Selected Proofs on Finite Packings of Translates of Convex Bodies

8.1 Proof of Theorem 2.2.1

8.1.1 Monotonicity of a special integral function

Lemma 8.1.1 Let $f : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function such that f is positive and monotone increasing on $(0,1]$; moreover, $f(x) = (g(x))^{k}$ for some concave function $q : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$, where k is a positive integer. Then

$$
F(y) := \frac{1}{f(y)} \int_0^y f(x) dx
$$

is strictly monotone increasing on (0, 1].

Proof: Without loss of generality we may assume that f is differentiable. So, to prove that $F(y) := \frac{1}{f(y)} \int_0^y f(x) dx$ is strictly monotone increasing, it is sufficient to show that $\frac{d}{dy}F > 0$ or equivalently that $\int_0^y f(x)dx < \frac{(f(y))^2}{f'(y)}$. From now on, let $0 < y < 1$ be fixed (with $f'(y) > 0$).

As $f = g^k$ for some concave g therefore the linear function $l(x) = b_1 +$ $b_2(x-y)$ with $b_1 = (f(y))^{\frac{1}{k}}$ and $b_2 = \frac{f'(y)}{g(x,y)^{\frac{k}{k}}}$ $\frac{J(y)}{k(f(y))^{\frac{k-1}{k}}}$ satisfies the inequality $g(x) \leq$ $l(x)$ for all $0 \leq x \leq 1$, and so we have that $f(x) \leq (l(x))^{k}$ holds for all $0 \leq x \leq 1$. Thus, for all $0 \leq x \leq 1$ we have

$$
f(x) \le \left((f(y))^{\frac{1}{k}} + \frac{f'(y)}{k(f(y))^{\frac{k-1}{k}}} (x - y) \right)^k = f(y) \left(1 + \frac{f'(y)}{k f(y)} (x - y) \right)^k.
$$
\n(8.1)

By integration we get

$$
\int_0^y f(x)dx \le \int_0^y f(y)\left(1 + \frac{f'(y)}{kf(y)}(x-y)\right)^k dx
$$

96 8 Selected Proofs on Finite Packings of Translates of Convex Bodies

$$
= \frac{k}{k+1} \frac{(f(y))^2}{f'(y)} \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{y f'(y)}{k f(y)} \right)^{k+1} \right). \tag{8.2}
$$

Now, because the first factor of (8.2) is strictly between 0 and 1, it is sufficient to show that the last factor is at most 1; that is, we are left to show the inequality

$$
0 \le \left(1 - \frac{y f'(y)}{k f(y)}\right)^{k+1} \tag{8.3}
$$

Suppose that [\(8.3\)](#page-1-1) is not true; then $\left(1-\frac{y f'(y)}{k f(y)}\right)$ $\left(\frac{df'(y)}{kf(y)}\right)^{k+1}$ < 0. Let $G(x) :=$ $\left(1+\frac{f'(y)}{kf(y)}\right)$ $\frac{f'(y)}{kf(y)}(x-y)^{k+1}$. As $G(y) = 1$ and by assumption $G(0) < 0$, therefore there must be an $0 < x_0 < y$ such that $G(x_0) = \left(1 + \frac{f'(y)}{k f(y)}\right)$ $\frac{f'(y)}{kf(y)}(x_0-y)\bigg)^{k+1}=0.$ But then this and [\(8.1\)](#page-0-0) imply in a straightforward way that $f(x_0) \leq$ $f(y)\left(1+\frac{f'(y)}{kf(y)}\right)$ $\left(\frac{f'(y)}{kf(y)}(x_0-y)\right)^k = 0.$ However, by the assumptions of Lemma [8.1.1](#page-0-1) we have that $f(x_0) > 0$, a contradiction. This completes our proof of Lemma [8.1.1.](#page-0-1)

