



Some Pre- C^* -algebras Generated by a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} with Completion $C([-1, 1], \mathcal{A})$

Kourosh Nourouzi¹ · Ali Reza¹

Received: 1 February 2019 / Accepted: 16 November 2019 / Published online: 4 December 2019
© Shiraz University 2019

Abstract

For any C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} , we give a Banach $*$ -algebra with approximate identity which $C([-1, 1], \mathcal{A})$, the C^* -algebra of all \mathcal{A} -valued continuous functions on $[0, 1]$, is its C^* -envelope. We show that $C([-1, 1], \mathcal{A})$ is $*$ -isomorphic to a C^* -subalgebra of bounded continuous functions from self-adjoint elements of the closed unital ball of \mathcal{E} to $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{E}$ for any unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{E} . Furthermore, for any C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} and numerical semigroup S we give a pre- C^* -algebra with completion $C([0, 1], \mathcal{A})$ via Cauchy extensions of C^* -algebras. It is also shown that the Dirichlet extension of \mathcal{A} is $*$ -isomorphic to $C([0, 1], \mathcal{A})$. Finally, we introduce the notion of M -Cauchy envelope of C^* -algebras, where M is an at most countable commutative monoid.

Keywords Pre- C^* -algebra · Extension of C^* -algebras · Cauchy extension · Dirichlet extension · Crossed product

Mathematics Subject Classification 46L05 · 46M15

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

For any C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} finding some pre- C^* -algebras with completion $C([0, 1], \mathcal{A})$, the C^* -algebra of all \mathcal{A} -valued continuous functions on $[0, 1]$ is interesting. In fact, the idea may be interpreted as recovering $C([0, 1], \mathcal{A})$ by some pre- C^* -algebras. One approach to this is given in Nourouzi and Reza (2019) via a type of extension of C^* -algebras which are called Cauchy extensions. For the extension of C^* -algebras, we refer the reader to, e.g., Arveson (1977) and Busby (1968). In this paper, starting with a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} we give some pre- C^* -algebras with completion $C([0, 1], \mathcal{A})$ through Cauchy and Dirichlet extensions of C^* -algebras. In the last section, we introduce M -Cauchy envelope of C^* -algebras, where M is an at most countable commutative monoid M .

We recall some definitions and results from Nourouzi and Reza (2019) which will be needed.

Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra. We denote by $\mathcal{A}[Z]$ the set of all formal power series $F(Z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n Z^n$, where each $a_n \in$

\mathcal{A} and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|a_n\| < \infty$. Then, $\mathcal{A}[Z]$ becomes a complex involutive algebra with the pointwise addition, scalar multiplication and involution and with the Cauchy product as multiplication. That is, for any formal power series $F(Z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n Z^n$ and $G(Z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n Z^n$ in the complex involutive algebra $\mathcal{A}[Z]$ and scalar $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n Z^n + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n Z^n &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_n + b_n) Z^n, \\ \lambda \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n Z^n &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda a_n Z^n, \\ F^*(Z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^* Z^n, \\ F(Z)G(Z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{n=p+q} a_p b_q \right) Z^n. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that K is a subset of $[-1, 1]$ such that 0 is a limit point of K . The norm $\|\cdot\|_K$ defined by

$$\|F\|_K = \sup_{t \in K} \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n t^n \right\|,$$

for all $F(Z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n Z^n \in \mathcal{A}[Z]$ satisfies $\|FF^*\|_K = \|F\|_K^2$. Note that $(\mathcal{A}[Z], *, \|\cdot\|_K)$ is a pre- C^* -algebra which

✉ Kourosh Nourouzi
nourouzi@kntu.ac.ir

¹ Faculty of Mathematics, K. N. Toosi University of Technology, P.O. Box 16765-3381 Tehran, Iran

is not a C^* -algebra. The completion $[\mathcal{A}]_K$ of $(\mathcal{A}, *, \|\cdot\|_K)$ is called the Cauchy extension of \mathcal{A} which is $*$ -isomorphic to the set of all uniformly continuous functions from K to \mathcal{A} [Nourouzi and Reza 2019, Theorem 5 (iii)]. If $K = [0, 1]$, then $[\mathcal{A}]_K \cong C([0, 1], \mathcal{A})$ [Nourouzi and Reza 2019, Theorem 5 (ii)]. Also, $(\mathcal{A}[Z], *, \|\cdot\|_1)$ is a Banach $*$ -algebra where

$$\|F\|_1 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|a_n\|$$

for all $F(Z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n Z^n \in \mathcal{A}[Z]$ [see (Nourouzi and Reza 2019, Proposition 1)].

