

Reproductive Complications and Sexual Dysfunction in Cancer Survivors

Leslie R. Schover

Defining the Population at Risk for Reproductive Complications

This chapter will review risk factors and management for three types of reproductive complications of cancer treatment: infertility, menopausal symptoms, and sexual dysfunction. Each problem area affects unique, albeit overlapping, populations of cancer patients and survivors.

Risk Factors for Cancer-Related Infertility

The demographics of cancer survivorship and delayed childbearing ensure that increasing numbers of patients will have their family-building disrupted by cancer treatment. The success of cancer treatment for malignancies that affect young people, such as pediatric cancers, testicular cancer, and Hodgkin's Disease, has yielded a large population of cancer survivors. According to the National Health Information Survey of 2001,¹ 2.2% of adults aged 18 to 44 in the United States have been diagnosed with cancer. Extrapolating based on statistics for this age group from the United States 2000 Census,² approximately 2.5 million adults of childbearing age are cancer survivors. It is more difficult to specify how many have faced infertility, but most probably had treatment with gonadotoxic chemotherapy, and smaller numbers would be at risk for infertility because of surgery or radiation therapy affecting the reproductive system.

Another trend that increases the salience of cancer and fertility is delayed childbearing in American families. Birth rates for women in their thirties have been climbing steadily, reaching a high in 2001 of 95.6 per 1,000 women aged 30–34 and 41.4 per 1,000 women aged 35–39.³ Births to women aged 40–44 have more than doubled since 1981 to 8.1 per 1,000 women. According to the United States Census report for 2000, the percentage of childless women age 30–34 has jumped from 19.8% in 1980 to 28.1% in 2000, and for women aged 35–39 from 12.1% in 1980 to 20.1% in 2000.⁴ When these women are ready to conceive, some will receive the unwelcome news of a malignancy. Data on paternal age are

not readily available, but in 1995 in the United States, men at marriage were on the average 2.7 years older than their brides so that men, too, would be more at risk currently to have cancer interfere with their fertility.⁵

Infertility Related Directly to a Malignancy

For a few types of malignancy, for example testicular cancer, the risk of infertility and risk of cancer are related. In a cohort of 3,530 Danish men who were born between 1945 and 1980 and developed testicular cancer from 1960 to 1993, the standardized fertility rate was significantly lower (ratio 0.93) than for all 1,488,957 Danish men born in the same era.⁶ Fertility was particularly reduced in the two years leading up to cancer diagnosis, and for men with nonseminomatous tumors (ratio 0.87). Furthermore, men who developed testicular cancer were less likely than men in the general population to conceive male children, possibly indicating a genetic or environmental factor.

Skakkebaek and his colleagues believe that a testicular dysgenesis syndrome (TDS) is increasing in frequency in Western countries because of environmental influences in utero, perhaps combined with a genetic susceptibility factor. The syndrome includes testicular cancer, undescended testes, hypospadias, and decreased semen quality.⁷ Although the evidence for TDS, and in particular the influence of endocrine disrupting pollutants, remains controversial, it is clear that men with testis cancer have a high percentage of abnormalities in the contralateral testis suggesting abnormal fetal development of these tissues.⁸ The standardized incidence ratios of testis cancer in 32,442 men who had a semen analysis at the laboratory in Copenhagen between the years of 1963 and 1995 were compared with rates in the general population of Danish men.⁹ Parameters of poor semen quality, including low count, poor motility, and abnormal morphology, were all associated with increased risk of testis cancer (standardized incidence ratios of 2.3–3.0).

In women, a recent evidence-based review of the link between infertility and cancer risk concluded that borderline ovarian tumors are slightly more common in women

diagnosed with infertility.¹⁰ It is less clear whether infertile women are at increased risk for invasive ovarian cancer, but rates may be elevated in those who never achieve a pregnancy or among women with endometriosis. In contrast, infertility does not appear to be a risk factor for breast cancer.¹⁰ Although most cohort and case-control studies have not demonstrated a link between using ovarian stimulating drugs to treat female infertility and subsequent cancer risk for any site,¹¹ a recent comparison of 4,575 women with breast cancer and 4,682 controls found that women who used human menopausal gonadotropin for at least 6 cycles had a greater relative risk of breast cancer (2.7–3.8).¹²

Infertility Caused by Cancer Treatment

Many cancer patients are put at risk for infertility by the therapies used to eradicate or control their malignancy. Surgical treatment for pelvic cancer may remove a critical part of the reproductive organ system, e.g. bilateral orchiectomy for prostate cancer or for asynchronous testicular tumors, or bilateral oophorectomy as part of treatment for gynecological malignancies or as prevention for breast or ovarian cancer in women with BRCA mutations.¹³ Treatment of prostate or bladder cancer may entail removal of the prostate and seminal vesicles and the vagina or uterus may be removed to treat vaginal, cervical, or uterine cancer. Nerves controlling antegrade ejaculation of semen may be damaged in retroperitoneal lymphadenectomy for testicular cancer¹⁴ or in surgery for colorectal cancer.¹⁵

Radiation therapy to the pelvis damages fertility because developing gametes and ovarian follicles, like cancer cells, are more likely to be in the genetically vulnerable, proliferative state.¹⁶ Patients treated for prostate or cervical cancer, or those who have total body irradiation as preparation for bone marrow transplant, are the most common groups to experience radiation-associated infertility.

Chemotherapy drugs also interfere with gametogenesis because maturing sperm and oocytes are vulnerable to the toxins that damage rapidly-growing cancer cells.^{17,18} Alkylating drugs (including the platinum-based chemotherapies) are most likely to damage fertility. The likelihood of permanent ovarian failure in women increases with cumulative dose and age, and is manifested as decreased numbers of follicles, atretic follicles, and fibrotic changes in the ovary.¹⁹ Spermatogenesis is even more vulnerable to disruption by chemotherapy, with a similar pattern of risk factors in terms of dosage and type of drugs.²⁰ The impact of male age on fertility after cancer is unclear, but in general men over age 45 take longer to establish a pregnancy and have decreased conception rates.²¹

Preventing and Managing Cancer-Related Infertility

Preserving fertility is highly important to men and women diagnosed with cancer before completing their families. Although research on the psychosocial aspects of cancer-related infertility is limited, surveys and qualitative interview studies concur that most survivors feel healthy enough to be good parents, believe that their experience of cancer has increased the value they place on family closeness, are par-

ticularly distressed about infertility if childless, and are not getting enough information on options to spare or treat fertility.^{22–26}

Utilization of infertility services in the United States is limited even for the population at large. Less than 50% of women with infertility seek medical consultation and only 1.6% use assisted reproductive technology.²⁷ Although male factors explain roughly half of infertility, no statistics are available on men's use of infertility services.²⁸ This gives some context for help-seeking among cancer survivors with infertility.

Preventing Cancer-Related Infertility

Obviously, it is preferable to prevent cancer-related infertility rather than to try treating it after the fact. Hormonal manipulation during chemotherapy may be used to try to minimize damage to the gonads. In addition, when treatment of a particular malignancy has become highly successful, efforts have been made to spare fertility in younger patients by using less toxic chemotherapy drugs or by limiting cancer surgery. Several options are available to cryopreserve gametes or embryos before cancer treatment for later use in conception, although assisted reproductive technology is typically required. Each of these options will be reviewed, and the level of evidence for its efficacy examined.

HORMONAL PREVENTION

In men, efforts during chemotherapy to protect the spermatogonia A cells that produce mature spermatozoa have included prescribing GnRH analogues with or without accompanying testosterone. Despite promising results in animals, human trials have been uniformly disappointing.²⁹ Howell and Shalet speculate that continuing hormonal treatment for several months after finishing chemotherapy might have more success, allowing surviving stem cells to recover and renew spermatogenesis. If no spermatogonia survive chemotherapy or radiation therapy, however, continuing hormonal treatment will be fruitless. Even in the prepubertal testis, cancer therapies damage fertility because the Leydig, Sertoli, and germ cells are not truly quiescent, but continue to develop,³⁰ making them vulnerable to toxic cancer therapies.

Efforts at hormonal protection of the ovaries during chemotherapy in women have had more promising results, but double-blind randomized trials are still lacking. The largest case-control cohort has been followed by Blumenfeld in Israel.³¹ An injectable GnRH agonist was administered, beginning 1 to 2 weeks before chemotherapy and continuing for up to 6 months, to a group of 60 women aged 15 to 40 being treated for lymphoma. All but 3 of the surviving women resumed menstruation by the end of the first year, compared to only 45% of 60 women treated with chemotherapy alone, without hormonal protection. Inhibin -A and -B levels decreased during GnRH administration, normalizing only in the women who resumed menstruation.¹⁸ Although the GnRH and comparison groups did not differ on age, tumor type, cumulative dose of chemotherapy drugs, or exposure to radiation therapy, the comparison group consisted either of historical controls or women who were not seen in time to start the GnRH-agonist before chemotherapy.³¹ Obviously, selection bias is possible.

The use of a GnRH-agonist during adjuvant chemotherapy for breast cancer is attractive because it not only may protect against ovarian failure in young women, but could potentially add to cancer control. In a Phase II pilot study, a group in Rome administered the long-acting GnRH analog goserelin for one year during adjuvant chemotherapy to 64 newly diagnosed women with breast cancer, aged 18 to 50 and without distant metastases.³² Dosage and drug regimen depended on cancer stage. At a median follow-up time of 55 months, 86% of women had resumed menstruation after chemotherapy, including five who had stem cell transplantation. Although this was a lower rate of ovarian failure than would be expected, no comparison group was provided.

Chemoprotection Strategies

Even if hormonal protection helps preserve a greater number of primordial follicles during chemotherapy, many of those remaining would be damaged.³³ Another type of chemoprotection is suggested by advances in understanding how toxins like chemotherapy influence signaling pathways in the testis and ovary. A small lipid molecule, sphingosine 1-phosphate, may be able to prevent damage to the follicles as well as protecting against genetic damage to the oocyte.³⁴ Even more tantalizing is the recent discovery of stem cells in the human ovary, suggesting that females are not limited to the number of oocytes that survive fetal development, but have ongoing replenishment of primordial follicles.³⁵

Cryopreservation of Reproductive Tissue for Future Conception

The most well-established form of reproductive tissue cryopreservation in cancer patients is sperm banking. Measures of the effectiveness of sperm banking include the success of using sperm cryopreserved by cancer patients in conceiving

healthy offspring and the utilization of stored samples by cancer survivors.

Conception rates from banked sperm have increased radically since the advent of intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) in 1992. In this technique, only one live sperm is injected into each oocyte retrieved from in vitro fertilization (IVF). Rates of fertilization with ICSI do not differ when using sperm that was cryopreserved versus from a fresh ejaculate, nor has the use of cryopreserved sperm resulted in increased birth defects.³⁶

Although many men diagnosed with cancer have impaired semen quality, samples from patients with suboptimal semen parameters survive freezing and thawing just as well as sperm from men of normal fertility.^{37,38} Several prospective case series of men who cryopreserved sperm are presented in Table 19.1. Only about 6% to 18% of cancer patients are azoospermic and unable to bank at the time of attempted semen collection.^{39,41,42,44} The most efficient use of stored samples is to attempt to conceive with IVF-ICSI,^{41,43} unless the semen quality is unusually good.

It appears that less than 10% of men who store semen actually use their samples to try to conceive, but this rate may be accelerating with the availability of IVF-ICSI.⁴²⁻⁴⁴ The percentage of couples who use their cryopreserved sperm with assisted reproductive technology (ART) and actually have a live birth varies widely from center to center, but is comparable to results for the general population of infertile couples.^{41,43} With all cohorts in Table 19.1 combined, 37 healthy babies were born, with only one pregnancy terminated because a major fetal malformation was detected.⁴²

Although specific rates of impaired fertility have been reported for a variety of chemotherapy combinations or radiation therapy doses and fields,²⁹ it is not possible to accurately predict recovery of fertility in any one man treated for cancer.³⁶ Therefore, sperm banking should be routinely offered when men are about to begin treatments that put fertility at risk. An adequate number of specimens can be banked without delaying cancer treatment in all but the most

TABLE 19.1. Long-term follow-ups of cohorts of consecutive cancer patients who cryopreserved sperm.

Reference	Year	Number of Patients	Years follow-up	% able to store sperm	% using samples	Cycles of ART	Pregnancies per cycle	Live births	% couples attempting conception who achieved parenthood	Birth defects
Lass et al. ³⁹	1998	191	8	83%	3%	IUI: 2 IVF: 9 ICSI: 4	100% 22% 50%	7	83%	0
Audrins et al. ⁴⁰	1999	258*	20	—	2%	IUI: 53 IVF: 14	4% 36%	7	33%	0
Kelleher et al. ⁴¹	2001	930	22	90%	10%	IUI: 28 IVF: 28 ICSI: 35	43% 31% 21%	39	45%	2
Blackhall et al. ⁴²	2002	122*	22	94%	27%	—	—	11	27%	1
Agarwal et al. ⁴³	2002	318**	20	—	9% (26% in past 4 yrs.)	IUI: 37 IVF: 23 ICSI: 20	8% 26% 35%	12	44%	0
Ragni et al. ⁴⁴	2003	776	15	88%	5%***	IUI: 40 IVF: 6 ICSI: 42	8% 0% 26%	14	43%	1

*Hodgkin's disease only.

**Only N cryopreserving sperm was reported.

***Rates increase with duration of follow-up to 12% at 12 years.

emergent cases. A study of 95 cancer patients found that acceptable post-thaw semen quality could be obtained when men abstained for only 24 to 48 hours between collecting ejaculates.⁴⁵

Despite low rates of usage of stored sperm, men do not appear to regret the trouble or expense. Hallak and colleagues examined the reasons that 56 (16%) of 342 cancer men who had banked sperm before cancer treatment in their clinic discarded their cryopreserved specimens.⁴⁶ Out of the 56 men, 21 had died and the families discarded the samples, 23 had already conceived all the children they wanted without using their stored sperm, 8 had a return of good semen parameters, and 4 had decided not to have children. The cost of banking sperm was not a factor in these decisions.

Unfortunately, recent surveys of oncologists reveal that many fail to give men information about sperm-banking, underestimating its importance to their male patients and overestimating the barriers of cost and availability of sperm banking facilities.⁴⁷⁻⁴⁹ For those cancer patients interested in having future children, the most common reason cited for failure to bank sperm is lack of timely information. In our recent survey of young male survivors, only half recalled their oncology health care providers discussing the possibility of banking sperm.²³

The pediatric oncology community has shown an increasing interest in giving teens with cancer the option of banking sperm. Out of 238 boys aged 12 to 19 referred to one center in London, 87% were able to produce an ejaculate for semen storage, with semen quality similar to that in adult cancer survivors.⁵⁰ A new experimental technique uses testicular biopsies to obtain spermatogonia from prepubertal boys for cryopreservation before cancer treatment, in the hope that they can be replaced through autografting to restore fertility later. Attempts at replacement in adult men have been disappointing, however, since it is not possible to inject the thawed suspension of cells directly into the fibrous seminiferous tubules.²⁹ Cryopreserved human spermatogonial stem cells have been transplanted into mouse testes and survived for up to 6 months, suggesting that xenotransplantation could some day be another option for producing mature sperm cells for IVF-ICSI, or at least for providing a research model.⁵¹

In women, progress is also being made with the use of a rapid freezing technique called *vitrification* to freeze mature, unfertilized oocytes, although pregnancy rates still do not approach those with cryopreserved embryos.⁵² Another promising avenue is the use of sugars as cryoprotectants during freezing.⁵³ To have a true analogue to sperm banking in men, it would be necessary to cryopreserve primordial follicles and then to mature them in the laboratory. Although such techniques remain years away,⁵⁴ researchers are having some preliminary success with *in vitro* maturation of freshly retrieved antral follicles that are approaching full maturity.⁵⁵

A number of centers around the world are removing and cryopreserving ovarian tissue for women about to undergo cancer treatment that could impair fertility.⁵⁴ Several cases of auto-transplantation have taken place, with promising results.^{56,57} Technical problems include minimizing injury to ovarian tissue during the freezing itself and ischemia causing damage to follicles while the graft grows a new vascular system.⁵⁸ For some malignancies, concern about reintroducing cancer cells along with the ovarian tissue may limit this

option.⁵⁸ An alternative use of the tissue could be in xenotransplantation to immunodeficient mice with subsequent harvest of mature oocytes. Recently an embryo was produced using an oocyte retrieved from transplanted ovarian tissue in a female cancer survivor, but no pregnancy resulted when the embryo was transferred to the woman's uterus.⁵⁹ Furthermore, the first primate has been born using this technique—a rhesus monkey.⁶⁰ Still, an ethical dilemma is that women facing cancer treatment and desperate to protect their future fertility are paying several thousand dollars in out-of-pocket costs to harvest, freeze, and store ovarian tissue with very low odds that a pregnancy will ever result.

