Droplet Microfluidics for Microbial Biotechnology

Sundar Hengoju, Miguel Tovar, DeDe Kwun Wai Man, Stefanie Buchheim, and Miriam A. Rosenbaum

Contents

Abstract Droplet microfluidics has recently evolved as a prominent platform for high-throughput experimentation for various research fields including microbiology. Key features of droplet microfluidics, like compartmentalization, miniaturization, and parallelization, have enabled many possibilities for microbiology including cultivation of microorganisms at a single-cell level, study of microbial interactions in a community, detection and analysis of microbial products, and screening of extensive microbial libraries with ultrahigh-throughput and minimal reagent consumptions. In this book chapter, we present several aspects and applications of droplet microfluidics for its implementation in various fields of microbial biotechnology. Recent advances in the cultivation of microorganisms in droplets including methods for isolation and domestication of rare microbes are reviewed. Similarly, a

S. Hengoju, S. Buchheim, and M. A. Rosenbaum (\boxtimes)

Bio Pilot Plant, Leibniz Institute for Natural Product Research and Infection Biology – Hans-Knöll-Institute (HKI), Jena, Germany

Faculty of Biological Sciences, Friedrich Schiller University (FSU), Jena, Germany e-mail: miriam.rosenbaum@leibniz-hki.de

M. Tovar and D. K. W. Man

Bio Pilot Plant, Leibniz Institute for Natural Product Research and Infection Biology – Hans-Knöll-Institute (HKI), Jena, Germany

comparison of different detection and analysis techniques for microbial activities is summarized. Finally, several microbial applications are discussed with a focus on exploring new antimicrobials and high-throughput enzyme activity screening. We aim to highlight the advantages, limitations, and current developments in droplet microfluidics for microbial biotechnology while envisioning its enormous potential applications in the future.

Graphical Abstract

Keywords Antibiotic screening, Cultivation of rare microbes, Droplet microfluidics, Enzyme screening, Fluorescence-activated cell sorting, Ultrahighthroughput microbial cultivation

1 Introduction

Within the rapidly growing field of microfluidics, droplet-based microfluidics refers to systems based on the combination of immiscible phases, which results in the formation of drops of one phase embedded in the other. This simple approach has revolutionized various experimentation platforms as it combines microfluidic miniaturization and ultrahigh-throughput with compartmentalization, one of nature's (life's) oldest key strategies. When generating aqueous droplets surrounded by an inert carrier phase, it is possible to reduce the working volume by more than six orders of magnitude, specifically from μL to pL or even fL. Furthermore, the stringent and controllable conditions during droplet formation allow the production of thousands of compartments per second with a minimal volume variance. Thereby, not only costs but also time can be spared in comparison with traditional liquidhandling methods while maintaining excellent experimental quality. These conditions have led to thousands of technological developments and applications based on droplets in the fields of chemistry and biology, some representing particularly highimpact breakthroughs enabling omics techniques at single-cell resolution with very

high throughput $[1-8]$ $[1-8]$ $[1-8]$ $[1-8]$, or harnessed to screen for improved antibodies $[9-11]$ $[9-11]$ $[9-11]$, or enzymes $[12-15]$ $[12-15]$ $[12-15]$ $[12-15]$ $[12-15]$.

From the microbiologist perspective, droplets provide a paradigm-changing experimental approach. Ironically, except from microscopy, the science of studying microorganisms has been the science of growing them to scales fitting our hands and volumes, given that further experimentation at the micrometer scale was impossible until recently. This inadvertently biased microbiological research towards the development of techniques, strategies, and equipment that restrict the window of diversity that can be analyzed. Microfluidic approaches enable experimentation controlling and monitoring physical scales much closer to those of the microbial world, albeit with some challenges that must be addressed for broad-range applicability.

In droplet microfluidics, even single cells will be compartmentalized in a volume between 1 and 100 pL, i.e., droplets of approx. 10 to 100 μm in diameter. In terms of concentrations, this is similar to $10⁷-10⁹$ cells/mL, which is the standard working range at which large-scaled methods work. Therefore, droplets provide a platform in which the biochemical and physiological parameters of a single cell can be studied in a similar fashion as normally done for millions of cells. This, in combination with the extremely fast production of droplets, results in an experimental platform with the capability to explore the enormous diversity of microbiological samples (Fig. [1](#page-3-1)).

The specific features of microfluidic droplets should render these a promising starting point to isolate, culture, and identify a significant fraction of the until now unculturable and undiscovered microbial biodiversity along with its vast metabolic potential (Fig. [2a\)](#page-4-0). Due to the ultrahigh-throughput, complex heuristic experimental design can be performed to identify ideal nutrient conditions (Fig. [1\)](#page-3-1). Additionally, the minimal volume requirements inherent to microfluidic techniques enable the preparation and analysis of rare and limited – therefore mostly unexplored – samples in their natural conditions, such as microbiota from small animals and plants. Moreover, discretization of microbial cells in compartments with a similar order of magnitude is accompanied by crucial physiological advantages. First, isolation eliminates competition for nutrients, providing the possibility to culture strains commonly hidden under faster-growing communities [\[16](#page-22-7), [17](#page-23-0)] (Fig. [2b](#page-4-0)). Alternatively, the droplets can also be exploited to foster microbial interactions, which in many cases have been shown to be critical for growth and metabolite production [\[18](#page-23-1), [19](#page-23-2)]. Second, higher cell and metabolite effective concentrations are easily detectable and activate concentration-based processes such as quorum sensing [\[20](#page-23-3)]. Finally, micro-compartmentalization enables the separation and distinction of otherwise identical cells that present different expression profiles, e.g., scout cells [\[21](#page-23-4)] or silent vs. activated gene clusters.

Similarly, droplets can also be exploited to screen human-made microbiological diversity, such as mutant [[22\]](#page-23-5) and metagenomic [[23\]](#page-23-6) libraries (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)). For the goal to detect and isolate microbial variants that expand the boundaries of industrial microbiology, droplets provide a platform for the implementation of ultrahigh-throughput assays for improved enzymes and producer strains. The diversity in mutant or metagenomic libraries can easily reach more than 10 million different variants that are impossible to analyze in detail with traditional methods. Yet, in a field where

improvements of a fraction of a percentage may define the viability of an application [\[24](#page-23-7)], it is essential to explore the full extent of the created diversity to find the most promising variants and understand the corresponding performance improvements.

2 Droplet Microfluidics for Microbial Cultivation

During the development of droplet microfluidic techniques, microorganisms have been used as effective models for proof-of-concept studies [[25\]](#page-23-8). This is especially the case for the isolation of individual cells in ultra-small volumes. Confinement in

Fig. 2 From biological diversity to applications. (a) Microbial resources for droplet cultivations: droplet microfluidics enables ultrahigh-throughput screening of mutant libraries generated from random mutagenesis, statistical modelling and computational design (left), as well as tremendous reservoir of microbial communities in diverse environments (right). (b) Applications for droplet microfluidics: droplet microfluidics have been demonstrated as a capable platform for detection and identification of microbes. Compartmentalization allows isolation of unknown and slow-growing species and the study of interactions between microbes from complex environments. Finally, droplets can be employed as microreactors for high-throughput enzyme and antibiotics screening within a controlled chemical environment

such reduced volumes decreases detectable growth time and eventually increases the effective concentration of secreted molecules [[26\]](#page-23-9). Moreover, the ability to create and analyze millions of droplets per day enables the examination of large and diverse samples, find rare cells, and analyze whole populations in terms of genetic and phenotypic varieties.

Most of the initial applications have focused on single-cell campaigns, mostly because droplets are the first high-throughput experimental platform that enables this high impact approach [\[1](#page-22-1), [3,](#page-22-8) [8,](#page-22-2) [10](#page-22-9), [34](#page-23-10)–[36](#page-23-11)]. Yet, a number of studies have also used the singularization of cells in droplets with subsequent incubation that results in growth as growth is a powerful yet easy strategy that can be used as a response variable or signal amplifier [[22,](#page-23-5) [37](#page-23-12)–[44\]](#page-24-0). This is particularly relevant when microorganisms for biotechnological applications are being evaluated or screened. Often initial incubations were performed in tubing loops or arrays on chip [\[28](#page-23-13), [29](#page-23-14), [45\]](#page-24-1). Simple off-chip cultivation in contrast was performed in syringes or reaction tubes [[30\]](#page-23-15). Measuring growth is essential when searching for microorganisms or variants under different nutrient sources or stress conditions or simply when the desired product is biomass or strongly correlated to biomass production. In addition, a number of studies aiming to explore global microbial phenotypes [[46](#page-24-2)–[48\]](#page-24-3),

antibiotic resistance [\[49](#page-24-4)–[51](#page-24-5)], or community culture and composition [[52](#page-24-6)–[60\]](#page-24-7) have relied on growth inside of droplets.