2 $C([-1, 1], \mathcal{A})$ as Enveloping C^* -algebra

It is worth mentioning that if $(U_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is an approximate identity for C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} , then $(U_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is also an approximate identity for $(\mathcal{A}[Z], \|\cdot\|_1)$. Indeed, let $F(Z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n Z^n \in \mathcal{A}[Z]$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. There is a positive integer N such that $\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} 2\|a_n\| < \varepsilon$. For any $\lambda \in \Lambda$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(Z) - U_\lambda F(Z)\| &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|a_n - U_\lambda a_n\| \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^N \|a_n - U_\lambda a_n\| \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \|a_n - U_\lambda a_n\| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^N \|a_n - U_\lambda a_n\| + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\limsup_{\lambda} \|F(Z) - U_\lambda F(Z)\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, we have

$$\lim_{\lambda} \|F(Z) - U_\lambda F(Z)\| = 0.$$

Similarly, we get

$$\lim_{\lambda} \|F(Z) - F(Z)U_\lambda\| = 0.$$

That is, $(U_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is also an approximate identity for $(\mathcal{A}[Z], \|\cdot\|_1)$.

Theorem 1 For any C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} , $C([-1, 1], \mathcal{A})$ is the enveloping C^* -algebra of $(\mathcal{A}[Z], *, \|\cdot\|_1)$.

Proof We first show that (i): the C^* -envelope $C^*(\mathcal{A}[Z])$ of $(\mathcal{A}[Z], \|\cdot\|_1)$ is not trivial and (ii): $C^*(\mathbb{C}[Z]) \cong C[-1, 1]$. To prove (i), let Φ be the universal representation of C^* -

algebra $C(J, \mathcal{A})$, where $J = [-1, 1]$. Then, $\Phi \circ i$ is a faithful representation of Banach $*$ -algebra $(\mathcal{A}[Z], \|\cdot\|_1)$, where $i : \mathcal{A}[Z] \hookrightarrow C(J, \mathcal{A})$ is the inclusion map. Consider the (nontrivial) universal representation π of $(\mathcal{A}[Z], \|\cdot\|_1)$ [see (Dixmier 1977, 2.7.6)]. Let Rep denote the set of all representations of $\mathcal{A}[Z]$. We have

$$\|F\|_J \leq \sup_{\omega \in Rep} \|\omega(F)\| = \|\pi(F)\|.$$

This implies that π is faithful. Therefore, $\mathcal{A}[Z]$ equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|'$ defined by $\|F\|' = \|\pi(F)\|$ for any $F(Z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n Z^n \in \mathcal{A}[Z]$ is a pre- C^* -algebra with completion $C^*(\mathcal{A}[Z])$.

To see (ii), since $\|\cdot\|_J \leq \|\cdot\|'$, one can consider $B = C^*(\mathbb{C}[Z])$ as a $*$ -subalgebra of $E = C[-1, 1]$. Let $f \in B$. We have $\sigma_E(f) = f(J) = \sigma_B(f)$ (σ stands for the spectrum), and therefore, $r_B(f) = r_E(f)$, where r_B and r_E are the spectral radii with respect to B and E , respectively. We have

$$\|f\|_J^2 = \|ff\|_J = r_E(ff) = r_B(ff) = \|ff\|' = \|f\|^2.$$

That is $\|f\|' = \|f\|_J$. This implies that $C^*(\mathbb{C}[Z]) \cong C[-1, 1]$.

