Genetic Resources of Cucumber

Rachel P. Naegele and Todd C. Wehner

Abstract The Cucurbitaceae is a monophyletic family without any close relatives. It includes important vegetables such as cucumber, melon, watermelon, squash, pumpkin, and gourd. Within Cucurbitaceae, the genus *Cucumis* includes cultivated species *C. sativus* (cucumber) and *C. melo* (melon), as well as wild species including *C. hystrix*, *C. callosus*, and *C. sativus* L. var. hardwickii. More than 50 species have been identified in *Cucumis* with high levels of phenotypic and genetic diversity found in centers of diversity in Africa, Asia, and India. Primary and secondary centers of diversity can serve as useful sources of variation, and have been widely used to incorporate traits such as disease resistance into cultivated materials. During domestication, cucumber and melon underwent severe bottlenecks, which resulted in low genetic variation despite high phenotypic diversity. Since its domestication, approximately 3000 years ago, cucumber has undergone significant morphological changes from its small-fruited, black spined, seedy progenitor. More than 150 single gene traits have been described in *C. sativus*, including powdery mildew and virus resistance, sex expression, leaf morphology, and parthenocarpy, and molecular markers continue to be rapidly developed.

Keywords Cucumber • *Cucumis sativus* • Gene • Germplasm resources • Plant breeding

Introduction

The Cucurbitaceae or vine crop family is a distinct family without any close relatives (Sikdar et al. [2010](#page-23-0)). It includes important vegetables such as cucumber, melon, watermelon, squash and pumpkin. Cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* var. *sativus*), grown for fresh and processing markets, is one of the most important cultivated cucurbits with a global production of 70 million tonnes in 2013 (FAOSTAT). Approximately

R.P. Naegele

USDA, Agricultural Research Service, Agricultural Sciences Center, San Joaquin Valley, 9611, S. Riverbend Avenue, Parlier, CA 93648-9757, USA e-mail: rachel.naegele@ars.usda.gov

T.C. Wehner Department of Horticultural Science, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27695-7609, USA e-mail: tcwehner@gmail.com

[©] Springer International Publishing AG 2016 61

R. Grumet et al. (eds.), *Genetics and Genomics of Cucurbitaceae*, Plant Genetics and Genomics: Crops and Models, DOI 10.1007/7397_2016_15 Published Online: 22 Dec 2016

Production of cucumbers (fresh-market and processing)		
Rank	Country	Production (tons)
	China	54,315,900
$\overline{2}$	Turkey	1,754,613
3	Iran (Islamic Republic of)	1,570,078
$\overline{4}$	Russian Federation	1,068,000
5	Ukraine	1,044,300
6	Spain	754,400
	United States of America	747,610
8	Mexico	637,395
9	Egypt	631,129
10	Uzbekistan	607.397

Table 1 Top eight producers of cucumbers (fresh and processed) in the world

WorldAtlas.com 2016

<http://www.worldatlas.com/articles/the-world-leaders-in-cucumber-production.html>

Fig. 1 (**a**) Cultivated cucumber morphological diversity and (**b**) *C.s. var. hardwickii* (*top*) and *C. sativus* (*bottom*)

70% of the world's production of cucumber is in Asia, with China being the leading producer followed by Turkey, Iran, and Russia (Table [1\)](#page-1-0).

Cucumber probably originated in India, where highly diverse wild as well as cultivated forms are found (Sebastian et al. [2010](#page-23-1)). Cultivated cucumber and its wild relatives, including *Cucumis sativus* var. *hardwickii*, exhibit large variation in traits such as fruit skin (ridges, colors, speckling), spines (size, density and color), growth habit (vine length and branching), fruit size, sex expression, and flesh bitterness (Fig. [1a\)](#page-1-1). India is the center of diversity for cultivated cucumber. Secondary centers of diversity for cucumber exist in China and the Near East (Meglic et al. [1996;](#page-21-0) Staub et al. [1999\)](#page-23-2). Accessions of *C. s. var. hardwickii,* which may be more closely related to the original ancestors of cucumber, are found in one of these secondary centers of diversity in the foothills of the Yunnan Province of Southern China (Fig. [1b\)](#page-1-1) (Staub et al. [1999\)](#page-23-2). Other close relatives of cucumber are *Cucumis hystrix* from China and the African *Cucumis* species, such as melon (*Cucumis melo*) and West Indian gherkin (*Cucumis anguria*) (Chen and Kirkbride [2000;](#page-19-0) Kerje and Grum [2000;](#page-21-1) Meeuse [1958\)](#page-21-2)*.* Cucumber was domesticated in Asia, and introduced into Europe where the first cultivars were selected in the 1700s (Staub et al. [2008\)](#page-23-3). These early cucumber cultivars were brought to the Americas by Christopher Columbus, and grown by Native Americans from Florida to Canada by the early sixteenth century. Since this time, cucumber has spread across the globe becoming a major vegetable crop.

Cucumber Production

Cucumber is typically eaten fresh, or as a processed product (processing or pickling types) (Staub and Bacher [1997;](#page-23-4) Staub et al. [2008](#page-23-3)). The major cucumber market types are the American processing and fresh market types, the Dutch gherkin and greenhouse types, the German Schalgurken type, the Middle Eastern Beit Alpha type, and the Oriental trellis (burpless) type (Shetty and Wehner [2001](#page-23-5)). Fresh market cucumbers are field or greenhouse grown, and are usually between 15 (U.S. and Mediterranean) to 40 (European) cm in length. In addition to major market types, regionally preferred types also exist, but are less common. These include fresh market like the Persian cucumber (short fruit types grown in high tunnels or greenhouses) mainly marketed in the Middle East, and hermaphroditic 'Lemon' cucumber (shaped similar to a lemon with pale, greenish-yellow skin) (Robinson [2010\)](#page-22-0).

Production practices vary widely according to market type (processing vs. fresh), profit margin, geographic region, and cultivar. Pickling cucumber (*C. s.* var*. sativus*) is an immature cucumber used for processing (brining or pasteurizing). Unless mechanized, harvest is labor intensive due to small fruit size, which is generally $\langle 15 \text{ cm} \rangle$ long. India has low labor costs, and has become a major producer of the small size (<8 cm long) for export to Europe, the U.S., and Russia (Ranjan et al. [2008\)](#page-22-1). Nearly 60 processing companies in the states of Karnataka, Tamil Nadu and Maharashtra grow pickling cucumbers on 12,000 ha. Ajax and Sparta (Nunhems) are some of the dominant cultivars, accounting for 2.5 billion seeds in India (P. Arul Murugan, IAP Farm Services Pvt. Ltd., Tamil Nadu, India, personal communication, 2012).

Pickling or processing cucumbers in the U.S. usually grown flat on bare ground, often with overhead or furrow irrigation, with machine harvest to reduce labor and other input costs (Ando and Grumet [2006](#page-18-0); Schultheis [2000\)](#page-23-6). This system, while requiring fewer expenses often results in greater disease incidence and more defects (shape/size/color) than slicing cucumbers (Fig. [2](#page-3-0)). A significant portion of the pickling cucumbers in the southern U.S. is hand-harvested, with 2 or 3 harvests per week for several weeks per season. Harvest begins in early spring in the southernmost states, and then returns in late fall for a second crop.

In the U.S., fresh market cucumbers are grown on raised beds, often with drip irrigation and plastic mulch, to improve fruit quality and reduce disease incidence (Schultheis [2000](#page-23-6)). Slicing cucumbers are hand-harvested and stored in forced-air cooling until distribution.

Fig. 2 American pickling cucumber graded from right to left based on a diameter scale of 1 (0–25 mm) to 3 (39–51 mm)

Centers of Diversity for Cucumber

The center of origin for cucumber has been a subject of debate for decades. The center of origin and diversity for wild *Cucumis* is likely Africa (Staub et al. [1992\)](#page-23-7). However, the initial domestication of melon and cucumber occurred in the Middle East and Southern Asia, respectively (Dane et al. [1980\)](#page-19-1). Cucumber was previously thought to have originated in Africa (Tapley et al. [1937](#page-24-0)), China, India, or in the Near East (Vavilov [1926](#page-24-1), [1951](#page-24-2); Harlan [1975;](#page-20-0) De Candolle as cited by Hedrick [1919\)](#page-20-1), with domestication occurring later throughout Europe. Recent molecular assessments of *Cucumis* species have suggested that melon and cucumber are, however, of Asian origin and have numerous species-level relatives in Australia and around the Indian Ocean (Renner et al. [2007](#page-22-2); Sebastian et al. [2010\)](#page-23-1). Regardless of its origin, cucumber was domesticated about 3000 years ago, and is indigenous to India, which is a primary center of diversity, if not origin (Jeffrey [1980](#page-20-2)).

Cucumber Taxonomy

Cucumber belongs to the genus *Cucumis* in the subfamily Cucurbitoideae. *Cucumis* includes the cultivated species *C. sativus* (cucumber) and *C. melo* (melon) as well as many wild species, including *C. hystrix*, *C. callosus*, and *C. sativus var. hardwickii*. More than 50 species have been identified within *Cucumis* and there is a large phenotypic and genetic diversity in the populations collected in Africa, Asia, and India (Lv et al. [2012](#page-21-3); Kacar et al. [2012;](#page-20-3) Weng [2010;](#page-24-3) Zhang et al. [2012a;](#page-25-0) Qi et al. [2013\)](#page-22-3).