However, as more complex and comprehensive protocols are envisioned, a higher degree of microbiological craftsmanship [[61\]](#page-24-8) should be implemented for dropletbased experimentation. Such is the case for studies involving more complex microorganisms with distinct metabolic profiles. Therefore, incubation conditions must be appropriately controlled in order to provide ideal conditions for the microorganisms and the different experiments being performed. Maximizing homogeneity for the millions of droplets per experiment can result in either maximized growth or production of the molecules of interest. In this context, oxygen and pH control in millions of droplets [\[32](#page-23-16), [33](#page-23-17)] provide the tools to effectively link droplet microfluidics and classic microbiological standards (Fig. [3](#page-6-0)). The possibility to measure and control oxygen availability and pH provides natural or artificial incubation conditions in droplets that could be adjusted to imitate the original bacterial habitat (soil pores, static or agitated water, animal intestines, etc.) or bioreactor conditions. In fact, it is of great advantage for biotechnological screening applications to provide bioreactor-like conditions and control, as the selected variants must be scaled up for their implementation in industrial production processes. Further applications of optimized incubation setups include the exploration of hypoxic conditions, the usage of gases as growth or enzymatic substrates, and the screening for molecules and microbes active under adverse pH conditions.

3 Detecting Microbial Activity in Droplet Microfluidics

The development and integration of effective detection techniques to a microfluidic system is a critical step for any biotechnological and microbiological analysis. Implementation of traditional laboratory techniques, which provide high sensitivity and accuracy, requires proper integration strategies, since they typically require expensive and bulky instruments, skilled personnel, and extensive analysis time [\[62](#page-24-9), [63\]](#page-25-0). In addition, miniaturization of sample volume and fast-flowing droplets in a microfluidic system poses significant challenges for rapid and sensitive detection. Therefore, an ideal detection technique for droplet microfluidics includes features like simple, rapid response, high sensitivity, compact, flexible, and low cost.

For analysis and quantification of microbiological samples in droplet microfluidics, different detection methods have been developed and implemented including optical-, electrical-, and mass spectrometry-based detection (for an overview see Table [1](#page-8-0)) [[64\]](#page-25-1). Among others, optical methods have become very popular with the advancement of detection instruments, miniaturization of optical components, and development of dyes and biomarkers. Optical methods have been demonstrated for diverse chemical and biological applications along with research focusing on improving detection sensitivity and dynamic range [[65,](#page-25-2) [66\]](#page-25-3). Various spectroscopic methods used for analysis include fluorescence, absorbance, light scattering, and Raman signals.

Fig. 3 Examples for droplet incubation strategies and microbial growth characterization. (a) Droplets incubated inside microfluidic structures in traps or delay lines (with reprints from [[27](#page-23-18)– [29](#page-23-14)]. (b) Off-chip droplet incubation is usually done in reaction tubes or inside syringes [\[30\]](#page-23-15). (c) Dynamic droplet incubator to enhance oxygenation and homogeneity of droplet populations [[31](#page-23-19)]. (d) Picoliter cultures of microorganisms grow similar to larger-scaled methods when dynamically incubated [[32](#page-23-16)]. (e) Colonies formed inside of dynamically incubated droplets reach much

Fluorescence is the most widely used detection technique for chemical and biological analysis considering various factors like high-signal intensity, highly sensitive dyes, selective fluorescent labelling biomarkers, well-established protocols, high-end instruments, etc. Using fluorescence detection method, microbial activity can be detected by various approaches like direct measurement of fluorescence from cellular metabolites, labelling cellular metabolites with fluorescent dyes, using fluorogenic substrates for enzyme assays, using reporter strains expressing fluorescent proteins, or using fluorescent-based probes. Availability of several fluorogenic substrates with higher quantum yield has enabled development of sensitive fluorescence detection and efficient sorting mechanism for high-throughput enzyme screening. Details regarding these applications are discussed in the respective subchapter below.

On the other hand, microbial viability markers based on fluorescent dyes have been used in droplets for growth and survival analysis. Assays based on highly fluorescent resorufin, which is formed through metabolic activity from resazurin [\[67](#page-25-4)] and dodecylresorufin [\[68](#page-25-5)], have been implemented for bacterial and antibiotic inhibition analysis. Resorufin-based substrates have also been used for detection of ethanol-producing cyanobacteria [\[69](#page-25-6)] and screening for high xylose-consuming yeast strains [[39\]](#page-23-20). However, leakage of resorufin from droplets [[68\]](#page-25-5) has restricted its application to microbial growth assays requiring long time incubation. Recently, a FRET-based RNA probe has been demonstrated for the detection of growth, sorting, and analysis of a microbial community from environmental samples [[57\]](#page-24-10). Similarly bacterial cells stained with SYTO 9, propidium iodide [[70\]](#page-25-7), and SyTox Orange [\[71](#page-25-8)] dyes have been used for drug susceptibility testing and screening metagenomics library for antibiotic producers.

Bacterial strains expressing fluorescent proteins have been popular as a sensor or reporter for various microbial assays including antibiotic screening and microbial interaction studies. Fluorescent proteins are expressed continuously with fluorescence intensity depicting the concentration of cells. Such reporter strains were used to demonstrate growth and long-term cultivation of microorganisms in droplets [\[30](#page-23-15), [72,](#page-25-9) [73\]](#page-25-10), analyzing bacterial dynamics [[74\]](#page-25-11), and performing MIC (minimum inhibitory concentration) assays [[75\]](#page-25-12). Escherichia coli and Bacillus subtilis strains expressing fluorescent proteins like GFP, mKate, and mCherry have been extensively utilized. For high-throughput screening of antibiotic producers from complex environmental samples, reporter strain expressing mCherry proteins was picoinjected to pre-cultivated droplets containing environmental microorganisms, and fluorescence signals were measured to determine the inhibition activity [\[38](#page-23-21), [76](#page-25-13)]. Similarly, multiple fluorogenic strains with auxotrophic variants have been used to investigate microbial interactions between E. coli and Pseudomonas putida in a microfluidic system [\[77](#page-25-14)].

Fig. 3 (continued) higher biomass levels [[32](#page-23-16)]. (f) Using dynamic incubation, it is also possible to monitor and control the pH of the entire droplet population [\[33\]](#page-23-17). Images are reprints of the indicated publications with permission of the original publisher

Detection method	Screening technique	Application	Microorganism	Remarks	Refs.
Fluorescence	Direct detec- tion of cellular metabolites	Monitoring microbial pro- duction of riboflavin	E. coli	Continuous moni- toring for long- term incubation	$[73]$
		Screening for enhanced ribo- flavin producers	Y. lipolytica	FACS analysis using double emulsion	$[41]$
		Detection of chlorophyll production	E. gracilis and C. reinhardtii	Gel droplets with FACS analysis	$[37]$
	Labelling with fluorescent dyes	Live/dead assay	E. coli	Cell viability assay and drug susceptibility test- ing by staining cells with SYTO 9 and propidium iodide	$[70]$
		Screening for bacteria inhibiting S. aureus	S. aureus	Double emulsion droplets with FACS analysis	$[38]$
		Screening for high lipid- producing microalgae	E. gracilis and C. reinhardtii	Gel droplets with FACS analysis	$[37]$
		Screening for antibiotic producers	S. aureus	Screening metagenomic library by co-encapsulating E. coli and S. aureus and staining dead cells with SyTox Orange	$[71]$
	Fluorescent- based sub- strates for enzyme screening	Alkaline phosphatase	Tetraselmis sp.	Measurement of enzymatic activity at single-cell level	$[102]$
			E. coli	Enzyme kinetics study	$[103]$
		Cellulase	Bacterial com- munity from soil sample	High-throughput screening	$[42]$
			T. reesei	Screening of fila- mentous fungi in droplets	$[104]$
		Lipase	Environmental water and soil sample	Compact optical system for fluo- rescence measurement	$[66]$

Table 1 Analytical methods for detecting microbial activity in droplets

(continued)

(continued)

(continued)

Detection method	Screening technique	Application	Microorganism	Remarks	Refs.
Raman signal	Surface- enhanced Raman spectroscopy	Bacterial strain identification	E. coli	Fast recording of SERS spectra from droplets	$[110]$
Image-based	Image analy- sis of droplet content	Enrichment of droplets with growth	S. puniceus	Triggered imag- ing and sorting of droplets, label- free method	$[87]$
		Identification and recognition of cells in droplets	D. tertiolecta and P. tricornutum	Discrimination of cells based on morphologies and sorting	[88]
	Colored beads for encoding droplets	MIC assay	E. coli	Encoding various experimental con- ditions using col- ored beads	$[31]$
	Immunoassay with fluorescent- labelled antibodies	Detection and identification of bacteria	E. coli	Use of magnetic beads to capture E. coli and label- ling with fluores- cently labelled anti-E. coli antibodies	[89]
Electrical conductance	Measurement of conductiv- ity signals with inte- grated electrodes	Quantification of E , coli	E. coli	3D-printed chip with integrated electrodes allowing contactless measurement	$[97]$
	Impedance measurement	Monitoring cell differentiation		Label-free and noninvasive detection of cells	[96]
Mass spectrometry	Electrospray ionization	Mass-activated droplet sorting		Splitting droplets and sorting based on mass	$[101]$
		Detection of microbial sec- ondary metabolites	S. griseus	Intensity analysis at known mass- charge ratio	$[76]$
			S. griseus	Combined fluo- rescence and MS detection	$[100]$

Table 1 (continued)

Recent developments also demonstrated the simultaneous detection of multiple fluorescence signals for antibiotic screening and microbial co-cultivation assays [\[78](#page-25-15)]. With such setups, multiple parameters can be analyzed from individual droplets, which open the door to multiplexing biochemical and microbiological assays.

