze-dried hGH formulations at 408°C. The light shaded bars are rate constants, based on square root of time kinetics, for chemical degradation as measured by reverse phase HPLC assay, and the dark shaded bars represent rate constants, based upon square root of time kinetics, for aggregation as measured by size exclusion HPLC assay. All formulations are nominally "dry." Error bars are standard errors as given by the regression analysis. The numeral inside brackets in the labels of the sugars indicate the ratio of sugar/hGH. Reproduced from [1383(h)] by permission.  $(Right) T/T_{g}$ -dependence of  $t_{90}$  for aggregation of  $\beta$ -galactosidase ( $\beta$ -GA) lyophilized with sucrose ( $\Delta$ ), trehalose ( $\bigcirc$ ,  $\bullet$ ) or stachyose ( $\diamondsuit$ ). The weight fraction of excipient:  $0.33 (\Delta, \bigcirc, \Diamond)$  and  $0.5 (\bullet)$ . Reproduced from [1384(a)] by permission

show a change in slope around  $T_g$ . This behavior comes as a surprise to Yoshioka and coworkers because they had already concluded that local mobility is mainly responsible for  $\beta$ -GA aggregation, and they thought that the temperature dependence of local mobility and hence  $t_{90}$  should not show a change in slope near  $T_g$ . To resolve this conundrum, they assume that local mobility of protein is coupled with structural relaxation. In support of this assumption they cited their NMR spin-lattice relaxation data of bovine serum  $\gamma$ -globulin lyophilized with dextran [1384(d)], where the local mobility of both the protein and dextran exhibited change in the slope of temperature dependence at the same temperature. This finding suggested that the local mobility of  $\beta$ -GA is coupled with the structural relaxation of  $\beta$ -GA lyophilized with sucrose, trehalose, or stachyose. To us and from the previous subsections showing the connection between the primitive or JG  $\beta$ -relaxation which determines the local mobility with the structural relaxation, the change of T-dependence of the local mobility from Arrhenius law below  $T_g$  to a stronger dependence above  $T_g$  becomes natural. Hydrogen bonds of the disaccharide to the protein are analogous to water to protein (see Section 2.3.2.28 or water to hydrophilic solutes such as PPG400 discussed in the previous subsection and in Section 2.3.2.27. In these water-related systems, multiple examples have demonstrated that the primitive or JG  $\beta$ -relaxation time of water changes its T-dependence at the glass transition temperature of the hydrated protein or the aqueous solution. In analogy to hydrated proteins, the primitive or JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of the disaccharide is coupled to the structural relaxation of the  $\beta$ -GA lyophilized by the disaccharide, and consequently there is the similar change of *T*-dependence of the primitive or JG β-relaxation time of the disaccharide at  $T_{\rm g}$ , as experimentally found for the polysaccharide dextran in the case of bovine serum  $\gamma$ -globulin lyophilized with dextran [1384(d)]. The local mobility of the protein is dictated by the local primitive or JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of the disaccharide or polysaccharide. Therefore, from the experimental deduction that degradation time t90 is controlled by local mobility, naturally it follows that t90 exhibits change of T-dependence of the primitive or JG  $\beta$ -relaxation time at  $T_g$  of the disaccharide or polysaccharide. From Fig. 274-2, the Arrhenius activation energy of  $t_{90}$  for  $T < T_g$ is about 120 kJ/mol. This is similar to the activation energy of JG  $\beta$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{IG}$  of trehalose of about 100 kJ/mol for the *T*-range higher than 322 K corresponding to the same range as measured by Yoshioka and coworkers. The similar magnitudes of the activation energies of  $t_{90}$  and  $\tau_{JG}$  can be considered as additional support of the explanation.

Cicerone and coworkers [1383(b), (d), (e), (h)] employed extensively elastic and inelastic neutron scattering of the fast dynamics on nanosecond timescale of the disaccharide/protein formulations [1383(d), (e), (h)] as well as the disaccharides [1383(b)]. Here we show the mean-squared displacement,  $\langle u^2(T) \rangle$ , for the 6:1 sucrose/hGH formulation and the 6:1 trehalose/hGH formulation. Results for  $\langle u^2(T) \rangle$  show significantly less amplitude of motion for the 6:1 sucrose/hGH formulation than for the 6:1 trehalose/hGH formulation. Thus, stability does correlate with the fast dynamics measured by neutron scattering in the glass, as found in other lyophilized proteins. However,  $\langle u^2(T) \rangle$  corresponds to dynamics on a nanosecond timescale while stability is determined on the much longer timescale of

