# **Matrices**

A matrix is a two-dimensional array of numbers. Sometimes it is natural to classify data in two ways—for example, you might classify your music collection by media (MP3 or CD) or by type (folk, heavy metal, . . . ); a realtor might classify her listings by area or by price. Matrices are useful for representing this type of data. Matrices arise in many parts of mathematics; usually they are studied as part of linear algebra.

<span id="page-0-0"></span>There are many applications of matrices in discrete mathematics. They are particularly important in the study of relations and graphs, so we have included a section that briefly introduces those applications. Many other properties of graphs and relations can be deduced using further algebraic properties of matrices.

## **8.1 Vectors and Matrices**

### **Vectors**

A *vector* is an ordered finite set of numbers. We say *real vector* if all the elements are real numbers; we can also talk about *integer vectors*, and so on. If it is required that all elements belong to some number set, those numbers are called the *scalars* for those vectors.

The number of elements in a vector is called its *dimension* or *length*. The set of all real vectors of dimension *n* will be denoted  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The set  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is familiar from geometry because the coordinates of points in the plane are just members of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Similarly,  $\mathbb{R}^3$ is the set of coordinates of points in three-dimensional space.

When we talk about the elements of a vector and specify their positions we usually call them *entries*. The usual notation for a vector is to denote its *i*th entry by subscript *i*. The vector *v* has entries  $v_1, v_2, \ldots$ , and we write  $v = (v_1, v_2, \ldots)$ . Two vectors are equal if and only if they are equal in every position (*componentwise equal*):  $u = v$  if and only if  $u_i = v_i$  for every *i*. It follows that equal vectors must be of the same dimension.

In physics or in geometry we encounter vectors as directed line segments. If you prefer to think of them in this way, then the vector  $(1, 2, 1)$  corresponds to the directed line from the origin to point *(*1*,* 2*,* 1*)* in three-dimensional space.

There are two standard operations on vectors: one may *multiply by a scalar*, and one may *add vectors*. They are defined as follows.

If  $v = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n)$ , and *k* is any scalar, then  $kv = (kv_1, kv_2, \ldots, kv_n)$ . If  $u = (u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n)$ , and  $v = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n)$ , then

$$
\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} = ((u_1 + v_1), (u_2 + v_2), \ldots, (u_n + v_n)).
$$

If *u* and *v* are vectors of different lengths, then  $u + v$  is not defined.

It is easy to see that vector addition satisfies the commutative and associative laws: if *u* and *v* are any two vectors of the same length, then

$$
u+v=v+u,
$$

and any three vectors *t, u*, and *v* of equal length satisfy

$$
t+(u+v)=(t+u)+v.
$$

We usually omit brackets when writing the sum of three or more vectors, so this common value is simply denoted  $t + u + v$ .

There are also two laws involving multiplication by a scalar. If *a* and *b* are any numbers and *u* and *v* are any two equal-length vectors, then

$$
a(bu)=(ab)u,
$$

and

$$
a(u + v) = (au) + (av).
$$

Again we follow the same convention as ordinary arithmetic and omit brackets, writing the last expression as simply  $au + av$ .

**Sample Problem 8.1.** *Calculate* 3*(*1*,* −1*,* 3*) and (*2*,* 2*)* + *(*−1*,* 3*).*

**Solution.**  $3(1, -1, 3) = (3, -3, 9)$ ;  $(2, 2) + (-1, 3) = (1, 5)$ .

**Practice Exercise.** Calculate 4*(*2*,* 0*,* −1*)* + *(*1*,* 4*,* −3*)*.

Subtraction is defined in the natural way:  $u - v = ((u_1 - v_1), (u_2 - v_2), \ldots,$ *(u<sub>n</sub>* − *v<sub>n</sub>*)). If we write −*v* =  $(-v_1, -v_2, ..., -v_n)$ , then  $u - v = u + (-v)$ , and moreover  $-\mathbf{v} = (-1)\mathbf{v}$ .

It is natural to define a *zero vector*  $\mathbf{0} = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$  (in fact, a family of zero vectors, one for each possible dimension). Then  $v + (-v) = 0$ , as one would expect.

#### **Lines; the Scalar Product**

The equation of a straight line in coordinate geometry can be written in the form

$$
ax + by = c,
$$

where  $a, b$ , and  $c$  are numbers and  $x, y$  are the usual variables. The equation involves two vectors, the vector  $(a, b)$  of coefficients and the vector  $(x, y)$  of variables. For this reason it is natural to associate  $ax + by$  with the two vectors  $(a, b)$  and  $(x, y)$ .

We define the *scalar product* of two vectors  $u = (u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n)$  and  $v =$  $(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n)$  to be

$$
\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = (u_1v_1 + u_2v_2 + \cdots + u_nv_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n u_kv_k.
$$

This is also called the *dot product* of the two vectors. In this notation a typical straight line in two-dimensional geometry has an equation of the form

 $a \cdot x = c$ .

where  $\boldsymbol{a}$  is some vector of two real numbers,  $\boldsymbol{x}$  is the vector of variables  $(x, y)$ , and *c* is a constant. If *a* and *x* are of length three, then  $a \cdot x = c$  can be the equation of a plane in three-dimensional space.

**Sample Problem 8.2.** Suppose  $t = (1, 2, 3)$ ,  $u = (-1, 3, 0)$ , and  $v = (2, -2, 2)$ . *Calculate*  $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}$ *,*  $(\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{v}$ *, and*  $3(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{t})$ *.* 

**Solution.**  $u \cdot v = -2 - 6 + 0 = -8$ ;  $(t - u) \cdot v = (2, -1, 3) \cdot (2, -2, 2) = 4 +$  $2 + 6 = 12$ ;  $3(v \cdot t) = 3 \times 8 = 24$ .

**Practice Exercise.** Calculate  $u \cdot t$  and  $(2u - 3v) \cdot t$ .

**Sample Problem 8.3.** *Suppose*  $(x, 3) = (4, x + y)$ *. What are x and*  $y$ *?* 

**Solution.** Two vectors are equal if and only if their components are equal. So we have the two equations  $x = 4$  and  $3 = x + y$ . So  $x = 4$  and  $y = -1$ .

**Practice Exercise.** Suppose  $(2x, 2) = (y, x)$ . What are *x* and *y*?

It is not hard to see that the scalar product is commutative. There is no need to discuss the associative law because scalar products involving three vectors are not defined. For example, consider  $t \cdot (\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v})$ . Since  $(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v})$  is a scalar, not a vector, we cannot calculate its dot product with anything.

### **Matrices**

Just as one linear equation can be written as one vector equation, a set of linear equations can be written as a set of vector equations. For example, the equations

$$
2x + y = 3,
$$
  

$$
3x - 2y = 1,
$$

can be written as

$$
((2, 1) \cdot (x, y), (3, -2) \cdot (x, y)) = (3, 1),
$$

or, a little easier to read,

$$
\begin{bmatrix} (2,1)\cdot(x,y) \\ (3,-2)\cdot(x,y) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

This can be seen as the two-dimensional array of numbers

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 3 & -2 \end{bmatrix},
$$

acting on the vector  $(x, y)$  to produce the vector  $(3, 1)$ . We shall call this array a *matrix*. We usually denote matrices by capital letters, to distinguish them from scalars (numbers).

Just as a vector generalizes a number, a matrix generalizes a vector. A matrix is any rectangular (two-dimensional) array of numbers. A typical matrix is

$$
M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 4 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & -4 & 1 \\ 4 & -4 & 4 & 8 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

This example is a  $3 \times 4$  real matrix (and it is, in fact, a  $3 \times 4$  integer matrix). We call 3×4 the *shape* or *size* of the matrix, and the two numbers 3 and 4 are its *dimensions*.

A vector can be interpreted as a matrix with one of its dimensions 1. A  $1 \times n$ matrix is called a *row* vector and an  $n \times 1$  matrix is called a *column* vector. An  $m \times n$  matrix can be viewed as a vertical stack of *m* row vectors, and those vectors are called the *rows of the matrix.* Alternatively, we can see it as a horizontal array of column vectors, called the *columns of the matrix.* Our example *M* can be viewed as

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 4 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & -4 & 1 \\ 4 & -4 & 4 & 8 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 3 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ -4 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

The element in the *i*th row and *j* th column is called the *(i, j) element* of the matrix. It is standard to denote the  $(i, j)$  element of a matrix *A* by  $a_{ij}$ , and write  $A = (a_{ij})$ . This is particularly useful when a formula is given for  $a_{ij}$ . For example, we might refer to the 3  $\times$  3 matrix whose *(i, j)* element equals  $i + j$ , namely

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix},
$$

as "the  $3 \times 3$  matrix  $(i + i)$ ."