Though fluorescence-based detection setups are popular in droplet microfluidics, one should take into account the degradation of fluorogenic substrates over time, stability, and inter-droplet transfer of fluorophore molecules. A general prerequisite for all droplet-specific fluorescence assays is a containment of the fluorophore to the respective droplet. If fluorophores can move out of the droplet into the oil phase, the specificity of the signal to a droplet gets lost and the signal intensity decreases. However, the mobility of specific fluorophores can also be exploited for monitoring bulk droplet properties. Measurements of several parameters critical for microbial growth and metabolite productions, like change in pH and oxygen level, have been assessed by using fluorescence detection methods [[32,](#page-23-16) [33](#page-23-17)].

Absorbance is a label-free technique and can be measured in droplets by monitoring change in optical properties of droplet content. Absorbance-based techniques have been demonstrated for monitoring cell density and screening of microbial libraries. A chromogenic substrate, WST-1 resulting in the absorbing dye WST-1 formazan, was used for screening of an E. coli mutant library producing phenylalanine dehydrogenase [[79\]](#page-25-16). A similar colorimetric assay was implemented for monitoring ethanol production by Zymomonas mobilis during fermentation [\[80](#page-25-17)]. Furthermore, absorbance signals were utilized for monitoring droplet content and sorting colonies of similar cell densities to minimize assay variability arising from growth phenotypes [[81\]](#page-25-18). However due to miniaturization in microfluidic system, the active optical path length for absorbance measurement is highly decreased in comparison with traditional optical readers, thus resulting in lower detection sensitivity. Recently, several optimizations and modifications, including elongated channel designs [[82,](#page-25-20) [83](#page-25-21)], lock-in-based detection [[84\]](#page-25-22), and optofluidic approaches [[85\]](#page-25-23), have been demonstrated in realizing absorbance measurement in microfluidic platform.

Light-scattering-based analysis of microorganisms has also been demonstrated in droplets. A high-throughput label-free detection setup was developed to analyze bacterial growth in droplets and screen antibiotic-resistant mutants [\[51](#page-24-5)]. In the presence of the antibiotic fusidic acid, growth of a normal E. coli strain is inhibited, while antibiotic-resistant mutant bacteria could grow resulting in higher scattered light signals. Furthermore, a recent microfluidic droplets study monitored microbial growth and quantified microorganisms by imaging 2D light-scattering patterns [[86\]](#page-25-19).

Image-based analyses of droplets have also been utilized for microbial analysis. Bright-field images were analyzed for sorting and enriching droplets with grown microorganisms from empty droplets [\[87](#page-26-6)]. Similarly, different morphologies of cells [\[88](#page-26-7)] or fluorescent microscopy images based on immunoassays [\[89](#page-26-8)] have also been utilized for the identification and detection of microbial samples in droplets. With advanced image analysis algorithms based on machine learning and deep neural networks, microbial samples have been analyzed in 3D culture system [[90\]](#page-26-13) and in multiplexed assays [[31](#page-23-19)]. Within the latter work, different experimental conditions were coded using colored beads, which were decoded by droplet image analysis.

Another approach is to modify droplets either to gel droplets [\[37](#page-23-12), [71\]](#page-25-8) or to double emulsions [[38\]](#page-23-21) for analysis with conventional FACS (fluorescence-based cell sorting) instruments. This allows simultaneous analysis of multiple fluorescent signals and scattered light parameters, enabling multi-parametric analysis and sorting of droplets. This approach significantly increased the throughput of the screening process. However, generating double emulsions and gel emulsions is not straightforward and limits the fluid handling operations like merging and splitting.

Most of the current optical detection setups are based on bulky microscopes along with complex and often expensive optical configurations. Recent developments in the combination of optical and fluidic systems have resulted in the emergence of optofluidic devices, synergistic integration of optical components with a microfluidic device [[91,](#page-26-14) [92](#page-26-15)]. The integration of optics into microfluidic chips allows alignmentfree setups with higher sensitivity and multiplexing capability of chemical and biological assays [\[62](#page-24-9)], which also greatly benefit microbial experimentations [\[93](#page-26-16)].

In addition to optical methods, other detection methods including electrical signals and mass spectrometry (MS) have also been utilized for the detection of microbial activity. Electrical conductance and impedance measurement have been demonstrated for measuring droplet dimensions including velocity [[94,](#page-26-17) [95](#page-26-18)] and characterizing cell growth in droplets [\[96](#page-26-10)]. Label-free detection and counting of E. coli cells were demonstrated in droplets by contactless conductivity measurements [[97\]](#page-26-9). Mass spectrometry (MS) is a label-free method and provides information about analytes depending on mass-to-charge ratio. Several studies have combined a droplet microfluidic system with MS [\[98](#page-26-19), [99\]](#page-26-20). Microfluidic droplets are sprayed into a MS head either by using a capillary connector or by modifying chip designs with cone-shaped outlets. A droplet MS platform was developed for detecting secondary metabolites produced by Actinobacteria [[76,](#page-25-13) [100](#page-26-12)]. However, MS is a destructive method, resulting in a loss of possible hits. Recently, new microfluidic handling techniques have been introduced with the capability of splitting droplets, analyzing one daughter droplet by MS, and sorting other daughter droplets based on MS results [\[101](#page-26-11)]. Such setup possesses broad prospects for microbial screening using droplet microfluidics.

In addition to the above mentioned online analysis tools, several offline detection techniques have been demonstrated for droplet microfluidics. In most cases, droplets are broken and analyzed for droplet content. These include DNA sequencing, liquid and gas chromatography, and mass spectrometry among others.

4 Droplet Cultivations of Rare Microbes and to Search for New Antimicrobials

Cultivation of microorganisms in very small droplets has several critical advantages for some of the most pressing challenges in environmental microbiology: the cultivation of microorganisms considered unculturable and the search for new anti-infective natural products. This advantage particularly is generated by (1) the ultrahigh-throughput of droplets experiments, which allows very deep sampling of the environmental microbial consortia; (2) the very small size of the cultivation

vessel between 50 and 200 pL, which allows to conduct high numbers of experiments with a minimum input of resources and preparative work; and (3) an increasing portfolio of high-speed functional assays to evaluate microbial growth and activity.

It has long been acknowledged that the majority of today's anti-infective compounds have first been isolated from microbial producers or are derivatives of microbial natural products. However, after the golden age of antibiotics discovery in the 1940–1960s, no novel antibiotic structures and functionalities have been discovered. Intensive efforts to rationally and statistically generate new lead compounds through chemical synthesis proved not very fruitful. Today, with the urgent need for discovery of functionally new lead structures because of emerging antibiotic resistances, scientists increasingly go back to the initial source of anti-infective compounds: the unlimited pool of microbial natural products. The central challenge for this approach is to reduce the level of rediscovery of already known molecules. Thereby, three strategies are followed: (1) genome-based mining for new biosynthetic gene clusters possibly with different structural properties, which might point to new chemical functionalities $[111-113]$ $[111-113]$ $[111-113]$ $[111-113]$; (2) the search for natural products in so far under explored environmental habitats like marine resources or host-associated microbial communities [[114,](#page-27-5) [115](#page-27-6)]; and (3) a deeper and more strategic microbial cultivation effort to access natural products from slow-growing, previously uncultured, or synergistically growing microorganisms. Often even a combination of these three strategies is applied.

Especially for the last two strategies, droplet microfluidics has shown great potential. A statistical distribution for inoculating either a single microbial cell or a small consortium into one droplet as an individual growth vessel enables the parallel cultivation of millions of microbial cultures in a bulk volume of one milliliter or less (Fig. [3\)](#page-6-0). Controlled cultivation conditions at defined temperature, oxygenation level, pH, and time provide a large operational window for successful cultivations. Such strategy has been used, for example, to characterize the diversity and ability to grow on different carbon sources for the microbiota from human fecal samples [\[116](#page-27-7)]. Functional screening of these individual droplets can be achieved at around 100–1,000 droplets per second, resulting in a capacity to evaluate almost 10 million cultivations per day. Since the individual droplet size is very small, specific chemical analysis for natural products is challenging, but not impossible. For example, it has been successfully shown that individual droplets can directly be injected into a mass spectrometer to quantify the production of a microbial natural product in a droplet [\[76](#page-25-13), [100](#page-26-12)]. Droplets of Streptomyces griseus producing streptomycin were analyzed and validated for efficient detection from single droplets. However, so far the valuable microbial droplet content is sacrificed during such an invasive chemical analysis. Alternatively, functional reporter assays can predict the presence of a bioactive natural product and can be applied to preselect high potential microbial droplets from either empty droplets without growth or droplets without bioactivity. In this case, a reporter agent is added to the pre-cultivated microbial droplet. In the search for antimicrobials, this is typically a microbial strain or defined strain mixture sensitive against inhibition by antimicrobials in the droplet (Fig. [4\)](#page-15-0) [[38,](#page-23-21) [76](#page-25-13), [117](#page-27-8)]. A

Fig. 4 Applications of ultrahigh-throughput microbial cultivations in picoliter droplets. (a) Characterization of carbon source (prebiotic) consumption within human gut bacteria $[116]$ $[116]$ $[116]$. (b, c) Negative interaction assays (i.e., antibiotic production) using co-encapsulation of possible producers and fluorescently labelled reporter strains [\[38,](#page-23-21) [76\]](#page-25-13). Images are reprints of the indicated publications with permission of the original publisher

growth-based fluorescence signal of the reporter strain indicates uninhibited growth (clear increase in fluorescence signal) or inhibition (no signal). With this approach a much more specific and targeted initial isolation of microorganisms with antimicrobial potential is possible. Even more, through the combination of reporter strains of different target groups (e.g., Gram positive and Gram negative), a differential selection of antimicrobial strains against a specific target group (e.g., active against only gram negatives) would become possible. Beyond this, for different screening

assays, reporter strains, which can report even on the mode of action of antimicrobial compounds, are already available [\[118](#page-27-9)].

