The Human Health Consequences of Flooding in Europe: a Review

S. Hajat · K. L. Ebi · R. S. Kovats · B. Menne · S. Edwards · A. Haines

Acknowledgements

This review is based on a paper originally published in "Applied Environmental Science and Public Health. (2003, vol 1, page 13 – 21). SH, RSK, KLE, and SE were funded by the European Commission as part of the project: Climate Change and Adaptation Strategies for Human Health (cCASHh) (EVK2-2000-00070)

Keywords

Floods, mental health, climate change, Europe

Summary

Floods are the most common natural disaster in Europe. The adverse human health consequences of flooding are complex and far-reaching: these include drowning, injuries, and an increased incidence of common mental disorders. Anxiety and depression may last for months and possibly even years after the flood event and so the true health burden is rarely appreciated. Effects of floods on communicable diseases appear relatively infrequent in Europe. The vulnerability of a person or group is defined in terms of their capacity to anticipate, cope with, resist and recover from the impact of a natural hazard. Determining vulnerability is a major challenge. Vulnerable groups within communities to the health impacts of flooding are the elderly, disabled, children, women, ethnic minorities, and those on low incomes. There is a need for more good-quality epidemiological data before vulnerability indices can be developed. With better information, the emphasis in disaster management could shift from post-disaster improvisation to pre-disaster planning. A comprehensive, risk-based emergency management program of preparedness, response, and recovery has the potential to reduce the adverse health effects of floods, but there is currently inadequate evidence of the effectiveness of public health interventions

Introduction

In Europe, floods are the most common natural disaster. In recent years, floods have received much media attention. European vulnerability to flooding was highlighted by the loss of life and economic damage from flooding events of the Rhine, Meuse, Po and Oder rivers in the 1990s and in the UK floods of 2000. In addition, summer flooding of the Elbe and Danube rivers in 2002 resulted in some of the worst floods seen in Europe for more than a century

Various mechanisms may cause flooding, and different flood characteristics affect the occurrence and severity of the flood event (Malilay 1997). The Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change concluded that, by 2100, the general pattern of changes in annual precipitation over Europe is for widespread increases in northern Europe (between +1 and +2 % per decade), smaller decreases across southern Europe (maximum –1 % per decade), and small or ambiguous changes in central Europe (IPCC 2001). It is likely that intense precipitation events will continue to increase in frequency, especially in the winter. Further to this, the frequency of great floods has been demonstrated to have increased substantially during the twentieth century (Milly et al. 2002). This pattern is consistent with current climate models, with the models suggesting that the trend will continue. These projected changes underscore the need to increase the development and implementation of measures to prevent adverse health impacts from flooding (Baxter et al. 2001).

This paper reviews the epidemiological literature to assess the human health consequences of flooding in Europe and other industrialised countries, and investigates the current adaptation strategies available to the health-sector to minimise effects.

Methods

The search for literature on the health effects of floods was restricted to events in the following regions: the whole of Europe, North America and Australasia. Although the focus of this work is to document the effects of flood events from Europe, examples from other industrialised countries are also used to illustrate the potential range of health impacts from floods. Events from low-income countries are excluded as the effects can be very different to those experienced in the majority of Europe. Literature was obtained by consulting experts in the field and by searching the databases using the following search strategy:

- Objectives: To search for literature relating to the human health impacts of flooding
- Database list: BIDS, Embase, Psyclit, Pubmed, Sigle
- Terms searched for: Flood, floods, flooding, disasters, extreme events, health
- Inclusion criteria: all available years
- Exclusion criteria: events not occurring in Europe, North America or Australasia

Health impacts

There are two main types of river floods that affect Europe (Penning-Rowsell & Fordham 1994). First, there is the rapid rise flood. These are most commonly associated with intense thunderstorm activity and so tend to be mainly local or regional events. Secondly, there are the slow rise events characterised by floods on the large rivers of northern Europe, notably the Rhine, the Vistula, the Thames, the Seine, the Loire, and the Rhone (Penning-Rowsell, Handmer, & Tapsell 1996). These large catchments respond to prolonged periods of rainfall or snowmelt. In addition, there is also a risk of coastal flooding due to sea level rise, the effects of which will greatly impact on low-lying coasts and islands. Different types of floods affect human health in different ways.

The adverse human health consequences of flooding are complex and far-reaching (Tapsell 2001) and can therefore be difficult to attribute to the flood event itself. The health impacts are broadly categorised into one of two groups:

1. Physical health effects sustained during the flood event itself or during the clean-up process, or from knock-on effects brought about by damage to major infrastructure including displacement of populations

2. Mental health effects directly occurring due to the experience of being flooded, or indirectly during the restoration process.