Crosses have been attempted between cultivated cucumber (*C. sativus*) and its relatives (*Cucumis* spp.) but have rarely been successful. The wild *C. hystrix* from

Yunnan Province of Southern China has been crossed with cucumber and progeny with limited fertility were generated (Chen et al. [1995;](#page-19-2) [1997\)](#page-19-3). From those progeny, fertile amphidiploids were produced to create the synthetic species *C. hytivus* (Chen et al. [1997;](#page-19-3) Chen and Kirkbride [2000](#page-19-0); Sebastian et al. [2010\)](#page-23-1). Furthermore, the development of the fully fertile *C. hytivus*–derived fertile diploids $(2n=2x=14)$ from *C. hytivus* and *C. s.* var*. sativus* cross resulted in potentially useful germplasm for plant improvement (Staub and Delannay [2011](#page-23-8)).

The 1320 *C. s.* var. *sativus* and var. *hardwickii* accessions currently resident in the U.S. National Plant Germplasm System represent the primary cucumber gene pool. These accessions include elite cultivars, breeding lines, heirlooms, collections from the centers of diversity, and exchange accessions from other collections. Within this collection inbreds, hybrids, monoecious, gynoecious, hermaphroditic, parthenocarpic, male sterile, disease resistant, tall, dwarf, determinate, seed dormant, and photoperiodic flowering types are represented.

The secondary gene pool of *C. sativus* includes cross incompatible (e.g. wild African) or sparingly cross compatible (e.g., *C. hystrix*) species (Chen et al. [1997](#page-19-3), Chung et al. [2006\)](#page-19-4). The tertiary gene pool of cucumber consists of distantly related species from other genera or sub-genera (e.g., *Cucumis melo* L. and *Cucurbita* L.), which do not hybridize with cucumber (Chung et al. [2006](#page-19-4), Staub et al. [1997b,](#page-23-9) [c\)](#page-23-10). Attempts to exploit resources beyond the secondary cucumber gene pool, e.g., *Cucumis metuliferus*, *C. melo,* have been unsuccessful.

In cultivated cucumber and its closely related *C. sativus* var. *hardwickii*, researchers in India, China, Turkey, and the U.S. have shown that genetic diversity is relatively low despite the apparent diversity in morphology (Aydemir [2009;](#page-18-1) Horejsi and Staub [1999;](#page-20-4) Innark et al. [2013](#page-20-5); Munshi et al. [2007;](#page-21-4) Lv et al. [2012;](#page-21-3) Pandey et al. [2013;](#page-22-4) Staub et al. [1997a,](#page-23-11) [1999;](#page-23-2) Zhang et al. [2012a](#page-25-0)). In local evaluations, high morphological variation was evident in fruit shape, size, color, sex expression, vine growth habit, and seed traits. Lv et al. [\(2012](#page-21-3)) evaluated over 3000 accessions representing cultivated, wild and landrace individuals from Asia, Europe and the U.S. using simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers. They reported little genetic diversity among cultivars collected from Europe, West/Central Asia and the U.S. Most of the genetic differentiation was between geographic or market classes. Accessions from China, East Asia, India and the Xishuangbanna province of China had the highest levels of diversity, and were genetically distinct from accessions from the U.S., Europe and West/Central China. These differentiated groups have potential for bringing in novel alleles and haplotypes to broaden the existing genetic pool for specific cucumber market classes. From this study, a core collection representing approximately 80% of the genetic diversity was developed. This core collection was later re-sequenced using next generation sequencing technologies (Qi et al. [2013\)](#page-22-3).

Singe nucleotide polymorphism (SNP)-based markers confirmed a low genetic diversity within *C. sativus* and homogenous populations across Eurasian, East Asian, and Xishuangbanna regions (Qi et al. [2013](#page-22-3)). Between the Eurasian and East Asian populations, non-synonymous SNPs in genes associated with resistance to fungi were highly differentiated, particularly in the Eurasian populations. Highest

genetic diversity and admixed population structure was found in Indian populations for cucumber, consistent with India serving as a center of diversity for cucumber. In cultivated cucumber, this reduction in genetic diversity is likely due to extreme selection pressure during domestication and small initial population sizes (Qi et al. [2013\)](#page-22-3). As a vining crop, one cucumber plant can cover a large surface area (if not trained on a trellis) and produce many fruit over weeks of harvest. Thus, fewer plants are required to produce enough yield for a community or family compared to grain crops (rice, corn, wheat), or root crops (carrot or beet), which have a lower yield per plant. This comparative increase in yield per plant would allow for smaller populations to be maintained resulting in bottlenecks that may have limited the genetic pool of this self-compatible crop. A smaller genetic pool has made finding agronomically useful traits such as disease and stress resistance in cultivated germplasm more difficult.

Because of low genetic diversity, related species with limited crossability, have been evaluated as sources for new traits of interest. SSR markers developed in *C. sativus* have been transferred, with limited success, and used to characterize genetic diversity in *C. melo, C. hystrix*, *C. s. var. hardwickii*, *C. metuliferus,* and *Lagenaria siceraria* (Bhawna et al. [2015](#page-18-2); Weng et al. [2010](#page-24-3); Kacar et al. [2012](#page-20-3)). Genetic diversity varied among these species, but was reported to be low (2–5 alleles per locus). These and other closely related species may serve as additional sources for traits such as disease resistance.

Cucumber Cultivar Improvement

A wide array of breeding and genetic resources for cucumber exist in the cucumber germplasm repositories maintained by the U.S. National Plant Germplasm System, Institute of Vegetables and Flowers at the Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, and the Centre for Genetic Resources in Netherlands. Cucumber was grown in the early 1300s in England, using the earliest greenhouses and was known as "cowcumbers" (Boswell [1949](#page-18-3)). These early cultivars were planted in Haiti in 1494, and brought to the U.S. soon afterward (Sturtevant [1887](#page-23-12)). Perhaps the first important American-bred cucumber cultivar of the nineteenth century was 'Tailby's Hybrid', developed by Joseph Tailby of Massachusetts, which was derived from a cross between American and English cultivars and introduced in 1872 (Tapley et al. [1937\)](#page-24-0). The success of 'Tailby's Hybrid' encouraged plant breeders to develop new, early generation cultivars such as 'Arlington White Spine', 'Boston Pickling', 'Chicago Pickling', and 'Snow's Pickling' (Fig. [3](#page-6-0)).

Some cultivars still available today were introduced to the U.S. more than a century ago. 'Early Russian', for example, was described by Naudin in France in 1859 (Naudin [1859\)](#page-21-5) while 'Early Cluster' was introduced prior to 1800. Boswell in 1949 concluded that all of the distinct types of cucumber in use at that time were known at least 400 years before (Boswell [1949](#page-18-3)). Among the market types (American processing and fresh market, Dutch gherkin and greenhouse, German Schalgurken,

Fig. 3 'Snow's Pickling' an early American cultivar (ca. 1905) with poor shape, black spines and light skin color

middle eastern Beit Alpha, and Oriental trellis), there is variation in fruit morphology, growth habit, and disease resistance. Unlike some of their more colorful relatives, cucumbers have few vitamins and minerals in their fruit (Table [2](#page-7-0)), with the exception of lutein, a carotenoid (Perry et al. [2009](#page-22-5); Granado et al. [2003\)](#page-20-6). To date, no studies have examined the variation for lutein content among the cucumber market types. However, work has been done on the inheritance of beta-carotene in cucumber and germplasm released (Cuevas et al. [2010](#page-19-5); Staub et al. [2011\)](#page-23-13). In general, cultivated cucumber fruit have few spines, a large mesocarp, bitterfree fruit, and few or no seeds. This is in contrast to its wild relative, *C. s. var. hardwickii* that has small and bitter fruits, a large seed cell, and many seeds citation (Walters et al. [1996\)](#page-24-4). More than 150 single-gene traits have been described in cucumber, and molecular markers are being developed for use in selection (Table [3\)](#page-8-0). For a more comprehensive list of cucumber genes and sources, see the Cucurbit Genetics Cooperative list [\(http://ars.usda.gov/sotheast-area/charleston-sc/vegetable-research/docs/cgc\)](http://ars.usda.gov/sotheast-area/charleston-sc/vegetable-research/docs/cgc).

Attempts to incorporate useful genes from secondary cucurbit gene pools (*C. metuliferus* and *C. hystrix*) into cucumber have had limited success (Staub et al. [2008\)](#page-23-3). However, Chen et al. [\(1995](#page-19-2), [1997\)](#page-19-3) successfully made an interspecific cross between cucumber (*C. sativus* var. *sativus* primary gene pool) and *C. hystrix* (H; $2n=2x=24$; secondary gene pool). The F₁ progeny (2n=2x=19) derived from this mating were both male and female sterile; chromosome doubling was, therefore,

<http://www.nal.usda.gov/>

Table 3 A selection of cucumber markers associated with phenotypic traits **Table 3** A selection of cucumber markers associated with phenotypic traits 69

Table 3 (continued) **Table 3** (continued) performed to produce a fertile amphidiploid (HHCC, $2n=4x=38$) using embryo culture (Chen et al. [1998](#page-19-9)). This amphidiploid was subsequently self-pollinated for several generations resulting in fertile germplasm that was designated a new species, *C. hytivus* (Chen and Kirkbride [2000](#page-19-0)). The incorporation of genes from the secondary gene pool of cucumber such as *C. hystrix* is potentially useful to cucumber breeding, especially given that *C. hystrix* has novel genes for disease resistance, such as gummy stem blight caused by *Didymella bryoniae*, that are not present in cultivated cucumber (Chen et al. [2003](#page-19-10)).

