## 359

## **Chapter 21** Hepatobiliary Cancer

Chien Peter Chen, Kim Huang, and Mack Roach III

## **GENERAL PEARLS**

- ~22,000 cases and 17,000 deaths per year in the U.S.
- Frequency: hepatocellular carcinoma (most common) > gallbladder cancer > extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma > intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (least common).

## LIVER (HEPATOCELLULAR)

## PEARLS

- 100–250× more common in patients with chronic Hepatitis B.
- 3–4× more common in men.
- Cirrhosis, Hepatitis C, and aflatoxin B exposure are also risk factors.
- Prevention: Hepatitis B vaccine.
- Screening tools frequently used in high-risk patients: serum alpha-fetoprotein, liver ultrasound.

## WORKUP

- Labs: CBC, LFTs, chemistries, coagulation panel, serum AFP (10–15% false negative), Hepatitis B/C panels.
- Abdominal CT scan (special contrast protocol).
- FNA can be performed but is not always needed.

VI

STAGING: HAPATOCELLULAR

Editors' note: All TNM stage and stage groups referred to elsewhere in this chapter reflect the 2002 AJCC staging nomenclature unless otherwise noted as the new system below was published after this chapter was written.

# (AJCC 6TH ED., 2002)

| _        |
|----------|
|          |
|          |
| -        |
|          |
| _        |
| <u> </u> |
| •        |
| _        |
| ~        |
| _        |
| _        |
| _        |
| -        |
|          |
| ~        |
| ~        |
|          |
| ~        |
| 19       |
| _        |
| ~        |
| _        |
|          |
| ~        |
| •        |
| <u> </u> |
|          |
|          |

- Primary tumor cannot be assessed TX:
  - No evidence of primary tumor T0:
- Solitary tumor without vascular invasion T1:
- Solitary tumor with vascular invasion or multiple tumors not more than 5 cm T2:
- Multiple tumors more than 5 cm or tumor involving a major branch of the portal or hepatic vein(s) T3:
- Tumor(s) with direct invasion of adjacent organs other than the gallbladder or with perforation of visceral peritoneum T4:

# Regional lymph nodes (N)

- Regional lymph nodes cannot be assessed XX
  - No regional lymph node metastasis :: N N N
    - Regional lymph node metastasis

## Distant metastasis (M)

- Distant metastasis cannot be assessed :XW
  - No distant metastasis M0: M1:
    - Distant metastasis

## ~5-year OS by stage T1N0M0 Stage grouping

- 50-60% 30-40% 10-20% ΗÜ T2N0M0 T3N0M0 [4N0M0 IIIA: ΞB
  - Any T, N1, M0 ШC ÿ
- Ξ Any T, Any N, M1

<10%

# (AJCC 7TH ED., 2010)

## Primary tumor (T)

- Primary tumor cannot be assessed ΪX
  - No evidence of primary tumor ΪÖ
- Solitary tumor with vascular invasion or multiple tumors not more Solitary tumor without vascular invasion Ξ T2:
  - than 5 cm
- Multiple tumors more than 5 cm T3a:
- Single tumor or multiple tumors of any size involving a major branch of the portal vein or hepatic vein T3b:
  - fumor(s) with direct invasion of adjacent organs other than the gallbladder or with perforation of visceral peritoneum 14:

# Regional lymph nodes (N)

- Regional lymph nodes cannot be assessed XX

  - No regional lymph node metastasis öZ
    - Regional lymph node metastasis :: .: .:

## Distant metastasis (M)

- No distant metastasis :0W
  - Distant metastasis M1:
- Anatomic stage/prognostic groups Any T Any N M1 Any T N1 M0 T3b N0 M0 T3a N0 M0 T4 N0 M0 T1 N0 M0 T2 N0 M0 IIB: NB: IIIA: Ü :VA:

Used with the permission from the American Joint Committee on Cancer US (AJCC), Chicago, IL. The original source for this material is the AJCC Cancer Staging Manual, Sixth Edition (2002), published by Springer Co Science-Business Media.

Used with the permission from the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC), Chicago, IL. The original source for this material is the AJCC Cancer Staging Manual, Seventh Edition (2010), published by Springer Science-Business Media.

VI

## TREATMENT RECOMMENDATIONS

| Presentation                          | Recommended treatment  |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Resectable                            | Partial hepatectomy  |
| Unresectable,<br>medically inoperable | <ul> <li>Liver transplant</li> <li>Ablation (radiofrequency, cryotherapy, alcohol)</li> <li>chemoembolization</li> <li>Conformal RT</li> <li>RT with concurrent chemotherapy</li> <li>SBRT</li> <li>Chemotherapy alone</li> <li>Supportive care</li> </ul> |

## SURGERY

- Partial hepatectomy is a treatment of choice if tumor can be resected with negative margins and patient has enough functional reserve to tolerate procedure.
  - Five-year overall survival ~35–40%
- Total hepatectomy with liver transplant is an option for patients with advanced cirrhosis and tumors smaller than 5 cm without vascular invasion.
  - Five-year overall survival as high as ~70% in selected patients
- Local failure is common.
- Role of adjuvant and neoadjuvant therapy unclear.

