Research Article

Seasonal variation of carbonaceous species in PM₁ measured **over residential area of Delhi, India**

Akash Kumar Singh1 · Arun Srivastava[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5290-1597)

Received: 22 August 2020 / Accepted: 6 November 2020 / Published online: 13 November 2020 © Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2020

Abstract

Seasonal variation in the concentration of Organic Carbon (OC) and Elemental Carbon (EC) was investigated in PM₁ size fraction over a residential area in Delhi, India. The sampling was carried out using a cascade impactor from April 2018 to March 2019. The OC/EC content in the sample was measured by a carbon analyzer (Thermal/Optical, DRI 2001). The annual average concentration of OC and EC was found to be 28.01 \pm 14.61 μ g/m³ and 10.40 \pm 7.41 μ g/m³_, respectively in PM₁ (139.52 \pm 49.20 µg/m³). The highest concentrations of OC and EC were observed in the post-monsoon and winter season, respectively. Average OC & EC concentrations in summer, monsoon, post-monsoon, and winter seasons were found to be 22.57±3.72 & 5.51±1.28, 11.70±3.07 & 3.60±0.39, 42.06±7.10 & 11.72±2.45 and 37.28±14.45 & 18.52±6.23 µg/ m³, respectively. Total carbonaceous material (TCM) accounted for approximately 40% of PM₁ concentration. The annual average OC/EC ratio was observed to be 2.69, which ranged between 1.77 to 5.39. Analysis of carbon subfractions reveals OC3 and EC1 as the dominant fraction suggesting fossil fuel combustion as dominant emission sources. The exposure risk estimated on the basis of inhalation dose was observed very high during the winter season which can adversely afect the health of people. This study also revealed that the emissions from the residential area are the key source of OC and EC, along with PM_1 .

Keywords Elemental carbon · Fine mode · Coarse mode · Organic carbon · Residential area

1 Introduction

Air pollutants released from various types of activities comprise a complex mixture of large and small particles [[1](#page-9-0)]. These particles may be of multiple size fractions, thus in recent times, researches have focused on particles of diameter between 0.01–100 µm [[2\]](#page-9-1). The atmospheric particles having a size (aerodynamic diameter) of less than 2.5 μ m (PM_{2.5}), and more than 2.5 μ m are considered as fne mode (FMP) and coarse mode (CMP) particles, respectively [[3](#page-9-2)]. Most of the anthropogenic activities produce particles with a diameter of ≤ 1 µm that dominate in the urban atmospheric environment [\[4–](#page-9-3)[6\]](#page-9-4). A number of inorganic and organic chemical species are found associated

with particles of various size fractions [[7\]](#page-9-5). Among these chemical species, carbonaceous fractions of atmospheric aerosol are becoming the matter of great concern in the world because it takes part in the formation of smog, and degradation of visibility by various types of chemical reaction in the atmosphere $[8]$ $[8]$. Its adverse effects are not only limited to the local area, but also plays a signifcant role in global warming, climate change, and melting of glaciers [[9](#page-9-7), [10](#page-9-8)]. In addition to the various adverse environmental effects, it also affects human health in many ways [[11\]](#page-9-9).

The carbonaceous species of atmospheric aerosols are classifed into Organic Carbon (OC), Elemental Carbon (EC) or Black Barbon (BC) [[12,](#page-9-10) [13](#page-9-11)]. Generally, OC contributes 10–50%, while EC contributes only a minor portion of

 \boxtimes Arun Srivastava, srivastava02@hotmail.com; a_srivastava@mail.jnu.ac.in | ¹School of Environmental Sciences, Jawaharlal Nehru University, New Delhi 110067, India.

SN Applied Sciences (2020) 2:1998 | https://doi.org/10.1007/s42452-020-03854-0

the total mass concentration of atmospheric PM in many regions of the world [\[2\]](#page-9-1). Further, OC and EC contribute approximately 90% and 5–10% to the total carbon (TC) in the ambient air [[14–](#page-9-12)[16](#page-9-13)]. EC is directly released into the ambient air as a primary pollutant, while OC is produced as a Primary Organic Carbon (POC) by combustion activities or as Secondary Organic Carbon (SOC) by photochemical reaction [[17,](#page-9-14) [18\]](#page-9-15). OC consists of a number of organic compounds that may be of aromatic or aliphatic in nature, while EC is mainly composed of graphite-like structure [[7](#page-9-5), [19](#page-9-16)]. OC is scattering in nature, and it cools the atmosphere by refecting sunlight, whereas EC absorbs sunlight and produces warming effects [[20](#page-9-17)]. In the atmosphere, biomass burning, emissions from vehicular movement, incomplete combustion of fossil fuel, coal combustion and brick kiln are the important anthropogenic sources of carbonaceous species [\[21](#page-9-18), [22\]](#page-9-19). In recent years, variation in mass concentrations, source identifcation, and adverse impacts of BC or EC, and OC have been broadly studied at various locations of the world [[23](#page-10-0)[–42](#page-10-1)]. A brief summary of the OC/EC study carried out in diferent parts of the world is presented in Table [1](#page-1-0).

Delhi has been ranked as one of the highly polluted capital cities in the world [\[43](#page-10-2)[–45\]](#page-10-3). Vehicular emissions, thermal power plants, road dust, and industries are the important sources of aerosol particles in Delhi [[46](#page-10-4), [47](#page-10-5)]. Smog formation which results in bad air, eye irritation and poor visibility is common phenomenon that can be observed during post-monsoon and winter seasons [[48](#page-10-6)]. Further, the situation gets worse during the Diwali (festival dominated by fre crackers) period due to a very high pollution load [[49\]](#page-10-7). During winter season, Air Quality Index (AQI) reaches up to hazardous/emergency level due to the increased incidence of agricultural waste burning in neighboring states [[50](#page-10-8)]. Therefore, various researches have been done to examine the probable adverse impact of OC and EC on the atmospheric properties. It has been also reported that OC and EC account for higher mass percentage in PM₁ than in bigger PM size fractions $[27, 51-54]$ $[27, 51-54]$ $[27, 51-54]$ $[27, 51-54]$ $[27, 51-54]$. Further, the data available on OC and EC concentration in the PM1 size fractions in India are very limited to the researcher. Thus, the present study was carried out to examine the seasonal variation of OC and EC in PM_1 over a residential area in Delhi, India.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Study area and sampling site

Delhi is the capital city of India, located (28.61°N, and 77.23°E) in the northern part of the country covers an area of 1483 km^2 (out of which 1114 km^2 falls in urban and 369 km² in rural areas) and 16.79 million population. Here, summer is very hot (45–48 °C), while winter is characterized by very low temperatures (1–2 °C) during the cold-est months of December and January [[55](#page-10-12)]. The sampling site (Janakpuri) represent residential area, and is located in the South–West part of Delhi. This area is far from the infuence of any industrial activities. It is located near the busy outer ring road, which connects diferent parts of the city with regular traffic movement. The eatery, commercial shops, petrol pumps, and restaurants are also located in the nearby area. The location of the sampling site is shown in Fig. [1](#page-2-0).