months, and this observed correlation presents another conundrum in these and other protein formulations. The authors of [1383(h)] were puzzled by the following disparity between the degradation rate and  $\langle u^2(T) \rangle$  despite the correlation between them. First, the activation energies for both the sucrose and trehalose systems (6:1 disaccharide/hGH) are  $\approx 18$  kcal/mol or 75 kJ/mol for aggregation is much larger than  $\approx 1.4$  kcal/mol for  $\langle u^2(T) \rangle$ . Furthermore, the ratio of  $\langle u^2(T) \rangle$  for trehalose/sucrose is 1.2 at 40°C while the corresponding ratio for rate constants is 2.1. They appropriately concluded that obviously the relationship between fast dynamics and stability is more complex than a direct proportion. Their puzzle can be removed by recalling the fact that, for T below  $T_{\rm g}$ , the measured  $< u^2(T) >$  originates from caged dynamics. Its magnitude is determined by the primitive relaxation time  $\tau_0$ or JG  $\beta$ -relaxation time  $\tau_{JG}$ , as discussed before in Sections 2.3.2.33 and 2.3.2.35. In Section 2.3.2.35 is shown the correlation between  $\langle u^2(T_{\alpha}) \rangle$  or NCL in susceptibility at  $T_g$  and  $n(T_g)$ . Also we recall from Sections 2.3.2.10, 2.3.2.11, 2.3.2.12, 2.3.2.13, and 2.3.2.14 that smaller  $n(T_g)$  implies longer  $\tau_0$  or  $\tau_{IG}$ . Combining these two, we can deduce that smaller  $\langle u^2(T_g) \rangle$  corresponds to longer  $\tau_0(T_g)$  or  $\tau_{JG}(T_g)$ . The  $T_g$  values for the sucrose and trehalose formulations are 348 and 386 K, respectively. From Fig. 274-3 we find that the value of  $\langle u^2(T_g) \rangle = 1.65 \text{\AA}^2$  for the sucrose formulation is smaller than  $\langle u^2(T_g) \rangle = 2.65 \text{\AA}^2$  for the trehalose formulations. The final deduction from these is that  $\tau_0(T_g)$  or  $\tau_{\rm JG}(T_g)$  is longer, and hence the local mobility is lower (and smaller degradation rate) for the sucrose formulation than the trehalose formulation. This is possibly the origin of the observed anticorrelation between  $\langle u^2(T) \rangle$  and stability of the protein formulation. By the way, dielectric data have shown that  $\tau_{JG}(T_g)$  of pure sucrose is several orders of magnitude longer than  $\tau_{JG}(T_g)$  of pure trehalose (see Fig. 206 and [1012(c)]). Also



**Fig. 274-3** Mean-squared displacement,  $\langle u^2(T) \rangle$ , measured by neutron scattering for 1:6 hGH/disaccharide trehalose and sucrose formulations of hGH. The mean-squared amplitude of hydrogen motion (Å<sup>2</sup>) is plotted against temperature. The  $T_g$  values for the sucrose and trehalose formulations are 348 and 386 K, respectively. Uncertainties (standard error) are roughly the size of the plotting symbol. Reproduced from [1383(h)] by permission

the fragility index m of pure sucrose is 100, which is less than 130 for trehalose [1012(c)], which also indicates smaller  $n(T_g)$  of sucrose than trehalose from the correlation between m and  $n(T_{\sigma})$  for glassformers of the same family. The larger m of trehalose than sucrose found by dielectric relaxation in [1012(c)] is consistent with that deduced from heat capacity data of Shamblin et al. [1185] and the smaller D value of 5.1 for trehalose than the value of 7.3 for sucrose. Dranca et al. [992(d)]calculated the thermodynamic fragility index using the empirical equation proposed by Wang and Angell [573], given before in Section 2.2.3.5, and the experimentally obtained mid-point  $T_g$  values of 346 and 392 K, respectively, for sucrose and tre-halose,  $\Delta C_p(T_g)$  value of 0.55 J K<sup>-1</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> (188.3 J K<sup>-1</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>) for both sucrose and trehalose, and the heat of fusion,  $\Delta H_m$ , for sucrose and anhydrous trehalose from literature values of 41.4 and 53.4 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Using these values, the thermodynamic fragility index m was found to be 88 for sucrose, larger than 77 found for trehalose. This is opposite to the trend found in the experimentally determined kinetic fragility index m, with trehalose having a much larger value than that of sucrose [1012(c)]. This is another example of non-equivalence of the kinetic and thermodynamic fragility discussed amply in Section 2.2.3.5. Dranca et al. correctly found from their calorimetric method the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of trehalose. The value of the activation energy obtained by them at the lowest annealing temperature is 75 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, comparable to the value of 87 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> from direct dielectric measurements [1012(c)]. However, for sucrose, they gave 42 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> for the activation energy of  $\beta$ -relaxation, much smaller than 98 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> for the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation from dielectric relaxation [1012(c)]. On the other hand, their value of 42 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> is not far from 52 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> for the  $\nu$ -relaxation obtained by dielectric measurement. It is important to correct this wrong identification of the JG β-relaxation of sucrose here because of the connection between the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation with local mobility for stabilization of proteins lyophilized by disaccharides and the comparison between sucrose and trehalose systems.