Physical health effects

A database of the occurrence and immediate effects of all reported mass disasters, including flood events, in the world from 1900 to present is available from EM-DAT: The OFDA/CRED International Disaster Database [www.cred.be/emdat/]. The database is compiled from various sources including UN agencies, non-governmental organisations, insurance companies, research institutes and press agencies. In order for a disaster to be entered onto the database, at least one of the following 4 criteria has to be fulfilled: 10 or more people reported killed, 100 people reported affected, a call for international assistance, or declaration of a state of emergency. Between 1975 and 2005, 238 flood events in Europe were recorded on EM-DAT, resulting in 2476 reported fatalities. Between 1980 and 1999, an annual rate of 1.3 deaths and 5.7 injuries have occurred per 10,000,000 population due to inland floods and landslides in Western Europe (McMichael et al. 2004). *Table 1* presents the ten most disastrous floods in Europe from 1990 to 2001, in terms of number of deaths.

Tab. 1
The 10 most disastrous floods in Europe within the last decade as recorded by the EM-DAT International Disaster Database (1990 – 2001)

Country	Year	Killed	Location
Tajikistan	1992	1346	–
Italy	1998	147	Campania Region
the Russian Federation	1993	125	Yekaterinburg region (Sverdlovsk)
Romania	1991	108	Bouriatie, Ulan-Ude
Uzbekistan	1998	95	Shahimardan, Yerdan
Turkey	1995	78	Izmir, Antalaya, Isparta
Turkey	1995	70	Ankara, Istanbul, Senirkent
Italy	1994	64	Piedmont, Liguria
Turkey	1998	60	Beskoy (Trabzon province)
Tajikistan	1998	57	Ragun, Ainy, Old Mastchoh, Shahrinav, Muminabad, Penjikent, Kuliyab Central, Vose, Dushanbe, Tursenzade, Varzob, Farhor, Baljuvon, Tursunzade, Leninski, Gissar, Kanibadam, Sharristan, Kurgantube, Kaarnikhon, Khovaling

Over the years the physical health effects of flooding in Europe have been documented in the medical literature when major flood events have occurred (Cervenka 1976; Lorraine 1954). In more recent decades, the number of studies looking at the health effects of such events has increased. These are examined separately for different outcomes below.

Mortality

Most flood-related deaths can be attributed to rapid rise floods, due to the increased risk of drowning (French et al. 1983). In October 1988, a flash flood occurred in the Nimes region of France (Duclos et al. 1991). Although the homes of 45,000 people were damaged and more than 1,100 vehicles destroyed, only 9 deaths by drowning (including two people who tried to rescue others) and 3 severe injuries were reported. The limited death toll can be attributed to the fact that the disaster occurred early in the morning when most people were still home, and also because of the mild temperature, government rescue plans, and most of all, because of rescue operations conducted by civilians. Other health problems and injuries during the post-impact phase may have been limited by the response of trained military personnel and by the distribution of boots and gloves to other responders. In 1996, 86 people died from a flood in the town of Biescas in Spain as a consequence of the stream of water and mud that suddenly covered a campsite located near a channelised river (Marcuello & Estrela 1997). During the 1998 flood in Sarno, Italy, there were between 147 and 160 fatalities caused by a river of mud that destroyed an urban area (Thonissen 1998).

Many slow-rise river flood events have also been associated with fatalities. At the end of 1993 and the beginning of 1994, overflow of the River Meuse and on the middle and lower Rhine caused 10 deaths in Germany and other affected countries (Bayrische Ruckversicherung, 1996a). Thirteen months later, intense rainfall produced a new flood of similar characteristics. The effects, however, were not so great as people were aware of the risk and better prepared (Bayrische Ruckversicherung, 1996b).

In 1997, river floods in central Europe left over 200,000 people homeless, and more than 100 people were killed (Kriz et al. 1998; Saunders 1998). The main countries affected were Poland, Germany and the Czech Republic.

In the UK, the effects of floods have been well documented. On Saturday 31 January and Sunday 1 February 1953, a great storm surge, accompanied by gale force winds, swept over the north of the UK, causing widespread flooding of coastal areas (Greave 1956). The worst effects were in Canvey Island where 58 people died, although it was noted that the death rate climbed significantly during the 2 months following the disaster, as compared with the same 2 months the previous year (Summers 1978). In total, 307 people in the UK died due to the event, and 1795 people in the Netherlands. Other examples in the UK include 5 fatalities in the central England and Wales floods of 1998. In addition 3 people drowned in Glasgow in 1994; 4 people drowned in south Wales in 1979; and, in 1975, 2 people drowned and 2 were struck by lightning during a severe thunderstorm in Hampstead, London, where floods caused damage to houses, cars to float along streets, subways to fill and sewers to burst (Faulkner 1999).

