# **The Choreography of Fertilization**

# Giovanni Coticchio and Fausta Brambillasca

#### **Abstract**

At fertilization, a continuum is established between the final phases of oogenesis and the formation of a new individual. In mammals, the two processes are overlapped. The fertilizing spermatozoon represents the paternal contribution to zygote constitution and at the same time the trigger for the completion of meiosis. Oocytes can mimic fertilization, being able to recapitulate autonomously many of the events of early embryonic development. However, without the sperm contribution development to term cannot occur. The sperm, in fact, carries not only the paternal chromosomes, but also cytoskeletal elements and biochemical cues that are essential to complement and regulate the oocyte cellular legacy. Therefore, oocyte-sperm fusion creates a unique cellular machinery whose regulation in time and space influences the long term destiny of the ensuing embryo.

#### **Keywords**

Oocytes • Activation • Fertilization • Pronuclei • Development

# **Introduction**

 Fertilization is the process by which the male and female gametes recognize each other, interact, and fuse to give rise to a new cell from which a fully formed individual will ultimately develop. It, therefore, represents the bridge between generations, accomplishing the functions of reproduction and transmission of genetic variation.

G. Coticchio, B.Sc., M.Sc., M.Med.Sc., Ph.D. ( $\boxtimes$ ) F. Brambillasca, B.Sc., Ph.D. Biogenesi, Reproductive Medicine Centre, Istituti Clinici Zucchi,

Via Zucchi 24, Monza 20900, Italy e-mail: coticchio.biogenesi@grupposandonato.it

 From a mammalian "oocentric" standpoint, fertilization is also a time of transition, during which oogenesis is finally achieved with the completion of meiosis, while the journey of the newly formed embryo has already started through the fusion of the oocyte with the spermatozoon. The mature oocyte is at the same time the stage and the main actor of the performance of fertilization. It can in fact undergo activation, i.e., recapitulate many of the events of fertilization – including cortical granule release, completion of meiosis, and formation of the female pronucleus – in the absence of paternal contribution. Nevertheless, this choreography would be largely imperfect without the participation of the spermatozoon. This chapter describes the major events occurring

during fertilization in human and other mammalian species. In particular, sperm-oocyte interaction, gamete fusion, activation events, pronuclear formation and development are illustrated with the intent to give emphasis to both physiological and applicative implications  $-$  i.e., in vitro fertilization (IVF) for fertility treatment – of the fertilization process.

### **Initial Contact: Involvement of Cumulus Cells in Fertilization**

 Cumulus cells have an important role in fertilization in vivo. It has been shown that the organization of the oocyte, cumulus cells, and extracellular matrix is essential for successful fertilization in the oviduct  $[1, 2]$ . In particular, infertility of female mice defective for pentraxin synthesis, a structural constituent of the cumulus mass extracellular matrix, is caused by severe cumulus mass abnormality and inability to support oocyte fertilization in vivo, but not in vitro [3]. The cumulus mass functions physically to entrap spermatozoa and guide them to the oocyte  $[4, 5]$ , having a chemotactic effect probably mediated by chemokine signaling  $[6, 7]$ . Once reached the cumulus cell-oocyte complex (COC), spermatozoa search a passage through the extracellular matrix. The disassembly of the extracellular matrix, observed in vitro in the presence of nonphysiological excess of spermatozoa, may be due to sperm motility and sperm hyaluronidase activity  $[8]$  or may be regulated in an autocrine or paracrine manner by cumulus cells. In vivo, only a few spermatozoa may be found around the oocyte at any one time, a condition that probably allows persistence of an intact cumulus mass for a relative long period of time after fertilization.

#### **Sperm–Oocyte Interaction and Fusion**

 Once the spermatozoon reaches the oocyte surface, the two gametes recognize, bind, and fuse with each other. In the 1970s, studies in invertebrate models suggested the concept of the existence of species-specific sperm receptor $(s)$  on the oocyte vestment, the zona pellucida  $(ZP)$  [9]. In mammals, sperm competence to recognize the oocyte is acquired as a consequence of a posttesticular maturation process. This transformation is started in the epididymis where sperm mature, acquiring the ability to swim progressively, advance through the female reproductive tract, undergo capacitation and acrosome reaction, and finally fertilize the oocyte  $[10]$ .

 During capacitation, spermatozoa change their movement to a hyperactivated motility [11, 12]. At the same time, the membrane surface is extensively remodeled. Of particular importance is the activation of the signal transduction pathway of sperm protein kinase A and protein kinase C [13– 15], making spermatozoa competent to bind the ZP and respond to this cell recognition event with the initiation of acrosome reaction. In mouse, the ZP is formed from three glycoproteins, ZP1, ZP2, and  $ZP3$  [16]. Even if the molecular mechanism that directs sperm-ZP binding remains controversial, one of the most accepted hypotheses is that spermatozoa interact in a species-specific manner with O-linked carbohydrate ligands of ZP3 [17], provided that this zona protein is structurally associated with  $ZP2$  [18]. In the last several years, a number of candidate ZP3 receptors have been identified. At present, the prevailing opinion is founded on the idea that a sperm multimeric complex is responsible for the interaction with ZP3 and that the assembly of this complex is mediated by chaperons that are themselves activated during capacitation [19–21].

 Following sperm-ZP binding, the acrosome reaction is induced. The inner acrosomal membrane is exposed, and enzymes, such as a serine protease and acrosin, are secreted permitting the digestion of the ZP  $[22]$ . The hyperactivated sperm cell can then drill through the zona pellucida with sequential local zona digestion and rebinding.

 The next steps are adhesion and fusion of the gametes' plasma membranes. After ZP penetration, the spermatozoon accesses the perivitelline space and begins to fuse with the oocyte surface starting from the equatorial segment, located between the inner acrosomal membrane and the plasma membrane overlying the nucleus in the posterior half of the sperm head  $[23]$ . Microvilli

present on the oocyte surface envelop the sperm head, preceding sperm–oocyte fusion. On the basis that sperm rarely fuse with mouse oocytes in regions of the oolemma lacking microvilli  $[24]$ , many studies have been undertaken to find binding and fusogenic molecules present on oocyte microvilli and the sperm equatorial segment  $[25, 26]$ .

 Cell adhesion molecules have been proposed to be involved in sperm–oocyte adhesion, as they mediate somatic cells adhesion. In particular, a role has been suggested for integrins after the identification of an integrin ligand-like disintegrin domain in fertilin  $\alpha$  (alpha), fertilin  $\beta$  (beta), and cyritestin sperm ligands [27, 28].

Fertilin  $\alpha$  (alpha) (ADAM 1), fertilin  $\beta$ (beta) (ADAM 2), and cyritestin (ADAM 3) are members of the ADAM family (a disintegrin and metalloproteinase domain-containing protein). Fertilin  $\beta$  (beta) was originally identified in the guinea pig as an antigen for an antibody (PH-30) that blocked fertilization [29]. Fertilin  $\alpha$  (alpha) was found to form a heterodimer with fertilin  $\beta$  $[30]$ . In turn, cyritestin was originally identified in mouse  $[31]$  and monkey  $[32]$ . Subsequently, all these molecules have been identified in various other rodents and primates, but functional fertilin  $\alpha$  and cyritestin genes have not been identified in human  $[33]$ . ADAM proteins contain a metalloproteinase domain, a disintegrin-like domain, a cysteine-rich domain, an EGF-like repeat, and a transmembrane segment with a short cytoplasmic tail. Knockout mice for the genes of three ADAM proteins showed some sperm deficiencies in oolemma-binding activity, but not fusion  $[34–37]$ .

 Integrins are a heterodimeric protein family of a combination formed from 18  $\alpha$  and 8  $\beta$  subunits  $[38]$ . They have been recognized as oolemmal receptors for sperm–oocyte interaction and, in particular, as candidates to recognize sperm ADAMs.

 Some integrins are expressed on the surface of mouse oocytes.  $\alpha_6 \beta_1$ -integrin was the first identified candidate for sperm–oocyte binding and fusion  $[39]$ . This integrin is also implicated as a receptor for fertilin  $\beta$  and cyritestin [39–41], although studies on oocytes from  $\alpha_{6}$ -knockout mice show that  $\alpha_{6}$ -expression is not required for fertilization  $[42]$ .