### **Sums and Products**

The *sum* of two matrices and the *product* of a scalar with a matrix are defined analogously to the vector case. We again define negatives by  $-A = (-1)A$ . Addition satisfies the commutative and associative laws, and the scalar product laws  $a(bc) = (ab)C$  and  $a(C + D) = aC + aD$ .

**Sample Problem 8.4.** *Suppose A, B, and C are the matrices* 

$$
A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad B = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad C = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 4 & 3 \\ -1 & -2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

*Find A* + *B,* 2*A* − 3*B,* 3*A* + *C,* −*C.*

**Solution.**

$$
A + B = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad 2A - 3B = \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 6 \\ -5 & -8 \end{bmatrix},
$$

$$
-C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -4 & -3 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

 $3A + C$  is not defined, as A and C are of different sizes.

**Practice Exercise.** Calculate  $-A$ ,  $3A - B$ ,  $B + C$ .

<span id="page-4-0"></span>We define the *product* of two matrices as a generalization of the scalar product of vectors. Suppose the rows of the matrix *A* are  $a_1, a_2, \ldots$ , and the columns of the matrix *B* are  $b_1, b_2, \ldots$ . Then *AB* is the matrix with  $(i, j)$  entry  $a_i \cdot b_j$ . These entries will only exist if the number of columns of *A* equals the number of rows of *A*, so this is a necessary condition for the product *AB* to exist.

**Sample Problem 8.5.** *Suppose*

$$
A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad B = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

*Find AB and BA.*

**Solution.** First we find *AB*. The rows of *A* are  $a_1 = (1, 2)$  and  $a_2 = (1, -1)$ . The columns of *B* are  $b_1 = (-1, 2)$  and  $b_2 = (1, 0)$ . (Since we are treating them as vectors, it does not matter whether we write them as row or column vectors.) Then  $a_1 \cdot b_1 = -1 + 4 = 3$ , and similarly  $a_1 \cdot b_2 = 1$ ,  $a_2 \cdot b_1 = -3$  and  $a_2 \cdot b_2 = 1$ . So

$$
AB = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \cdot b_1 & a_1 \cdot b_2 \\ a_2 \cdot b_1 & a_2 \cdot b_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ -3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

Similarly we find

$$
BA = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -3 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

The entries in *AB* will only exist if the number of columns in *A* equals the number of rows in *B*. For example, if *A* were  $3 \times 2$  and *B* were  $4 \times 4$ , the product will not exist. In general, we can say the following.

**Theorem 52.** *Suppose A is an*  $m \times n$  *matrix and B is an*  $r \times s$  *matrix. If*  $n = r$ *, then AB exists and is an*  $n \times s$  *matrix. If*  $n \neq r$ *, then AB does not exist.* 

If *A* were  $2 \times 3$  and *B* were  $3 \times 4$ , then *AB* would be a  $2 \times 4$  matrix but *BA* would not exist. It is also possible that *AB* and *BA* might both exist, but might be of different shapes. For example, if *A* and *B* have shapes  $2 \times 3$  and  $3 \times 2$ , respectively, then *AB* is  $2 \times 2$  and *BA* is  $3 \times 3$ . And we observe from the preceding example that, even when *AB* and *BA* both exist and are the same shape, they need not be equal. *There is no commutative law for matrix multiplication.*

We shall look at some more properties of matrix multiplication in the next section.

### **Transposition**

If *A* is an  $m \times n$  matrix, then we can form an  $n \times m$  matrix whose  $(i, j)$  entry equals the  $(j, i)$  entry of *A*. This new matrix is called the *transpose* of *A*, and written  $A<sup>T</sup>$ . A matrix *A* is called *symmetric* if  $A = A^T$ .

**Sample Problem 8.6.** *What is the transpose of the matrix A from Sample Problem* [8.5](#page-4-0)*?*

**Solution.**

$$
A^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

**Practice Exercise.** What is the transpose of the matrix *B* from Sample Problem [8.5](#page-4-0)?

<span id="page-6-0"></span>The transposition symbol is applied only to the matrix nearest to it. For example, the expression  $AB^T$  means  $A(B^T)$ , not  $(AB)^T$ .

## **Exercises 8.1**

**1.** Verify that real matrices satisfy the commutative and associative laws.

*Carry out the vector computations in Exercises* [2](#page-6-0) *to* [23.](#page-6-1)

<span id="page-6-1"></span>

<span id="page-6-2"></span>A is a 2  $\times$  4 matrix; B is 2  $\times$  4; C is 1  $\times$  3; D is 4  $\times$  2; E is 3  $\times$  4; F is 4  $\times$  3; G is 4 × 4*. In Exercises* [24](#page-6-2) *to* [41](#page-6-3), *say whether the indicated matrix exists. If it does exist, what is its shape?*

<span id="page-6-3"></span>

- **42.** Suppose *a,b* are any two real numbers and *C,D* are any two real matrices of the same shape. Prove the following equalities.
	- (i)  $a(bc) = (ab)C$ .
	- (ii)  $a(C + D) = aC + aD$ .
	- (iii)  $(a + b)C = aC + bC$ .

*In Exercises* [43](#page-7-0) *to* [48,](#page-7-1) *carry out the matrix computations.*

<span id="page-7-1"></span><span id="page-7-0"></span>270 8 Matrices

**43.** 
$$
\begin{bmatrix} 4 & -1 \ -2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 \ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}
$$
  
\n**44.**  $3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 \ -2 & 0 & 10 \end{bmatrix}$   
\n**45.**  $3 \begin{bmatrix} 10 & -1 \ 2 & 7 \end{bmatrix} - 2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$   
\n**46.**  $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & -4 \ 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 6 & -2 \ -7 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$   
\n**47.**  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 \ 3 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \ 1 & -1 \ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$   
\n**48.**  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \ 1 & -1 \ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 \ 3 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

<span id="page-7-2"></span>*In Exercises* [49](#page-7-2) *to* [64](#page-7-3),

$$
A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \t B = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 4 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, \t C = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix},
$$
  

$$
D = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 3 & 3 \\ -2 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \t E = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \t F = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix},
$$
  

$$
G = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \t H = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \t K = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

*Carry out the matrix computations, or explain why they are impossible.*



**65.** Suppose

<span id="page-7-3"></span>
$$
\begin{bmatrix} x & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y+1 & -1 \\ -1 & x \end{bmatrix}.
$$

What are the values of *x* and *y*?

**66.** Find *x*, *y*, and *z* so that

$$
\begin{bmatrix} x-2 & 3 & z \ y & x & 2y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y & z & 3 \ 3z & y+2 & 6z \end{bmatrix}.
$$

**67.** *A* and *B* are any two matrices such that *AB* exists. Prove that  $B^T A^T$  exists and that

$$
B^T A^T = (AB)^T.
$$

### **8.2 Properties of the Matrix Product**

### **Identity Elements**

Suppose *A* is an  $r \times s$  matrix. Adding 0 to every entry will not change *A*. So the  $r \times s$ matrix with every entry 0 will act like a zero element, or additive identity, for *A*. We shall denote this matrix by  $O_{r,s}$  and call it a *zero matrix*. Usually the subscripts can be omitted because the shape can be deduced from the context. For any matrix *A*, the appropriate zero matrix satisfies

$$
A + O = O + A = A
$$
 and  $A + (-A) = (-A) + A = O$ .

Writing −*A* for *(*−1*)A* is an extension of the notation we used for zero vectors, and is consistent with the usual arithmetical notations for zero and negatives.

The zero matrix also behaves under multiplication the way you would expect: provided zero matrices of appropriate size are used,

$$
OA = O \quad \text{and} \quad AO = O.
$$

This is not just one rule, but an infinite set of rules. If we write in the subscripts, then the full statement is as follows.