Ovarian Transposition During Pelvic Radiation Therapy

When radiation therapy fields include the pelvis, the ovaries can be moved surgically to a more protected location. Although both medial positioning behind the uterus and lateral movement to the pelvic sidewall have been used, currently the most common procedure is to use laparoscopy to move the ovaries laterally just prior to starting radiotherapy. Although ovarian transposition can be performed during a staging laparotomy, it is less effective because the ovaries tend to migrate back to their original position.⁶¹ The ideal position is above the pelvic brim, with the fallopian tubes remaining attached to the uterus.⁶²

A recent literature review of the outcome of laparoscopic lateral ovarian transposition included only 44 cases of women under age 40 with a variety of malignancies. However, 89% had preserved menstrual function.⁶² Oophoropexy can be complicated by vascular injury, infarction of the fallopian tube, or ovarian cyst formation. IVF is often required to conceive. Women with adenocarcinoma of the cervix or with more advanced stage disease may be at some risk for metastasis to a transposed ovary or to the site of trocar insertion for the laparoscopy.⁶³ Successful transposition may still be followed by early menopause because of reduced ovarian reserve after radiation therapy.⁶⁴

Fertility-Sparing Surgery for Early-Stage Gynecological Malignancies

Young women diagnosed with early stage cervical or ovarian cancer may opt for conservative surgical procedures that allow them to retain fertility. For women with squamous cell carcinoma of the cervix that is invasive but still early stage, a trachelectomy can be substituted for a radical hysterectomy.⁶⁵⁻⁶⁸ After the majority of the cervix is removed, the vaginal cuff is sewn back to the cervical remnants. As long as lymph nodes and surgical margins are clear, recurrence rates are comparable to those after radical hysterectomy. Although many women are able to become pregnant after trachelectomy, rates of miscarriage and prematurity are higher than normal. The cervical mucous plug that prevents infection of the amniotic membranes may be inadequate and there is an increased risk of cervical incompetence.

Women with adenocarcinoma of the cervix that is either *in situ* or very early stage can be treated with conization alone to preserve fertility, as long as surgical margins are clear.^{69,70} Adenocarcinoma of the cervix is often multifocal or located high in the endocervical canal, however, and about 20% of

women with negative margins at the time of conization will have local recurrences.

In conservative surgery for young women with borderline or germ cell ovarian tumors, only the affected ovary is removed, preserving the uterus and contralateral ovary.⁶⁶ Results have been good, both in terms of fertility and cancer control, but only small case series have been published.^{71,72} Recurrence rates after conservative surgery for borderline tumors are higher than after radical surgery, but survival rates remain similar.⁷¹ Conservative surgery has also been utilized for Stage I epithelial tumors.⁷³ The largest cohort study included women treated for germ cell tumors with a median follow-up of 122 months.⁷⁴ Of those who tried to conceive ($N = 38$), 76% have become pregnant.

Other Fertility-Sparing Modifications of Cancer Treatment

Other modifications made to cancer treatment to spare fertility have not been evaluated in randomized clinical trials, but instead have been compared to historical controls. Examples include the less gonadotoxic chemotherapy regimens for Hodgkin's disease⁷⁵; surveillance protocols and nerve-sparing retroperitoneal lymphadenectomy for early stage testicular cancer⁷⁶; and orthotopic bladder reconstruction with fertility preservation for men with bladder cancer.⁷⁷

The Safety of Pregnancy After Cancer Treatment

It would be of little utility to promote fertility in women after cancer if pregnancy were a risk factor for cancer recurrence. However, evidence has accumulated that becoming pregnant after successful cancer treatment does not affect women's survival, even those who have had breast cancer.⁷⁸ Women diagnosed with breast cancer during pregnancy often have more advanced disease but do not have a survival disadvantage when matched to nonpregnant controls on medical factors such as cancer stage and histology.⁷⁹

An area much in need of study is the psychosocial impact of experiencing cancer during pregnancy, and the development of supportive interventions for women in this predicament.⁸⁰ One recent survey found that reproductive concerns remain salient in women successfully treated for gestational trophoblastic disease and that 75% would have attended support groups if they had been available during treatment.⁸¹ Young survivors often lack accurate information about pregnancy after cancer. In our pilot survey, 20% of breast cancer survivors and 18% of women with other cancer sites worried at least "a fair amount" that pregnancy could trigger a recurrence of cancer. Only 53% of women recalled any discussion by their oncology team of pregnancy after cancer.²²

Survivors also lack knowledge about potential pregnancy complications related to impaired cardiac, pulmonary, or uterine function after cancer treatment. Few would plan evaluation by a high-risk obstetrician before trying to conceive.²² In the largest study to date, 4,029 pregnancies of participants in the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study were reviewed.⁸² A woman's history of chemotherapy was not associated with adverse outcomes, but women who had pelvic irradiation were more likely to have low birthweight infants. A higher than expected rate of voluntary pregnancy termination was observed, again suggesting that women may be worried about

the safety of pregnancy or about the likelihood of having healthy offspring. Some women may also have been told in error that they were infertile, and thus did not use contraception to prevent an unwanted pregnancy. Higher rates of miscarriage and prematurity have also been observed in women with uterine exposure to radiotherapy as young adults, although the damage from childhood exposure is more severe.⁸³

The Use of Assisted Reproductive Technology (ART) and Cancer

Although cryopreservation of embryos is far more successful than freezing unfertilized oocytes or ovarian tissue, undergoing IVF before cancer treatment presents some difficulties.^{84,85} Women with a very aggressive malignancy such as acute leukemia may not have time to delay chemotherapy for several weeks of ovarian stimulation. Women who do not have a committed male partner have to use an anonymous sperm donor to create embryos. Women recently diagnosed with cancer often do not produce many mature oocytes in response to IVF. Women with untreated breast cancer risk exacerbating their disease by taking hormones for IVF. One alternative is natural cycle IVF, in which the one or two oocytes that mature without exogenous hormones are harvested and fertilized. Recently Oktay and colleagues developed an IVF protocol especially for women newly diagnosed with breast cancer, using tamoxifen for ovarian stimulation. The average number of embryos per cycle was 1.6 compared to 0.6 with a natural cycle, yielding a higher chance of an eventual pregnancy.⁸⁶ Ovarian stimulation regimens combining tamoxifen and follicle stimulating hormone (FSH) are yielding even better results.⁸⁷

Women who wait until after chemotherapy to try IVF typically have a suboptimal response to the hormone stimulating drugs.⁸⁵ Creating embryos with oocytes from a donor is another option for the woman who has diminished fertility or is in ovarian failure after cancer treatment, but can still carry a pregnancy.^{88,89} The cancer survivor herself does not undergo the risks of ovarian stimulation. If she is in ovarian failure, she may need some hormonal support to prepare her uterus for embryo transfer, as well as during the first weeks of a pregnancy, until the placenta begins to produce its own hormones. The hormone levels during these intervals are similar to those in a natural pregnancy. Pregnancy rates per cycle with donated oocytes are high, especially when both egg donor and recipient are under age 35. Women who have had pelvic irradiation still suffer the risk of prematurity and miscarriage, however. Along with survivors who have lost their uterus to cancer but have stored embryos or ovarian tissue, they may work with a gestational carrier to have a child. Only isolated case reports are available in the literature, however.⁹⁰

For men with poor semen quality after cancer, IVF with ICSI is the preferred method of treatment. Some men do not have any mature spermatozoa in their semen, or no longer ejaculate seminal fluid after their cancer treatment. If they did not bank sperm before treatment, some options are still open to them. Men who do not ejaculate after node dissection for testis cancer or surgery for colorectal tumors may respond to medications that temporarily restore antegrade ejaculation. Viable sperm may also be retrieved from urine voided just after orgasm. Perhaps the most reliable means of

obtaining sperm from these men is via electrical stimulation of ejaculation with a probe in the anal canal.⁹¹ This procedure must be performed under anesthesia, but yields samples that typically can be used for IVF with ICSI.⁹² Some urologists have used electroejaculation to obtain ejaculates from young teens who are unable to collect semen through masturbation due to anxiety or religious constraints.⁹³

About half of men with no sperm in their semen after chemotherapy do have islands of spermatogenesis in their testes. A few viable sperm can be retrieved in testicular biopsies and used for successful IVF with ICSI.^{94,95} Although increased aneuploidy has been observed in the sperm of men recently treated for cancer,⁹⁶ and aneuploidy has been associated with poorer fertilization rates with ICSI,⁹⁷ the pregnancy rates using ICSI with testicular sperm from cancer survivors have been comparable to those with other causes of male factor infertility, with a quarter to a third of cycles resulting in a healthy baby.^{94,95} In a recent case series of 33 male childhood cancer survivors, only 33% of had normal semen quality but the integrity of DNA in their spermatozoa did not differ from that in a group of control men, suggesting that offspring would not be at increased risk of birth defects or other health problems.⁹⁸

Health of Offspring of Cancer Survivors

Despite concerns that children born to men or women who had been treated for cancer would have unusual rates of genetic abnormalities or fetal malformations,⁹⁹ the available data suggest reasonable cause for optimism. Karyotypes of 2,630 live-born children with a parent who had survived childhood cancer were available from the Danish Cytogenetic Registry.¹⁰⁰ The rate of abnormal karyotypes was not significantly greater than those in the children born to the siblings of the childhood cancer patients. No study has thus far documented an excess rate of birth defects in children born after one parent's cancer treatment, with the caveats that (1) a limited number of offspring have been studied; and (2) the nature and duration of follow-up of offspring has been limited.

Genetic damage from cancer treatment may impact rates of early miscarriage or the gender of surviving infants. In addition to the results of pregnancies from the females in the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study,⁸² 2,323 pregnancies sired by the male cancer survivors were documented. The live birth rate of 69% was significantly less than that for the survivors' brothers, and a deficit of male offspring born to the survivors was also observed.¹⁰¹ Partners of men exposed to more than 5,000 mg/m² of procarbazine had an increased risk of miscarriage. A large Scandinavian registry study did not document any increased lifetime cancer risk in offspring, except in families with known, autosomal dominant inherited cancer syndromes.¹⁰² Most offspring in these studies have been born to childhood cancer survivors long removed from their active treatment when they conceived. On the other hand, some types of chemotherapy can be administered to pregnant women in the second and third trimesters without causing fetal malformations.¹⁰³

A new issue is the impact on young adults' childbearing decisions of knowing they carry a mutation that increases lifetime cancer risk. For example, women with BRCA mutations increase their risk of breast cancer by having a pregnancy before age 40 and decrease their risk by early

oophorectomy without estrogen replacement.^{104,105} Technologies such as prenatal diagnosis and preimplantation genetic diagnosis are also available to identify known autosomal dominant mutations responsible for hereditary cancer syndromes,¹⁰⁶ bringing potential ethical dilemmas, especially whether they should be used for those syndromes with a relatively late onset.

Risk Factors for Cancer-Related Menopausal Symptoms

Since the incidence of cancer increases with aging, menopausal symptoms are probably of high concern for more survivors than infertility. Women treated for breast cancer and men receiving hormonal therapy for advanced prostate cancer are particularly at risk for troublesome hot flashes (see Chapters 11 and 12). Vaginal atrophy and dyspareunia are the major sexual consequences of menopause for women¹⁰⁷ and will be discussed in the sections on sexual function. Menopause-related risks for cardiovascular disease and osteoporosis fall outside of the scope of this chapter.

Psychosocial Factors and Hot Flashes

It is unclear whether cancer survivors experience more severe menopause symptoms than women in the community without a cancer history. The prevalence of menopausal symptoms has generally been overestimated. The Massachusetts Women's Health Study followed a large cohort of women through the transition to menopause.^{108,109} Most women did not have hot flashes or depression, had neutral or positive attitudes to menopause, and did not seek any medical attention for menopausal symptoms. Women who had hysterectomy were a more distressed group, with indications that women with pre-existing psychological problems are more likely to have this surgery.¹¹⁰ An analysis of sexual function in 200 of the participants found that estrogen levels were significantly correlated with reports of dyspareunia, but not with any other sexual problem. A woman's perceptions of her overall health and the quality of her dyadic relationship were stronger predictors of her sexual function than was her menopausal status.¹¹¹

Psychosocial factors play an important role in women's menopause complaints. The best predictors of depression, general health, and utilization of medical services after menopause are a woman's physical and psychological health and history of medical consultation before menopause.^{109,110,112,113} Hot flashes and the use of hormone replacement therapy (HRT) are both correlated with psychological distress.^{114,115} More educated women are consistently less likely to report hot flashes,^{114,115} and cultural beliefs and expectations about menopause affect women's symptom reporting.¹¹⁶

The Prevalence of Hot Flashes After Breast Cancer

Women with breast cancer are the group most at risk for troublesome hot flashes after cancer treatment because they are advised not to use systemic estrogen replacement. No large case-control study has compared hot flashes in breast cancer survivors and other women. Carpenter and colleagues

surveyed breast cancer survivors from a tumor registry, with about a third responding ($N = 69$), and compared them to a convenience sample of women with no history of breast cancer but similar age. Hot flashes were more frequent, severe, and distressing for the breast cancer sample. This finding may reflect selection bias in women who chose to participate, as well as the fact that women in the breast cancer group were significantly more likely to be menopausal and less likely to be using estrogen replacement.¹¹⁷ Within the breast cancer group, hot flash severity and indices of emotional distress were related, parallel to findings in the general population of postmenopausal women.^{117,118}

Among 860 breast cancer survivors surveyed by Ganz and colleagues at an average of 3 years post-diagnosis, 55% reported problems with hot flashes, a higher rate than expected from similar studies in healthy postmenopausal non-users of HRT.¹¹⁹ Women who are premenopausal at breast cancer diagnosis and become menopausal because of cancer treatment are at highest risk to have hot flashes.^{119–121} Although women taking tamoxifen experience hot flashes, they decrease after therapy ceases if women resume menses.^{122,123} When adjuvant chemotherapy causes permanent menopause, however, hot flashes, vaginal dryness, and decreased quality of life persist even at long-term follow-up.^{122,124}

Menopause Symptoms After Other Malignancies

Very little information is available on the prevalence and severity of menopausal symptoms in young women treated for other malignancies with chemotherapy or pelvic radiation that causes ovarian failure, although hot flashes and vaginal dryness are classic symptoms in women who become menopausal after treatment for gynecological cancer^{125,126} or after intensive chemotherapy for hematological malignancies.¹²⁷ Women whose tumors are not hormone-sensitive may be less reluctant than breast cancer survivors to use estrogen replacement,¹²⁷ although publicity about the results of the Women's Health Initiative¹²⁸ has many women questioning the benefits of estrogen to manage all but the most short-term menopausal symptoms.