 Another family of proteins, tetraspanins, characterized by four transmembrane regions and two extracellular loops, are involved in cell adhesion and other physiological processes. These proteins associate in the plane of the lipid bilayer of the oolemma with other membrane proteins, including integrins, immunoglobulins, proteoglycans, complement regulatory proteins, and growth factor receptors, forming multimolecular complexes, referred to as tetraspanin web  $[43]$ . In particular in mouse, CD9, a member of this family, is involved in gamete membrane interaction. In mouse, CD9 is localized over the entire oocyte surface, except the area lacking microvilli where sperm rarely fuse with the oocyte  $[44]$ . The same distribution pattern characterizes  $\alpha_{6}$ -integrin. CD9 knockout female mice ovulate normally, and oocytes reach the metaphase II stage but are rarely fertilized. Sperm can bind to oocytes but gametes are generally unable to fuse either in vitro or in vivo  $[43-45]$ . In addition, oocytes from CD9 knockout mice can be fertilized by ICSI and give rise to embryos that develop to term, while CD9 knockout females infrequently conceive spontaneously  $[45]$ . These observations suggest that CD9 may act in a cooperative fashion with other molecules to facilitate sperm–oocyte fusion. ZP-free oocytes treated with anti-CD9 antibodies showed reduced levels of binding of sperm ligands fertilin  $\alpha$ , fertilin  $\beta$ , and cyritestin  $[40, 41, 46, 47]$ . These and other data of binding assays suggest a role for CD9 in strengthening the adhesion mediated by ADAMs.

 Members of the CRISP family (cysteine-rich secretory protein) are localized on sperm and are thought to be involved in fusion. CRISP1/DE was first identified in rats. It is synthesized by the epididymal epithelium and assembled on rat sperm during epididymal transit. In capacitated rat sperm, CRISP1/DE protein is localized in the equatorial segment  $[48]$  and is implicated in gamete membrane interaction. Purified CRISP1/DE protein binds to the entire oocyte surface, except the area lacking microvilli  $[49]$ . In addition, anti-CRISP1/DE antibodies prevent sperm fusion with zona-free oocytes  $[50]$ .

 In conclusion, several studies have been carried out to identify molecules involved in sperm– oocyte interaction. Collectively, they suggest the presence of multimeric complexes necessary for interaction on both sperm and oocyte membranes. CD9 appears to be a key component of these complexes in the oocyte. In the case of sperm, multimeric complexes seem to be particularly important, as indicated by the phenotype of fertilin  $\beta$  and cyritestin knockout mice. Furthermore, the fact that antibodies against such molecules cause a reduction in gamete adhesion/fusion is supportive of these hypotheses.

#### **Block to Polyspermic Fertilization**

 Mammalian oocytes display different strategies to prevent polyspermic fertilization (polyspermy) and avoid the formation of nonviable polyploid embryos.

 These blocks occur at the level of the plasma membrane and the zona pellucida. In nonmammals (such as sea urchins and frogs), a rapid (30–60 s) and transient depolarization of the plasma membrane potential is responsible for the membrane block (referred to as "fast block")  $[51]$ . On the contrary, in some mammalian species, the membrane block is established approximately 1–2 h after insemination [52–55] and is not generated by a change in oolemma electrical polarization  $[51]$ . Rather, inhibition of sperm–oocyte fusion may be dependent on a reduction in sperm adhesion to the oocyte plasma membrane or a detachment after brief or weak adhesion [53, 56–[58](#page-15-0)]. There is evidence that changes in the organization of membrane lipids occur after fertilization  $[59]$  and also that fluidity of membrane proteins changes  $[60]$ , so that molecular differences are present between fertilized and unfertilized oocytes. The use of an intracellular  $Ca^{2+}$  chelator has shown that if postpenetration  $Ca^{2+}$  signaling (see below) is gradually attenuated, oocytes are increasingly fertilized by more sperm  $[61]$ , suggesting that the oolemma does not become receptive to sperm according to an all-or-none reaction, but rather that the membrane block is a graded response.

 The main system assuring prevention of polyspermy in mammals involves the exocytosis

of cortical granules (CGs) from the cortex of the oocyte. CGs fuse with the overlying oolemma and release their contents of enzymes into the perivitelline space (an event referred to as cortical reaction). During the cortical reaction, glycoprotein ZP2 is cleaved by a protease, while  $\beta$ -hexosaminidase B digests the oligosaccharide receptor on glycoprotein ZP3, so that sperm cannot bind to the zona for the absence of a receptor  $[61]$ . Furthermore, ZP tyrosine residues are crosslinked preventing proteolytic cleavage. In such a way, sperm penetration is hampered.

### **The Key Role of Calcium Oscillations in Fertilization**

At the intracellular level,  $Ca^{2+}$  ions mediate fundamental processes in various cell types. Reports in the sea urchin *Arbacia lixula* consistent with an involvement of  $Ca^{2+}$  in fertilization date back as early as the  $1930s$   $[62]$ , but the concept that this element was a universal signal for triggering oocyte activation emerged decisively only in the 1970s  $[63]$ . Initially in fish  $[64]$  and sea urchin [ $65$ ], a release of Ca<sup>2+</sup> able to promote activation after sperm-egg fusion was observed as a single and transient increase in cytosolic-free ions, crossing the ooplasm and lasting for several minutes. In mammals,  $Ca^{2+}$  changes at fertilization unfold in a different fashion, taking the form of a series of low-frequency, high-amplitude oscillations lasting for hours after sperm penetration [66]. Understanding how and why these oscillations are generated is crucial to appreciate the contribution of the spermatozoon to fertilization and pre- and postimplantation development.

Several lines of evidence suggest that  $Ca^{2+}$ oscillations are generated by release of stores of this ion stockpiled in the smooth endoplasmic reticulum. In particular, at the membrane level of this organelle, the inositol trisphosphate (InsP3) receptor appears to be centrally involved in such a mechanism, by binding its ligand and acting as a release channel for  $Ca^{2+}$  [67]. In fact, blockage of the binding ability of this receptor with a specific antibody  $[68]$  or downregulation of its expression  $[69]$  prevents the generation of Ca<sup>2+</sup> oscillations in

hamster and mouse oocytes, respectively, exposed to sperm. The same effect can be obtained in mouse by inhibiting the receptor expression through injection of siRNA  $[70]$ . The critical involvement of the InsP3 receptor implies an increased phosphoinositide metabolism and InsP3 generation. This has been shown to occur in sea urchin  $[71]$  and frog eggs  $[72]$ . In mammals, technical constraints have prevented direct measurement of InsP3, but the fact that fertilization causes downregulation of the InsP3 receptor is consistent with a mechanism in which sperm penetration generates a rise in InsP3 [69]. Although several hypotheses have been formulated on how a  $Ca<sup>2+</sup>$ response is orchestrated by the oocyte at fertilization, it seems now clear that after gamete fusion the initial trigger for  $Ca<sup>2+</sup>$  oscillations is provided by a factor delivered and released by the spermatozoon. The original clue was the finding that the injection of soluble sperm extracts was able to induce  $Ca^{2+}$  oscillations in hamster oocytes [73]. Moreover, a factor derived from mouse sperm was shown to cause oscillations in free  $Ca^{2+}$  by inducing the InsP3 pathway  $[74]$ . The nature of this factor(s) remained elusive for years, but it is currently accepted that it corresponds to a novel form of phospholipase C, described as PLC $\zeta$ , described for the first time less than a decade ago [75]. Sperm extracts immunodepleted of this activity are unable to induce  $Ca^{2+}$  oscillations in oocytes [76]. A physiological role for  $PLC\zeta$  (zeta) is suggested also by the fact that the amount required to stimulate  $Ca^{2+}$ oscillations in microinjected mouse oocytes is comparable to the quantity presumably contained in a single sperm [77].

The discovery of PLC $\zeta$  (zeta) has been a milestone in the understanding of the involvement of  $Ca<sup>2+</sup>$  in fertilization, but other aspects remain only partially explained. The question of how  $PLC\zeta$ can generate an oscillatory phenomenon is critical in this respect. Repetitive release of  $Ca^{2+}$  from the smooth endoplasmic reticulum might be associated to the activity of the InsP3 receptor. It has been proposed that, in the course of a single oscillatory event occurring in mammalian oocytes, the  $Ca<sup>2+</sup>$  releasing activity of the receptor is initially stimulated by a stable increase in InsP3 and inhibited in a successive phase by a negative feedback

mechanism elicited by the same  $Ca<sup>2+</sup>$ . This model can explain why a single injection of InsP3 can induce  $Ca^{2+}$  oscillations in unfertilized mouse oocytes [78]. However, a particular characteristic of PLC $\zeta$  suggests that, during fertilization, Ca<sup>2+</sup> dynamics may also depend on another mechanism. Contrary to other PLCs,  $PLC\zeta$  is in fact promoted by very low concentrations of  $Ca^{2+}$  [79]. After gamete fusion, resting concentrations of  $Ca<sup>2+</sup>$  in oocytes are probably sufficient to stimulate an increase in PLC $\zeta$  activity and, as a consequence, cause a rise in InsP3 concentration. The following release of  $Ca^{2+}$  is then anticipated to enhance further this mechanism. These two models based on InsP3 and PLC $\zeta$  are not mutually exclusive and together could explain the observed oscillatory changes in  $Ca<sup>2+</sup>$ . In fact, the positive feedback mechanism based on the sensitivity of PLC $\zeta$  to Ca<sup>2+</sup> could account for the initial Ca<sup>2+</sup> rise, while the negative feedback action of  $Ca^{2+}$  on the InsP3 receptor could be responsible for resetting the ion concentration to resting levels.

