Ernst Schering Foundation Symposium Proceedings, Vol. 1, pp. 17–40 DOI 10.1007/2789\_2008\_099 © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg Published Online: 16 October 2008

# *A Tale of Two Giant Proteases*

#### **B.** Rockel, W. Baumeister<sup>(⊠)</sup>

Department of Molecular Structural Biology, Max-Planck Institute of Biochemistry, Am Klopferspitz 18, 82152 Martinsried, Germany email: *baumeist@biochem.mpg.de*



**Abstract.** The 26S proteasome and tripeptidyl peptidase II (TPPII) are two exceptionally large eukaryotic protein complexes involved in intracellular proteolysis, where they exert their function sequentially: the proteasome, a multisubunit complex of 2.5 MDa, acts at the downstream end of the ubiquitin pathway and degrades ubiquitinylated proteins into small oligopeptides. Such oligopeptides are substrates for TPPII, a 6-MDa homooligomer, which releases tripeptides from their free N-terminus. Both 26S and TPPII are very fragile complexes refractory to crystallization and in their fully assembled native form have been visualized only by electron microscopy. Here, we will discuss the structural features of the two complexes and their functional implications.

## **1 Intracellular Proteolysis**

Maintenance of cellular homeostasis relies upon the spatial and temporal control of protein degradation: regulatory proteins such as transcription factors or components of signal transduction chains need to be degraded at specific moments of their life spans. Misfolded or damaged and, as a consequence, dysfunctional proteins are prone to aggregation and must be removed from the cytoplasm; the immune system relies on the availability of immuno-competent peptides such as obtained by degradation of foreign proteins. Major sites of proteolysis are the cytoplasm and the lysosome, a membrane-bound compartment housing several small proteases. Cytosolic protein degradation in eukaryotes is mainly effected via the ubiquitin pathway: substrates destined for degradation are modified with ubiquitin chains by a cascade of (1) ubiquitin activating, (2) ubiquitin conjugating, and (3) ubiquitin ligating, and these steps are mediated by the enzymes E1, E2, and E3, respectively. Proteins tagged with multiubiquitin chains are then selected by the 26S proteasome and subsequently degraded in an ATPdependent process (see Ciechanover 2005 for a review). The products of the proteasome's action are peptides of a length of 8–12 amino acids (Kisselev et al. 1999), which subsequently can both be trimmed and presented to the immune system or be degraded into amino acids. The ATPindependent proteases involved in these processes are often referred to as "downstream proteases" and some of them occur as homooligomeric complexes with a size exceeding that of the 26S proteasome. Examples of such "giant proteases beyond the proteasome" (Yao and Cohen 1999) are the tricorn protease (TRI) of the Archaeon *Thermoplasma acidophilum* (Tamura et al. 1996; Walz et al. 1997) and its functional equivalent in eukaryotes, Tripeptidyl peptidase II (TPPII), (Geier et al. 1999; Rockel et al. 2002). The 20S proteasome and TRI have been crystallized (Löwe et al. 1995; Groll et al. 1997; Bosch et al. 2001; Brandstetter et al. 2001), but the holocomplexes of 26S, TRI, and TPPII in their fully assembled and fully functional oligomeric form have thus far only been studied via electron microscopy (Walz et al. 1997, 1998; Rockel et al. 2002; Nickell et al. 2007a) (Fig. 1).



**Fig. 1.** Structures of three giant proteases obtained by electron cryo-microscopy. *Left* 26S proteasome (Nickell et al. 2007a); *center* Tricorn protease (Walz et al. 1999); *right* Tripeptidyl peptidase II (Rockel et al. 2005). *Scale bar*, 25 nm

## **2 The 26S Proteasome**

The 26S proteasome links the ubiquitin-pathway with protein degradation and hence is involved in many cellular processes (for reviews see Baumeister et al. 1998; Voges et al. 1999; Pickart and Cohen 2004). In contrast to the cellular function of the 26S proteasome, the better part of its functional mechanism is still only dimly understood, partly due to the lack of a solid structural framework. Aside from its complexity (it consists of more than 30 different subunits) and its fragility, also the plasticity of the 26S proteasome presumably contributes to these difficulties: whereas previously the proteasome population in a cell has been viewed as uniform, it now becomes apparent that a whole array of functionally and structurally distinct complexes might exist and, moreover, that the subunit composition is subject to regulation.

The 26S proteasomes contained in a normal cell can be separated into two subcomplexes; the 20S proteasome—the 700-kDa proteolytic core—and the 19S particle, a 900-kDa regulatory complex required for the recognition of ubiquitinylated proteins and their preparation for degradation. To mediate its diverse cellular functions, the 20S proteasome also associates with other specific adaptor complexes, like the PA28/11S complex or the Bl10/PA200 complex, which function as activators of the proteolytic core (for reviews see Glickman and Raveh 2005; Hanna and Finley 2007).

#### **2.1 The 20S Proteasome**

The 20S proteasome is a barrel-shaped complex consisting of four seven-membered rings. These rings are composed of two distinct but related proteins termed  $α$ - and  $β$ -subunits with molecular masses of approximately 25 kDa, which are arranged in α-rings and β-rings, respectively. The two β-rings enclose the central proteolytic chamber of this barrel-shaped complex, and one α- and one β-ring jointly form the outer (ante-) chambers. The three chambers of the 20S proteasome are interconnected by a narrow channel. The quaternary structure of 20S proteasomes is the same in all kingdoms, but their level of complexity varies: the simplest 20S proteasomes are found in prokaryotes, which contain only one or two types of  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -subunits, respectively. In eukaryotes, the two subunits have developed into seven different subunits of each type, resulting in seven paralogous α-subunits and seven paralogous β-subunits (reviewed in Voges et al. 1999; Zwickl et al. 2001).