## ABLATIVE PROCEDURES/OTHER INTERVENTIONS

- Radiofrequency ablation best for deep tumors with a diameter of 3 cm or less.
- Cyroablation can treat tumors up to 6 cm in size but requires laparotomy.
- Alcohol injection is commonly used because it is inexpensive but is limited to small tumors and may require several injections to be effective.
- Cryoablation and alcohol injection no longer used in the US.
- Chemoembolization and intrahepatic artery chemotherapy have response rates of 40–50% but may not improve survival.
- Systemic chemotherapy not useful, response rates <20%, no survival benefit, what about sorafinib?
- Antiviral therapy for patients with chronic hepatitis.

## **RADIATION THERAPY**

- *EBRT definitive* 
  - Option for unresectable tumors.

- Use local field for each lesion.
- High doses may improve survival, use conformal techniques, SBRT.
- Consider addition of concurrent FUDR hepatic arterial chemotherapy.
- EBRT palliative
  - Whole liver.
  - Consider for patients with multiple small lesions and liver related symptoms who are not candidates for other therapies.
- <sup>131</sup>I Lipiodol
  - Intraarterial injection.
  - May decrease recurrences and improve overall survival.
- Yttrium microspheres

## STUDIES

- Borgelt et al. (1981): Whole liver RT can relieve symptoms of abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, fever, night sweats, ascites, anorexia, abdominal distention, weakness, fatigue.
- Russell et al. (1993): RTOG whole liver fractionation paper. patients treated with 1.5 b.i.d with dose escalation 27 Gy  $\rightarrow$  30 Gy  $\rightarrow$  33 Gy. No liver injury at 27 and 30 Gy. 5/51 patients had toxicity at 33 Gy. Authors suggest that 21 Gy may be insufficient radiation dose.
- Dawson et al. (2000): University of Michigan method for treating with high dose 3DCRT. Sixty-eight percent response rate. Survival improved with tumor doses of 70 Gy or higher.
- Dawson et al. (2002): Liver tolerance histograms. No radiation induced liver disease (RILD) with mean liver dose <31 Gy. Whole organ TD<sub>50</sub> for mets 45.8 Gy, for primary hepatobiliary 39.8 Gy.
- Mornex et al. (2006): Prospective phase II trial including 25 patients with small-size HCC (1 nodule ≤5 cm or 2 nodules ≤3 cm) received 66 Gy in 2 Gy/fraction 3DCRT. CR achieved in 80% and PR in 12%. Stable disease in 8%. Grade 4 toxicities occurred only in Child-Pugh B patients.
- Seong et al. (2007): Retrospective analysis of 305 patients undergoing radiotherapy for HCC. Median survival was 11 months. The 1-, 2-, and 5-year OS were 45%, 24%, and 6%, respectively.
- Tse et al. (2008): 41 patients (31 with HCC and 10 with intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma) completed six-fraction SBRT. Median dose was 36 Gy. Median survival of HCC was 11.7 months.
- Lau et al. (2008): 43 patients underwent curative resection for HCC and randomly assigned to one 1,850 MBq dose of

VI

<sup>131</sup>I-lipiodol or no adjuvant therapy. The 5-, 7-, and 10-year DFS in treatment and control groups were 62% vs. 32% (p = 0.04), 52% vs. 32% (p = 0.02), and 48% vs. 27% (p = 0.09), respectively. The 5-, 7-, and 10-year OS in treatment and control groups were 67% vs. 36% (p = 0.04), 67% vs. 32% (p = 0.02), and 52% and 27% (p = 0.09), respectively. DFS and OS difference became statistically insignificant at 8 year.

- Zeng et al. (2004): Retrospective analysis of 203 patients with unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma received transcetheter arterial chemoembolization (TACE) or combination therapy with external beam radiotherapy. OS for radiotherapy and nonradiotherapy groups for 1, 2, and 3 years were 72% vs. 60%, 42 vs. 26%, and 24% vs. 11%, respectively.
- Abou-Alfa et al. (2006): Phase II study including 137 patients with inoperable HCC, Child-Pugh A or B, no prior systemic treatment received oral sorafenib. Median OS 9.2 months.
- Llovet et al. (2008): Phase III, multicenter RCT including 602 patients with advanced HCC randomized to either sorafenib or placebo. Median OS was 10.7 months vs. 7.9 months (*p* < 0.001) for treatment vs. placebo. One-year OS 44% vs. 33% (*p* = 0.009).