Hot Flashes in Male Cancer Survivors

A final group of cancer survivors at risk for menopausal symptoms are men who have androgen ablation to treat prostate cancer or take hormonal therapy for male breast cancer. Whether prostate cancer treatment involves orchiectomy or administration of a gonadotropin-releasing-hormone (GnRH) agonist, half to three-quarters of men report troublesome hot flashes.¹²⁹ As in the literature on menopausal women, there is not convincing evidence that androgen ablation increases depression in men, although sexual dysfunction is quite common.¹²⁹ Although in the year 2002, 189,000 new cases of prostate cancer were expected compared to only 1,500 men diagnosed with breast cancer,¹³⁰ the symptoms of hot flashes and sexual dysfunction are also common when men are treated with tamoxifen for advanced breast malignancies.¹³¹

Managing Menopausal Symptoms in Cancer Survivors

A variety of treatments are available for menopausal symptoms, ranging from relaxation treatment to antidepressant medication or hormonal replacement therapy. Only a few have been validated in double-blind randomized trials, a crucial design given the large and enduring placebo effect observed when breast cancer survivors are presented with a credible treatment for hot flashes.¹³² Most intervention studies have used breast cancer survivors, the principal group at risk because of their concern about using estrogen replacement and their high rates of hot flashes. Men on hormonal therapy for prostate cancer have been another target group.

Estrogen Replacement for Hot Flashes

Estrogen replacement has consistently been shown to reduce hot flashes in 80% to 90% of postmenopausal women.¹³² Nevertheless, an estimated 56% of all American women on HRT tried to stop within the first 8 months after publication of the Women's Health Initiative findings.¹³³ This randomized trial not only failed to confirm health benefits of HRT¹²⁸ but showed that HRT increases the risk of breast cancer.

The literature on using estrogen replacement after treatment for breast cancer also showed clear benefits in alleviating menopausal symptoms.^{134–136} Case control studies failed to find an impact on survivors' cancer recurrence or decreased survival,^{134,135,137–143} including a meta-analysis comparing 717 breast cancer survivors using some form of HRT to 2,545 nonusers. The relative risk of recurrence for women on HRT was 0.72 (95% confidence interval 0.47–1.10).¹⁴⁴ The relative risk of death for women on HRT after breast cancer was 0.18 (95% confidence interval, 0.10–0.31).

The first randomized trial¹⁴⁵ to be conducted confirmed these results, but included only 56 women in the estrogen-treated group. Women who agree to participate in such a trial may be a very select sample, since most survivors of breast cancer are highly anxious about the risks of taking estrogen.^{145,146} More recently, the HABITS trial of the safety of hormone replacement therapy after breast cancer was stopped after 345 women had been followed for a median of about 2 years. An excess of new breast cancer events showed up in the hormone-treated group.¹⁴⁷

One alternative hormonal therapy for hot flashes is to use progestins alone. Depomedroxyprogesterone acetate was effective in reducing hot flashes in a randomized clinical trial of breast and prostate survivors, and 45% continued using the medication for up to three years, despite some side effects.¹⁴⁸

Nonhormonal Therapies for Hot Flashes

Trials of nonhormonal approaches to treating hot flashes are summarized in Table 19.2, with a focus on trials that include cancer survivors. Newer antidepressants appear to be the most promising nonhormonal therapy for both breast and prostate cancer survivors with hot flashes, producing greater relief and fewer side effects than older treatments such as progestins, clonidine, or bellergal.¹³² Some other widely touted remedies such as isoflavones, black cohosh, and magnetic therapy have proved disappointing when tested in placebo-controlled trials.^{153–155,158,159}

TABLE 19.2. Trials of nonhormonal therapies for hot flashes.

Reference	Year	Type of trial	Number of patients	Type of treatment	Type of patients	Average length of follow-up	Impact on hot flashes
Pandya et al. ¹⁴⁹	2000	Randomized, double-blind trial	194	Oral clonidine, 0.1 mg./day	Postmenopausal women on tamoxifen for breast cancer	12 weeks	38% reduction on clonidine vs. 24% on placebo
Stearns et al. ¹⁵⁰	2003	Randomized, double-blind trial	165	Paroxetine, 12.5 or 25.0 mg./day	Postmenopausal women without active cancer or cancer treatment	6 weeks	62% reduction on 12.5-mg./day and 65% on 25.0 mg./day
Loprinzi et al. ¹⁵¹	2000	Randomized, double-blind trial	191	Venlafaxine, 75 mg./day or 150 mg./day	Breast cancer survivors or women scared to use HRT	4 weeks	37% reduction on 75 mg./day, 49% on 150 mg./day and 27% on placebo
Quella et al. ¹⁵²	1999	Pilot trial	16	Venlafaxine, 25 mg./day	Prostate cancer patients on androgen ablation with hot flashes	4 weeks	54% reduction in hot flashes
Quella et al. ¹⁵³	2000	Randomized, double-blind trial	149	50 mg. soy isoflavone/day	Breast cancer survivors with severe hot flashes	9 weeks	24% of women had 50% reduction on soy, 36% on placebo
Tice et al. ¹⁵⁴	2003	Randomized, double-blind trial	246	57 mg. or 82 mg. of isoflavone/day	Recently postmenopausal with severe hot flashes	12 weeks	No significant group differences
Nikander et al. ¹⁵⁵	2003	Randomized, double-blind trial	62	114 mg. isoflavone/day	Postmenopausal breast cancer survivors with hot flashes	12 weeks	No significant group differences
Muñoz et al. ¹⁵⁶	2003	Random, open-label trial	136	20 mg. <i>Cimicifuga racemosa</i>	Premenopausal breast cancer survivors on tamoxifen	52 weeks	Treatment group improved significantly more than usual care group in number and frequency of hot flashes
Wuttke et al. ¹⁵⁷	2003	Randomized, double-blind placebo-controlled	62	40 mg. <i>Cimicifuga racemosa</i> vs. 6 mg. conjugated estrogens vs. placebo	Postmenopausal women	13 weeks	Herbal preparation and estrogen gave equal symptom relief and both were better than placebo
Jacobson et al. ¹⁵⁸	2001	Randomized placebo-controlled, stratified on tamoxifen use	69	Black cohosh	Breast cancer survivors who had completed primary treatment	8 weeks	No significant group differences
Carpenter et al. ¹⁵⁹	2002	Randomized, placebo-controlled crossover study	11	Magnetic device	Breast cancer survivors	3 days	Placebo group improved more than magnet group
Porzio et al. ¹⁶⁰	2002	Pilot trial	15	Acupuncture	Breast cancer patients on tamoxifen	26 weeks	Emotional distress and hot flashes decreased significantly

Given the magnitude of the placebo effect, promising results using herbal remedies or acupuncture must be confirmed with randomized, placebo-controlled trials. For example, acupuncture using clinically recommended points could be tested against acupuncture using sites judged inactive according to traditional Chinese medicine. The duration of therapies tested has also been quite short, particularly given the stubborn nature of hot flashes in breast and prostate cancer survivors. Since some studies focused on cancer survivors with severe symptoms while others used unselected samples, the efficacy of various treatments cannot be directly compared. Although not yet tested in cancer survivors, behavioral modalities such as relaxation training^{132,161} and engaging in regular aerobic exercise¹⁶² show promise in decreasing hot

flashes in postmenopausal women unselected for cancer history.

One small, randomized trial has examined the efficacy of a brief, nursing intervention in reducing menopausal symptoms in 76 postmenopausal breast cancer survivors chosen because they had at least one severe problem of hot flashes, vaginal dryness, or urinary stress incontinence.¹⁶³ Women were randomized to receive usual care or to have a special session with a nurse practitioner to assess symptoms and apply treatment algorithms such as prescribing medication or advising on the use of vaginal lubricants and moisturizers. Telephone follow-up calls were included. All three target symptoms improved in the treated group compared to the usual care group. This type of inexpensive, brief intervention

should be replicated, and then tested in further studies to evaluate its effectiveness and dissemination into a variety of healthcare settings.

Risk Factors for Cancer-Related Sexual Dysfunction

To understand the prevalence of sexual dysfunction after cancer, it is important to realize how common these problems are in otherwise healthy adults.

Prevalence of Sexual Dysfunction in the General Population

The National Health and Social Life Survey (NHSL) conducted in 1992 still provides the best estimates of the prevalence of sexual problems in American adults 18 to 59, because the researchers used probability sampling and achieved a high response rate (79%).^{107,164} Thirty-one percent of men and 43% of women had experienced a sexual dysfunction in the past year. Factors associated with sexual problems included poor physical and mental health, aging, past sexual trauma, and relationship satisfaction.

More recently, the Pfizer Global Study of Sexual Attitudes and Behaviors has used similar interview techniques to sample over 26,000 men and women aged 40 to 80 in 28 countries around the world. Although response rates were much lower than in the NHSL, the sheer volume of data is impressive. Again, one-third to one-half of men and women reported having sexual dysfunctions during the past year.¹⁶⁵ In the data subsets from the United States, Canada, Australia and New Zealand, lack of sexual desire was the most frequent female problem (29%) whereas premature ejaculation was the most common male dysfunction (26%).¹⁶⁶ Overall, women were twice as likely as men to experience difficulty with sexual desire, experiencing pleasure, and reaching orgasm. Most large surveys agree that erectile dysfunction (ED) increases dramatically with age and cardiovascular risk factors in men, so that by age 70, about half of men experience it.¹⁶⁷⁻¹⁶⁸ In contrast, sexual problems in sexually active women (other than vaginal dryness) do not increase consistently with age or ill health.^{107,166} Elderly women are more likely than men of the same age to be without a sexual partner, however.¹⁶⁹

Risk Factors for Sexual Dysfunction After Cancer

Within groups of cancer survivors, sexual dysfunction is usually related to the impact of cancer treatment, rather than being a function of the cancer itself, with a few notable exceptions. Prostate cancer that is locally advanced may damage nerves essential for erection.¹⁷⁰ Women with gynecological cancer, especially cancer of the cervix, vagina, or vulva, may experience pain and bleeding with sexual activity as a presenting symptom of their malignancy.¹⁷¹ Cancer survivors most at risk for treatment-related sexual dysfunction are those with pelvic tumors and/or those whose treatments damage the hormonal systems mediating sexual desire and pleasure.

Psychosocial factors are also crucial. The risk of sexual dysfunction for any individual cancer survivor is heightened

by overall emotional distress, relationship conflict, and having a partner who is sexually dysfunctional. It is also important to remember that medications used to treat depression, anxiety, pain, and nausea during and after cancer treatment frequently have sexual side effects.¹⁶⁷⁻¹⁶⁹

Treatment-Related Sexual Problems in Men

Men treated for prostate cancer are the group at highest risk for sexual dysfunction. In a prospective study of 31,742 non-physician health professionals aged 53 to 90, rates of ED for the 2,109 men who had been diagnosed with prostate cancer were 10 to 15 times higher than for men of comparable age.¹⁶⁸ Despite attempts to modify surgery or radiation therapy for prostate cancer to spare sexual function, recent large cohort studies suggest that 75% to 85% of men treated for localized disease have long-term problems with ED.¹⁷²⁻¹⁷⁵ Rates of ED are similar after radical cystectomy¹⁷⁶ but somewhat lower with treatment for colorectal cancer.¹⁷⁷ Men on hormonal therapy for advanced prostate cancer have even more severe sexual dysfunction because of the impact of androgen ablation on sexual desire and arousability.^{129,175}

Men treated for testicular cancer are often assumed to be at increased risk for sexual problems. Two extensive recent reviews of the literature on this topic concur that few studies of high quality are available.^{178,179} Nevertheless, both reviews conclude that the only clear sexual morbidity of treatment for testicular cancer is the interference of retroperitoneal node dissection with antegrade ejaculation. When the lymph nodes are fully dissected along the bifurcation of the aorta, nerves are disrupted that control the smooth muscle contractions of the prostate and seminal vesicles during the emission phase of male orgasm. The result is that men experience the pleasure of orgasm, but with no expulsion of semen. Most retroperitoneal lymphadenectomies now spare crucial nerves by limiting the dissection, preserving normal ejaculation of semen in 75% to 90% of patients.^{180,181}

Prospective data on sexual function from a very recent Norwegian randomized trial of chemotherapy for 666 men with metastatic germ cell tumors found that sexual problems rose somewhat 3 months after treatment began, but by 2-year follow-up had subsided to normal levels.¹⁸² The quality of the sexual relationship with a partner had also not suffered. In the longer term, however, testicular cancer survivors who had higher doses of external beam radiation therapy may have an increased risk of ED with aging¹⁷⁸ because of the potential for reduced blood flow in an irradiated pelvic vascular bed.

Higher than expected rates of sexual dysfunction have been reported in longer-term survivors of renal cell carcinoma¹⁸³ and bone marrow transplantation.¹⁸⁴ Low-normal to frankly low levels of testosterone are common in young men treated with high-dose chemotherapy for lymphoma or Hodgkin's Disease, which could be a factor in loss of sexual interest and arousal.²⁹

Treatment-Related Sexual Problems in Women

Breast cancer is often assumed to be the site most associated with female sexual dysfunction. Although sexual problems are present in about half of long-term survivors of breast cancer, rates are comparable to those in age-matched women who have not had cancer.¹¹⁹ Frequency of sexual activity is

also similar to that of community-dwelling women of similar age.^{119,123,185} Premenopausal women whose chemotherapy results in ovarian failure cancer do have unusually high rates of sexual dysfunction, however,^{119,123,186} including a long-term loss of desire for sex, increased vaginal dryness, and dyspareunia. In a sample of 153 women interviewed 20 years after having chemotherapy for premenopausal breast cancer, 29% attributed current sexual problems to past cancer treatment.¹⁸⁷ In contrast to chemotherapy, tamoxifen is not associated with decreased desire for sex or impaired lubrication with sexual arousal.^{119,186-188} Breast loss is not a crucial factor in these problems, contrary to conventional wisdom. Comparisons of women after various breast surgeries have been highly consistent in showing that breast conservation and reconstruction are not superior to mastectomy in preserving women's sexual function or satisfaction.^{119,123,188,189}

Indeed, young women treated for leukemia or Hodgkin's disease are as likely as breast cancer survivors to report sexual dysfunction.¹⁸⁷ About a quarter to a third of women have sexual dysfunction after treatment for hematological malignancies. Although both psychosocial trauma and ovarian failure can contribute to their sexual problems,^{190,191} in at least one, small randomized trial, a less gonadotoxic chemotherapy was not superior in sparing sexual function.¹⁹²

A gender difference in sexual function seen both in unselected, healthy women¹⁹³ and in cancer survivors^{119,194} is that women's sexual satisfaction is not tightly linked to physical functioning like men's, but rather to overall well-being and the quality of intimacy and affection with the sexual partner. For example, in women treated for vulvar cancer, the extent of the tissue excised is less important than relationship happiness in predicting sexual satisfaction.¹⁹⁴ Among breast cancer survivors, those who had found new partners after their cancer treatment had the happiest sex lives.¹¹⁹

Nevertheless, it is clear that treatment for gynecological malignancies, including cancer of the cervix, vulva, or uterus, does increase the prevalence of sexual dysfunction beyond that seen in healthy, community-dwelling peers, particularly rates of vaginal dryness and pain with sexual activity.¹⁹⁵ In women treated for localized cervical cancer, pelvic radiation therapy has a more negative impact than radical hysterectomy in reducing vaginal lubrication and expansion with sexual arousal, as seen in two small, but carefully monitored, prospective studies.^{196,197} The literature on hysterectomy for benign disease also demonstrates no detriment of surgery to sexual function, even when the cervix is removed, as long as the woman's hormonal status remains unchanged.^{198,199} The risk of painful sex and loss of erotic pleasure increases when bilateral oophorectomy is included, or if pelvic surgery affects vaginal caliber or depth, as in abdomino-perineal resection,¹⁷⁷ radical cystectomy,²⁰⁰ or total pelvic exenteration.²⁰¹

Management of Sexual Symptoms in Cancer Survivors

Despite increased attention in the past 20 years to sexual dysfunction as a consequence of cancer treatment, pitifully little progress has been made in developing cost-effective treatment programs to alleviate these symptoms. The entire field of behavior therapy for sexual dysfunction has seen scant innovation in techniques or new outcome research since the

1970s.²⁰² Although standard sex therapy programs have been modified for cancer patients,²⁰³ prospective studies of efficacy are lacking.