Now, from (Grothendieck 1955, Theorem 2) we have

$$\mathcal{A}[Z] \cong \mathbb{C}[Z] \hat{\otimes}_\gamma \mathcal{A},$$

where $\mathbb{C}[Z] \hat{\otimes}_\gamma \mathcal{A}$ is the projective tensor product of $\mathbb{C}[Z]$ and \mathcal{A} , i.e., the completion of the algebraic tensor product $\mathbb{C}[Z] \otimes \mathcal{A}$ with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_\gamma = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\| \|y_i\| : u = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i \in \mathbb{C}[Z] \otimes \mathcal{A} \right\}.$$

On the other hand, by (Okayasu 1966, Theorem 3) we have the isometric $*$ -isomorphism

$$C^*(\mathbb{C}[Z] \hat{\otimes}_\gamma \mathcal{A}) \cong C^*(\mathbb{C}[Z]) \hat{\otimes}_{\max} \mathcal{A}.$$

Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned} C^*(\mathcal{A}[Z]) &\cong C^*(\mathbb{C}[Z] \hat{\otimes}_\gamma \mathcal{A}) \\ &\cong C^*(\mathbb{C}[Z]) \hat{\otimes}_{\max} \mathcal{A} \\ &\cong C[-1, 1] \otimes \mathcal{A} \cong C([-1, 1], \mathcal{A}). \end{aligned}$$

□

Suppose that \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{E} are two unital C^* -algebras. Let $S(\mathcal{E})$ be the set of all self-adjoint elements in the closed unit ball of \mathcal{E} . Define the $*$ -homomorphism $\theta : \mathcal{A}[Z] \rightarrow C_b(S(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{E})$ by $\theta(F)(b) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \otimes b^n$, where $b \in S(\mathcal{E})$, and $C_b(S(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{E})$ is the set of all bounded continuous functions from $S(\mathcal{E})$ to $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{E}$ and \otimes is the minimal (maximal) tensor product.

Corollary 1 The C^* -algebra $C([-1, 1], \mathcal{A})$ is $*$ -isomorphic to $\text{Im } \tilde{\theta}$, where $\tilde{\theta}$ is the extension of θ .

Proof By Theorem 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\theta(F)\| &= \sup_{b \in S(\mathcal{E})} \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \otimes b^n \right\| \\ &\leq \sup_{t \in J} \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n t^n \right\| \\ &= \|F\|_J \\ &= \|F\|' \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $\lambda 1 \in S(\mathcal{E})$ for $-1 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, we have $\|F\|_J \leq \|\theta(F)\|$. Therefore, $\|\theta(F)\| = \|F\|_J$ and the extension

$$\tilde{\theta} : C([-1, 1], \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow C_b(S(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{E})$$

is an isometry. □

3 Numerical Semigroups and Cauchy Extensions

A numerical semigroup is a submonoid of the additive monoid $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ such that the greatest common divisor of its nonzero elements is equal to one. A submonoid $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is a numerical semigroup if and only if $\mathbb{N} \setminus S$ is a finite set. Let S be a nontrivial submonoid of \mathbb{N} and $0 \neq \delta$ be the greatest common divisor of the elements of S . The function $\sigma : S \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ defined by $\sigma(s) = s/\delta$ is bijective homomorphism between S and $\text{Im } \sigma$. This means that every nontrivial submonoid of \mathbb{N} is isomorphic to a numerical semigroup. If S is a numerical semigroup, then $\delta S = \{\delta s : s \in S\}$ is a nontrivial submonoid of \mathbb{N} for any integer $\delta > 0$ [see Rosales et al. (2006)].

Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra and S a submonoid of \mathbb{N} . Then, the set $(\mathcal{A}, S)[Z]$ consisting of all power series of the form $F(Z) = \sum_{s \in S} a_s Z^s$ belonging to $\mathcal{A}[Z]$ equipped with the norm

$$\|F(Z)\|_K = \sup_{t \in K} \|F(t)\|.$$

is a sub-pre- C^* -algebra of $\mathcal{A}[Z]$, where K is a subset of $[0, 1]$ with 0 as limit point. The completion $[\mathcal{A}, S]_K$ of $(\mathcal{A}, S)[Z]$ is a C^* -algebra which is in fact, (S, K) -Cauchy extension of \mathcal{A} . In particular, $[\mathcal{A}]_K = [\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{N}]_K$.