Injuries

Comprehensive surveillance of morbidity following floods is limited, but following the 1993 Midwestern United States floods, surveillance of flood-related morbidity was undertaken (CDC 1993). Five hundred and twenty four flood-related conditions were reported in emergency departments. Of these, 250 were injuries, 233 were illnesses, 39 were listed as 'other', and two were 'unknown'. Of the 250 reported injuries, the most common were sprains/strains, lacerations, 'other injuries', and abrasions/contusions. No comparable data for Europe has been identified.

Illnesses from flood-induced contamination of water supplies

There is a small risk of communicable disease following flooding, although severe occurrences are rare in industrialised countries due to the public health infrastructure in place prior to and following a flood event such as water treatment and effective sewage pumping. One example of an outbreak is that of leptospirosis which occurred after the flooding in the Czech Republic in 1997, although the quality of the data appears to be poor (Kriz, Benes, Castkova, & Helcl 1998). Work from Finland highlighted that a total of 14 waterborne epidemics occurred in the country during 1998 – 1999, resulting in about 7,300 registered cases (Miettinen et al.). Thirteen of the epidemics were associated with undisinfected groundwaters from mostly flood-induced outbreaks. Boiling of the drinking water was one of the first actions taken in almost all of the outbreaks.

Infectious disease outbreaks following flooding may be more common in tropical industrialised countries such as Australia, where conditions such as Melioidosis and other vector borne diseases have been linked to local flooding (Munckhof et al. 2001).

No specific increase in infectious disease was observed following the flash flood in Nimes (Duclos, Vidonne, Beuf, Perray, & Stoebner 1991), and no increase in the incidence of acute gastroenteritis or other possibly flood-related communicable diseases was observed following the 1995 river floods in eastern Norway (Aavitsland et al.). This was attributed in part to measures such as maintaining safe water and providing information on safe management of flood water during evacuation and clean-up. Similarly, another report stated that no dramatic increase in water borne diseases were reported or documented in the aftermath of the major floods of the 1970s, although the attitude of the public, the mass media and of the health services sometimes leads to ineffective mass immunisations (de Ville, Lechat, & Boucquey). The authors stated that an epidemiological system and accurate information on the actual situation are essential in cases of major disasters

Other flood-induced illnesses

Chronic health effects (including respiratory problems) secondary to a flood disaster have been documented in the literature. Investigation of leukemias and lymphomas in western New York State found an apparent space-time clustering of cases beginning 2 years after major floods occurred in the Canisteo River valley in 1972 (Janerich et al. 1981). This, in conjunction with a marked increase in rates of spontaneous abortion, suggested an unidentified flood-related environmental exposure. Possible explanations provided by the authors include exposure to human and animal viruses during evacuation, or perhaps because of substantial psychological or physical stress experienced by people at the time of the flood.

Following the flash flood in Nimes in 1988, 12 cases of carbon monoxide poisoning were reported involving firefighters, civilians, and members of the military who were pumping water and effluents from basements (Duclos, Vidonne, Beuf, Perray, & Stoebner 1991). Authors of a case study of heavy metal soil contamination after the flooding of the river Meuse during the winter of 1993 – 1994 concluded there was a potential health risk for river-bank inhabitants as a consequence of lead and cadmium contaminations of the floodplain soils (Albering et al. 1999). There is also the risk of toxic fungal spread as a result of flooding, both in houses following home water damage (Jarvis et al. 1998). and as an agricultural pest (Rosenzweig et al. 2001).

Following the 1968 flooding in Bristol, Bennet reported a 50 % increase in mortality ($P < 0.02$) in the homes of people who were flooded, with many of these deaths being from chronic diseases such as cancer (Bennet 1970). The author observed similarities with the pattern of health and mortality after bereavement, and argued that the effect of flooding upon mortality and ill-health is largely a result of distress and the psychological effects of the event.

Mental health effects

Comparison of rates of mental ill health between studies are hampered by varying definitions of outcome used. Some investigators focus on Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) as a specific entity; some use rates of common mental disorders such as anxiety and depression, whereas others use standard instruments such as the General Health Questionnaire which do not give a specific diagnosis. There is no doubt however that flooding, in common with other traumatic life events, is associated with increased rates of the most common mental disorders: anxiety and depression (Bennet 1970; Sartorius 1990). Aside from the experience of being flooded, many of the mental health problems stem from the troubles brought about by geographic displacement (Fullilove 1996), the damage to the home or loss of familiar possessions (Keene 1998), and also the stress involved in dealing with builders, etc. during the aftermath (Tapsell & Tunstall 2001). In this context, lack of insurance may be an important factor in hindering recovery. Lack of insurance (or under-insurance) was a common factor in exacerbating the impacts of the 1993 floods in Perth, Scotland (Fordham & Ketteridge 1995).