 The diverse events that concur to the fertilization process are triggered by different intensities of the oscillatory stimulus. For example, a single transient may be sufficient to induce meiotic resumption, while repeated oscillations are required to cause a decrease in kinase activities that regulate the cell cycle  $[80, 81]$ . This implies that, irrespective of the apparatus that governs  $Ca^{2+}$  dynamics, a downstream biochemical interface is needed for translating the digital language of  $Ca<sup>2+</sup>$  oscillation patterns in precise instructions, expressed in an analogue form, to the terminal effectors. Although calmodulin-dependent kinase II (CaMKII) and protein kinase C (PKC) have been proposed as possible decoders  $[82, 83]$ , the way by which  $Ca<sup>2+</sup>$  oscillations are finely interpreted by the fertilization machinery remains unexplained. It is interesting to note that in mouse oocytes, while a single release or short sequences of  $Ca<sup>2+</sup>$  oscillation are insufficient to trigger full activation, more prolonged series of  $Ca<sup>2+</sup>$  transients are much more efficient in eliciting responses associated to fertilization [84]. The question then arises on the significance of mechanisms that generate and decrypt  $Ca^{2+}$  oscillations in mammals, unlike other organisms. Studies in rodent parthenogenetic embryos and fetuses offer a possible answer to this matter. In mouse blastocysts developed from activated eggs, the relative abundance of cells of the inner cell mass and trophectoderm appears to be influenced by the duration of exposure to  $Sr^{2+}$ , an agent which causes  $Ca^{2+}$  oscillations [85]. Furthermore, the pattern of  $Ca^{2+}$  oscillations by which activation is experimentally obtained in rabbit oocytes has been shown to influence the growth and morphology of the ensuing parthenogenetic fetuses [86, [87](#page-16-0)]. Therefore, although the most obvious reason for the occurrence of  $Ca^{2+}$  oscillations at fertilization lies in the necessity to coordinate the activation events, the dynamics of  $Ca^{2+}$  may play a role well beyond fertilization, extending over much later stages of development.

### **Metaphase II Arrest and Meiotic Resumption**

 Achievement of meiotic maturation requires a modified cell cycle mechanism, by which two consecutive chromosome segregation events occur without an intervening phase of DNA replication. Although detailed description of regulation of meiosis is given elsewhere in this book (see Chap.  [13\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-0-85729-826-3_13), the present paragraph offers some basic elements on the same subject in the context of the fertilization process.

 In mammals, meiosis is initiated already in the fetal life but is arrested at the prophase stage shortly afterward. The process is reinitiated only at the time of ovulation, with the consequence that human oocytes can remain in meiotic prophase arrest for up to four decades. Luteinizing hormone (LH) surge at ovulation produces a signaling cascade that ultimately promotes meiotic resumption and progression to metaphase II. This effect is mediated by a characteristic dynamics of a key cell cycle regulator, the kinase activity M-phase-promoting factor (MPF), whose constituents are Cdc2 (referred to also as cyclindependent protein kinase 1/CDK1) and cyclin B1. At the GV stage, MPF activity is low. Its increase prompted by the ovulatory stimulus causes meiotic resumption (signified by GV breakdown) and entry into meiosis I (MI). At the transition between MI and meiosis II (MII),

cyclin B1 undergoes only limited degradation, which coincides with a partial and brief reduction in MPF activity. This determines that entry into interphase and chromosome duplication are prevented, so that haploid oocytes can be ultimately generated. Exit from MI and extrusion of the first polar body is therefore followed by direct entry into metaphase II, after further synthesis of cyclin B1 and reestablishment of high MPF activity. At the metaphase II stage, the oocyte can pause for several hours waiting for the sperm  $[88, 89]$ . Metaphase II arrest is made possible by maintenance of high MPF levels. MPF activity tends to decay rapidly, a reason why in somatic cell it can be detected only transiently  $(30-40 \text{ min})$  during the M phase  $[90]$ . In fact, a soon as metaphase II is attained, cyclin B1 is usually subjected to ubiquitination by the anaphase-promoting complex/cyclosome (APC/C) and proteasome degradation. Instead, in oocytes that have extruded the first polar body, MPF is stabilized for a much longer period of time to prevent exit from metaphase II. The nature of the regulatory element responsible for MPF stabilization, referred to as cytostatic factor (CSF), has remained elusive for decades, but evidence gained in the last few years has shed new light on its molecular identity. Originally in the early 1970s  $[91]$ , CSF was functionally described as an activity meeting some key criteria including (a) appearance and steady increase peaking at metaphase II during maturation, (b) ability to induce M-phase arrest in blastomeres upon injection, and (c) inactivation following augmented levels of intracellular  $Ca^{2+}$  that occur at fertilization. Studies conducted mainly in *Xenopus* over the subsequent 20 years established that CSF could coincide biochemically with a pathway including Mos, MAPK/extracellular signal-regulated kinase (MEK), mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), and ribosomal S6 kinase (Rsk) [88]. However, how the Mos pathway could ultimately inhibit the activity of APC/C and thereby prevent ubiquitination and degradation of cyclin B1 was unclear for another decade. More recent evidence has suggested that endogenous meiotic inhibitor 2 (Emi2) is the missing link between the Mos-MAPK pathway and APC/C  $[92-94]$ .

Emi2 is targeted by both Cdc2-cyclin B1 and the Mos-MAPK pathway. Emi2 phosphorylation by Cdc2-cyclin B causes the dissociation of the Emi2-APC/C complex  $[95]$ . The free form of APC/C is active and could induce exit from metaphase II. This effect is antagonized by the Mos-MAPK pathway, which promotes Emi2 dephosphorylation, reconstitution of the Emi2- APC/C complex, and APC/C inhibition  $[96]$ . In this regulatory network, it is important to note how a rise in intracellular  $Ca^{2+}$  can influence Emi2 activity and, by this means, promote meiotic resumption. In fact, upon fertilization, activation of CamKII by  $Ca^{2+}$  transients causes a regulatory cascade involving a series of Emi2 modifications including phosphorylation, formation of a complex with Plx-1, ubiquitination, and ultimately degradation  $[97]$ . In this manner, APC/C can be converted in the active form and drive exit from metaphase II. Future studies will clarify whether this model is also applicable to mammalian species.

### **Centrosome Origin and Formation in the Fertilized Egg**

 Following completion of oocyte meiosis with the extrusion of the second polar body (PBII), the haploid maternal and paternal chromosome complements become organized in their respective pronuclear structures. At the beginning of their life cycles, pronuclei are usually distant from each other. Therefore, on a cell scale, they need to sustain a potentially long journey before they can make contact in the innermost part of the oocyte and share their chromosomal contents upon breakdown of pronuclear envelopes. This translocation is carried out and directed by an aster of microtubules originating from a single centrosome that acts as an organizing center for microtubules.

 Differences exist among mammals, but generally the centrosome of the fertilized egg includes a centriole of paternal origin and pericentriolar material (PCM) of maternal derivation  $[98]$ . Exception to this rule is the mouse in which the spermatozoon does not contribute a centriole and the centrosome is entirely maternally derived [99]. The dual origin of the centrosome observed in the fertilized egg of most species reflects the history of this organelle in oocytes and spermatozoa during gametogenesis. Early during oogenesis, the two centrioles are lost while centrosomal proteins are retained  $[100]$ . Acentriolar centrosomes are characteristically found at the poles of the metaphase I (MI) and metaphase II (MII) spindles during oocyte meiosis  $[101, 102]$ . These centrosomes include proteins, e.g.,  $\gamma$ -tubulin and nuclear mitotic apparatus (NuMA) protein, found in the more canonical centriolar centrosomes of the mitotic apparatus. Centrosomal proteins are also dispersed in the ooplasm. They are not easily detectable, but may be observed as aggregates of increasingly larger size in response to pH and intracellular  $Ca^{2+}$  changes or stimuli that cause oocyte activation  $[103]$ . A different picture characterizes mature sperm, in which most pericentriolar material has been shed while the two centrioles, termed proximal and distal, have been retained [104]. The proximal centriole, adjacent to the basal plate of the sperm head, is distinguished by nine triplets of microtubules  $[105]$ and some pericentriolar proteins, including  $\gamma$ -tubulin  $[106]$ . This is the sperm centriole that will exclusively contribute to the constitution of the centrosome of the fertilized egg, upon fusion of the two gametes  $[98]$ . The distal centriole, positioned perpendicularly to the proximal centriole and coaxially to the sperm flagellum, is regarded as a degenerated structure because it does not show an intact nine-triplet organization, typical of normal centrioles  $[105]$ . It also includes appendages, whose function is still little understood, that are normally found connected to the mother centriole of mitotic centrosomes [107].