An even simpler application of droplet microfluidic cultivation is the highthroughput determination of microbial antibiotic resistances by detecting growth or growth inhibition of a target strain in presence of antibiotics [[31,](#page-23-19) [51,](#page-24-5) [67,](#page-25-4) [119\]](#page-27-10). In case the target compound does not have antimicrobial activity, biosensor strains can be employed, which induce antimicrobial activity or sensitivity to the reporter strain in presence of the target compound. This has, for example, been realized for the detection of muconic acid producing strains of P. putida, where muconic acid induces sensitivity of a normally resistant E. coli reporter strain against streptomycin [\[120](#page-27-11)]. If the target natural product is an enzyme, a selection assay based on an enzyme activity screen with a fluorogenic substrate can be employed to select the most active microbial droplet subpopulation [[42\]](#page-24-12).

Increasing resolution of culture-independent sequencing-based techniques has enriched us with better understanding of the identity and role of rare microbes. Nevertheless, culture-dependent methods are still essential for the surveying of microbial functional biodiversity. With the vast majority of microbial community members in diverse environments ranging from human guts to plant rhizosphere yet unknown or considered unculturable, new strategies are needed for the isolation of uncultured species and the study of the interaction within natural microbial consortia. In addition to the high-throughput and minimal input of resources, droplet microfluidics offers exceptional advantages over conventional methods in the cultivation and analysis of unknown microbes through single-cell technology, compartmentalization, and parallelization.

Rare microbes in large sample volume are difficult to detect and isolate since they are usually present in low numbers in their natural environment and coexist with other microorganisms, which are often much faster-growing species [\[26](#page-23-9)]. To overcome the outcompetition by fast-growing populations, microfluidics enables the stochastic confinement of single cells in discrete droplets. Stochastic confinement refers to the separation of a sample into small volume such that the number of small volumes is greater than the total number of cells in the sample [\[121](#page-27-12)]. This blocks the effect of outgrowth and influence of inhibitory signals by competitors and predators therefore allowing a more accurate representation of rare taxa. Accumulation of products of metabolism and quorum sensing molecules by bacterial cells confined in small droplet volumes attain the critical threshold faster than in bulk culture so that their growth can be promoted [[20\]](#page-23-3). Enhanced detection of cellular activities can also be achieved as the dilution of secreted molecules would be limited [\[26](#page-23-9)]. Isolation of single cells in droplets has been demonstrated to improve recovery of slow-growing environmental species [\[57](#page-24-10), [122](#page-27-13), [123\]](#page-27-14). Liu et al. employed microfluidic single-cell isolation to separate slow-growing Paenibacillus curdlanolyticus from the competition of fast growing E. coli, which would otherwise dominate in mixed bulk culture [\[124](#page-27-15)]. Isolation and characterization of rare populations from soil, mouse, and human gut microbiomes have been achieved by single-cell encapsulation using a microfluidic platform [[56,](#page-24-14) [117](#page-27-8)]. Compared to conventional culture methods, a larger representation of rare taxa was achieved, attaining up to four-fold increase in

Fig. 5 Testing synthetic microbial communities in randomized combinations of different microorganisms [[59](#page-24-16)]. Images are reprints of the indicated publications with permission of the original publisher

richness of microbial growth. Automated sorting based on colony density further enhanced the relative abundance of slow-growing species. A previously unknown Blastococcus species with high polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons degradation efficiency was discovered in a soil community using a microfluidic streak plate method of single-cell droplets [\[53](#page-24-13)].

Natural microbiota or microbiomes are governed by the interactions between microbes and those with the environment. Deciphering these interactive networks can help to unravel the composition, functions, and dynamics of these complex microbial ecosystems. While most cell-cell communication and interactions are mediated by the secretion or consumption of small diffusible molecules [[125\]](#page-27-16), conventional laboratory bulk cultivation techniques largely overlook and severely limit the study of these interactions. Alternatively, microfluidic approaches allow miniaturized compartmentalization, which creates well-controlled environments in massively parallelized fashion to investigate interaction between microbes (Fig. [5](#page-17-0)) [\[55](#page-24-15), [59,](#page-24-16) [126](#page-27-17)]. Dilution of microbial communities to multiple cells per droplet permits the co-cultivation of symbiotic microbial communities and therefore the study of partner-dependent relationships. For instance, Park et al. demonstrated a proof of concept study using a synthetic model system constructed with cross-feeding E. coli mutants to mimic various compositions of natural consortia [\[126](#page-27-17)]. Microbial Interaction Network Inference in microdroplets (MINI-Drop) was developed by Hsu et al. [\[55](#page-24-15)] to analyze the microbial interactions mediated by distinct molecular mechanisms in droplets containing one- to three-member consortia. Complex interplay between the presence of antibiotics and change in temperature on species interactions was also revealed in a three-member consortium. Cross-kingdom communication was shown by Jarosz et al. through co-encapsulating yeast and bacteria [\[127](#page-27-18)]. Carbon metabolism of yeast was transformed in the presence of bacteria which produce $[GAR(+)]$, a protein-based epigenetic element, resulting in the mutual benefit of both organisms. KChip, a droplet-based platform that permits rapid and highly parallel screening of microbial communities, was recently introduced by Kehe et al. [\[59](#page-24-16)]. This platform enabled the identification of soil isolates that promoted the growth of a model plant symbiont Herbaspirillum frisingense and

therefore can potentially be adopted for the characterization of microbial consortia possessing functions in environmental remediation.

In a slightly different approach, micro- and nano-fabricated growth chambers provide a spatial separation of microbial cells in droplets while allowing the diffusion of growth compounds and secreted metabolites through porous chamber walls [\[128](#page-28-0)]. In situ and in vitro culture of unknown microbes from river water, soil, and human gut has been demonstrated with micro-compartmentalization devices, but technologies that allow the elucidation of microbial interactive networks are still lacking. The recently reported nanoporous microscale microbial incubator platform enables size-dependent control and transport of chemical factors and signaling molecules, facilitating the monitoring of growth dynamics of microbes by various stimuli [[129\]](#page-28-1). Thus, the incubator is foreseeable to be useful to investigate the community interactions of uncultivated biosphere members. Challenges remain in the development of high-throughput microchamber devices for spatial isolation and cultivation of uncultured microbial species. However, overall the advancement of droplet microfluidic technologies provides a completely new and highly promising toolbox to access the metabolic potential of so far uncultured microorganisms.

5 Ultrahigh-Throughput Enzyme Activity Screening and Selection

Microbes provide a rich source for many novel enzymes, which are inherently eco-friendly, nontoxic, and adaptable for large-scale production through fermentation [[130\]](#page-28-2). Hence, such enzymes are sought for various biotechnological applications. For instance, they can replace harsh chemicals in cleaning products mitigating their negative effects on the environment and increase sustainability [[131\]](#page-28-3). They might even help in pressing problems, such as degradation or recycling of plastics [\[132](#page-28-4), [133](#page-28-5)]. Therefore, the discovery and improvement of biological catalysts are of paramount importance. The environmental enzyme pool is fairly unlimited, and the synthetic creation of enzyme variants, e.g., through mutagenesis approaches, results in enzyme libraries of indefinite numbers of variants. However, finding the desired variants is comparable with searching for the needle in the haystack due to the huge diversity of microbes or enzyme versions in either natural or experimental samples.