*If A is any*  $r \times s$  *matrix, then*  $O_{m,r}A = O_{m,s}$  *for any positive integer m, and*  $AO_{s,n} =$ *Or,n for any positive integer n.*

There are also multiplicative identity elements. We define  $I_n$  to be the  $n \times n$  matrix with its  $(1, 1), (2, 2), \ldots, (n, n)$  entries 1 and all other entries 0. For example,

$$
I_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

*If A is any*  $r \times s$  *matrix, then*  $I_r A = A = A I_s$ . We call *In* an *identity matrix* of order *n*.

#### **Commutativity**

We saw that the commutative law does not hold for matrices in general. Even if *AB* and *BA* are both defined and are the same size, it is possible for the two products to be different (see Sample Problem [8.5](#page-4-0)). On the other hand, some pairs of matrices have the same product in either order. If  $AB = BA$  we say that A and B *commute*, or *A commutes with B*. For example, any  $3 \times 3$  matrix commutes with  $I_3$ . There are many other examples.

**Sample Problem 8.7.** *Show that the following matrices commute.*

$$
A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

**Solution.**

$$
AB = BA = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -4 \\ 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

**Practice Exercise.** Show that the following matrices commute.

$$
C = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad D = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

Suppose *A* has shape  $m \times n$  and *B* is  $r \times s$ . If both *AB* and *BA* exist, then necessarily  $n = r$  and  $m = s$ ; then *AB* is  $m \times m$  and *BA* is  $n \times n$ . In order for *A* and *B* to commute, we must have  $m = n$ . Both *A* and *B* must have the same number of rows as columns. Such a matrix is called *square*, and the common dimension is called its *order*.

If *A* is square, we can evaluate the product *AA*. We call this *A squared*, and write it as  $A<sup>2</sup>$ , just as with powers of numbers. We define other positive integer powers similarly:  $A^3 = AAA = AA^2$ , and in general  $A^{n+1} = AA^n$ .

#### **Inverses**

If the matrices *A* and *B* satisfy  $AB = BA = I$ , we say that *B* is an *inverse* of *A*.

In the real numbers, everything but 0 has an inverse. In the integers, only 1 and −1 have integer inverses, but we know that we can obtain inverses of other nonzero integers by going to the rational numbers. The situation is obviously more complicated for matrices because only a square matrix can have an inverse. Moreover, there are nonzero square matrices without inverses, even if we restrict our attention to the  $2 \times 2$  case.

**Sample Problem 8.8.** *Show that the matrix*

$$
A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}
$$

*has no inverse.*

**Solution.** Suppose *A* has inverse

$$
B = \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{bmatrix}.
$$

Then  $AB = I$ , so

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2x + z & 2y + t \\ 2x + z & 2y + t \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

The (1, 1) entries of the two matrices must be equal, so  $2x + z = 1$ ; but the (2, 1) entries must also be equal, so  $2x + z = 0$ . This is impossible.

**Practice Exercise.** Show that the matrices

$$
C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad D = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$

have no inverses.

A matrix that has an inverse will be called *invertible* or *nonsingular*; a square matrix without an inverse is called *singular*.

We used the phrase "an inverse" above. However, we shall prove that, if a matrix has an inverse, it is unique.

**Theorem 53.** *If matrices A, B, C satisfy*  $AB = BA = I$  *and*  $AC = CA = I$ *, then*  $B = C$ *.* 

**Proof.** Suppose A, B, and C satisfy the given equations. Then

$$
C = CI = C(AB) = (CA)B = IB = B,
$$

so *B* and *C* are equal.  $\Box$ 

In fact, it can be shown that either of the conditions  $AB = I$  or  $BA = I$  is enough to determine that *B* is the inverse of *A*. However, this requires more algebra than we shall cover in this book.

**Sample Problem 8.9.** *Suppose*

$$
A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

*What is the inverse of A if it exists?*

**Solution.** Suppose the inverse is

$$
C = \begin{bmatrix} x & z \\ y & t \end{bmatrix}.
$$

Then  $AC = I$  means

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x & z \\ y & t \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},
$$

which is equivalent to the four equations

$$
3x + 2y = 1, \quad 3z + 2t = 0,
$$
  

$$
4x + 3y = 0, \quad 4z + 3t = 1.
$$

The left-hand pair of equations is easily solved to give  $x = 3$  and  $y = -4$ , while the right-hand pair gives  $z = -2$  and  $t = 3$ . So the inverse exists, and is

$$
A^{-1} = C = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -2 \\ -4 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

**Practice Exercise.** What is the inverse of *B* if it exists?

The above procedure can be used to invert square matrices of any order; if there is no inverse, then the equations will have no solution. In the next section we shall show how to reduce the number of computations required.

The usual notation for the inverse of *A*, if it exists, is  $A^{-1}$ . If we define  $A^{0} = I$ whenever *A* is square, then the powers of matrices satisfy the usual index laws

$$
A^m A^n = A^{m+n}, \qquad (A^m)^n = A^{mn},
$$

for all nonnegative integers *m* and *n*, and for negative values also provided that *A*−<sup>1</sup> exists. If *x* and *y* are nonzero reals, then  $(xy)^{-1} = x^{-1}y^{-1}$ . The fact that matrices do not necessarily commute means that we have to be a little more careful.

**Theorem 54.** *If A and B are invertible matrices of the same order, then AB is invertible, and*

$$
(AB)^{-1} = B^{-1}A^{-1}.
$$

**Proof.** We need to show that both  $(B^{-1}A^{-1})(AB)$  and  $(AB)(B^{-1}A^{-1})$  equal the identity. But  $(B^{-1}A^{-1})(AB) = B^{-1}(A^{-1}A)B = B^{-1}IB = B^{-1}B = I$  $AA^{-1} = AIA^{-1} = A(BB^{-1})A^{-1} = (AB)(B^{-1}A^{-1})$ .

There are two cancellation laws for matrix multiplication. If *A* is an invertible  $r \times r$  matrix and *B* and *C* are  $r \times s$  matrices such that  $AB = AC$ , then

$$
AB = AC \Rightarrow A^{-1}(AB) = A^{-1}(AC)
$$
  

$$
\Rightarrow (A^{-1}A)B = (A^{-1}A)C \Rightarrow IB = IC \Rightarrow B = C,
$$

so  $B = C$ . Similarly, if *A* is an invertible  $s \times s$  matrix and *B* and *C* are  $r \times s$  matrices such that  $BA = CA$ , then  $B = C$ .

The requirement that *A* be invertible is necessary. We can find matrices *A*, *B*, and *C* such that *AB* and *AC* are the same size, *A* is nonzero, and  $AB = AC$ , but *B* and *C* are different. One very easy example is

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

Some other examples are given in the exercises.

<span id="page-12-0"></span>Moreover we can only cancel on one side of an equation; we cannot mix the two sides. Even if *A* is invertible it is possible that  $AB = CA$  but  $B \neq C$  (see Exercises [8.2.21](#page-13-0) and [8.2.22](#page-13-1)).

## **Exercises 8.2**

- **1.** Is it correct to say that, for any matrix  $A$ ,  $A O = O = O A$ ? Why or why not?
- **2.** Prove the following: if *A* is an invertible  $s \times s$  matrix and *B* and *C* are  $r \times s$ matrices such that  $BA = CA$ , then  $B = C$ .

<span id="page-12-1"></span>*In Exercises* [3](#page-12-0) *to* [8,](#page-12-1) *find the products AB and BA. Do the two matrices commute?*

<span id="page-12-2"></span>3. 
$$
A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}
$$
,  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .  
\n4.  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ .  
\n5.  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $B = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 4 \\ 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ .  
\n6.  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .  
\n7.  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ -3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .  
\n8.  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & -2 \\ 1 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ .

<span id="page-12-3"></span>*In Exercises* [9](#page-12-2) *to* [14,](#page-12-3) *A is given. Find*  $A^2$  *and*  $A^3$ *.* 

**9.** 
$$
\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}
$$
  
\n**10.**  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$   
\n**11.**  $\begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 \ -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$   
\n**12.**  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$   
\n**13.**  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 3 \ 0 & 3 & -1 \ -1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$   
\n**14.**  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \ 0 & -1 & 1 \ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$ 

**15.** Consider the matrix

$$
A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 5 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.
$$
  
(i) Find  $A^2$  and  $A^3$ .  
(ii) Evaluate  $A^3 - 2A - I$ .