A panel study of over 200 elderly adults interviewed both before and after the floods in southeastern Kentucky, US, in 1981 and 1984 found that flood exposure was related to modest physical health declines such as functional impairment and fatigue (Phifer, Kaniasty, & Norris 1988). The study also demonstrated that persistence of health effects was directly related to flood intensity: whereas the effects of the 1981 flood generally were limited to the first year post-flood, the more severe 1984 flood continued to have a significant impact 18 months after the flood. Men, those with lower occupational status, and persons aged 55 – 64 were at significantly greater risk for increases in psychological symptoms. Sociodemographic status did not moderate the impact of flood exposure on physical health (Phifer 1990).

A case-study of the health effects of flooding in Uphill, UK showed a consistent pattern of increased psychological problems amongst flood victims in the five years following floods (Green et al. 1985). A survey following the Lewes floods in 2000 demonstrated higher levels of depression (as measured by General Health Questionnaire scores) among flooded households compared to controls in the same area (Reacher et al. 2004). Also in the UK, qualitative work at least one year after the Banbury and Kidlington floods revealed continuing psychological health effects among most of those interviewed (Tapsell 2000). There was also the suggestion that the full health impacts of the floods had not been manifested at the time of the study. It is often only after people's homes have been put back in order that the full realisation of what has happened to them is appreciated.

A pilot cross-sectional study based on the 1992 floods in the Vaucluse was conducted using randomly selected households in two affected towns. This study demonstrated that the long-term psychological consequences of an environmental disaster could be carried out several years after the event but that the feasibility of such a study would depend ultimately on its acceptance by the public and the relevant authorities (Verger et al. 1999). Another pilot study, looking at the effects of the Netherlands flood of 1994 – 1995 on the health and wellbeing of exposed subjects, suggested 15 – 20 % of children were having moderate to severe 'stress' symptoms, and 15 % of adults still experienced very severe 'symptoms of stress' 6 months after the event (Becht et al. 1998).

An increase in psychosocial symptoms and PTSD including 50 flood-linked suicides were reported in the two months following the major floods in Poland in 1997 (IFRC 1998). Based on interviews with Polish children aged 11 – 14 years, these floods were also reported to be associated with long-term PTSD, depression and dissatisfaction with life (Bokszczanin 2000).

By contrast, study of a psychiatric out-patient department after the 1997 floods in the Czech Republic suggested fewer hospital admissions during the investigation period than in other years, and no attempted suicides and suicides were recorded (Kucerova 1999). The author suggests that the absence of suicidal activities may have been caused by a development of positive attitudes of individuals towards themselves and others as a result of the extensive disaster, such as is often observed during times of war.

Contact with health services

Contacts with health services may increase following a flood event, although a further effect of floods upon health could be the likely disruption of 'normal' health and social service programmes. These services are likely to be heavily involved in the aftercare of a disaster, thus removing them from their normal caring activities.

The impacts of flood events on the use of primary and secondary health services have not been extensively investigated in the UK or elsewhere. Primary care attendance following the Bristol 1968 flood rose by 53 %, and referrals and admissions to hospitals more than doubled (Bennet 1970). Similarly, the number of visits to general practitioners, hospitals and specialists were all significantly increased for flooded persons in the year following the 1974 Brisbane floods (Abrahams et al.).

Vulnerability

'Vulnerability' is defined in terms of the capacity of a person or group to anticipate, cope with, resist and recover from the impact of a natural hazard (Blaikie et al. 1994). Certain groups within communities (e.g. the elderly, disabled, children, women, ethnic minorities, and those on low incomes) may be more vulnerable than others to the effects of flooding (Curle & Wiliams 1996; Flynn & Nelson 1998; Fordham 1998; Ketteridge & Fordham 1995; Morrow 1999; Tapsell & Tunstall 2001; Thompson 1995; Ticehurst et al. 1996). Consequently, these groups may suffer greater effects from a flood and may need special consideration by the authorities during the response and recovery periods. However, much of the work is qualitative in nature and robust estimates of differences between groups based on epidemiological studies are lacking.

People on lower incomes may be more vulnerable to the effects of a flood in that they may not have adequate insurance or the financial capacity to recover from the experience. Lack of resources can impede resilience to a disaster as savings or other financial resources can be used as a buffer against the worst tangible and intangible impacts of a flood (Ketteridge & Fordham 1995). Health is affected by the places in which people live, work and interact, and yet many epidemiological studies overlook the characteristics of places and instead focus solely on the people who inhabit them (Smoyer 1998).