Table 1 Summary of important studies reported at diferent locations of the world

S. No	City	Country	Site	PM Size	OC (μ g/m ³)	EC (μ g/m ³)	OC/EC	Reference
1	Delhi	India	Residential	PM ₁	28.01	10.4	2.69	Present Study
2	Delhi	India	Urban	$PM_{2.5}$	50.11	10.67	5.43	Pipal et al. [64]
3	Delhi	India	Industrial	$PM_{2.5}$	41.12	13.25	3.28	Mandal et al. [36]
4	Delhi	India	Urban	PM _{2.5}	51.1 ± 15.2	10.4 ± 5.5	5	Tiwari et al. [42]
5	Delhi	India	Urban	$PM_{2.5}$	37.73 ± 14.32	7.79 ± 3.73	5.86 ± 0.99	Bisht et al. $[33]$
6	Delhi	India	Urban	PM _{2.5}	23.2	10.7	2.2	Srivastava et al. [41]
7	Kanpur	India	Urban	PM _{2.5}	$47 + 21$	7.7 ± 2.9	6.0 ± 1.3	Ram and Sarin [100]
8	Beijing	China	Industrial	PM _{2.5}	13.3 ± 14.0	3.3 ± 2.7	3.7	Wang et al. [25]
9	Tianjin	China	Industrial	PM _{2.5}	56.8 ± 33.9	8.9 ± 2.2	5.8	Li and Bai [26]
10	Xiamen	China	Urban	PM_{10}	20.5 ± 12.5	4.2 ± 2.0	5.3	Niu et al. [27]
11	Hong Kong	China	Residential	PM _{2.5}	12	3.4	3.5	Duan et al. [28]
12	Seoul	Korea	Urban	PM _{2.5}	4.1 ± 2.70	1.6 ± 1.0	2.9 ± 2.7	Park et al. [29]
13	Seoul	Korea	Urban	PM _{2.5}	10.2	4.1	2.4	Kim et al. $[30]$
14	Thessaloniki	Greece	Urban	PM ₁	2.11	0.09	24.03	Samara et al. [31]
15	Alicante	Spain	Industrial	$PM_{2.5}$	2.5	1.0	2.8	Yubero et al. [32]

SN Applied Sciences

A SPRINGER NATURE journal

2.2 Aerosol sampling

The aerosol sampling was performed in the Janakpuri residential area from April 2018 to March 2019. Size fractioned aerosol particles were collected on Whatman Quartz Microfber Filter (QMF) using a Dekati PM10 cascade impactor sampler. A total of thirty-six aerosol samples (three samples per month) were collected. Similar types of protocols have been observed to follow by other researchers [\[56–](#page-10-23)[58](#page-11-1)]. The flter was also pre-heated in a muffle furnace at 700 $^{\circ}$ C for 5 h in order to lower the carbon contents (OC and EC) in the blank QMF [[59](#page-11-2)]. The impactor was installed at the rooftop of a residential apartment, and operated with the flow rate of thirty liters per minute (30-LPM) for 24 h. The cascade impactor collects aerosol particles in 4 different size fraction ($\geq 10 \,\mu$ m, $10-2.5$ μ m, 2.5–1.0 μ m, and ≤ 1.0 μ m). In this study, the aerosol particles deposited in≥10 μm 10–2.5 μm, 2.5–1.0 μm, and \leq 1.0 µm size range were represented as \geq PM₁₀, $PM_{10-2.5}$, PM_{2.5–1}, and ≤ PM₁. To investigate the seasonal difference of OC/EC concentration, the entire period of study was further sub-divided into summer (April, May, June), monsoon (July, August, September), post-monsoon (October, November), and winter (December, January, February, March) seasons [[19\]](#page-9-16). Temperature, Relative Humidity (RH), and Wind Speed (WS) during the sampling period were recorded with the help of Envirometer (Fisher Scientifc) and illustrated in Fig. [2](#page-3-0).

2.3 OC/EC analysis

A carbon analyzer (Thermal/Optical, DRI 2001) was used to measure EC and OC concentration in the aerosol sample collected on QMF. The instrument measures carbon contents present in the aerosol sample by heating the quartz filter in a step-by-step manner at different temperature ranges (140–840 °C) [[60\]](#page-11-3). The carbon analyzer releases carbon contents in 8 different carbon subfractions such as OC1, OC2, OC3, OC4, EC1, EC2, EC3, and OP or pyrolyzed organic carbon (OCPyro). The OC subfractions are released in a non-oxidizing (Helium with 99.99% purity), while EC sub-fractions in the oxidizing atmosphere (98% He + 2% $O₂$). The OCpyro or OP is evolved when carrier gas flow is changed from nonoxidizing to the oxidizing atmosphere at 580 °C. After OC/EC analysis carbon analyzer measure OC, EC, and TC concentration according to the following equation:

$$
OC = OC1 + OC2 + OC3 + OC4 + OCPyro
$$
 (1)

$$
TC = OC1 + OC2 + OC3 + OC4 + EC1 + EC2 + EC3
$$
\n(2)

$$
EC = TC - OC \tag{3}
$$

The aerosol sampling method by the cascade impactor and experimental protocol for OC/EC analysis by the **Fig. 2** Monthly variation of temperature (Temp), relative humidity (RH) and wind speed (WS) during 2018–2019 in Delhi

carbon analyzer (DRI 2001) has already been described in detail by Singh, Srivastava [\[61\]](#page-11-4).

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Size segregated PM analysis

The average concentration of aerosol particles deposited in various size fractions during the entire study period is presented in Table [2.](#page-4-0) The particles accumulated in 4 diferent size fractions (≥ 10 µm, 10–2.5 µm, 2.5–1.0 µm and≤1.0 µm) were again sub-divided into fne (≤PM2.5) and coarse (\geq PM2.5) mode particulate matter (FMP & CMP). Further, Total Suspended Particles (TSP) were also estimated by adding up the mass of particles of frst 4 different size fractions ($\geq 10 \mu m + 10 - 2.5 \mu m + 2.5 - 1.0$ μ m + \leq 1.0 μ m). The annual average TSP concentrations were found to be 347.25 ± 132.49 μ g/m³. The highest deposition of aerosol particles was observed in the PM_1 among four diferent size ranges. Further, annual average concentrations of aerosol particles deposited in $\geq PM_{10}$, PM_{10−2.5}, PM_{2.5–1}, and ≤ PM₁ were found to be 38.71 ± 14.55, 76.76 ± 24.37 , 92.25 ± 61.20 and 139.52 ± 49.20 µg/m³, respectively. Out of twelve diferent months, highest concentration of aerosol particles was observed in December whilst the lowest in July (Fig. [3](#page-4-1)).

A clear seasonal variation was observed in the mass concentration of aerosol particles deposited in

SN Applied Sciences A SPRINGER NATURE journal

all the 4 size ranges. Among 4 different seasons, the highest concentration of TSP was found during winter $(473.46 \pm 66.04 \text{ µg/m}^3)$ while lowest in monsoon season (160.99 \pm 26.12 µg/m³). Further, average concentration of \geq PM_{10,} PM_{2.5–10}, PM_{1–2.5}, and \leq PM₁ during winter season were found to be 43.70 ± 5.58 μ g/ m³, 85.77 ± 10.43 μ g/m³, 159.09 ± 8.94 μ g/m³, and 184.90 \pm 10.87 µg/m³, respectively. The season-wise TSP concentration was found in the order of monsoon <summer < post-monsoon < winter. Further concentration of PM_{10} and PM_{2.5} were also calculated and compared with the National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) of India. Here, the annual average concentration of PM_{10} (308.54 \pm 121.25 μ g/m³) and PM_{2.5} (231.77 \pm 107.03 μ g/ m³) was found approximately 5 times higher than the NAAQS prescribed limits (i.e., $PM_{10} = 60 \mu g/m^3$ and $PM_{2.5} = 40 \mu g/m^3$). Various researchers have observed the higher concentration of $PM_{2.5}$ and $PM₁₀$ than the maximum permissible limit set under the NAAQS in Delhi, India [\[62,](#page-11-5) [63](#page-11-6)]. The annual average concentrations of CMP and FMP particles were found to be 115.47 ± 36.20 and $231.77 \pm 107.03 \,\mu g/m^3$, respectively. FMP (67%) dominated the CMP (33%) during the entire period of study. The percentage contribution of FMP (73%) in TSP was observed more than the CMP (27%) during the winter season. Further, the dominance of FMP over CMP has been reported at various locations in Delhi by Hazarika [[64\]](#page-11-0).