 Once the fertilizing spermatozoon has been incorporated into the oocyte, maternal and paternal constituents cooperate for the assembly of the zygotic centrosome. Complementary contribution from both parents after selective reduction/ modification of centrosomal components during gametogenesis probably responds to the need to avoid the presence of two complete centrosomes at the time of gamete fusion, an event that would lead to tetrapolar spindles during the first mitosis

and disruption of chromosome segregation in the two daughter blastomeres. Following gamete fusion, an early step in centrosome formation is the proteasome-mediated detachment of the sperm proximal centriole from the distal centriole [108]. Proteasome activity at the centrosomal level appears critical because its inhibition has been shown to be associated with abnormal aster formation. Once released, the paternal proximal centriole represents the nucleating force of the rising centrosome. Although some  $\gamma$ -tubulin of paternal origin is associated to the sperm centriole, in the fully formed centrosome, most of this protein is recruited from a diffused ooplasmic reservoir [ $109$ ]. The amount of maternal  $\gamma$ -tubulin that progressively organizes around the paternal centriole is crucial for centrosomal function, because it determines the size and function of the microtubular aster that drives pronuclear relocation [101, 110. The aster is formed from microtubuli anchored with their minus ends to the centrosome, while their plus ends progressively grow and radiate to distal ooplasmic locations to make contact with pronuclei. In this fashion, the cytoskeletal network that allows the essential process of pronuclear repositioning is generated. However, centrosomal and aster function embraces other aspects of fertilization. The nucleating capacity of the centrosome and the plasticity of the aster, together with associated motor proteins, represent a logistic infrastructure by which organelles (e.g., mitochondria) and macromolecules are displaced, reassorted, and regulated. An example of that is the centrosomal protein PMC1 and associated factors, such as centrin and pericentrin, which are recruited from distal locations, conveyed along microtubules through a dyneinmediated mechanism, and concentrated in the pericentriolar compartment where they participate in the recruitment of  $\gamma$ -tubulin [111].

# **Pronuclear Formation, Migration, and Breakdown**

 Pronuclear formation and development is the crucial process during fertilization by which the parental chromosome complements merge and give rise to the zygotic genome. Pronuclei are

formed shortly after gamete fusion. They grow, migrate, and juxtapose over several hours and undergo breakdown immediately before the first cell cleavage. The entire process has been observed in detail in human, initially by conventional microscopy  $[112]$  and more recently by time-lapse microscopy  $[113]$ . Perturbations in time or space of the unfolding of these highly regulated steps are often diagnostic of developmental failure [114].

 Before pronuclear formation, the maternal and paternal chromosome sets follow different paths. In the mature oocyte, the meiotic process is arrested at the metaphase stage of the second division. Activation induced by the spermatozoon prompts resumption of meiosis, separation of bivalents, and elimination of one array of chromatids through the extrusion of the PBII. This sets the stage for the formation of the female pronucleus. Chromatids, while still localized near the point of emission of the PBII, establish contact individually or in groups with membranes probably derived from the Golgi apparatus and become progressively incorporated into vesicles. Immediately after their assembly, these vesicles coalesce to form the female pronucleus. The preliminaries of male pronuclear formation are different. The DNA of the fertilizing sperm is in fact associated with protamines, proteins rich in lysine and arginine required for tight chromatin packaging in the sperm head. Protamines must be removed and replaced by histones before the male pronucleus may be formed  $[115]$ . Studies performed with leftover material derived from IVF treatments have described in detail the dynamics of male pronuclear formation in human [112]. Immediately after incorporation into the oocyte cytoplasm, the sperm head undergoes a phase of decondensation occurring progressively according to an anterior-posterior axis and signaled by decrease in opacity and loss of definition of its outline. These two changes in the sperm head are followed by swelling of the chromatin mass whose projected area increases sevenfold in about 60 min. During these steps, it is presumed that disulphide bonds linking sperm proteins are reduced, protamines are shed, and chromatin is decondensed in a more relaxed conformation. After ward, the mass of the decondensed chromatin

regresses to form a rounded shape, decreasing to a size that however remains larger than one of the original sperm head. This phase of re-condensation is caused by packaging of the sperm DNA with maternal histones and occurs in a time frame of 40–60 min. The re-condensed sperm head then undergoes a second wave of expansion accompanied by appearance of a distinct outline. In the following 30–60 min, numerous small masses of sperm chromatin appear as nucleolar precursors. In such a way, the male pronucleus is formed.

 Studies in the rhesus monkey suggest that the application of intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) to achieve fertilization may affect the process of sperm head decondensation  $[116, 117]$  $[116, 117]$  $[116, 117]$ . In fact, when a spermatozoon is microinjected into an oocyte, in some cases the condensation of the apical (acrosomal) part of the sperm head is delayed, perhaps as an effect of partial persistence of the acrosomal cap, which vice versa is completely shed during standard IVF before the spermatozoon penetrates through the zona pellucida. A delay in the disassembly of the acrosomal cap and the underlying perinuclear theca might have significant implications for the remodeling of paternal chromatin. In particular, it might cause a lag in processing of apical chromatin during pronuclear formation, an area where the X chromosome chromatin is preferentially located [118, [119](#page-17-0). Together, these factors might interact and ultimately play a role in the generation of a small increase in sex chromosome aneuploidies detected in children born from ICSI treatments  $[120]$ . In microinjected rhesus oocytes, in which delayed chromatin decondensation is observed, formation of the zygotic centrosome and the microtubular aster is unaffected either structurally or temporally  $[116]$ , a sign that during pronuclear formation the male chromatin does not regulate the egg cytoskeleton. This is consistent with the observation that aster formation is noticed also in oocytes in which the sperm head fails to decondense completely [121].

 In human, in general male and female pronuclei are formed almost synchronously. In standard IVF, early observation of pronuclear formation is prevented by presence of cumulus cells surrounding the egg, but after ICSI – case in which cumulus cells are removed – pronuclei become visible as early as 3 h postinsemination (p.i.). More commonly, they may be first observed between 4 and 7 h p.i., while formation delayed to beyond 10 h p.i. is highly likely to be associated with developmental failure. Timelapse microscopy has had a fundamental role in determining pronuclear dynamics [113]. Usually, the two pronuclei are formed at different locations in the fertilized egg. The female pronucleus emerges invariably next to the site where the PBII is extruded, while formation of the male pronucleus can occur anywhere, depending on the site where gamete fusion has occurred or the spermatozoon has been deposited after microinjection. In mouse, sperm penetration is inhibited in the area surrounding the point of emission of the PBII. Therefore, the male pronucleus is always formed at a significant distance from the female pronucleus. In human, pronuclear formation is accompanied by a still poorly understood cytoplasmic phenomenon described as circular waves of subcortical contractions, occurring 2–10 times with a periodicity of  $20-50$  min  $[113]$ . Another cytoplasmic event, referred to as cytoplasmic flare and concomitant with pronuclear formation, is the propagation across the cell of a single wave of contraction  $[113]$ . Considering that this wave usually originates from the site of appearance of the male pronucleus, it is tempting to speculate that it represents a manifestation of the radiation of the sperm aster. Once pronuclei are formed, they are displaced by cytoskeletal forces to establish mutual contact (Fig.  $20.1$ ). In particular, the female pronucleus is drawn toward the male counterpart. This phase, occurring in most cases between 6 and 9 h p.i., is crucial. In fact, fertilized eggs that fail to assist pronuclear juxtaposition (Fig.  $20.2$ ) are destined to develop into embryos affected by massive blastomere fragmentation and early developmental arrest [122, 123]. Pronuclei may juxtapose while they are still positioned eccentrically and only subsequently be transported to a central or paracentral position. Alternatively, they are moved independently, from separate peripheral positions to the center, where they finally make contact. Peripheral displacement of pronuclei after their initial central localization is also predictive of developmental failure (Fig. [20.3](#page-11-0)). During the

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

 **Fig. 20.1** Human fertilized egg showing juxtaposed pronuclei in which chromatin is organized in four to seven nucleoli aligned along the pronuclear contact area (a).

This organization, which is normally maintained during the later stage during fertilization  $(b)$ , is suggestive of higher implantation ability of the ensuing embryo (c)

phases of transportation and juxtaposition, pronuclei increase in size. The diameter of the male pronucleus changes from approximately  $16 \mu$  to over 24  $\mu$ (mu)m, while the female pronucleus reaches a size of about  $22 \mu m$  at the end of the growth phase.

 Chromatin also rearranges during pronuclear development. In both pronuclei, initially it becomes visible as small masses that subsequently aggregate in nucleoli. Nucleoli are continuously

motile while undergoing partial coalescence and alignment along the edge of the nuclear envelope where pronuclei juxtapose. It has been suggested that chromatin organization at such an early stage of development may be predictive of embryo viability. In particular, at the time of fertilization check during a human IVF procedure (16–18 h p.i.), it appears that embryos with higher chances of establishing a pregnancy are characterized by nucleolar alignment along the juxtaposition area

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

 **Fig. 20.2** Human fertilized egg in which the process of pronuclear juxtaposition failed. Pronuclei initially juxtaposed (a), but separated afterward (b). The first

cleavage generated two blastomeres of different sizes ( **c** ), a phenomenon associated with poor developmental competence

of the two pronuclei and a number of nucleoli comprised between four and seven in both pronuclei (Fig.  $20.1$ ) [114]. Several studies seem to confirm the developmental significance of nucleolar organization  $[122, 124]$ , although the underlying biology remains elusive.