20S proteasomes from eukaryotes, Archaea, and bacteria have been crystallized; the proteasomal α- and β-subunits have the fold of Ntnhydrolases: a pair of five-stranded β-sheets is flanked on both sides by α-helices (Löwe et al. 1995; Groll et al. 1997; Unno et al. 2002; Groll et al. 2003; Kwon et al. 2004) (Fig. 2). The β-subunits are catalytically active threonine hydrolases in which the N-terminal threonine of the β-subunit of the *Thermoplasma* proteasome acts as both the catalytic nucleophile and the primary proton acceptor. The peptidolytic activity of the *Thermoplasma* proteasome is chymotrypsin-like (Löwe et al. 1995; Seemüller et al. 1995a, 1995b). In eukaryotes, four β-subunits lack the N-terminal threonine residue and, consequently, only three out of the seven β-subunits are proteolytically active. The eukaryotic proteasome possesses three different peptidolytic activities: tryptic, chymotryptic, and postacidic (Kisselev et al. 2006). In higher eukaryotes with an adaptive immune system, γ-interferon excites the expression of three additional active β-subunits. These β-subunits replace the related, constitutively expressed active subunits and the resulting immunoproteasomes are characterized by a modulation of proteolytic specificity (Niedermann 2002).

Prior to assembly, the proteolytically active β-subunits contain propeptides. Formation of the active sites requires post-translational removal of these propeptides, which occurs autocatalytically and only after the 20S complex is fully assembled. This delay ensures that the active sites are sequestered within the central chamber, which is only accessible via the antechambers. These again are accessible only through narrow orifices at both ends of the 20S complex. The exact function of the antechambers is currently unknown. Since it has been demonstrated that they can store substrate, their function might be to retain proteins in a partially folded state and—once the previous substrate has been degraded and enough space has become available—to translocate them into the catalytic chamber (Pickart and Cohen 2004; Sharon et al. 2006b).

# **2.2 The Regulatory Complexes**

The ports leading into the 20S proteasome are constricted by an annulus built from turn-forming segments of the seven  $\alpha$ -subunits. In the basal state they are shut, but even in their open conformation they are too narrow for folded polypeptides to enter, a feature ensuring that randomly encountered native proteins are denied admission. Thus 20S proteasomes in isolation generally show negligible protease activity. Only by association with adaptor complexes are they transformed into efficient and, dependent of the nature of the particular adaptor complexes, ubiquitin-dependent proteases. The adaptor complexes interact with the terminal α-rings of the proteasome by mechanisms that open the gate for substrate uptake (Pickart and VanDemark 2000).

#### **2.2.1 The 19S Regulatory Complex**

In vivo, most eukaryotic 20S proteasomes are flanked on one or both sides by the 19S regulatory complex, which associates with the 20S proteasome in an ATP-dependent manner, and the resulting 2.5-MDa complex is the canonical 26S proteasome (Babbitt et al. 2005). The 19S complex, which comprises approximately 20 different subunits with



**Fig. 2a,b.** The 20S proteasome and its adaptor complexes. **a** 20S and 19S; *left* structural organization of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* 19S lid, obtained by mass spectrometry and chemical cross-linking (modified from Sharon et al. 2006a); *center* crystal structure of mammalian 20S (PDB-entry 1IRU); *right* interaction map for the 19S lid of *C. elegans*, obtained by two-hybrid screening (Davy et al. 2001). Lid subunits are *dark blue*, base subunits are *light blue*. **b** Crystal structure of the PA26–20S-PA26 complex (PDB-entry 1fnt), low-pass filtered to 1 nm. *Left* cut open view, *right* surface view. *DC* dome-shaped cavity, *AC* antechamber, *CC* central chamber

a combined mass of 900 kDa, is probably the most important and at the same time the most complicated of the adaptor complexes. It bridges the sites of recognition and degradation, since it contains the recognition sites for the ubiquitinylated target proteins as well as chaperone complexes that unfold the substrates and translocate the now unfolded polypeptide chain through the entry ports of the 20S proteasome. The 19S complexes from various organisms have been studied, and different nomenclatures exist for the names of their subunits in humans, fruit flies, and yeast (Ferrell et al. 2000). Using biochemical methods, the regulatory particle can be divided into two subcomplexes, the base and the lid (Glickman et al. 1998), which are located proximally and distally in relation to the 20S core, respectively. Among the subunits of the base are the six paralogous AAA-ATPases Rpt1-Rpt6. Like other members of the AAA-family, they exhibit chaperone activity (Braun et al. 1999; Liu et al. 2005) and are thought to form a ring and to be involved in substrate unfolding and translocation. Since only the 26S holoenzyme but not the 20S-base complex is capable of degrading ubiquitinylated proteins, recognition and binding, as well as deubiquitinylation of ubiquitin-tagged substrates appears to be mediated by the subunits of the lid complex (Glickman et al. 1998). Until now, no high-resolution structure of the 19S regulatory complex has been available and only very few atomic structures of individual subunits exist (Wang et al. 2005; Nakamura et al. 2007; Sanches et al. 2007; Schreiner et al. 2008). Still, by yeast two-hybrid studies (Davy et al. 2001), mass spectrometry (Sharon et al. 2006a) and GST-pulldowns (Chen et al. 2008), a wealth of information on interaction of proteasome subunits has been obtained and topology maps have been constructed (Fig. 2a).

