### **FOREST PATHOLOGY (J WITZELL, SECTION EDITOR)**



# **Forest Tree Virome as a Source of Tree Diseases and Biological Control Agents**

**Eeva J. Vainio1 · Artemis Rumbou2 · Julio J. Diez3 · Carmen Büttner2**

Accepted: 24 January 2024 / Published online: 21 February 2024 © The Author(s) 2024

## **Abstract**

**Purpose of Review** The collective virome of forest trees can be considered to include not only plant viruses, but also viral communities harbored by all tree-associated organisms. In this review, we will concentrate on reviewing recent developments in the two felds of forest tree virology that have received the most research input during the last 5 years: (1) current knowledge of virus diseases afecting forest trees and their causal agents and (2) fungal viruses (mycoviruses) and properties that are required for utilizing them for biocontrol purposes.

**Recent Findings** The discovery of plant and mycoviruses has been revolutionized during the last few years due to highthroughput sequencing (HTS). This has altered our view of virus diversity and prevalence, but also their host ranges, transmission routes, and host efects. Utilization of HTS has greatly expanded our knowledge of plant virus diversity and disease etiology in forest trees and revealed the commonness of cross-kingdom transmission events between fungi, oomycetes, plants, and arthropods. Research on mycoviruses has also identifed several new mycoviruses that restrict the growth or virulence of forest pathogenic fungi.

**Summary** Gaining knowledge of the collective virome of forest ecosystems is essential not only for understanding virus evolution and diversity but also for improving our understanding on virus impacts, and our ability for biocontrol-based and environmentally friendly management of viral and fungal diseases that afect economically important plants and benefcial insects, and for preventing possible disease outbreaks in the future. Virus infections play a central role in plant health, but viral symptoms on forest trees remain often unrecognized and may be confused with other biotic or abiotic damages. However, recent studies have revealed previously unknown viruses as causes of forest tree symptoms and suggest that viruses are responsible for far greater economic losses than recognized earlier. However, many knowledge gaps still need to be flled, particularly on the diversity of viruses that infect diferent species of forest trees, their irregular distribution within the plant, their mode of transmission, epidemiology and choice of hosts also regarding crop plants, their efect on the metabolism of their host tree, and their interaction with other microorganisms. Mycovirus research has already deciphered detailed information on many critical properties that afect utilizing them for biocontrol purposes. Still, more knowledge is needed concerning mycoviral transmission mode and stability in feld conditions, the level of host tolerance against mycoviral infection, and the occurrence of interspecies mycovirus transmission in nature, and safety issues related to these topics.

**Keywords** Plant viruses · Forest pathology, Epidemiology · Forest virome · Mycovirus · Hypovirulence

 $\boxtimes$  Eeva J. Vainio eeva.vainio@luke.f

> Artemis Rumbou artemis.rumbou@agrar.hu-berlin.de

Julio J. Diez juliojavier.diez@uva.es

Carmen Büttner carmen.buettner@agrar.hu-berlin.de

- <sup>1</sup> Natural Resources Institute Finland, Latokartanonkaari 9, 00790 Helsinki, Finland
- <sup>2</sup> Faculty of Life Sciences, Albrecht Daniel Thaer-Institute of Agricultural and Horticultural Sciences, Humboldt-Universität Zu Berlin, 14195 Berlin, Germany
- <sup>3</sup> Plant Production & Forest Resources Department-Sustainable Forest Management Research Institute (iuFOR), University of Valladolid, Palencia, Spain

#### **Introduction**

The health of forest trees can be threatened by various pathogens such as fungi, oomycetes, bacteria, and viruses, as well as insect and nematode pests. According to the current state of knowledge, fungi, oomycetes, and insects are regarded as the most signifcant damage agents in terms of economic loss caused to the forest industry  $[1, 2]$  $[1, 2]$  $[1, 2]$  $[1, 2]$ . But over the last decade studies on plant viruses in forest and urban trees have confrmed the common occurrence of viruses and allow the assumption that these pathogens contribute to loss of vitality and tree damage leading to tree decline [[3•](#page-16-2)•]. All the tree-associated organisms harbor their own virus communities (viromes), and the collective tree virome can be considered to include all of these [[4](#page-16-3)••]. From the viewpoint of host tree health, viruses can have two major roles: plant viruses acting as disease agents and viruses of tree pathogens and pests that may act as enemies of enemies, thereby benefting the tree.

In this review, we will concentrate on reviewing recent developments in the two felds of forest tree virology that have received the most research input during the last 5 years: (1) plant viruses as a source of forest tree diseases and (2) fungal viruses (mycoviruses) as a source of biocontrol agents. We will focus on recent literature published during the last 5 years (2017 to present), but also refer to earlier reports with essential fndings. Finally, we will discuss potential inter-kingdom virus transmission pathways in the forest ecosystem and recommend future research goals.