 Pronuclear and nucleolar development are extensive and active processes requiring extraordinary mechanical and energetic support from the egg. This justifies the massive organelle rearrangement that accompanies pronuclear migration from the periphery to the center. In particular, the entire population of mitochondria is reasserted, driven by movements that are believed to be under the control of dynein molecules shifting on tracks of microtubules  $[125]$ . These organelles, which provide the major ATP energy source for mechanical and biochemical processes, may be found aggregated in clusters of various sizes throughout the cytoplasm in mature unfertilized

<span id="page-11-0"></span>

Fig. 20.3 Human fertilized egg. Pronuclei were initially localized in a central position (a), but were subsequently displaced to the cortex (**b**), The resulting two-cell embryo was affected by very severe fragmentation (**c**)

oocytes of the rhesus monkey  $[126]$ . With the onset of fertilization, they are progressively relocated toward more central positions forming two distinct masses of accumulation along either side of the surface of pronuclear juxtaposition. This arrangement seems to have a developmental significance because in its absence extensive blastomere fragmentation has been observed after the 8-cell stage of the ensuing embryo. Mitochondrial accumulation around the pronuclei has been observed also in the human fertilized egg  $[127]$ . Other organelles, such as tubuli

and cisternae of the endoplasmic reticulum, are rearranged in a similar fashion, with the effect that starting from 8 to 10 h p.i., the cortical domain, left relatively deprived of subcellular structures, appears as a thinner cytoplasmic halo under conventional microscopic observation [124]. Likewise pronuclear position and nucleolar arrangement, formation of a cytoplasmic halo has been proposed to be predictive of higher implantation potential. As fertilization progresses, the egg also undergoes a significant overall contraction corresponding to a decrease

in diameter of  $5-10 \mu m$  [113]. This massive phenomenon is not well understood but might be generated by the same mechanisms that control centripetal organelle displacement.

 Pronuclear juxtaposition/relocation in central position, formation of the cortical halo, and contraction of the cortex are followed by an apparently uneventful phase lasting several hours in which movement of nucleoli is the only obvious manifestation of cellular activity under light microscopy observation. In reality, as early as 8–10 h p.i., chromosomal DNA starts to duplicate. The S phase of the fertilized eggs extends over 4–7 h and is usually completed by 14–17 h p.i.  $[128]$ . At the end of this phase, the  $2n/4C$ DNA content is established in preparation for the first cleavage. The timing of DNA synthesis has practical implications with respect to the practice of zygote cryopreservation in human IVF. Irrespective of whether controlled rate freezing of vitrification is applied, during cryopreservation the fertilized egg is subjected to massive physical and biochemical stress that could affect DNA integrity in a phase of synthesis in which this macromolecule is particularly vulnerable. Therefore, cryopreservation should be postponed at around 20 h p.i., at a time when DNA duplication has been completed.

 Once DNA duplication has been achieved, the fertilized egg is equipped to deliver two equivalent sets of chromosomes to each of the blastomere of the 2-cell embryo. This requires simultaneous breakdown of pronuclei in order for chromosomes to be released in the cytoplasmic compartment and pooled together in a single set. Pronuclear breakdown usually occurs at around 23–25 h p.i., but its time range is included between 19 and 35 h p.i.  $[129]$ . Two to three hours before the breakdown of pronuclei, the cortical cytoplasm undergoes a short event of contraction that accompanies the disappearance of the cortical halo. This might signify a redistribution of mitochondria, endoplasmic reticulum, and other organelles. Once in direct contact with the cytoplasmic environment, chromosomes are progressively recruited onto the mitotic spindle that meanwhile is assembled in a central position.

Fertilization is concluded by the first mitotic division. Geometrically directed by the central position of the mitotic spindle, the cleavage furrow divides the zygote cytoplasm into two blastomeres of equal size. Observations in human suggest that timing, geometry, and regularity of the first cleavage are indicative of the destiny of the ensuing embryo. In human IVF, early timing of the first cleavage appears to be positively associated with implantation ability. In 1997 for the first time, in a retrospective study, a higher pregnancy rate was reported in transfers whose embryos underwent the first cleavage by 25 h postinsemination  $[130]$ . The existence of a positive association between early cleavage and implantation ability was subsequently confirmed by a number of studies  $[131-133]$ . The recent introduction in routine clinical embryology of time-lapse microscopy (TLM) has opened entirely new opportunities to interpret the significance of embryo developmental morphokinetics, allowing monitoring at short intervals (2–20 min) rather than very few observations at fixed time points. It has been reported that, together with other parameters, the duration of the first cytokinesis is predictive of embryo developmental ability [134]. In particular, embryos that develop to blastocyst perform the cleavage process within a precise time interval  $(14.3 \pm 6.0 \text{ min})$ . In addition, embryos able to reach the blastocyst stage start and finish the first cytokinesis in a smooth, controlled fashion. On the contrary, in embryos destined to cleavage arrest, the first cytokinesis occurs over a long period of time, and the cleavage furrow is accompanied by extensive membrane instability. Meseguer et al. [129] have also adopted TLM to predict embryo implantation ability, concluding that in embryos with higher implantation ability, the first cleavage occurs between 24.3 and 27.9 h p.i. and daughter blastomeres are comparable in size. Therefore, it appears that the first cleavage, i.e., the final act of fertilization, is already to some extent informative of embryo developmental ability.

#### <span id="page-13-0"></span> **Conclusions**

Fertilization joins the final phases of the oocyte life with the first steps of the journey of a newly formed individual. The oocyte is therefore central to the process of reproduction. However, the role of the male gamete is crucial, not only to restore biparental diploidy. In fact, the paternal-derived  $PLC\zeta$  and centriole provide biochemical and cytoskeletal cues that orchestrate distinct fertilization events, such as meiotic resumption and pronuclear formation and migration. In such a way, the legacies of the female and male gametes lay the foundations for successful development.