8.1.2 A proof by slicing via the Brunn–Minkowski inequality

Let the convex body **K** be positioned in \mathbb{E}^d such that the hyperplane $\{x \in$ $\mathbb{E}^d \mid \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = -1$ with normal vector **v** is a supporting hyperplane for **K** and the non-overlapping translates $\mathbf{t}_1 + \mathbf{K}, \ldots, \mathbf{t}_k + \mathbf{K}$ are all touching **K** and (together with **K**) are all lying in the closed halfspace $\{x \in \mathbb{E}^d \mid \langle x, v \rangle \ge -1\}.$ Now, due to the well-known fact that by replacing **K** with $\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{K} + -(\mathbf{K}))$ and performing the same symmetrization for each of the translates $\mathbf{t}_1+\mathbf{K}, \ldots, \mathbf{t}_k+$ K one preserves the packing property, touching pairs, and one-sidedness, we may assume that **K** is in fact, a centrally symmetric convex body of \mathbb{E}^d say, it is **o**-symmetric, where **o** stands for the origin of \mathbb{E}^d . Moreover, as in the classical proof for the Hadwiger number [151], we use that $\bigcup_{i=0}^{k} (\mathbf{t}_{i} + \mathbf{K}) \subset 3\mathbf{K}$, where $\mathbf{t}_0 = \mathbf{o}$. Furthermore, let the family $\mathbf{t}_0 + \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{t}_1 + \mathbf{K}, \ldots, \mathbf{t}_k + \mathbf{K}$ be scaled so that the normal vector **v** is a unit vector (i.e., $\|\mathbf{v}\| = 1$). Next, let $H_x := \{ \mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{E}^d \mid \langle \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = x \}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then clearly, **K** is between the hyperplanes H_{-1} and H_1 touching both, and the translates $\mathbf{t}_1 + \mathbf{K}, \ldots, \mathbf{t}_k + \mathbf{K}$ (together with $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{t}_0 + \mathbf{K}$) all lie between the hyperplanes H_{-1} and H_3 . Obviously, $\int_{-1}^{1} \text{vol}_{d-1} (\mathbf{K} \cap H_x) dx = \text{vol}_d(\mathbf{K})$, where $\text{vol}_d(\cdot)$ (resp., $\text{vol}_{d-1}(\cdot)$) denotes the d-dimensional (resp., $d-1$ -dimensional) volume measure. Also, it follows from the given setup in a straightforward way that

$$
\int_{-1}^{3} \mathrm{vol}_{d-1} \left(H_x \cap \bigcup_{i=0}^{k} (\mathbf{t}_i + \mathbf{K}) \right) dx = (k+1) \mathrm{vol}_d(\mathbf{K}). \tag{8.4}
$$

Our goal is to write the integral in (8.4) as a sum of two integrals from -1 to 0 and from 0 to 3, and estimate them separately.

First, notice that

$$
\int_0^3 \text{vol}_{d-1} \left(H_x \cap \bigcup_{i=0}^k (\mathbf{t}_i + \mathbf{K}) \right) dx \le \int_0^3 \text{vol}_{d-1} \left(H_x \cap (3\mathbf{K}) \right) dx = \frac{3^d}{2} \text{vol}_d(\mathbf{K}).
$$
\n
$$
(8.5)
$$

Second, notice that

$$
\int_{-1}^{0} \operatorname{vol}_{d-1} \left(H_x \cap \bigcup_{i=0}^{k} (\mathbf{t}_i + \mathbf{K}) \right) dx = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \int_{-1}^{0} \operatorname{vol}_{d-1} \left(H_x \cap (\mathbf{t}_i + \mathbf{K}) \right) dx
$$
\n(8.6)

$$
= \sum_{i=0}^{k} \int_{0}^{1} \text{vol}_{d-1} \left(\mathbf{K} \cap (-\mathbf{t}_{i} + H_{x-1}) \right) dx = \sum_{0 \le a_{i} \le 1} \int_{0}^{1-a_{i}} f(x) dx, \qquad (8.7)
$$