Month	\geq PM ₁₀	$PM_{10-2.5}$	$PM_{2.5-1}$	PM ₁	TSP	CMP	FMP
April	49.11 ± 7.27	72.22 ± 6.17	47.62 ± 6.65	133.02 ± 9.35	301.97 ± 39.97	121.33 ± 16.34	180.64 ± 60.39
May	51.23 ± 5.17	80.11 ± 14.50	71.05 ± 6.99	157.09 ± 9.22	359.48 ± 46.41	131.34 ± 20.42	228.14 ± 60.84
June	54.68 ± 3.88	129.63 ± 16.28	60.77 ± 5.02	161.22 ± 6.25	406.31 ± 52.31	184.33 ± 52.99	221.99 ± 71.03
July	23.99 ± 2.04	36.12 ± 6.80	24.11 ± 6.10	68.33 ± 11.14	152.55 ± 20.92	60.11 ± 8.58	92.44 ± 31.27
August	12.11 ± 2.75	42.22 ± 6.04	17.33 ± 1.63	65.11 ± 5.43	136.77 ± 24.44	54.33 ± 21.29	82.44 ± 33.79
September	15.22 ± 2.96	73.22 ± 12.59	25.11 ± 4.13	80.11 ± 12.59	193.66 ± 32.99	88.44 ± 41.01	105.22 ± 38.89
October	34.28 ± 4.67	69.22 ± 11.12	98.59 ± 9.21	121.55 ± 10.96	323.64 ± 37.75	103.50 ± 24.71	220.14 ± 16.24
November	49.05 ± 8.29	75.33 ± 9.53	126.12 ± 7.23	148.22 ± 7.17	398.72 ± 45.50	124.38 ± 18.58	274.34 ± 15.63
December	50.85 ± 6.50	91.07 ± 14.93	192.22 ± 10.86	220.23 ± 13.38	554.37 ± 80.62	141.92 ± 28.44	412.45 ± 19.81
January	45.65 ± 5.29	89.04 ± 11.13	180.54 ± 7.35	184.11 ± 11.21	499.34 ± 68.72	134.69 ± 30.68	364.65 ± 2.52
February	37.09 ± 4.65	67.55 ± 8.15	153.04 ± 8.08	192.02 ± 11.16	449.70 ± 72.28	104.64 ± 21.54	345.06 ± 27.56
March	41.22 ± 5.89	95.43 ± 7.52	110.56 ± 9.49	143.23 ± 7.72	390.44 ± 42.56	136.65 ± 38.33	253.79 ± 23.10
Annual	38.71 ± 14.55	76.76 ± 24.37	92.25 ± 61.20	139.52 ± 49.20	347.25 ± 132.49	115.47 ± 36.20	231.77 ± 107.03
Summer	51.68 ± 5.44	98.32 ± 12.32	59.82 ± 6.22	150.44 ± 8.28	355.92 ± 46.23	145.67 ± 33.85	210.26 ± 25.83
Monsoon	17.11 ± 2.58	50.52 ± 8.47	22.18 ± 3.95	71.18 ± 9.72	160.99 ± 26.12	67.63 ± 18.26	93.37 ± 11.42
Post-monsoon	41.67 ± 6.48	72.27 ± 10.33	112.36 ± 8.22	134.89 ± 9.06	361.18 ± 41.63	113.94 ± 14.76	247.24 ± 38.33
Winter	43.70 ± 5.58	85.77 ± 10.43	159.09 ± 8.94	184.90 ± 10.87	473.46 ± 66.04	129.48 ± 16.84	343.99 ± 66.46

Table 2 Monthly, annual and seasonal average (±Standard Deviation) in µg/m³ of Particulate Matter (PM) deposited in four different size fractions, i.e. fne mode (FM), coarse mode (CM) and total suspended particles (TSP) during 2018–19 in Delhi

Fig. 3 The mass concentration (μg/m³) of aerosol particles deposited in four different size fractions ($\geq PM_{10}$, PM_{10–2.5}, PM_{2.5–1} and \leq PM₁) during 2018–2019

3.2 Variation of OC/EC in PM1

Monthly, annual and seasonal average concentration of OC and EC measured in PM_1 is presented in Table [3](#page-5-0). The annual average concentration of OC and EC was found to be 28.01 \pm 14.61 and 10.40 \pm 7.41 μ g/m³, respectively. Here, OC and EC contributed 20.1 and 7.5% to PM1 mass concentration. Ram, Sarin [[15](#page-9-20)] have reported almost similar contributions of TC (30–35%) to the TSP in Delhi. However, in

another study by Panda et al., approximately 16% and 30% of TC in PM2.5 was reported in Delhi and Bhubaneshwar, respectively [\[65\]](#page-11-7). Increasing trends in the concentration of OC and EC in PM1 were noticed from October to December month. Figure [4](#page-5-1) shows the comparative description of month-wise variation of OC and EC along with PM1. Among diferent months, highest concentrations of OC and EC were found in December (OC: 56.02 ± 5.80 and EC: 24.99 \pm 5.15 µg/m³), whereas the lowest value was observed in the month of August (OC: 9.03 ± 4.10 and EC: 3.37 ± 0.95 µg/m³). A clear seasonal variation was observed in the OC and EC during the study period. The highest concentrations of OC and EC were observed in the post-monsoon and winter seasons, respectively. While, the minimum concentration of OC and EC were observed in the monsoon season due to rain washout.

The average OC & EC concentrations in summer, monsoon, post-monsoon, and winter seasons were found to be 22.57 ± 3.72 & 5.51 ± 1.28 , 11.70 ± 3.07 & 3.60±0.39, 42.06±7.10 & 11.72±2.45 and 37.28±14.45 & 18.52 \pm 6.23 µg/m³, respectively. Overall, season-wise variation in the concentration of OC was observed in the order of post-monsoon > winter > summer > monsoon, while EC followed the trend of winter> post-monsoon > summer > monsoon. Tiwari et al. [\[66](#page-11-8)] have observed high concentration of OC (54 \pm 39 μ g/m³) and EC (10 \pm 5 μ g/ m³) during winter season in Delhi. The high concentrations of OC (50.11 \pm 11.93 μ g/m³) and EC (10.67 \pm 3.56 μ g/ m³) was also reported in Delhi as compared to Agra by Pipal [\[67\]](#page-11-9). In a study conducted from 2010–17 Sharma

Table 3 Monthly, annual and seasonal average (±Standard Deviation) in μg/m³ units of Organic Carbon (OC), Elemental Carbon (EC), Total Carbon (TC), Primary Organic Carbon (POC), Secondary Organic Carbon (SOC), Organic Matter (OM), Elemental Matter (EM), Total Carbonaceous Matter (TCM) and OC/EC ratio in PM_1 during 2018–19 in Delhi

SN Applied Sciences A SPRINGER NATURE journal [[68](#page-11-10)] observed OC and EC concentration with the average value of 25.6 ± 14.0 and 8.7 ± 5.8 μ g/m³, respectively, in the urban area of Delhi. A comparative account of OC and EC concentration reported from other regions are given in Table [1](#page-1-0). In the present study, high OC and EC concentrations in post-monsoon and winter seasons were attributed to the high emissions from agricultural waste burning, coal combustion and a huge display of freworks during Diwali festival [\[13,](#page-9-11) [50,](#page-10-8) [69](#page-11-11), [70\]](#page-11-12). Further, in addition to the strength of emission sources, favorable meteorological conditions such as wind speed, low temperature, stable atmosphere and low mixing height also contribute to the high concentration of OC and EC during winter [[41](#page-10-15), [71\]](#page-11-13).