Plant Architecture

Manipulation of plant architecture, stem length, and sex expression, with adjustments in plant population density have resulted in higher yield (Lower and Edwards [1986;](#page-21-12) Staub et al. [2008](#page-23-3)). For example, cultivars used in once-over mechanical harvest perform better if they have concentrated fruit set. Predominantly gynoecious and completely gynoecious types are preferred over monoecious for that reason. Determinate plant types also have a concentrated fruit set compared with indeterminate types, requiring fewer harvests (George [1970](#page-20-11); Kauffman and Lower [1976\)](#page-21-13). With stressful (low fertility, low water) production conditions, however, indeterminate plant type is better yielding than determinate.

Seedling Traits

Early evaluation of populations at the seed or seedling stage is extremely useful for reducing population size and minimizing undesirable individuals. This evaluation can occur by testing for the presence of molecular markers associated with traits of interest, or by using phenotypic markers. In cucumber, phenotypic markers have been identified for fifteen traits including non-lethal and lethal color mutants, growth habit and bitterfree leaves. The five non-lethal color mutants include virescent (*v*) (Poole [1944](#page-22-8); Tkachenko [1935\)](#page-24-9), variegated virescence (*vvi*) (Abul-Hayja and Williams [1976](#page-18-7)), yellow cotyledons-1 (*yc-1*) (Aalders [1959](#page-18-8)), yellow cotyledons-2 (*yc-2*) (Whelan and Chubey [1973;](#page-24-10) Whelan et al. [1975](#page-24-11)), and yellow plant (*yp*) (Abul-Hayja and Williams [1976](#page-18-7)). Four of the color mutants cause seedling lethality: chlorophyll deficient (*cd*) (Burnham et al. [1966\)](#page-18-9), golden cotyledon (*gc*) (Whelan [1971\)](#page-24-12), light sensitive (*ls*) (Whelan [1972\)](#page-24-13), and pale lethal (*pl*) (Whelan [1973\)](#page-24-14). Other seedling phenotypic traits include bitterfree foliage (*bi*), with no cucurbitacins in the leaves (Andeweg and DeBruyn [1959](#page-18-10)), and blind (*bl*) (Carlsson [1961](#page-19-11)), with no growing point on the seedlings. Delayed growth (*dl*) (Miller and George [1979](#page-21-14)), long hypocotyl (*lh*) (Robinson and Shail [1981](#page-22-9)), revolute cotyledons (*rc*) (Whelan et al. [1975](#page-24-11)), stunted cotyledons (*sc*) (Shanmugasundarum and Williams [1971;](#page-23-14)

Shanmugasundarum et al. [1972\)](#page-23-15), and nuclear (*Ch*) (Kozik and Wehner [2006](#page-21-15), [2008\)](#page-21-16) and chloroplast (Chung et al. [2007](#page-19-12); Gordon and Staub [2011\)](#page-20-12) derived chilling resistance are other seedling traits.

Stem and Leaf Traits

Most cucumber cultivars have indeterminate plant habit, where the stem elongates continuously, and 1–2 primary lateral branches originating from the main stem (Lower and Edwards [1986;](#page-21-12) Staub et al. [2008\)](#page-23-3). Some cultivars also produce secondary lateral branches (originating from primary lateral branches) under some growing conditions, which is under polygenic control (Fazio et al. [2003](#page-20-8)). More branching occurs when plants are grown at low density. Cucumber plants can be indeterminate, determinate (*de*), or compact (*cp*) (Lower and Edwards [1986\)](#page-21-12). Determinate cultivars have the stem terminating in flowers, and are dwarf as well. Determinate plants are not as short as compact plants. Leaf size is also controlled by a major gene designated *ll*. Plants with *Ll Ll* have large leaves (80–100 cm2) and plants with *ll ll* have little leaves $(25-40 \text{ cm}^2)$ (Pierce and Wehner [1990](#page-22-10); Fazio et al. [2003\)](#page-20-8). Intermediate leaf types have been also identified in progeny from crosses between normal and little leaf types.

The *C. s.* var. *sativus* line H-19, a mutant type referred to as "Arkansas Little Leaf" (originally AR 79–75), and *C. s.* var. *hardwickii* differ from typical *C. s.* var. *sativus* commercial types in their multiple fruiting, i.e., the sequential setting of fruit without inhibition) and multilateral branching habit (Fazio et al. [2003\)](#page-20-8). Although line H-19 bears processing type fruit (12–15 cm in length) that are similar to normal-leafed *C. s. sativus* types on an indeterminate multilateral branching habit, fruit of *C. s.* var. *hardwickii* are relatively small (3–5 cm in length). Yield and quality of H-19 was optimum when grown at 300,000 plants/ha and harvested at 10% oversized fruit (Schultheis et al. [1998\)](#page-23-16).

Cucumber stem or vine length can be modified by seven genes: bush (*bu*) (Pyzenkov and Kosareva 1981), compact (*cp*) (Kauffman and Lower [1976\)](#page-21-13), determinate (*de*) (Denna [1971](#page-19-13); George [1970;](#page-20-11) Hutchins [1940](#page-20-13)), dwarf (*dw*) (Robinson and Mishanec [1965\)](#page-22-11), tall height (*T*) (Hutchins [1940](#page-20-13)), and *In-de* that behaves as an intensifier for *de* (George [1970\)](#page-20-11). These genes can also have pleiotropic effects on leaf size, shape, or fruit production. Rosette (*ro*), which can affect height, is characterized by muskmelon-like leaves (de Ruiter et al. [1980\)](#page-19-14).

Leaf and foliage characteristics (shape, color, glabrousness, size) can also be affected by genes not affecting stem length. Eight in particular are responsible for leaf shape: blunt leaf apex (*bla*) (Robinson [1987a\)](#page-22-12), cordate leaves-1 (*cor-1)* (Gornitskaya [1967\)](#page-20-14), cordate leaves-2 (*cor-2*) (Robinson [1987b\)](#page-22-13), crinkled leaf (*cr*) (Nazavari et al. [1963;](#page-21-17) Odland and Groff [1963](#page-22-14)), divided leaf (*dvl*) (den Nijs and Mackiewicz [1980](#page-19-15)), ginko leaf (*gi*) (John and Wilson [1952\)](#page-20-15), littleleaf (*ll*), (Goode et al. [1980;](#page-20-16) Wehner et al. [1987](#page-24-15)) and umbrella leaf (*ul*) (den Nijs and de Ponti [1983\)](#page-19-16).

Fig. 4 Locule number variation from 2 (*left*) to 5 (*right*)

Leaf arrangement (opposite vs. alternate), color (golden vs. green) and glabrousness (trichomes vs. no trichomes) exhibit variation, and have been linked to single genes. Golden leaves (g), not to be confused with golden cotyledons, results in a golden color on the lower leaves of the plant (Tkachenko [1935](#page-24-9)). Opposite leaf arrangement (*opp*) is inherited as a single recessive gene that is linked to *m* (andromonoecious flowers) and *l* (locule number) (Fig. [4\)](#page-12-0). Unfortunately, incomplete penetrance makes the opposite leaf arrangement difficult to distinguish from normal plants with alternate leaf arrangement (Robinson [1987c\)](#page-22-15). Glabrous leaves (the absence of trichomes) is controlled by two independently inherited genes, glabrate (glb) and glabrous (Inggamer and de Ponti [1980;](#page-20-17) Robinson and Mishanec [1964;](#page-22-16) Whelan [1973](#page-24-14)). Genes for short petiole (*sp.*) (den Nijs and Boukema [1985](#page-19-17)) and tendrilless (*td*) (Rowe and Bowers [1965\)](#page-23-17) have also been identified.

Flower Femaleness and Parthenocarpy

Most cucumber cultivars are monoecious (staminate and pistillate flowers) or gynoecious (pistillate flowers only). However, androecious (staminate flowers), hermaphroditic (perfect flowers), andromonoecious (staminate and perfect flowers), and trimonoecious (staminate, perfect, and pistillate flowers) types also exist (Lower and Edwards [1986](#page-21-12)). Monoecious hybrid cultivars have been available since 1945, when Oved Shifriss developed 'Burpee Hybrid', but the high cost of hybrid seed limited their commercial use. Plants having all pistillate or all perfect flowers are commonly used in hybrid production (Kubicki [1969\)](#page-21-18). Typically, flowering cucumber plants begin producing staminate flowers, transitioning to perfect or pistillate flower production as the plant matures. Sex expression varies within the cucumber germplasm and has been successfully incorporated by plant breeders into cultivars with improved fruit yield and quality (Staub et al. [2008\)](#page-23-3). Development of gynoecious cultivars reduced the cost of producing hybrid seed and improved earliness and adaptation to mechanical harvesting. Germplasm with the gynoecious gene was brought from Korea to the U.S. by E. Meader and distributed by the U.S.D.A. Plant Introduction system as PI 220860. Peterson [\(1960](#page-22-17)) backcrossed the gynoecious gene into 'Wisconsin SMR 18' to develop MSU 713–5, the female parent of the first

Fig. 5 Regular cucumber leaves (**a**, *top*) compared to little-leaf (**a**, *bottom*) and seed cell of (**b**) parthenocarpic and (**c**) seeded cucumbers

gynoecious hybrid cultivar, 'Spartan Dawn'. Gynoecy has replaced the need for male sterility in hybrid production. However, at least five genes for male sterility have been described (Robinson and Mishanec [1967](#page-22-18); Shanmugasundarum and Williams [1971;](#page-23-14) Whelan [1972\)](#page-24-13).

