# Selected Proofs on Finite Packings of Translates of Convex Bodies

## 8.1 Proof of Theorem 2.2.1

#### 8.1.1 Monotonicity of a special integral function

**Lemma 8.1.1** Let  $f : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function such that f is positive and monotone increasing on (0,1]; moreover,  $f(x) = (g(x))^k$  for some concave function  $g : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ , where k is a positive integer. Then

$$F(y) := \frac{1}{f(y)} \int_0^y f(x) dx$$

is strictly monotone increasing on (0, 1].

**Proof:** Without loss of generality we may assume that f is differentiable. So, to prove that  $F(y) := \frac{1}{f(y)} \int_0^y f(x) dx$  is strictly monotone increasing, it is sufficient to show that  $\frac{d}{dy}F > 0$  or equivalently that  $\int_0^y f(x) dx < \frac{(f(y))^2}{f'(y)}$ . From now on, let 0 < y < 1 be fixed (with f'(y) > 0).

As  $f = g^k$  for some concave g therefore the linear function  $l(x) = b_1 + b_2(x-y)$  with  $b_1 = (f(y))^{\frac{1}{k}}$  and  $b_2 = \frac{f'(y)}{k(f(y))^{\frac{k-1}{k}}}$  satisfies the inequality  $g(x) \leq l(x)$  for all  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ , and so we have that  $f(x) \leq (l(x))^k$  holds for all  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ . Thus, for all  $0 \leq x \leq 1$  we have

$$f(x) \le \left( (f(y))^{\frac{1}{k}} + \frac{f'(y)}{k(f(y))^{\frac{k-1}{k}}} (x-y) \right)^k = f(y) \left( 1 + \frac{f'(y)}{kf(y)} (x-y) \right)^k.$$
(8.1)

By integration we get

$$\int_{0}^{y} f(x) dx \le \int_{0}^{y} f(y) \left( 1 + \frac{f'(y)}{kf(y)} (x - y) \right)^{k} dx$$

96 8 Selected Proofs on Finite Packings of Translates of Convex Bodies

$$= \frac{k}{k+1} \frac{(f(y))^2}{f'(y)} \left( 1 - \left( 1 - \frac{yf'(y)}{kf(y)} \right)^{k+1} \right).$$
(8.2)

Now, because the first factor of (8.2) is strictly between 0 and 1, it is sufficient to show that the last factor is at most 1; that is, we are left to show the inequality

$$0 \le \left(1 - \frac{yf'(y)}{kf(y)}\right)^{k+1} \tag{8.3}$$

Suppose that (8.3) is not true; then  $\left(1 - \frac{yf'(y)}{kf(y)}\right)^{k+1} < 0$ . Let  $G(x) := \left(1 + \frac{f'(y)}{kf(y)}(x-y)\right)^{k+1}$ . As G(y) = 1 and by assumption G(0) < 0, therefore there must be an  $0 < x_0 < y$  such that  $G(x_0) = \left(1 + \frac{f'(y)}{kf(y)}(x_0 - y)\right)^{k+1} = 0$ . But then this and (8.1) imply in a straightforward way that  $f(x_0) \leq f(y)\left(1 + \frac{f'(y)}{kf(y)}(x_0 - y)\right)^k = 0$ . However, by the assumptions of Lemma 8.1.1 we have that  $f(x_0) > 0$ , a contradiction. This completes our proof of Lemma 8.1.1.