## **2.2.2 Alternative Cap Complexes**

Aside from the 19S regulatory particle, alternate structures can also cap the 20S proteasome; all of them are ATP-independent and less complex in composition. Organisms with an adaptive immune system contain the PA28 activator, a 200-kDa cap protein that is induced by interferon and consists of two related subunits of a mass of approximately 28 kDa (Rechsteiner et al. 2000). These  $\alpha$ - and β-subunits assemble into a heteroheptamer and form a dome-shaped structure built of a bundle of alpha-helices. Like the 19S complex, this structure can bind to both ends of the proteasome and also hybrid PA28–20S–19S complexes exist (Cascio et al. 2002). PA26, a PA28-related protein in *Trypanosoma brucei* that also stimulates peptidolytic activity of the 20S proteasome, has been crystallized in complex with 20S, and the interactions that lead to gate opening in the 20S proteasome have been visualized: the N-terminal tails of the alpha subunits are straightened and thus are

moved away from the pore, thereby opening the entrance port (Whitby et al. 2000) (Fig. 2b). PA200/Blm10 is another non-ATPase proteasome cap. It consists of a single polypeptide of 250 kDa, which likewise induces gate opening in the 20S proteasome, as was shown by electron cryomicroscopy (Ortega et al. 2005; Iwanczyk et al. 2006).

## **2.3 The 26S Proteasome from** *Drosophila Melanogaster*

In general, structural studies of 26S proteasomes are hampered by their low intrinsic stability, leading to dissociation into various subcomplexes. The 26S complexes from various sources have been isolated and examined by electron microscopy and it turned out that embryos of *Drosophila melanogaster* provide relatively stable 26S particles, comprising a well-defined complement of subunits (Yoshimura et al. 1993; Walz et al. 1998; Hölzl et al. 2000). Still, electron micrographs of *Drosophila*-26S display a degree of structural heterogeneity that complicates image analysis and three-dimensional reconstruction. Two-dimensional averages of negatively stained 26S proteasomes feature the characteristic dragon head, where the 19S complexes in the double-capped particles face in opposite directions, apparently reflecting the C2-symmetry of the eukaryotic 20S proteasome. While negatively stained 26S complexes adsorbed on carbon film are still acceptably intact, cryo-preparation can trigger their disassembly, and in the self-supporting layer of vitrified ice the number of dissociated particles is often relatively high. Despite all these adversities, a structure of the 26S proteasome from *Drosophila melanogaster* has been obtained by cryoelectron microscopy. Doublecapped 26S proteasomes were "purified" in silico. Their 3D reconstruction depicts the linear assembly 19S-20S-19S, the regulatory complexes facing opposite directions (Nickell et al. 2007a) (Fig. 3). Most likely, the part of the 19S complex attached to the  $\alpha$ -rings of the 20S proteasome represents the base complex and contains the six paralogous AAA-ATPases. The base complex and the proteasomal α-rings enclose a dome-shaped compartment next to the antechamber, as is also seen in the ClpAP complex (Ishikawa et al. 2004), and according to current understanding, attachment of the base complex should open the gate to the interior of the 20S proteasome (see Sect. 2.2). How this is carried out mechanically is still an open question. A recent study with PAN



**Fig. 3.** Structure of the 26S proteasome from *Drosophila melanogaster* 26S obtained by single particle electron cryomicroscopy (Nickell et al. 2007a). *Top* surface representation; *bottom* cut-open view. Note the potential substrate entry/exit sites at the 19S–20S interface. *DC* dome-shaped cavity, *AC* antechamber, *CC* central chamber

(proteasome-activating nuclease), an archaebacterial adaptor complex with homology to the AAA-ATPases of the 19S base, suggests that the C-terminal domains of the ATPases insert into binding-pockets at the 20S α-rings and thereby trigger gate-opening (Smith et al. 2007).

An interesting feature visible in the present map but also in an earlier reconstruction obtained by electron cryotomography is a sideward channel at the 20S-base interface. This channel connects the cavity underneath the base with the outside and possibly provides an entry or exit site for substrates (Nickell et al. 2007a,b). While features visible in such medium-resolution structures of the 26S proteasome can hint

at the function of certain subcomplexes or building blocks, a detailed understanding of its mechanism will require the atomic structure of the holocomplex. Given the complexity and fragility of 26S proteasomes, it is unlikely that they can be crystallized in toto. Furthermore, their dynamics represent an additional problem: besides the set of canonical subunits, there are several variable subunits that modulate proteasome function (Glickman and Raveh 2005). Thus, obtaining a detailed structural model of the 26S proteasome will require a multidisciplinary approach integrating electron microscopic reconstructions of the holocomplex, atomic structures, and interaction maps of its building blocks with all available information from other sources (Robinson et al. 2007).

# **3 Tripeptidyl Peptidase II**

In eukaryotes, the proteasome is essential. Its inhibition leads to cell death, although some cells can adapt to proteasome inhibitors. In those cells, the induction of an alternative protease with the capability of substituting for some metabolic functions of the proteasome has been reported (Glas et al. 1998; Geier et al. 1999; Wang et al. 2000; Princiotta et al. 2001). This protease has been identified as Tripeptidyl peptidase II (TPPII) and its basic cellular activity is the removal of tripeptides from the free N-terminus of oligopeptides such as produced by the 26S proteasome (Balow et al. 1983; Tomkinson 1999). In addition to this exopeptidase activity, a much lower endopeptidase activity of the trypsin type was also detected (Geier et al. 1999). TPPII has broad substrate specificity. It has a preference for cleaving after hydrophobic residues but cannot cleave before or after proline residues. As an exopeptidase, it preferentially cleaves after lysine residues but as an endopeptidase, can in fact cleave after proline residues (Geier et al. 1999; Seifert et al. 2003). The endopeptidase activity can create a specific epitope (Nef73- 82) of the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) independently of the proteasome (Seifert et al. 2003).