(iii) Show that  $A^2 - 4A - 12I = O$ .

<span id="page-13-3"></span><span id="page-13-2"></span>*In Exercises* [16](#page-13-2) *to* [19](#page-13-3), *show that the matrices are inverses.*

**16.** 
$$
\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 5 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}
$$
 and  $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & -5 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ .  
\n**17.**  $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{2} & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{3} & \frac{2}{3} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .  
\n**18.**  $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} -2 & 2 \\ -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .  
\n**19.**  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ .

<span id="page-13-1"></span><span id="page-13-0"></span>**20.** Find a matrix *A* such that

$$
A\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

<span id="page-13-4"></span>In Exercises [21](#page-13-0) and [22,](#page-13-1) show that  $AB = AC$ .

<span id="page-13-5"></span>**21.** 
$$
A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 2 & -2 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, C = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.
$$
  
\n**22.**  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, C = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$ 

*In Exercises* [23](#page-13-4) *and* [24,](#page-13-5) *show that*  $A^{-1}$  *exists, but*  $AB = CA$ *, even though*  $B \neq C$ *.* 

**23.** 
$$
A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 3 \\ 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}
$$
,  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $C = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 7 \\ -2 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$ .  
\n**24.**  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ .

- **25.** The (leading) *diagonal* of a matrix is the set of entries in positions *(*1*,* 1*)*, *(*2*,* 2*), (*3*,* 3*),... .* A *diagonal* matrix is a square matrix with all of its elements zero except those on the diagonal. Prove that any two diagonal matrices of the same order commute.
- **26.** Suppose  $M_x$  denotes the  $2 \times 2$  matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{array}\right],
$$

where *x* may be any real number.

- (i) Compute  $M_x M_y$ , and show that the matrices  $M_x$  and  $M_y$  commute for any real numbers *x* and *y*.
- (ii) Find  $M_x^2$ ,  $M_x^3$ , and  $M_x^4$ .
- (iii) Find a formula for  $M_x^n$ , where *n* is any positive integer.
- (iv) What is  $M_x$ <sup>-1</sup>?

**27.** A square matrix *A* is called *idempotent* if it satisfies  $A^2 = A$ .

(i) Which of the following matrices are idempotent?

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \\ -2 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -2 & -4 \\ -1 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & -2 & -3 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

(ii) Prove that, if *A* is an idempotent matrix, then  $I - A$  is idempotent.

### **8.3 Systems of Linear Equations**

### **Matrix Representation of Equations**

The solution set of a system of equations such as

$$
2x + 4y - 4z = 4,
$$
  

$$
-2y + 4z = 6,
$$
  

$$
x - y + 4z = 10,
$$

is the set of all assignments of values to the variables that make all the equations true. For example, the above system has solution  $x = 3$ ,  $y = 1$ ,  $z = 2$ . We often write the solutions as vectors with the variables taken in standard (alphabetical) order, and would say the solution set is {*(*3*,* 1*,* 2*)*}. Other systems have infinite solution sets, or the set could be empty, in which case we say the system is *inconsistent*.

We introduced matrices in Section [8.1](#page-0-0) by pointing out their relationship to sets of linear equations. The above system of equations can be written as

$$
\begin{bmatrix} (2, 4, -4) \cdot (x, y, z) \\ (0, -2, 4) \cdot (x, y, z) \\ (1, -1, 4) \cdot (x, y, z) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 6 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix},
$$

which can be seen as the matrix

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 & -4 \ 0 & -2 & 4 \ 1 & -1 & 4 \end{bmatrix},
$$

acting on the vector  $(x, y, z)$  to produce the vector  $(4, 6, 10)$ 

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 & -4 \\ 0 & -2 & 4 \\ 1 & -1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 6 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

The typical set of *m* linear equations in *n* unknowns can be written

$$
Ax=b,
$$

where *A* is an  $m \times n$  matrix of coefficients, x is an  $n \times 1$  matrix (column vector) of unknowns, and **b** is the  $m \times 1$  matrix (column vector) of the right-hand sides of the equations.

The usual way of solving such a system of equations is to use one equation to express one variable in terms of the other variables and substitute for that variable in other equations. Repeat this process in the new set of *m*−1 equations. Continue until only one equation remains. This equation is used to evaluate one variable (either as a constant, or in terms of those other variables not yet eliminated), and the remaining variables are evaluated from the equations used earlier. If this process fails (for example, if two contradictory equations result), the equations were *inconsistent* and there are no solutions.

We shall formalize this process. We define the *augmented matrix* of the system  $Ax = b$  to be the matrix  $[A \mid b]$  formed by adjoining the vector of constants *b* to the matrix *A* of coefficients. The vertical line indicates the division between the two types of element. The augmented matrix of the system given above is

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 & -3 & 4 \ 0 & -2 & 4 & 6 \ 1 & -1 & 4 & 10 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

The first step is to select a variable to eliminate. This is equivalent to choosing a column in the augmented matrix and selecting a row—an equation—to use for substitution. The only requirement is that the matrix has a nonzero entry in that row and column. Let us choose row 3, column 1, representing variable *x* in the first equation. It will be convenient to interchange rows 1 and 3, so that we are operating on the *(*1*,* 1*)* entry. This is equivalent to rewriting the equations in a different order. The matrix is now

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 4 & | & 10 \\ 0 & -2 & 4 & | & 6 \\ 2 & 4 & -3 & | & 4 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R1 \leftarrow R3} R3 \leftarrow R1,
$$

where the annotations mean *the new row 1 is the old row 3* and *the new row 3 is the old row 1*.

Now substitute for *x* in the other equations. No action is required in the second equation, but  $x$  must be eliminated from the third. So we subtract twice the first row from the third row. This yields precisely the equation we would get if we used equation 1 to substitute for *x* in equation 3, but for consistency we have kept all the variables on the left-hand side of the equation. The augmented matrix becomes

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 4 & 10 \\ 0 & -2 & 4 & 6 \\ 0 & 6 & -11 & -16 \end{bmatrix}
$$
  $R3 \leftarrow R3 - 2R1$ ,

where the legend means *the new row* 3 *is* (*the old row* 3) −2(*the old row* 1). (When we say *old* we are referring to the preceding augmented matrix, not to the original one.)

Now multiply row 2 by  $-\frac{1}{2}$ . Then eliminate the 6*y* from the third equation. The result is

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 4 & | & 10 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & | & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & 2 \end{bmatrix} \quad R2 \leftarrow \frac{1}{2}R2
$$

$$
R3 \leftarrow R3 - 6\left(\frac{1}{2}R2\right).
$$

This could have been broken into two steps.

So far we have done the equivalent of substituting in the later equations. Now we substitute back to find the values. We know from the third equation that  $z = 3$ . To substitute this in the earlier equations, we add twice row 3 to row 2 and subtract four times row 3 from row 1:

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[R_1 + R_2 + 2R_3 + 2R_4]
$$

Next add row 2 to row 1:

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \quad R1 \leftarrow R1 + R2.
$$

The resulting array can be translated into the equations

$$
x = 3, \qquad y = 1, \qquad z = 2.
$$

#### **Elementary Operations**

In our example, we used three operations:

E1: *permute the rows of the matrix;*

E2: *multiply a row by a* (*nonzero*) *constant*;

E3: *add a multiple of one row to another row.*

We shall call them *elementary row operations*. Their importance comes from the following theorem.

**Theorem 55.** *Suppose P is the augmented matrix of a system of linear equations and Q is obtained from P by a sequence of elementary row operations. Then the system of equations corresponding to Q has the same solutions as the system corresponding to P.*

**Proof.** Operation E1 does not change the system.

The equations  $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x} = b$  and  $k\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x} = kb$  have the same solutions when k is nonzero. So E2 does not change the solution set.

Finally, suppose  $x_0$  is a solution of the system of two equations  $a \cdot x = b$ ,  $a' \cdot x = b'$ . Then  $a \cdot x_0 = b$  and  $a' \cdot x_0 = b'$ , so  $(a + ka') \cdot x_0 = b + kb'$ , and  $x_0$  is a solution of the system  $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x} = b$ ,  $\mathbf{a} + k\mathbf{a}' \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} + kb'$ ; conversely, any solution of the second system is a solution of the first set. If the same further set of equations is appended to each system, the two resulting systems still have the same solutions, so E3 does not change the solution set.  $\Box$ 

### **The Solution Algorithm**

It is clear that the repeated application of the three steps to the augmented matrix will provide a solution. The algorithm for solving systems of linear equations works as follows.