### **References**

- 1. Van Soom A, Tanghe S, De Pauw I, Maes D, de Kruif A. Function of the cumulus oophorus before and during mammalian fertilization. Reprod Domest Anim. 2002;37(3):144–51.
- 2. Tanghe S, Van Soom A, Nauwynck H, Coryn M, de Kruif A. Minireview: functions of the cumulus oophorus during oocyte maturation, ovulation, and fertilization. Mol Reprod Dev. 2002;61(3):414–24.
- 3. Salustri A, Garlanda C, Hirsch E, De Acetis M, Maccagno A, Bottazzi B, et al. PTX3 plays a key role in the organization of the cumulus oophorus extracellular matrix and in in vivo fertilization. Development. 2004;131(7):1577–86.
- 4. Austin CR. Syngamy in a mammalian egg study by phasecontrast microscopy. Med Biol Illus. 1960;10:63.
- 5. Hunter RH. Sperm:egg ratios and putative molecular signals to modulate gamete interactions in polytocous mammals. Mol Reprod Dev. 1993;35(3):324–7.
- 6. Tamba S, Yodoi R, Segi-Nishida E, Ichikawa A, Narumiya S, Sugimoto Y. Timely interaction between prostaglandin and chemokine signaling is a prerequisite for successful fertilization. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2008;105(38):14539–44.
- 7. Ito M, Smith TT, Yanagimachi R. Effect of ovulation on sperm transport in the hamster oviduct. J Reprod Fertil. 1991;93(1):157–63.
- 8. Primakoff P, Myles DG. Penetration, adhesion, and fusion in mammalian sperm-egg interaction. Science. 2002;296(5576):2183–5.
- 9. Glabe CG, Vacquier VD. Species specific agglutination of eggs by bindin isolated from sea urchin sperm. Nature. 1977;267(5614):836–8.
- 10. Marengo SR. Maturing the sperm: unique mechanisms for modifying integral proteins in the sperm plasma membrane. Anim Reprod Sci. 2008;105(1–2): 52–63.
- 11. Visconti PE, Moore GD, Bailey JL, Leclerc P, Connors SA, Pan D, et al. Capacitation of mouse spermatozoa. II. Protein tyrosine phosphorylation and capacitation are regulated by a cAMP-dependent pathway. Development. 1995;121(4):1139–50.
- 12. Visconti PE, Bailey JL, Moore GD, Pan D, Olds-Clarke P, Kopf GS. Capacitation of mouse spermatozoa. I. Correlation between the capacitation state and protein tyrosine phosphorylation. Development. 1995;121(4):1129–37.
- 13. Liu DY, Liu ML, Baker HWG. Enhancement of sperm-zona pellucida (ZP) binding capacity by activation of protein kinase A and C pathways in certain infertile men with defective sperm-ZP binding. Hum Reprod. 2009;24(1):20–7.
- 14. Thaler CD, Cardullo RA. The initial molecular interaction between mouse sperm and the zona pellucida is a complex binding event. J Biol Chem. 1996;271(38): 23289–97.
- 15. Cohen G, Rubinstein S, Gur Y, Breitbart H. Crosstalk between protein kinase A and C regulates phospholipase D and F-actin formation during sperm capacitation. Dev Biol. 2004;267(1):230–41.
- 16. Wassarman PM. Zona pellucida glycoproteins. J Biol Chem. 2008;283(36):24285–9.
- 17. Wassarman PM, Jovine L, Litscher ES. Mouse zona pellucida genes and glycoproteins. Cytogenet Genome Res. 2004;105(2–4):228–34.
- 18. Bleil JD, Greve JM, Wassarman PM. Identification of a secondary sperm receptor in the mouse egg zona pellucida: role in maintenance of binding of acrosomereacted sperm to eggs. Dev Biol. 1988;128(2): 376–85.
- 19. Nixon B, Asquith KL, John Aitken R. The role of molecular chaperones in mouse sperm-egg interactions. Mol Cell Endocrinol. 2005;240(1–2):1–10.
- 20. Dun MD, Smith ND, Baker MA, Lin M, Aitken RJ, Nixon B. The chaperonin containing TCP1 complex (CCT/TRiC) is involved in mediating sperm-oocyte interaction. J Biol Chem. 2011;286(42):36875–87.
- 21. Nixon B, Aitken RJ, McLaughlin EA. New insights into the molecular mechanisms of sperm-egg interaction. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2007;64(14):1805–23.
- 22. Abou-Haila A, Tulsiani DR. Mammalian sperm acrosome: formation, contents, and function. Arch Biochem Biophys. 2000;379(2):173–82.
- 23. Bedford JM, Moore HD, Franklin LE. Significance of the equatorial segment of the acrosome of the spermatozoon in eutherian mammals. Exp Cell Res. 1979;119(1):119–26.
- 24. Talbot P, Chacon RS. Ultrastructural observations on binding and membrane fusion between human sperm and zona pellucida-free hamster oocytes. Fertil Steril. 1982;37(2):240–8.
- 25. Cuasnicú PS, Ellerman DA, Cohen DJ, Busso D, Morgenfeld MM, Da Ros VG. Molecular mechanisms involved in mammalian gamete fusion. Arch Med Res. 2001;32(6):614–8.
- 26. Evans JP. The molecular basis of sperm-oocyte membrane interactions during mammalian fertilization. Hum Reprod Update. 2002;8(4):297–311.
- <span id="page-14-0"></span> 27. Lum L, Blobel CP. Evidence for distinct serine protease activities with a potential role in processing the sperm protein fertilin. Dev Biol. 1997;191(1): 131–45.
- 28. Waters SI, White JM. Biochemical and molecular characterization of bovine fertilin alpha and beta (ADAM 1 and ADAM 2): a candidate sperm-egg binding/fusion complex. Biol Reprod. 1997;56(5):1245–54.
- 29. Primakoff P, Hyatt H, Tredick-Kline J. Identification and purification of a sperm surface protein with a potential role in sperm-egg membrane fusion. J Cell Biol. 1987;104(1):141–9.
- 30. Blobel CP, Wolfsberg TG, Turck CW, Myles DG, Primakoff P, White JM. A potential fusion peptide and an integrin ligand domain in a protein active in spermegg fusion. Nature. 1992;356(6366):248–52.
- 31. Lemaire L, Johnson KR, Bammer S, Petry P, Ruddle FH, Heinlein UA. Chromosomal assignment of three novel mouse genes expressed in testicular cells. Genomics. 1994;21(2):409–14.
- 32. Barker HL, Perry AC, Jones R, Hall L. Sequence and expression of a monkey testicular transcript encoding tMDC I, a novel member of the metalloproteinase-like, disintegrin-like, cysteine-rich (MDC) protein family. Biochim Biophys Acta. 1994;1218(3):429–31.
- 33. Grzmil P, Kim Y, Shamsadin R, Neesen J, Adham IM, Heinlein UA, et al. Human cyritestin genes (CYRN1 and CYRN2) are non-functional. Biochem J. 2001;357(Pt 2):551–6.
- 34. Cho C, Bunch DO, Faure JE, Goulding EH, Eddy EM, Primakoff P, et al. Fertilization defects in sperm from mice lacking fertilin beta. Science. 1998; 281(5384):1857–9.
- 35. Nishimura H, Cho C, Branciforte DR, Myles DG, Primakoff P. Analysis of loss of adhesive function in sperm lacking cyritestin or fertilin beta. Dev Biol. 2001;233(1):204–13.
- 36. Cho C, Primakoff P, White JM, Myles DG. Chromosomal assignment of four testis-expressed mouse genes from a new family of transmembrane proteins (ADAMs) involved in cell-cell adhesion and fusion. Genomics. 1996;34(3):413–7.
- 37. Shamsadin R, Adham IM, Nayernia K, Heinlein UA, Oberwinkler H, Engel W. Male mice deficient for germ-cell cyritestin are infertile. Biol Reprod. 1999;61(6):1445–51.
- 38. Evans JP. Sperm disintegrins, egg integrins, and other cell adhesion molecules of mammalian gamete plasma membrane interactions. Front Biosci. 1999;4:D114–31.
- 39. Almeida EA, Huovila AP, Sutherland AE, Stephens LE, Calarco PG, Shaw LM, et al. Mouse egg integrin alpha 6 beta 1 functions as a sperm receptor. Cell. 1995;81(7):1095–104.
- 40. Chen H, Sampson NS. Mediation of sperm-egg fusion: evidence that mouse egg alpha6beta1 integrin is the receptor for sperm fertilinbeta. Chem Biol. 1999;6(1):1–10.
- 41. Takahashi Y, Bigler D, Ito Y, White JM. Sequencespecific interaction between the disintegrin domain of mouse ADAM 3 and murine eggs: role of beta1

 integrin-associated proteins CD9, CD81, and CD98. Mol Biol Cell. 2001;12(4):809–20.