Environmental disasters can be particularly devastating to already vulnerable populations such as the homeless and migrants, who, because of social, political and economic constraints, experience special health care needs. In the US, a synthesis of past disaster research showed how various racial and ethnic groups may be differentially affected, both physically and psychologically, and how disaster effects vary by race and ethnicity during the periods of emergency response, recovery and reconstruction (Fothergill, Maestas & Darlington 1999). The authors suggest that racial and ethnic communities in the US such as African-Americans and Mexican-Americans are more vulnerable to natural disasters due to factors such as language, housing patterns, building construction, community isolation and cultural insensitivities of the majority population. A variety of other studies have also demonstrated vulnerability of sub-groups (1990; Enarson & Fordham 2001; Green 1988a; Green 1988b; Green et al. 1994; Huerta & Horton 1978; Ohl & Tapsell 2000).

To ascertain vulnerability, the public health consequences of disasters need to be properly evaluated (2001; Blake 1989; French 1989; Kirchsteiger 1999; Lechat 1990b; Lechat 1990a; Logue, Melick, & Hansen 1981). However, an assessment of human health impacts is complicated due to numerous uncertainties, including the lack of good quality epidemiological data, and the unknown economic, political, and technological response of society.

Strategies to reduce flood impacts

Before, during and after a flood event, activities may be undertaken by the population at risk, by policy makers and by emergency responders to reduce health risks. Traditionally, the fields of engineering and urban planning aimed to reduce the harmful effects of flooding by limiting the impact of a flood on human health and economic infrastructure. This is accomplished by building codes, legislation to relocate structures away from flood-prone areas, planning appropriate land use, and managing costs of floodplains. Mitigation measures may reduce but not eliminate major damage.

Early warning of flooding risk, and appropriate citizen response, has been shown to be effective in reducing disaster-related deaths. From a public health point of view, planning for floods during the interflood phase aims to enable communities to effectively respond to the health consequences of floods, and to enable the local and central authorities to organise and effectively co-ordinate relief activities, including making the best use of local resources and properly managing national and international relief assistance. In addition, medium to long-term interventions may be needed to support populations who have been flooded. These should include initiatives such as public health authorities being alerted to the possibility of post-flood diseases and injuries, and the identification of and provision of health services for individuals with post-flood mental health problems. Currently, however, it is unclear whether the mental health impacts will respond best to psychological and/or pharmacological interventions delivered through health services, or whether the interventions would best be targeted at providing financial or other assistance with housing etc.

It seems likely that effective vulnerability reduction will necessitate the involvement of a range of sectors at the local, regional and sometimes national level. There is a need for statistical indicators of vulnerability and the harm caused by major emergencies. Data from these indicators will assist in monitoring and evaluating vulnerability reduction, and identification of communities at risk. It is important that an approach to relief, disaster preparedness and mitigation starts with programmes with a developmental focus on increasing the health and safety of the potentially affected populations (McCluskey 2001). An overall objective of disaster epidemiology should be to describe the health effects of disasters and the factors contributing to these effects (Noji 1992; Noji 1995; Noji 1996; Noji 2000). Results of epidemiologic studies of natural disasters provide clues to diagnosis, help medical care providers match resources to needs, and permit better contingency planning (Binder & Sanderson 1987).

Discussion

Despite floods being the most common natural disaster in Europe, the health risks associated with flooding are surprisingly poorly characterised. This review highlights the dearth of good quantitative data available on the health effects of flooding, resulting in uncertainty in the full range of potential health impacts of flood events. The studies that have been conducted mainly concentrate on assessing effects of large events, even though more frequent smaller events may also have an important health impact. Different types of floods may need different types of intervention strategies to minimise impacts. In general, the reviewed reports suggest two main messages arising when considering the health impacts of floods in industrialised countries (WHO, 2002):

1. The biggest impacts occur as a result of the psychological distress experienced during flooding and in its aftermath
2. In direct contrast to low-income countries, the likelihood of infectious disease outbreaks following flooding in temperate industrialised countries are low. Maintenance of existing public health responses to flooding in these countries are important to sustain the low risk.

A better understanding is needed of vulnerability risk factors. There are suggestions that some people may be more susceptible to the effects of floods than others, but the available evidence is insufficient to allow vulnerability indices to be devised and used operationally. Again the need is for more and better quality epidemiological data, including

- Centralized and systematic national reporting for deaths and injuries from floods using standardized methodology
- Development of instruments to assess health risks
- Identification of data needed to prepare for and evaluate the impacts of such strategies on future events.