## RADIATION TECHNIQUES SIMULATION AND FIELD DESIGN

- Supine with arms above head (out of field).
- Use wingboard to immobilize arms and alpha cradle to stabilize torso.
- Whole Liver (palliation only).
  - AP/PA, chose borders based on CT scan
  - 3DCRT reasonable because permits generation of kidney and lung DVHs
- Partial Liver (definitive option).
  - 3D treatment planning
  - Give contrast with planning CT scan to visualize tumor
  - CTV = gross tumor + 1 cm in all directions
  - PTV = CTV + 0.5 cm for setup error + 0.3–3 cm for organ motion error secondary to breathing (determined using fluoroscopy)
  - Stereotactic radiosurgery investigational

## DOSE PRESCRIPTIONS

- Whole liver: 21 Gy/7 fx.
- Partial liver: determined individually.

- Prescribe dose that gives 10% risk of RILD based on NTCP model.
- Limit isocenter dose to 90 Gy even if risk of RILD is less than 10%.
- 1.5 Gy BID with at least 6 h between fractions.

## DOSE LIMITATIONS

- Whole liver
  - TD 5/5: 30 Gy/15 fx
  - TD 50/5: 42 Gy/21fx
- 2/3 of liver TD 5/5: 50.4 Gy/28 fx
- 1/3 of liver TD 5/5: 68.4 Gy/38 fx

## COMPLICATIONS

- Refer to Dawson paper (above) to estimate risk of RILD.
- RILD occurs 2–8 weeks after treatment.
- Signs/symptoms include fatigue, RUQ pain, ascites, hepatomegaly.
- Alkaline phosphatase and transaminase levels are frequently markedly elevated while bilirubin levels remain near normal.

## FOLLOW-UP

• Office visit, CT scan, and labs (LFTs, AFP) every 3 months for 2 years, then every 6 months.

## GALLBLADDER

## PEARLS

- Chronic gallbladder inflammation (usually from gallstones) increases risk of development of gallbladder cancer.
- Generally considered to have poor prognosis, frequently advanced stage at presentation.
- Usually undiagnosed before cholecystectomy.
- Can be found incidentally after simple cholecystectomy for benign etiology.

## WORKUP

- Labs: CBC, LFTs, chemistries, coagulation panel, serum CEA, CA 19–9.
- Right upper quadrant US and/or abdominal CT scan and/or MRI.
- ERCP or percutaneous needle biopsy for diagnosis.

STAGING: GALLBLADDER

Editors' note: All TNM stage and stage groups referred to elsewhere in this chapter reflect the 2002 AJCC staging nomenclature unless otherwise noted as the new system below was published after this chapter was written.

# (AJCC 6TH ED., 2002)

| E     |  |
|-------|--|
| tumor |  |
| ary   |  |
| Prim  |  |

- Primary tumor cannot be assessed ΞX
  - No evidence of primary tumor ΤÖ
    - Carcinoma in situ Tis:
- Tumor invades lamina propria or muscle layer T1:
  - [1a: Tumor invades lamina propria Tumor invades muscle layer T1b:
- Tumor invades perimuscular connective tissue; no extension beyond serosa or into liver T2:
- Tumor perforates the serosa (visceral peritoneum) and/or directly invades he liver and/or another adjacent organ or structure, such as the stomach, duodenum, colon, or pancreas, omentum or extrahepatic bile ducts T3:
- fumor invades main portal vein or hepatic artery or invades multiple extrahepatic organs or structures T4:

# Regional lymph nodes (N)

- Regional lymph nodes cannot be assessed ΧX
  - No regional lymph node metastasis :: N N N
    - Regional lymph node metastasis

## Distant metastasis (M)

- Distant metastasis cannot be assessed :XW
  - No distant metastasis M0: M1:
    - Distant metastasis

# (AJCC 7TH ED., 2010)

## Primary tumor (T)

- Primary tumor cannot be assessed ΪX
  - No evidence of primary tumor
    - Carcinoma in situ Tis: ΓÖ
- Tumor invades lamina propria or muscular layer Tumor invades lamina propria T1a: 11:
  - Tumor invades muscular layer T1b: T2:
- Tumor invades perimuscular connective tissue; no extension beyond fumor perforates the serosa (visceral peritoneum) and/or directly serosa or into liver T3:
- the stomach, duodenum, colon, pancreas, omentum, or extrahepatic invades the liver and/or another adjacent organ or structure, such as bile ducts
  - Tumor invades main portal vein or hepatic artery or invades two or more extrahepatic organs or structures T4:

# Regional lymph nodes (N)

- Regional lymph nodes cannot be assessed XX
  - No regional lymph node metastasis öZ
- Metastases to nodes along the cystic duct, common bile duct, nepatic artery, and/or portal vein :EN
  - Metastases to periaortic, pericaval, superior mesenteric artery, and/ or celiac artery lymph nodes Z2:

## Distant metastasis (M)

- No distant metastasis :0W
  - Distant metastasis M1:

Stage grouping 0: TisN0M0 IA: T1N0M0

- T2N0M0 Ξ
- T3N0M0 IIA:
- T1N1M0, T2N1M0, T3N1M0 ΪÜ
  - T4, Any N, M0
    - Any T, Any N, M1 ÿ

(AJCC), Chicago, IL. The original source for this material is the AJCC Cancer Staging Manual, Sixth Edition (2002), published by Springer Science+Business Media. Used with the permission from the American Joint Committee on Cancer