- 42. Miller BJ, Georges-Labouesse E, Primakoff P, Myles DG. Normal fertilization occurs with eggs lacking the integrin alpha6beta1 and is CD9-dependent. J Cell Biol. 2000;149(6):1289–96.
- 43. Le Naour F, Rubinstein E, Jasmin C, Prenant M, Boucheix C. Severely reduced female fertility in CD9 deficient mice. Science. 2000;287(5451):319-21.
- 44. Kaji K, Oda S, Shikano T, Ohnuki T, Uematsu Y, Sakagami J, et al. The gamete fusion process is defective in eggs of Cd9-deficient mice. Nat Genet. 2000; 24(3):279–82.
- 45. Miyado K, Yamada G, Yamada S, Hasuwa H, Nakamura Y, Ryu F, et al. Requirement of CD9 on the egg plasma membrane for fertilization. Science. 2000;287(5451):321–4.
- 46. Wong GE, Zhu X, Prater CE, Oh E, Evans JP. Analysis of fertilin alpha (ADAM1)-mediated sperm-egg cell adhesion during fertilization and identification of an adhesion-mediating sequence in the disintegrin-like domain. J Biol Chem. 2001;276(27):24937–45.
- 47. Zhu X, Evans JP. Analysis of the roles of RGDbinding integrins, alpha(4)/alpha(9) integrins, alpha(6) integrins, and CD9 in the interaction of the fertilin beta (ADAM2) disintegrin domain with the mouse egg membrane. Biol Reprod. 2002;66(4):1193–202.
- 48. Rochwerger L, Cuasnicú PS. Redistribution of a rat sperm epididymal glycoprotein after in vitro and in vivo capacitation. Mol Reprod Dev. 1992;31(1):34–41.
- 49. Rochwerger L, Cohen DJ, Cuasnicú PS. Mammalian sperm-egg fusion: the rat egg has complementary sites for a sperm protein that mediates gamete fusion. Dev Biol. 1992;153(1):83–90.
- 50. Cuasnicú PS, González Echeverría F, Piazza AD, Cameo MS, Blaquier JA. Antibodies against epididymal glycoproteins block fertilizing ability in rat. J Reprod Fertil. 1984;72(2):467–71.
- 51. Jaffe LA, Gould-Somero M, Holland LZ. Studies of the mechanism of the electrical polyspermy block using voltage clamp during cross-species fertilization. J Cell Biol. 1982;92(3):616–21.
- 52. Maluchnik D, Borsuk E. Sperm entry into fertilised mouse eggs. Zygote. 1994;2(2):129–31.
- 53. Sengoku K, Tamate K, Horikawa M, Takaoka Y, Ishikawa M, Dukelow WR. Plasma membrane block to polyspermy in human oocytes and preimplantation embryos. J Reprod Fertil. 1995;105(1):85–90.
- 54. McAvey BA, Wortzman GB, Williams CJ, Evans JP. Involvement of calcium signaling and the actin cytoskeleton in the membrane block to polyspermy in mouse eggs. Biol Reprod. 2002;67(4):1342–52.
- 55. Zuccotti M, Yanagimachi R, Yanagimachi H. The ability of hamster oolemma to fuse with spermatozoa: its acquisition during oogenesis and loss after fertilization. Development. 1991;112(1):143–52.
- 56. Horvath PM, Kellom T, Caulfield J, Boldt J. Mechanistic studies of the plasma membrane block to polyspermy in mouse eggs. Mol Reprod Dev. 1993; 34(1):65–72.
- <span id="page-15-0"></span> 57. Sengoku K, Tamate K, Takaoka Y, Horikawa M, Goishi K, Okada R, et al. Requirement of spermoocyte plasma membrane fusion for establishment of the plasma membrane block to polyspermy in human pronuclear oocytes. Mol Reprod Dev. 1999;52(2): 183–8.
- 58. Redkar AA, Olds-Clarke PJ. An improved mouse sperm-oocyte plasmalemma binding assay: studies on characteristics of sperm binding in medium with or without glucose. J Androl. 1999;20(4):500–8.
- 59. Wolf DE, Edidin M, Handyside AH. Changes in the organization of the mouse egg plasma membrane upon fertilization and first cleavage: indications from the lateral diffusion rates of fluorescent lipid analogs. Dev Biol. 1981;85(1):195–8.
- 60. Wolf DE, Ziomek CA. Regionalization and lateral diffusion of membrane proteins in unfertilized and fertilized mouse eggs. J Cell Biol. 1983;96(6): 1786–90.
- 61. Gardner AJ, Evans JP. Mammalian membrane block to polyspermy: new insights into how mammalian eggs prevent fertilisation by multiple sperm. Reprod Fertil Dev. 2006;18(1–2):53–61.
- 62. Helbrunn LV, Young LA. The action of ultra-violet rays on *Arbacia* egg protoplasm. Physiol Zool. 1930; 30(3):330–341.
- 63. Steinhardt RA, Epel D, Carroll EJ, Yanagimachi R. Is calcium ionophore a universal activator for unfertilised eggs? Nature. 1974;252(5478):41–3.
- 64. Gilkey JC, Jaffe LF, Ridgway EB, Reynolds GT. A free calcium wave traverses the activating egg of the medaka, *Oryzias latipes* . J Cell Biol. 1978;76(2):448–66.
- 65. Steinhardt R, Zucker R, Schatten G. Intracellular calcium release at fertilization in the sea urchin egg. Dev Biol. 1977;58(1):185–96.
- 66. Miyazaki S, Hashimoto N, Yoshimoto Y, Kishimoto T, Igusa Y, Hiramoto Y. Temporal and spatial dynamics of the periodic increase in intracellular free calcium at fertilization of golden hamster eggs. Dev Biol. 1986;118(1):259–67.
- 67. Miyazaki S, Shirakawa H, Nakada K, Honda Y. Essential role of the inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate receptor/Ca2+ release channel in Ca2+ waves and Ca2+ oscillations at fertilization of mammalian eggs. Dev Biol. 1993;158(1):62–78.
- 68. Miyazaki S, Yuzaki M, Nakada K, Shirakawa H, Nakanishi S, Nakade S, et al. Block of Ca2+ wave and Ca2+ oscillation by antibody to the inositol 1,4,5 trisphosphate receptor in fertilized hamster eggs. Science. 1992;257(5067):251–5.
- 69. Brind S. Inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate receptors are downregulated in mouse oocytes in response to sperm or adenophostin A but not to increases in intracellular Ca2+ or egg activation. Dev Biol. 2000;223(2):251–65.
- 70. Xu Z. Maturation-associated increase in IP3 receptor type 1: role in conferring increased IP3 sensitivity and Ca2+ oscillatory behavior in mouse eggs. Dev Biol. 2003;254(2):163–71.
- 71. Ciapa B, Pesando D, Wilding M, Whitaker M. Cellcycle calcium transients driven by cyclic changes in

inositol trisphosphate levels. Nature. 1994;368(6474): 875–8.