There are methodological problems associated with mounting retrospective studies. Such studies are likely to be prone to recall bias as affected subjects may be more likely to remember adverse effects. In addition, the selection of a suitable control population is required to assess the true effects attributable to the flood disaster. It has been suggested that surveillance of flood-related morbidity, mortality, vector populations, and environmental health should continue throughout the response and recovery periods (Malilay 1997). Should any unusual conditions be noted, specialised investigations or surveys should be conducted so that appropriate interventions can be made

The frequency of extreme weather events such as floods is likely on the increase due to changes in the world's climate (Fulton 1999). Significant reductions in greenhouse gas emissions need to be made, however the impact of such reductions would only become apparent in 50 – 100 years time. Preparations need to be made for the climate change that is on its way as a result of the greenhouse gases that are already in the atmosphere (Parry et al. 1998). As a consequence, more emphasis needs to be placed on available structural and policy-oriented control measures, and the activities undertaken by the population at risk and by emergency responders before, during and after a flood event in order to prevent or reduce the risk of flood-related injury, illness or death.

References

Aavitsland P, Iversen, BG, Krogh T, Fonahn W, Lystad A. [Infections during the 1995 flood in Ostlandet. Prevention and incidence]. Tidsskr Nor Laegeforen 116:2038 – 2045

Abrahams MJ, Price J, Whitlock FA., Williams G (1974) The Brisbane floods, January 1974: their impact on health. Med J Aust 2:936 – 939

Albering HJ, van Leusen SM, Moonen EJC, Hoogewerff JA, Kleinjans JCS (1999) Human health risk assessment: A case study involving heavy metal soil contamination after the flooding of the river Meuse during the winter of 1993 – 1994. Environmental Health Perspectives 107:1:37 – 43

Baxter PJ, Moller I, Spencer T, Spence RJ, Tapsell S (2001) Flooding and climate change, in Health effects of climate change in the UK. Department of Health, London, pp 152 – 192

Bayrische Ruckversicherung (1996a) ...13 months later. The January 1995 floodsBayrische Ruckversicherung. Special issue 17

Bayrische Ruckversicherung (1996b) The 'Christmas floods' in Germany 1993 – 94. Bayrische Ruckversicherung Special issue 16

Becht MC, van Tilburg M-A L, Vingerhoets A-JJM, Nyklicek I, de Vries J, Kirschbaum C, Antoni MH, van Heck GL (1998) Watersnood. Een verkennend onderzoek naar de gevolgen voor het welbevinden en de gezondheid van volwassenen en kinderen. [Flood: A pilot study on the consequences for well-being and health of adults and children]. Uitgeverij Boom, Netherlands

Bennet G (1970) Bristol floods 1968: controlled survey of effects on health of local community disaster. British Medical Journal 3:454 – 458

Binder S, Sanderson LM (1987) The role of the epidemiologist in natural disasters. Annals of Emergency Medicine 16:1081 – 1084

Blaikie P, Cannon T, Davis I, Wisner B (1994) At risk: natural hazards, people's vulnerability and disasters. Routledge, London

Blake PA (1989) Communicable disease control. In: Gregg MB, French J, Binder S, Sanderson LM (eds) The Public Health Consequences of Disasters. US Dept of Human Health and Services, Atlanta

Bokszczanin A (2000) Psychologiczne konsekwencje powodzi u dzieci i mlodziezy. [Psychological consequences of floods in children and youth] Poland: Psychologia Wychowawcza.

CDC (1993) Morbidity surveillance following the midwest flood – Missouri, 1993. Mortality and Morbidity Weekly Report 42(41):797 – 798

Cervenka J (1976) Health aspects of Danube river floods. AnnSoc Belg Med Trop 56:217 – 222

Curle CE, Wiliams C (1996) Post-traumatic stress reactions in children: gender differences in the incidence of trauma reactions at two years and examination of factors influencing adjustment. British Journal of Clinical Psychology 35:297 – 309

de Ville dG, Lechat MF, Boucquey C (1977) [Attitude toward the risk of epidemics during sudden disasters]. Rev Epidemiol Sante Publique 25:185 – 194

Duclos P, Vidonne O, Beuf P, Perray P, Stoebner A (1991) Flash flood disaster – Nimes, France, 1988. Eur J Epidemiol 7:365 – 371

Enarson E, Fordham M (2001) Lines that divide, ties that bind: race, class and gender in women's flood recovery in the US and UK. Australian Journal of Emergency Management summer:43 – 52

Faulkner DS (1999) Flood estimation handbook. Institute of Hydrology, Wallingford

Flynn BW, Nelson ME (1998) Understanding the needs of children following large-scale disasters and the role of government. Child & Adolescent Psychiatric Clinics of North America, 7:211 – 227

Fordham M, Ketteridge AM (1995) Flood disasters – Dividing the community [presentation] International Emergency Planning Conference, 1995, Lancaster, UK.