One of the more important traits to be incorporated into recent cultivars is parthenocarpy, or fruit set without pollination. Gynoecious cucumbers require the addition of a pollenizer to provide pollen for fruit set. Often, 15% pollenizer (a monoecious hybrid cultivar) seeds are mixed with 85% gynoecious hybrid for sale to the grower as a blend. Parthenocarpic cucumbers do not need a pollenizer to be grown in the production field or greenhouse, do not need bees or other pollinators, and may also have a concentrated fruit set (Fig. [5\)](#page-13-0) (Staub et al. [2008](#page-23-3)). Parthenocarpy, first discovered in the early 1900s, is controlled by a single incompletely dominant gene *Pc* (Pike and Peterson [1969](#page-22-19)). Other genes are involved in controlling the trait, producing a range of parthenocarpic fruit set, with narrow-sense heritability of

0.33–0.62, and 5–13 effective factors (Sun et al. [2006a\)](#page-23-18). Parthenocarpy was first available in greenhouse slicers, then in greenhouse Beit Alpha type for production in high tunnels, and in pickling type for field production. The parthenocarpic trait can be transferred to new types with a few backcrosses from a donor line (Sun et al. [2006b\)](#page-23-19). Slicing cucumbers for open field production are now becoming available. Parthenocarpy results in high yield, seedless fruit, which provide for easier slicing. Although there have been problems with the fruit skin (exocarp) becoming tough in the large sizes at harvest.

Yield

Cucumis sativus var. *hardwickii*, including accessions LJ 90430, PI 183967 and PI 215589, has been used to increase genetic diversity for yield in commercial cucumber (Staub and Kupper [1985](#page-23-20)). Its fruit quality characteristics (small, bitter, seedy fruit) and lack of disease resistance have limited its use however (Horst and Lower [1978;](#page-20-18) Staub et al. [2008\)](#page-23-3). Germplasm based on *C. s.* var. *hardwickii* has been released, but their poor internal characteristics and brining quality have precluded their widespread use so far (Staub et al. [1992\)](#page-23-7).

Marker-assisted selection for fruit yield and quality has been an effective tool in cucumber improvement (Behera et al. [2011;](#page-18-11) Fan et al. [2006](#page-20-19); Fazio et al. [2003;](#page-20-8) Robbins and Staub [2009\)](#page-22-20). Yield heritability is believed to be relatively low $(R^2=0.17-0.56$; number of green fruit) depending on the study, and recurrent selection for yield has resulted in small gains (Robbins and Staub [2009](#page-22-20); Wehner and Cramer [1996](#page-24-16); Wehner [1989\)](#page-24-17). Despite the small, but significant gains, yield comparisons of cultivars developed from 1969 to 1987 have demonstrated a consistent increase in production across locations and years (Wehner [1989\)](#page-24-17). Backcrossing with molecular-based genotyping, along with selection for genetic diversity in *C. sativus* populations has increased diversity (phenotypic and genotypic) in cucumber (Delannay and Staub [2010\)](#page-19-18), and resulted in the release of 94 inbred backcross lines (IBL) for use in cucumber improvement (Staub and Delannay [2011](#page-23-8)). Unlike crops such as maize, heterosis has been shown to have only a small effect in cucumber (Cramer and Wehner [1999\)](#page-19-19).

Disease Resistance

Pre- and post-harvest diseases are a limitation for cucumber production. It has been estimated that diseases result in economic losses of 30–100% each year (St. Amand and Wehner, [1991\)](#page-23-21). In the U.S. alone, it has been estimated that \$20 million is spent annually to control just *Pseudoperonospora cubensis*. Economically important diseases worldwide include bacterial wilt (*Erwinia tracheiphila*; principally home garden), anthracnose (*Colletotrichum lagenarium*), angular leaf spot (*Pseudomonas* *lachrymans*), downy mildew (*Pseudoperonospora cubensis*), Fusarium wilt (*Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *cucumerinum*), gummy stem blight (*Didymella bryoniae*), powdery mildew (*Podosphaera xanthii*), scab (*Cladosporium cucumerinum*), and target leaf spot (*Corynespora cassiicola*). There are also several important viruses (CMV, PRSV, WMV, ZYMV) and fruit rots (*Pythium* spp., *Phytophthora capsici*, *Rhizoctonia solani*) that can be controlled using genes for resistance.

Development of cucumber cultivars with improved disease resistance in the U.S. began in the late 1920s, when R.H. Porter brought cucumber mosaic virus resistant germplasm back from China (Porter [1929](#page-22-21)). He bred the cultivar Shamrock in 1943, which was derived from the cross 'Chinese Long' \times 'Davis Slicer' (Anonymous [1957\)](#page-18-12). Disease resistance has been moved into commercial cucumber cultivars from PI accessions as follows: leaf spot (PI 1970888, India), anthracnose (PI 175111, India), bacterial wilt (PI 200818, Burma), target leafspot (PI 109484, Turkey), and powdery and downy mildew (PI 197087, India; PI 197085, India; and PI 212233, India). Recent acquisitions from China, Japan, Pakistan, the Philippines, and Taiwan have added new resources disease resistance (Block and Reitsma [2005;](#page-18-13) Staub et al. [2002](#page-23-22)).

Cucumber Downy Mildew

Cucumber downy mildew, caused by *Pseudoperonospora cubensis*, is a foliar disease of cucumber. Prior to 2004, the disease was controlled through a single recessive gene, *dm-1,* identified in a cucumber PI accession collected in India (PI 197087) (van Vliet and Meysing [1974](#page-24-18)). The *dm-1* gene was incorporated in the 1960s into two cultivars: Pixie and Poinsett. After 2004, the *dm*-1 gene was less effective in maintaining resistance to the pathogen. Additional sources of resistance have been identified in cucumber accessions PI 197088 and 197085, and cultivars Chinese Long and Yuanfeng. Each of these sources of resistance have undesirable fruit quality traits for slicing, pickling and European greenhouse markets (Criswell et al. [2010;](#page-19-20) Call et al. [2012](#page-18-14)). Quantitative trait loci (QTL) mapping has identified five or more genes contributing to resistance from Chinese long, and Yanfeng (Pang et al. [2013](#page-22-22)). Three QTL for resistance were identified in PI 197085, but no genetic mapping has been done on PI 197088 to date (Szczechura et al. [2015\)](#page-24-19). Sources of resistance have also been identified in *C. melo* (PI 124111), but attempts to move those into *C. sativus* have failed (Lebeda et al. [1996\)](#page-21-19). In *C. hystrix*, resistance QTL co-localized with those detected in 'Chinese Long', suggesting that these may be allelic variants of existing resistance genes and not new loci. The main QTL in these studies appear to be located on chromosome 5, with a smaller-effect QTL located on chromosomes 6.

Powdery Mildew

Powdery mildew, caused by the pathogen *Podosphaera fusca*, is a foliar pathogen of cucurbits causing reduction in yield and fruit quality. Three genes have been described for powdery mildew resistance (*pm-1*, *pm-2*, and *pm-3*), with a possible

fourth (*pm-h*) contributing to seedling hypocotyl resistance (Fukino et al. [2013;](#page-20-9) He et al. [2013;](#page-20-10) Sakata et al. [2006](#page-23-23)). Accession PI 197088, in addition to downy mildew resistance, has genes for resistance to powdery mildew. A QTL study identified four QTL, including one with major effects. However, the QTL were not linked to a specific chromosome, nor was the possible connection between powdery and downy mildew resistance evaluated. A study in *C. melo* reported linkage between downy and powdery mildew resistance using the resistant accession PI 124112 (McCreight et al. [2013](#page-21-20); Olczak-Wotman et al. [2011;](#page-22-23) Perchepied et al. [2005](#page-22-24)). This linkage was also observed in cucumber by van Vliet and Meysing ([1977\)](#page-24-20) in the downy and powdery resistant accession PI 197087. In WI 2757, a line with moderate levels of downy mildew resistance, six QTL for powdery mildew resistance were identified (Call et al. [2012;](#page-18-14) He et al. [2013\)](#page-20-10). These QTL were located on chromosomes 1, 3, 4, and 5. In addition to downy and powdery mildew resistance, PI accessions 197085, 197087, and 197088 also have moderate resistance to angular leaf spot and anthracnose, making them useful parents during plant breeding.

Fruit Rots and Seedling Diseases

Bacterial, fungal and oomycete pathogens can cause fruit rot and seedling disease on cucumber. *Phytophthora* fruit rot caused by the oomycete pathogen *Phytophthora capsici*, is a serious disease in field-grown cucumbers. Small, dark, water soaked lesions develop on infected fruit, eventually encompassing the whole fruit with white sporangia resembling powdered sugar (Hausbeck and Lamour [2004](#page-20-20)). The disease reduces yield in the field, and, if infected cucumbers are not detected early, can also spoil shipments after harvest (Hausbeck and Lamour [2004\)](#page-20-20). An age-related resistance was detected during the course of screening a cucumber core collection for resistance (Gevens et al. [2006\)](#page-20-21). More recently, screening of the full U.S. cucumber PI collection led to identification of three possible sources of young fruit resistance, PIs 109483, 178884, and 214049 (Colle et al. [2014\)](#page-19-21). Resistance to other *Phytophthora* species also has been identified. In a greenhouse evaluation for seedling damping off using *Phytophthora dreschsleri*, a single resistant cultivar, PS 547, was identified (Nazavari et al. [2016](#page-21-21)). Another oomycete pathogen, *Pythium aphanidermatum*, can cause fruit rot (cottony leak), as well as seedling damping off. Similar to *P. capsici*, *P. aphanidermatum* starts as small water soaked lesions on the fruit, eventually turning into large fluffy-white lesions (Favrin et al. [1988](#page-20-22)). Cottony leak is primarily managed by fungicide applications, since no sources of resistance have been reported.