State-of-the-art high-throughput screening techniques for biocatalysts are based on conventional microtiter plates (MTP) with automated liquid handling robotic platforms and approaches based on fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) [\[40](#page-24-17), [134](#page-28-6)]. In general, a MTP screening approach covers maximal $10⁵$ individual samples, with a maximum theoretical throughput of one assay event per second. Such campaigns require extensive equipment investments and exaggerated consumable consumption (mostly plastic tips and plates). On the other hand, FACS enables ultrahigh-throughput, allowing the analysis of more than $10³$ events per second, easily reaching millions per experiment or day. MTPs are not feasible to be operated

Fig. 6 Droplet-based microfluidic platform for enzyme screening. (a) Schematic showing different steps of enzyme screening. (b) Example concept for indication of enzyme activity through fluorogenic assay [[105\]](#page-26-3)

for single-cell analysis preventing the ability to unveil cell-to-cell heterogeneity. In contrast, with FACS, single cells can be measured and sorted, but this approach lacks compatibility with screening of secreted compounds and is therefore mostly limited to intracellular or cellular membrane-associated enzyme activity [[135\]](#page-28-7), which are not so relevant for industrial biotechnology. Droplet microfluidic approaches take advantage of the best properties of both technologies (Fig. [6a\)](#page-19-0). They can be operated at a throughout similar to FACS, with fluorescence-activated droplet sorting (FADS) working in the range of 100–30,000 Hz [\[136](#page-28-8)]. Yet, encapsulation of single cells in droplets provides the genotype-phenotype linkage even for secreted molecules. The study by Obexer et al. clearly demonstrated the throughput benefit of droplets isolating a high potential variant of a combinatorial designed retroaldolase in only one round of directed evolution and FADS [\[137](#page-28-9)]. The catalytic efficiency of the isolate is comparable to a variant obtained from five rounds of conventional directed evolution using MTP screening. Wagner et al. compared FACS to droplet sorting by screening for better producers of riboflavin, concluding that the droplet approach is able to isolate higher titer producers [[41\]](#page-24-11).

Droplets do not have to contain liquid content. They can also be gelled by either agarose or alginate [\[37](#page-23-12), [138\]](#page-28-10). For these gel microdroplets or for double emulsions (drop within a drop), even established FACS devices can be used. Multiple studies have used this approach [\[37](#page-23-12), [138](#page-28-10), [139](#page-28-11)]. It was successfully shown that gel microdroplets, which were embedded in a biomimetic polyelectrolyte shell, yielded an eightfold improved *Pseudomonas diminuta* producer of a phosphotriesterase after FACS screening [[13\]](#page-22-10). In a similar fashion, a Pichia pastoris mutant library was encapsulated in gel microdroplets and screened via FACS [\[140](#page-28-12)]. The best performing clone showed 1.3-fold higher xylanase expression compared to the parent strain. In any case, more optimization of microfluidic processes for generating gel microdroplets is required, since currently the stability of the operation is generally more error prone. Furthermore various manipulation techniques, like picoinjection, splitting, and coalescence of droplets, which have been extensively developed for water-oil droplets, are not compatible with gel microdroplets [\[25](#page-23-8), [141](#page-28-13), [142\]](#page-28-14).

Commonly, fluorescence assays are implemented for the indication of enzyme activity in droplets. This is mainly due to the available approaches for detecting and quantifying droplet contents (see previous sections). Fluorogenic substrates, with quenched fluorophore molecules, are either co-encapsulated or picoinjected into droplets. The fluorophore molecule is released in the presence of an enzyme, resulting in higher fluorescence intensity and demonstrating higher enzyme activity (Fig. [6b\)](#page-19-0). For every screening task, reliable assay development is of critical importance, and fundamental differences must be taken into account. Unlike in MTP-based assays, the usage of expensive reagents does not hinder the screening campaigns because the total required amounts are in the microliter scale. It is important to understand the mechanisms of the microbial host for enzyme expression, in particular if it is either intracellularly located or secreted out of the cells. The former often requires the addition of cell lysis agents upon droplet generation or after a first round of incubation. Such additives can be either co-encapsulated or picoinjected into the droplet. Once the enzyme is released from the cell, its activity results in the release of the fluorophore molecule. Typically, the subsequent increase of fluorescence intensity is correlated to a higher enzyme activity. For example, miniaturized cell lysate assays have led to sixfold higher sulfatase activity and expression after three rounds of screening [[143\]](#page-28-15). However, the addition of lysis agents holds several risks, such as affecting the enzymatic reaction, releasing interfering cell components, preventing subsequent cell growth after selection, reducing droplet stability, and increasing deviation due to inhomogeneous lysis across the droplets [\[144](#page-28-16)]. In contrast, the screening of secreted compounds can be performed under milder conditions. Since the cells are not getting damaged, sorted isolates can be recovered easily on agar plates or in liquid media. However, cell cultures in droplets can affect the assay both positively and negatively. On one hand, incubated cells have probably increased in numbers, and thus the effective concentration of enzyme is higher, increasing the signal intensity. However, microorganisms also produce by-products that can interfere with the assay signal or stability. Therefore, it is a common practice in MTP-based assays to include centrifugation or

washing steps. This is not possible in the microdroplet format. Nevertheless, mutant and metagenomics libraries of microorganisms have been successfully screened to find strains with higher enzyme activity. Several microbial producers including E. coli, Trichoderma reesei, and Yarrowia lipolytica have been screened for several enzymes like cellulases, amylases, xylanases, alkaline phosphatases, esterases, etc. [\[23](#page-23-6), [42,](#page-24-12) [66](#page-25-3), [102](#page-26-0)–[106](#page-26-4)]. However, it should be noted that due to the usage of substrate analogues with fluorescence properties, the selected variants are often less active with the native substrate. To circumvent the necessity for fluorogenic model substrates, coupled enzyme assays are also applicable in droplets. Here the product of a realistic enzyme reaction functions as the substrate for another easily detectable reaction. With this concept, an oxidase mutant library has been screened in droplets by activating a horseradish peroxidase cascade resulting in a red fluorescence signal directly proportional to the target enzyme activity [[145\]](#page-28-17).

Overall, the strategies for the discovery and improvement of enzymatic activity and production can be divided into three fundamental steps. The first is bioprospecting for novel activities. Here, the potential of droplets is unprecedented. Ultrahigh-throughput is essential to consequently screen natural samples of extracted microbes or metagenomes, which contain millions of variants [[23,](#page-23-6) [38,](#page-23-21) [42,](#page-24-12) [60\]](#page-24-7). Subsequently, enzymes are subject to a directed evolution approach in order to understand key residues in their structures [[146\]](#page-28-18) but also to increase the activity under general and particular operational conditions [\[12](#page-22-5), [79,](#page-25-16) [143](#page-28-15), [147,](#page-28-19) [148](#page-28-20)]. Finally, production hosts can be analyzed and optimized to achieve the highest possible production activities and yields [[22,](#page-23-5) [105,](#page-26-3) [106](#page-26-4), [108](#page-27-0), [149,](#page-28-21) [150\]](#page-28-22). This relatively simple pipeline, when combined with a targeted industrial interest, has the potential to dramatically reduce costs and development time while achieving superior results compared to traditional screening campaigns.

6 Conclusions

Droplet microfluidic has emerged as a high potential tool for ultrahigh-throughput microbial cultivation with applications in single-cell analysis, cultivation of rare microbes, discovery of new natural products, and biocatalyst evolution. Despite the major advantages such as speed of throughput, reduction of costs, and resolution to single cells, the penetration rate of the technique into microbiology labs is still slow. This is probably based on two reasons. First, adopting microfluidic techniques is not yet straightforward. As a very multidisciplinary approach, a variety of skills and equipments is required to establish the necessary competences to handle these platforms. As for now, there is no commercially available device that offers a plug and play solution despite various ongoing efforts. Second, microfluidics provides an experimental perspective, which in many cases is counterintuitive to the well-established and validated methods in microbiology. This implies that some parameters monitored and controlled for quality under classic approaches might be sometimes irrelevant or not yet possible to monitor or control. For example, optical density in a picoliter droplet cannot be measured, and therefore cell proliferation must be controlled with other methods. Another major difference/challenge is associated to the nature of droplet production and handling. While thousands or even millions of droplets will be produced per experiment, it is extremely cumbersome to distinguish one from the other, in contrast to what is done with labelled reaction tubes or wells. That means, predefined conditions and variables might be difficult to track on a droplet-by-droplet basis. A similar difficulty arises with singledroplet handling. While sorting operations have been developed, isolating a particular single droplet for further processing remains elusive.

Yet, most of the works reviewed here highlight both the rapid technical advancements taking place in the field and applications which clearly showcase the potential for groundbreaking research. Importantly, as more research groups and companies adopt microfluidic approaches, more creative solutions and applications arise.