In 1987, we published a retrospective chart review of detailed clinical notes on consultations in a sexual rehabilitation program within a cancer center over a 4-year period.²⁰³ Out of 384 individuals or couples, 73% were seen only once or twice. Of the index patients seen, 308 were men and 76 were women. Male cancer patients were older, and were more likely to include a partner in their visits (56%) than were the women (28%). Seventy-nine percent of the patients had pelvic malignancies, but this probably reflected referral bias, since the program was located within a urology department and also had strong ties to gynecology. According to their retrospective reports, the prevalence of sexual dysfunctions had increased after cancer treatment in the index patients, but not in their partners. Most men sought help for ED whereas women typically had a combination of loss of desire and vaginal dryness/dyspareunia.

About half of patients were seen prior to or during cancer treatment, and half were first evaluated after treatment had been completed. Follow-up data on outcome were available for only 118 cases. The therapist rating of improvement was "somewhat to much better" for 63% of this group. Factors correlated with better outcome included having more counseling sessions, younger age, absence of depression, and absence of marital conflict.

Prospective clinical trials of sex therapy for specific types of sexual dysfunctions after cancer, using standardized outcome measures, should have followed this report. They are strikingly absent from the literature, however. The majority of people with sexual dysfunction after cancer never seek professional help. In the Pfizer Global Study of Sexuality, less than 20% of men or women unselected for health who had sexual problems consulted a physician about them, although roughly half discussed the problem with a partner, friend, or family member.²⁰⁴

Physicians are often urged to initiate discussions of sexuality with all patients, but an analysis of data from the same survey on 5,250 men aged 40 to 80 from 7 countries in Europe revealed that less than 7% had a physician who initiated an assessment of sexual function in the past year, although the majority of men believed such dialogues should be routine.²⁰⁵ Medical schools in North America only devote an average of 3 to 10 hours to sexuality in the entire 4-year curriculum,²⁰⁶ so that a physician who wants to counsel patients on sexual rehabilitation must be essentially self-taught. Qualitative interviews of nurses and physicians on an ovarian cancer treatment unit in England confirmed that less than a quarter ever discussed sexuality with patients,²⁰⁷ despite knowing that sexual problems were prevalent.

We will discuss evidence-based management of sexual problems after cancer using the minimal empirical evidence that exists in the literature on treatment of dysfunctions in men and women unselected for health, and in the literature on sexual rehabilitation after cancer.

Modifying Cancer Treatment to Spare Male Sexual Function

One approach to managing cancer-related sexual dysfunction is to modify cancer treatment to prevent damage to

hormonal, vascular, or neurologic systems needed for a healthy sexual response.

In men, hormonal therapy for advanced prostate cancer results in a profound loss of desire for sex, as well as erectile dysfunction and difficulty reaching orgasm (see also Chapter 12).^{175,208,209} Tactics to avoid this morbidity have included delaying treatment in asymptomatic men, using intermittent hormonal therapy to keep prostate specific antigen (PSA) values close to zero while allowing improved sexual function during intervals off treatment, or prescribing an androgen-blocker such as bicalutamide either alone or in combination with finasteride. Unfortunately, delayed treatment may compromise ultimate survival time,²¹⁰ and both androgen production and sexual function appear to be permanently impaired by a period of months on androgen ablation.^{175,211} Bicalutamide is more promising, but considerable sexual morbidity still occurs.²¹²

Perhaps the best-validated attempt to preserve sexual function after cancer is the nerve-sparing modification of radical prostatectomy, cystectomy, and colorectal cancer surgery.²¹³ Although avoiding damage to the nerves near the prostate and posterior urethra helps preserve penile hemodynamics and erection in some men, up to 80% do not recover erections firm enough to allow vaginal penetration on most attempts.^{172-175,214} Success depends on the skill of the surgeon, the ability to spare nerves bilaterally, and younger patient age. Although nerve-sparing may not restore normal erections, it does increase the percentage of men who can effectively use oral medications such as sildenafil.^{175,214} Similarly, using brachytherapy instead of external beam irradiation to treat localized prostate cancers is only slightly more successful in preserving erectile function.^{175,215}

Modifying cancer surgery to conserve or reconstruct pelvic organs does appear superior in terms of impact on sexuality. For example, conserving the bladder by using a combination of transurethral resection, chemotherapy, and radiation leaves men with better sexual function compared to radical cystectomy.²¹⁶ Procedures to reconstruct a continent, internal urinary pouch combined with nerve-sparing also appear to result in better sex lives for men compared to the traditional, radical cystectomy with ileal conduit.^{217,218}

Modifying Cancer Treatment to Spare Female Sexual Function

In women, the main approaches that spare hormonal function are aimed at fertility, i.e. the conservative surgical approaches to gynecologic cancers.^{66,71-74} The sexual consequences of such modifications have not been examined. Likewise, researchers have not studied the sexual impact of efforts to spare ovarian function by using ovarian transposition prior to radiation therapy, or GnRH agonists during chemotherapy.

In contrast to results after radical cystectomy, women who have orthotopic bladder reconstruction with preservation of the anterior vaginal wall do not report sexual dysfunction.^{176,219} Surgery for colorectal cancer that avoids creation of an ostomy also results in better quality of life and sexual satisfaction.²²⁰ Despite some controversy about the value of vaginal reconstruction after total pelvic exenteration for cervical cancer, the majority of women stay sexually active with their neovagina²⁰¹ and the use of myocutaneous flaps helps fill in the surgical defect and promotes healing.

Unfortunately, these reports focus on small series of highly selected patients treated at academic centers. It would be virtually impossible to conduct randomized trials of more vs. less radical surgical procedures, keeping patient age, education, socioeconomic status, and tumor variables equal between groups. Yet, when several randomized trials did compare mastectomy to breast conservation, researchers were surprised to find that neither sexual variables nor quality of life differed according to the extent of breast surgery.^{119,188}

Treatment of Desire Disorders

Loss of desire for sex is one of the most common sexual problems seen in both male and female cancer survivors. The efficacy of androgen in alleviating these problems is controversial. Decreased androgen levels are an important factor in men on androgen ablation, some men treated for testicular cancer, or men who have sustained gonadal damage from high-dose chemotherapy.²²¹ Ovarian failure in women and chronic use of opioid therapy²²² in both genders also can reduce circulating androgens and sexual desire.

Unfortunately, androgen replacement therapy remains more of an art than a science. In young men who are clearly hypogonadal, testosterone replacement restores sexual motivation and pleasure.^{223,224} Only two double-blinded, randomized, placebo-controlled trials of the newer testosterone gel or patch formulations have been published, however, with contrasting outcomes.^{225,226} Androgens were administered to hypogonadal men unselected for cancer history. The study showing no benefit focused on men over age 65 with testosterone in low-normal range.²²⁵ Men in the more successful trial were more hypogonadal.²²⁶

In men, loss of desire for sex is often linked to frustration and low self-esteem when erectile function is impaired.¹⁷⁵ One research group has had success in treating ED by combining testosterone with sildenafil for men with low circulating androgen levels.²²⁷ The same strategy was helpful to eight severely hypogonadal men who had testicular failure after bone marrow transplant.²²¹ Whereas testosterone replacement is a viable option for young, hypogonadal, cancer survivors, men treated for prostate cancer are obviously not candidates. Although elevated luteinizing hormone levels combined with low-normal testosterone levels are common in young men after high-dose chemotherapy, a recent trial of the testosterone patch in 35 such survivors failed to document positive changes in mood or sexual function.²²⁸

Loss of desire for sex is common after systemic treatment for breast cancer,^{119,124,188} As reviewed in the previous section of this chapter, there is reasonable evidence for the safety of short-term estrogen replacement in breast cancer survivors, but no studies have examined the impact of androgen replacement in this population, despite suggestions that such treatment might improve women's sexual function.²²⁹ Yet, high androgen levels are clearly associated with breast cancer risk in postmenopausal women, and have also been observed post-diagnosis.²³⁰

In fact, the level of androgens needed to maintain normal sexual function in women, particularly after menopause, is unknown.²³¹ Several methodologically sound studies have not found any correlation between endogenous androgen levels and sexual function in naturally postmenopausal

women.²³²⁻²³⁴ The only randomized, placebo-controlled trials that have shown a sexual benefit of testosterone replacement in women have studied surgically menopausal women and have raised testosterone above the normal physiological level.²³⁵⁻²³⁷ No published trials of testosterone replacement have focused on female cancer survivors, although studies of safety and efficacy would be appropriate in women in ovarian failure after treatment for tumors that are not hormone sensitive. However, female survivors of Hodgkin's disease exposed to radiation would be poor candidates because of their already elevated risk of breast cancer, which appears to be potentiated by ovarian hormones.²³⁸

In the future, selective androgen receptor modifiers may provide a safer modality to treat desire problems in women with abnormally low testosterone. A recent randomized, double-blind cross-over trial of tibolone vs. placebo in 44 postmenopausal women who did not have sexual complaints found in a laboratory paradigm that women taking tibolone had increased sexual desire, fantasies, and arousability, as well as improved vaginal lubrication.²³⁹ Unfortunately, tibolone also appears to increase the risk of breast cancer in postmenopausal women.²⁴⁰

Loss of sexual desire after cancer treatment is often multifactorial, rather than a purely hormonal problem, particularly in women. Risk factors can include lingering post-treatment fatigue, pain, or nausea; perceiving oneself as less attractive after cancer; loss of sexual pleasure because of changes in skin sensitivity or genital blood flow; dreading sex because of dyspareunia; medication side effects; mild depression; and relationship conflict exacerbated by cancer treatment. Empirical studies suggest that sexual desire and arousability are linked in women, not only with each other, but with chronic mood disorders, low self-esteem, and guilt about sexuality.²⁴¹ Andersen developed a questionnaire to measure negative sexual self-image and found women's scores correlated with failure to resume sex comfortably after gynecological cancer.²⁴² Treating low desire in women may involve cognitive-behavioral psychotherapeutic interventions rather than a simple, pharmaceutical approach. Such treatment programs should also be evaluated in randomized, controlled trials.^{243,244}

Treatment of Erectile Dysfunction (ED) After Cancer

Most efforts at sexual rehabilitation for men after cancer have had the goal of mechanically restoring erectile rigidity. Despite the revolution in treating ED in the past 20 years, yielding not only the various types of penile prosthesis, medications to inject into the penis, vacuum devices, urethral suppositories, and more recently several oral prostaglandin E5-inhibiting drugs (PDE5-inhibitors), the majority of men who seek help for ED are not satisfied in the long term. In three studies of outcome in impotence clinics where men were not selected for health or the etiology of their ED, only 30% to 40% of men were sexually active and considered their problem resolved by one to five years after their initial evaluation despite trying a mean of two treatment modalities.²⁴⁵⁻²⁴⁷

Men prefer noninvasive, "natural" therapies, such as oral medication, and often will not try more invasive treatments for ED if PDE-5 inhibitors do not restore reliable, firm erec-

tions. Men's adherence even to taking a pill is limited. In two case series of men prescribed sildenafil for ED of varied etiology, over half were no longer taking it by 2-year follow-up.^{248,249} In a cohort of 197 consecutive patients, the most significant correlate of discontinuing sildenafil was a history of radical prostatectomy, primarily because the drug was less effective for these men.²⁴⁹ Only 56% of the men who stopped using sildenafil tried a second treatment.

The importance of encouraging men who fail a first-line treatment to try a more invasive method is reinforced by data from 89 men with ED prospectively followed over 12 months.²⁵⁰ Men tried an average of two treatments for ED, and those who found an effective medical treatment for ED reported better quality of life and less emotional distress about ED. Prostate cancer survivors were more likely to report trying more than one ED treatment.

In our own retrospective cohort study of men in the prostate cancer registry at the Cleveland Clinic Foundation, half of consecutive men surveyed filled out questionnaires.²¹⁴ At an average of 4.5 years after cancer treatment, 59% of 1,188 respondents with ED had tried at least one treatment for it. Only 38% of men found a medical treatment that was at least somewhat helpful in improving their sex lives, however, and just 30% of respondents were still using an ED treatment at the time of the survey. Seventy-nine percent of men had stopped using intraurethral prostaglandin suppositories, 66% no longer used penile injections, 61% stopped taking sildenafil, 59% discarded a vacuum erection device, and 19% no longer had sex with their implanted penile prosthesis. The most important factor in men continuing to use a treatment for ED was that it worked effectively. As in the case series above, men who tried a greater number of treatments were more likely to have positive scores on the International Index of Erectile Function.

A man's motivation to progress from taking a pill to trying a more invasive therapy may be a particularly important factor in the ultimate success of sexual rehabilitation. Penile injection therapy is one of the most effective treatments for men after prostate cancer.^{214,250} Other correlates of a good sexual outcome in our survey included younger age, having a sexual partner who still enjoyed sex, having a cancer treatment that was more likely to spare some erectile function (e.g., bilateral nerve-sparing prostatectomy or brachytherapy), and no historical or current use of anti-androgen therapy.^{175,214}

Surgeons who perform radical prostatectomy frequently encourage men to begin attempts within 6 weeks to get an erection through use of penile injections, a vacuum device, or a PDE5-inhibitor.²⁵¹ The theory is that regular increases of blood circulating to the penis will oxygenate the tissues of the cavernous bodies, preventing fibrosis and atrophy and enhancing the chance of nerve regeneration. This popular theory is based on one very small randomized trial using early penile injection therapy after prostatectomy, published in 1997.²⁵² Despite a number of attempts to replicate the results using oral medication or vacuum devices, no other peer-reviewed randomized trial has been published.

Treating Female Sexual Arousal Disorder (FSAD)

Men can observe their erections, but women are often unaware of vaginal expansion and lubrication, and subjective ratings of sexual arousal do not always correlate well with

physiological measures.²⁵³ When women complain of poor sexual arousability after cancer, they typically report a loss of desire for sex, along with a lack of subjective excitement and symptoms of vaginal dryness and tightness. Ovarian failure is a frequent medical factor.

In recent years, researchers testing pharmacological treatments for women's sexual problems have created the "diagnosis" of female sexual arousal disorder (FSAD), an isolated sexual complaint characterized by lack of genital vasocongestion. Nine randomized, placebo-controlled trials of therapies for FSAD in postmenopausal women have been published, including those reviewed above on androgen replacement.²⁵⁴⁻²⁵⁷ None focus on cancer populations. Two randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trials of sildenafil for FSAD have not produced convincing results on its efficacy,^{255,258} and Pfizer no longer intends to seek approval of the drug for women.²⁵⁹ Another trial examined the efficacy of alprostadil cream applied to the vulva before intercourse. This is the same medication most commonly used in penile injection therapy, but no significant impact on female sexual function was observed.²⁵⁶ The remaining trial compared a proprietary vulvar herbal lotion to placebo oil.²⁵⁷ Only 20 women participated. The outcome measure was a sexual diary created for the study, which was conducted by the company marketing the lotion.