Another quantity that Pikal and coworkers use to correlate kinetic processes in pharmaceuticals and biopharmaceuticals is  $\tau^{\beta}$ , where  $\tau$  is the structural relaxation time determined in the glassy state of the protein formulation and  $\beta \equiv (1 - n)$  is the exponent of the Kohlrausch function that fits the time dependence of the relaxation. They used  $\tau^{\beta}$  instead of  $\tau$  for good reasons [1383(i), (j), (k)] and since it is derived from the structural relaxation time, they continue to call it the structural relaxation time and relate it to global mobility [1383(c), (g), (h)]. In several proteins lyophilized by the disaccharides they find good correlation between stability (reciprocal of rate constant k) and  $\tau^{\beta}$  as shown in Fig. 274-1b for several disaccharide formulations. Again in this plot of  $\ln k$  vs.  $\ln \tau^{\beta}$ , they found that sucrose formulations are more stable, but not expected based upon comparison of  $\tau^{\beta}$ . This is because at equivalent stabilizer/hGH ratios, the sucrose systems have much smaller  $\tau^{\beta}$  and yet more stable (smaller k) than the other stabilizer systems as can be seen in Fig. 274-1b. Since Pikal and coworkers looked upon  $\tau^{\beta}$  as surrogate of the structural relaxation time, the results led them to the conclusion that the sucrose systems have higher molecular mobility and yet they are more stable than the other stabilizer

systems. This conclusion creates an apparent contradiction with the other conclusion that local mobility determines stability and the superior stability of sucrose formulations is due to them having lower local mobility [1383(g), 1384(a)]. The contradiction can be resolved by noting that  $\tau^{\beta}$  is more related to the primitive relaxation time than the structural relaxation time, pointed out before in Section 2.3.2.22 and Eq. (2.82). Identifying  $\tau_{\alpha}$  with  $\tau$  here, the CM equation (2.68) can be rewritten in the form  $\tau^{\beta} = t_c^{-n} \tau_0 \approx t_c^{-n} \tau_{JG}$ , recognizing that  $t_c$  is a constant with value  $\approx 2$  ps for organic glassformers, and  $\beta \equiv (1 - n)$ . In the papers by Pikal and coworkers,  $\tau$  was measured in hours. Changing the unit of time from second to hour, the equation can be rewritten as

$$\ln \tau_0 \approx \ln \tau_{JG} = \ln \tau^\beta - 35n. \tag{2.100}$$

Since  $\ln \tau_0$  or  $\ln \tau_{JG}$  is no different from  $\ln \tau^{\beta}$  except for the added constant term, it follows from Fig. 274-1b that stability correlates with  $\ln \tau_0$  or  $\ln \tau_{JG}$  and hence local mobility when restricting individually to any of the other disaccharide systems. Stability now correlates with  $\ln \tau_0$  or  $\ln \tau_{JG}$  and hence stability also correlates with local mobility; the findings by NMR of Pikal and coworkers and Yoshioka and workers are thus restored.

Furthermore, Eq. (2.100) confers a bonus when comparing stability vs.  $\ln \tau_0$  or  $\ln \tau_{IG}$  for all the disaccharides systems in Fig. 274-1b combined. The last term, – 35*n*, in Eq. (2.100) is a considerable correction to  $\ln \tau^{\beta}$  that can make the  $\tau_0$  or  $\tau_{\rm JG}$  of the sucrose formulation longer than that of the trehalose formulation, if n is larger or  $\beta$  is smaller for the trehalose formulation than the sucrose formulation. If so, this means that  $\ln \tau_0$  or  $\ln \tau_{IG}$  of the sucrose formulation can be *longer* and hence its local mobility *lower* than that of the trehalose formulation, and this brings back consistency with the conclusion based on direct local mobility measurements by NMR spin-lattice relaxation times and neutron scattering of mean-squared displacement. The crux to arrive at this from Eq. (2.100) is based on the assumption that  $n(\beta)$  of sucrose formulation is smaller (larger) than  $n(\beta)$  of trehalose. This is a possibility in view of the correlation between the fragility index m and n for glassformers of the same family, and the fact that m of pure sucrose is smaller than pure trehalose. Also the ratio  $\tau_{\alpha}/\tau_{JG}$  at  $T_g$  is larger for trehalose than sucrose (see Fig. 206 and [1012(c)]). Both characteristics are indicators of larger *n* for trehalose than sucrose. Notwithstanding, this assumption may or may not hold against rigorous experiment test in protein lyophilized by sucrose and trehalose. The research field of stabilization of biopharmaceuticals can benefit by more experimental investigations using other techniques to gain more information on the dynamics beyond the ones employed. Although the connection I have made of the existing data with primitive or JG  $\beta$ -relaxation is plausible, more experimental confirmation is needed. This is the reason why I put the discussion of the research on stabilization of biopharmaceuticals last in this chapter. Lest one forgets, this is such a very important field for the populace and the economy worldwide and, therefore, the problem of stabilization of biopharmaceuticals deserves much more studies in the future.