### **Stage 1**

1. Find the leftmost column in the matrix of coefficients that contains a nonzero element, say column *j*. Use E1 to make the row containing this element into the first row, and E2 to convert its leftmost nonzero element to 1. This is called a *leading* 1. Then use E3 to change all entries below the leading 1 to zero. That is, if the  $(i, j)$  entry is  $a_{ij}$ , then subtract  $a_{ij} \times$  (row 1) from row *j*.

At this stage we say column *j* is *processed*. Processed rows are not disturbed in the first stage.

- 2. Find the leftmost unprocessed column in the augmented matrix that contains a nonzero element, say column *k*. Use E1 to make this row the first row under the processed row(s), and E2 to convert its leftmost nonzero element to 1, another leading 1. Use E3 to change all entries below the leading 1 to zero (but do not change the processed row or rows). Now column *k* is also processed.
- 3. If you have not either reached the last column of coefficients (the vertical line) or the bottom of the matrix, go back to step 2, make another leading 1, and proceed from there.

## **Stage 2**

4. Choose the bottommost leading 1 and eliminate all elements above it in its column by use of E3. Do the same to the next leading 1 up, then the next, until you reach the top.

The process is now finished. We shall illustrate the interpretation of the results with an example.

#### <span id="page-18-0"></span>**Sample Problem 8.10.** *Solve the system*

$$
2x + 2y + 4z = 0,
$$
  

$$
3x - y + 2z = 1,
$$
  

$$
8x + 8z = 2,
$$

*by row operations.*

**Solution.** The augmented matrix is

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 & 0 \\ 3 & -1 & 2 & 1 \\ 8 & 0 & 8 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

At step 1 we choose the element in the *(*1*,* 1*)* position and divide row 1 by 2:

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 3 & -1 & 2 & 1 \\ 8 & 0 & 8 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \quad R1 \leftarrow \frac{1}{2} R1 \quad \text{(using E2).}
$$

Then we eliminate the rest of column 1:

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & | & 0 \\ 0 & -4 & -4 & | & 1 \\ 0 & -8 & -8 & | & 2 \end{bmatrix}
$$
  $R2 \leftarrow R2 - 3 \times R1$  (using E3)  
 $R3 \leftarrow R3 - 8 \times R1$  (using E3).

In step 2 we choose the *(*2*,* 2*)* position and divide by −4, then eliminate the entries below the *(*2*,* 2*)* position, obtaining successively

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 1 & -\frac{1}{4} \\ 0 & -8 & -8 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \quad R2 \leftarrow -\frac{1}{4} \times R2 \quad \text{(using E2)},
$$
\n
$$
\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 1 & -\frac{1}{4} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad R3 \leftarrow R3 - 8 \times R2 \quad \text{(using E3)}.
$$

There are no further numbers available for leading 1's, so we move to step 4. We use the *(*2*,* 2*)* element:

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & | & \frac{1}{4} \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & | & -\frac{1}{4} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$
  $R1 \leftarrow R1 - R2$  (using E3).

The process is finished. There is no restriction on *z*. The final augmented matrix converts to the system

$$
x + z = \frac{1}{4},
$$
  

$$
y + z = -\frac{1}{4},
$$

(the third equation can be ignored) and the final solution can be expressed as

282 8 Matrices

$$
x = \frac{1}{4} - z, \qquad y = -\frac{1}{4} - z, \quad \text{any real number } z.
$$

It is important to notice that the sequence of calculations is completely determined by the matrix of coefficients, the left-hand part of the augmented matrix.

If the column corresponding to a variable receives a leading 1, we shall call that variable *dependent*; the others are *independent*. One standard way of recording the answer is to give an equation for each dependent variable, with a constant and the independent variables on the right; the independent variables take any real number value. Another way to express the above solution would be to use set notation

$$
\left\{ \left( \frac{1}{4} - z, -\frac{1}{4} - z, z \right) \middle| z \in \mathbb{R} \right\},\
$$

or perhaps

$$
\left\{ \left( t+\frac{1}{4}, t, -\frac{1}{4}, -t \right) \middle| t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.
$$

In this case *t* is a *parameter*.

Sometimes there will be no solution to a system of equations. As we said above, the equations are then *inconsistent*.

**Sample Problem 8.11.** *Solve the system*

$$
2x + 2y + 4z = 0,
$$
  
\n
$$
3x - y + 2z = 1,
$$
  
\n
$$
8x + 8z = 3.
$$

**Solution.** The augmented matrix is

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 4 & 0 \\ 3 & -1 & 2 & 1 \\ 8 & 0 & 8 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

The left-hand part of this equation is the same as in Sample Problem [8.10,](#page-18-0) so we go through the same steps, making the appropriate changes to the right-hand column. At the end of Stage 1, we have

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 1 & -\frac{1}{4} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

When we convert back to equations, the third row gives the equation

$$
0=1,
$$

which is impossible. No values of *x*, *y*, and *z* make this true, so the equations are inconsistent. There is no need to implement Stage 2.

<span id="page-20-0"></span>In set-theoretic terms, we can report that the solution set is ∅.

# **Exercises 8.3**

*In Exercises* [1](#page-20-0) *to* [14,](#page-20-1) *the augmented matrix of a system of equations is shown. Assuming the variables are x*, *y*, *z*, *what is the solution of the system?* 



<span id="page-20-2"></span><span id="page-20-1"></span>*In Exercises* [15](#page-20-2) *to* [36,](#page-21-0) *solve the system of equations.*



17.  $2x + 3y = 5$ ,  $4x + 6y = 10$ . **18.**  $x - y = 3$ ,  $2x + y = 3$ .

- **19.**  $3x y = 4$ ,  $6x - 2y = 2.$
- **21.**  $x 2y = 4$  $-3x - 4y = -2$  $2x + 3y = 1$ .
- **23.**  $x + 2y + z = 3$  $x + y - 2z = 2.$
- 25.  $x + y + z = 3$ ,  $x + 2y + 2z = 3$ ,  $x + y + 2z = 1$ .
- **27.**  $2x + 2z = 2$  $x + 2y + 6z = 3$  $2x - 2y = 1$ .
- **29.**  $x + 2y + z = -1$  $2x + 3y - 2z = 7$ ,  $-2x + 2y - 3z = -2$ .
- **31.**  $x + y = 2$ , *x* − *y* + 5*z* = 3*,*  $-3x - 3y + 2z = -6$ .
- **33.**  $3x 2y 8z + 7t = 1$ ,  $x + y - z - t = 3$ ,  $x - y - 3z + 3t = -1$ .
- **35.**  $x + 2y + 3z + 4t = 8$ ,  $x - 3y + 4z + 4t = 8$  $2x - 2y - z + t = -3$  $x - 7y - 7z - 3t = -11$ .
- **20.**  $3x 2y = -1$  $-6x + 4y = 2$ . 22.  $3x + 2y = 4$ ,  $2x + 3y = 1$ ,  $5x - 4y = 14$ . **24.**  $x + y + 3z = 2$  $4x + 2y + 2z = 10$ .
- **26.**  $2x + 4z = 6$  $2x + y + 5z = 7$ ,  $x - y + z = 2.$
- **28.**  $x + z = 4$  $x + 4y + z = 7$ ,  $x - 2y + z = 3$ .
- **30.**  $x + y z = 4$  $3x + 4y - 7z = 8$  $-y + 4z = 4$ .
- <span id="page-21-0"></span>**32.**  $x + 2y + 3z = 4$  $4x + 5y + 6z = 16$  $7x + 8y + 9z = 28$ .
- **34.**  $2x y + z 3t = 2$  $-4x - 3y + t = 1$  $2x - 6y + 3z - 8t = 4.$
- **36.**  $2x + 2y 2z + 3t = 2$  $4x - 2y - z + t = -4$  $6x - 3z + 4t = -2$  $2x + 8y - 5z + 8t = 10$ .