3.2.1 Carbon subfractions in PM1

The season-wise variation of OC and EC subfractions are shown in Fig. [5.](#page-6-0) In this study, OC3 and EC1 were observed as the most abundant OC and EC subfractions, respectively, in all 4 seasons. The high concentration of OC1 was found during the post-monsoon season, which indicates the emission from biomass burning [\[72](#page-11-14)]. The high levels of OC2, OC3, OC4, OP, and EC1 were observed in the winter season, which suggests the emission from a combination

of various sources such as automobiles, biomass burning, and coal combustion [\[73](#page-11-15)]. Further, a slight increase in the concentrations of EC2 and EC3 was observed during postmonsoon and winter seasons, which indicates the emission of these carbon subfractions from diesel vehicles [\[74](#page-11-16)]. Since the sampling site is situated near the busy road so emission from vehicular activities might have contributed slightly higher EC concentration in comparison to other seasons. A similar pattern of variation in diferent carbon subfractions was reported in Delhi [[36,](#page-10-13) [75\]](#page-11-17).

3.2.2 Relationship between OC, EC, and TC

The probable emission source of OC and EC can be predicted by their association with each other [\[76](#page-11-18)]. Signifcant linear correlation (R^2 =0.54) was observed between OC and EC, which indicates that both carbonaceous species have a similar source of emission (Fig. [6](#page-7-0)). Here, OC/EC ratios were found in the ranges of 1.79–4.58 with an annual average value of 2.69. Generally, the OC/EC ratio of more than two (> 2.0) suggests the presence of secondary organic carbon (SOC) in the ambient air [[77](#page-11-19)]. The higher OC/EC ratio indicates the infuence of biomass burning, while a lower ratio indicates the emission from fossil fuel burning [[78](#page-11-20)].

Fig. 5 Monthly and seasonal variation of various carbon subfractions (OC1, OC2, OC3, OC4, OP, EC1, EC2, EC3) during 2018–19

SN Applied Sciences A SPRINGER NATURE journal

Fig. 6 Scatter plot between OC and EC during 2018–2019 **Fig. 6**

A number of emission sources have been identifed on the basis of diferent values of the OC/EC ratio. Watson et al. [[79](#page-11-21)] have observed OC/EC ratio of 2.7, 1.1, 9.0, and 14.5 for biomass burning, coal combustion, vehicular emissions, and forest fres, respectively in Northwest Colorado, USA. Cao et al. [\[73\]](#page-11-15) have also observed the OC/EC ratio of more than 12 and 4.1 for emissions from coal combustion and motor vehicle exhaust, respectively.

The probable sources of emission of OC and EC can also be identifed by measuring the value of the EC/TC ratio of the aerosol sample. The EC/TC ratio between 0.1 to 0.2 and>0.5 indicate emission from biomass burning and fossils fuel combustion, respectively. The high EC/TC ratio is very common in urban areas due to emission from vehicu-lar exhaust [[80\]](#page-11-22). Here, the EC/TC ratio was found to be more than 0.20 in all the 4 seasons that ranged from 0.20–0.36. Similar trends in the EC/TC ratio was observed by Kumar et al. [\[75\]](#page-11-17) in the ambient air of Delhi. An increasing trend of the EC/TC ratio was observed from summer to winter season which is in conformity to the study conducted by Yttri et al. [\[80](#page-11-22)]. Salam et al. [\[81\]](#page-11-23) have observed EC/TC ratio in the range of 0.28–0.39 from traffic and residential sites in Dhaka. Novakov et al. [[82](#page-11-24)] have also observed an EC/TC ratio in the range of 0.1–0.2 from biomass burning during the Indian Ocean Experiment (INDOEX). Generally, the value of OC/EC or EC/TC ratio depends on the analytical method (Thermal/Optical) used to measure the OC and EC concentration [\[83](#page-11-25)]. Therefore, the OC/EC or EC/TC ratio can be diferent for the same sample if the analytical method will be diferent. Here, we have also observed a lower OC/ EC ratio in PM₁ as compared to the value reported for PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ by various researchers (Table [1\)](#page-1-0). Therefore, our observed results also justify the previous fnding that the

A SPRINGER NATURE journal

OC/EC ratio increases with the increase in the aerodynamic diameter of the PM [\[84](#page-11-26)].

3.2.3 Estimation of SOC, ECR, and TCM

The EC tracer method was used to measure the secondary organic carbon (SOC) because it cannot be meas-ured directly by a carbon analyzer [[85\]](#page-11-27). According to this method, it is assumed that the emission of EC is primary in nature, and the value calculated by this procedure represents the true concentration of SOC [[86](#page-11-28), [87\]](#page-11-29). Therefore, SOC concentration was measured according to the following equations [[88](#page-11-30)]:

$$
SOC = (OC)total - (OC)pri
$$
 (4)

$$
(OC)_{\text{pri}} = (OC/EC)_{\text{min}} \times (EC)
$$
 (5)

where $(OC)_{pri}$ is primary organic carbon (POC) and (OC/ EC_{min} represents the minimum OC/EC ratio noticed among all aerosol samples collected during the study period. In the present study, $(OC/EC)_{min}$ value was taken as 1.5, which was observed in all 4 seasons. The OC/EC ratio is generally infuenced by various factors, such as ambient temperature and diferent types of emission sources (Cabada et al. 2004). Therefore, the season-specifc (OC/ EC_{min} values were used for the estimation of SOC.

The measured concentrations of POC and SOC are given in Table [3](#page-5-0). Here, the annual average concentration of POC and SOC in PM₁ were found to be 13.53 ± 9.63 and 14.48 \pm 7.81 µg/m³, respectively. The season-wise concentration of SOC was found to be 15.41 ± 2.31 , 6.97 ± 2.67 , 26.83 ± 3.91 and 13.20 ± 7.08 µg/m³ in summer, monsoon, post-monsoon and winter seasons, respectively. Further, the concentration of SOC was observed higher than POC during summer, monsoon and post-monsoon, whereas POC (24.08 \pm 8.10 μ g/m³) dominated the SOC in the winter season. Among the four diferent seasons, the formation of SOC was minimum during the monsoon. The low ambient temperature with a weak photochemical reaction might be a possible reason for the minimum concentra-tion of SOC during the winter season [\[88\]](#page-11-30). Singh et al. [\[89](#page-11-31)] have observed the same trends of variation in POC and SOC concentration in Delhi. Further, Satsangi et al. [[59](#page-11-2)] have found the high POC during winter with almost twice the value of SOC concentration, which is similar to our observed value of POC and SOC in the winter season. Low SOC formation during winter as compared to summertime was also observed in the ambient air of Delhi by Sharma et al. [[40](#page-10-24)].