#### 8.1.2 A proof by slicing via the Brunn–Minkowski inequality

Let the convex body **K** be positioned in  $\mathbb{E}^d$  such that the hyperplane  $\{\mathbf{x} \in$  $\mathbb{E}^d \mid \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = -1$  with normal vector **v** is a supporting hyperplane for **K** and the non-overlapping translates  $\mathbf{t}_1 + \mathbf{K}, \dots, \mathbf{t}_k + \mathbf{K}$  are all touching **K** and (together with **K**) are all lying in the closed halfspace  $\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{E}^d \mid \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v} \rangle \geq -1\}$ . Now, due to the well-known fact that by replacing **K** with  $\frac{1}{2}$  (**K** + -(**K**)) and performing the same symmetrization for each of the translates  $\mathbf{t}_1 + \mathbf{K}, \ldots, \mathbf{t}_k +$ **K** one preserves the packing property, touching pairs, and one-sidedness, we may assume that **K** is in fact, a centrally symmetric convex body of  $\mathbb{E}^d$  say, it is o-symmetric, where o stands for the origin of  $\mathbb{E}^d$ . Moreover, as in the classical proof for the Hadwiger number [151], we use that  $\bigcup_{i=0}^{k} (\mathbf{t}_i + \mathbf{K}) \subset 3\mathbf{K}$ , where  $\mathbf{t}_0 = \mathbf{o}$ . Furthermore, let the family  $\mathbf{t}_0 + \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{t}_1 + \mathbf{K}, \dots, \mathbf{t}_k + \mathbf{K}$  be scaled so that the normal vector  $\mathbf{v}$  is a unit vector (i.e.,  $\|\mathbf{v}\| = 1$ ). Next, let  $H_x := \{ \mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{E}^d \mid \langle \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = x \}$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then clearly, **K** is between the hyperplanes  $H_{-1}$  and  $H_1$  touching both, and the translates  $\mathbf{t}_1 + \mathbf{K}, \dots, \mathbf{t}_k + \mathbf{K}$ (together with  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{t}_0 + \mathbf{K}$ ) all lie between the hyperplanes  $H_{-1}$  and  $H_3$ . Obviously,  $\int_{-1}^{1} \operatorname{vol}_{d-1} (\mathbf{K} \cap H_x) dx = \operatorname{vol}_d(\mathbf{K})$ , where  $\operatorname{vol}_d(\cdot)$  (resp.,  $\operatorname{vol}_{d-1}(\cdot)$ ) denotes the *d*-dimensional (resp., d-1-dimensional) volume measure. Also, it follows from the given setup in a straightforward way that

$$\int_{-1}^{3} \operatorname{vol}_{d-1} \left( H_x \cap \bigcup_{i=0}^{k} (\mathbf{t}_i + \mathbf{K}) \right) dx = (k+1) \operatorname{vol}_d(\mathbf{K}).$$
(8.4)

Our goal is to write the integral in (8.4) as a sum of two integrals from -1 to 0 and from 0 to 3, and estimate them separately.

First, notice that

$$\int_0^3 \operatorname{vol}_{d-1}\left(H_x \cap \bigcup_{i=0}^k (\mathbf{t}_i + \mathbf{K})\right) dx \le \int_0^3 \operatorname{vol}_{d-1} \left(H_x \cap (3\mathbf{K})\right) dx = \frac{3^d}{2} \operatorname{vol}_d(\mathbf{K}).$$
(8.5)

Second, notice that

$$\int_{-1}^{0} \operatorname{vol}_{d-1} \left( H_{x} \cap \bigcup_{i=0}^{k} (\mathbf{t}_{i} + \mathbf{K}) \right) dx = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \int_{-1}^{0} \operatorname{vol}_{d-1} \left( H_{x} \cap (\mathbf{t}_{i} + \mathbf{K}) \right) dx$$
(8.6)

$$=\sum_{i=0}^{k}\int_{0}^{1}\operatorname{vol}_{d-1}\left(\mathbf{K}\cap\left(-\mathbf{t}_{i}+H_{x-1}\right)\right)dx=\sum_{0\leq a_{i}\leq 1}\int_{0}^{1-a_{i}}f(x)dx,\qquad(8.7)$$