Like the proteasome, TPPII is involved in the generation of antigenic peptides for presentation by the MHC class I complex (Levy et al. 2002). Here, it appears to trim the N-terminus of some peptides generated by the proteasome and apparently it is the only downstream protease that can degrade peptides of more than 15 amino acids in length (Reits et al. 2004; York et al. 2006). However, there is some controversy regarding the degree to which TPPII is involved in this process (see van Endert 2008 for a recent review) and its role in MHC class I processing might be that of another cytosolic peptidase mainly destroying epitopes (Firat et al. 2007; Marcilla et al. 2007).

Generally, TPPII is upregulated in diseases that are based on increased or uncontrolled proteolysis such as in septic muscles (Hasselgren et al. 2002; Chand et al. 2005; Stavropoulou et al. 2005) or in malignant cells (Stavropoulou et al. 2006), and inhibition of TPP leads to radiation sensitivity in cancer cells (Hong et al. 2007). Being implicated in tumor cell survival and proliferation, TPPII is discussed as a target for tumor therapy. However, TPPII is also necessary for normal cell survival, since although TPPII knock-out mice are viable, lack of TPPII results in the activation of cell death programs (Huai et al. 2008).

A more specialized task is carried out by a membrane-bound TPPII variant, which inactivates the cerebral neurotransmitter cholecystokinin- (26–33) octapeptide (CCK-8), an endogenous satiety agent (Rose et al. 1996). Its involvement in obesity makes TPPII an interesting target for drug design. A specific inhibitor, butabindide, has been designed and shown to influence the feeding behavior of mice (Rose et al. 1996). Based on the similarity of TPPII to subtilisin, a homology model of its active site has been published and is used for the design of additional inhibitors (De Winter et al. 2005).

#### **3.1 TPPII Structure**

TPPII is found in most eukaryotic organisms. The molecular weight of the TPPII monomer ranges from 138 kDa for the mammalian variant to 150 kDa for the plant, worm, and insect homologs. The N-terminal half of the sequence contains the subtilisin core; the catalytic triad in human TPPII has been mapped to Asp-44, His-264, Ser-449, by sitedirected mutagenesis (Hilbi et al. 2002). An insert of approximately 200 amino acids interrupts the first two residues of the catalytic triad and has been suggested to be necessary for complex formation (Tomkinson et al. 2002). In contrast to the 26S proteasome, which is a multisubunit complex, TPPII is a large homooligomer of 5–6 MDa. TPPII particles isolated from human red blood cells as well as from *Drosophila melanogaster* embryos have been visualized in the electron microscope, and the only 3D structure available to date is the density map of *Drosophila* TPPII (Macpherson et al. 1987; Geier et al. 1999; Rockel et al. 2002). TPPII complexes are spindle-shaped  $28 \times 60$ -nm particles consisting of two segmented and twisted strands. Each of the two strands is composed of a linear assembly of ten interdigitated segments. These segments are dimers, wherein the globular domains of the commashaped monomers are connected by a "handle" formed by the tails (Fig. 4). Whereas intact TPPII complexes isolated from mammals and *Drosophila* are of defined length (Geier et al. 1999; Rockel et al. 2002), TPPII particles heterologously expressed in *Escherichia coli* often possess extensions beyond their spindle poles or occur as single strands of variable lengths. This is presumably a consequence of the comparatively high TPPII concentration in cells overexpressing the protein. Treatment of such extended spindles and single strands with destabilizing agents leads to trimming of extensions and causes disassembly of single strands, and it demonstrates that the spindles observed in native preparations are the thermodynamically favored conformation. This stabilization of the spindles probably results from a double-clamp structure at their poles, where the terminal dimer of one strand locks the two terminal dimers of its neighboring strand (Rockel et al. 2005).

## **3.2 Size–Activity Relationship**

TPPII exhibits its highest activity only when assembled into strands; its dissociation (e.g., upon dialysis) results in loss of activity. When human TPPII dissociates into dimers, the specific activity decreases to approximately one-tenth and this activity loss can be reversed by reassociation (Tomkinson 2000). The relationship between size and activity has been studied in more detail with *Drosophila* TPPII (Seyit et al. 2006). Here, assembly studies in conjunction with cross-linking revealed (1) that strand-elongation proceeds by addition of dimers, (2) that the specific activity of TPPII increases with strand length, and (3) that the length distribution of the TPPII strands at equilibrium is dependent on the protein concentration and that high protein concentrations lead to polymorphism. Under conditions favoring dissociation, tetramers are the most



**Fig. 4a,b.** Architecture of TPPII from *Drosophila melanogaster.* **a** Two perpendicular views of the TPPII complex; **b** computationally extracted TPPII dimers; *left* arrangement of the ten dimers of a strand (constituting monomers) are colorcoded; *right* TPPII dimer in different orientation (monomers are denoted *M* and *M* )

stable disassembly product of *Drosophila* TPPII. Whereas dimers of *Drosophila* TPPII have a specific activity of approximately 8% of that of spindles, the activity of a tetramer is as high as 50%. The activation is thought to be triggered by the formation of new monomer–monomerinterfaces upon addition of a dimer, which would induce a conformational change at or near the active site. According to the activation model proposed, the increase in specific activity upon strand elongation at equilibrium is described by the equation  $P = ((N - 2) * 100 + 16)/N$ , with *P* the specific activity and *N* the number of monomers assembled in a strand (Fig. 5) (Seyit et al. 2006).