References

- 1. Brouzes E, Medkova M, Savenelli N et al (2009) Droplet microfluidic technology for singlecell high-throughput screening. Proc Nat Acad Sci U S A 106(34):14195–14200
- 2. Macosko EZ, Basu A, Satija R et al (2015) Highly parallel genome-wide expression profiling of individual cells using nanoliter droplets. Cell 161(5):1202–1214
- 3. Klein AM, Mazutis L, Akartuna I et al (2015) Droplet barcoding for single-cell transcriptomics applied to embryonic stem cells. Cell 161(5):1187–1201
- 4. Zilionis R, Nainys J, Veres A et al (2017) Single-cell barcoding and sequencing using droplet microfluidics. Nat Protoc 12(1):44–73
- 5. Rotem A, Ram O, Shoresh N et al (2015) Single-cell ChIP-seq reveals cell subpopulations defined by chromatin state. Nat Biotechnol 33(11):1165–1172
- 6. Zheng GXY, Lau BT, Schnall-Levin M et al (2016) Haplotyping germline and cancer genomes with high-throughput linked-read sequencing. Nat Biotechnol 34(3):303–311
- 7. Borgstrom E, Redin D, Lundin S et al (2015) Phasing of single DNA molecules by massively parallel barcoding. Nat Commun 6:7173
- 8. Lan F, Demaree B, Ahmed N et al (2017) Single-cell genome sequencing at ultra-highthroughput with microfluidic droplet barcoding. Nat Biotechnol 35(7):640–646
- 9. El Debs B, Utharala R, Balyasnikova IV et al (2012) Functional single-cell hybridoma screening using droplet-based microfluidics. Proc Nat Acad Sci U S A 109(29):11570–11575
- 10. Mazutis L, Gilbert J, Ung WL et al (2013) Single-cell analysis and sorting using droplet-based microfluidics. Nat Protoc 8(5):870–891
- 11. Eyer K, Doineau RCL, Castrillon CE et al (2017) Single-cell deep phenotyping of IgG-secreting cells for high-resolution immune monitoring. Nat Biotechnol 35(10):977–982
- 12. Agresti JJ, Antipov E, Abate AR et al (2010) Ultrahigh-throughput screening in drop-based microfluidics for directed evolution. Proc Nat Acad Sci U S A 107(9):4004–4009
- 13. Fischlechner M, Schaerli Y, Mohamed MF et al (2014) Evolution of enzyme catalysts caged in biomimetic gel-shell beads. Nat Chem 6(9):791–796
- 14. Obexer R, Godina A, Garrabou X et al (2017) Emergence of a catalytic tetrad during evolution of a highly active artificial aldolase. Nat Chem 9(1):50–56
- 15. Larsen AC, Dunn MR, Hatch A et al (2016) A general strategy for expanding polymerase function by droplet microfluidics. Nat Commun 7:11235
- 16. Bachmann H, Fischlechner M, Rabbers I et al (2013) Availability of public goods shapes the evolution of competing metabolic strategies. Proc Nat Acad Sci U S A 110(35):14302–14307
- 17. Ma L, Kim J, Hatzenpichler R et al (2014) Gene-targeted microfluidic cultivation validated by isolation of a gut bacterium listed in human microbiome project's most wanted taxa. Proc Nat Acad Sci U S A 111(27):9768–9773
- 18. Stewart EJ (2012) Growing unculturable bacteria. J Bacteriol 194(16):4151–4160
- 19. Traxler MF, Kolter R (2015) Natural products in soil microbe interactions and evolution. Nat Prod Rep 32(7):956–970
- 20. Boedicker JQ, Vincent ME, Ismagilov RF (2009) Microfluidic confinement of single cells of bacteria in small volumes initiates high-density behavior of quorum sensing and growth and reveals its variability. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 48(32):5908–5911
- 21. Epstein SS (2013) The phenomenon of microbial uncultivability. Curr Opin Microbiol 16 (5):636–642
- 22. Huang MT, Bai YP, Sjostrom SL et al (2015) Microfluidic screening and whole-genome sequencing identifies mutations associated with improved protein secretion by yeast. Proc Nat Acad Sci U S A 112(34):E4689–E4696
- 23. Colin PY, Kintses B, Gielen F et al (2015) Ultrahigh-throughput discovery of promiscuous enzymes by picodroplet functional metagenomics. Nat Commun 6:10008
- 24. Lee SY, Kim HU (2015) Systems strategies for developing industrial microbial strains. Nat Biotechnol 33(10):1061–1072
- 25. Kaminski TS, Scheler O, Garstecki P (2016) Droplet microfluidics for microbiology: techniques, applications and challenges. Lab Chip 16(12):2168–2187
- 26. Vincent ME, Liu W, Haney EB et al (2010) Microfluidic stochastic confinement enhances analysis of rare cells by isolating cells and creating high density environments for control of diffusible signals. Chem Soc Rev 39(3):974–984
- 27. Shi W, Qin J, Ye N et al (2008) Droplet-based microfluidic system for individual Caenorhabditis elegans assay. Lab Chip 8(9):1432–1435
- 28. Schmitz CH, Rowat AC, Köster S et al (2009) Dropspots: a picoliter array in a microfluidic device. Lab Chip 9(1):44–49
- 29. Huebner A, Bratton D, Whyte G et al (2009) Static microdroplet arrays: a microfluidic device for droplet trapping, incubation and release for enzymatic and cell-based assays. Lab Chip 9 (5):692–698
- 30. Bjork SM, Sjostrom SL, Andersson-Svahn H et al (2015) Metabolite profiling of microfluidic cell culture conditions for droplet based screening. Biomicrofluidics 9(4):044128
- 31. Svensson CM, Shvydkiv O, Dietrich S et al (2019) Coding of experimental conditions in microfluidic droplet assays using colored beads and machine learning supported image analysis. Small 15(4):1–14
- 32. Mahler L, Tovar M, Weber T et al (2015) Enhanced and homogeneous oxygen availability during incubation of microfluidic droplets. RSC Adv 5(123):101871–101878
- 33. Tovar M, Mahler L, Buchheim S et al (2020) Monitoring and external control of pH in microfluidic droplets during microbial culturing. Microb Cell Fact 19(1):16
- 34. Joensson HN, Andersson Svahn H (2012) Droplet microfluidics – a tool for single-cell analysis. Angew Chem Int Ed 51(49):12176–12192
- 35. Rakszewska A, Tel J, Chokkalingam V et al (2014) One drop at a time: toward droplet microfluidics as a versatile tool for single-cell analysis. NPG Asia Mater 6(10):e133–e133
- 36. Lareau CA, Duarte FM, Chew JG et al (2019) Droplet-based combinatorial indexing for massive-scale single-cell chromatin accessibility. Nat Biotechnol 37(8):916–924
- 37. Li M, van Zee M, Riche CT et al (2018) A gelatin microdroplet platform for high-throughput sorting of hyperproducing single-cell-derived microalgal clones. Small 14(44):1–9
- 38. Terekhov SS, Smirnov IV, Stepanova AV et al (2017) Microfluidic droplet platform for ultrahigh-throughput single-cell screening of biodiversity. Proc Nat Acad Sci U S A 114 (10):2550–2555
- 39. Wang BL, Ghaderi A, Zhou H et al (2014) Microfluidic high-throughput culturing of single cells for selection based on extracellular metabolite production or consumption. Nat Biotechnol 32(5):473–478
- 40. Bowman EK, Alper HS (2019) Microdroplet-assisted screening of biomolecule production for metabolic engineering applications. Trends Biotechnol 38(7):701–714
- 41. Wagner JM, Liu L, Yuan SF et al (2018) A comparative analysis of single cell and dropletbased FACS for improving production phenotypes: riboflavin overproduction in Yarrowia lipolytica. Metab Eng 47:346–356
- 42. Najah M, Calbrix R, Mahendra-Wijaya IP et al (2014) Droplet-based microfluidics platform for ultra-high-throughput bioprospecting of cellulolytic microorganisms. Chem Biol 21 (12):1722–1732
- 43. Kim HS, Hsu S-C, Han S-I et al (2017) High-throughput droplet microfluidics screening platform for selecting fast-growing and high lipid-producing microalgae from a mutant library. Plant Direct 1(3):e00011
- 44. Hammar P, Angermayr SA, Sjostrom SL et al (2015) Single-cell screening of photosynthetic growth and lactate production by cyanobacteria. Biotechnol Biofuels 8(1):193
- 45. Godina A (2013) In vivo and in vitro direct evolution of enzymes using droplet-based microfluidics. In: Chemical sciences. University of Strasbourg, Strasbourg
- 46. Thibault D, Jensen PA, Wood S et al (2019) Droplet Tn-Seq combines microfluidics with Tn-Seq for identifying complex single-cell phenotypes. Nat Commun 10(1):5729
- 47. Cottinet D, Condamine F, Bremond N et al (2016) Lineage tracking for probing heritable phenotypes at single-cell resolution. PLoS One 11(4):e0152395
- 48. Boitard L, Cottinet D, Kleinschmitt C et al (2012) Monitoring single-cell bioenergetics via the coarsening of emulsion droplets. Proc Nat Acad Sci U S A 109(19):7181–7186
- 49. Scheler O, Makuch K, Debski PR et al (2020) Droplet-based digital antibiotic susceptibility screen reveals single-cell clonal heteroresistance in an isogenic bacterial population. Sci Rep 10:3282
- 50. Postek W, Gargulinski P, Scheler O et al (2018) Microfluidic screening of antibiotic susceptibility at a single-cell level shows the inoculum effect of cefotaxime on E. coli. Lab Chip 18 (23):3668–3677
- 51. Liu X, Painter RE, Enesa K et al (2016) High-throughput screening of antibiotic-resistant bacteria in picodroplets. Lab Chip 16(9):1636–1643