Thinking that FSAD might be caused by inadequate blood flow to the clitoris, researchers created a special vacuum device, the Eros, to increase clitoral engorgement.²⁶⁰ In a sample of 19 women, use of the Eros over 6 weeks significantly increased reports of erotic sensation, lubrication, ability to reach orgasm, and overall sexual satisfaction, regardless of whether a woman had sexual dysfunction at baseline. The device has received FDA approval and has been shown to increase genital engorgement on repeated use.²⁶¹

Women's subjective pleasure as well as objective changes in genital blood flow should be measured in a randomized trial comparing the Eros device to a handheld vibrator, or even to a woman's own manual self-stimulation. Although a placebo-controlled trial may not be possible, these two other conditions would presumably also induce sexual arousal and increased genital blood flow, as well as giving the woman tacit permission to enjoy genital stimulation. It is possible that these are the active components of the Eros intervention, rather than the vacuum-induced clitoral vasocongestion.

Managing Sexual Pain After Cancer Treatment

For women, pain with sexual activity is one of the most frequent problems after cancer treatment. Postmenopausal vaginal atrophy is frequently the cause. As noted in the previous section on managing menopausal symptoms, systemic or local estrogen replacement is highly effective in reversing vaginal atrophy as well as decreasing hot flashes. Although many female cancer survivors have concerns about using systemic estrogen, new forms of topical estrogen may be safer options.

The Estring® is a vaginal ring delivering a low dose of estradiol time-released over three months. It is effective in reversing vaginal atrophy with little impact on plasma estrogen levels.²⁶²⁻²⁶⁴ In the dosage that would be used in breast cancer survivors, the Estring® may not reduce hot flashes but has been shown in randomized, placebo-controlled trials to

reduce urinary incontinence in about 50% of women.²⁶⁴ A higher dose could be used in women who had not had a history of hormone-sensitive tumors. Women prefer the Estring® to vaginal suppositories²⁶⁵ or creams. Many can insert the Estring® themselves but others may need a medical visit to replace the ring. Women with significant vaginal prolapse may not be able to tolerate the ring. Another form of vaginal estrogen replacement that is superior to estrogen cream in patient acceptance and does not elevate plasma estradiol is the Vagifem® suppository²⁶⁶ which contains 17beta-estradiol.

Trials of these localized estrogen therapies should be conducted specifically in cancer survivors. One goal would be to ascertain the safety of long-term use in women prematurely menopausal after breast cancer. Another would be to test efficacy in women whose vaginal atrophy is not just the result of estrogen deficiency, but is complicated by tissue damage from pelvic radiotherapy²⁶⁷ or post-transplant graft vs. host disease.²⁶⁸ These women are particularly vulnerable to dyspareunia. Recently a case report has described successful treatment of vaginal agglutination after allogeneic bone marrow transplant, using a combination of surgical dissection of adhesions, estrogen cream, and vaginal dilation.²⁶⁹

Although regular vaginal stretching by intercourse or use of a dilator has been assumed to prevent loss of depth and caliber after pelvic radiation therapy, remarkably little evidence exists to demonstrate this effect. A recent Cochrane Library review of interventions for female sexual dysfunction after pelvic radiotherapy²⁷⁰ found only two references on dilators. Both were retrospective case series, although they presented evidence that dilators could help maintain or restore vaginal patency. The most recent reference was published in 1999. Furthermore, most women are probably not adherent with the classic recommendation to have sexual intercourse or use a dilator three times weekly. In one small study, 32 cervical cancer survivors were randomized to one session of counseling plus a booklet on sex and cancer, or to a 3-hour psychoeducational group designed to increase adherence to vaginal dilation.²⁷¹ Group participation increased the percentage of women under age 41 who met the criterion of dilator/intercourse use from 6% to 44%. About half of the older women met the criterion, whether they were in the intervention or control group. For all women, rates of dilation decreased over the year of the study. Since the fibrosis after radiation therapy continues to progress for several years,²⁶⁷ long-term adherence to vaginal stretching would be necessary to ensure continued ability to enjoy sexual intercourse and to allow adequate pelvic examinations—assuming that vaginal stretching is indeed physiologically effective.

Perhaps the simplest and most conservative intervention for dyspareunia after cancer is instruction on the use of water-based lubricants during sexual activity. Yet, the only study that evaluates the outcome of giving advice on lubricants is Ganz' nursing intervention, which did reduce vaginal pain and dryness.¹⁶³ This trial and several others also included the use of Replens®, a polycarophil-based vaginal moisturizer that adheres to the vaginal mucosa and is designed to be used three times weekly, independent of any sexual activity. One double-blind, crossover, randomized clinical trial compared 4 weeks of Replens® to a "placebo" water-based lubricant²⁷² in 45 postmenopausal breast cancer survivors. Although both preparations relieved vaginal dryness, Replens® was signifi-

TABLE 19.3. Treatment algorithms for common reproductive problems after cancer.

<i>Level of intervention</i>	<i>Hot flashes</i>	<i>Loss of sexual desire</i>	<i>Erectile dysfunction</i>	<i>Vaginal dryness/dyspareunia</i>
Written pamphlet, video, internet, or nurse	Education about diet, dress, sleep, hygiene	Assessment of depression, fatigue, and medications with sexual side effects	Education about impact of cancer treatment and availability of medical treatments	Education on use of water-based lubricants and vaginal moisturizers ²⁸⁰
Peer counseling or counseling by a mental health professional	Stress management with focus on relaxation training	Promote positive body image, permission to have sexual fantasies, activities that increase desire, and erotic material such as stories or films ²⁸⁰	Intervention to enhance couple's sexual communication, improve partner's sexual satisfaction ²⁸⁰	Education on positioning, Kegel exercises to gain voluntary control over circumvaginal muscles ²⁸⁰
Intervention by a physician	Prescription of antidepressant medication, and consideration of risk/benefit ratio of using estrogen replacement	Change medications that may be interfering with desire; Consideration of androgen replacement, but only if survivor's levels are in the clinically hypogonadal range and the survivor is not at high risk for breast or prostate cancer as a recurrence or second primary tumor	Try medical treatments that are acceptable to both partners, starting with least invasive ²⁸¹	Prescription of graduated vaginal dilators with instructions on use to maximize control over vaginal muscles ²⁸⁰ ; Prescription of local vaginal estrogen replacement if appropriate; Consideration of vaginal reconstructive surgery in rare cases

cantly more effective in reducing dyspareunia scores. In two open-label studies of women unselected for cancer history, Replens® was just as effective as estrogen cream in treating vaginal atrophy and dyspareunia.^{273,274}

In women with chronic pelvic pain and dyspareunia unrelated to a history of cancer treatment, successful comprehensive treatment programs have combined sexual counseling with specific biofeedback and physical therapy modalities designed to increase awareness of and control over muscle tension in the pelvic floor.²⁷⁵ Trials applying these techniques are needed with women who have dyspareunia related to surgical adhesions or anatomic changes, radiation damage to the vagina, or vaginal complications of graft vs. host disease.

Similar treatments have been helpful in a pilot study of men with chronic pelvic pain.²⁷⁶ Pelvic pain has been reported to be more common than usual after treatment for testicular cancer²⁷⁷ or after radical prostatectomy.^{175,278} This type of pain is very recalcitrant to treatment and may include aching in the testes or groin, and/or urethral pain exacerbated by urination or ejaculation. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory or alpha-blocking drugs, low-dose antidepressants, and nerve blocks are occasionally helpful, but more extreme surgical procedures do not produce results that justify routine use.²⁷⁹ Randomized trials of treatments for male pelvic pain have not been published.

Table 19.3 presents treatment algorithms for the most common reproductive symptoms seen in cancer survivors: hot flashes, loss of sexual desire, erectile dysfunction, and vaginal dryness/dyspareunia. The first level of intervention involves giving patient education materials in written, video, or interactive computerized format. If more help is needed, brief counseling can be provided either by a trained peer counselor or by a member of the oncology team, such as a nurse clinician or social worker. At the third level, a health care provider specialist is consulted. Many brief counseling interventions can be found in a self-help format²⁸⁰ and algorithms for treating ED are also available.²⁸¹

Conclusions

Reproductive health problems, including sexual dysfunction, menopausal symptoms, and infertility are common, long-term consequences of cancer treatment for both men and women. Until targeted cancer therapies are more common, systemic chemotherapy is likely to entail considerable gonadal toxicity. Efforts to modify pelvic surgery and radiation therapy to spare the reproductive system are ongoing, but remain limited in applicability and efficacy. Because sexuality and childbearing are such sensitive issues, psychosocial counseling and education may increase the efficacy of purely physiological interventions. As this review highlights, very little evidence-based knowledge is available to guide oncology clinicians in remediating reproductive health issues. For many problems, pilot studies of efficacy of innovative treatments are needed before randomized trials can be justified. Hopefully our increasing knowledge about the prevalence, causes, and impact on quality of life of reproductive health problems will soon generate more research.

References

1. Lucas JW, Schiller JS, Benson V. Summary health statistics for United States adults: National Health Interview Survey, 2001. National Center for Health Statistics, Vital Health Stat 2004; 10(218).
2. United States Census Bureau. US Summary 2000: Census 2000 Profile. Washington, DC: Government publication C2KPROF00US; 2002.
3. MacDorman MF, Minino AM, Strobino DM, et al. Annual summary of vital statistics—2001. *Pediatrics* 2002;110: 1037–1052.
4. Bachu A, O'Connell M. Fertility of American women: June 2000. *Current Population Reports*, P20-543RV. U.S. Census Bureau, Washington, DC, 2001.
5. United Nations Population Division of the Department of Economic and Social Affairs. Wall chart: World Marriage

- Patterns 2000. <http://www.un.org/esa/population/publications/worldmarriage/worldmarriage.htm>, accessed 12/7/04.
6. Jacobsen R, Bostofte E, Engholm G, et al. Fertility and offspring sex ratio of men who develop testicular cancer: a record linkage study. *Hum Reprod* 2000;15:1958–1961.
 7. Skakkebaek NE, Rajpert-De Meyts E, Main KM. Testicular dysgenesis syndrome: an increasingly common developmental disorder with environmental aspects. *Hum Reprod* 2001;16:972–978.
 8. Høi-Hansen, CE, Holm M, Rajpert-De Meyts E, Skakkebaek NE. Histological evidence of testicular dysgenesis in contralateral biopsies from 218 patients with testicular germ cell cancer. *J Pathol* 2003;200:370–374.
 9. Jacobsen R, Bostofte E, Engholm G, et al. Risk of testicular cancer in men with abnormal semen characteristics: cohort study. *Brit Med J* 2000;321:789–792.
 10. Venn A, Healy D, McLachlan R. Cancer risks associated with the diagnosis of infertility. *Best Practice & Res Clin Obstet Gynecol* 2003;17:343–367.
 11. Doyle P, Maconochie N, Beral V, et al. Cancer incidence following treatment for infertility at a clinic in the UK. *Hum Reprod* 2002;17:2209–2213.
 12. Burkman RT, Tang MTC, Malone KE, et al. Infertility drugs and the risk of breast cancer: findings from the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Women's Contraceptive and Reproductive Experiences Study. *Fertil Steril* 2003;79:844–851.
 13. Kauff ND, Satagopan JM, Robson ME, et al. Risk-reducing salpingo-oophorectomy in women with a BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutation. *N Eng J Med* 2002;346:1609–1615.
 14. Heidenreich A, Albers P, Hartmann M, et al. Complications of primary nerve sparing retroperitoneal lymph node dissection for clinical stage I nonseminomatous germ cell tumors of the testis: experience of the German Testicular Cancer Study Group. *J Urol* 2003;169:1710–1714.
 15. Havenga K, Maas CP, DeRuiter MC, Welvaart K, Trimbos JB. Avoiding long-term disturbance to bladder and sexual function in pelvic surgery, particularly with rectal cancer. *Sem Surg Oncol* 2000;18:235–243.
 16. Meirrow D, Nugent D. The effects of radiotherapy and chemotherapy on female reproduction. *Hum Reprod* 2001;7:535–543.
 17. Tilly JL, Kolesnick RN. Sphingolipids, apoptosis, cancer treatments and the ovary: investigating a crime against female fertility. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2002;1585:135–138.
 18. Blumenfeld Z. Preservation of fertility and ovarian function and minimalization of chemotherapy associated gonadotoxicity and premature ovarian failure: the role of inhibin-A and -B as markers. *Molecular Cellular Endocrinol* 2002;187:93–105.
 19. Minton SE, Munster PN. Chemotherapy-induced amenorrhea and fertility in women undergoing adjuvant treatment for breast cancer. *Cancer Control* 2002;9:466–472.
 20. Thomson AB, Critchley HOD, Wallace WHB. Paediatric update: fertility and progeny. *Eur J Cancer* 2002;38:1634–1644.
 21. Hassan MA, Killick SR. Effect of male age on fertility: evidence for the decline in male fertility with increasing age. *Fertil Steril* 2003;79 (Suppl 3):1520–1527.
 22. Schover LR, Rybicki LA, Martin BA, et al. Having children after cancer: a pilot survey of survivors' attitudes and experiences. *Cancer* 1999;86:697–709.
 23. Schover, LR Brey K, Lichtin A, et al. Knowledge and experience regarding cancer, infertility, and sperm banking in younger male survivors. *J Clin Oncol* 2002;20:1880–1889.
 24. Green D, Galvin H, Horne B. The psycho-social impact of infertility on young male cancer survivors: a qualitative investigation. *Psycho-Oncology* 2003;12:141–152.
 25. Thewes B, Meiser B, Rickard J, et al. The fertility- and menopause-related information needs of younger women with a diagnosis of breast cancer: a qualitative study. *Psycho-Oncology* 2003;12:500–511.
 26. Dow KH. Having children after breast cancer. *Cancer Practice* 1994;2:407–413.
 27. Stephen EH, Chandra A. Use of infertility services in the United States: 1995. *Family Planning Perspect* 2000;32:132–137.
 28. Schover LR, Thomas AJ. *Overcoming Male Infertility*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 2000.
 29. Howell SJ, Shalet SM. Fertility preservation and management of gonadal failure associated with lymphoma therapy. *Curr Oncol Rep* 2002;4:443–452.
 30. Kelnar CJ, McKinnell C, Walker M, et al. Testicular changes during infantile 'quiescence' in the marmoset and their gonadotrophin dependence: a model for investigating susceptibility of the prepubertal human testis to cancer therapy. *Hum Reprod* 2002;17:1367–1378.
 31. Blumenfeld Z, Dann E, Avivi R, et al. Fertility after treatment for Hodgkin's disease. *Ann Oncol* 2002;13 (Suppl 1):138–147.
 32. Recchia F, Sica G, De Filippis S, et al. Goserelin as ovarian protection in the adjuvant treatment of premenopausal breast cancer: a phase II pilot study. *Anti-Cancer Drugs* 2002;13:417–424.
 33. Familiari G, Caggiati, A, Nottola SA, et al. Ultrastructure of human ovarian primordial follicles after combination chemotherapy for Hodgkin's disease. *Hum Reprod* 1993;8:2080–2087.
 34. Tilly JL. Molecular and genetic basis of normal and toxicant-induced apoptosis in female germ cells. *Toxicol Lett* 1998;102–103:497–501.
 35. Johnson J, Canning J, Kaneko T, Pru JK, Tilly J. Germline stem cells and follicular renewal in the postnatal mammalian ovary. *Nature* 2004;428:145–150.
 36. Anger JT, Gilbert BR, Goldstein M. Cryopreservation of sperm: Indications, methods and results. *J Urol* 2003;170:1079–1084.
 37. Agarwal A, Tolentine MV, Sidhu RS, et al. Effect of cryopreservation on semen quality of patients with testicular cancer. *Urol* 1995;46:382–389.
 38. Padron OF, Sharma RK, Thomas AJ, et al. Effects of cancer on spermatozoa quality after cryopreservation: a 12-year experience. *Fertil Steril* 1997;67:326–331.
 39. Lass A, Akagbosu F, Abusheikha N, et al. A programme of semen cryopreservation for patients with malignant disease in a tertiary infertility centre: lessons from 8 years' experience. *Hum Reprod* 1998;13:3256–3261.
 40. Audrins P, Holden CA, McLachlan RI, Kovacs GT. Semen storage for special purposes at Monash IVF from 1977 to 1997. *Fertil Steril* 1999;72:179–181.
 41. Kelleher S, Wishart SM, Liu PY, et al. Long-term outcomes of elective human sperm cryostorage. *Hum Reprod* 2001;16:2632–2639.
 42. Blackhall FH, Atkinson AD, Maaya MB et al. Semen cryopreservation, utilization and reproductive outcome in men treated for Hodgkin's disease. *Brit J Cancer* 2002;87:381–384.
 43. Agarwal A, Ranganathan P, Kattal N, et al. Fertility after cancer: a prospective review of assisted reproductive outcome with banked semen specimens. *Fertil Steril* 2004;81:342–348.
 44. Ragni G, Somigliana E, Restelli L, et al. Sperm banking and rate of assisted reproduction treatment: insights from a 15-year cryopreservation program for male cancer patients. *Cancer* 2003;97:1624–1629.
 45. Agarwal A, Sidhu RK, Shekarriz M, et al. Optimum abstinence time for cryopreservation of semen in cancer patients. *J Urol* 1995;54:86–88.
 46. Hallak J, Sharma RK, Thomas AJ, et al. Why cancer patients request disposal of cryopreserved semen specimens post-therapy: a retrospective study. *Fertil Steril* 1998;69:889–893.