#### **3.3 Structure–Function Relationship**

While the linear arrangement of the subcomplexes in the 26S proteasome mirrors the sequence of events during its functional cycle (binding, unfolding, translocation, degradation) the functional reason for linearly stacking the subunits of TPPII into strands remains obscure. The 20S proteasome is a self-compartmentalizing protease. Whether this



**Fig. 5.** Size-activity relationship of TPPII. Dependence of the specific activity on the number of subunits assembled. *Black lines* experimental data; *red line*  $P = ((N - 2) * 100 + 16)/N$ , *P* specific activity, *N* number of monomers assembled in a strand. Surface representations show TPPII strands of different lengths, activated dimers are colored in *red*. (Adapted from Seyit et al. 2006)

also applies to TPPII remains to be demonstrated and can only be definitely proven when a crystal structure becomes available or when the locations of the active sites within the complex have been determined otherwise. Indeed, the stacking of dimers leads to the formation of a cavity system traversing the strands (Fig. 6). This system includes chambers that result from the stacking of the dimers, where each dimer provides a cavity as well as a cap that seals off the cavity of the subjacent dimer (Fig. 7). Provided the active sites are indeed located within this cavity system, it is not obvious how the relatively small substrates of TPPII should be channeled through the strands. A longitudinal substrate flow appears inefficient with respect to access to the active sites and release of products: while active sites close to the ends of the strands would be easily accessible, at the center of the strands they might be undersaturated because of the diffusion limit. In contrast, a lateral substrate flow through the arcade would lead to equal saturation of all active sites and at the same time protect the substrates from their complete hydrolysis



**Fig. 6a–c.** Channel system of TPPII. **a** Section planes; **b** two perpendicular cut-open views of TPPII; **c** cross-section through TPPII. *Arrows* mark the entry ports to the channel system (labeled with an *asterisk*)

in the cytosol. Such a feature might be important for the role ascribed to human TPPII in peptide trimming (Burri et al. 2002).

Recently, a role in fat metabolism was ascribed to TPPII, and its involvement in adipogenesis appears to be independent of its peptidolytic activity. Both peptidolytically inactive mutants HsTPPIIAsp44Ala and Hs∆NTPPII, where the N-terminal aspartic-acid-containing protease domain was deleted, stimulated adipogenesis in mammalian cell culture to the same extent as wild-type TPPII. As opposed to these mutants, mutants lacking either the N-terminal or the C-terminal domain were not functional (McKay et al. 2007). Since mutations in the TPPII sequence often lead to altered assembly behavior (Tomkinson et al. 2002; Rockel et al. 2005; Seyit et al. 2006), it is tempting to speculate that the loss in function of the latter two TPPII mutations was caused by the loss of their native quaternary structure. If for certain cellular functions of TPPII only its intact structure but not its peptidolytic activity were required, this would allude to a functional relevance of the



**Fig. 7.** Stacked dimers enclose a double chamber. *Left* two dimers (I and II) with color-coded monomers (*M-1*, *M-1* and *M-2*, *M-2* ). *Right* composition of the double chamber: dimer I was rotated counterclockwise in order to visualize cavity M-1' and cap M-1, which in their original position are oriented toward the back of the image plane. In the original orientation of the two dimers, cavity  $M-1'$  is sealed off by the cap  $M-2'$  and cavity  $M-2$  by cap  $M-1$ . Figures were created with the Chimera software package (Pettersen et al. 2004)

spindle architecture of TPPII and imply that the spindle might serve as interaction scaffold.

## **4 Conclusions**

The 26S proteasome and TPPII are two major players in eukaryotic cytosolic proteolysis and exert their proteolytic activity mostly consecutively: the 19S caps of the 26S proteasome bind and unfold proteins destined for degradation and feed them into the proteolytic chamber. The products of the 20S proteasome are short peptides of a length suitable for degradation into tripeptides by TPPII. Macromolecular complexes with functions corresponding to 26S and TPPII also occur in other kingdoms of life. In bacteria, the proteases ClpP or ClpO associate linearly with the AAA-ATPases ClpX, ClpY, or ClpA; adaptor complexes for 20S proteasomes in Archaea are PAN or VAT, which presumably are

associated with the 20S core only transiently. Tricorn is a downstream peptidase with a molecular mass comparable to that of TPPII. Its 121 kDa subunits assemble into a hexamer, which in vivo forms an icosahedral capsid of 14.5 MDa. This capsid is thought to be necessary for the docking of the tricorn interacting factors F1, F2, and F3 (Tamura et al. 1998). Most of these large protease complexes are labile, which complicates their isolation in the large amounts and high purity and homogeneity necessary for crystallization. Nevertheless, in some cases it was possible to construct (partial) hybrid models by docking high-resolution crystal structures into the densities obtained by electron microscopy.

Typically, molecular complexes are purified either from their native source or an expression system and subsequently studied in vitro. Their functional interplay with other molecules is investigated by interaction studies and the regions of structural interactions are narrowed down by chemical cross-linking. The size of such gargantuan molecules such as 26S proteasomes or TPPII permits a type of functional investigation that is not applicable to smaller molecules: with sizes larger than 30 nm, giant proteases should be easily visible in cellular tomograms and could thus be depicted directly within their functional cellular environment. Admittedly, in the average eukaryotic cell such protease complexes are much less abundant than, for example, ribosomal complexes, but their localization and visualization can be facilitated by the current developments of structure recognition in tomograms of cells and cell sections in combination with correlative microscopy.