Science+Business Media.

|--|

## TREATMENT RECOMMENDATIONS

| Presentation   | Recommended treatment  |
|--|--|
| Incidental finding<br>on cholecystectomy<br>pathology, T1a                     | <ul><li>Cholecystectomy is adequate surgery.</li><li>No adjuvant therapy</li></ul>   |
| Incidental finding<br>on cholecystectomy<br>pathology, T1b or<br>more advanced | <ul> <li>Additional resection with lymphadenectomy</li> <li>Adjuvant treatment with RT and concurrent 5FU based chemo</li> </ul>                                       |
| Mass on imaging or jaundice, resectable  | <ul><li>Surgery with lymphadenectomy</li><li>Adjuvant treatment with RT and concurrent 5FU based chemo</li></ul>   |
| Mass on imaging<br>or jaundice,<br>unresectable                                | <ul> <li>Biliary decompression if needed</li> <li>RT with concurrent 5FU based chemo</li> <li>Gemcitabine or 5FU based chemo alone</li> <li>Supportive care</li> </ul> |

## SURGERY

- Cholecystectomy possible in ~30% of patients.
- Radical cholecystectomy with partial hepatectomy for node negative patients with invasion of perimuscular connective tissue.
- Palliation.

## **ADJUVANT THERAPY**

• Role of EBRT and chemo-RT unclear, but generally recommended for residual disease after surgery.

## STUDIES

- Cubertafond et al. (1999): Review of surgical data for 724 patients. Five-year survival: Tis 93%, T1 18%, T2 10%. No 3-year survivors with T3/4 cancer.
- North et al. (1998): Review of surgical data for 162 patients. Median survival: complete resection 67 months, microscopic residual disease 9 months, gross residual disease 4 months. Some patients received chemo and/or RT.
- Mojica et al. (2007): Retrospective analysis of 3,187 cases of gallbladder cancer in SEER registry. Adjuvant RT was used in 17% of cases. Median survival for patients receiving adjuvant RT was 14 months compared to 8 months ( $p \le 0.001$ ) for those not receiving RT. Survival benefit only for those with regional spread and tumors infiltrating liver.

- Duffy et al. (2008): Retrospective analysis of 435 patients with gallbladder cancer. Median OS was 10 months with median survival of stage Ia-III of 12.9 months and stage IV of 6 months. Of those who received curative resections (123 patients), 20% received adjuvant therapy. Median survival for those who received adjuvant therapy was 23.4 months, but was not statistically significant.
- Czito et al. (2005): Retrospective analysis of 22 patients with primary and nonmetastatic gallbladder cancer treated with surgical resection followed by concurrent radiotherapy (median dose 45 Gy) and 5FU chemotherapy. Five-year LRC, DFS, and OS were 59%, 33%, and 37%, respectively. Median survival was 1.9 year.
- SEER (Wang 2008): 4,180 patients with resected gallbladder cancer, 18% received adjuvant RT. Adjuvant RT improved MS for ≥T2N+ disease from 8 to 15 months. Some patients with ≥T2N0 disease may benefit, but to a smaller degree. Nomogram derived in paper.

## **RADIATION TECHNIQUES**

## SIMULATION AND FIELD DESIGN

- Supine with arms above head (out of field).
- Use wingboard to immobilize arms and alpha cradle to stabilize torso.
- CT scan for treatment planning.
- Cover tumor bed and local regional lymph nodes including portahepatis, pericholechal, celiac, and pancreaticoduodenal.

## DOSE PRESCRIPTION

■ 45 Gy/25 fx followed by boost to reduced fields.

## DOSE LIMITATIONS

- Small bowel <45–50.4 Gy/25–28 fx
- Spinal cord <45 Gy/25 fx
- Liver (see previous sections, use NTCP model)
- Kidney 1/3≤20 Gy

## COMPLICATIONS

- RILD
- Small bowel obstruction
- Fistula formation

## VI

## FOLLOW-UP

See liver section above

## **BILE DUCT**

## PEARLS

- Divided into intrahepatic (IHCC) and extrahepatic (EHCC) cholangiocarcinoma.
- Klatskin (hilar) tumor is located at birufication of common hepatic duct and is classified as extrahepatic.
- History of primary sclerosing cholangitis gives 10% lifetime risk of developing cholangiocarcinoma.
- Chronic inflammation from tape worm infection increases risk of developing cholangiocarcinma.
- Cholecystectomy decreases risk of cholangiocarcinoma.
- ~55% of patients are lymph node positive at diagnosis.

## WORKUP

- Labs: CBC, LFTs, chemistries, coagulation panel, CA 19–9, CEA, Hepatitis B/C.
- Right upper quadrant US and/or abdominal CT scan and/or MRI.
- ERCP with biopsy.