where $f(x) := \text{vol}_{d-1} (\mathbf{K} \cap H_{x-1}), 0 \le x \le 1$ and $a_i := \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{t}_i \rangle, 0 \le i \le k$. We note that $a_i \geq 0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq k$ (and for some j we have that $a_j \geq 1$). Moreover, f is positive and monotone increasing on $(0, 1]$, and by the Brunn– Minkowski inequality (see, e.g., [85]) the function $f^{\frac{1}{d-1}}$ is concave (for all $d \geq 2$). Thus, Lemma [8.1.1](#page-0-1) implies that

$$
\sum_{0 \le a_i \le 1} \int_0^{1-a_i} f(x) dx \le \sum_{0 \le a_i \le 1} \left(\frac{f(1-a_i)}{f(1)} \int_0^1 f(x) dx \right) \tag{8.8}
$$

$$
= \frac{\int_0^1 f(x)dx}{f(1)} \sum_{0 \le a_i \le 1} f(1 - a_i) = \frac{\int_0^1 f(x)dx}{f(1)} \sum_{0 \le a_i \le 1} \text{vol}_{d-1} (\mathbf{K} \cap H_{-a_i}) \tag{8.9}
$$

$$
= \frac{\int_0^1 f(x)dx}{f(1)} \sum_{i=0}^k \text{vol}_{d-1} \left((\mathbf{t}_i + \mathbf{K}) \cap H_0 \right) \tag{8.10}
$$

$$
= \frac{\int_0^1 f(x)dx}{f(1)} \text{vol}_{d-1} \left(H_0 \cap \bigcup_{i=0}^k (\mathbf{t}_i + \mathbf{K}) \right) \le \frac{\int_0^1 f(x)dx}{f(1)} \text{vol}_{d-1} \left(H_0 \cap (3\mathbf{K}) \right)
$$
\n(8.11)

$$
= \frac{1}{2} \text{vol}_d(\mathbf{K}) \frac{1}{\text{vol}_{d-1}(H_0 \cap \mathbf{K})} \text{vol}_{d-1}(H_0 \cap (3\mathbf{K})) = \frac{3^{d-1}}{2} \text{vol}_d(\mathbf{K}). \tag{8.12}
$$

Hence, [\(8.4\)](#page-1-2), [\(8.5\)](#page-2-0), [\(8.6\)](#page-2-1), [\(8.7\)](#page-2-2), [\(8.8\)](#page-2-3), [\(8.9\)](#page-2-4), [\(8.10\)](#page-2-5), [\(8.11\)](#page-2-6), and [\(8.12\)](#page-2-7) yield that

$$
(k+1)\text{vol}_d(\mathbf{K}) \le \frac{3^d}{2}\text{vol}_d(\mathbf{K}) + \frac{3^{d-1}}{2}\text{vol}_d(\mathbf{K}),
$$

and so, $k \leq 2 \cdot 3^{d-1} - 1$ as claimed in Theorem 2.2.1.

To prove that equality can only be reached for d-dimensional affine cubes, notice first that the equality in [\(8.8\)](#page-2-3) and the strict monotonicity of Lemma [8.1.1](#page-0-1) imply that for all a_i with $0 \leq a_i < 1$ we have $a_i = 0$ and for all $a_i = 1$ we have $f(1 - a_i) = 0$. Taking into account the equality in [\(8.11\)](#page-2-6), we get that translates of $H_0 \cap \mathbf{K}$ must tile $H_0 \cap (3\mathbf{K})$. Hence, [151] yields that $H_0 \cap \mathbf{K}$ as well as $H_0 \cap (3\mathbf{K})$ are $(d-1)$ -dimensional affine cubes. Also, there is only the obvious way to tile $H_0 \cap (3\mathbf{K})$ by 3^{d-1} translates of $H_0 \cap \mathbf{K}$, so the set of the translation vectors $\{t_i \mid t_i \in H_0\}$ is **o**-symmetric. But then the $((3^{d-1}-1)+1)+2((2\cdot3^{d-1}-1)-(3^{d-1}-1))=3^d$ translates