Theorem 2 *If S is a numerical semigroup and $I = [0, 1]$, then $[\mathcal{A}, S]_I \cong [\mathcal{A}]_I$.*

Proof Put

$$\mathcal{A}_m = \left\{ F(Z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n Z^n \in \mathcal{A}[Z] : a_0 = a_1 = \dots = a_{m-1} = 0 \right\},$$

where $m \geq 1$ is an integer. Since S is a numerical semigroup, there exists an integer $m \geq 1$ such that

$\mathcal{A}_m \subset (\mathcal{A}, S)[Z]$. The completion $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_m$ of \mathcal{A}_m is equal to $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_1$. In fact, we have

$$at^\alpha = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=0}^N at^{2\alpha} (1 - t^\alpha)^n,$$

for $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and any $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $t \in I$. This shows that

$$a_1 t + a_2 t^2 + \dots + a_{m-1} t^{m-1} \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}_m,$$

for any element a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{m-1} of \mathcal{A} . Therefore, $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_1 = \hat{\mathcal{A}}_m$ from which we have $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_1 \subset [\mathcal{A}, S]_I$. Since $0 \in S$, we have $\mathcal{A} \subset [\mathcal{A}, S]_I$, and therefore, $\mathcal{A}[Z] \subseteq [\mathcal{A}, S]_I$. Now by [Nourouzi and Reza 2019, Theorem 5 (ii), (i)] we have $[\mathcal{A}, S]_I \cong [\mathcal{A}]_I \cong C([0, 1], \mathcal{A})$. □

A subset K of real numbers is said to be δ -regular if $K_\delta = \{x^\delta : x \in K\} = [0, 1]$, where $\delta > 0$ is an even integer. For example, the set

$$K = \{\sqrt[\delta]{x} : x \in \mathbb{Q}, 0 \leq x \leq 1\} \cup \{-\sqrt[\delta]{x} : x \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}, 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$$

is a δ -regular set for any even integer $\delta > 1$.

Theorem 3 *If K is a δ -regular set and S is a numerical semigroup, then $[\mathcal{A}, \delta S]_K \cong C([0, 1], \mathcal{A})$ for any C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} .*

Proof Let $(m_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ be an enumeration of the elements of S . If

$$F(Z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n Z^{\delta m_n} \in (\mathcal{A}, \delta S)[Z],$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(Z)\|_K &= \sup_{t \in K} \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n t^{\delta m_n} \right\| \\ &= \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n t^{m_n} \right\| = \|F^\delta(Z)\|_{[0, 1]}, \end{aligned}$$

where $F^\delta(Z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n Z^{m_n} \in (\mathcal{A}, S)[Z]$. Note that $F \mapsto F^\delta$ is a bijective isometric $*$ -homomorphism between $(\mathcal{A}, \delta S)[Z]$ and $(\mathcal{A}, S)[Z]$. Therefore, by Theorem 2, $[\mathcal{A}, \delta S]_K \cong C([0, 1], \mathcal{A})$. □

4 Dirichlet Extension of C^* -algebras

Let $\mathcal{A}\langle Z \rangle$ be the set of all formal Dirichlet series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-Z}$, where \mathcal{A} is a C^* -algebra and each $a_n \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|a_n\| < \infty$. Then, $\mathcal{A}\langle Z \rangle$ is a $*$ -algebra with the pointwise addition, scalar multiplication and involution and the Dirichlet product. That is,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-Z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n n^{-Z} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n + b_n) n^{-Z}, \\ \lambda \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-Z} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda a_n n^{-Z}, \\ \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-Z} \right)^* &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^* n^{-Z}, \\ \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-Z} \right) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n n^{-Z} \right) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{n=pq} a_p b_q \right) n^{-Z}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-Z}, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n n^{-Z} \in \mathcal{A}\langle Z \rangle$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. If

$$\mathcal{A}_1 = \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-Z} \in \mathcal{A}\langle Z \rangle : a_1 = 0 \right\},$$

then \mathcal{A}_1 is an ideal of $\mathcal{A}\langle Z \rangle$. Since $1 + 4^{-Z}$ has an inverse

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} 4^{-(n-1)Z},$$

then -1 is a spectral value of $(2^{-Z})^2$, and therefore, there is no complete C^* -norm on $\mathcal{A}\langle Z \rangle$. We need the following proposition [see (Apostol 1976, Theorem 11.3)].

Proposition 1 Suppose that \mathcal{A} is a C^* -algebra and

$$F(Z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-Z} \in \mathcal{A}\langle Z \rangle.$$

If $(s_m)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of real numbers such that $s_m \rightarrow +\infty$ and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s_m} = 0$$

for all m , then $a_n = 0$ for all n .