47. Schover LR, Brey K, Lichtin A, et al. Oncologists' attitudes and practices regarding banking sperm before cancer treatment. *J Clin Oncol* 2002;20:1890-1897.
48. Wilford H, Hunt J. An overview of sperm cryopreservation services for adolescent cancer patients in the United Kingdom. *Eur J Oncol Nurs* 2003;7:24-32.
49. Allen C, Keane D, Harrison, RF. A survey of Irish consultants regarding awareness of sperm freezing and assisted reproduction. *Ir Med J* 2003;96:23-25.
50. Hallak J, Hendin B, Bahadur G, et al. Semen quality and cryopreservation in adolescent cancer patients. *Hum Reprod* 2002;17:3157-3161.
51. Nagano M, Patrizio P, Brinster RL. Long-term survival of human spermatogonial stem cells in mouse testes. *Fertil Steril* 2002;78:1225-1233.
52. Yoon TK, Kim TJ, Park SE, et al. Live births after vitrification of oocytes in a stimulated in vitro fertilization-embryo transfer program. *Fertil Steril* 2003;79:1323-1326.
53. Eroglu A, Toner M, Toth TL. Beneficial effect of microinjected trehalose on the cryosurvival of human oocytes. *Fertil Steril* 2002;77:152-158.
54. Gosden R, Nagano M. Preservation of fertility in nature and ART. *Reprod* 2002;123:3-11.
55. Liu J, Ju G, Qian Y, et al. Pregnancies and births achieved from in vitro matured oocytes retrieved from poor responders undergoing stimulation in in vitro fertilization cycles. *Fertil Steril* 2003;80:447-449.
56. Oktay K, Economos K, Khan M, et al. Endocrine function and oocyte retrieval after autologous transplantation of ovarian cortical strips to the forearm. *JAMA* 2001;286:1490-1493.
57. Radford JA, Leiberman BA, Brison RB, et al. Orthotopic reimplantation of cryopreserved ovarian cortical strips after high-dose chemotherapy for Hodgkin's lymphoma. *Lancet* 2001;57:1172-1175.
58. Kim SS. Ovarian tissue banking for cancer patients: to do or not to do? *Hum Reprod* 2003;18:1759-1761.
59. Oktay K, Buyuk E, Veeck L, et al. Embryo development after heterotopic transplantation of cryopreserved ovarian tissue. *Lancet* 2004;363:837-840.
60. Lee DM, Yeoman RR, Battaglia DE, et al. Brief Communication: live birth after ovarian tissue transplant. *Nature* 2004;428:137-138.
61. Williams RS, Littell RD, Mendenhall NP. Laparoscopic oophorectomy and ovarian function in the treatment of Hodgkin disease. *Cancer* 1999;86:2138-2142.
62. Bisharah M, Tulandi T. Laparoscopic preservation of ovarian function: an underused procedure. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2003;188:367-370.
63. Picone O, Aucouturier JS, Louboutin A, et al. Abdominal wall metastasis of a cervical adenocarcinoma at the laparoscopic trocar insertion site after ovarian transposition: case report and review of the literature. *Gynecol Oncol* 2003;90:446-449.
64. Buekers TE, Anderson B, Sorosky JJ, et al. Ovarian function after surgical treatment for ovarian cancer. *Gynecol Oncol* 2001;80:85-88.
65. Burnett AF, Roman LD, O'Meara AT, Morrow CP. Radical vaginal trachelectomy and pelvic lymphadenectomy for preservation of fertility in early cervical carcinoma. *Gyn Oncol* 2003;88:419-423.
66. Plante, M. Fertility preservation in the management of gynecologic cancers. *Curr Opin Oncol* 2000;12:497-507.
67. Schlaerth JB, Spritos NM, Schlaerth AC. Radical trachelectomy and pelvic lymphadenectomy with uterine preservation in the treatment of cervical cancer. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2003;188:29-34.
68. Shepherd JH, Mould T, Oram DH. Radical trachelectomy in early stage carcinoma of the cervix: outcome as judged by recurrence and fertility rates. *BJOG* 2001;108:882-885.
69. McHale MT, Le TD, Burger RA, et al. Fertility sparing treatment in situ and early invasive adenocarcinoma of the cervix. *Obstet Gynecol* 2001;98:726-731.
70. Soutter WP, Haidopoulos D, Gornall, RJ, et al. Is conservative treatment for adenocarcinoma in situ of the cervix safe? *BJOG* 2001;108:1184-1189.
71. Morris RT, Gershenson DM, Silva EG, et al. Outcome and reproductive function after conservative surgery for borderline ovarian tumors. *Obstet Gynecol* 2000;95:541-547.
72. Brewer M, Gershenson DM, Herzog CE, et al. Outcome and reproductive function after chemotherapy for ovarian dysgerminoma. *J Clin Oncol* 1999;17:2670-2675.
73. Schilder JM, Thompson AM, DePriest PD, et al. Outcome of reproductive age women with stage IA or IC invasive epithelial ovarian cancer treated with fertility-sparing therapy. *Gynecol Oncol* 2002;87:1-7.
74. Tangir J, Zelterman D, Ma W, Schwartz PE. Reproductive function after conservative surgery and chemotherapy for malignant germ cell tumors of the ovary. *Obstet Gynecol* 2003;101:251-257.
75. Meistrich ML, Wilson G, Mathur K, et al. Rapid recovery of spermatogenesis after mitoxantrone, vincristine, vinblastine, and prednisone chemotherapy for Hodgkin's disease. *J Clin Oncol* 1997;15:3488-3495.
76. Ohl DA, Sonksen J. What are the chances of infertility and should sperm be banked? *Sem Urol Oncol* 1996;14:36-44.
77. Colombo R, Bertini R, Salonia A, et al. Nerve and seminal sparing radical cystectomy with orthotopic urinary diversion for select patients with superficial bladder cancer: an innovative surgical approach. *J Urol* 2001;165:51-55.
78. Weisz B, Schiff E, Lishner M. Cancer in pregnancy: maternal and fetal implications. *Hum Reprod Update* 2001;7:384-393.
79. Gwyn KM, Theriault RL. Breast cancer during pregnancy. *Curr Treat Options Oncol* 2000;1:239-243.
80. Schover LR. Psychosocial issues associated with cancer in pregnancy. *Sem Oncol* 2000;27:699-703.
81. Wenzel L, Berkowitz RS, Newlands E, et al. Quality of life after gestational trophoblastic disease. *J Reprod Med* 2002;47:387-394.
82. Green DM, Whitton JA, Stovall M, et al. Pregnancy outcome of female survivors of childhood cancer: a report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2002;187:1070-1080.
83. Critchley HO, Bath LE, Wallace WH. Radiation damage to the uterus: Review of the effects of treatment of childhood cancer. *Hum Fertil* 2002;5:61-66.
84. Surbone A, Petrek JA. Childbearing issues in breast carcinoma survivors. *Cancer* 1997;79:1271-1278.
85. Ginsburg ES, Yanushpolsky EH, Jackson KV. In vitro fertilization for cancer patients and survivors. *Fertil Steril* 2001;75:705-710.
86. Oktay KH, Buyuk E, Yermakova I, Veeck L, Rosenwaks Z. Fertility preservation in breast cancer patients: IVF and embryo cryopreservation after ovarian stimulation with tamoxifen. *Hum Reprod* 2003;18:90-95.
87. Oktay K. Further evidence on the safety and success of ovarian stimulation with letrozole and tamoxifen in breast cancer patients undergoing in vitro fertilization to cryopreserve their embryos for fertility preservation. *J Clin Oncol* 2005;23:3858-3859.
88. Anselmo AP, Cavalieri E, Aragona C, et al. Successful pregnancies following an egg donation program in women with previously treated Hodgkin's disease. *Haematologia* 2001;86:624-628.
89. Larsen EC, Loft A, Holm K, et al. Oocyte donation in women cured of cancer with bone marrow transplantation including total body irradiation in adolescence. *Hum Reprod* 2000;15:1505-1508.
90. Giacalone PL, Laffargue F, Benos P, et al. Successful in vitro fertilization-surrogate pregnancy in a patient with ovarian

- transposition who had undergone chemotherapy and pelvic irradiation. *Fertil Steril* 2001;76:388-389.
91. Ohl DA, Denil J, Bennett CJ, et al. Electroejaculation following retroperitoneal lymphadenectomy. *J Urol* 1991;145:980-983.
 92. Ohl DA, Wolf LJ, Menge AC, et al. Electroejaculation and assisted reproductive technologies in the treatment of anejaculatory infertility. *Fertil Steril* 2001;76:1249-1255.
 93. Hovav Y, Dan-Goor M, Yaffe H, et al. Electroejaculation before chemotherapy in adolescents and young men with cancer. *Fertil Steril* 2001;75:811-813.
 94. Damani MN, Master V, Meng MV, et al. Postchemotherapy ejaculatory azoospermia: fatherhood with sperm from testis tissue with intracytoplasmic sperm injection. *J Clin Oncol* 2002;20:930-936.
 95. Chan PT, Palermo GD, Veeck LL, Rosenwaks Z, et al. Testicular sperm extraction combined with intracytoplasmic sperm injection in the treatment of men with persistent azoospermia postchemotherapy. *Cancer* 2001;92:1632-1637.
 96. Robbins WA, Meistrich ML, Moore D, et al. Chemotherapy induces transient sex chromosomal and autosomal aneuploidy in human sperm. *Nat Genet* 1997;16:74-78.
 97. Burrello N, Vicari E, Shin P, et al. Lower sperm aneuploidy frequency is associated with high pregnancy rates in ICSI programmes. *Hum Reprod* 2003;18:1371-1376.
 98. Thomson AB, Campbell AJ, Irvine DC, et al. Semen quality and spermatozoal DNA integrity in survivors of childhood cancer: a case-control study. *Lancet* 2002;360(9330):361-367.
 99. Arnon J, Meirrow D, Lewis-Roness H, et al. Genetic and teratogenic effects of cancer treatments on gametes and embryos. *Hum Reprod* 2001;7:394-403.
 100. Winther JE, Boice JD, Mulvihill JJ, et al. Chromosomal abnormalities among offspring of childhood-cancer survivors in Denmark: a population-based study. *Am J Hum Genet* 2004;74:1282-1285.
 101. Green DM, Whitton JA, Stovall M, et al. Pregnancy outcome of partners of male survivors of childhood cancer: a report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. *J Clin Oncol* 2003;21:716-721.
 102. Sankila R, Olson JH, Anderson H, et al. Risk of cancer among offspring of childhood-cancer survivors. Association of the Nordic Cancer Registries and the Nordic Society of Paediatric Haematology and Oncology. *N Engl J Med* 1998;38:1339-1344.
 103. Berry DL, Theriault RL, Holmes FA, et al. Management of breast cancer during pregnancy using a standardized protocol. *J Clin Oncol* 1999;7:855-861.
 104. Narod SA. Hormonal prevention of hereditary breast cancer. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 2001;952:36-43.
 105. Rebbeck TR, Lynch HT, Neuhausen SL, et al. The Prevention and Observation of Surgical End Points Study Group. Prophylactic oophorectomy in carriers of BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutations. *N Eng J Med* 2002;346:1616-1622.
 106. Rechitsky S, Verlinsky O, Chistokhina A, et al. Preimplantation genetic diagnosis for cancer predisposition. *Reprod Biomed* 2002;5:148-155.
 107. Laumann EO, Paik A, Rosen RC. Sexual dysfunction in the United States: Prevalence and predictors. *JAMA* 1999;281:537-544.
 108. Avis NE, McKinlay SM. The Massachusetts Women's Health Study: an epidemiologic investigation of the menopause. *J Amer Med Women's Association* 1995;50:45-49.
 109. Avis NE, McKinlay SM. A longitudinal analysis of women's attitudes toward the menopause: results from the Massachusetts Women's Health Study. *Maturitas* 1991;13:65-79.
 110. McKinlay JB, McKinlay SM, Brambilla DJ. Health status and utilization behavior associated with menopause. *Amer J Epidemiol* 1987;125:111-121.
 111. Avis NE, Stellato R, Crawford S, Johannes C, et al. Is there an association between menopause status and sexual functioning? *Menopause* 2000;7:286-288.
 112. McKinlay JB, McKinlay SM, Brambilla D. The relative contributions of endocrine changes and social circumstances to depression in mid-aged women. *J Health Soc Behav* 1987;28:345-363.
 113. Avis NE, Crawford S, McKinlay SM. Psychosocial, behavioral, and health factors related to menopause symptomatology. *Womens Health* 1997;3:103-120.
 114. Sternfeld B, Quesenberry CP Jr., Husson G. Habitual physical activity and menopausal symptoms: a case-control study. *J Women's Health* 1999;8:115-123.
 115. Keating NL, Cleary PD, Rossi AS, et al. Use of hormone replacement therapy by postmenopausal women in the United States. *Annals Internal Med* 1999;130:545-553.
 116. Staropoli CA, Flaws JA, Bush TL, et al. Predictors of menopausal hot flashes. *J Women's Health* 1998;7:1149-1155.
 117. Carpenter JS, Johnson D, Wagner L, et al. Hot flashes and related outcomes in breast cancer survivors and matched comparison women. *Oncol Nurs Forum* 2002;29:E16-25.
 118. Carpenter JS, Andrykowski MA, Cordova M, et al. Hot flashes in postmenopausal women treated for breast carcinoma: prevalence severity, correlates, management, and relation to quality of life. *Cancer* 1998;82:1682-1691.
 119. Ganz PA, Rowland JH, Desmond K, et al. Life after breast cancer: understanding women's health-related quality of life and sexual functioning. *J Clin Oncol* 1998;16:501-514.
 120. Biglia N, Cozzarella M, Cacciari F, et al. Menopause after breast cancer: a survey on breast cancer survivors. *Maturitas* 2003;45:29-38.
 121. Stein KD, Jacobsen PB, Hann DM, et al. Impact of hot flashes on quality of life among postmenopausal women being treated for breast cancer. *Pain Symptom Manage* 2000;19:436-445.
 122. Nystedt M, Berglund G, Bolund C, et al. Side effects of adjuvant endocrine treatment in premenopausal breast cancer patients: a prospective randomized study. *J Clin Oncol* 2003;21:1836-1844.
 123. Mourits MJ, Bockermann I, de Vries EG, et al. Tamoxifen effects on subjective and psychosexual well-being, in a randomized breast cancer study comparing high-dose and standard-dose chemotherapy. *Br J Cancer* 2002;86:1546-1550.
 124. Ganz PA, Desmond KA, Leedham B, et al. Quality of life in long-term disease-free survivors of breast cancer: a follow-up study. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2002;94:39-49.
 125. Jensen PT, Klee MC, Groenvold M. Validation of a questionnaire for self-rating of urological and gynaecological morbidity after treatment of gynaecological cancer. *Radiother Oncol* 2002;65:29-38.
 126. Denton AS, Maher EJ. Interventions for the physical aspects of sexual dysfunction in women following pelvic radiotherapy (Cochrane Review). In: *The Cochrane Library* 2003;3:1-26. Oxford: Update Software.
 127. Schimmer AD, Quatermain M, Imrie D, et al. Ovarian function after autologous bone marrow transplantation. *J Clin Oncol* 1998;16:2359-2363.
 128. Rossouw JE, Anderson GL, Prentice RL, et al. Risks and benefits of estrogen plus progestin in healthy postmenopausal women: principal results from the Women's Health Initiative randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2002;288:321-333.
 129. Thompson CA, Shanafelt TD, Loprinzi CL. Andropause: symptom management for prostate cancer patients treated with hormonal ablation. *The Oncologist* 2003;8:474-487.
 130. American Cancer Society. *Cancer facts and figures: 2002*. American Cancer Society, Atlanta, GA.
 131. Smolin Y, Massie MJ. Male breast cancer: a review of the literature and a case report. *Psychomatics* 2002;43:326-330.
 132. Hoda D, Perez DG, Loprinzi CL. Hot flashes in breast cancer survivors. *Breast J* 2003;9:431-438.
 133. Ettinger B, Grady D, Tosteson ANA, et al. Effect of the Women's Health Initiative on women's decisions to discontinue postmenopausal hormone therapy. *Obstet Gynecol* 2003;102:1225-1232.