Fordham MH (1998) Making women visible in disasters: problematising the private domain, Disasters 22:126 – 143

Fothergill A, Maestas EG, Darlington JD (1999) Race, ethnicity and disasters in the United States: a review of the literature. Disasters 23:156 – 173

French JG (1989) Floods. In: Public Health Consequences of Disasters. Department of Health and Human Services, Atlanta, U.S.

French J, Ing R, von Allmen S, Wood R (1983) Mortality from flash floods: a review of national weather service reports, 1969 – 81. Public Health Rep 98;6:584 – 588

Fullilove MT (1996) Contributions from the psychology of place. Am J Psychiatry 153:1516 – 1523

Fulton J (1999) Using science to advocate action on climate change. Ecosystem Health 5:110 – 117

Greave H (1956) The Great Flood. Report to Essex County Council. Essex County Council

Green C (1988a) The Human Aspects of Flooding. Flood Hazard Research Centre, Middlesex University, Enfield

Green C (1988b) The Relationship between the Magnitude of Flooding, Stress and Health. Flood Hazard Research Centre, Middlesex University, Enfield

Green C, van der Veen A, Wierstra E, Penning-Rowsell E (1994) Vulnerability defined: analysing full flood impacts. In: Penning-Rowsell E, Fordham M (eds) Floods Across Europe: Hazard Assessment, Modelling and Management. Middlesex University Press, London, pp 32 – 68

Green CH, Emery PJ, Penning-Rowsell EC, Parker DJ (1985) The health effects of flooding: a case study of Uphill. Flood Hazard Research Centre, Middlesex Polytechnic, Enfield

Huerta F, Horton R (1978) Coping behavior of elderly flood victims. Gerontologist 18;6:541 – 546

IFRC (International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies) (1998) World disaster report 1997. Oxford University Press, New York

IPCC (2001) Climate Change 2001. Impacts, Adaptations and Vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the Second Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge

Janerich DT, Stark AD, Greenwald P et al. (1981) Increased leukemia, lymphoma, and spontaneous abortion in Western New York following a flood disaster. Public Health Rep 96:350 – 356

Jarvis BB, Sorenson WG, Hintikka EL et al. (1998) Study of toxin production by isolates of Stachybotrys chartarum and Memnoniella echinata isolated during a study of pulmonary hemosiderosis in infants. Appl Environ Microbiol 64:3260 – 3265

Keene EP (1998) Phenomenological study of the North Dakota flood experience and its impact on survivors' health. Int J Trauma Nurs 4:79 – 84

Ketteridge AM, Fordham M (1995) Flood warning and the local community context. In: Handmer J (ed) Flood Warning: Issues and Practice in Total System Design. Flood Hazard Research Centre, Enfield, pp 189 – 199

Kirchsteiger C (1999) Trends in accidents, disasters and risk sources in Europe. Journal of loss prevention in the process industries 12:7 – 17

Kriz B, Benes C, Castkova J, Helcl J (1998) Monitorování Epidemiologické Situace V Zaplavených Oblastech V Èeské Republice V Roce 1997. [Monitoring the Epidemiological situation in flooded areas of the Czech Republic in 1997.] In: Konference DDD ,98; Kongresové Centrum Lázeòská

Kolonáda Podìbrady, 11. – 13. Kvìtna 1998 [Proceedings of the Conference DDD'98, 11 – 12th May, 1998, Prodebrady, Czech Republic.] Prodebrady, Czech Republic

Kucerova H (1999) [Reaction of patients in the psychiatric outpatient department to floods in 1997]. Ceska a Slovenska Psychiatrie 95:478 – 482

Lechat MF (1990a) The epidemiology of health effects of disasters. Epidemiologic Reviews 12:192 – 198

Lechat MF (1990b) The public health dimensions of disasters, International Journal of Mental Health 19:70 – 79

Logue JN, Melick ME, Hansen H (1981) Research issues and directions in the epidemiology of health effects of disasters. Epidemiologic Reviews 3:140 – 162

Lorraine NSR (1954) Canvey Island flood disaster, February, 1953. Medical Officer 91:59 – 62

Malilay J (1997) Floods. In: Noji E (ed) Public Health Consequences of Disasters. OUP, New York, pp 287 – 301

Marcuello C, Estrela T. Floods in Spain: Main features and their effects. In: River Flood Disasters, ICSU, SC/IDNDR Workshop. Koblenz, Germany, BFG IHP/ODP-Secretariat, pp 135 – 144