**Acknowledgements.** We thank J. Peters for critically reading the manuscript.

#### **References**

- Babbitt SE, Kiss A, Deffenbaugh AE, Chang YH, Bailly E, Erdjument-Bromage H, Tempst P, Buranda T, Sklar LA, Baumler J, Gogol E, Skowyra D (2005) ATP hydrolysis-dependent disassembly of the 26S proteasome is part of the catalytic cycle. Cell 121:553–565
- Balow RM, Ragnarsson U, Zetterqvist O (1983) Tripeptidyl aminopeptidase in the extralysosomal fraction of rat liver. J Biol Chem 258:11622–11628
- Baumeister W, Walz J, Zühl F, Seemüller E (1998) The proteasome: paradigm of a self-compartmentalizing protease. Cell 92:367–380
- Bosch J, Tamura T, Bourenkov G, Baumeister W, Essen LO (2001) Purification, crystallization, and preliminary X-ray diffraction analysis of the tricorn protease hexamer from *Thermoplasma acidophilum*. J Struct Biol 134:83–87
- Brandstetter H, Kim JS, Groll M, Huber R (2001) Crystal structure of the tricorn protease reveals a protein disassembly line. Nature 414:466–470
- Braun BC, Glickman M, Kraft R, Dahlmann B, Kloetzel PM, Finley D, Schmidt M (1999) The base of the proteasome regulatory particle exhibits chaperone-like activity. Nat Cell Biol 1:221–226
- Burri L, Servis C, Chapatte L, Levy F (2002) A recyclable assay to analyze the NH2-terminal trimming of antigenic peptide precursors. Protein Expr Purif 26:19–27
- Cascio P, Call M, Petre BM, Walz T, Goldberg AL (2002) Properties of the hybrid form of the 26S proteasome containing both 19S and PA28 complexes. EMBO J 21:2636–2645
- Chand A, Wyke SM, Tisdale MJ (2005) Effect of cancer cachexia on the activity of tripeptidyl-peptidase II in skeletal muscle. Cancer Lett 218:215–222
- Chen CA, Huang CX, Chen CH, Liang J, Lin WB, Ke GF, Zhang HX, Wang B, Huang JA, Han ZG, Ma LX, Huo KK, Yang XM, Yang PY, He FC, Tao T (2008) Subunit–subunit interactions in the human 26S proteasome. Proteomics 8:508–520
- Ciechanover A (2005) Proteolysis: from the lysosome to ubiquitin and the proteasome. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 6:79–86
- Davy A, Bello P, Thierry-Mieg N, Vaglio P, Hitti J, Doucette-Stamm L, Thierry-Mieg D, Reboul J, Boulton S, Walhout AJM, Coux O, Vidal M (2001) A protein–protein interaction map of the *Caenorhabditis elegans* 26S proteasome. EMBO Rep 2:821–828
- De Winter H, Breslin H, Miskowski T, Kavash R, Somers M (2005) Inhibitorbased validation of a homology model of the active-site of tripeptidyl peptidase II. J Mol Graph Model 23:409–418
- Ferrell K, Wilkinson CRM, Dubiel W, Gordon C (2000) Regulatory subunit interactions of the 26S proteasome, a complex problem. Trends Biochem Sci 25:83–88
- Firat E, Huai J, Saveanu L, Gaedicke S, Aichele P, Eichmann K, van Endert P, Niedermann G (2007) Analysis of direct and cross-presentation of antigens in TPPII knockout mice. J Immunol 179:8137–8145
- Geier E, Pfeifer G, Wilm M, Lucchiari-Hartz M, Baumeister W, Eichmann K, Niedermann G (1999) A giant protease with potential to substitute for some functions of the proteasome. Science 283:978–981
- Glas R, Bogyo M, McMaster JS, Gaczynska M, Ploegh HL (1998) A proteolytic system that compensates for loss of proteasome function. Nature 392:618– 622
- Glickman MH, Raveh D (2005) Proteasome plasticity. FEBS Lett 579:3214– 3223
- Glickman MH, Rubin DM, Coux O, Wefes I, Pfeifer G, Cjeka Z, Baumeister W, Fried VA, Finley D (1998) A subcomplex of the proteasome regulatory particle required for ubiquitin-conjugate degradation and related to the COP9-signalosome and eIF3. Cell 94:615–623
- Groll M, Ditzel L, Löwe J, Stock D, Bochtler M, Bartunik HD, Huber R (1997) Structure of 20S proteasome from yeast at 2.4 angstrom resolution. Nature 386:463–471
- Groll M, Brandstetter H, Bartunik HD, Bourenkov G, Huber R (2003) Investigations on the maturation and regulation of archaebacterial proteasomes. J Mol Biol 327:75–83
- Hanna J, Finley D (2007) A proteasome for all occasions. FEBS Lett 581:2854– 2861
- Hasselgren P-O, Wray C, Mammen J (2002) Molecular regulation of muscle cachexia: it may be more than the proteasome. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 290:1-10
- Hilbi H, Jozsa E, Tomkinson B (2002) Identification of the catalytic triad in tripeptidyl-peptidase II through site-directed mutagenesis. Biochim Biophys Acta 1601:149–154
- Hölzl H, Kapelari B, Kellermann J, Seemüller E, Sumegi M, Udvardy A, Medalia O, Sperling J, Müller SA, Engel A, Baumeister W (2000) The regulatory complex of *Drosophila melanogaster* 26S proteasomes: subunit composition and localization of a deubiquitylating enzyme. J Cell Biol 150:119–129
- Hong X, Lei L, Kunert B, Naredla R, Applequist SE, Grandien A, Glas R (2007) Tripeptidyl-peptidase II controls DNA damage responses and in vivo gamma-irradiation resistance of tumors. Cancer Res 67:7165–7174
- Huai J, Firat E, Nil A, Million D, Gaedicke S, Kanzler B, Freudenberg M, van Endert P, Kohler G, Pahl HL, Aichele P, Eichmann K, Niedermann G (2008) Activation of cellular death programs associated with immunosenescencelike phenotype in TPPII knockout mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 105: 5177–5182