134. Beckmann MW, Jap D, Djahansouzi S, et al. Hormone replacement therapy after treatment of breast cancer: effects on postmenopausal symptoms, bone mineral density and recurrence rates. *Oncol* 2001;60:199–206.
135. Durna EM, Wren BG, Heller GZ, et al. Hormone replacement therapy after a diagnosis of breast cancer: cancer recurrence and mortality. *Med J Australia* 2002;177:347–351.
136. Decker DA, Pettinga JE, VanderVelde N, et al. Estrogen replacement therapy in breast cancer survivors: a matched-controlled series. *Menopause* 2003;10:277–285.
137. Wile AG, Opfell RW, Margileth DA. Hormone replacement therapy in previously treated breast cancer patients. *Am J Surg* 1993;165:372–375.
138. DiSaia PJ, Grosen EA, Kurosaki T, et al. Hormone replacement therapy in breast cancer survivors: a cohort study. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1996;174:1494–1498.
139. Dew J, Eden J, Beller E, et al. A cohort study of hormone replacement therapy given to women previously treated for breast cancer. *Climacteric* 1998;1:137–142.
140. Ursic-Vrscaj M, Bebar S. A case-control study of hormone replacement therapy after primary surgical breast cancer treatment. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 1999;25:146–151.
141. O'Meara ES, Rossing MA, Daling JR, et al. Hormone replacement therapy after a diagnosis of breast cancer in relation to recurrence and mortality. *JNCI* 2001;93:754–762.
142. Peters GN, Fodera T, Sabol J, et al. Estrogen replacement therapy after breast cancer: a 12-year follow-up. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2001;8:828–832.
143. Natrajan PK, Gambrell RD Jr. Estrogen replacement therapy in patients with early breast cancer. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2002;187:289–294.
144. Meurer LN, Lena S. Cancer recurrence and mortality in women using hormone replacement therapy after breast cancer: meta-analysis. *J Fam Pract* 2002;51:1056–1062.
145. Vassilopoulou-Sellin R, Cohen DS, Hortobagyi GN, et al. Estrogen replacement therapy for menopausal women with a history of breast carcinoma: results of a 5-year, prospective study. *Cancer* 2002;95:1817–1826.
146. Ganz PA, Greendale GA, Kahn B, et al. Are older breast carcinoma survivors willing to take hormone replacement therapy? *Cancer* 1999;86:814–820.
147. Holmberg L, Anderson H. The HABITS steering and data monitoring committee. *Lancet* 2004;363:453–455.
148. Quella SK, Loprinzi CL, Sloan JA, et al. Long term use of megestrol acetate by cancer survivors for the treatment of hot flashes. *Cancer* 1998;82:1784–1788.
149. Pandya KJ, Raubertas RF, Flynn PJ et al. Oral clonidine in postmenopausal patients with breast cancer experiencing tamoxifen-induced hot flashes: a University of Rochester Cancer Center Community Clinical Oncology Program study. *Ann Intern Med* 2000;32:788–793.
150. Stearns V, Beebe KL, Iyengar M, et al. Paroxetine controlled release in the treatment of menopausal hot flashes: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2003;289:2827–2834.
151. Loprinzi CL, Kugler JW, Sloan JA, et al. Venlafaxine in management of hot flashes in survivors of breast cancer: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2000;356:2059–2063.
152. Quella SK, Loprinzi CL, Sloan J, et al. Pilot evaluation of venlafaxine for the treatment of hot flashes in men undergoing androgen ablation therapy for prostate cancer. *J Urol* 1999;162:98–102.
153. Quella SK, Loprinzi CL, Barton DL, et al. Evaluation of soy phytoestrogens for the treatment of hot flashes in breast cancer survivors: a North Central Cancer Treatment Group Trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2000;18:1068–1074.
154. Tice JA, Ettinger B, Ensrud K, et al. Phytoestrogen supplements for the treatment of hot flashes: the Isoflavone Clover Extract (ICE) Study: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2003;290:207–214.
155. Nikander E, Kilkkinen A, Metsa-Heikkila M, et al. A randomized placebo-controlled crossover trial with phytoestrogens in treatment of menopause in breast cancer patients. *Obstet Gynecol* 2003;101:1213–1220.
156. Muñoz GH, Pluchino S. *Cimicifuga racemosa* for the treatment of hot flushes in women surviving breast cancer. *Maturitas* 2003;44(Suppl 1):S59–65.
157. Wuttke W, Seidlove-Wuttke D, Gorkow C. The Cimicifuga preparation BNO 1055 vs. conjugated estrogens in a double-blind placebo-controlled study: effects on menopause symptoms and bone markers. *Maturitas* 2003;44(Suppl 1):S67–77.
158. Jacobson J, Traxel AB, Evans J, et al. Randomized trial of black cohosh for the treatment of hot flashes among women with a history of breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2001;19:2739–2745.
159. Carpenter JS, Wells N, Lambert B, et al. A pilot study of magnetic therapy for hot flashes after breast cancer. *Cancer Nurs* 2002;25:104–109.
160. Porzio G, Trapasso T, Martelli S, et al. Acupuncture in the treatment of menopause-related symptoms in women taking tamoxifen. *Tumori* 2002;88:128–130.
161. Irvin JH, Domar AD, Clark C, et al. The effects of relaxation response training on menopausal symptoms. *J Psychosom Obstet Gynaecol* 1996;17:202–207.
162. Ivarsson T, Spetz AC, Hammar M. Physical exercise and vasomotor symptoms in postmenopausal women. *Maturitas* 1998;29:139–146.
163. Ganz PA, Greendale GA, Petersen L, et al. Managing menopausal symptoms in breast cancer survivors: Results of a randomized controlled trial. *J Nat Cancer Inst* 2000;92:1054–1064.
164. Rosen RC, Laumann EO. The prevalence of sexual problems in women: How valid are comparisons across studies? *Arch Sex Behav* 2003;32:209–211.
165. Laumann EO, Nicolosi A, Glasser DB, et al. GSSAB Investigators' Group. Sexual problems among women and men aged 40–80 years: prevalence and correlates identified in the global study of sexual attitudes and behaviors. *Int J Impot Res* 2005;17:39–57.
166. Nicolosi A, Laumann EO, Glasser DB, et al. Global Study of Sexual Attitudes and Behaviors Investigators' Group. Sexual behavior and sexual dysfunctions after age 40: the global study of sexual attitudes and behaviors. *Urology* 2004;64:991–997.
167. Feldman HA, Goldstein I, Hatzichristou DG, et al. Impotence and its medical and psychosocial correlates: results of the Massachusetts Male Aging Study. *J Urol* 1994;151:54–61.
168. Bacon CG, Mittleman MA, Kawachi I, et al. Sexual function in men older than 50 years of age: results from the Health Professionals Follow-Up Study. *Ann Intern Med* 2003;139:161–168.
169. Laumann EO, Gagnon JH, Michael RT, et al. The social organization of sexuality. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press, 1994:184–185.
170. Hollenbeck BK, Dunn RL, Wei JT, et al. Determinants of long-term sexual health outcome after radical prostatectomy measured by a validated instrument. *J Urol* 2003;169:1453–1457.
171. Andersen BL, Lachenbruch PA, Anderson B, et al. Sexual dysfunction and signs of gynecologic cancer. *Cancer* 1986;7:1880–1886.
172. Cooperberg MR, Koppie TM, Lubeck DP et al. How potent is potent? Evaluation of sexual function and bother in men who report potency after treatment for prostate cancer. Data from CaPSURE. *Urol* 2003;61:190–196.
173. Potosky AL, Davis WW, Hoffman RM, et al. Five-year outcomes after prostatectomy or radiotherapy for prostate cancer: the prostate cancer outcomes study. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2004;96:1358–1367.
174. Steineck G, Helgesen F, Adolfsson J, et al. Quality of life after radical prostatectomy or watchful waiting. *N Eng J Med* 2002;347:790–796.

175. Schover LR, Fouladi RT, Warneke CL, et al. Defining sexual outcomes after treatment for localized prostate cancer. *Cancer* 2002;95:1773-1778.
176. Henningsohn L, Steven K, Kallestrup EB, et al. Distressful symptoms and well-being after radical cystectomy and orthotopic bladder substitution compared with a matched control population. *J Urol* 2002;168:168-175.
177. Havenga K, Maas CP, DeRuiter MC, Welvaart K, Trimbos JB. Avoiding long-term disturbance to bladder and sexual function in pelvic surgery, particularly with rectal cancer. *Sem Surg Oncol* 2000;18:235-243.
178. Jonker-Pool G, Van de Wile HBM, Hoekstra HJ, et al. Sexual functioning after treatment for testicular cancer: review and meta-analysis of 36 empirical studies between 1975-2000. *Arch Sex Behav* 2001;30:55-74.
179. Nazareth I, Lewin J, King M. Sexual dysfunction after treatment for testicular cancer: a systemic review. *J Psychosom Res* 2001; 51:735-743.
180. Coogan CL, Hejase MJ, Wahle FR, et al. Nerve sparing post-chemotherapy retroperitoneal lymph node dissection for advanced testicular cancer. *J Urol* 1996;156:1656-1658.
181. Jacobsen KD, Ous S, Waehre H, et al. Ejaculation in testicular cancer patients after post-chemotherapy retroperitoneal lymph node dissection. *Br J Cancer* 1999;80:249-255.
182. Fossa SD, de Wit R, Roberts T, et al. Quality of life in good prognosis patients with metastatic germ cell cancer: a prospective study of the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Genitourinary Group/Medical Research Council Testicular Cancer study Group (30941/TE20). *J Clin Oncol* 2003;21:1107-1118.
183. Anatsiadis AG, Davis AR, Sawczuk IS, et al. Quality of life aspects in kidney cancer patients: data from a national registry. *Supportive Care in Cancer* 2003;11:700-706.
184. Syrjala KL, Roth-Roemer SL, Abrams JR, et al. Prevalence and predictors of sexual dysfunction in long-term survivors of marrow transplantation. *J Clin Oncol* 1998;16:3148-3157.
185. Dorval M, Maunsell E, Deschenes L, et al. Long-term quality of life after breast cancer: comparison of 8-year survivors with population controls. *J Clin Oncol* 1998;16:487-494.
186. Berglund G, Nystedt M, Bolund C, et al. Effect of endocrine treatment on sexuality in premenopausal breast cancer patients: a prospective randomized study. *J Clin Oncol* 2001;19: 2788-2796.
187. Kornblith AB, Herndon JE II, Weiss RB, et al. Long-term adjustment of survivors of early-stage breast carcinoma, 20 years after adjuvant chemotherapy. *Cancer* 2003;98:679-689.
188. Schover LR, Yetman RJ, Tuason LJ, et al. Partial mastectomy and breast reconstruction: a comparison of their effects on psychosocial adjustment, body image, and sexuality. *Cancer* 1995;75:54-64.
189. Rowland JH, Desmond KA, Meyerowitz BE, et al. Role of breast reconstructive surgery in physical and emotional outcomes among breast cancer survivors. *J Ntl Cancer Inst* 2000;92: 1422-1429.
190. Abrahamsen AF, Loge JH, Hannisdal E, et al. Socio-medical situation for long-term survivors of Hodgkin's disease: a survey of 459 patients treated at one institution. *Eur J Cancer* 1998;34: 1865-1870.
191. van Tulder MW, Aaronson NK, Bruning PF. The quality of life of long-term survivors of Hodgkin's disease. *Ann Oncol*. 1994; 5(2):153-158.
192. Kornblith AB, Anderson J, Cella DF, et al. Comparison of psychosocial adaptation and sexual function of survivors of advanced Hodgkin disease treated by MOPP, ABVD, or MOPP alternating with ABVD. *Cancer* 1992;15:2508-2516.
193. Bancroft J, Loftus J, Long JS. Distress about sex: a national survey of women in heterosexual relationships. *Arch Sex Beh* 2003; 32:193-208.
194. Weijmar Schultz WCM, Van De Wiel HBM, Hahn DEE, et al. Psychosexual functioning after treatment for gynecological cancer: an integrative model, review of determinant factors and clinical guidelines. *Int J Gynecol Cancer* 1992;2:281-290.
195. Andersen BL, Anderson B, deProse C. Controlled prospective longitudinal study of women with cancer: I. Sexual functioning outcomes. *J Consult Clin Psychol*. 1989;57:683-691.
196. Grumann M, Robertson R, Hacker NF, et al. Sexual functioning in patients following radical hysterectomy for stage IB cancer of the cervix. *Int J Gynecol Cancer* 2001;11:372-380.
197. Schover LR, Fife M, Gershenson DM. Sexual dysfunction and treatment for early stage cervical cancer. *Cancer* 1989; 63:204-212.
198. Rhodes JC, Kjerulff KH, Langenberg PW, et al. Hysterectomy and sexual functioning. *JAMA* 1999;282:1934-1941.
199. Thakar R, Ayers S, Clarkson P, et al. Outcomes after total versus subtotal abdominal hysterectomy. *N Engl J Med* 2002;347: 1318-1325.
200. Schover LR, von Eschenbach, AC. Sexual function and female radical cystectomy: a case series. *J Urol* 1985;134:465-468.
201. Ratliff CR, Gershenson DM, Morris M, et al. Sexual adjustment in patients undergoing gracilis myocutaneous flap vaginal reconstruction in conjunction with pelvic exenteration. *Cancer* 1996; 78:2229-2235.
202. Heiman JR. Sexual dysfunction: overview of prevalence, etiological factors, and treatments. *J Sex Res* 2002;39:73-78.
203. Schover LR, Evans RB, von Eschenbach AC. Sexual rehabilitation in a cancer center: diagnosis and outcome in 384 consultations. *Arch Sex Beh* 1987;16:445-461.
204. Moreira ED Jr, Brock G, Glasser DB, et al. GSSAB Investigators' Group. Help-seeking behaviour for sexual problems: the global study of sexual attitudes and behaviors. *Int J Clin Pract* 2005;59:6-16.
205. Gingell C, Nicolosi A, Buvat J, et al. Preliminary results from the Global Study of Sexual Attitudes and Behaviors: patient-physician communication. Presented at the 18th Congress of the European Association of Urology, February 2002, Birmingham, UK.
206. Solursh DS, Ernst JL, Lewis RW, et al. The human sexuality education of physicians in North American medical schools. *Int J Impot Res* 2003;15(Suppl 5): S41-45.
207. Stead ML, Brown JM, Fallowfield L, et al. Lack of communication between healthcare professionals and women with ovarian cancer about sexual issues. *Br J Cancer* 2003;88:666-667.
208. Fossa SD, Woehre H, Kurth KH, et al. Influence of urological morbidity on quality of life in patients with prostate cancer. *Eur Urol* 1997;31(Suppl 3):S3-8.
209. Helgason AR, Adolfsson J, Dickman P, et al. Factors associated with waning sexual function among elderly men and prostate cancer patients. *J Urol* 1997;158:155-159.
210. Messing E. The timing of hormone therapy for men with asymptomatic advanced prostate cancer. *Urol Oncol* 2003;21: 245-254.
211. Shahidi M, Norman AR, Gadd J, et al. Recovery of serum testosterone, LH and FSH levels following neoadjuvant hormone cytreduction and radical radiotherapy in localized prostate cancer. *Clin Oncol* 2001;13:291-295.
212. Iversen P. Bicalutamide monotherapy for early stage prostate cancer: an update. *J Urol* 2003;170:S48-52.
213. Schover LR. Lesson 24: sexuality after pelvic cancer. *AUA Updates*, 2005.
214. Schover LR, Fouladi RT, Warneke CL, et al. Utilization of medical treatments for erectile dysfunction in prostate cancer survivors. *Cancer* 2002;95:2397-2407.
215. Merrick GS, Butler WM, Galbreath RW, et al. Erectile function after permanent prostate brachytherapy. *Int J Radiation Oncology Biol Phys* 2002;52:893-902.