An Effective Carbon Ratio (ECR) gives information related to the formation of SOC in ambient atmosphere [[90](#page-11-32)]. Therefore, the ECR value was calculated according to the following equation:

$$
ECR = SOC / (POC + EC)
$$
 (6)

Generally, the ECR value of>1.0 indicates the high contributions of SOC over POC, while<1.0 suggests the domi-nance of POC over SOC and more warming effects [[91](#page-11-33)]. The ECR value ranged from 0.21 ± 0.10 to 1.78 ± 1.25 during the study period. Among diferent seasons, the minimum value of ECR was observed in winter (0.35 \pm 0.12), while the maximum was found in summer (1.54 \pm 0.28). In the present study, a similar trend in the variation of ECR value was observed, as reported in Pune [\[90](#page-11-32)]. The lower value of ECR $(<$ 0.3) in the winter, and high value ($>$ 0.7) during summer and monsoon season were observed by Safai et al. [[90\]](#page-11-32). In the urban atmosphere, usually, the higher and lower value of ECR indicates the presence of more scattering and absorbing type of carbonaceous aerosols, respectively. The measured value of ECR is displayed in Fig. [7](#page-8-0).

The concentration of total carbonaceous material (TCM) was measured according to the following equation.

$$
TCM = OM + EM \tag{7}
$$

where OM is organic matter ($OM = 1.6 \times OC$), and EM is elemental matter (EM = $1.1 \times$ EC). A conversion factor of 1.4 is commonly applied for the calculation of OM [\[80](#page-11-22), [92](#page-12-1)]. However, a factor of 1.6 ± 0.20 and 1.1 was suggested earlier as a better conversion factor to estimate OM and EM concentration in an urban area, respectively [[93](#page-12-2), [94](#page-12-3)]. The annual average concentration of TCM was found to be $56.25 \pm 30.74 \,\mathrm{\upmu g/m^3}$. Further, TCM concentration in PM₁ was found 42.18 ± 7.26 , 22.67 ± 5.26 , 80.18 ± 14.06 and 80.03 ± 29.75 μ g/m³ during summer, monsoon,

Fig. 7 Efective carbon ratio (ECR) during summer, monsoon, postmonsoon and winter season during 2018–19

post-monsoon and winter seasons, respectively. Furthermore, the annual average percentage contribution of OM, EM, and TCM in PM_1 was found to be 32.12, 8.20, and 40.32%, respectively. A clear seasonal trend in the percentage contribution of TCM was observed, which accounted for 28.04, 31.85, 59.44, and 43.28% of PM₁ during summer, monsoon, post-monsoon, and winter seasons, respectively. The higher percentage of EM was observed in winter (11.02%), while OM was observed in post-monsoon (49.89%) season. The estimated concentrations of OM, EM, and TCM are presented in Table [3.](#page-5-0)

3.3 Estimation of exposure risk to EC

A number of studies have been conducted to estimate the level of exposure of particulate matter and associated chemical species over a certain period of time [[95–](#page-12-4)[97\]](#page-12-5). The exposure risk is measured on the concept that how much dose of air pollutants is inhaled in the body at a particular atmospheric environment and the time spent in that place [\[98\]](#page-12-6). Therefore, the exposure risk or inhalation dose of EC is calculated according to the following equation [[99](#page-12-7)].

Inhalation Does
$$
(D) = f Cp \times IR_{(\Delta t)} \times dt
$$
 (8)

where *D* is the average inhalation dose in microgram (μ g), while C_p is the concentration of pollutants in micrograms per cubic meter (μ g/m³). Here, IR(Δt) is the breathing rate (1.62 or 0.027 m^3/m in for male and female in the age range of 21–51 years) for mean short term exposure as suggested in the risk information by the United States Environmental Protection Agency [[100\]](#page-12-0). In this equation, *t* is the exposure time of a person to air pollutants (i.e., minimum time spent by a person in outdoor activities), which is taken as 8 h for calculation of inhalation dose [\[99\]](#page-12-7). The estimated inhalation dose was found signifcantly high during winter and post-monsoon season, which could adversely affect the respiratory system. The annual average inhalation dose during the study period was found as 135 µg. Season-wise inhalation dose of EC was observed in the sequence of winter $(240 \mu g)$ > postmonsoon (151 µg) > summer (71 µg) > monsoon (47 µg). Mahilang et al. [[101](#page-12-8)] have observed the exposure of high value of inhalation dose of EC released in the ambient air during the frework activities. Dhaini et al. [[102](#page-12-9)] have also reported the high death rate and mortality rate of 7.8–10% due to exposure to increased concentration of EC. Long term exposure to the high concentration of EC can cause complicated heart disease (acute myocardial infarction) and death of the people [[96](#page-12-10)].

4 Conclusions

The present investigation carried out in a residential area has demonstrated a clear seasonal variation in the concentration of aerosol particles collected in diferent size ranges. The highest deposition of aerosol particles was observed in PM_1 among the four different size fractions of PM. Average concentrations of particles deposited in ≥PM₁₀, PM_{10-2.5}, PM_{2.5-1}, and ≤PM₁ were observed to be 38.71 ± 14.55 , 76.76 ± 24.37 , 92.25 ± 61.20 and 139.52 \pm 49.20 µg/m³, respectively. Further, annual average concentration of OC and EC measured in $PM₁$ size fraction was found to be 28.01 \pm 14.61 and 10.40 \pm 7.41 μ g/m³, respectively. Among 4 diferent seasons, the highest OC and EC concentrations were observed during the postmonsoon and winter, respectively. Slow wind speed and low ambient temperature has created favorable condition for these carbonaceous aerosol particles to remain suspended in the air for longer period of time. Overall, seasonwise variation in the concentration of OC was observed in the order of post-monsoon $>$ winter $>$ summer $>$ monsoon, while EC followed the trend of winter > post-monsoon > summer > monsoon. The OC/EC ratio was found in the range of 1.77–5.39 with an average value of 2.69. Among carbon's 8 subfractions OC2, OC3 and EC1 were found in highest concentrations indicating the mixing of various emission sources. The present study also concludes that the emissions from the residential area are a signifcant source of EC and OC. The rising level of these pollutants can afect the atmospheric properties and health of the people. The estimated inhalation dose of EC indicates the high exposure risk, which can adversely afect the health of people. Therefore, a better policy is needed to control the OC and EC, along with the high PM pollution in the residential area.

Acknowledgements Authors are thankful to Central Instrumentation Facility, SES, JNU for OC/EC analysis. AKS acknowledge University Grant Commission, GoI for fnancial support in the form of Fellowship.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest There is no confict of interest among the authors with this work.

References

- 1. Srivastava A, Gupta S, Jain V (2008) Source apportionment of total suspended particulate matter in coarse and fne size ranges over Delhi. Aerosol Air Qual Res 8(2):188–200
- 2. Pandis SN, Seinfeld JH (2006) Atmospheric chemistry and physics: from air pollution to climate change. Wiley, New York