where  $f(x) := \operatorname{vol}_{d-1} (\mathbf{K} \cap H_{x-1}), 0 \le x \le 1$  and  $a_i := \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{t}_i \rangle, 0 \le i \le k$ . We note that  $a_i \ge 0$  for all  $0 \le i \le k$  (and for some j we have that  $a_j \ge 1$ ). Moreover, f is positive and monotone increasing on (0, 1], and by the Brunn-Minkowski inequality (see, e.g., [85]) the function  $f^{\frac{1}{d-1}}$  is concave (for all  $d \ge 2$ ). Thus, Lemma 8.1.1 implies that

$$\sum_{0 \le a_i \le 1} \int_0^{1-a_i} f(x) dx \le \sum_{0 \le a_i \le 1} \left( \frac{f(1-a_i)}{f(1)} \int_0^1 f(x) dx \right)$$
(8.8)

$$= \frac{\int_0^1 f(x)dx}{f(1)} \sum_{0 \le a_i \le 1} f(1-a_i) = \frac{\int_0^1 f(x)dx}{f(1)} \sum_{0 \le a_i \le 1} \operatorname{vol}_{d-1} \left( \mathbf{K} \cap H_{-a_i} \right)$$
(8.9)

$$= \frac{\int_0^1 f(x)dx}{f(1)} \sum_{i=0}^k \operatorname{vol}_{d-1} \left( (\mathbf{t}_i + \mathbf{K}) \cap H_0 \right)$$
(8.10)

$$= \frac{\int_{0}^{1} f(x) dx}{f(1)} \operatorname{vol}_{d-1} \left( H_{0} \cap \bigcup_{i=0}^{k} (\mathbf{t}_{i} + \mathbf{K}) \right) \le \frac{\int_{0}^{1} f(x) dx}{f(1)} \operatorname{vol}_{d-1} \left( H_{0} \cap (3\mathbf{K}) \right)$$
(8.11)

$$= \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{vol}_{d}(\mathbf{K}) \frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}_{d-1}(H_{0} \cap \mathbf{K})} \operatorname{vol}_{d-1}(H_{0} \cap (3\mathbf{K})) = \frac{3^{d-1}}{2} \operatorname{vol}_{d}(\mathbf{K}).$$
(8.12)

Hence, (8.4), (8.5), (8.6), (8.7), (8.8), (8.9), (8.10), (8.11), and (8.12) yield that

$$(k+1)\operatorname{vol}_d(\mathbf{K}) \le \frac{3^d}{2}\operatorname{vol}_d(\mathbf{K}) + \frac{3^{d-1}}{2}\operatorname{vol}_d(\mathbf{K}),$$

and so,  $k \leq 2 \cdot 3^{d-1} - 1$  as claimed in Theorem 2.2.1.

To prove that equality can only be reached for *d*-dimensional affine cubes, notice first that the equality in (8.8) and the strict monotonicity of Lemma 8.1.1 imply that for all  $a_i$  with  $0 \le a_i < 1$  we have  $a_i = 0$  and for all  $a_i = 1$  we have  $f(1 - a_i) = 0$ . Taking into account the equality in (8.11), we get that translates of  $H_0 \cap \mathbf{K}$  must tile  $H_0 \cap (3\mathbf{K})$ . Hence, [151] yields that  $H_0 \cap \mathbf{K}$  as well as  $H_0 \cap (3\mathbf{K})$  are (d-1)-dimensional affine cubes. Also, there is only the obvious way to tile  $H_0 \cap (3\mathbf{K})$  by  $3^{d-1}$  translates of  $H_0 \cap \mathbf{K}$ , so the set of the translation vectors  $\{\mathbf{t}_i \mid \mathbf{t}_i \in H_0\}$  is **o**-symmetric. But then the  $((3^{d-1} - 1) + 1) + 2((2 \cdot 3^{d-1} - 1) - (3^{d-1} - 1)) = 3^d$  translates

$$\{\mathbf{t}_i + \mathbf{K} \mid \mathbf{t}_i \in H_0\} \cup \{\mathbf{t}_i + \mathbf{K} \mid \mathbf{t}_i \notin H_0\} \cup \{-\mathbf{t}_i + \mathbf{K} \mid \mathbf{t}_i \notin H_0\}$$

of **K** form a packing in 3**K**. Thus, the Hadwiger number of **K** is  $3^d - 1$  and so, using [151] we get that **K** is indeed a *d*-dimensional affine cube. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.2.1.