## **8.4 More About Linear Systems and Inverses**

## **Classification of Systems of Equations**

We say that a matrix is in *reduced row echelon form* if it has the following properties:

• All rows with every element zero lie at the bottom of the matrix;

- The leftmost nonzero element of each row is a 1 (called a *leading* 1);
- Every other entry in the same column as a leading 1 is zero;
- Each leading 1 is to the right of the leading 1 in the preceding row.

So the process of solving a system of equations consists of converting its augmented matrix to reduced row echelon form. For this reason we often speak of *reducing* the matrix (or reducing the system).

The system is inconsistent when the reduction process results in a leading 1 in the right-hand column of the augmented matrix. In this case there is no need to complete the algorithm.

Suppose the system is consistent. There are two possibilities.

Sometimes the reduced matrix will have a leading 1 in each column so that it is an identity matrix, possibly with some zero rows appended. In this case there will be exactly one solution.

Alternatively, there will be some columns that contain no leading 1. The corresponding variables are independent, and can take any real value, so the system has infinitely many solutions.

To illustrate these possibilities, consider the following three sets of equations:

$$
x + y = 2, \t x + y = 2, \t x + y = 2, 3x + 3y = 5, \t 2x + 3y = 5, \t 2x + 2y = 4.
$$

The matrix forms are as follows:



In each case subtract twice row 1 from row 2, obtaining



The first system is inconsistent and there are no solutions. The second system can be reduced further; subtracting row 2 from row 1, we get

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix},
$$

and the solution is  $x = 1$ ,  $y = 1$ . In the third system, y can take any value, and correspondingly  $x = 2 - y$ .

So we have a classification of systems of equations into three classes. A system can have no solutions, exactly one solution, or infinitely many solutions. Alternatively, we can say that the solution set is empty, a singleton set, or infinite. There can be no system whose solution set is finite but has more than one member.

#### **Calculating the Inverse**

The inverse of a square matrix can be calculated by the algorithm used for solving equations. Suppose *A* is an  $n \times n$  matrix with inverse *B*. Write  $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n$  for the columns of *B*, and write  $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n$  for the columns of the identity matrix of order *n*. Consider the equation  $AB = I$ . Column *j* of the left-hand side is  $Ab<sub>j</sub>$ . So the equation is equivalent to the set of *n* systems

$$
A\boldsymbol{b}_1=\boldsymbol{u}_1,\qquad A\boldsymbol{b}_2=\boldsymbol{u}_2,\qquad \ldots,\qquad A\boldsymbol{b}_n=\boldsymbol{u}_n.
$$

If all these systems have solutions, then the inverse is formed by putting the solution vectors next to each other in order. If any system has no solution, there is no inverse.

To solve  $Ab_i = u_i$ , we reduce the augmented matrix  $[A | u_i]$  to reduced row echelon form. The same steps will produce this result, no matter what vector is on the right-hand side. It follows that we can carry out the reduction simultaneously for all *n* systems of equations. So we have the following technique for inverting an  $n \times n$ matrix *A*.

<span id="page-23-0"></span>*Row reduce the matrix*  $[A | I_n]$ *. If the resulting matrix has form*  $[I_n | B]$  *then A is invertible, and B is*  $A^{-1}$ *. Otherwise, A is singular.* 

It follows from this that if a matrix has a row with every entry zero, it must be singular. This is also true if the matrix has a column with every entry zero. For example, if *A* has every entry of its first column zero, then *BA* has every element zero in *its* first column for any choice of *B*, so the equation  $A^{-1}A = I$  cannot possibly be true—it must fail in the *(*1*,* 1*)* position.

**Sample Problem 8.12.** *For the following matrices, find the inverse or show that the matrix is singular:*



**Solution.** For *A*, we have

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \ 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \ 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \ 0 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 2 \ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 2 \ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

So *A* has inverse

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 2 & 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

For *B*, we get

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \ 3 & -1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \ 1 & 7 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 7 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 \ 2 & 3 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \ 3 & -1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 7 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 \ 0 & -11 & -2 & 0 & 1 & -2 \ 0 & -22 & -4 & 1 & 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 7 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 \ 0 & -2 & 1 & -2 & 0 & 1 & -2 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix},
$$

<span id="page-24-1"></span>and the zero row on the left tells us that *B* is singular.

**Practice Exercise.** In the above calculations, identify the steps that have been taken at each stage. (For example, for *A*, the first step was  $R3 \leftarrow R3 - R1$ .)

This method can be used to get a general solution for the inverse of a  $2 \times 2$  matrix.

**Theorem 56.** *The matrix*

<span id="page-24-0"></span>
$$
A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix},
$$

*is singular if ad* − *bc* = 0*. Otherwise it is invertible, with inverse*

$$
\frac{1}{(ad-bc)} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}.
$$
 (8.1)

**Proof.** If  $a = c = 0$ , then  $ad - bc = 0$ , and *A* has no inverse.

First, suppose *a* and *c* are both nonzero. The inverse procedure is

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\begin{bmatrix} a & b & 1 & 0 \\
c & d & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\
\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} ac & bc & c \\
ac & ad & 0 \\
0 & ad & -bc & -c \\
0 & ad & -bc & -c\n\end{bmatrix} & R1 \leftarrow cR1 \\
\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} ac & bc & c \\
0 & ad & -bc & -c \\
0 & ad & -bc & -c & a \end{bmatrix} & R2 \leftarrow R2 - R1 \\
\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} ac & 0 & (1 + \frac{bc}{ad - bc})c & -\frac{abc}{ad - bc} \\
0 & ad & -bc & -c & a \end{bmatrix} & R1 \leftarrow R1 - \frac{bc}{ad - bc}R2.\n\end{aligned}
$$

If *ad* − *bc* = 0, then we are finished and there is no inverse. Otherwise, notice that

$$
1 + \frac{bc}{ad - bc} = \frac{ad - bc + bc}{ad - bc} = \frac{ad}{ad - bc},
$$

so we have

$$
\begin{bmatrix} ac & 0 & \frac{acd}{ad-bc} & -\frac{abc}{ad-bc} \\ 0 & ad-bc & -c & a \end{bmatrix}
$$
  
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \frac{d}{ad-bc} & -\frac{b}{ad-bc} \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{c}{ad-bc} & \frac{a}{ad-bc} \end{bmatrix} \quad R1 \leftarrow \frac{1}{ac} R1
$$
  
\n
$$
R1 \leftarrow \frac{1}{ad-bc} R2
$$

as required.

If  $a \neq 0$  and  $c = 0$  the calculations are simpler. We obtain the inverse

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{a} & -\frac{b}{ad} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{d} \end{bmatrix},
$$

and this is the form taken by  $(8.1)$  $(8.1)$  $(8.1)$  when  $c = 0$ .

The case where  $a = 0, c \neq 0$  is left as an exercise.

The number *ad* − *bc* is called the *determinant* of the matrix *A*, written det*(A)*. Determinants may be defined for square matrices of any order, and it is a general theorem that a matrix is invertible if and only if its determinant is nonzero. For more details, see books on linear algebra.

**Sample Problem 8.13.** *Find the determinants of the following matrices and use them to find their inverses, if possible:*

$$
A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

**Solution.**  $det(A) = 3 \cdot 2 - 1 \cdot 2 = 4$ , so

$$
A^{-1} = \frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{4} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{4} \end{bmatrix}.
$$

 $det(B) = 2 \cdot 1 - (-2) \cdot (-1) = 0$ , so *B* has no inverse.

**Practice Exercise.** Repeat for

$$
C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad D = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}
$$

*.*

### **Using the Inverse**

Consider the system of equations  $Ax = b$ , where *A* is an invertible matrix. Multiplying by  $A^{-1}$ ,  $x = A^{-1}Ax = A^{-1}b$ , so the equations have the unique solution

 $A^{-1}$ *b*. This can be used to solve the equations. This technique is not usually helpful in practical situations because the process of finding the inverse takes at least as long as solving the equations, but it is useful when there are several sets of equations with the same left-hand sides, or when the inverse is already known. It is also important in theoretical studies.

#### **Sample Problem 8.14.** *Solve the following systems:*

$$
x + 2y - z = 3, \t x + 2y - z = -1, \n-2y + z = 1, \t -2y + z = -2, \n x + y - z = 0, \t x + y - z = 2.
$$

**Solution.** We saw in Sample Problem [8.12](#page-23-0) that the matrix of coefficients has inverse

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 2 & 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

Now

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 2 & 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 3 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix},
$$

so the first system has solution  $x = 4$ ,  $y = 3$ ,  $z = 7$ .