$$
\{\mathbf t_i + \mathbf K \mid \mathbf t_i \in H_0\} \cup \{\mathbf t_i + \mathbf K \mid \mathbf t_i \notin H_0\} \cup \{-\mathbf t_i + \mathbf K \mid \mathbf t_i \notin H_0\}
$$

of K form a packing in 3K. Thus, the Hadwiger number of K is $3^d - 1$ and so, using [151] we get that \bf{K} is indeed a d-dimensional affine cube. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.2.1.

8.2 Proof of Theorem 2.4.3

Let $\mathbf{C}_n := \{ \mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2, \dots, \mathbf{c}_n \}$ and assume that the inequality stated in Theorem 2.4.3 does not hold. Then there is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$
\text{vol}_d(\mathbf{C}_n + 2\mathbf{K_o}) = \frac{n \text{vol}_d(\mathbf{K_o})}{\delta(\mathbf{K_o})} - \epsilon.
$$
\n(8.13)

Let $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{E}^d$ be a d-dimensional packing lattice of $\mathbf{C}_n + 2\mathbf{K_o}$ such that $\mathbf{C}_n + 2\mathbf{K}_o$ is contained in the fundamental parallelotope **P** of Λ . For each $\lambda > 0$ let \mathbf{Q}_{λ} denote the *d*-dimensional cube of edge length 2λ centered at the origin \mathbf{o} of \mathbb{E}^d having edges parallel to the corresponding coordinate axes of \mathbb{E}^d . Obviously, there is a constant $\mu > 0$ depending on **P** only such that for each $\lambda > 0$ there is a subset $L_{\lambda} \subset \Lambda$ with $\mathbf{Q}_{\lambda} \subset L_{\lambda} + \mathbf{P}$ and $L_{\lambda} + 2\mathbf{P} \subset \mathbf{Q}_{\lambda+\mu}$. Moreover, let $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathbf{K_o})$ be the family of all possible packings of $n > 1$ translates of the **o**-symmetric convex body $\mathbf{K_o}$ in \mathbb{E}^d . The definition of $\delta(\mathbf{K_o})$ implies that for each $\lambda > 0$ there exists a packing in the family $\mathcal{P}_{m(\lambda)}(\mathbf{K_o})$ with centers at the points of $\mathbf{C}_{m(\lambda)}$ such that $\mathbf{C}_{m(\lambda)} + \mathbf{K_o} \subset \mathbf{Q}_{\lambda}$ and

$$
\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \frac{m(\lambda) \text{vol}_d(\mathbf{K}_o)}{\text{vol}_d(\mathbf{Q}_\lambda)} = \delta(\mathbf{K}_o).
$$

As $\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \frac{\text{vol}_d(Q_{\lambda+\mu})}{\text{vol}_d(Q_{\lambda})}=1$, therefore there exist $\xi>0$ and a packing in the family $\mathcal{P}_{m(\xi)}(\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{o}})$ with centers at the points of $\mathbf{C}_{m(\xi)}$ and with $\mathbf{C}_{m(\xi)} + \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{o}} \subset$ \mathbf{Q}_{ξ} such that

$$
\frac{\text{vol}_d(\mathbf{P})\delta(\mathbf{K_o})}{\text{vol}_d(\mathbf{P}) + \epsilon} < \frac{m(\xi)\text{vol}_d(\mathbf{K_o})}{\text{vol}_d(\mathbf{Q}_{\xi+\mu})} \tag{8.14}
$$

and

$$
\frac{n \text{vol}_d(\mathbf{K}_o)}{\text{vol}_d(\mathbf{P}) + \epsilon} < \frac{n \text{vol}_d(\mathbf{K}_o) \text{card}(L_\xi)}{\text{vol}_d(\mathbf{Q}_{\xi + \mu})}.\tag{8.15}
$$