Belly rot, caused by the soilborne pathogen *Rhizoctonia solani*, is a minor disease of cucumber. In optimal conditions, the disease results in small water-soaked lesions on the lower surface of the fruit that reduce yield and quality (Uchneat and Wehner [1998](#page-24-21)). Sources of resistance have been identified in pickling and slicing cucumber backgrounds to belly rot (Uchneat and Wehner [1998](#page-24-21); Wehner et al. [2004\)](#page-24-22). In one study, four sources of resistance were identified from PI accessions 163216, 197088, 357852, and 280096 in field and lab-based evaluations (Wehner et al.

[2004\)](#page-24-22). However, no studies to date have determined the genetic inheritance of resistance to this disease. Infection by *R. solani* can also result in seedling damping off, though no sources of resistance have been identified for this disease. Likewise, *Fusarium spp.* also cause fruit rot, damping off, and wilt in cucumber (Zitter [1998\)](#page-25-9). Sources of resistance have been identified for specific *Fusarium sp.* (Rose and Punja [2004\)](#page-23-24). Using the resistant inbred line "9110Gt", a single QTL (*Foc2.1*) was found associated with resistance (Zhang et al. [2014\)](#page-25-8).

Viruses

Several viruses of cucumber cause serious yield loss, leaf damage, or fruit defects. *Cmv* is a single dominant gene controlling resistance to cucumber mosaic virus found in the cucumber cultivar Chinese Long. Multiple potyviruses, including zucchini yellow mosaic virus (ZYMV), watermelon mosaic virus (WMV), the watermelon strain of papaya ringspot virus (PRSV-W) and Moroccan watermelon mosaic virus (MWMV) all infect cucumber. Several sources of resistance have been identified to these viruses, often within the same genetic material (Wang et al. [1984;](#page-24-23) Provvidenti [1987](#page-22-25)). ZYMV resistance in TMG-1 is controlled by a single recessive gene (Provvidenti [1987](#page-22-25)). Watermelon mosaic virus resistance was controlled by two genes, *wmv-1-1* ('Surinam') and *wmv* ('Kyoto 3 Feet') (Cohen et al. [1971\)](#page-19-22). Further studies have suggested that this may be a quantitative trait, with *wmv-2*, *wmv-3*, and *wmv-4* being identified in TMG-1 (Wang et al. [1984](#page-24-23)). Additional QTL reported in melon accession PI 161375 provide further support that WMV resistance is polygenic (Guin-Aragones et al. [2014](#page-20-23)). Analyses of relationships among resistances to the different potyviruses and allelism among different sources of resistance, suggest that multiple resistances may be conferred by either a single gene or tightly linked loci (Wai et al. [1997](#page-24-24); Grumet et al. [2000](#page-20-24)). *Zucchini yellow mosaic virus* (ZYMV) resistance is controlled by a single recessive gene $\zeta y m^{A192-18}$ located on chromosome 6 (Amano et al, [2013](#page-18-5)). *Papaya ringspot virus* (PRSV) resistance was mapped in a segregating F_2 population and a single recessive gene $prsv^{02245}$ was also identified on chromosome 6 (Tian et al. [2015\)](#page-24-25).

Future Research Needed

Much progress has been made in describing phenotypic traits of cucumber, and determining their heritability (quantitative traits) and the genes involved in their control (qualitative traits) since the initial cultivars were developed in the 1700s. A genome sequence is now available for cucumber, as well as genetic information on population structure and diversity, and molecular markers for fruit quality and disease resistance traits. As molecular and sequencing technologies continue to improve, and molecular markers become increasingly economical to use, we can look forward to faster or more efficient selection of traits for use in cultivar development.

In order to continue this trend, genetic resources need to be continually developed, maintained and utilized. Germplasm from primary, secondary and tertiary centers of origin have been collected, stored, and evaluated in the national and international germplasm centers. That includes the heirloom and elite cultivars, as well as some of the gene mutant type-lines. It is imperative that more type-lines are included in the germplasm collections, as traits are identified. This may also encourage researchers to find or generate novel or alternate gene mutants. Useful genes, such as those for fruit quality and disease resistance, have been incorporated from wild or unadapted backgrounds into elite inbreds such as Marketmore, Poinsett, and WI 2757. This germplasm enhancement work makes use of these genes easier for cultivar development, and we hope that prebreeders will continue to do so. In addition, there is a need for continued collection and exchange of cucumber germplasm all over the world. Expanded collections will help feed a growing population, and counter new pest, disease and weed problems.