SN Applied Sciences

A SPRINGER NATURE journal

- 3. Hinds WC (1999) Aerosol technology: properties, behavior, and measurement of airborne particles. Wiley, New York
- 4. Morawska L, Thomas S, Jamriska M, Johnson G (1999) The modality of particle size distributions of environmental aerosols. Atmos Environ 33(27):4401–4411
- 5. Nazaroff WW, Ligocki MP, Ma T, Cass GR (1990) Particle deposition in museums: comparison of modeling and measurement results. Aerosol Sci Technol 13(3):332–348
- 6. Wilson WE, Suh HH (1997) Fine particles and coarse particles: concentration relationships relevant to epidemiologic studies. J Air Waste Manage Assoc 47(12):1238–1249
- 7. Begum BA, Roy K, Islam F, Salam A, Hopke PK (2012) Source identifcation of carbonaceous aerosols during winter months in the Dhaka city. J Bangladesh Acad Sci 36(2):241–250
- 8. Venkataraman C, Habib G, Eiguren-Fernandez A, Miguel A, Friedlander S (2005) Residential biofuels in South Asia: carbonaceous aerosol emissions and climate impacts. Science 307(5714):1454–1456
- 9. Babu SS, Moorthy KK (2002) Aerosol black carbon over a tropical coastal station in India. Geophys Res Lett 29(23):13–11
- 10. Ramana M, Ramanathan V, Feng Y, Yoon S, Kim S, Carmichael G, Schauer J (2010) Warming infuenced by the ratio of black carbon to sulphate and the black-carbon source. Nat Geosci 3(8):542–545
- 11. Pope CA III, Dockery DW (2006) Health efects of fne particulate air pollution: lines that connect. J Air Waste Manage Assoc 56(6):709–742
- 12. Putaud J-P, Raes F, Van Dingenen R, Brüggemann E, Facchini M-C, Decesari S, Fuzzi S, Gehrig R, Hüglin C, Laj P (2004) A European aerosol phenomenology—2: chemical characteristics of particulate matter at kerbside, urban, rural and background sites in Europe. Atmos Environ 38(16):2579–2595
- 13. Tiwari S, Pipal A, Hopke PK, Bisht D, Srivastava A, Tiwari S, Saxena P, Khan A, Pervez S (2015) Study of the carbonaceous aerosol and morphological analysis of fne particles along with their mixing state in Delhi, India: a case study. Environ Sci Pollut Res 22(14):10744–10757
- 14. Park SS, Kim YJ, Fung K (2001) Characteristics of PM2. 5 carbonaceous aerosol in the Sihwa industrial area, Korea. Atmos Environ 35(4):657–665
- 15. Ram K, Sarin M (2010) Spatio-temporal variability in atmospheric abundances of EC, OC and WSOC over Northern India. J Aerosol Sci 41(1):88–98
- 16. Shah JJ, Johnson RL, Heyerdahl EK, Huntzicker JJ (1986) Carbonaceous aerosol at urban and rural sites in the United States. J Air Pollut Control Assoc 36(3):254–257
- 17. Gray H, Cass G, Huntzicker J, Heyerdahl E, Rau J (1984) Elemental and organic carbon particle concentrations: a long-term perspective. Sci Total Environ 36:17–25
- 18. Turpin BJ, Huntzicker JJ (1995) Identification of secondary organic aerosol episodes and quantitation of primary and secondary organic aerosol concentrations during SCAQS. Atmos Environ 29(23):3527–3544
- 19. Mandal P, Saud T, Sarkar R, Mandal A, Sharma S, Mandal T, Bassin J (2014) High seasonal variation of atmospheric C and particle concentrations in Delhi. India Environ Chem Lett 12(1):225–230
- 20. Han Y, Lee S, Cao J, Ho K, An Z (2009) Spatial distribution and seasonal variation of char-EC and soot-EC in the atmosphere over China. Atmos Environ 43(38):6066–6073
- 21. Cooke W, Liousse C, Cachier H, Feichter J (1999) Construction of a $1\times$ 1 fossil fuel emission data set for carbonaceous aerosol and implementation and radiative impact in the ECHAM4 model. J Geophys Res: Atmos 104(D18):22137–22162
- 22. Ram K, Sarin M, Tripathi S (2012) Temporal trends in atmospheric PM2. 5, PM10, elemental carbon, organic carbon,

water-soluble organic carbon, and optical properties: impact of biomass burning emissions in the Indo-Gangetic plain. Environ Sci Tchnol 46(2):686–695