216. Zietman AL, Sacco D, Skowronski U, et al. Organ conservation in invasive bladder cancer by transurethral resection, chemotherapy and radiation: results of a urodynamic and quality of life study on long-term survivors. *J Urol* 2003;170:1772-1776.
217. Bjerre BD, Johansen C, Steven K. Sexological problems after cystectomy: bladder substitution compared with ileal conduit diversion. A questionnaire study of male patients. *Scand J Urol Nephrol* 1998;32:187-193.
218. Spitz A, Stein JP, Lieskovsky G, et al. Orthotopic urinary diversion with preservation of erectile and ejaculatory function in men requiring radical cystectomy for nonurothelial malignancy: a new technique. *J Urol* 1999;161:1761-1764.
219. Horenblas S, Meinhardt W, Ijzerman W, et al. Sexuality preserving cystectomy and neobladder: initial results. *J Urol* 2001;166:837-840.
220. Engel J, Kerr J, Schlesinger-Raab A, et al. Quality of life in rectal cancer patients: A four-year prospective study. *Ann Surg* 2003;238:203-213.
221. Chatterjee R, Kottaridis PD, McGarrigle HH, et al. Management of erectile dysfunction by combination therapy with testosterone and sildenafil in recipients of high-dose therapy for haematological malignancies. *Bone Marrow Trans* 2002;29:607-610.
222. Rajagopal A, Vassilopoulou-Sellin R, Palmer JL, et al. Hypogonadism and sexual dysfunction in male cancer survivors receiving chronic opioid therapy. *J Pain Symptom Manage* 2003;26:1055-1061.
223. Wang C, Swerdloff SR, Iranmanesh A, et al. Transdermal testosterone gel improves sexual function, mood, muscle strength, and body composition parameters in hypogonadal men. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2000;85:2839-2853.
224. McNicholas TA, Mulder DH, Carnegie C, et al. A novel testosterone gel formulation normalizes androgen levels in hypogonadal men, with improvements in body composition and sexual function. *BJU Int* 2003;91:69-74.
225. Snyder PJ, Peachey H, Hannoush P, et al. Effect of testosterone treatment on body composition and muscle strength in men over 65 years of age. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1999;84:2647-2653.
226. Steidle C, Schwartz S, Jacoby K, et al. for the North American AA2500 T Gel Study Group. AA2500 testosterone gel normalizes androgen levels in aging males with improvements in body composition and sexual function. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2003;88:2673-2681.
227. Aversa A, Isidori AM, Spera G, et al. Androgens improve cavernous vasodilation and response to sildenafil in patients with erectile dysfunction. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)* 2003;58:632-638.
228. Howell SJ, Radford JA, Adams JE, et al. Randomized placebo-controlled trial of testosterone replacement in men with mild Leydig cell insufficiency following cytotoxic chemotherapy. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)* 2001;55:315-324.
229. Kaplan HS. A neglected issue: the sexual side effects of current treatments for breast cancer. *J Sex Marital Ther* 1992;18:3-19.
230. Lillie EO, Bernstein L, Ursin G. The role of androgens and polymorphisms in the androgen receptor in the epidemiology of breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res* 2003;5:164-173.
231. Padero MC, Bhasin S, Friedman TC. Androgen supplementation in older women: too much hype, not enough data. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2002;50:1131-1140.
232. Cawood EHH, Bancroft J. Steroid hormones, the menopause, sexuality and well-being of women. *Psychol Med* 1996;26:925-936.
233. Bachmann GA, Leiblum SR, Kemmann E, et al. Sexual expression and its determinants in the post-menopausal woman. *Maturitas* 1984;6:19-29.
234. Dennerstein L, Dudley EC, Hoppel JL. Sexuality, hormones and the menopausal transition. *Maturitas* 1977;26:83-93.
235. Sherwin BB. Use of combined estrogen-androgen preparations in the postmenopause: evidence from clinical studies. *Int J Fertil* 1998;43:98-103.
236. Shifren JL, Braunstein GD, Simon JA, et al. Transdermal testosterone treatment in women with impaired sexual function after oophorectomy. *N Eng J Med* 2000;343:682-688.
237. Floter A, Nathorst-Boos J, Carlsrom K, et al. Addition of testosterone to estrogen replacement therapy in oophorectomized women: effects on sexuality and well-being. *Climacteric* 2002;5:357-365.
238. van Leeuwen FE, Klokman WJ, Stovall M, et al. Roles of radiation dose, chemotherapy, and hormonal factors in breast cancer following Hodgkin's disease. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2003;95:971-980.
239. Laan E, van Lunsen RHW, Everaerd W. The effects of tibolone on vaginal blood flow, sexual desire and arousability in postmenopausal women. *Climacteric* 2001;4:28-41.
240. Stahlberg C, Tønnes Pedersen A, Lynge E, et al. Increased risk of breast cancer following different regimens of hormone replacement therapy frequently used in Europe. *Int J Cancer* 2004;109:721-727.
241. Hartmann U, Heiser K, Ruffer-Hesse C, et al. Female sexual desire disorders: subtypes, classification, personality factors and new directions for treatment. *World J Urol* 2002;20:79-88.
242. Andersen BL. Surviving cancer: the importance of sexual self-concept. *Med Pediatr Oncol* 1999;33:15-23.
243. Schover LR, LoPiccolo J. Treatment effectiveness for dysfunctions of sexual desire. *J Sex Marital Therapy* 1982;8:179-197.
244. Hawton K, Catalan J, Fagg J. Low sexual desire: sex therapy results and prognostic factors. *Behav Res Ther* 1992;29:217-224.
245. Dewire DM, Todd E, Meyers P. Patient satisfaction with current impotence therapy. *Wis Med J* 1995;94:542-544.
246. Jarow JP, Nana-Sinkam P, Sabbagh M, et al. Outcome analysis of goal directed therapy for impotence. *J Urol* 1996;155:1609-1612.
247. Hanash KA. Comparative results of goal oriented therapy for erectile dysfunction. *J Urol* 1997;157:2135-2138.
248. El-Galley R, Rutland H, Talic R, et al. Long-term efficacy of sildenafil and tachyphylaxis effect. *J Urol* 2001;166:927-931.
249. Gonzalgo ML, Brotzman M, Trock et al. Clinical efficacy of sildenafil citrate and predictors of long-term response. *J Urol* 2003;170:503-506.
250. McCullough AR, Kau EL, Kaci L, et al. A 12-month longitudinal study of treatment seeking behavior in 200 men after radical retropubic prostatectomy. *American Urological Association Abstracts (#1418)*, 2003.
251. Gontero P, Fontana F, Bagnasacco A, et al. Is there an optimal time for intracavernous Prostaglandin E1 rehabilitation following nonnerve sparing radical prostatectomy? Results from a hemodynamic prospective study. *J Urol* 2003;169:2166-2169.
252. Montorsi F, Guazzoni G, Strambi LF, et al. Recovery of spontaneous erectile function after nerve-sparing radical retropubic prostatectomy with and without early intracavernous injections of alprostadil: results of a prospective, randomized trial. *J Urol* 1997;158:1408-1410.
253. Brody S, Laan E, van Lunsen RH. Concordance between women's physiological and subjective sexual arousal is associated with consistency of orgasm during intercourse but not other sexual behavior. *J Sex Marital Ther* 2003;29:15-23.
254. Modelska K, Cummings S. Female sexual dysfunction in postmenopausal women: Systematic review of placebo-controlled trials. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2003;188:286-293.
255. Berman JR, Berman LA, Toler SM, et al. Safety and efficacy of sildenafil citrate for the treatment of female sexual arousal disorder: a double-blind placebo-controlled study. *J Urol* 2003;170:2333-2338.
256. Padma-Nathan H, Brown C, Fendl J, et al. Efficacy and safety of topical alprostadil cream for the treatment of female sexual

- arousal disorder (FSAD): a double-blind, multicenter, randomized, and placebo-controlled clinical trial. *J Sex Marital Ther* 2003;29:329–344.
257. Ferguson DM, Steidle CP, Singh GS et al. Randomized, placebo-controlled, double blind, crossover design trial of the efficacy and safety of Zestra for Women in women with and without female sexual arousal disorder. *J Sex Marital Ther* 2003; 29(Supple 1):33–44.
258. Basson R, McInnes R, Smith MD, et al. Efficacy and safety of sildenafil citrate in women with sexual dysfunction associated with female sexual arousal disorder. *J Womens Health Gend Base Med* 2002;11:367–377.
259. Mayor S. News roundup: Pfizer will not apply for a licence for sildenafil for women. *BMJ* 2004;328:542.
260. Wilson SK, Delk JR, Billups KL. Treating symptoms of female sexual arousal disorder with the Eros-Clitoral Therapy Device. *J Gend Specif Med* 2001;4:54–58.
261. Munarriz R, Maitland S, Garcia Sp, et al. A prospective duplex Doppler ultrasonographic study in women with sexual arousal disorder to objectively assess genital engorgement induced by EROS therapy. *J Sex Marital Ther* 2003;29(Suppl 1):85–94.
262. Bachmann G. Estradiol-releasing vaginal ring delivery system for urogenital atrophy. Experience over the past decade. *J Reprod Med* 1998;43:991–998.
263. Gabriellsson J, Wallen beck I, Birgerson L. Pharmacokinetic data on estradiol in light of the estring concept. Estradiol and estring pharmacokinetics. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand Suppl* 1996; 163:26–34.
264. Buckler H, Al-Azzawi F for the UK VR Multicentre Trial Group. The effect of a novel vaginal ring delivering oestradiol acetate on climacteric symptoms in postmenopausal women. *BJOG* 2003;110:753–759.
265. Casper F, Petri E. Local treatment of urogenital atrophy with an estradiol-releasing vaginal ring: a comparative and a placebo-controlled multicenter study. *Vaginal Ring Study Group. Int Urogynecol J & Pelvic Floor Dysfunct* 1999;10:171–176.
266. Rioux JE, Devlin C, Gelfand MM, et al. 17beta-Estradiol vaginal tablet versus conjugated equine estrogen vaginal cream to relieve menopausal atrophic vaginitis. *Menopause* 2000;7: 156–161.
267. Bruner DW, Lanciano R, Keegan M, et al. Vaginal stenosis and sexual function following intracavitary radiation for the treatment of cervical and endometrial carcinoma. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1993;27:825–830.
268. Balleari E, Garre S, Van Lint MT, et al. Hormone replacement therapy and chronic graft-versus-host disease activity in women treated with bone marrow transplantation for hematologic malignancies. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2002;966:187–192.
269. Hayes EC, Rock JA. Treatment of vaginal agglutination associated with chronic graft-versus-host disease. *Fertil Steril* 2002; 78:1125–1126.
270. Denton AS, Maher EJ. Interventions for the physical aspects of sexual dysfunction in women following pelvic radiotherapy. *Cochrane Library* 2003;3:1–26.
271. Robinson JW, Faris PD, Scott CB. Psychoeducational group increases vaginal dilation for younger women and reduced sexual fears for women of all ages with gynecological carcinoma treated with radiotherapy. *Int J Radiation Oncology Biol Phys* 1999;44:497–506.
272. Loprinzi CL, Abu-Ghazaleh S, Sloan JA, et al. Phase III randomized double-blind study to evaluate the efficacy of a polycarbophil-based vaginal moisturizer in women with breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 1997;15:969–973.
273. Nachtigall LE. Comparative study: replens versus local estrogen in menopausal women. *Fertil Steril* 1994;61:178–180.
274. Bygdeman M, Swahn ML. Replens versus dienestrol cream in the symptomatic treatment of vaginal atrophy in postmenopausal women. *Maturitas* 1996;23:259–263.
275. Beji NK, Yalcin O, Erkan HA. The effect of pelvic floor training on sexual function of treated patients. *Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct* 2003;14:234–238.
276. Clemens JQ, Nadler RB, Schaeffer AJ, et al. Biofeedback, pelvic floor re-education, and bladder training for male chronic pelvic pain syndrome. *Urol* 2000;56:951–955.
277. Schover LR, von Eschenbach AC. Sexual and marital relationships after treatment for nonseminomatous testicular cancer. *Urol* 1985;25:251–255.
278. Sall M, Madsen FA, Rhodes PR, et al. Pelvic pain following radical retropubic prostatectomy: A prospective study. *Urol* 1997;49:575–579.
279. Masarani M, Cox R. The aetiology, pathophysiology and management of chronic orchialgia. *BJU International* 2003;91: 435–437.
280. Schover LR. Sexuality and fertility after cancer. New York, John Wiley & Sons, 1997:122–130.
281. Padma-Nathan H. Diagnostic and treatment strategies for erectile dysfunction: the 'Process of Care' model. *Int J Impot Res* 2000;12 (Suppl 4):S119–121.