Literature Cited

- Aalders LE. 'Yellow Cotyledon', a new cucumber mutation. Can J Cytol. 1959;1:10–2.
- Abul-Hayja Z, Williams PH. Inheritance of two seedling markers in cucumber. HortScience. 1976;11:145.
- Amano M, Machizuki A, Kawagoe Y, Iwahori K, Niwa K, Svoboda J, et al. High-resolution mapping of *zym*, a recessive gene for *Zucchini yellow mosaic virus* resistance in cucumber. Theor Appl Genet. 2013;126:2983–93.
- Andeweg JM, DeBruyn JW. Breeding non-bitter cucumbers. Euphytica. 1959;8:13–20.
- Ando K, Grumet R.Evaluation of altered cucumber plant architecture as a means to reduce *Phytophthora capsici* disease incidence in cucumber fruit. J Am Soc Hortic Sci. 2006;131:491–8.
- Anonymous. New vegetable varieties list IV. Proc Am Soc Hortic Sci. 1957;69:574**–**87.
- Aydemir I. Determination of genetic diversity in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) germplasms. Graduate School of Engineering and Sciences of Izmir Institute of Technology. Thesis. 2009.
- Bai ZL, Yuan XJ, Cai R, Liu LZ, He HL, Zhou HF, Pan JS. QTL analysis of downy mildew resistance in cucumber. Prog Nat Sci. 2008;18:706–10.
- Behera TK, Staub JE, Delannay IY, Chen JF. Marker-assisted backcross selection in an interspecific *Cucumis* population broadens the genetic base of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). Euphytica. 2011;178:261–72.
- Bhawna MZ, Abdin LA, Verma M. Transferability of cucumber microsatellite markers used for phylogenetic analysis and population structure study in bottle gourd (*Lagenaria siceraria* (Mol.) Standl.). Appl Biochem Biotechnol. 2015;175:2206–23.
- Block C, Reitsma KR. Powdery mildew resistance in the US National Plant Germplasm system cucumber collection. HortScience. 2005;40:416–20.
- Bo K, Song H, Shen J, Qian C, Staub JE, Simon PW, et al. Inheritance and mapping of the ore gene controlling the quantity of B-carotene in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) endocarp. Mol Breed. 2012;30:335–44.
- Boswell VR. Our vegetable travelers. Natl Geogr. 1949;61(2):145–217.
- Burnham M, Phatak SC, Peterson CE. Graft-aided inheritance study of a chlorophyll deficient cucumber. Proc Am Soc Hortic Sci. 1966;89:386–9.
- Call AD, Criswell AD, Wehner TC, Klosinska U, Kozik EU. Screening cucumber for resistance to downy mildew caused by *Pseudoperonospora cubensis* (Berk. and Curt.) Rostov. Crop Sci. 2012;52:577–92.
- Carlsson G. Studies of blind top shoot and its effect on the yield of greenhouse cucumbers. Acta Agric Scand. 1961;11:160–2.
- Cavagnaro PF, Senalik DA, Yang L, Simon PW, Harkins TT, Kodira CD, Huang S, Weng Y. Genome-wide Characterization of simple sequence repeats in cucumber(Cucumis Sativus L.) BMC Genomics. 2010;11:569.
- Chen JF, Kirkbride Jr JH. A new synthetic species (Cucurbitaceae) from interspecific hybridization and chromosome doubling. Brittonia. 2000;52:315–19.
- Chen JF, Isshiki S, Tashiro Y, Miyazaki S. Studies on a wild cucumber from China (*Cucumis hystrix* Chakr.). I. Genetic distance between *C. hystrix* and two cultivated *Cucumis* species (*C. sativus* L. and *C. melo* L.) based on isozyme analysis. J Jpn Soc Hortic Sci. 1995;64 suppl $2:264 - 5$.
- Chen JF, Staub JE, Tashiro Y, Isshiki S, Miyazaki S. Successful interspecific hybridization between *Cucumis sativus* L. and *C. hystrix* Chakr. Euphytica. 1997;96:413–19.
- Chen J, Adelberg JW, Staub JE, Skorupska HT, Rhodes BB. A new synthetic amphidiploid in *Cucumis* from *C. sativus* x *C. hytrix* F1 interspecific hybrid. Cucurbit Genet Coop Rep. 1998;21:336–9.
- Chen J, Staub J, Qian C, Jiang J, Luo X, Zhuang F. Reproduction and cytogenetic characterization of interspecific hybrids derived from *Cucumis hystrix* Chakr. x *Cucumis sativus* L. Theor Appl Genet. 2003;106:688–95.
- Chung SM, Staub JE, Chen JF. Molecular phylogeny of *Cucumis* species as revealed by consensus chloroplast SSR marker length and sequence variation. Genome. 2006;49:219–29.
- Chung SM, Gordon VS, Staub JE. Sequencing of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) chloroplast genomes identifies differences between chilling tolerant and susceptible lines. Genome. 2007;50:215–25.
- Cohen S, Gertman E, Kedar N. Inheritance of resistance to melon mosaic virus in cucumbers. Phytopathology. 1971;61:253–5.
- Colle M, Straley EN, Makela SB, Hammar SA, Grumet R. Screening the cucumber plant introduction collection for young fruit resistance to *Phytophthora capsici*. HortScience. 2014;49:244–9.
- Cramer CS, Wehner TC. Little heterosis for yield and yield components in hybrids of six cucumber inbreds. Euphytica. 1999;110:99–108.
- Criswell AD, Call AD, Wehner TC. Genetic control of downy mildew resistance in cucumber – a review. Cucurbit Genet Coop Rep. 2010;33–34:13–6.
- Cuevas HE, Staub JE, Simon PW, Song H. Inheritance of beta-carotene-associated flesh color in cucumber (Cucumis sativus L.) fruit. Euphytica. 2010;171:301–11.
- Dane F, Denna DW, Tsuchiya T. Evolutionary studies of wild species in the genus *Cucumis*. Z Pflanzenzucht. 1980;85:89–109.
- de Ruiter AC, van der Knapp BJ, Robinson RW. Rosette, a spontaneous cucumber mutant arising from cucumber-muskmelon pollen. Cucurbit Genet Coop Rep. 1980;3:4.
- Delannay IY, Staub JE. Use of molecular markers aids in the development of diverse inbred backcross lines in Beit Alpha cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). Euphytica. 2010;175:65–78.
- den Nijs APM, Boukema IW. Short petiole, a useful seedling marker for genetic studies in cucumber. Cucumber Genet Coop Rep. 1985;8:7–8.
- den Nijs APM, de Ponti OMB. Umbrella leaf: a gene for sensitivity to low humidity in cucumber. Cucumber Genet Coop Rep. 1983;6:24.
- den Nijs APM, Mackiewicz HO. "Divided leaf", a recessive seedling marker in cucumber. Cucurbit Genet Coop Rep. 1980;3:24.
- Denna DW. Expression of determinate habit in cucumbers. J Am Soc Hortic Sci. 1971;96:277–9.
- Ding GH, Qin ZW, Zhou XY, Fan JX. RAPD and SCAR markers for downy mildew resistance in cucumber. Acta Botan Boreali Occiden Sin. 2007;27:1747.
- Dong SY, Miao H, Zhang SP, et al. Genetic analysis and mapping of white fruit skin color in cucumber. Acta Botan Boreali Occiden Sin. 2012;32:2177–81.
- Fan Z, Robbins MD, Staub JE. Population development by phenotypic selection with subsequent marker-assisted selection for line extraction in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). Theor Appl Genet. 2006;112:843–55.
- Favrin RJ, Rahe JE, Mauza B. *Pythium* spp. associated with crown rot of cucumbers in British Colombia greenhouses. Plant Dis. 1988;72:683–7.
- Fazio G, Staub JE, Stevens MR. Genetic mapping and QTL analysis of horticultural traits in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) using recombinant inbred lines. Theor Appl Genet. 2003;107:864–74.
- Fukino N, Yoshioka Y, Sugiyama M, Sakata Y, Matsumoto S. Identification and validation of powdery mildew (*Podosphaera xanthii*)-resistance loci in recombinant inbred lines of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). Mol Breed. 2013;32:267–77.
- George Jr WL. Genetic and environmental modification of determinant plant habit in cucumbers. J Am Soc Hortic Sci. 1970;95:583–6.
- Gevens AJ, Ando K, Lamour KH, Grumet R, Hausbeck MK. A detached cucumber fruit method to screen for resistance to Phytophthora capsici and effect of fruit age on susceptibility to infection. Plant Dis. 2006;90:1276–82.
- Goode MJ, Bowers JL, Bassi Jr A. Little-leaf, a new kind of pickling cucumber plant. Ark Farm Res. 1980;29:4.
- Gordon VS, Staub JE. Comparative analysis of chilling response in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) through plastidic and nuclear genetic component analysis. J Am Soc Hortic Sci. 2011;136:256–64.
- Gornitskaya IP. A spontaneous mutant of cucumber variety Nezhinskii 12. Genetika. 1967;3(11):169.
- Granado F, Olmedilla B, Blanco I. Nutritional and clinical relevance of lutein in human health. Br J Nutr. 2003;90:487–502.
- Grumet R, Kabelka E, McQueen S, Wai T. Humphrey Characterization of sources of resistance to the watermelon strain of papaya ringspot virus in cucumber: allelism and co-segregation with other potyvirus resistances. Theor Appl Genet. 2000;101:463–72.
- Guin-Aragones C, Monforte AJ, Saladie M, Correa RX, Garcia-Mas J, Martin-Hernandez AM. The complex resistance to *cucumber mosaic cucumovirus* (CMV) in the melon accession PI161375 is governed by one gene and at least two quantitative trait loci. Mol Breed. 2014;34:351–62.
- Harlan JR. Crops and man. Madison: American Society of Agronomy; 1975.
- Hausbeck MK, Lamour KH. *Phytophthora capsici* on vegetable crops: research progress and management challenges. Plant Dis. 2004;88:1292–303.
- He X, Li Y, Pandey S, Yandell BS, Pathak M, Weng Y. QTL mapping of powdery mildew resistance in WI 2757 cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). Theor Appl Genet. 2013;126:2149–61.
- Hedrick UP. Sturtevant's notes on edible plants. Albany: J. B. Lyon Co.; 1919.
- Horejsi T, Staub JE. Genetic variation in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) as assessed by random amplified polymorphic DNA. Genet Resour Crop Evol. 1999;46:337–50.
- Horst EK, Lower RL. *Cucumis hardwickii*: a source of germ-plasm for the cucumber breeder. Cucurbit Genetics Coop Rep. 1978;1:5.
- Hutchins AE. Inheritance in the cucumber. J Agric Res. 1940;60:117–28.