Define $\|\cdot\|_D$ by

$$\|F(Z)\|_D = \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \|\bar{F}(t)\|,$$

where $F(Z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-Z} \in \mathcal{A}\langle Z \rangle$, $\alpha(t) = 1 - 1/t$ and $\bar{F}(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{\alpha(t)}$ is a continuous function on $[0, 1]$ with $\bar{F}(0) = a_1$. Note that $\|\cdot\|_D$ is clearly a seminorm on $\mathcal{A}\langle Z \rangle$ and if $\|F\|_D = 0$, then $F = 0$ by Proposition 1. Therefore, $\|\cdot\|_D$ is a norm on $\mathcal{A}\langle Z \rangle$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|FG\|_D &\leq \|F\|_D \|G\|_D \\ \|FF^*\|_D &= \|F\|_D^2, \end{aligned}$$

for all $F, G \in \mathcal{A}\langle Z \rangle$. Then, $(\mathcal{A}\langle Z \rangle, \|\cdot\|_D)$ is a pre- C^* -algebra and we call the completion $\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle_D$ of $\mathcal{A}\langle Z \rangle$ the Dirichlet extension of \mathcal{A} .

In the following theorem, if $f \in C[0, 1]$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$, by the notation fa we mean an \mathcal{A} -valued continuous function defined by $(fa)(t) = f(t)a$, for any $t \in [0, 1]$.

Theorem 4 Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra. Then, $\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle_D \cong C([0, 1], \mathcal{A})$.

Proof First note that any $F = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-Z} \in \mathcal{A}\langle Z \rangle$ induces a continuous function $\bar{F} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ as

$$\bar{F}(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{\alpha(t)},$$

where $\alpha(t) = 1 - 1/t$ and $\bar{F}(0) = a_1$. Let

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \left\{ \bar{F} : F = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-Z} \in \mathcal{A}\langle Z \rangle \right\}.$$

For any $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\bar{F} \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ we have $\bar{F}a \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$, where

$$(\bar{F}a)(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n a n^{\alpha(t)} \quad (t \in [0, 1]).$$

Note that $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is a self-adjoint algebra of complex functions on $[0, 1]$ which separates the points of $[0, 1]$ and never vanishes on it. By the Stone–Weierstrass theorem $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is dense in $C([0, 1])$. Let $f \in C([0, 1])$. Consider a sequence $(F_m)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ in $\mathcal{C}\langle Z \rangle$ such that $F_m \rightarrow f$ in $\|\cdot\|_D$. Since each $\bar{F}_m a \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}} \cong \mathcal{A}\langle Z \rangle$ and $\bar{F}_m a \rightarrow fa$ in $(\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle_D, \|\cdot\|_D)$, by (Murphy 1990, Lemma 6.4.16) the closed linear span of $\{fb : f \in \mathcal{C}\langle Z \rangle, b \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is equal to $C([0, 1], \mathcal{A})$. That is $\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle_D \cong C([0, 1], \mathcal{A})$. \square

5 M-Cauchy Envelope of C^* -algebras

In this section, we replace the subsemigroups of \mathbb{N} in Sect. 3 by an at most countable commutative monoid M and use the idea of Sect. 2 to obtain new C^* -algebras. In fact, by the idea given here we generalize Sects. 2, 3 and 4.

We began with some notations of crossed product of C^* -algebras [see e.g., Pedersen (1979) and Williams (2007)]. Let G be a discrete group and \mathcal{A} a C^* -algebra. A G - C^* -algebra $(\mathcal{A}, \lambda_{\mathcal{A}})$ is a group homomorphism $\lambda_{\mathcal{A}} : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$. We denote by $\mathcal{A} \rtimes_{\lambda_{\mathcal{A}}} G$ the crossed product of \mathcal{A} by G . The $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ of C^* -algebras is called G -equivariant (or $\lambda_{\mathcal{A}}$ - $\lambda_{\mathcal{B}}$ -equivariant) homomorphism if

$$\lambda_{\mathcal{B}}(g) \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ \lambda_{\mathcal{A}}(g) \quad (g \in G).$$

The class G - C^* -algebras and G -equivariant homomorphisms form a category which is denoted by G - C^* -**alg**. We also denote by C^* -**alg** the category of C^* -algebras and $*$ -homomorphisms.