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \ 1 & 0 & -1 \ 2 & 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ -3 \\ -8 \end{bmatrix},
$$

and the second has solution  $x = -3$ ,  $y = -3$ ,  $z = -8$ .

<span id="page-26-0"></span>**Practice Exercise.** Solve the following systems:

$$
x + 2y - z = 2, \t x + 2y - z = 4,\n-2y + z = 2, \t -2y + z = -1,\nx + y - z = 1, \t x + y - z = 3.
$$

### **Exercises 8.4**

*In Exercises* [1](#page-26-0) *to* [6,](#page-27-0) *the reduced row echelon form of an augmented system is shown. Say whether its solution set is empty, singleton, or infinite.*

**1.**  $\lceil$  $\mathbf{L}$  $1 \t0 \t3 \t1$  $0 \quad 1 \quad 1 \mid 1$  $0 \t 0 \t 01$ ⎤  $\begin{vmatrix} \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 2. \\ \end{vmatrix}$  $\sqrt{ }$  $\overline{a}$  $1 \t 0 \t 0 \t 2$  $0 \t1 \t0 \t1$  $0 \quad 0 \quad 1 \mid -1$ ⎤  $\|$  <span id="page-27-0"></span>290 8 Matrices

<span id="page-27-1"></span>

*In Exercises* [7](#page-27-1) *to* [24](#page-27-2), *use row reduction either to find the inverse of the given matrix or to show that the matrix is singular.*



<span id="page-27-2"></span>*In Exercises* [25](#page-28-0) *to* [30,](#page-28-1) *find the determinant, and use it to invert the matrix or show that it is singular.*

<span id="page-28-1"></span>8.5 Adjacency Matrices 291

.

<span id="page-28-0"></span>25. 
$$
\begin{bmatrix} 7 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}
$$
. 26.  $\begin{bmatrix} 6 & 4 \\ 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ . 27.  $\begin{bmatrix} -2 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$   
28.  $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 5 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ . 29.  $\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ 8 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ . 30.  $\begin{bmatrix} 6 & 4 \\ 7 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$ .

**31.** (i) Prove that the following matrices are inverses:

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

(ii) Use part (i) to solve the following systems:

(a) 
$$
3x + 2y = 4
$$
, (b)  $x - 2y = -1$ ,  
 $x + y = 1$ ;  $-x + 3y = 2$ .

**32.** (i) Prove that the following matrices are inverses:



(ii) Use part (i) to solve the following systems:

(a) 
$$
2x - 2y + z = 3
$$
, (b)  $3x - 3y - 2z = 2$ ,  
\t $x + z = 2$ ,  $x - y - z = -1$ ,  
\t $x - 3y = 1$ ;  $-3x + 4y + 2z = 2$ .

- **33.** Suppose a system of equations has 0 for every right-hand side. Can it be inconsistent?
- **34.** Prove Theorem [56](#page-24-1) in the case where  $a = 0$  (and  $c \neq 0$ ).

### **8.5 Adjacency Matrices**

#### **Representing a Relation by a Matrix**

Suppose  $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_m\}$  and  $B = \{b_1, \ldots, b_n\}$  are two finite sets, and let  $\alpha$  be a relation from *A* to *B*. We define the *adjacency matrix* of  $\alpha$  to be the  $m \times n$  matrix  $M_{\alpha}$  with  $(i, j)$  entry

$$
\alpha_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a_i \text{ } \alpha \text{ } b_j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

Obviously this definition depends on the order in which we take the elements of the two sets. If you change the order of the elements of *A*, this will reorder the rows of  $M_\alpha$ , while changing the order of the elements of *B* will reorder the columns of the matrix.

<span id="page-29-0"></span>**Sample Problem 8.15.** *Suppose*  $A = \{1, 2\}$ ,  $B = \{1, 2, 3\}$  *and*  $\alpha$  *is the relation from A to B defined by*

$$
\alpha = \{(1, 1), (1, 3), (2, 2), (2, 3)\}.
$$

*What is the adjacency matrix of α?*

**Solution.**

$$
M_{\alpha} = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right].
$$

**Practice Exercise.** For the same sets *A* and *B*, what is the adjacency matrix of the relation

 $\pi = \{(1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 1), (2, 2)\}$ ?

### **Conjunction of Adjacency Matrices**

Again suppose  $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_m\}$  and  $B = \{b_1, \ldots, b_n\}$ , and consider also a third set  $C = \{c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n\}$ . Suppose  $\alpha$  is a relation from *A* to *B* and  $\beta$  is a relation from *B* to *C*. Then  $M_\beta$  is an  $n \times p$  matrix with  $(i, j)$  entry

<span id="page-29-1"></span>
$$
\beta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } b_i \beta c_j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

We define the *conjunction* of  $M_\alpha$  and  $M_\beta$ , written  $M_\alpha \vee M_\beta$ , to be the  $m \times p$ array with *(i, j)* entry

$$
\gamma_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ik} \beta_{kj} \ge 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$
 (8.2)

(If  $M_\alpha$  is  $m \times n$  and  $M_\beta$  is  $n' \times p$ , where  $n \neq n'$ , then  $M_\alpha \vee M_\beta$  is not defined.)

**Sample Problem 8.16.** *Let A, B, and α be as in Sample Problem* [8.15](#page-29-0)*. Further define C* = {1*,* 2*,* 3*,* 4} *and*

*β* = {(1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 1), (2, 4), (3, 3)}.

*Find*  $M_{\alpha} \vee M_{\beta}$ *.* 

**Solution.** The matrix of *β* is

$$
M_{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

To find  $M_\alpha \vee M_\beta$  it suffices to find the ordinary matrix product  $M_\alpha M_\beta$  and replace any non-zero element by 1

$$
M_{\alpha}M_{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}
$$
 and  $M_{\alpha} \vee M_{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

**Practice Exercise.** If  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $C$ , and  $\alpha$  are as stated and

$$
\tau = \{(1, 1), (1, 3), (2, 2), (3, 1), (3, 4)\},\
$$

find  $M_{\alpha} \vee M_{\tau}$ .

Notice that  $αβ = \{(1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 1), (2, 3), (2, 4)\}$  and  $Mα ∨ Mβ = Mαβ$ . We prove that this happens in general.

**Theorem 57.** *Let*  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $C$ ,  $\alpha$ , and  $\beta$  *be as before. Then* 

- (i)  $M_{\alpha\beta} = M_{\alpha} \vee M_{\beta}$ ,
- (ii)  $M_{\alpha^{-1}} = M_{\alpha}^T$ , the transpose of  $M_{\alpha}$ , (iii)  $M_{\alpha\beta}^T = M_{\beta}^T \vee M_{\alpha}^T$ .

**Proof.** (i) Let  $\mu = \alpha \beta$ , so that  $M_{\mu} = M_{\alpha\beta}$  is the  $m \times p$  array  $(\sigma_{ij})$ , where

$$
\sigma_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a_i \mu c_j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

We see that the conjunction  $M_\alpha \vee M_\beta$  is also an  $m \times p$  array. Suppose its  $(i, j)$ entry is defined by *γ*, as in [\(8.2\)](#page-29-1). Now  $\gamma_{i,j} = 1$  if and only if

$$
\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{ik}\beta_{kj} \ge 1,
$$

that is, if and only if  $\alpha_{ik}\beta_{ki} = 1$  for at least one value of *k*. This is true if and only if  $\alpha_{ik} = 1 = \beta_{ki}$  for at least one *k*, or equivalently if and only if  $a_i \alpha b_k$  and  $b_k \beta c_j$  for at least one *k*. But this is just the statement that  $a_i \alpha \beta c_j$ , so  $\sigma_{i,j} = 1$ .

Conversely,  $\sigma_{i,j} = 1$  if and only if  $a_i \alpha \beta c_j$ , which is equivalent to the existence of at least one  $b_k$  such that  $a_i \alpha b_k$  and  $b_k \beta c_j$ . But now  $\alpha_{ik} = 1 = \beta_{kj}$ , so  $\gamma_{i,j} = 1$ .