- Inggamer H, de Ponti OMB. The identity of genes for glabrousness in *Cucumis sativus* L. Cucurbit Genet Coop Rep. 1980;3:14.
- Innark P, Khanobdee C, Samipak S, Jantasuriyarat C. Evaluation of genetic diversity in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) germplasm using agro-economic traits and microsatellite markers. Sci Hortic. 2013;162:278–84.
- Jeffrey C. A review of the Cucurbitaceae. Bot J Linnean Soc. 1980;81:233–47.
- Jiang S, Yan XJ, et al. Quantitative trait locus analysis of lateral branch-related traits in cucumber using recombinant inbred lines. Sci China Ser C Life Sci. 2008;51:833–41.
- John CA, Wilson JD. A "ginko leafed" mutation in the cucumber. J Hered. 1952;43:47–8.
- Kacar YA, Simsek O, Solmaz I, Sari N, Mendi YY. Genetic diversity among melon accessions (*Cucumis melo*) from Turkey based on SSR markers. Genet Mol Res. 2012;11:4622–31.
- Kauffman CS, Lower RL. Inheritance of an extreme dwarf plant type in the cucumber. J Am Soc Hortic Sci. 1976;101:150–1.
- Kerje T, Grum M. Origin of melon, *Cucumis melo*: a review of the literature. Acta Hortic. 2000;510:37–44.
- Kozik EU, Wehner TC. A single dominant gene *Ch* for chilling resistance in cucumber seedlings. J Am Soc Hortic Sci. 2008;133:225–7.
- Kozik EU, Wehner TC. Inheritance of chilling resistance in cucumber seedlings. In: Holmes GJ, editor. Proceedings of the Cucurbitaceae. Universal Press, Raleigh, North Carolina; 2006. p. 121–4.
- Kubicki B. Investigations of sex determination in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). IV. Multiple alleles of locus Acr. Genetica Polonica. 1969;10:23–68.
- Lebeda A, Křistková E, Kubaláková M. Interspecific hybridization of *Cucumis sativus*×*Cucumis melo* as a potential way to transfer resistance to *Pseudoperonospora cubensis*. In: Gómez-Guillamón ML, Soria C, Cuartero J, Torès JA, Fernandez-Munoz R, editors. Cucurbits towards 2000. Proceedings of the VI Eucarpia meeting on cucurbit genetics and breeding, Málaga; 1996, p. 31–7.
- Li Y, Wen C, Weng Y. Fine mapping of the pleiotropic locus B for black spine and orange mature fruit color in cucumber identifies a 50 kb region containing a R2R3-MYB transcription factor. Theor Appl Genet. 2010;126:2187–96.
- Li YH, Yang LM, et al. Fine genetic mapping of cp, a recessive gene for compact (dwarf) plant architecture in cucumber, *Cucumis sativus* L. Theor Appl Genet. 2011;123:973–83.
- Lower RL, Edwards MD. Cucumber breeding. In: Basset MJ, editor. Breeding vegetables crops. Westport: AVI Publishing Co; 1986. p. 173–203.
- Lu H, Lin T, Klein J, Wang S, Qi J, Zhou Q, Sun J, Zhang Z, Weng Y, Huang S. QTL-seq identifies an early flowering QTL located near Flowering Locus T in cucumber. Theor Appl Genet. 2014;127:1491–9.
- Lu HW, Miao H, Tian GL, Wehner TC, Gu XF, Zhange SP. Molecular mapping and candidate gene analysis for yellow fruit flesh in cucumber. Mol Breed. 2015;25:64.
- Lv J, Qi J, Shi Q, Shen D, Zhang S, Shao G, et al. Genetic diversity and population structure of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). PLoS One. 2012. doi[:10.1371/journal.pone.0046919](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0046919).
- Maio H, Zhang S, Wang X, Zhang Z, Li M, Mu S, Cheng Z, Zhang R, Huang S, Xie B, Fang Z, Zhang Z, Weng Y, Gu X. A linkage map of cultivated cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) with 248 microsatellite marker loci and seven genes for horticulturally important traits. Euphytica. 2011;182:167–76.
- McCreight JD, Staub JE, Wehner TC, Dhillon NPS. Gone global: familiar and exotic cucurbits have Asian origins. HortScience. 2013;48:1078-89.
- Meeuse ADJ. The possible origin of *Cucumis anguria* L. Pretoria: National Herbarium; 1958.
- Meglic V, Serquen F, Staub JE. Genetic diversity in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.): I. A reevaluation of the U.S. germplasm collection. Genet Res Crop Evol. 1996;43:533–46.
- Miao H, Gu XF, Zhang SP, Zhang ZH, Huang SW, Wang Y, Fang ZY. Mapping QTLs for seedlingassociated traits in cucumber. Acta Hortic Sin. 2012;39:879–87.
- Miller GA, George Jr WL. Inheritance of dwarf determinate growth habits in cucumber. J Am Soc Hortic Sci. 1979;104:114–17.
- Munshi AD, Panda B, Behera TK, Kumar R. Genetic variability in *Cucumis sativus* var. *hardwickii* R. (Alef.) germplasm. Cucurbit Genet Coop Rep. 2007;30:5–10.
- Naudin MC. Essais d'une monographie des especes et des varietes du genie *Cucumis*. Ann Sci Nat Ser. 1859;4(11):5–87.
- Nazavari K, Jamli F, Odland ML, Groff DW. Inheritance of crinkled-leaf cucumber. Proc Am Soc Hortic Sci. 1963;83:536–7.
- Nazavari K, Jamali F, Bayat F, Modarresi M. Evaluation of resistance to seedling damping-off caused by Phytophthora drechsleri in cucumber cultivars under greenhouse conditions. Biol Forum. 2016;8:54–60.
- Odland ML, Groff DW. Inheritance of crinkled-leaf cucumber. Proc Am Soc Hortic Sci. 1963;83:536–7.
- Olczak-Woltman H, Bartoszewski G, Madry W, Niemirowicz-Szczytt K. Inheritance of resistance to angular leaf spot (*Pseudomonas syringae* pv. Lachrymans) in cucumber and identification of molecular markers linked to resistance. Plant Pathol. 2009;58:145–51.
- Olczak-Wotman H, Marcinkowska J, Niemirowicz-Szczytt K. The genetic basis of resistance to downy mildew in *Cucumis spp* – latest developments and prospects. J Appl Genet. 2011;52:249–55.
- Pan Y, Bo K, Cheng Z, Weng F. The loss-of-function GLABROUS 3 mutation in cucumber is due to LTR-retrotransposon insertion in a class IV HD-ZIP transcription factor gene CsGL3 that is epistatic over CsGL1. BMC Plant Biol. 2015;15:302.
- Pandey S, Ansari WA, Mishra VK, Singh AK, Singh M. Genetic diversity in Indian cucumber based on microsatellite and morphological markers. Biochem Syst Ecol. 2013;51:19–27.
- Pang X, Zhou X, Qan H, Chen J. QTL mapping of downy mildew resistance in an introgression line derived from interspecific hybridization between cucumber and *Cucumis hystrix*. J Phytopathol. 2013;161:536–43.
- Perchepied L, Bardin M, Dogimont C, Pitrat M. Relationship between loci conferring downy mildew and powdery mildew resistance in melon assessed by quantitative trait loci mapping. Phytopathology. 2005;95:556–65.
- Perry A, Rasmussen H, Johnson EJ. Xanthophyll (lutein, xeaxanthin) content in fruits, vegetables and corn and egg products. J Food Compos Anal. 2009;22:9–15.
- Peterson CE. A gynoecious inbred line of cucumber. Mich Agric Exp Sta Q Bul. 1960;43:40–2.
- Pierce LK, Wehner TC. Review of genes and linkage groups in cucumber. HortScience. 1990;25:605–15.
- Pike LM, Peterson CE. Inheritance of parthenocarpy in the cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). Euphytica. 1969;18:101–5.
- Poole CF. Genetics of cultivated cucurbits. J Hered. 1944;35:122–8.
- Porter RH. Reaction of Chinese cucumbers to mosaic. Phytopathology. 1929;19:85.
- Provvidenti R. Inheritance of resistance to a strain of zucchini yellow mosaic virus in cucumber. HortScience. 1987;22:102–3.
- Qi J, Liu X, Shen D, Miao H, Xie B, Li X, et al. A genomic variation map provides insights into the genetic basis of cucumber domestication and diversity. Nat Genet. 2013;45:1510–15.
- Ranjan KN, Rai AB, Rai M. Export of cucumber and gherkin from India: performance, destinations, competitiveness, and determinants. Agric Econ Res Rev. 2008;21:130–8.
- Renner SS, Schaefer H, Kocyan A. Phylogenetics of *Cucumis* (Cucurbitaceae): cucumber (*C. sativus*) belongs in an Asian/Australian clade far from melon (*C. melo*). BMC Evol Biol. 2007;7:PMC2335884.
- Robbins MD, Staub JE. Comparative analysis of marker-assisted and phenotypic selection for yield components in cucumber. Theor Appl Genet. 2009;119:621–34.
- Robinson RW. Blunt leaf apex, a cucumber mutant induced by a chemical mutagen. Cucurbit Genet Coop Rep. 1987a;10:6.
- Robinson RW. Cordate, a leaf shape gene with pleiotropic effects on flower structure and insect pollination. Cucurbit Genet Coop Rep. 1987b;10:8.
- Robinson RW. Inheritance of opposite leaf arrangement in *Cucumis sativus* L. Cucurbit Genet Coop Rep. 1987c;10:10.
- Robinson RW. Origin and characterization of the 'Lemon' cucumber. Cucurbit Genet Coop Rep. 2010;33–34:3–4.
- Robinson RW, Mishanec W. A radiation-induced seedling marker gene for cucumbers. Veg Imp Newsl. 1964;6:2.
- Robinson RW, Mishanec W. A new dwarf cucumber. Veg Imp Newsl. 1965;7:23.
- Robinson RW, Mishanec W. Male sterility in the cucumber. Veg Imp Newsl. 1967;9:2.
- Robinson RW, Shail JW. A cucumber mutant with increased hypocotyl and internode length. Cucurbit Genet Coop Rep. 1981;4:19–20.
- Rose S, Punja ZK. Greenhouse cucumber cultivars differ in susceptibility to Fusarium root and stem rot. HortTechnology. 2004;14:240–2.
- Rowe JT, Bowers JL. The inheritance and potential of an irradiation induced tendrilless character in cucumbers. Proc Am Soc Hortic Sci. 1965;86:436–41.
- Sakata Y, Kubo N, Morishita M, Kitadami E, Sugiyama M, Hirai M. QTL analysis of powdery mildew resistance in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). Theor Appl Genet. 2006;112:243–50.
- Schultheis J. Fresh market production cucumbers. NC Coop Ext NC State Univ Hortic Info Lflt. 2000.
- Schultheis JR, Wehner TC, Walters SA. Optimum planting density and harvest stage for little-leaf and normal-leaf cucumbers for once-over harvest. Can J Plant Sci. 1998;78:333–40.