Let \mathcal{A} , S and δ be a C^* -algebra, a numerical semigroup and a positive integer, respectively. If K is a subset of $[-1, 1]$ with 0 as limit point then by an argument similar to that of given in Theorem 3, the pre- C^* -algebra $(\mathcal{A}, \delta S)[Z]$ with the norm $\|\cdot\|_K$ is isometric $*$ -isomorphism to the pre- C^* -algebra $(\mathcal{A}, S)[Z]$ with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{K_\delta}$. Therefore, an argument similar to that of given in Theorem 2 gives that $[\mathcal{A}, \delta S]_K \cong [\mathcal{A}]_{K_\delta}$. In particular, we have $[\mathcal{A}, \delta S]_I \cong [\mathcal{A}]_I$, where $I = [0, 1]$.

Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra and M an at most countable commutative monoid with unit e . Put

$$(\mathcal{A}, M)[Z] = \left\{ F(Z) = \sum_{s \in M} a_s Z^s : \sum_{s \in M} \|a_s\| < \infty \right\}$$

and define addition, scalar multiplication and involution component-wise on $(\mathcal{A}, M)[Z]$. Product is defined by

$$F(Z)G(Z) = \sum_{s \in M} \left(\sum_{s=pq} a_p b_q \right) Z^s,$$

for any $F(Z) = \sum_{s \in M} a_s Z^s$ and $G(Z) = \sum_{s \in M} b_s Z^s$ in $(\mathcal{A}, M)[Z]$. Define a norm on $(\mathcal{A}, M)[Z]$ by

$$\|F(Z)\|_1 = \sum_{s \in M} \|a_s\| \left(F(Z) = \sum_{s \in M} a_s Z^s \in (\mathcal{A}, M)[Z] \right).$$

Then $(\mathcal{A}, M)[Z]$ is a Banach $*$ -algebra with approximate identity. We denote by $[\mathcal{A}, M]$ the C^* -envelope of $(\mathcal{A}, M)[Z]$. We call $[\mathcal{A}, M]$ the M -Cauchy envelope of \mathcal{A} . Suppose that the map $i : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}, M)[Z]$ is defined by $a \mapsto F(Z) = aZ^e$ and the map $p : (\mathcal{A}, M)[Z] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is defined by $\sum_{s \in M} a_s Z^s \mapsto \sum_{s \in M} a_s$. Since $p \circ i = id_{\mathcal{A}}$, we have $\hat{p} \circ \hat{i} = id_{\mathcal{A}}$, and therefore, \hat{i} is an embedding of \mathcal{A} in $[\mathcal{A}, M]$. Let π be a nontrivial representation of $(\mathcal{A}, M)[Z]$. We denote by $[\mathcal{A}, \pi, M]$ the completion of $(\mathcal{A}, M)[Z]/\ker \pi$ with norm

$$\|x + \ker \pi\| = \|\pi(x)\|.$$

In particular, if π is the universal representation, then $[\mathcal{A}, \pi, M] = [\mathcal{A}, M]$. The Banach $*$ -algebra $(\mathcal{A}, M)[Z]$ is called $*$ -semisimple if there is a representation π of $(\mathcal{A}, M)[Z]$ with $\ker \pi = 0$.

Example 1 In the following all Banach $*$ -algebras are assumed to be $*$ -semisimple.

- (i) If $M = \mathbb{N}$, then, by Theorem 1, $[\mathcal{A}, M] \cong C([0, 1], \mathcal{A})$;
- (ii) Let π be the representation of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{N})[Z]$ with norm

$$\|\pi(F)\| = \sup_{t \in K} \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n t^n \right\|$$

for any $F(Z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n Z^n$ in $(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{N})[Z]$. Then, $[\mathcal{A}, \pi, \mathbb{N}] = [\mathcal{A}]_K$;

- (iii) Let $\mathbb{N}^* = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ be the monoid of natural numbers with multiplication as operation. Suppose that π is the representation of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{N}^*)[Z]$ with norm

$$\|\pi(F)\| = \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{z(t)} \right\|$$

for any $F(Z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-Z}$ in $(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{N}^*)[Z]$. Then, $[\mathcal{A}, \pi, \mathbb{N}^*] \cong C([0, 1], \mathcal{A})$ by Theorem 4;

- (iv) Let G be a discrete group such that $a^2 = e$ for any $a \in G$. Then, $[\mathcal{A}, G] \cong \mathcal{A} \rtimes_t G$ where t is the trivial action on G .

