# Compaction Properties of Agricultural Soils

Anh-Minh Tang<sup>1</sup>, Yu-Jun Cui<sup>1</sup>, Javad Eslami<sup>1</sup>, and Pauline Défossez-Berthoud<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> ENPC,  $6-8$  av. Blaise Pascal, F-77455 Marne–la–Vallée Cedex 2, France tang@cermes.enpc.fr, cui@cermes.enpc.fr, eslami\_javad@yahoo.com

<sup>2</sup> INRA, rue Fernand Christ, F–02007 Laon cedex, France defossez@laon.inra.fr

Summary. The compaction of field soils due to repeated rolling of agricultural vehicles is one of the main reasons for the agricultural soil degradation. A good understanding of the compaction properties of these soils is essential for an optimum organisation of agricultural activities, and therefore for environmental protection in terms of nitrate migrations. In the present work, the compaction properties of agricultural soils from four sites in France are studied after experimental data from oedometer tests. In the oedometer tests, a quick loading procedure was applied to simulate the loading of tire rolling. The soils that were initially in unsaturated state were loaded under constant water content condition. The compaction properties of these soils (i.e. the precompression vertical stress, compression index and swelling index) were then determined. The effect of initial dry density and initial water content on these properties is discussed. A possible effect of loading velocity on the apparent compressibility was observed. The results are finally discussed in the context of unsaturated soil mechanics.

Key words: compaction, agricultural soil, oedometer, quick loading, compressibility, dry density, water content

# Introduction

Soil compaction induced by vehicle traffic is one of the major problems in modern agriculture. It is well-known that soil compaction increases soil strength and decreases soil hydraulic conductivity, as a result, root penetration is reduced; water extraction becomes more difficult; plants growth is therefore affected. From an economical point of view, this would result in the increase of production cost (Hamza and Anderson 2005, Raper 2005, Chan et al. 2006). Oedometer test is usually used to study the compaction properties of arable soils (Arvidsson and Keller 2004). The main parameters determined from this test are: (i) precompression stress,  $\sigma_p$ ; (ii) the slope of the normal consolidation curve,  $\lambda$ ; (iii) the slope of the unloading curve,  $\kappa$ . These parameters are useful in the modelling of agricultural soils compaction induced by vehicle

traffic (Berli et al. 2003). As the conventional oedometer test is time consuming, it is common practice to use pedotransfer functions (Imhoff et al. 2004) to estimate the soil mechanical properties. Horn et al. (2005) used this method to predict the mechanical strength of arable soils in Eastern and Western Europe countries at various scales.

In the present work, oedometer tests are performed to study the compaction properties of four soils from France. The effects of dry density and water content on the compressibility of soil are discussed.

# Materials and Methods

Soil samples were taken from four sites in France: (1) Mons, La Somme; (2) Epernay, Marne; (3) le Breuil, Nièvre; (4) Avignon, Vaucluse. The soils samples were taken from two different horizons: from the cultured horizon at 0–30 cm and the undisturbed horizon at 30–60 cm depth. The physical properties determined according to the French Standards (AFNOR) are presented in Table 1. The specific gravity was determined using water pycnometer on soil sieved at 2 mm; Atterberg limits were determined with soil sieved at 0.4 mm; and the methylene blue absorption was measured with soil sieved at 0.5 mm. The classification is based on the Atterberg limits.

Prior to oedometer test, the bloc of undisturbed soil from 30–60 cm depth was wetted (by spraying) or dried (in air) to have the desired water content. When the target water content value was reached, the soil bloc was put in a hermetic box during 24 h for homogenisation of water distribution. Finally, the soil sample (70 mm in diameter, 20 mm in height) was trimmed directly from the soil bloc and inserted in the oedometer cell. The soils from 0–30 cm depth were air dried and sieved at 2 mm. Prior to oedometer test, the soils were wetted (by spraying) until the desired water content was reached. They were

Soil	Mons	Breuil	Epernay	Avignon
Specific gravity, $G$	2.62	2.56	2.68	2.71
Liquid limit, $w_L$ (%)	32	58	49	31
Plastic limit, $w_P(\%)$	22	51	29	20
Plasticity index, $I_P$ (%)	10	7	20	11
Methylene blue absorption 1.4		0.4	7.4	2.3
(g/100 g)				
Grain size distribution $(\%)$ :				
$-$ Clay ( $<$ 2 µm)	19	19	47	34
$-$ Silt $(2-50 \,\mu m)$	75	23	33	51
$-$ Sand ( $>$ 50 µm)	6	58	20	16
Classification	Low	plas- High plas- Low plas- Low		plas-
		ticity clay ticity silt ticity silt		ticity clay

Table 1. Physical properties of soils studied

then stocked in a hermetic box during 24 h for obtaining homogeneous water distribution. Afterwards, the soils was compacted directly in the oedometer cell to the desired dry density.