### New Evidence of Dependence of Stability on β-Relaxation

In the 6th International Discussion Meeting on Relaxation in Complex Systems, August 2009, Rome, Italy (paper under preparation), Cicerone reported empirical evidence that enzyme stability is clearly linked to the  $\beta$ -relaxation in many formulations by presenting data of more than 100 glasses containing wide range of glassformers. The peroxidase (HRP) was type II from horse radish, and the alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH) was from bakers' yeast. The collection of data has the  $\beta$ -relaxation time,  $\tau_{\beta}$ , varying over four decades from 10<sup>-4</sup> to 10<sup>-8</sup> s, and Cicerone found strong positive correlation between the logarithm of the enzyme destabilization time,  $\tau_{\text{deact}}$ , and log  $\tau_{\beta}$ . The two are well approximated by linear relation,  $\log(\tau_{\text{deact}}/h) \approx \log(\tau_{\beta}) + \text{const.}$  From this relation, Cicerone can predict the absolute stability within a factor of 3 just by measuring the  $\beta$ -relaxation time. More details of this spectacular finding by Cicerone will be published together with the data by him in the near future. I thank M. Cicerone for letting me to show his results in Fig. 274-4 here before submitting to publication in PNAS. Further study on this subject is worthwhile to identify the nature of the secondary relaxation considered by Cicerone and coworkers. For the trehalose–glycerol mixture used, it seems that the secondary relaxation considered is the  $\gamma$ -relaxation rather than the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of trehalose in the mixture for mass fraction of glycerol equal to 0.15, 0.24, and 0.36[1383(o)].



**Fig. 274-4** (*Left*) Protein degradation rates in freeze-dried sugar-based glasses at room temperature.  $E_a$  is the apparent activation energy for protein degradation process.  $\tau_{\beta}$  is obtained from  $E_a$  of the protein degradation process from the mapping between  $E_a$  for peak dielectric relaxation and  $\tau_{\beta}$ in the inset to the *right panel*. This mapping is made to test the hypothesis that the protein degradation processes are linked to  $\beta$ -relaxation. The slope of 1 between the ordinate and top abscissa supports this hypothesis. (*Right*) Arrhenius plot of peak dielectric relaxation time. *Inset* shows the plot of log  $\tau$  at 250 K vs.  $E_a$ . Courtesy of Marcus Cicerone

It is worthwhile to mention earlier studies by neutron scattering of fast dynamics [1383(b), 1383(l)] that are consistent with protein stability being linked to the  $\beta$ -relaxation recently found by Cicerone and coworkers. The consistency comes from the fact that shorter the  $\beta$ -relaxation the more intense are the shorter time processes including the caged dynamics, as shown before in Section 2.3.2.35 and [507]. All the observations contradict the traditional point of view that formulations using higher  $T_g$  solvents should lead to stronger suppression of protein dynamics and activity [1388, 1383(m), 1383(n)]. A basic assumption underlying the traditional point of view is that the structural  $\alpha$ -relaxation of the solvent is the most important process for influencing the protein dynamics. This turns out to be wrong, and instead it is the primitive or JG  $\beta$ -relaxation of the solvent.

### A Procedure for the Enhancement in the Stability of Formulation?

Whichever is the sugar used to stabilize biopharmaceuticals including sucrose and trehalose, there is an experimental procedure I can suggest to suppress further the local mobility of the JG  $\beta$ -relaxation, and hence increase the stability of the formulation. The procedure is to apply elevated pressure to the formulation in the equilibrium liquid state first before subsequent cooling to reach the target glassy state, and then pressure is released. This procedure may result in a glassy state denser than that obtained by cooling alone with the effect of increasing  $\tau_0$  or  $\tau_{JG}$  (suppressing the local mobility) and enhancement of the stability of the formulation. Various pressure and temperature paths can be explored with the goal of obtaining the condition for optimal stability.