Theorem 5 For any at most countable commutative monoid M , there exists a discrete group G and a functor $\mathbf{M} : C^*\text{-alg} \rightarrow G\text{-}C^*\text{-alg}$.

Proof Let $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a $*$ -homomorphism of C^* -algebras. The map

$$\tilde{\varphi} : (\mathcal{A}, M)[Z] \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}, M)[Z]$$

defined by

$$\tilde{\varphi} \left(\sum_{s \in M} a_s Z^s \right) = \sum_{s \in M} \varphi(a_s) Z^s$$

induces a $*$ -homomorphism $\hat{\varphi} : [\mathcal{A}, M] \rightarrow [\mathcal{B}, M]$. That is $[-, M]$ is a functor on the category of C^* -algebras. Let $f : M \rightarrow M$ be an automorphism of monoids. The map $\tilde{f} : (\mathcal{A}, M)[Z] \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}, M)[Z]$ defined by $\sum_{s \in M} a_s Z^s \mapsto \sum_{s \in M} a_{f(s)} Z^{f(s)}$ induces the automorphism $\hat{f} : [\mathcal{A}, M] \rightarrow [\mathcal{A}, M]$ of C^* -algebras. Consider the group $\text{Aut}(M) = G^{op}$, where G^{op} is the opposite group of G . Consider G as a discrete group. The map

$$\lambda_{\mathcal{A}} : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}([\mathcal{A}, M])$$

defined by

$$\lambda_{\mathcal{A}}(g) = \hat{g}_{\mathcal{A}} : [\mathcal{A}, M] \rightarrow [\mathcal{A}, M]$$

is a homomorphism of groups and $\lambda_{\mathcal{A}}$ is an action of G on $[\mathcal{A}, M]$. Let $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a $*$ -homomorphism of C^* -algebras. Since

$$\tilde{g}_{\mathcal{B}} \circ \tilde{\varphi} = \tilde{\varphi} \circ \tilde{g}_{\mathcal{A}} \quad (g \in G)$$

we have

$$\lambda_{\mathcal{B}}(g) \circ \hat{\varphi} = \hat{\varphi} \circ \lambda_{\mathcal{A}}(g) \quad (g \in G)$$

and $\hat{\varphi}$ is a G -equivariant map. Therefore, $\mathbf{M} = [-, M] : C^*\text{-alg} \rightarrow G\text{-}C^*\text{-alg}$ is the desired functor. \square

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank the referees for giving constructive comments which helped to improve the quality of the paper.

References

- Apostol TM (1976) Introduction to analytic number theory. Undergraduate texts in mathematics. Springer, New York
- Arveson W (1977) Notes on extensions of C^* -algebras. *Duke Math J* 44(2):329–355
- Busby RC (1968) Double centralizers and extensions of C^* -algebras. *Trans Am Math Soc* 132:79–99
- Dixmier J (1977) C^* -algebras. Translated from the French by Francis Jellet. North-Holland Mathematical Library, vol 15. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam
- Grothendieck A (1955) Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires (French), vol 16. American Mathematical Society: Providence
- Murphy GJ (1990) C^* -algebras and operator theory. Academic Press Inc, Boston
- Nourouzi K, Reza A (2019) Functors induced by Cauchy extension of C^* -algebras. *Sahand Commun Math Anal* 14(1):27–53
- Okayasu T (1966) On the tensor products of C^* -algebras. *Tohoku Math J* 2(18):325–331
- Pedersen GK (1979) C^* -algebras and their automorphism groups. London mathematical society monographs, 14. Academic Press, Inc., New York
- Rosales JC, García-Sánchez PA, García-García JI, Branco MB (2006) Numerical semigroups with maximal embedding dimension. Focus on commutative rings research. Nova Science Publishers, New York, pp 47–53
- Williams DP (2007) Crossed products of C^* -algebras. Mathematical surveys and monographs, 134. American Mathematical Society, Providence