Vertical normal stresses of 15, 30, 50, 100, 200, 300, 600, and 800 kPa were applied sequentially during loading stage. During unloading stage, the vertical normal stress was decreased from 800 kPa to 600, 300, 200, 100, 50, 30, 15 kPa. Each stress was applied for 5 min and the displacement (accuracy  $\pm 0.001$  mm) was read at the end of each step. At the end of test, the soil sample was taken out of the oedometer cell and its dimensions were measured using a calliper (accuracy  $\pm$  0.001 mm). Finally, its water content was determined by ovendrying at 105◦C during 24 h. These measurements allowed determining the final void ratio and the degree of saturation. The initial void ratio is calculated by back analysis using final void ratio and total displacement measured.

### Experimental Results

The test program and the main results are presented in Table 2. In Figure 1, the results of some test (void ratio and degree of saturation as a function of vertical stress) are shown. It can be observed that the relationship  $e - \log \sigma_v$ in the unloading path is linear for all the tests. The swelling index is then calculated from the unloading path as follows:  $\kappa = \Delta e/\Delta \ln \sigma_v$  (slopes in Fig. 1) divided by  $\ln 10 = 2.3$ . In the tests where a clear elasto-plastic behaviour is observed as test 12 (Fig. 1a) and test 39 (Fig. 1d), the compression index,  $\lambda = \Delta e / \Delta \ln \sigma_v$ , is calculated from the three last points in the compression curve. In test 16 (Fig. 1b) and test 31 (Fig. 1c) where a change of the slope can be observed during the compression curve, the maximum value of the slope is taken to calculate the compression index. The precompression stress  $(\sigma_p)$  is calculated as the interception of the compression line and the line that across the initial point and that is parallel to the unloading line. All the parameters obtained  $(\sigma_p, \lambda \text{ and } \kappa)$  are shown in Table 2 with the void ratio (initial,  $e_i$ ) and final,  $e_f$ ), the water content (initial,  $w_i$ , and final,  $w_f$ ) and the initial dry density  $(\rho_i)$ .

In Figure 2, the precompression stress  $(\sigma_n)$ , the compression index  $(\lambda)$ and the swelling index  $(\kappa)$  of all soils are drawn as functions of initial water content  $(w_i)$  and mean initial dry density  $(\rho_i)$ . For all tests, a decrease of the precompression stress can be observed when the initial water content increases or when the initial dry density decreases. In addition, the swelling index  $(\kappa)$ seems to be insensible to the initial dry density and the swelling index. In the case of soils from Breuil (Fig. 2a) and Mons (Fig. 2d), it is observed that at the same water content, looser soil samples (lower dry density) have higher compression index, and that at the same dry density the compression index increases with the water content increase. For the soil from Epernay, Fig. 2b, the increase of water content reduces the compression index. In the case of soil from Avignon (Fig. 2c), wetting induced an increase following by a decrease