- 52. Dong L, Chen D-W, Liu S-J et al (2016) Automated chemotactic sorting and single-cell cultivation of microbes using droplet microfluidics. Sci Rep 6(1):24192
- 53. Jiang C-Y, Dong L, Zhao J-K et al (2016) High-throughput single-cell cultivation on microfluidic streak plates. Appl Environ Microbiol 82(7):2210–2218
- 54. Zhang Q, Wang T, Zhou Q et al (2017) Development of a facile droplet-based single-cell isolation platform for cultivation and genomic analysis in microorganisms. Sci Rep 7(1):41192
- 55. Hsu RH, Clark RL, Tan JW et al (2019) Microbial interaction network inference in microfluidic droplets. Cell Systems 9(3):229–242.e4
- 56. Watterson WJ, Tanyeri M, Watson AR et al (2019) High-throughput isolation and sorting of gut microbes reduce biases of traditional cultivation strategies. bioRxiv:759969
- 57. Ota Y, Saito K, Takagi T et al (2019) Fluorescent nucleic acid probe in droplets for bacterial sorting (FNAP-sort) as a high-throughput screening method for environmental bacteria with various growth rates. PLoS One 14(4):e0214533
- 58. Ohan J, Pelle B, Nath P et al (2019) High-throughput phenotyping of cell-to-cell interactions in gel microdroplet pico-cultures. Biotechniques 66(5):218–224
- 59. Kehe J, Kulesa A, Ortiz A et al (2019) Massively parallel screening of synthetic microbial communities. Proc Nat Acad Sci U S A 116(26):12804–12809
- 60. Terekhov SS, Smirnov IV, Malakhova MV et al (2018) Ultrahigh-throughput functional profiling of microbiota communities. Proc Nat Acad Sci U S A 115(38):9551–9556
- 61. Egli T (2015) Microbial growth and physiology: a call for better craftsmanship. Front Microbiol:6(287)
- 62. Yang H, Gijs MAM (2018) Micro-optics for microfluidic analytical applications. Chem Soc Rev 47(4):1391–1458
- 63. Tung Y-C, Huang N-T, Oh B-R et al (2012) Optofluidic detection for cellular phenotyping. Lab Chip 12(19):3552–3565
- 64. Zhu Y, Fang Q (2013) Analytical detection techniques for droplet microfluidics--a review. Anal Chim Acta 787:24–35
- 65. J-R C, Song H, Sung JH et al (2016) Microfluidic assay-based optical measurement techniques for cell analysis: a review of recent progress. Biosens Bioelectron 77:227–236
- 66. Qiao Y, Zhao X, Zhu J et al (2018) Fluorescence-activated droplet sorting of lipolytic microorganisms using a compact optical system. Lab Chip 18(1):190–196
- 67. Kaushik AM, Hsieh K, Chen L et al (2017) Accelerating bacterial growth detection and antimicrobial susceptibility assessment in integrated picoliter droplet platform. Biosens Bioelectron 97:260–266
- 68. Scheler O, Kaminski TS, Ruszczak A et al (2016) Dodecylresorufin (C12R) outperforms resorufin in microdroplet bacterial assays. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces 8(18):11318–11325
- 69. Abalde-Cela S, Gould A, Liu X et al (2015) High-throughput detection of ethanol-producing cyanobacteria in a microdroplet platform. J R Soc Interface 12(106):20150216
- 70. Kang DK, Gong X, Cho S et al (2015) 3D droplet microfluidic systems for high-throughput biological experimentation. Anal Chem 87(21):10770–10778
- 71. Scanlon TC, Dostal SM, Griswold KE (2014) A high-throughput screen for antibiotic drug discovery. Biotechnol Bioeng 111(2):232–243
- 72. Martin K, Henkel T, Baier V et al (2003) Generation of larger numbers of separated microbial populations by cultivation in segmented-flow microdevices. Lab Chip 3(3):202–207
- 73. Jusková P, Schmid YRF, Stucki A et al (2019) "Basicles": microbial growth and production monitoring in giant lipid vesicles. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces 11(38):34698–34706
- 74. Huang S, Srimani JK, Lee AJ et al (2015) Dynamic control and quantification of bacterial population dynamics in droplets. Biomaterials 61:239–245
- 75. Baraban L, Bertholle F, Salverda MLM et al (2011) Millifluidic droplet analyser for microbiology. Lab Chip 11(23):4057–4057
- 76. Mahler L, Wink K, Beulig RJ et al (2018) Detection of antibiotics synthetized in microfluidic picolitre-droplets by various actinobacteria. Sci Rep 8(1):1–11
- 77. Burmeister A, Hilgers F, Langner A et al (2019) A microfluidic co-cultivation platform to investigate microbial interactions at defined microenvironments. Lab Chip 19(1):98–110
- 78. Tovar M, Hengoju S, Weber T et al (2019) One sensor for multiple colors: fluorescence analysis of microdroplets in microbiological screenings by frequency-division multiplexing. Anal Chem 91(4):3055–3061
- 79. Gielen F, Hours R, Emond S et al (2016) Ultrahigh-throughput–directed enzyme evolution by absorbance-activated droplet sorting (AADS). Proc Nat Acad Sci U S A 113(47):E7383– E7389
- 80. Churski K, Ruszczak A, Jakiela S et al (2015) Droplet microfluidic technique for the study of fermentation. Micromachines (Basel) 6(10):1514–1525
- 81. Siltanen CA, Cole RH, Poust S et al (2018) An oil-free picodrop bioassay platform for synthetic biology. Sci Rep 8(1):7913–7913
- 82. Oscar S-V, Fernando O-CL, del Pilar C-MM (2017) Total polyphenols content in white wines on a microfluidic flow injection analyzer with embedded optical fibers. Food Chem 221:1062–1068
- 83. Ottevaere H, Van Overmeire S, Albero J et al (2015) Plastic light coupler for absorbance detection in silicon microfluidic channels. Microfluid Nanofluidics 18(4):559–568
- 84. Deal KS, Easley CJ (2012) Self-regulated, droplet-based sample chopper for microfluidic absorbance detection. Anal Chem 84(3):1510–1516
- 85. Yang T, Stavrakis S, de Mello A (2017) A high-sensitivity, integrated absorbance and fluorescence detection scheme for probing picoliter-volume droplets in segmented flows. Anal Chem 89(23):12880–12887
- 86. Yu JQ, Huang W, Chin LK et al (2014) Droplet optofluidic imaging for λ-bacteriophage detection via co-culture with host cell Escherichia coli. Lab Chip 14:3519–3524
- 87. Zang E, Brandes S, Tovar M et al (2013) Real-time image processing for label-free enrichment of actinobacteria cultivated in picolitre droplets. Lab Chip 13(18):3707–3713
- 88. Girault M, Kim H, Arakawa H et al (2017) An on-chip imaging droplet-sorting system: a realtime shape recognition method to screen target cells in droplets with single cell resolution. Sci Rep 7:40072–40072
- 89. Golberg A, Linshiz G, Kravets I et al (2014) Cloud-enabled microscopy and droplet microfluidic platform for specific detection of *Escherichia coli* in water. PLoS One 9(1):4–12
- 90. Anagnostidis V, Sherlock B, Metz J et al (2020) Deep learning guided image-based droplet sorting for on-demand selection and analysis of single cells and 3D cell cultures. Lab Chip 20 (5):889–900
- 91. Psaltis D, Quake SR, Yang C (2006) Developing optofluidic technology through the fusion of microfluidics and optics. Nature 442(7101):381–386
- 92. Song C, Nguyen N-T, Tan SH (2017) Toward the commercialization of optofluidics. Microfluidics Nanofluidics 21(8):139
- 93. Hengoju S, Wohlfeil S, Munser AS et al (2020) Optofluidic detection setup for multiparametric analysis of microbiological samples in droplets. Biomicrofluidics 14(2):024109
- 94. Cahill BP, Land R, Nacke T et al (2011) Contactless sensing of the conductivity of aqueous droplets in segmented flow. Sens Actuators B 159(1):286–293
- 95. Moiseeva EV, Fletcher AA, Harnett CK (2011) Thin-film electrode based droplet detection for microfluidic systems. Sens Actuators B 155(1):408–414
- 96. Fan W, Chen X, Ge Y et al (2019) Single-cell impedance analysis of osteogenic differentiation by droplet-based microfluidics. Biosens Bioelectron 145:111730–111730
- 97. Duarte LC, Figueredo F, Ribeiro LEB et al (2019) Label-free counting of Escherichia coli cells in nanoliter droplets using 3D printed microfluidic devices with integrated contactless conductivity detection. Anal Chim Acta 1071:36–43
- 98. Küster SK, Fagerer SR, Verboket PE et al (2013) Interfacing droplet microfluidics with matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization mass spectrometry: label-free content analysis of single droplets. Anal Chem 85(3):1285–1289
- 99. Gasilova N, Yu QL, Qiao L et al (2014) On-chip spyhole mass spectrometry for droplet-based microfluidics. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 53(17):4408–4412
- 100. Wink K, Mahler L, Beulig JR et al (2018) An integrated chip-mass spectrometry and epifluorescence approach for online monitoring of bioactive metabolites from incubated Actinobacteria in picoliter droplets. Anal Bioanal Chem 410(29):7679–7687
- 101. Holland-Moritz DA, Wismer M, Mann B et al (2020) Mass activated droplet sorting (MADS) enables high throughput screening of enzymatic reactions at nanoliter scale. Angew Chem Int Ed 59(11):4470–4477
- 102. Girault M, Beneyton T, Pekin D et al (2018) High-content screening of plankton alkaline phosphatase activity in microfluidics. Anal Chem 90(6):4174–4181