- 23. Banoo R, Sharma SK, Gadi R, Gupta S, Mandal TK (2020) Seasonal variation of carbonaceous species of PM 10 Over urban sites of national capital region of India. Aerosol Sci Eng 4(2):111–123
- 24. Ram K, Sarin M (2011) Day–night variability of EC, OC, WSOC and inorganic ions in urban environment of Indo-Gangetic plain: implications to secondary aerosol formation. Atmos Environ 45(2):460–468
- 25. Wang G, Cheng S, Li J, Lang J, Wen W, Yang X, Tian L (2015) Source apportionment and seasonal variation of PM 2.5 carbonaceous aerosol in the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei region of China. Environ Monit Assess 187(3):143
- 26. Li W, Bai Z (2009) Characteristics of organic and elemental carbon in atmospheric fine particles in Tianjin China. Particuology 7(6):432–437
- 27. Niu Z, Zhang F, Kong X, Chen J, Yin L, Xu L (2012) One-year measurement of organic and elemental carbon in size-segregated atmospheric aerosol at a coastal and suburban site in Southeast China. J Environ Monit 14(11):2961–2967
- 28. Duan F, Liu X, He K, Li Y, Dong S (2007) Characteristics and source identification of particulate matter in wintertime in Beijing. Water, Air, Soil Pollut 180(1–4):171–183
- 29. Park JS, Song IH, Park SM, Shin H, Hong Y (2015) The characteristics and seasonal variations of OC and EC for PM 2.5 in Seoul metropolitan area in 2014. J Environ Impact Assess 24(6):578–592
- 30. Kim H-S, Huh J-B, Hopke PK, Holsen TM, Yi S-M (2007) Characteristics of the major chemical constituents of PM2. 5 and smog events in Seoul, Korea in 2003 and 2004. Atmos Environ 41(32):6762–6770
- 31. Samara C, Voutsa D, Kouras A, Eleftheriadis K, Maggos T, Saraga D, Petrakakis M (2014) Organic and elemental carbon associated to PM 10 and PM 2.5 at urban sites of northern Greece. Environ Sci Pollut Res 21(3):1769–1785
- 32. Yubero E, Galindo N, Nicolás J, Lucarelli F, Calzolai G (2014) Carbonaceous aerosols at an industrial site in Southeastern Spain. Air Qual Atmos Health 7(3):263–271
- 33. Bisht D, Dumka U, Kaskaoutis D, Pipal A, Srivastava A, Soni V, Attri S, Sateesh M, Tiwari S (2015) Carbonaceous aerosols and pollutants over Delhi urban environment: temporal evolution, source apportionment and radiative forcing. Sci Total Environ 521:431–445
- 34. Dey S, Tripathi S (2008) Aerosol direct radiative effects over Kanpur in the Indo-Gangetic basin, northern India: longterm (2001–2005) observations and implications to regional climate. J Geophys Res: Atmos 113(D04212). [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JD009029) [org/10.1029/2007JD009029](https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JD009029)
- 35. Dumka U, Satheesh S, Pant P, Hegde P, Krishna Moorthy K (2006) Surface changes in solar irradiance due to aerosols over central Himalayas. Geophys Res lett 33(L20809). [https](https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GL027814) [://doi.org/10.1029/2006GL027814](https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GL027814)
- 36. Mandal P, Sarkar R, Mandal A, Saud T (2014) Seasonal variation and sources of aerosol pollution in Delhi. India Environ Chem Lett 12(4):529–534
- 37. Rai A, Mukherjee S, Chatterjee A, Choudhary N, Kotnala G, Mandal T, Sharma S (2020) Seasonal variation of OC, EC, and WSOC of PM 10 and Their CWT analysis over the Eastern Himalaya. Aerosol Sci Eng 4(1):26–40
- 38. Ram K, Sarin M (2015) Atmospheric carbonaceous aerosols from Indo-Gangetic plain and Central Himalaya: impact of anthropogenic sources. J Environ Manage 148:153–163
- 39. Sharma SK, Mandal T, Saxena M, Sharma A, Datta A, Saud T (2014) Variation of OC, EC, WSIC and trace metals of PM10 in Delhi, India. J Atmos Sol-Terre Phy 113:10–22
- 40. Sharma SK, Sharma A, Saxena M, Choudhary N, Masiwal R, Mandal TK, Sharma C (2016) Chemical characterization and source apportionment of aerosol at an urban area of Central Delhi. India Atmos Pollut Res 7(1):110–121
- 41. Srivastava A, Bisht D, Ram K, Tiwari S, Srivastava MK (2014) Characterization of carbonaceous aerosols over Delhi in Ganga basin: seasonal variability and possible sources. Environ Sci Pollut Res 21(14):8610–8619
- 42. Tiwari S, Srivastava A, Chate D, Safai P, Bisht D, Srivastava M, Beig G (2014) Impacts of the high loadings of primary and secondary aerosols on light extinction at Delhi during wintertime. Atmos Environ 92:60–68
- 43. Tyagi S, Tiwari S, Mishra A, Singh S, Hopke PK, Singh S, Attri S (2017) Characteristics of absorbing aerosols during winter foggy period over the National Capital Region of Delhi: impact of planetary boundary layer dynamics and solar radiation fux. Atmos Res 188:1–10
- 44. Goyal P (2003) Present scenario of air quality in Delhi: a case study of CNG implementation. Atmos Environ 37(38):5423–5431
- 45. Taneja S, Sharma N, Oberoi K, Navoria Y Predicting trends in air pollution in Delhi using data mining. In: 2016 1st India international conference on information processing (IICIP), 2016. IEEE, pp 1–6
- 46. Srivastava A, Jain V (2007a) Size distribution and source identifcation of total suspended particulate matter and associated heavy metals in the urban atmosphere of Delhi. Chemosphere 68(3):579–589
- 47. Rizwan S, Nongkynrih B, Gupta SK (2013) Air pollution in Delhi: its magnitude and efects on health. Indian J Community Med 38(1):4
- 48. Sawlani R, Agnihotri R, Sharma C, Patra PK, Dimri A, Ram K, Verma RL (2019) The severe Delhi SMOG of 2016: a case of delayed crop residue burning, coincident frecracker emissions, and atypical meteorology. Atmos Pollut Res 10(3):868–879
- 49. Perrino C, Tiwari S, Catrambone M, Dalla Torre S, Rantica E, Canepari S (2011) Chemical characterization of atmospheric PM in Delhi, India, during diferent periods of the year including diwali festival. Atmos Pollut Res 2(4):418–427
- 50. Bhuvaneshwari S, Hettiarachchi H, Meegoda JN (2019) Crop residue burning in India: policy challenges and potential solutions. Int J Env Res Pub Health 16(5):832
- 51. Pérez N, Pey J, Querol X, Alastuey A, López J, Viana M (2008) Partitioning of major and trace components in PM10–PM2. 5–PM1 at an urban site in Southern Europe. Atmos Environ 42(8):1677–1691
- 52. Aurela M, Beukes JP, van Zyl P, Vakkari V, Teinilä K, Saarikoski S, Laakso L (2016) The composition of ambient and fresh biomass burning aerosols at a savannah site South Africa. S Afr J Sci 112(5–6):1–8
- 53. Ofenberg JH, Baker JE (2000) Aerosol size distributions of elemental and organic carbon in urban and over-water atmospheres. Atmos Environ 34(10):1509–1517
- 54. Chen S-J, Liao S-H, Jian W-J, Lin C-C (1997) Particle size distribution of aerosol carbons in ambient air. Environ Int 23(4):475–488
- 55. Srivastava A, Jain V (2007b) Seasonal trends in coarse and fne particle sources in Delhi by the chemical mass balance receptor model. J Hazard Mater 144(1–2):283–291
- 56. Kumar P, Yadav S (2016) Seasonal variations in water soluble inorganic ions, OC and EC in PM10 and PM> 10 aerosols over Delhi: infuence of sources and meteorological factors. Aerosol Air Qual Res 16(5):1165–1178
- 57. Kumar P, Kumar S, Yadav S (2018) Seasonal variations in size distribution, water-soluble ions, and carbon content of sizesegregated aerosols over New Delhi. Environ Sci Pollut Res 25(6):6061–6078
- 58. Priyadharshini B, Verma S, Chatterjee A, Sharma SK, Mandal TK (2019) Chemical characterization of fne atmospheric particles of water-soluble ions and carbonaceous species in a tropical urban atmosphere over the Eastern Indo-Gangetic plain. Aerosol Air Qual Res 19(1):129–147
- 59. Satsangi A, Pachauri T, Singla V, Lakhani A, Kumari KM (2012) Organic and elemental carbon aerosols at a suburban site. Atmos Res 113:13–21
- 60. Chow J, Watson J, Chen L-W, Paredes-Miranda G, Chang M-C, Trimble D, Fung K, Zhang H, Zhen YuJ (2005) Refning temperature measures in thermal/optical carbon analysis. Atmos Chem Phys 5(11):2961–2972
- 61. Singh AK, Srivastava A (2020) The impact of freworks emissions on air quality in Delhi, India. Environ Claims J 32(4):289–309
- 62. Sehgal M, Tyagi SK, Gautam SK (2016) Air quality in Delhi: status and concerns. Int J Environ Stud 73(6):905–916
- 63. Kanawade V, Srivastava A, Ram K, Asmi E, Vakkari V, Soni V, Varaprasad V, Sarangi C (2020) What caused severe air pollution episode of november 2016 in New Delhi? Atmos Environ 222:117125
- 64. Hazarika N, Jain V, Srivastava A (2015) Source identifcation and metallic profles of size-segregated particulate matters at various sites in Delhi. Environ Monit Assess 187(9):602
- 65. Panda S, Sharma S, Mahapatra PS, Panda U, Rath S, Mahapatra M, Mandal T, Das T (2016) Organic and elemental carbon variation in PM 2.5 over megacity Delhi and Bhubaneswar, a semiurban coastal site in India. Nat Hazards 80(3):1709–1728
- 66. Tiwari S, Srivastava A, Bisht D, Safai P, Parmita P (2013) Assessment of carbonaceous aerosol over Delhi in the Indo-Gangetic basin: characterization, sources and temporal variability. Nat Hazards 65(3):1745–1764
- 67. Pipal A, Tiwari S, Satsangi P, Taneja A, Bisht D, Srivastava A, Srivastava M (2014) Sources and characteristics of carbonaceous aerosols at Agra "World heritage site" and Delhi "capital city of India." Environ Sci Pollut Res 21(14):8678–8691
- 68. Sharma S, Mandal T, Sharma A, Jain S (2018) Seasonal and annual trends of carbonaceous species of PM 10 over a megacity Delhi, India during 2010–2017. J Atmos Chem 75(3):305–318
- 69. Singh RP, Kaskaoutis DG (2014) Crop residue burning: a threat to South Asian air quality. Eos, Trans Am Geophys Union 95(37):333–334
- 70. Pachauri T, Singla V, Satsangi A, Lakhani A, Kumari KM (2013) Characterization of carbonaceous aerosols with special reference to episodic events at Agra, India. Atmos Res 128:98–110
- 71. Datta A, Saud T, Goel A, Tiwari S, Sharma S, Saxena M, Mandal T (2010) Variation of ambient SO 2 over Delhi. J Atmos Chem 65(2–3):127–143
- 72. Chow JC, Watson JG, Kuhns H, Etyemezian V, Lowenthal DH, Crow D, Kohl SD, Engelbrecht JP, Green MC (2004) Source profles for industrial, mobile, and area sources in the big bend regional aerosol visibility and observational study. Chemosphere 54(2):185–208
- 73. Cao J, Chow JC, Lee S, Li Y, Chen S, An Z, Fung K, Watson J, Zhu C, Liu S (2005) Characterization and source apportionment of atmospheric organic and elemental carbon during fall and winter of 2003 in Xi'an, China. Atmos Chem Phys 5:3127–3145
- 74. Watson JG, Chow JC, Lowenthal DH, Pritchett LC, Frazier CA, Neuroth GR, Robbins R (1994) Diferences in the carbon composition of source profles for diesel-and gasoline-powered vehicles. Atmos Environ 28(15):2493–2505
- 75. Kumar P, Kumar R, Yadav S (2016) Water-soluble ions and carbon content of size-segregated aerosols in New Delhi, India:

direct and indirect infuences of frework displays. Environ Sci Pollut Res 23(20):20749–20760