No Soil Depth		$e_i$	$e_f$	$w_i$	$w_f$	$\rho_i$	$\sigma_p$	$\lambda$	$\kappa$
	(cm)			$(\%)$		$(\%)$ (Mg/m <sup>3</sup> ) (kPa)			
1 Br.	0-30 1.49 0.85 24.7 24.7					1.03	34		0.2250.012
2 Br.	0-30 1.43 0.81 18.9 18.2					1.05	$\rm 45$		$0.235\ 0.010$
3 Br.	0-30 1.49 0.81 25.3 22.7					1.03	24		$0.224\ 0.012$
4 Br.	0-30 1.08 0.73 23.3 22.5					1.23	65		0.153 0.009
5 Br.	0-30 1.16 0.79 24.7 24.7					1.19	67		0.163 0.012
6 Br.	0-30 1.09 0.80 17.9 18.8					1.22	106		$0.133\ 0.009$
7 Br.	0-30 0.93 0.76 20.2 19.1					1.33	165		0.111 0.008
8 Br.	0-30 0.99 0.77 24.2 24.2					1.29	129		0.135 0.011
9 Br.	0-30 1.01 0.74 25.8 25.2					1.27	114		0.148 0.010
10 Br.	30-60 1.41 0.83 18.1 17.4					1.06	50		0.259 0.007
11 Br.	30-60 1.24 0.72 24.7 23.8					1.14	35		0.18100.010
12 Br.	30-60 1.30 0.84 16.3 13.5					1.11	95		$0.222\ 0.006$
13 Ep.	0-30 1.44 0.87 37.8 30.4					1.10	26		$0.230\ 0.009$
14 Ep.	0-30 1.64 0.84 32.5 28.6					1.02	26		$0.358\ 0.016$
15 Ep.	0-30 1.74 0.82 25.6 25.2					0.98	39		0.377 0.014
16 Ep.	0-30 1.27 0.88 37.1 29.7					1.18	29		0.146 0.015
17 Ep.	0-30 1.36 0.86 31.3 28.7					1.14	42		$0.241\ 0.014$
18 Ep.	0-30 1.41 0.78 25.6 25.0					1.11	73		0.304 0.010
19 Ep.	0-30 1.17 0.90 37.9 31.5					1.24	32		$0.083\ 0.011$
20 Ep.	0-30 1.10 0.82 30.4 28.4					1.28	56		0.133 0.012
21 Ep.	0-30 1.11 0.79 25.1 24.2					1.27	76		0.183 0.012
22 Ep.	30-60 1.16 0.90 32.5 30.6					1.24	60		$0.108\ 0.016$
23 Ep.	30–60 1.49 1.15 41.4 39.6					1.08	50		0.151 0.014
24 Ep.	30-60 1.08 0.90 30.2 29.6					1.29	83		0.093 0.013
25 Av.	0-30 1.36 0.66 16.8 16.4					1.15	37		0.2470.011
26 Av.	0-30 1.24 0.59 22.4 19.5					1.21	19		$0.258\ 0.014$
27 Av.	0-30 1.04 0.64 28.7 20.4					1.33	15		0.103 0.015
28 Av.	$0 - 30$ 1.13 0.63 16.5 16.2					1.27	77		0.228 0.010
29 Av.	0-30 1.10 0.58 22.1 19.5					1.29	26		0.229 0.014
30 Av.	0-30 0.91 0.60 28.5 20.2					1.42	20		0.096 0.014
31 Av.	0-30 1.06 0.64 16.8 16.4					1.32	98		$0.212\ 0.009$
32 Av.	$0 - 30$ $0.98$ $0.59$ $21.9$ $19.6$					1.37	28		0.154 0.013
33 Av.	0-30 0.88 0.63 28.2 19.6					1.44	23		0.068 0.013
34 Av.	30-60 0.76 0.60 19.2 18.4					1.54	99		0.089 0.012
35 Av.	30-60 0.80 0.60 23.0 19.2					1.51	77		0.086 0.012
36 Av.	30-60 0.79 0.61 21.0 19.8					1.51	45		0.083 0.014
37 Mo.	30-60 0.59 0.51 12.4 12.4					1.65	106		0.073 0.009
38 Mo.	30-60 0.79 0.63 19.5 19.5					1.46	112		0.109 0.008
39 Mo. 30-60 0.75 0.62 18.2 18.2						1.50	137		0.111 0.008
40 Mo. 30-60 0.78 0.60 29.7 20.5						1.47	68		0.105 0.012
41 Mo. 30-60 0.75 0.57 27.1 19.9						$_{1.50}$	83		0.106 0.012

Table 2. Test program and results (Br.: Breuil; Ep.: Epernay; Av.: Avignon; Mo: Mons)



**Fig. 1.** Void ratio (e) and degree of saturation  $(S_r)$  as a function of vertical stress  $(\sigma_p)$ : (a) Breuil; (b) Epernay; (c) Avignon; (d) Mons

of compression index in case of loose soils ( $\rho_i = 1.25 - 1.35 \text{ Mg/m}^3$ ). On the contrary, wetting induced only a decrease of compression index in the case of dense soils  $(\rho_i = 1.40 \,\mathrm{Mg/m^3}).$ 



**Fig. 2.** Precompression stress  $(\sigma_p)$ , compression index  $(\lambda)$  and swelling index  $(\kappa)$  as a function of mean initial water content  $(w_i)$  and initial dry density  $(\rho_i)$ : (a) Breuil; (b) Epernay; (c) Avignon; (d) Mons

# Discussions

In the domain of unsaturated soil mechanics, it is well-known that the precompression stress of looser soil is lower that that of denser soil. In addition, an increase of water content (that corresponds to a decrease of suction) reduces the soil strength or precompression stress (Alonso et al. 1990).