### 8.2 Proof of Theorem 2.4.3

Let  $\mathbf{C}_n := {\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2, \dots, \mathbf{c}_n}$  and assume that the inequality stated in Theorem 2.4.3 does not hold. Then there is an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that

$$\operatorname{vol}_{d}(\mathbf{C}_{n} + 2\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{o}}) = \frac{n \operatorname{vol}_{d}(\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{o}})}{\delta(\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{o}})} - \epsilon.$$
(8.13)

Let  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{E}^d$  be a *d*-dimensional packing lattice of  $\mathbf{C}_n + 2\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{o}}$  such that  $\mathbf{C}_n + 2\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{o}}$  is contained in the fundamental parallelotope  $\mathbf{P}$  of  $\Lambda$ . For each  $\lambda > 0$  let  $\mathbf{Q}_{\lambda}$  denote the *d*-dimensional cube of edge length  $2\lambda$  centered at the origin  $\mathbf{o}$  of  $\mathbb{E}^d$  having edges parallel to the corresponding coordinate axes of  $\mathbb{E}^d$ . Obviously, there is a constant  $\mu > 0$  depending on  $\mathbf{P}$  only such that for each  $\lambda > 0$  there is a subset  $L_{\lambda} \subset \Lambda$  with  $\mathbf{Q}_{\lambda} \subset L_{\lambda} + \mathbf{P}$  and  $L_{\lambda} + 2\mathbf{P} \subset \mathbf{Q}_{\lambda+\mu}$ . Moreover, let  $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{o}})$  be the family of all possible packings of n > 1 translates of the  $\mathbf{o}$ -symmetric convex body  $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{o}}$  in  $\mathbb{E}^d$ . The definition of  $\delta(\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{o}})$  implies that for each  $\lambda > 0$  there exists a packing in the family  $\mathcal{P}_{m(\lambda)}(\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{o}})$  with centers at the points of  $\mathbf{C}_{m(\lambda)}$  such that  $\mathbf{C}_{m(\lambda)} + \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{o}} \subset \mathbf{Q}_{\lambda}$  and

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \frac{m(\lambda) \operatorname{vol}_d(\mathbf{K}_o)}{\operatorname{vol}_d(\mathbf{Q}_\lambda)} = \delta(\mathbf{K}_o).$$

As  $\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \frac{\operatorname{vol}_d(\mathbf{Q}_{\lambda+\mu})}{\operatorname{vol}_d(\mathbf{Q}_{\lambda})} = 1$ , therefore there exist  $\xi > 0$  and a packing in the family  $\mathcal{P}_{m(\xi)}(\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{o}})$  with centers at the points of  $\mathbf{C}_{m(\xi)}$  and with  $\mathbf{C}_{m(\xi)} + \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{o}} \subset \mathbf{Q}_{\xi}$  such that

$$\frac{\operatorname{vol}_{d}(\mathbf{P})\delta(\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{o}})}{\operatorname{vol}_{d}(\mathbf{P}) + \epsilon} < \frac{m(\xi)\operatorname{vol}_{d}(\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{o}})}{\operatorname{vol}_{d}(\mathbf{Q}_{\xi+\mu})}$$
(8.14)

and

$$\frac{n \operatorname{vol}_d(\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{o}})}{\operatorname{vol}_d(\mathbf{P}) + \epsilon} < \frac{n \operatorname{vol}_d(\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{o}}) \operatorname{card}(L_{\xi})}{\operatorname{vol}_d(\mathbf{Q}_{\xi+\mu})}.$$
(8.15)