## **Plant Viruses in Forest Trees and Agroforestry Systems**

The frst reports on forest tree viruses were published in 1935 and were based on visual inspection only [\[5\]](#page-16-4). After almost a century of efforts in forest virology research, it is apparent that pathogenic viruses seriously deteriorate tree health and can cause emerging infectious diseases. Knowledge on viruses infecting forest trees was signifcantly expanded when high-throughput sequencing (HTS) studies were employed on several deciduous trees [\[3](#page-16-2)••, [4•](#page-16-3)•, [6](#page-16-5)•]. Based on current knowledge, the 19 most common European forest tree or shrub species are afected by at least 43 diferent plant virus species (Fig. [1](#page-1-0) and Table S1), the majority of which are positive-sense single-stranded  $((+)$  ssRNA) viruses. Most virus species of forest trees are host-specifc pathogens. Interestingly, the newly discovered genus *Emaravirus* (order



<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Diversity of plant virus genera afecting the most common forest tree/shrub species. The names of the virus genera and the number of virus species belonging to these genera are annotated. The diagram is based on the list of plant pathogenic viruses published in Rumbou et al. (2021) [\[4•](#page-16-3)•], also including two novel viruses discovered later:

the ash shoestring-associated virus (ASaV) in ash and the *Cytorhabdovirus tiliae* in lime. The listed viruses infect the host maple, birch, chestnut, ash, Norway spruce, pine, poplar, oak, elderberry, mountain ash, elm, and beech. Unclassifed viruses belong to the families *Caulimo-*, *Amalga-*, *Flexi-*, and *Partitiviridae*

*Bunyavirales*; family *Fimoviridae*) is represented in the forest tree virome by six new species, and there are also two newly discovered reverse-transcribing dsDNA viruses, one in birch and one in chestnut, both belonging to the *Badnavirus* genus (Table [1\)](#page-3-0). In some forest trees (e.g., whitebeam and lime tree), the virome was examined for the frst time only recently [\[7](#page-16-6), [8•](#page-16-7)]. Within single hosts it was found that multiple virus species or even multiple variants of the same species may be simultaneously present.

## **Phenotypic Efects on the Hosts and Disease Diagnosis**

Symptomatology is the primary tool for viral disease diagnosis. Plant pathogenic viruses may cause various leaf symptoms in deciduous trees, which serve as the initial indication of viruses in trees. Good training on recognizing virus-specifc symptoms is needed for diferential diagnosis [\[3](#page-16-2)••]. Macroscopic symptoms include various patterns on the leaves, like discoloration, leaf chlorosis, and necrosis (Fig. [2\)](#page-6-0) and viruses can afect the size, shape, and quality of the trees, seedlings, fruits, seed, or/and wood [\[3](#page-16-2)••, [9–](#page-16-8)[11\]](#page-16-9). Reduced seed production and twig deformation may occur, and tree growth might be hindered, which could ultimately contribute to the tree's overall decline. There is a range of characteristic virus-related symptoms; however, several symptoms resemble nutrient deficiencies or impact of biotic/abiotic causes. Often, multiple causes interact, making it difficult to attribute symptoms to a specific cause. Symptom observation is particularly challenging in conifers, and coupled with difficulties in extracting total nucleic acids, this results in limited knowledge of the virome in conifers to date. Additionally to the symptomatology, the sampling process of symptomatic samples is highly signifcant. The selected samples, the sample size, and the timing of the sampling can greatly afect the success or failure of detection assays.

While symptomatology provides the foundation for identifying the causes of plant damage, to determine whether a virus is associated with the expression of particular symptoms, it is necessary to additionally examine a signifcant number of non-symptomatic samples. In many instances, the viral causal agent of a disease is absent from the virome of non-symptomatic samples. This was observed in infections of trees with emaraviruses, such as *Emaravirus aceris*, *E. populis*, *E. quercus*, and *E. sorbi* and ash shoestring-associated emaravirus, where the non-symptomatic samples were always virus-negative  $[6\bullet, 7, 12\bullet\bullet, 13]$  $[6\bullet, 7, 12\bullet\bullet, 13]$ . However, this could not be confrmed in birch, where some plant viral genetic material could still be detected in non-symptomatic samples. In the latter case, it becomes difficult to determine the causal agents of a disease or to associate certain viruses with specifc symptoms (see specifc case studies in the "[Latest Case](#page-2-0)  [Studies of Forest Tree Viruses](#page-2-0)" section).