On the other hand, as the swelling index of soil depends on the stiffness of soil grains, it is independent on the soil density and on the water content in case of low plasticity soils. All these phenomena have been observed on the agricultural soils.

On the contrary, the effect of water content on the compression index observed in the present work is different from that found in the literature. After

Alonso et al. (1990), wetting softens the soil and increases then the compression index. Cui and Delage (1996) observed the same phenomenon on the compacted Jossigny silt. Nevertheless, this phenomenon can be observed only on the soils from Breuil and Mons. This contradiction can be explained by the consolidation mechanism in these tests. Indeed, in geotechnical engineering, a loading duration longer than 24 h is applied to simulate the stress generated by buildings construction. But in the present work, 5 min was applied for each loading stage in order to simulate the stress generated by rolling of agricultural vehicles. In case of plastic soils, as its permeability is low, this duration of 5 min may be not sufficient for water movement within the soil at high saturation degree. In Figure 1b, for example, loading increased the degree of saturation and the soil reached saturation state under 200 kPa vertical stress. The change of the compression curve slope observed in this test can be then explained by a partial consolidation of the soil.

In conclusion, wetting softens the soil and increases then the compression index. But this compression index can be reduced also by wetting due to the partial consolidation during short loading duration. This phenomenon depends on the permeability (that is influenced by soil dry density and soil plasticity) and the degree of saturation. The combination of these two trends governs the effect of water content on the compression index.

#### Conclusions

Oedometer tests were performed on agricultural soils taken from four sites in France. Rapid loading stage (5 min for each stage) was applied to study the soil compaction due to agricultural vehicles traffic. The effect of dry density and water content on the compaction properties of soils was observed. Generally, the soil is more compressible at lower dry density or higher water content. Nevertheless, in case of plastic soils or dense soils, wetting reduces the compression index. The consolidation mechanism was discussed to reveal the effect of loading duration on the compression index.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful for the technical assistance of D. Boitez and F. Bornet. This work is part of the French National Projects ANR–ADD and Gessol2. The financial support from the two projects is gratefully acknowledged.

#### References

Alonso EE, Gens A, Josa AA (1990) A constitutive model for partially saturated soil, Géotechnique 40(3):405–430

- Arvidsson J, Keller T (2004) Soil precompression stress. I. A survey of Swedish arable soils, Soil & Tillage Research 77:85–95
- Berli M, Kirby JM, Springman SM, Schulin R (2003) Modelling compaction of agricultural subsoils by tracked heavy construction machinery under various moisture conditions in Switzerland, Soil & Tillage Research 73:57–66
- Chan KY, Oates A, Swan AD, Hayes RC, Dear BS, Peoples MB (2006) Agronomic consequences of tractor wheel compaction on a clay soil, Soil & Tillage Research 89:13–21
- Cui YJ, Delage P (1996) Yielding and plastic behaviour of an unsaturated compacted silt, Géotechnique 46(2):291–311
- Hamza MA, Anderson WK (2005) Soil compaction in cropping systems. A review of the nature, causes and possible solutions, Soil & Tillage Research, 82:121–145
- Horn R, Fleige H, Richter FH, Czyz EA, Dexter A, Diaz-Pereira E, Dumitru E, Enarche R, Mayol F, Rajkai K, de la Rosa D, Simota C (2005) SIDASS project. Part 5: Prediction of mechanical strength of arable soils and its effects on physical properties at various map scales, Soil & Tillage Research 82:47–56
- Imhoff S, Da Silva AP, Fallow D (2004) Susceptibility to compaction, load support capacity, and soil compressibility of Hapludox. Soil Sci Soc Am J 68:17–24
- Raper RL (2005) Agricultural traffic impacts on soil, J Terramechanics 42:259–280