- 103. Huebner A, Olguin LF, Bratton D et al (2008) Development of quantitative cell-based enzyme assays in microdroplets. Anal Chem 80(10):3890–3896
- 104. He R, Ding R, Heyman JA et al (2019) Ultra-high-throughput picoliter-droplet microfluidics screening of the industrial cellulase-producing filamentous fungus *Trichoderma reesei*. J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol 46(11):1603–1610
- 105. Beneyton T, Wijaya IPM, Postros P et al (2016) High-throughput screening of filamentous fungi using nanoliter-range droplet-based microfluidics. Sci Rep 6(1):27223–27223
- 106. Beneyton T, Thomas S, Griffiths AD et al (2017) Droplet-based microfluidic high-throughput screening of heterologous enzymes secreted by the yeast Yarrowia lipolytica. Microb Cell Fact 16(1):18
- 107. Beneyton T, Coldren F, Baret J-C et al (2014) CotA laccase: high-throughput manipulation and analysis of recombinant enzyme libraries expressed in E. coli using droplet-based microfluidics. Analyst 139:3314–3323
- 108. Meyer A, Pellaux R, Potot S et al (2015) Optimization of a whole-cell biocatalyst by employing genetically encoded product sensors inside nanolitre reactors. Nat Chem 7 (8):673–678
- 109. Sklodowska K, Debski PR, Michalski JA et al (2018) Simultaneous measurement of viscosity and optical density of bacterial growth and death in a microdroplet. Micromachines (Basel) 9 (5):251
- 110. Walter A, März A, Schumacher W et al (2011) Towards a fast, high specific and reliable discrimination of bacteria on strain level by means of SERS in a microfluidic device. Lab Chip 11(6):1013–1021
- 111. Ziemert N, Alanjary M, Weber T (2016) The evolution of genome mining in microbes – a review. Nat Prod Rep 33(8):988–1005
- 112. Bergmann S, Schümann J, Scherlach K et al (2007) Genomics-driven discovery of PKS-NRPS hybrid metabolites from Aspergillus nidulans. Nat Chem Biol 3(4):213–217
- 113. Hertweck C (2009) Hidden biosynthetic treasures brought to light. Nat Chem Biol 5 (7):450–452
- 114. Petersen L-E, Kellermann MY, Schupp PJ (2020) Secondary metabolites of marine microbes: from natural products chemistry to chemical ecology. In: Jungblut S, Liebich V, Bode-Dalby M (eds) YOUMARES 9 – the oceans: our research, our future: proceedings of the 2018 conference for YOUng MArine RESearcher in Oldenburg, Germany. Springer, Cham, pp 159–180
- 115. Milshteyn A, Colosimo DA, Brady SF (2018) Accessing bioactive natural products from the human microbiome. Cell Host Microbe 23(6):725–736
- 116. Villa MM, Bloom RJ, Silverman JD et al (2019) High-throughput isolation and culture of human gut bacteria with droplet microfluidics. bioRxiv:630822
- 117. Mahler L, Niehs S, Martin K et al (2019) Highly parallelized microfluidic droplet cultivation and prioritization on antibiotic producers from complex natural microbial communities. bioRxiv. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2019.12.18.877530>
- 118. Hutter B, Fischer C, Jacobi A et al (2004) Panel of Bacillus subtilis reporter strains indicative of various modes of action. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 48(7):2588–2594
- 119. Kang W, Sarkar S, Lin ZS et al (2019) Ultrafast parallelized microfluidic platform for antimicrobial susceptibility testing of gram positive and negative bacteria. Anal Chem 91 (9):6242–6249
- 120. Millet LJ, Velez JM, Michener JK (2018) Genetic selection for small molecule production in competitive microfluidic droplets. bioRxiv:469007
- 121. Boedicker JQ, Li L, Kline TR et al (2008) Detecting bacteria and determining their susceptibility to antibiotics by stochastic confinement in nanoliter droplets using plug-based microfluidics. Lab Chip 8(8):1265–1272
- 122. Ma L, Datta SS, Karymov MA et al (2014) Individually addressable arrays of replica microbial cultures enabled by splitting SlipChips. Integr Biol (Camb) 6(8):796–805
- 123. Hu B, Xu B, Yun J et al (2020) High-throughput single-cell cultivation reveals the underexplored rare biosphere in deep-sea sediments along the Southwest Indian Ridge. Lab Chip 20(2):363–372
- 124. Liu W, Kim HJ, Lucchetta EM et al (2009) Isolation, incubation, and parallel functional testing and identification by FISH of rare microbial single-copy cells from multi-species mixtures using the combination of chemistrode and stochastic confinement. Lab Chip 9(15):2153–2162
- 125. Lauffenburger D, Linderman J (1993) Receptors: models for binding, trafficking, and signaling. Oxford University Press, Oxford
- 126. Park J, Kerner A, Burns MA et al (2011) Microdroplet-enabled highly parallel co-cultivation of microbial communities. PLoS One 6(2):e17019
- 127. Jarosz DF, Brown JCS, Walker GA et al (2014) Cross-kingdom chemical communication drives a heritable, mutually beneficial prion-based transformation of metabolism. Cell 158 (5):1083–1093
- 128. Huys GR, Raes J (2018) Go with the flow or solitary confinement: a look inside the single-cell toolbox for isolation of rare and uncultured microbes. Curr Opin Microbiol 44:1–8
- 129. Ge Z, Girguis PR, Buie CR (2016) Nanoporous microscale microbial incubators. Lab Chip 16 (3):480–488
- 130. Devi R, Madhavan Nampoothiri K, Sukumaran RK et al (2020) Lipase of Pseudomonas guariconesis as an additive in laundry detergents and transesterification biocatalysts. J Basic Microbiol 60(2):112–125
- 131. Porter JL, Rusli RA, Ollis DL (2016) Directed evolution of enzymes for industrial biocatalysis. ChemBioChem 17(3):197–203
- 132. Austin HP, Allen MD, Donohoe BS et al (2018) Characterization and engineering of a plasticdegrading aromatic polyesterase. Proc Nat Acad Sci U S A 115(19):E4350
- 133. Yang Y, Yang J, Wu W-M et al (2015) Biodegradation and mineralization of polystyrene by plastic-aating mealworms: part 1. Chemical and physical characterization and isotopic tests. Environ Sci Technol 49(20):12080–12086
- 134. Autour A, Ryckelynck M (2017) Ultrahigh-throughput improvement and discovery of enzymes using droplet-based microfluidic screening. Micromachines (Basel) 8(4):128
- 135. Guo MT, Rotem A, Heyman JA et al (2012) Droplet microfluidics for high-throughput biological assays. Lab Chip 12(12):2146–2155
- 136. Schutz SS, Beneyton T, Baret JC et al (2019) Rational design of a high-throughput droplet sorter. Lab Chip 19(13):2220–2232
- 137. Obexer R, Pott M, Zeymer C et al (2016) Efficient laboratory evolution of computationally designed enzymes with low starting activities using fluorescence-activated droplet sorting. Protein Eng Des Sel 29(9):355–366
- 138. Håti AG, Bassett DC, Ribe JM et al (2016) Versatile, cell and chip friendly method to gel alginate in microfluidic devices. Lab Chip 16(19):3718–3727
- 139. Schaerli Y (2018) Bacterial microcolonies in gel beads for high-throughput screening. Bio Protoc 8(13):e2911
- 140. Ma C, Tan ZL, Lin Y et al (2019) Gel microdroplet-based high-throughput screening for directed evolution of xylanase-producing Pichia pastoris. J Biosci Bioeng 128(6):662–668
- 141. Shang L, Cheng Y, Zhao Y (2017) Emerging droplet microfluidics. Chem Rev 117 (12):7964–8040
- 142. Theberge AB, Courtois F, Schaerli Y et al (2010) Microdroplets in microfluidics: an evolving platform for discoveries in chemistry and biology. Angew Chem Int Ed 49(34):5846–5868
- 143. Kintses B, Hein C, Mohamed MF et al (2012) Picoliter cell lysate assays in microfluidic droplet compartments for directed enzyme evolution. Chem Biol 19(8):1001–1009
- 144. Karamitros CS, Morvan M, Vigne A et al (2020) Bacterial expression systems for enzymatic activity in droplet-based microfluidics. Anal Chem 92(7):4908–4916
- 145. Debon A, Pott M, Obexer R et al (2019) Ultrahigh-throughput screening enables efficient single-round oxidase remodelling. Nat Cat 2(9):740–747
- 146. Romero PA, Tran TM, Abate AR (2015) Dissecting enzyme function with microfluidic-based deep mutational scanning. Proc Nat Acad Sci U S A 112(23):7159–7164
- 147. Gielen F, Colin P-Y, Mair P et al (2018) Ultrahigh-throughput screening of single-cell lysates for directed evolution and functional metagenomics. In: Bornscheuer U, Höhne M (eds) Protein engineering – methods and protocols. Humana Press, Totowa
- 148. Ma F, Chung MT, Yao Y et al (2018) Efficient molecular evolution to generate enantioselective enzymes using a dual-channel microfluidic droplet screening platform. Nat Commun 9(1):1030
- 149. Fujitani H, Tsuda S, Ishii T et al (2019) High-throughput screening of high protein producer budding yeast using gel microdrop technology. bioRxiv:830596
- 150. Wang G, Björk SM, Huang M et al (2019) RNAi expression tuning, microfluidic screening, and genome recombineering for improved protein production in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Proc Nat Acad Sci U S A 116(19):9324–9332