McCluskey J (2001) Water supply, health and vulnerability in floods. Waterlines 19:14 – 17

McMichael AJ, Campbell-Lendrum D, Kovats RS et al. (2004) Climate change. In: Ezzati M et al. (eds) Comparative Quantification of Health Risks: Global and Regional Burden of Disease due to Selected Major Risk Factors. World Health Organization, Geneva

Miettinen IT, Zacheus O, von Bonsdorff CH, Vartiainen T (2001) Waterborne epidemics in Finland in 1998 – 1999. Water Sci Technol 43:67 – 71

Milly PC, Wetherald RT, Dunne KA., Delworth TL (2002) Increasing risk of great floods in a changing climate. Nature 415;6871:514 – 517

Morrow BH (1999) Identifying and mapping community vulnerability. Disasters 23;1:1 – 18

Munckhof WJ, Mayo MJ, Scott I, Currie BJ (2001) Fatal human melioidosis acquired in a subtropical Australian city. Am J Trop Med Hyg 65:325 – 328

Noji EK (1992) Disaster epidemiology: Challenges for public health action. Journal of Public Health Policy 13:332 – 340

Noji EK (1995), Disaster epidemiology and disease monitoring. Journal of Medical Systems 19;2:171 – 174

Noji EK (1996) Disaster epidemiology. Emergency Medicine Clinics of North America 14;2:289 – 300

Noji EK (2000) The public health consequences of disasters. Prehospital Disaster Med 15;4:147 – 157

Ohl CA, Tapsell S (2000) Flooding and Human Health. British Medical Journal 321:1167 – 1168

Parry M, Hulme M, Nicholls R, Livermore M (1998) Adapting to the inevitable. Nature 395:741

Penning-Rowsell EC, Fordham M (1994) Floods across Europe: Hazard assessment, modelling and management. Middlesex University Press, London

Penning-Rowsell E, Handmer J, Tapsell S (1996) Extreme events and climate change; floods. In: Downing TE, Olsthroon AA, Tol RSJ (eds) Climate Change and Extreme Events: Altered Risk, Socio-Economic Impacts and Policy Responses, pp 97 – 127

Phifer JF (1990) Psychological distress and somatic symptoms after natural disaster: differential vulnerability among older adults. Psychol Ageing 5:412 – 420

Phifer JF, Kaniasty KZ, Norris FH (1988) The impact of natural disaster on the health of older adults: A multiwave prospective study. Journal of Health & Social Behavior 29:65 – 78

Reacher M, McKenzie K, Lane C et al. (2004) Health impacts of flooding in Lewes: a comparison of reported gastro-intestinal and other illnesses in flooded and nonflooded households. Communicable Disease and Public Health 7:1 – 8

Rosenzweig C, Iglesias A, Yang XB et al. (2001) Climate change and extreme weather events: implications for food production, plant diseases, and pests. Global Change & Human Health 2:90 – 104

Sartorius N (1990) Coping with disasters: The mental health component. Preface. International Journal of Mental Health 19:3 – 4

Saunders MA (1998) Central and Eastern European Floods of July 1997. Benfield Greig Hazard Research Centre, London

Smoyer KE (1998) Putting risk in its place: methodological considerations for investigating extreme event health risks. Soc Sci Med 47:1809 – 1824

Summers D (1978) The East Coast floods. David & Charles, London

Tapsell S (2001) The health effects from fluvial flooding. Report to the Environment Agency. Flood Hazard Research Centre, Enfield

Tapsell SM, Tunstall SM (2001) The health and social effects of the June 2000 flooding in the North East region. Flood Hazard Research Centre, Enfield

Tapsell SM (2000) Follow-up study of the health effects of the Easter 1998 flooding in Banbury and Kadlington. Report to the Environment Agency. Flood Hazard Research Centre, Enfield

Thompson N (1995) The ontology of disaster. Death studies 19;5:501 – 510

Thonissen C (1998) Water management and flood prevention in the framework of land-use management.[presentation] Workshop held by DGXVI of the European Commission. 1998, July 2 – 3, Thessaloniki, Greece

Ticehurst S, Webster RA, Carr VJ, Lewin TJ (1996) The psychosocial impact of an earthquake on the elderly. International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry 11:943 – 951

Verger P, Rotily M, Baruffol E et al. (1999) Evaluation of the psychological consequences of environmental catastrophes: A feasibility study based on the 1992 floods in the Vaucluse (France). Cahiers Sante 9:313 – 318

WHO (2002) Floods: Climate Change and Adaptation Strategies for Human Health. Report of meeting, London, 30 June – 2 July 2002. http://www.who.dk/document/E77096.pdf