- Ishikawa T, Maurizi MR, Steven AC (2004) The N-terminal substrate-binding domain of ClpA unfoldase is highly mobile and extends axially from the distal surface of ClpAP protease. J Struct Biol 146:180–188
- Iwanczyk J, Sadre-Bazzaz K, Ferrell K, Kondrashkina E, Formosa T, Hill CP, Ortega J (2006) Structure of the Blm10–20S proteasome complex by cryoelectron microscopy. Insights into the mechanism of activation of mature yeast proteasomes. J Mol Biol 363:648–659
- Kisselev AF, Akopian TN, Woo KM, Goldberg AL (1999) The sizes of peptides generated from protein by mammalian 26 and 20 S proteasomes implications for understanding the degradative mechanism and antigen presentation. J Biol Chem 274:3363–3371
- Kisselev AF, Callard A, Goldberg AL (2006) Importance of the different proteolytic sites of the proteasome and the efficacy of inhibitors varies with the protein substrate. J Biol Chem 281:8582–8590
- Kwon YD, Nagy I, Adams PD, Baumeister W, Jap BK (2004) Crystal structures of the Rhodococcus proteasome with and without its pro-peptides: implications for the role of the pro-peptide in proteasome assembly. J Mol Biol 335:233–245
- Levy F, Burri L, Morel S, Peitrequin AL, Levy N, Bachi A, Hellman U, Van den Eynde BJ, Servis C (2002) The final N-terminal trimming of a subaminoterminal proline-containing HLA class I-restricted antigenic peptide in the cytosol is mediated by two peptidases. J Immunol 169:4161–4171
- Liu C-W, Strickland E, DeMartino GN, Thomas PJ (2005) Recognition and processing of misfolded proteins by PA700, the 19S regulatory complex of the 26S proteasome. Methods Mol Biol:71–81
- Löwe J, Stock D, Jap B, Zwickl P, Baumeister W, Huber R (1995) Crystal structure of the 20 s proteasome from the archaeon *T. acidophilum* at 3.4 angstrom resolution. Science 268:533–539
- Macpherson E, Tomkinson B, Balow RM, Hoglund S, Zetterqvist O (1987) Supramolecular structure of tripeptidyl peptidase II from human erythrocytes as studied by electron microscopy, and its correlation to enzyme activity. Biochem J 248:259–263
- Marcilla M, Cragnolini JJ, Lopez de Castro JAL (2007) Proteasome-independent HLA-B27 ligands arise mainly from small basic proteins. Mol Cell Proteomics 6:923–938
- McKay RM, McKay JP, Suh JM, Avery L, Graff JM (2007) Tripeptidyl peptidase II promotes fat formation in a conserved fashion. EMBO Rep 8:1183– 1189
- Nakamura Y, Nakano K, Umehara T, Kimura M, Hayashizaki Y, Tanaka A, Horikoshi M, Padmanabhan B, Yokoyama S (2007) Structure of the oncoprotein gankyrin in complex with S6 ATPase of the 26S proteasome. Structure 15:179–189
- Nickell S, Beck F, Korinek A, Mihalache O, Baumeister W, Plitzko JM (2007a) Automated cryoelectron microscopy of "single particles" applied to the 26S proteasome. FEBS Lett 581:2751–2756
- Nickell S, Mihalache O, Beck F, Hegerl R, Korinek A, Baumeister W (2007b) Structural analysis of the 26S proteasome by cryoelectron tomography. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 353:115–120
- Niedermann G (2002) Immunological functions of the proteasome. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol 268:91–136
- Ortega J, Heymann JB, Kajava AV, Ustrell V, Rechsteiner M, Steven AC (2005) The axial channel of the 20S proteasome opens upon binding of the PA200 activator. J Mol Biol 346:1221–1227
- Pettersen EF, Goddard TD, Huang CC, Couch GS, Greenblatt DM, Meng EC, Ferrin TE (2004) UCSF Chimera—a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. J Comput Chem 25:1605–1612
- Pickart CM, Cohen RE (2004) Proteasomes and their kin: proteases in the machine age. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 5:177–187
- Pickart CM, VanDemark AP (2000) Opening doors into the proteasome. Nat Struct Biol 7:999–1001
- Princiotta MF, Schubert U, Chen WS, Bennink JR, Myung J, Crews CM, Yewdell JW (2001) Cells adapted to the proteasome inhibitor 4-hydroxy5 iodo-3-nitrophenylacetyl-Leu-Leu-leucinal-vinyl sulfone require enzymatically active proteasomes for continued survival. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 98:513–518
- Rechsteiner M, Realini C, Ustrell V (2000) The proteasome activator 11 S REG (PA28) and class I antigen presentation. Biochem J 345:1–15
- Reits E, Neijssen J, Herberts C, Benckhuijsen W, Janssen L, Drijfhout JW, Neefjes J (2004) A major role for TPPII in trimming proteasomal degradation products for MHC class I antigen presentation. Immunity 20:495–506
- Robinson CV, Sali A, Baumeister W (2007) The molecular sociology of the cell. Nature 450:973–982
- Rockel B, Peters J, Kühlmorgen B, Glaeser RM, Baumeister W (2002) A giant protease with a twist: the TPP II complex from *Drosophila* studied by electron microscopy. EMBO J 21:5979–5984
- Rockel B, Peters J, Müller SA, Seyit G, Ringler P, Hegerl R, Glaeser RM, Baumeister W (2005) Molecular architecture and assembly mechanism of *Drosophila* tripeptidyl peptidase II. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 102:10135– 10140
- Rose C, Vargas F, Facchinetti P, Bourgeat P, Bambal RB, Bishop PB, Chan SM, Moore AN, Ganellin CR, Schwartz JC (1996) Characterization and inhibition of a cholecystokinin-inactivating serine peptidase. Nature 380:403– 409
- Sanches M, Alves BSC, Zanchin NIT, Guimaraes BG (2007) The crystal structure of the human Mov34 MPN domain reveals a metal-free dimer. J Mol Biol 370:846–855