STAGING: INTRAHEPATIC BILE DUCT

Editors' note: All TNM stage and stage groups referred to elsewhere in this chapter reflect the 2002 AJCC staging nomenclature unless otherwise noted as the new system below was published after this chapter was written.

# AJCC 6TH ED., 2002)

## Primary tumor (T)

- Primary tumor cannot be assessed ΪX
  - No evidence of primary tumor Ë
    - Carcinoma in situ Tis:
- fumor confined to bile duct histologically Ë
  - Tumor invades beyond wall of bile duct T2: T3:
- branches of the portal vein (right or left) or hepatic artery (right or Tumor invades the liver, gallbladder, pancreas, and/or unilateral left)
- Tumor invades any of the following: main portal vein or its branches bilaterally, common hepatic artery, or other adjacent structures, such as the colon, stomach, duodenum, or abdominal wall T4:

# Regional lymph nodes (N)

- Regional lymph nodes cannot be assessed XX
  - No regional lymph node metastasis
  - Regional lymph node metastasis :: N N N

## Distant metastasis (M)

- MX: Distant metastasis cannot be assessed
  - No distant metastasis :0W
    - Distant metastasis M1:

## Stage grouping ö

- **FisNOMO** T1N0M0 IA:
  - T2N0M0
    - ä
- F1N1M0, T2N1M0, T3N1M0 **T3N0M0** IIA:

# (AJCC 7TH ED., 2010)

## Primary tumor (T)

- Primary tumor cannot be assessed ΤX
  - No evidence of primary tumor ΤÖ
- Carcinoma in situ (intraductal tumor) Tis:
- Solitary tumor without vascular invasion Γ1:
- Multiple tumors, with or without vascular invasion Solitary tumor with vascular invasion T2a: T2b:
- Tumor perforating the visceral peritoneum or involving the local extra nepatic structures by direct invasion T3:
  - **Fumor** with periductal invasion T4:

# Regional lymph nodes (N)

- Regional lymph nodes cannot be assessed XX
  - No regional lymph node metastasis :: 2 2 2 2
- Regional lymph node metastasis present

## Distant metastasis (M)

- No distant metastasis :0W
- Distant metastasis present M1:

# Anatomic stage/prognostic groups

CHAPTER 21: HEPATOBILIARY CANCER 371

- Tis N0 M0
- **T1 N0 M0**
- T2 N0 M0 Ë
- **T3 N0 M0** Ë
  - T4 N0 M0 IVA:
- Any T N1 M0
- IVB:
- Any T any N M1

| MO         | N, M1      |
|------------|------------|
| T4, any N, | Any T, any |
| Ë          | ż          |

Used with the permission from the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC). Chicago, H., The original source for this material is the AJCC

Used with the permission from the American Joint Commutee on Cancer (AJCC), Chicago, IL. The original source for this material is the AJCC Cancer Staging Manual, Sixth Edition (2002), published by Springer Science-Business Media.

Used with the permission from the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC), Chicago, IL. The original source for this material is the AJCC cancer Staging Manual, Seventh Edition (2010), published by Springer Science+Business Media.

## STAGING (AJCC 7TH ED., 2010): PERIHILAR BILE DUCT

*Editors' note:* All TNM stage and stage groups referred to elsewhere in this chapter reflect the 2002 AJCC staging nomenclature unless otherwise noted as the new system below was published after this chapter was written.

## Primary tumor (T)

- TX: Primary tumor cannot be assessed
- T0: No evidence of primary tumor
- Tis: Carcinoma in situ
- T1: Tumor confined to the bile duct, with extension up to the muscle layer or fibrous tissue
- T2a: Tumor invades beyond the wall of the bile duct to surrounding adipose tissue
- T2b: Tumor invades adjacent hepatic parenchyma
- T3: Tumor invades unilateral branches of the portal vein or hepatic artery
- T4: Tumor invades main portal vein or its branches bilaterally; or the common hepatic artery; or the second-order biliary radicals bilaterally; or unilateral second-order biliary radicals with contralateral portal vein or hepatic artery involvement

## Regional lymph nodes (N)

- NX: Regional lymph nodes cannot be assessed
- N0: No regional lymph node metastasis
- N1: Regional lymph node metastasis (including nodes along the cystic duct, common bile duct, hepatic artery, and portal vein)
- N2: Metastasis to periaortic, pericaval, superior mesenteric artery, and/or celiac artery lymph nodes

## Distant metastasis (M)

- M0: No distant metastasis
- M1: Distant metastasis

## Anatomic stage/prognostic groups

0: Tis N0 M0 I: T1 N0 M0 II: T2a-b N0 M0

- IIIA: T3 N0 M0
- IIIB: T1-3 N1 M0
- IVA: T4 N0-1
- IVB: Any T N2 M0 Any T any N M1

Used with the permission of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC), Chicago, IL. The original source for this material is the AJCC Cancer Staging Manual, Seventh Edition (2010) published by Springer Science+Business Media.