- 76. Yu S, Dennis RL, Bhave PV, Eder BK (2004) Primary and secondary organic aerosols over the United States: estimates on the basis of observed organic carbon (OC) and elemental carbon (EC), and air quality modeled primary OC/EC ratios. Atmos Environ 38(31):5257–5268
- 77. Chow JC, Watson JG, Lu Z, Lowenthal DH, Frazier CA, Solomon PA, Thuillier RH, Magliano K (1996) Descriptive analysis of PM2. 5 and PM10 at regionally representative locations during SJVAQS/AUSPEX. Atmos Environ 30(12):2079–2112
- 78. Saarikoski S, Timonen H, Saarnio K, Aurela M, Järvi L, Keronen P, Kerminen V-M, Hillamo R (2008) Sources of organic carbon in fne particulate matter in northern European urban air. Atmos Chem Phys 8(20):6281–6295
- 79. Watson JG, Chow JC, Houck JE (2001) PM2. 5 chemical source profles for vehicle exhaust, vegetative burning, geological material, and coal burning in Northwestern Colorado during 1995. Chemosphere 43(8):1141–1151
- 80. Yttri KE, Aas W, Bjerke A, Ceburnis D, Dye C, Emblico L, Facchini M, Forster C, Hanssen J, Hansson HC, Jennings SG, Maenhaut W, Putaud JP, Torseth K (2007) Elemental and organic carbon in PM 10: a one year measurement campaign within the European monitoring and evaluation programme EMEP. Atmos Chem Phys 7:5711–5725
- 81. Salam A, Bauer H, Kassin K, Ullah SM, Puxbaum H (2003) Aerosol chemical characteristics of a mega-city in Southeast Asia (Dhaka–Bangladesh). Atmos Environ 37(18):2517–2528
- 82. Novakov T, Andreae M, Gabriel R, Kirchstetter T, Mayol-Bracero O, Ramanathan V (2000) Origin of carbonaceous aerosols over the tropical Indian Ocean: Biomass burning or fossil fuels? Geophys Res Lett 27(24):4061–4064
- 83. Khan B, Hays MD, Geron C, Jetter J (2012) Differences in the OC/ EC ratios that characterize ambient and source aerosols due to thermal-optical analysis. Aerosol Sci Technol 46(2):127–137
- 84. Pio C, Cerqueira M, Harrison RM, Nunes T, Mirante F, Alves C, Oliveira C, de la Campa AS, Artíñano B, Matos M (2011) OC/ EC ratio observations in Europe: re-thinking the approach for apportionment between primary and secondary organic carbon. Atmos Environ 45(34):6121–6132
- 85. Lim H-J, Turpin BJ (2002) Origins of primary and secondary organic aerosol in Atlanta: results of time-resolved measurements during the Atlanta supersite experiment. Environ Sci Technol 36(21):4489–4496
- 86. Viidanoja J, Sillanpää M, Laakia J, Kerminen V-M, Hillamo R, Aarnio P, Koskentalo T (2002) Organic and black carbon in PM2. 5 and PM10: 1 year of data from an urban site in Helsinki Finland. Atmos Environ 36(19):3183–3193
- 87. Strader R, Lurmann F, Pandis SN (1999) Evaluation of secondary organic aerosol formation in winter. Atmos Environ 33(29):4849–4863
- 88. Castro L, Pio C, Harrison RM, Smith D (1999) Carbonaceous aerosol in urban and rural European atmospheres: estimation of secondary organic carbon concentrations. Atmos Environ 33(17):2771–2781
- 89. Singh R, Kulshrestha MJ, Kumar B, Chandra S (2016) Impact of anthropogenic emissions and open biomass burning on carbonaceous aerosols in urban and rural environments of Indo-Gangetic plain. Air Qual Atmos Health 9(7):809–822
- 90. Safai P, Raju M, Rao P, Pandithurai G (2014) Characterization of carbonaceous aerosols over the urban tropical location and a new approach to evaluate their climatic importance. Atmos Environ 92:493–500
- 91. Kumar S, Yadav S (2020) Chemistry of size-segregated particles: study of sources and processes in N-NW India. Atmos Pollut Res 11(2):370–382

SN Applied Sciences

A SPRINGER NATURE journal

- 92. Malm WC, Schichtel BA, Pitchford ML, Ashbaugh LL, Eldred RA (2004) Spatial and monthly trends in speciated fne particle concentration in the United States. J Geophys Res Atmos 109(D03306). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JD003739>
- 93. Kiss G, Varga B, Galambos I, Ganszky I (2002) Characterization of water-soluble organic matter isolated from atmospheric fne aerosol. J Geophys Res Atmos 107(D21):ICC 1-1-ICC 1-8
- 94. Turpin BJ, Lim H-J (2001) Species contributions to PM2. 5 mass concentrations: revisiting common assumptions for estimating organic mass. Aerosol Sci Technol 35(1):602–610
- 95. Hoek G, Krishnan RM, Beelen R, Peters A, Ostro B, Brunekreef B, Kaufman JD (2013) Long-term air pollution exposure and cardio-respiratory mortality: a review. Environ Health 12(1):43
- 96. Von Klot S, Gryparis A, Tonne C, Yanosky J, Coull BA, Goldberg RJ, Lessard D, Melly SJ, Suh HH, Schwartz J (2009) Elemental carbon exposure at residence and survival after acute myocardial infarction. Epidemiology 20(4):547–554
- 97. Smith KR, Jerrett M, Anderson HR, Burnett RT, Stone V, Derwent R, Atkinson RW, Cohen A, Shonkoff SB, Krewski D (2009) Public health benefts of strategies to reduce greenhouse-gas emissions: health implications of short-lived greenhouse pollutants. Lancet 374(9707):2091–2103
- 98. Liu B, He MM, Wu C, Li J, Li Y, Lau NT, Yu JZ, Lau AK, Fung JC, Hoi KI (2019) Potential exposure to fne particulate matter (PM2.

5) and black carbon on jogging trails in Macau. Atmos Environ 198:23–33

- 99. Pani SK, Chantara S, Khamkaew C, Lee C-T, Lin N-H (2019) Biomass burning in the northern peninsular Southeast Asia: aerosol chemical profle and potential exposure. Atmos Res 224:180–195
- 100. EPA U (2011) Exposure factors handbook 2011 Edition (Final). US Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC, EPA/600/R-09/052F
- 101. Mahilang M, Deb MK, Nirmalkar J, Pervez S (2020) Infuence of freworks emission on aerosol aging process at lower troposphere and associated health risks in an urban region of eastern central India. Atmos Pollut Res 11:1127–1141
- 102. Dhaini HR, Salameh T, Waked A, Sauvage S, Borbon A, Formenti P, Doussin J-F, Locoge N, Aff C (2017) Quantitative cancer risk assessment and local mortality burden for ambient air pollution in an eastern Mediterranean city. Environ Sci Pollut Res 24(16):14151–14162

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.