Now, for each $x \in P$ we define a packing of $n(x)$ translates of the osymmetric convex body $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{o}}$ in \mathbb{E}^d with centers at the points of

$$
\mathbf{C}_{n(\mathbf{x})} := \{ \mathbf{x} + L_{\xi} + \mathbf{C}_n \} \cup \{ \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{C}_{m(\xi)} \mid \mathbf{y} \notin \mathbf{x} + L_{\xi} + \mathbf{C}_n + \text{int}(2\mathbf{K_o}) \}.
$$

Clearly, $C_{n(x)} + K_o \subset Q_{\xi+\mu}$. As a next step we introduce the (characteristic) function $\chi_{\mathbf{y}} : \mathbf{P} \to \mathbb{R}$ as follows: $\chi_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) := 1$ if $\mathbf{y} \notin \mathbf{x} + L_{\xi} + \mathbf{C}_n + \text{int}(2\mathbf{K_o})$ and $\chi_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) := 0$ for any other $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{P}$. Thus,

$$
\int_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbf{P}} n(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbf{P}} \left(n \, \text{card}(L_{\xi}) + \sum_{\mathbf{y}\in\mathbf{C}_{m(\xi)}} \chi_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \, d\mathbf{x}
$$

 $= n \text{vol}_d(\mathbf{P}) \text{card}(L_{\xi}) + m(\xi) (\text{vol}_d(\mathbf{P}) - \text{vol}_d(\mathbf{C}_n + 2\mathbf{K_o})).$

Hence, there is a point $p \in P$ with

$$
n(\mathbf{p}) \ge m(\xi) \left(1 - \frac{\text{vol}_d(\mathbf{C}_n + 2\mathbf{K_o})}{\text{vol}_d(\mathbf{P})} \right) + n \text{ card}(L_{\xi})
$$

and so,

$$
\frac{n(\mathbf{p})\text{vol}_d(\mathbf{K_o})}{\text{vol}_d(\mathbf{Q}_{\xi+\mu})}
$$

$$
\geq \frac{m(\xi)\text{vol}_d(\mathbf{K_o})}{\text{vol}_d(\mathbf{Q}_{\xi+\mu})} \left(1 - \frac{\text{vol}_d(\mathbf{C}_n + 2\mathbf{K_o})}{\text{vol}_d(\mathbf{P})}\right) + \frac{n\text{vol}_d(\mathbf{K_o})\text{card}(L_{\xi})}{\text{vol}_d(\mathbf{Q}_{\xi+\mu})}.
$$
(8.16)

Thus, (8.16) , (8.15) , (8.14) , and (8.13) imply in a straightforward way that

$$
\frac{n(\mathbf{p})\text{vol}_d(\mathbf{K_o})}{\text{vol}_d(\mathbf{Q}_{\xi+\mu})}
$$

$$
>\frac{\text{vol}_d(\mathbf{P})\delta(\mathbf{K_o})}{\text{vol}_d(\mathbf{P}) + \epsilon} \left(1 - \frac{\text{vol}_d(\mathbf{C}_n + 2\mathbf{K_o})}{\text{vol}_d(\mathbf{P})}\right) + \frac{n\text{vol}_d(\mathbf{K_o})}{\text{vol}_d(\mathbf{P}) + \epsilon} = \delta(\mathbf{K_o}).\tag{8.17}
$$

As $\mathbf{C}_{n(\mathbf{p})}+\mathbf{K_o} \subset \mathbf{Q}_{\xi+\mu}$, therefore [\(8.17\)](#page-4-2) leads to the existence of a packing by translates of \mathbf{K}_{o} in \mathbb{E}^{d} with density strictly larger than $\delta(\mathbf{K}_{o})$, a contradiction. This finishes the proof of Theorem 2.4.3.