## STAGING (AJCC 7TH ED., 2010): DISTAL BILE DUCT

*Editors' note:* All TNM stage and stage groups referred to elsewhere in this chapter reflect the 2002 AJCC staging nomenclature unless otherwise noted as the new system below was published after this chapter was written.

## Primary tumor (T)

- TX: Primary tumor cannot be assessed
- T0: No evidence of primary tumor
- Tis: Carcinoma in situ
- T1: Tumor confined to the bile duct histologically
- T2: Tumor invades beyond the wall of the bile duct

- T3: Tumor invades the gallbladder, pancreas, duodenum, or other adjacent organs without involvement of the celiac axis, or the superior mesenteric artery
- T4: Tumor involves the celiac axis, or the superior mesenteric artery

### Regional lymph nodes (N)

- NX: Regional lymph nodes cannot be assessed
- N0: No regional lymph node metastasis
- N1: Regional lymph node metastasis

### Distant metastasis (M)

- M0: No distant metastasis
- M1: Distant metastasis

## Anatomic stage/prognostic groups

0: Tis N0 M0 IA: T1 N0 M0 IB: T2 N0 M0 IIA: T3 N0 M0 IIB: T1-T3 N1 M0 III: T4 Any N M0 IV: Any T any N M1

Used with the permission of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC), Chicago, IL. The original source for this material is the AJCC Cancer Staging Manual, Seventh Edition (2010), published by Springer Science+Business Media.

## STAGING (AJCC 7TH ED., 2010): AMPULLA OF VATER

### Primary Tumor (T)

- TX: Primary tumor cannot be assessed
- T0: No evidence of primary tumor
- Tis: Carcinoma in situ
- T1: Tumor limited to ampulla of Vater or sphincter of Oddi
- T2: Tumor invades duodenal wall
- T3: Tumor invades pancreas
- T4: Tumor invades peripancreatic soft tissues or other adjacent organs or structures other than pancreas

## Regional Lymph Nodes (N)

- NX: Regional lymph nodes cannot be assessed
- N0: No regional lymph node metastasis
- N1: Regional lymph node metastasis

### Distant Metastasis (M)

- M0: No distant metastasis
- M1: Distant metastasis

## Anatomic Stage/Prognostic Groups

- 0: Tis N0 M0
- IA: T1 N0 M0
- IB: T2 N0 M0
- IIA: T3 N0 M0
- IIB: T1-T3 N1 M0
- III: T4 Any N M0
- IV: Any T Any N M1

Used with the permission of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC), Chicago, Illinois. The original source for this material is the AJCC Cancer Staging Manual, Seventh Edition (2010) published by Springer Science+Business Media.

## TREATMENT RECOMMENDATIONS

## Presentation

## **Recommended treatment**

## Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma

Resectable No residual disease

Resectable Residual disease (MS 10–20 months; 5-year OS 20–35%)

Unresectable (MS 7 months; 5-year OS 0%)

- Surgery followed by observation
- Surgical resection, repeat resection if possible
- Ablative procedure
- RT with concurrent 5FU based chemo
- Consider SBRT
- Gemcitabine based chemo alone
- Ablative procedure
- RT with concurrent 5FU based chemo
- Consider SBRT
- 5FU or gemcitabine based chemo alone
- Supportive care

## Extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma

Resectable No residual disease (MS 20–25 months; 5-year OS 30%)

Resectable Residual disease (MS 13 months)

Unresectable (MS 6–12 months)

- Surgery followed by observation
- VI
- Surgery followed RT with concurrent 5FU based chemo
- RT with concurrent 5FU based chemo (consider brachytherapy boost)
- RT with concurrent 5FU based chemo followed by transplant
- 5FU or gemcitabine based chemo alone
- Supportive care

## SURGERY

- Complete surgical resection is the most effective treatment.
- Surgical procedure depends on tumor location and extent of disease.
  - Partial hepatectomy or lobectomy for intrahepatic tumors.
  - Roux-en-y hepaticojejunostomy for hilar tumors.
  - Pancreaticoduodenectomy for distal lesions.
  - Liver transplant.
- Palliative Options biliary enteric bypass, percutaneous transhepatic biliary drainage, stents.

## **ADJUVANT THERAPY**

- Not studied prospective.
- Adjuvant RT and chemotherapy may improve overall survival.