Now, for each  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{P}$  we define a packing of  $n(\mathbf{x})$  translates of the osymmetric convex body  $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{o}}$  in  $\mathbb{E}^d$  with centers at the points of

$$\mathbf{C}_{n(\mathbf{x})} := \{\mathbf{x} + L_{\xi} + \mathbf{C}_n\} \cup \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{C}_{m(\xi)} \mid \mathbf{y} \notin \mathbf{x} + L_{\xi} + \mathbf{C}_n + \operatorname{int}(2\mathbf{K}_o)\}.$$

Clearly,  $\mathbf{C}_{n(\mathbf{x})} + \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{o}} \subset \mathbf{Q}_{\xi+\mu}$ . As a next step we introduce the (characteristic) function  $\chi_{\mathbf{y}} : \mathbf{P} \to \mathbb{R}$  as follows:  $\chi_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) := 1$  if  $\mathbf{y} \notin \mathbf{x} + L_{\xi} + \mathbf{C}_n + \operatorname{int}(2\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{o}})$  and  $\chi_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) := 0$  for any other  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{P}$ . Thus,

$$\int_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbf{P}} n(\mathbf{x}) \ d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbf{P}} \left( n \ \operatorname{card}(L_{\xi}) + \sum_{\mathbf{y}\in\mathbf{C}_{m(\xi)}} \chi_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \ d\mathbf{x}$$

 $= n \operatorname{vol}_d(\mathbf{P}) \operatorname{card}(L_{\xi}) + m(\xi) \left( \operatorname{vol}_d(\mathbf{P}) - \operatorname{vol}_d(\mathbf{C}_n + 2\mathbf{K}_o) \right).$ 

Hence, there is a point  $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{P}$  with

$$n(\mathbf{p}) \ge m(\xi) \left(1 - \frac{\operatorname{vol}_d(\mathbf{C}_n + 2\mathbf{K}_o)}{\operatorname{vol}_d(\mathbf{P})}\right) + n \operatorname{card}(L_{\xi})$$

and so,

$$\frac{n(\mathbf{p})\operatorname{vol}_d(\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{o}})}{\operatorname{vol}_d(\mathbf{Q}_{\xi+\mu})}$$

$$\geq \frac{m(\xi)\operatorname{vol}_{d}(\mathbf{K}_{o})}{\operatorname{vol}_{d}(\mathbf{Q}_{\xi+\mu})} \left(1 - \frac{\operatorname{vol}_{d}(\mathbf{C}_{n} + 2\mathbf{K}_{o})}{\operatorname{vol}_{d}(\mathbf{P})}\right) + \frac{n\operatorname{vol}_{d}(\mathbf{K}_{o})\operatorname{card}(L_{\xi})}{\operatorname{vol}_{d}(\mathbf{Q}_{\xi+\mu})}.$$
 (8.16)

Thus, (8.16), (8.15), (8.14), and (8.13) imply in a straightforward way that

$$\frac{n(\mathbf{p})\operatorname{vol}_d(\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{o}})}{\operatorname{vol}_d(\mathbf{Q}_{\xi+\mu})}$$

$$> \frac{\operatorname{vol}_{d}(\mathbf{P})\delta(\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{o}})}{\operatorname{vol}_{d}(\mathbf{P}) + \epsilon} \left(1 - \frac{\operatorname{vol}_{d}(\mathbf{C}_{n} + 2\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{o}})}{\operatorname{vol}_{d}(\mathbf{P})}\right) + \frac{n\operatorname{vol}_{d}(\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{o}})}{\operatorname{vol}_{d}(\mathbf{P}) + \epsilon} = \delta(\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{o}}). \quad (8.17)$$

As  $\mathbf{C}_{n(\mathbf{p})} + \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{o}} \subset \mathbf{Q}_{\xi+\mu}$ , therefore (8.17) leads to the existence of a packing by translates of  $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{o}}$  in  $\mathbb{E}^d$  with density strictly larger than  $\delta(\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{o}})$ , a contradiction. This finishes the proof of Theorem 2.4.3.