- Schreiner P, Chen X, Husnjak K, Randles L, Zhang NX, Elsasser S, Finley D, Dikic I, Walters KJ, Groll M (2008) Ubiquitin docking at the proteasome through a novel pleckstrin-homology domain interaction. Nature 453:548– 552
- Seemüller E, Lupas A, Zühl F, Zwickl P, Baumeister W (1995a) The proteasome from *Thermoplasma acidophilum* is neither a cysteine nor a serineprotease. FEBS Lett 359:173–178
- Seemüller E, Lupas A, Stock D, Löwe J, Huber R, Baumeister W (1995b) Proteasome from *Thermoplasma acidophilum:* a threonine protease. Science 268:579–583
- Seifert U, Maranon C, Shmueli A, Desoutter JF, Wesoloski L, Janek K, Henklein P, Diescher S, Andrieu M, de la Salle H, Weinschenk T, Schild H, Laderach D, Galy A, Haas G, Kloetzel PM, Reiss Y, Hosmalin A (2003) An essential role for tripeptidyl peptidase in the generation of an MHC class I epitope. Nat Immunol 4:375–379
- Seyit G, Rockel B, Baumeister W, Peters J (2006) Size matters for the tripeptidylpeptidase II complex from *Drosophila*—the 6-MDa spindle form stabilizes the activated state. J Biol Chem 281:25723–25733
- Sharon M, Taverner T, Ambroggio XI, Deshaies RJ, Robinson CV (2006a) Structural organization of the 19S proteasome lid: Insights from MS of intact complexes. Plos Biol 4:1314–1323
- Sharon M, Witt S, Felderer K, Rockel B, Baumeister W, Robinson CV (2006b) 20S proteasomes have the potential to keep substrates in store for continual degradation. J Biol Chem 281:9569–9575
- Smith DM, Chang SC, Park S, Finley D, Cheng Y, Goldberg AL (2007) Docking of the proteasomal ATPases' carboxyl termini in the 20S proteasome's alpha ring opens the gate for substrate entry. Mol Cell 27:731–744
- Stavropoulou V, Xie JJ, Henriksson M, Tomkinson B, Imreh S, Masucci MG (2005) Mitotic infidelity and centrosome duplication errors in cells overexpressing tripeptidyl-peptidase II. Cancer Res 65:1361–1368
- Stavropoulou V, Vasquez V, Cereser B, Freda E, Masucci MG (2006) TPPII promotes genetic instability by allowing the escape from apoptosis of cells with activated mitotic checkpoints. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 346: 415–425
- Tamura T, Tamura N, Cejka Z, Hegerl R, Lottspeich F, Baumeister W (1996) Tricorn protease—the core of a modular proteolytic system. Science 274: 1385–1389
- Tamura N, Lottspeich F, Baumeister W, Tamura T (1998) The role of tricorn protease and its aminopeptidase-interacting factors in cellular protein degradation. Cell 95:637–648
- Tomkinson B (1999) Tripeptidyl peptidases: enzymes that count. Trends Biochem Sci 24:355–359
- Tomkinson B (2000) Association and dissociation of the tripeptidyl-peptidase II complex as a way of regulating the enzyme activity. Arch Biochem Biophys 376:275–280
- Tomkinson B, Laoi BN, Wellington K (2002) The insert within the catalytic domain of tripeptidyl-peptidase II is important for the formation of the active complex. Eur J Biochem 269:1438–1443
- Unno M, Mizushima T, Morimoto Y, Tomisugi Y, Tanaka K, Yasuoka N, Tsukihara T (2002) The structure of the mammalian 20S proteasome at 2.75 angstrom resolution. Structure 10:609–618
- van Endert P (2008) Role of tripeptidyl peptidase II in MHC class I antigen processing—the end of controversies? Eur J Immunol 38:609–613
- Voges D, Zwickl P, Baumeister W (1999) The 26S proteasome: a molecular machine designed for controlled proteolysis. Annu Rev Biochem 68:1015– 1068
- Walz J, Tamura T, Tamura N, Grimm R, Baumeister W, Koster AJ (1997) Tricorn protease exists as an icosahedral supermolecule in vivo. Mol Cell 1:59–65
- Walz J, Erdmann A, Kania M, Typke D, Koster AJ, Baumeister W (1998) 26S proteasome structure revealed by three-dimensional electron microscopy. J Struct Biol 121:19–29
- Walz J, Koster AJ, Tamura T, Baumeister W (1999) Capsids of tricorn protease studied by electron cryomicroscopy. J Struct Biol 128:65–68
- Wang EW, Kessler BM, Borodovsky A, Cravatt BF, Bogyo M, Ploegh HL, Glas R (2000) Integration of the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway with a cytosolic oligopeptidase activity. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 97:9990–9995
- Wang Q, Young P, Walters KJ (2005) Structure of S5a bound to monoubiquitin provides a model for polyubiquitin recognition. J Mol Biol 348:727–739
- Whitby FG, Masters EI, Kramer L, Knowlton JR, Yao Y, Wang CC, Hill CP (2000) Structural basis for the activation of 20S proteasomes by 11S regulators. Nature 408:115–120
- Yao TT, Cohen RE (1999) Giant proteases: beyond the proteasome. Curr Biol 9:R551–R553
- York IA, Bhutani N, Zendzian S, Goldberg AL, Rock KL (2006) Tripeptidyl peptidase II is the major peptidase needed to trim long antigenic precursors, but is not required for most MHC class I antigen presentation. J Immunol 177:1434–1443
- Yoshimura T, Kameyama K, Takagi T, Ikai A, Tokunaga F, Koide T, Tanahashi N, Tamura T, Cejka Z, Baumeister W, Tanaka K, Ichihara A (1993) Molecular characterization of the 26S proteasome complex from rat-liver. J Struct Biol 111:200–211
- Zwickl P, Seemüller E, Kapelari B, Baumeister W (2001) The proteasome: a supramolecular assembly designed for controlled proteolysis. Adv Protein Chem 59:187–222