Hence  $M_{\alpha} \vee M_{\beta} = M_{\alpha\beta}$ .

(ii) Let  $M^T = (\epsilon_{ij})_{n \times m}$ , where  $\epsilon_{ij} = \alpha_{ji}$ . Then  $M_\alpha$  is the correct size array for  $\alpha^{-1}$  :  $B \to A$ , as  $M_{\alpha^{-1}}$  is  $n \times m$ . Suppose the  $(i, j)$  element of  $M_{\alpha^{-1}}$  is  $\delta_{ij}$ ; then  $\delta_{ij} = 1$  if and only if  $b_i \alpha^{-1} a_j$ , or equivalently  $a_j \alpha b_i$ , so  $\delta_{ij} = 1$  if and only if  $\epsilon_{ij} = 1$ , which implies  $M^T = M_{\alpha^{-1}}$ .

(iii) 
$$
M_{\alpha\beta}^T = by
$$
 (ii)  
\t $= M_{\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}}$  by Theorem 22  
\t $= M_{\beta^{-1}} \vee M_{\alpha^{-1}}$  by (i)  
\t $= M_{\beta}^T \vee M_{\alpha}^T$  by (ii).

We observe some of the properties of these matrices. If  $\alpha$  is a relation on a set *A* with *m* elements, then  $M_\alpha$  is  $m \times m$ . If  $\alpha$  is reflexive, then  $M_\alpha$  has 1's down its diagonal; if  $\alpha$  is symmetric, then  $M_{\alpha}$  is symmetric in the usual matrix sense  $(a_{ij} = a_{ji})$ ; if  $\alpha$  is transitive and  $M_{\alpha\alpha} = M_{\alpha} \vee M_{\alpha}$  has 1 in the *(i, j)* position, then so does  $M_{\alpha}$ .

### **Adjacency Matrices of Graphs**

If the relation  $\alpha$  is represented both by the adjacency matrix  $M_{\alpha}$  and by a graph *G*, then  $M_{\alpha}$  is also called the *adjacency matrix of the graph G*. This is such a fundamental idea that we define it separately.

Suppose *G* is a graph with *v* vertices  $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_v\}$ . Then the *adjacency matrix*  $M_G$  of *G* is the  $v \times v$  matrix  $M_G$  with  $(i, j)$  entry

$$
m_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x_i \sim x_j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

The adjacency matrix depends on the order in which the vertices are taken. However, changing the order of the vertices results in a matrix that can be derived from the original by carrying out a reordering of the rows, and then carrying out an identical reordering of the columns. Many properties of matrices are left unchanged by operations like this.

The adjacency matrix of a graph is necessarily symmetric.

If *G* has *e* edges  $\{y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_e\}$ , then the *incidence matrix*  $N_G$  of *G* is the  $v \times e$ matrix with *(i, j)* entry

$$
n_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if vertex } x_i \text{ is incident with edge } a_j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

**Sample Problem 8.17.** *What are the adjacency and incidence matrices of K*2*,*3*?*

**Solution.** We take the vertices of  $K_{2,3}$  to be the sets  $\{1, 2\}$  and  $\{3, 4, 5\}$ . Taking the vertices in numerical order, the adjacency matrix is

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

If we take the edges in order *(*1*,* 3*)*, *(*1*,* 4*)*, *(*1*,* 5*)*, *(*2*,* 3*)*, *(*2*,* 4*)*, *(*2*,* 5*)*, the incidence matrix is

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

**Practice Exercise.** What are the adjacency and incidence matrices of *K*4?

The powers of the adjacency matrix of a graph *G* give information about *G*. Suppose  $M_G = (m_{ij})$ . Write  $x_1, x_2, \ldots$  for the vertices of *G*, and assume  $i \neq j$ . If  $m_{ik} = m_{kj} = 1$ , this means there are edges  $x_i x_k$  and  $x_k x_j$ , so there is a path from  $x_i$  to  $x_j$  passing through  $x_k$ . The number of two-edge paths from  $x_i$  to  $x_j$  equals the number of vertices  $x_k$  for which this is true. The adjacency matrix has entries 0 and 1, so we have actually observed that  $m_{ik}m_{ki} = 1$  when there is an  $x_i x_k x_j$  path and 0 otherwise. So  $\sum m_{ik}m_{kj}$  equals the number of different two-edge paths from  $x_i$  to  $x_j$ . The *i*th diagonal entry is  $\sum m_{ik} m_{ki} = \sum m_{ik}^2$ . As  $m_{ik} = 1$  or  $0, m_{ik}^2 = m_{ik}$ , so  $\sum m_{ik} m_{ki}$  equals the number of times  $m_{ik} = 1$ , the degree of  $x_i$ .

**Theorem 58.**  $M_G^2$  *has the degrees of the vertices on the diagonal, and the number of paths of length 2 joining the relevant vertices in its other positions.*

<span id="page-32-0"></span>For *n* larger than 2, the off-diagonal entries of  $M_G^n$  give the number of walks between the relevant vertices (not necessarily paths; for larger *n*, repeated vertices can occur). The situation with the diagonal entries is more complicated (see, for example, Exercise [8.5.23](#page-33-0)).

### **Exercises 8.5**

<span id="page-32-1"></span>*In Exercises* [1](#page-32-0) *to* [7,](#page-32-1) *write down the adjacency matrix of the relations. These relations were all defined in exercises in Section* 4.1.

- **1.** Exercises 4.1.1 and 4.1.2, relations *α,β*.
- <span id="page-32-2"></span>**2.** Exercises 4.1.3 and 4.1.4, relations *γ,δ*.
- **3.** Exercises 4.1.5 and 4.1.6, relations  $\epsilon, \phi$ .
- **4.** Exercise 4.1.7, relations *α,β*.
- **5.** Exercise 4.1.8, relations *ρ,σ*.
- **6.** Exercises 4.1.1 and 4.1.2, relations *αβ,βγ* .
- **7.** Exercise 4.1.8, relations *ρσ,σρ*.
- **8.** Suppose  $\alpha$  is a relation between finite sets A and B. What is the relationship between  $M_{\alpha}$  and  $M_{\alpha}$ ?
- **9.** Let  $A = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m\}$ ,  $B = \{b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n\}$ ,  $C = \{c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_p\}$  and  $D = \{d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_q\}$  be finite sets and  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  be relations from *A* to *B*, *B* to *C*, and *C* to *D*, respectively. Show that  $M_\alpha \vee (M_\beta \vee M_\gamma) = (M_\alpha \vee M_\beta) \vee M_\gamma$ 
	- (i) using the fact that composition of relations is associative;
	- (ii) working directly from the definition of matrix conjunction.
- **10.** Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be relations from A to B. What can you say about  $M_{\alpha}$  and  $M_{\beta}$  if *β* ⊆ *α*?
- **11.** Suppose  $\alpha$  is a relation from A to itself. What can you say about  $M_{\alpha}$  if  $\alpha$  is

(i) irreflexive? (ii) antisymmetric? (iii) atransitive?

<span id="page-33-1"></span>*In Exercises* [12](#page-33-1) *to* [18](#page-33-2), *write down the adjacency matrix and the incidence matrix of the graph*.

- <span id="page-33-2"></span>**12.** The complete graph  $K_5$ .
- 13. The cycle  $C_6$ .
- <span id="page-33-3"></span>**14.** The path  $P_5$ .
- 15. The wheel  $W_5$ .
- <span id="page-33-4"></span>**16.** The union  $C_3 \cup C_3$ .
- **17.** The star *K*1*,*5.
- **18.** The Petersen graph.
- *In Exercises* [19](#page-33-3) *and* [20,](#page-33-4) *use the adjacency matrix to answer the question*.
- <span id="page-33-0"></span>**19.** Find the number of paths of length 2 joining two vertices in the complete graph  $K_6$ .
- **20.** Find the number of paths of length 2 joining two vertices in the wheel  $W_6$ . (You will need to consider separately the various types of pairs of vertices.)
- **21.** Suppose *G* is a regular graph. What does this tell you about *MG*?
- **22.** Suppose *G* is any graph. What is the relationship between  $M_G$  and  $M_{\overline{G}}$ ? Compare your answer to Exercise [8.](#page-32-2)
- **23.** What information do the diagonal elements of  $M_G^2$  give about the graph  $G$ ?