## STUDIES

- Todoroki et al. (2000): 63 patients. Treatment: surgical resection. RT given to 28/47 with microscopic disease and 13/14 with gross residual disease. 5-year OS with RT 32 months vs. surgery alone 13.5 months. RT group OS: IORT+EBRT 39%, IORT alone 17%, EBRT alone 0%. LRC with RT 79% vs. with surgery alone 31.2%. IORT dose recommendations 20 Gy, 8 MeV electrons, 6 cm cone.
- Schoenthaler et al. (1994): UCSF experience. 129 patients, retrospective, extrahepatic ducts only. Treatment: 62 patients surgery alone, 45 patients surgery + conventional RT (46 Gy median), 22 patients surgery + charged particles (60 GyE median). MS: 6.5 months with surgery, 11 months with surgery + EBRT, 14 months with surgery + particles, 7 months with gross residual disease, 19 months with microscopic residual disease, and 39 months with negative margins.
- Alden and Mohiuddin (1994): Unresectable disease. Higher RT doses improve survival. MS: 44 Gy = 4.5 months, 45–54 Gy = 18 months, >54 Gy = 24 months. Recommended dose is 45 Gy EBRT with a 25-Gy intraluminal brachytherapy boost.
- Crane et al. (2002): 52 patients, locally advanced, unresectable treated with RT + chemo (73% of patients, PVI 5FU). Median time to local progression: 9 months after 30 Gy, 11 months after 36–50.4 Gy, 15 months after 54–85 Gy (*p*=ns). MS 10 months. Grade 3 toxicity similar in all groups.
- Borghero et al. (2008): Retrospective analysis of 65 patients with extrahepatic bile duct adenocarcinoma treated with curativeintent resection (S). For those with high-risk of local regional recurrence (42 patients), adjuvant chemoradiation (S-CRT) was implemented. Five-year OS and LRR for S- vs. S-CRT groups were 36% vs. 42% and 38% vs. 37%, respectively.
- Nelson et al. (2009): Retrospective analysis of 45 patients underwent resection followed by concurrent chemoradiation. Thirty-three patients underwent adjuvant radiotherapy and 12 neoadjuvant radiotherapy. Five-year OS, DFS, LRC were 33%, 37%, and 78%, respectively. Median survival was 34 months. Patients treated neoadjuvantly showed a trend toward longer 5-year OS (53% vs. 23%) but was not statistically significant.

## RADIATION TECHNIQUES SIMULATION AND FIELD DESIGN

- Supine with arms above head (out of field).
- Use wingboard to immobilize arms and alpha cradle to stabilize torso.
- CT scan for treatment planning.
- Cover tumor bed, porta hepatis, celiac axis + 1.5 cm margins.
- Consider extending field 3–5 cm into liver to cover additional intrahepatic bile duct length for margin.
- Add additional margins as needed to account for organ motion secondary to breathing, determined using fluoroscopy or perform 4D CT to define ITV.

## DOSE PRESCRIPTION

- 45 Gy/25 fx to large field described above.
- Additional boost dose should be given. Options include: EBRT with conedown to tumor bed to 60 Gy total; <sup>192</sup>Ir intraluminal brachytherapy (20–25 Gy); IORT at time of surgery.

## DOSE LIMITATIONS

See liver and gallbladder sections.

## COMPLICATIONS

- RILD rare, as much of the liver can be excluded from the field.
- Cholangitis after brachytherapy.
- Small bowel damage (ulcer, bleeding, obstruction).

## FOLLOW-UP

See liver section above.

## REFERENCES

- Abou-Alfa GK, Schwartz L, Ricci S, et al. Phase II Study of Sorafenib in Patients with Advanced Hepatocellular Carcinoma. J Clin Oncol 2006;24: 4293-4300.
- Alden ME, Mohiuddin M. The Impact of Radiation Dose in Combined External Beam and Intraluminal Ir-192 Brachytherapy for Bile Duct Cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1994;28:945-951.
- Borgelt BB, Gelber R, Brady LW, et al. The Palliation of Hepatic Metastases: Results of the Radiation Therapy Oncology Group Pilot Study. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1981;7: 587-591.
- Borghero Y, Crane CH, Szklaruk J, et al. Extrahepatic Bile Duct Adenocarcinoma: Patients at High-Risk for Local Recurrence Treated with Surgery and Adjuvant Chemoradiation Have an Equivalent Overall Survival to Patients with Standard-Risk Treated with Surgery Alone. Ann Surg Oncol 2008;15: 3147-3156.
- Crane CH, MacDonald KO, Vauthey JN, et al. Limitations of Conventional Doses of Chemoradiaion for Unresectable Biliary Cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2002;53:969-974.