- Sebastian P, Schaefer H, Telford IRH, Renner S. Cucumber (*Cucumis sativus*) and melon (*C. melo*) have numerous wild relatives in Asia and Australia, and the sister species of melon is from Australia. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2010;107:14269–73.
- Shanmugasundarum S, Williams PH. A cotyledon marker gene in cucumbers. Veg Imp Newsl. 1971;13:4.
- Shanmugasundarum S, Williams PH, Peterson CE. A recessive cotyledon marker gene in cucumber with pleiotropic effects. HortScience. 1972;7:555–6.
- Shetty NV, Wehner TC. Screening the cucumber germplasm collection for fruit yield and quality. Crop Sci. 2001;42:2174–83.
- Sikdar B, Bhattacharya M, Mukherjee A, Banerjee A, Ghosh E, Ghosh B, et al. Genetic diversity in important members of the Cucurbitaceae using isozyme, RAPD, and ISSR markers. Biologia Plantarum. 2010;54:135–40.
- St. Amand PC, Wehner TC. Crop loss to 14 diseases in cucumber in the North Carolina for 1983 to 1988. Cucurbit Genet Coop Rep. 1991;14:15–7.
- Staub JE, Bacher J. Cucumber as a processed vegetable. In: Smith DS, Cash JN, Nip WK, Hui YH, editors. Processing vegetables: science and technology IV. Lancaster: Technomic Publishing Co., Inc.; 1997. p. 129–93.
- Staub JE, Delannay IY. USDA, ARS European long greenhouse cucumber inbred backcross line population. HortScience. 2011;46:1317–20.
- Staub JE, Kupper RS. Use of *Cucumis sativus* var. *hardwickii* germplasm in backcrosses with *Cucumis sativus* var. *sativus*. Hortscience. 1985;20:436–8.
- Staub JE, Peterson CE, Cruaugh LK, Palmer MJ. Cucumber population WI 6383 and derived inbreds WI 5098 and WI 5551. HortScience. 1992;27:1340–1.
- Staub JE, Serquen FC, McCreight JD. Genetic diversity in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.): III. An evaluation of Indian germplasm. Genet Resour Crop Evol. 1997a;44:315–26.
- Staub JE, Knerr LD, Holder DJ, May B. Phylogenetic relationships among several African *Cucumis* species. Can J Bot. 1997b;70:509–17.
- Staub JE, Box J, Meglic V, Horejsi TF, McCreight JD. Comparison of isozyme and random amplified polymorphic DNA data for determining intraspecific variation in *Cucumis*. Genet Res Crop Evol. 1997c;44:257–69.
- Staub JE, Serquen FC, Horejsi T, Chen J. Genetic diversity in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.): IV. An evaluation of Chinese germplasm. Genet Resour Crop Evol. 1999;46:297–310.
- Staub JE, Robbins MD, Lopez-Sese AI. Molecular methodologies for improved genetic diversity assessment in cucumber and melon. Proc. 26th IRC, Horticulture: art and science for life advances in vegetable breeding. Acta Hortic. 2002;642:41–7.
- Staub JE, Robbins MD, Wehner TC. Cucumber. In: Prohens J, Nuez F, editors. Handbook of plant breeding; Vegetables I: asteraceae, brassicaceae, chenopodiaceae, and cucurbitaceae. New York: Springer; 2008. p. 241–82.
- Staub JE, Simon PW, Cuevas HE. USDA, ARS EOM 402–10 high beta-carotene cucumber. HortScience. 2011;46:1426–7.
- Sturtevant EL. History of garden vegetables. Am Nat. 1887;21:903–12.
- Sun Z, Lower RL, Staub JE. Variance component analysis of parthenocarpy in elite U.S. processing type cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) lines. Euphytica. 2006a;148:331–9.
- Sun Z, Lower RL, Staub JE. Analysis of generation means and components of variance for parthenocarpy in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). Plant Breed. 2006b;125:277–80.
- Szczechura W, Staniaskzek M, Klosinska U, Kozik EU. Molecular analysis of new sources of resistance to *Pseudoperonospora cubensis* (Berk. et. Curt.) Rostovzev in cucumber. Russ J Genet. 2015;51:974–9.
- Tapley WT, Enzie WD, van Eseltine GP. The vegetables of New York. IV. The cucurbits. Report of the New York Agricultural Experimental Station. Albany: J. B. Lyon Co.; 1937.
- Tian G, Yang Y, Zhang S, Miao H, Lu H, Wang Y, Xie B, Gu X. Genetic analysis and gene mapping of papaya ring spot virus resistance in cucumber. Mol Breed. 2015;35:110.
- Tkachenko NN. Preliminary results of a genetic investigation of the cucumber, *Cucumis sativus* L. Bul Appl Plant Breed Ser. 1935;2(9):311–56.
- Uchneat MS, Wehner TC. Resistance to belly rot in cucumber identified through field and detached-fruit evaluations. J Am Soc Hortic Sci. 1998;123:78–84.
- van Vliet GJA, Meysing WD. Inheritance of resistance to *Pseudoperonospora cubensis* Rost. in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). Euphytica. 1974;23:251–5.
- van Vliet GJA, Meysing WD. Relation in the inheritance of resistance to *Pseudoperonospora cubensis* Rost. and *Sphaerotheca fuliginea* Poll. in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). Euphytica. 1977;26:793–6.
- Vavilov NI. Studies on the origin of cultivated plants. Leningrad: Institute of Applied Botany and Plant Breeding; 1926.
- Vavilov NI. The origin, variation, immunity and breeding of cultivated plants. Chron Bot. 1951;13:13–54.
- Wai T, Staub JE, Kabelka E, Grumet R. Linkage analysis of potyvirus resistance alleles in cucumber. J Hered. 1997;88:454–8.
- Walters SA, Wehner TC, Barker KR. NC-42 and NC-43: root-knot nematode-resistant cucumber germplasm. HortScience. 1996;31:1246–7.
- Wang YJ, Provvidenti R, Robinson RW. Inheritance of resistance in cucumber to watermelon mosaic virus. Phytopathology. 1984;51:423–8.
- Wehner TC. In: Janick J, editor. Plant breeding reviews: breeding for improved yield in cucumber. vol 6. JohnWiley & sons, Inc. Hoboken, NJ, USA; 1989, pp. 352–3.
- Wehner TC, Cramer CS. Ten cycles of recurrent selection for fruit yield, earliness, and quality in three slicing cucumber populations. J Am Soc Hortic Sci. 1996;121:362–6.
- Wehner TC, Staub JE, Peterson CE. Inheritance of littleleaf and multi-branched plant type in cucumber. Cucurbit Genet Coop Rep. 1987;10:33.
- Wehner TC, Shetty NV, Sloane JT. Field and detached-fruit screening tests for resistance to belly rot in cucumber. HortScience. 2004;38:149–52.
- Weng Y. Genetic diversity among *Cucumis metuliferus* populations revealed by cucumber satellites. HortScience. 2010;45:214–19.
- Weng Y. Molecular tagged genes and quantitative trait loci in cucumber. Cucurbitaceae Proc Am Soc Hortic Sci. 2014;48:53.
- Whelan EDP. Golden cotyledon: a radiation-induced mutant in cucumber. HortScience. 1971;6:343 (abstract).
- Whelan EDP. A cytogenic study of a radiation-induced male sterile mutant of cucumber. J Am Soc Hortic Sci. 1972;97:506–9.
- Whelan EDP. Inheritance and linkage relationship of two radiation-induced seedling mutants of cucumber. Can J Genet Cytol. 1973;15:597–603.
- Whelan ED, Chubey BB. Chlorophyll content of new cotyledon mutants of cucumber. HortScience. 1973;10:267–9.
- Whelan EDP, Williams PH, Abul-Hayja A. The inheritance of two induced cotyledon mutants of cucumber. HortScience. 1975;10:267–9.
- Win KT, Zhang C, Song K, Lee JH, Lee S. Development and characterization of a co-dominant molecular marker via sequence analysis of a genomic region containing the Female (F) locus in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). Mol Breed. 2015;35:229.
- Xu X, Xu R, Zhu B, Yu T, Qu W, Lu L, Xu Q, Qi X, Chen X. A high-density genetic map of cucumber derived from specific length amplified fragment sequencing (SLAF-seq). Front Plant Sci. 2014;5:PMC4285734.
- Xu X, Lu L, Zhu B, Xu Q, Qi X, Chen X. QTL mapping of cucumber fruit flesh thickness by SLAF-seq. Sci Rep. 2015;5:15829.
- Yang S, Miao SP, Zhang Z, Cheng Z, Dong S, Wehner TC, Gu SF. Genetic analysis and mapping of *gl-2* gene in cucumber. Acta Hortic Sin. 2011;38:1685–92.
- Yang LM, Koo DH, Li YH, Zhang XJ, Luan FS, Havey MJ, et al. Chromosome rearrangements during domestication as revealed by high-density genetic mapping and draft genome assembly. Plant J. 2012;71:895–906.
- Yang LM, et al. A 1,681-locus consensus genetic map of cultivated cucumber including 67 NB-LRR resistance gene homolog and ten gene loci. BMC Plant Biol. 2013;13:553.
- Yang X, Li Y, Zhang W, He H, Pan J, Cai R. Fine mapping of the uniform immature fruit color gene *u* in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). Euphytica. 2014;196:341–8.
- Zhang W, Huanle H, Guan Y, Du H, Yuan L, Li Z, et al. Identification and mapping of molecular markers linked to the tuberculate fruit gene in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). Theor Appl Genet. 2010;120:645–54.
- Zhang C, Pratap AS, Natarajan S, Pugalenhdhi L, Kikuchi S, Sassa H, Senthil N, Koba T. Evaluation of morphological and molecular diversity among South Asian germplasms of *Cucumis sativus* and *C. melo*. ISRN Agron. 2012a;134134.
- Zhang S, Miao H, Sun R, Wang X, Huang S, Wehner TC, Gu X. Localization of a new gene for bitterness in cucumber. J Heredity. 2012b;104:134–9.
- Zhang SP, Liu MM, Miao H, Zhang SQ, Yang YH, Xie BY, et al. Chromosomal mapping and QTL analysis of resistance to downy mildew in *Cucumis sativus*. Plant Dis. 2013;97:245–51.
- Zhang SP, Miao H, Yang YH, Xie BY, Wang Y, Gu XF. A major quantitative trait locus conferring resistance to Fusarium wilt was detected in cucumber by using recombinant inbred lines. Mol Breed. 2014;34:1805–15.
- Zitter TA. Vegetable MD Online: Fusarium diseases of cucurbits. Fact Sheet 733. 1998. [http://](http://vegetablemdonline.ppath.cornell.edu/factsheets/Cucurbits_Fusarium.htm) vegetablemdonline.ppath.cornell.edu/factsheets/Cucurbits_Fusarium.htm.