- 72. Stith BJ, Espinoza R, Roberts D, Smart T. Sperm increase inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate mass in *Xenopus laevis* eggs preinjected with calcium buffers or heparin. Dev Biol. 1994;165(1):206–15.
- 73. Swann K. A cytosolic sperm factor stimulates repetitive calcium increases and mimics fertilization in hamster eggs. Development. 1990;110(4):1295–302.
- 74. Wu H, Smyth J, Luzzi V, Fukami K, Takenawa T, Black SL, et al. Sperm factor induces intracellular free calcium oscillations by stimulating the phosphoinositide pathway. Biol Reprod. 2001;64(5):1338–49.
- 75. Swann K. The cytosolic sperm factor that triggers Ca2+ oscillations and egg activation in mammals is a novel phospholipase C: PLC. Reproduction. 2004;127(4):431–9.
- 76. Swann K, Saunders C, Rogers N, Lai F. PLC $\zeta$ (zeta): a sperm protein that triggers Ca2+ oscillations and egg activation in mammals. Semin Cell Dev Biol. 2006;17(2):264–73.
- 77. Saunders CM, Larman MG, Parrington J, Cox LJ, Royse J, Blayney LM, et al. PLC zeta: a spermspecific trigger of  $Ca(2+)$  oscillations in eggs and embryo development. Development. 2002;129(15): 3533–44.
- 78. Peres A. InsP3- and Ca2(+)-induced Ca2+ release in single mouse oocytes. FEBS Lett. 1990;275(1–2): 213–6.
- 79. Kouchi Z, Fukami K, Shikano T, Oda S, Nakamura Y, Takenawa T, et al. Recombinant phospholipase Czeta has high Ca2+ sensitivity and induces Ca2+ oscillations in mouse eggs. J Biol Chem. 2004;279(11): 10408–12.
- 80. Kline D, Kline JT. Repetitive calcium transients and the role of calcium in exocytosis and cell cycle activation in the mouse egg. Dev Biol. 1992;149(1):80–9.
- 81. Ducibella T, Huneau D, Angelichio E, Xu Z, Schultz RM, Kopf GS, et al. Egg-to-embryo transition is driven by differential responses to  $Ca(2+)$  oscillation number. Dev Biol. 2002;250(2):280–91.
- 82. Markoulaki S, Matson S, Ducibella T. Fertilization stimulates long-lasting oscillations of CaMKII activity in mouse eggs. Dev Biol. 2004;272(1):15–25.
- 83. Lorca T, Cruzalegui FH, Fesquet D, Cavadore JC, Méry J, Means A, et al. Calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II mediates inactivation of MPF and CSF upon fertilization of Xenopus eggs. Nature. 1993;366(6452):270–3.
- 84. Ozil J-P, Markoulaki S, Toth S, Matson S, Banrezes B, Knott JG, et al. Egg activation events are regulated by the duration of a sustained [Ca2+]cyt signal in the mouse. Dev Biol. 2005;282(1):39–54.
- 85. Bos-Mikich A, Whittingham DG, Jones KT. Meiotic and mitotic Ca2+ oscillations affect cell composition in resulting blastocysts. Dev Biol. 1997;182(1): 172–9.
- 86. Ozil JP, Huneau D. Activation of rabbit oocytes: the impact of the Ca2+ signal regime on development. Development. 2001;128(6):917–28.
- <span id="page-16-0"></span> 87. Ozil J-P, Banrezes B, Toth S, Pan H, Schultz RM. Ca2+ oscillatory pattern in fertilized mouse eggs affects gene expression and development to term. Dev Biol. 2006;300(2):534–44.
- 88. Wu JQ, Kornbluth S. Across the meiotic divide CSF activity in the post-Emi2/XErp1 era. J Cell Sci. 2008;121(21):3509–14.
- 89. Kubiak JZ, Ciemerych MA, Hupalowska A, Sikora-Polaczek M, Polanski Z. On the transition from the meiotic to mitotic cell cycle during early mouse development. Int J Dev Biol. 2008;52(2–3):201–17.
- 90. Howell BJ, Moree B, Farrar EM, Stewart S, Fang G, Salmon ED. Spindle checkpoint protein dynamics at kinetochores in living cells. Curr Biol. 2004; 14(11):953–64.
- 91. Masui Y, Markert CL. Cytoplasmic control of nuclear behavior during meiotic maturation of frog oocytes. J Exp Zool. 1971;177(2):129–45.
- 92. Schmidt A, Duncan PI, Rauh NR, Sauer G, Fry AM, Nigg EA, et al. Xenopus polo-like kinase Plx1 regulates XErp1, a novel inhibitor of APC/C activity. Genes Dev. 2005;19(4):502–13.
- 93. Inoue D, Ohe M, Kanemori Y, Nobui T, Sagata N. A direct link of the Mos-MAPK pathway to Erp1/Emi2 in meiotic arrest of *Xenopus laevis* eggs. Nature. 2007;446(7139):1100–4.
- 94. Nishiyama T, Ohsumi K, Kishimoto T. Phosphorylation of Erp1 by p90rsk is required for cytostatic factor arrest in *Xenopus laevis* eggs. Nature. 2007;446(7139):1096–9.
- 95. Wu Q, Guo Y, Yamada A, Perry JA, Wang MZ, Araki M, et al. A role for Cdc2- and PP2A-mediated regulation of Emi2 in the maintenance of CSF arrest. Curr Biol. 2007;17(3):213–24.
- 96. Wu JQ, Hansen DV, Guo Y, Wang MZ, Tang W, Freel CD, et al. Control of Emi2 activity and stability through Mos-mediated recruitment of PP2A. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2007;104(42):16564–9.
- 97. Hansen DV, Tung JJ, Jackson PK. CaMKII and pololike kinase 1 sequentially phosphorylate the cytostatic factor Emi2/XErp1 to trigger its destruction and meiotic exit. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2006;103(3):608–13.
- 98. Schatten G. The centrosome and its mode of inheritance: the reduction of the centrosome during gametogenesis and its restoration during fertilization. Dev Biol. 1994;165(2):299–335.
- 99. Schatten G, Simerly C, Schatten H. Microtubule configurations during fertilization, mitosis, and early development in the mouse and the requirement for egg microtubule-mediated motility during mammalian fertilization. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1985;82(12):4152–6.
- 100. Sathananthan AH, Selvaraj K, Girijashankar ML, Ganesh V, Selvaraj P, Trounson AO. From oogonia to mature oocytes: inactivation of the maternal centrosome in humans. Microsc Res Tech. 2006;69(6):396–407.
- 101. Schatten H, Sun Q-Y. The functional significance of centrosomes in mammalian meiosis, fertilization, development, nuclear transfer, and stem cell differentiation. Environ Mol Mutagen. 2009;50(8):620–36.
- 102. Schatten H, Sun QY. The role of centrosomes in mammalian fertilization and its significance for ICSI. Mol Hum Reprod. 2009;15(9):531–8.
- 103. Schatten H, Walter M, Biessmann H, Schatten G. Activation of maternal centrosomes in unfertilized sea urchin eggs. Cell Motil Cytoskeleton. 1992;23(1):61–70.
- 104. Lange BM, Gull K. Structure and function of the centriole in animal cells: progress and questions. Trends Cell Biol. 1996;6(9):348–52.
- 105. Zamboni L, Stefanini M. The fine structure of the neck of mammalian spermatozoa. Anat Rec. 1971;169(2): 155–72.
- 106. Yang Z, Gallicano GI, Yu QC, Fuchs E. An unexpected localization of basonuclin in the centrosome, mitochondria, and acrosome of developing spermatids. J Cell Biol. 1997;137(3):657–69.
- 107. Ou YY, Mack GJ, Zhang M, Rattner JB. CEP110 and ninein are located in a specific domain of the centrosome associated with centrosome maturation. J Cell Sci. 2002;115(Pt 9):1825–35.
- 108. Rawe VY, Díaz ES, Abdelmassih R, Wójcik C, Morales P, Sutovsky P, et al. The role of sperm proteasomes during sperm aster formation and early zygote development: implications for fertilization failure in humans. Hum Reprod. 2008;23(3):573–80.
- 109. Simerly C, Zoran SS, Payne C, Dominko T, Sutovsky P, Navara CS, et al. Biparental inheritance of gammatubulin during human fertilization: molecular reconstitution of functional zygotic centrosomes in inseminated human oocytes and in cell-free extracts nucleated by human sperm. Mol Biol Cell. 1999;10(9): 2955–69.
- 110. Schatten H, Sun Q-Y. The role of centrosomes in fertilization, cell division and establishment of asymmetry during embryo development. Semin Cell Dev Biol. 2010;21(2):174–84.
- 111. Young A, Dictenberg JB, Purohit A, Tuft R, Doxsey SJ. Cytoplasmic dynein-mediated assembly of pericentrin and gamma tubulin onto centrosomes. Mol Biol Cell. 2000;11(6):2047–56.
- 112. Tesarik J, Kopecny V. Development of human male pronucleus: ultrastructure and timing. Gamete Res. 1989;24(2):135–49.
- 113. Payne D, Flaherty SP, Barry MF, Matthews CD. Preliminary observations on polar body extrusion and pronuclear formation in human oocytes using time-lapse video cinematography. Hum Reprod. 1997;12(3):532–41.
- 114. Tesarik J, Greco E. The probability of abnormal preimplantation development can be predicted by a single static observation on pronuclear stage morphology. Hum Reprod. 1999;14(5):1318–23.
- 115. Ward WS. Function of sperm chromatin structural elements in fertilization and development. Mol Hum Reprod. 2009;16(1):30–6.
- 116. Ramalho-Santos J, Sutovsky P, Simerly C, Oko R, Wessel GM, Hewitson L, et al. ICSI choreography: fate of sperm structures after monospermic rhesus ICSI and first cell cycle implications. Hum Reprod. 2000;15(12):2610–20.
- <span id="page-17-0"></span> 117. Sutovsky P, Manandhar G, Wu A, Oko R. Interactions of sperm perinuclear theca with the oocyte: Implications for oocyte activation, anti-polyspermy defense, and assisted reproduction. Microsc Res Tech. 2003;61(4):362–78.
- 118. Luetjens CM, Payne C, Schatten G. Non-random chromosome positioning in human sperm and sex chromosome anomalies following intracytoplasmic sperm injection. Lancet. 1999;353(9160):1240.
- 119. Terada Y, Luetjens CM, Sutovsky P, Schatten G. Atypical decondensation of the sperm nucleus, delayed replication of the male genome, and sex chromosome positioning following intracytoplasmic human sperm injection (ICSI) into golden hamster eggs: does ICSI itself introduce chromosomal anomalies? Fertil Steril. 2000;74(3):454–60.
- 120. Bonduelle M, Aytoz A, Van Assche E, Devroey P, Liebaers I, Van Steirteghem A. Incidence of chromosomal aberrations in children born after assisted reproduction through intracytoplasmic sperm injection. Hum Reprod. 1998;13(4):781–2.
- 121. Asch R, Simerly C, Ord T, Ord VA, Schatten G. The stages at which human fertilization arrests: microtubule and chromosome configurations in inseminated oocytes which failed to complete fertilization and development in humans. Hum Reprod. 1995;10(7): 1897–906.
- 122. Scott L. Pronuclear scoring as a predictor of embryo development. Reprod Biomed Online. 2003;6(2): 201–14.
- 123. Scott L, Finn A, O'Leary T, McLellan S, Hill J. Morphologic parameters of early cleavage-stage embryos that correlate with fetal development and delivery: prospective and applied data for increased pregnancy rates. Hum Reprod. 2006;22(1):230–40.
- 124. Scott LA, Smith S. The successful use of pronuclear embryo transfers the day following oocyte retrieval. Hum Reprod. 1998;13(4):1003–13.
- 125. Hirokawa N, Noda Y, Tanaka Y, Niwa S. Kinesin superfamily motor proteins and intracellular transport. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2009;10(10):682–96.
- 126. Squirrell JM, Schramm RD, Paprocki AM, Wokosin DL, Bavister BD. Imaging mitochondrial organization in living primate oocytes and embryos using multiphoton microscopy. Microsc Microanal. 2003;9(3):190–201.
- 127. Sathananthan AH, Trounson AO. Mitochondrial morphology during preimplantational human embryogenesis. Hum Reprod. 2000;15 Suppl 2:148–59.
- 128. Capmany G, Taylor A, Braude PR, Bolton VN. The timing of pronuclear formation, DNA synthesis and cleavage in the human 1-cell embryo. Mol Hum Reprod. 1996;2(5):299–306.
- 129. Meseguer M, Herrero J, Tejera A, Hilligsoe KM, Ramsing NB, Remohi J. The use of morphokinetics as a predictor of embryo implantation. Hum Reprod. 2011;26:2658–71.
- 130. Shoukir Y, Campana A, Farley T, Sakkas D. Early cleavage of in-vitro fertilized human embryos to the 2-cell stage: a novel indicator of embryo quality and viability. Hum Reprod. 1997;12(7):1531–6.
- 131. Van Montfoort APA. Early cleavage is a valuable addition to existing embryo selection parameters: a study using single embryo transfers. Hum Reprod. 2004;19(9):2103–8.
- 132. Bos-Mikich A, Mattos AL, Ferrari AN. Early cleavage of human embryos: an effective method for predicting successful IVF/ICSI outcome. Hum Reprod. 2001;16(12):2658–61.
- 133. Lundin K, Bergh C, Hardarson T. Early embryo cleavage is a strong indicator of embryo quality in human IVF. Hum Reprod. 2001;16(12):2652–7.
- 134. Wong CC, Loewke KE, Bossert NL, Behr B, De Jonge CJ, Baer TM, et al. Non-invasive imaging of human embryos before embryonic genome activation predicts development to the blastocyst stage. Nat Biotechnol. 2010;28(10):1115–21.