- Cubertafond P, Mathonnet M, Gainant A, et al. Radical Surgery For Gallbladder Cancer. Results of the French Surgical Association Survey. Hepatogastroenerology 1999;46:1567-1571.
- Czito BG, Hurwitz HI, Clough RW, et al. Adjuvant External-Beam Radiotherapy with Concurrent Chemotherapy After Resection of Primary Gallbladder Carcinoma: A 23-Year Experience. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2005;62: 1030-1034.
- Dawson LA, McGinn CJ, Normolle D, et al. Escalated Focal Liver Radiation and Concurrent Hepatic Artery Flourodeoxyuridine for Unresectable Intrahepatic Malignancies. J Clin Oncol 2000;18:2210-2218.
- Dawson LA, Normolle D, Balter JM, et al. Analysis of Radiation-Induced Liver Disease Using the Lyman NTCP Model. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2002;53:810-821.
- Duffy A, Capanu M, Abou-Alfa GK, et al. Gallbladder Cancer (GBC): 10-Year Experience at Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Centre (MSKCC). J Surg Oncol 2008; 98:485-489.
- Lau WY, Lai EC, Leung TW, et al. Adjuvant Intra-arterial Iodine-131 Labeled Lipiodol for Resectable Hepatocellular Carcinoma: A Prospective Randomized Trial – Update on 5-Year and 10-Year Survival. Ann Surg 2008;247:43-48.
- Llovet JM, Ricci S, Mazzaferro V, et al. Sorafenib in Advanced Hepatocellular Carcinoma. N Engl J Med 2008;359:378-390.
- Mojica P, Smith D, and Ellenhorn J. Adjuvant Radiation Therapy is Associated with Improved Survival for Gallbladder Carcinoma with Regional Metastatic Disease. J Surg Oncol 2007;96:8-13.
- Mornex F, Girarda N, Beziat C, et al. Feasibility and efficacy of high-dose three-dimensional radiotherapy in cirrhotic patients with small-size hepatocellular carcinoma non-eligible for curative therapies – mature results of the French phase II RTF-1 trial. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2006;66:1152-8.
- National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Hepatobiliary Cancers. Available at: http://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\_gls/PDF/hepatobiliary. pdf. Accessed on May 11, 2009.
- Nelson JW, Ghafoori AP, Willett CG, et al. Concurrent Chemoradiotherapy in Resected Extrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2009;73:148-153.
- North JH, Pack MS, Hong C, et al. Prognostic Factors for Adenocarcinoma of the Gallbladder: An analysis of 162 Cases. Am Surg 1998;64:437-440.
- Russell AH, Clyde C, Wasserman TH, et al. Accelerated Hyperfractionated Hepatic Irradiation in the Management of Patients with Liver Metastases: Results of the RTOG Dose Escalating Protocol. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1993;27:117-123.
- Seong J, Shim SJ, Lee IJ, et al. Evaluation of the prognostic value of Okuda, Cancer of the Liver Italian Program, and Japan Integrated Staging systems for hepatocellular carcinoma patients undergoing radiotherapy. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2007;67:1037-1042.
- Schoenthaler R, Phillips TL, Efrid JT, et al. Carcinoma of the Extrahepatic Bile Ducts, the University of California at San Francisco Experience. Annals of Surgery 1994;219:267-274.
- Tse RV, Kim JJ, Hawkins M, et al. Phase I Study of Individualized Stereotactic Body Radiotherapy for Hepatocellular Carcinoma and Intrahepatic Cholangenic Sarcoma. J Clin Oncol 2008;Epub Jan 2.
- Todoroki T, Ohara K, Kawamoto T, et al. Benefits of Adjuvant Radiotherapy After Radical Resection of Locally Advanced Main Hepatic Duct Carcinoma. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2000;46:581-587.
- Wang SJ, Fuller CD, Kim JS, et al. Prediction model for estimating the survival benefit of adjuvant radiotherapy for gallbladder cancer. J Clin Oncol 2008; 26: 2116-2117.
- Zeng ZC, Tang ZY, Fan J, et al. A comparison of chemoembolization combination with and without radiotherapy for unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma. Cancer J 2004;10:307-316.

## FURTHER READING

- Bartlet DL, Di Bisceglie AM, Dawson LA. Cancer of the Liver. In: DeVita VT, Lawrence TS, Rosenberg SA, editors. Cancer, Principles and Practice of Oncology. 8th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Willaims & Wilkins, 2008. 1129-1156.
- Ben-Josef E, Lawrence TS. Hepatobiliary Tumors. In: Gunderson LL, Tepper JE, editors. Clinical Radiation Oncology. 2nd ed. Philadelphia: Churchill Livingstone; 2007. 1083-1100.
- Cheng SH, Huang AT. Liver and Hepatobiliary Tract. In: Halperin EC, Perez CA, Brady LW, et al, editors. Principles and Practice of Radiation Oncology. 5th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2008. 1349-1365.
- Fritz P, Brambs HJ, Schraube P, et al. Combined External Beam Radiotherapy and Intraluminal High Dose Rate Brachytherapy on Bile Duct Carcinomas. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1994;29:855-861.

- Greene FL, American Joint Committee on Cancer, American Cancer Society. AJCC Cancer Staging Manual. 6th ed. New York: Springer-Verlag; 2002.
- Morganti AG, Trodella L, Valentini V, et al. Combined Modality Treatment in Unresectable Extrahepatic Biliary Carcinoma. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2000;46:913-919.

Stevens, KR. The Liver and Biliary System. In: Cox JD, Ang KK, editors. Radiation Oncology: Rationale, Technique, Results. 8th ed. St. Louis: Mosby; 2003. 481-496.

Urego M, Flickinger JC, Carr BI. Radiotherapy and Multimodality Management of Cholangiocarcinoma. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1999;44:121-126.

Wagman R, Schoenthaler R. Cancer of the Liver, Bile Duct, and Gallbladder. In: Leibel SA, Phillips TL, editors. Textbook of Radiation Oncology. 2nd ed. Philadelphia: Saunders; 2004. 857-884.