#### <span id="page-2-0"></span>**Latest Case Studies of Forest Tree Viruses**

In earlier research, virus-related symptoms in forest trees had quite often been attributed to generalist viruses occasionally detected in afected trees. Latest investigations based on HTS methods have revealed that in many cases the presence of these generalist viruses was not correlated with the disease [[4](#page-16-3)••] (Table [1\)](#page-3-0), whereas certain newly discovered viruses were confrmed to be the causal agents. These novel findings offer a more realistic view on the pathogenic virome of forest trees, and exemplar cases are described below.

Birch leafroll disease (BLRD) causing a severe decline in birch trees was frst described in Finland in 2002 (Table [1](#page-3-0)). BLRD has since been reported in fve European countries with varying climates. Diseased trees exhibit leaf symptoms such as vein banding, leaf roll, chlorosis, and subsequent necrosis, causing a loss of vigor and degeneration in the trees (Fig. [2](#page-6-0) B1, B2, B3). Before the application of HTS tools, BLR-related symptoms in birch were attributed mainly to cherry leaf roll virus (CLRV) and partly to tobacco necrosis virus and tomato ringspot virus [\[14](#page-16-12)]. Lately, HTS analysis revealed a complex virome consisting of both novel and known viruses that correlate with the disease. The novel birch leafroll-associated virus (BLRaV) — associated with symptom appearance — is a reverse-transcribed dsDNA badnavirus that could not be detected with conventional diagnostic methods. Additionally, mixed infections involving other viruses from the group of carla-, idaeo-, and capilloviruses, may also play a signifcant role in the symptom development [\[15](#page-16-13), [16](#page-16-14)••, [17\]](#page-17-0) or may be present in non-symptomatic samples. HTS-based studies revealed the non-signifcance of several generalist viruses previously thought to be associated with the disease (Table [1\)](#page-3-0).

Chestnut mosaic disease (ChMD) was frst identifed in Italy several decades ago, where it was associated with viral symptoms, but it was only recently that a new virus called chestnut mosaic virus (ChMV) was discovered in afected trees, believed to be a strong etiological candidate of ChMD [[18\]](#page-17-1). Studies conducted in France and Central Eastern Italy have shown that ChMV is prevalent in some commercial orchards [\[18\]](#page-17-1). ChMV sequences have also been found in *Castanea mollissima* trees in the USA and China, as well as in *C. dentata* trees in the USA [[18](#page-17-1)]. The presence of ChMV has not always been confrmed in diseased trees, though its frequency tends to be higher in symptomatic trees.

The ash shoestring-associated emaravirus (ASaV) was recently found to be associated with the ash shoestring disease in European ash (*Fraxinus excelsior*) and manna ash (*F. ornus*) trees exhibiting chlorotic ringspots, mottle, and leaf deformation such as curling and shoestring symptoms [[13\]](#page-16-11) (Fig. [2](#page-6-0) D1, D2). The virus could not be previously identifed through purifcation of virus particles and cloning of random cDNA libraries, but was discovered by applying HTS



<span id="page-3-0"></span>

**Table 1** (continued)



in diseased ash trees. Other viruses previously detected in symptomatic samples were found to be unrelated to the disease through HTS (Table [1](#page-3-0)). Lately, new ASaV infections in *F. excelsior* have been confrmed in Switzerland, various locations in Sweden, and Germany [\[13\]](#page-16-11). Genetic variability of ASaV was investigated recently and indicated high nucleotide sequence identity (over 92%) [\[19](#page-17-2)]. Infections of the second host species, *F. ornus*, were also found in Germany (Hamburg) and Northern Italy (Bolzano) [\[13](#page-16-11)]. First investigations on ASaV impact on urban *F. ornus* trees have revealed that virus infection afects the cambium or growth. The structural alterations in woody tissues were non-destructively observed by X-ray micro-tomography (R. Terzano and C. Büttner, personal communication 2023). Performed micro-focused X-ray fuorescence elemental maps of representative areas of the upper surface of virus-infected *Fraxinus* leaves with symptoms demonstrated the diferences of elemental distribution maps of K, Ca, Mn, Fe, Cu, and Zn [[20\]](#page-17-3). Furthermore, the widespread presence of novel cytorhabdoviruses was con-firmed in two sampled plantations for seed production [\[21](#page-17-4)].

A mosaic disease of Eurasian aspen has been observed since 1991 in Norway and since 2009 in Finland. The recently discovered *Emaravirus populi* (prior AsMaV) from mosaic-diseased Eurasian aspen (*Populus tremula*) was found to be closely associated with leaf symptoms such as mottle, yellow blotching, variegation, and chloroses along veins [\[7](#page-16-6)] (Fig. [2](#page-6-0)E). The virus was confrmed to be the causal agent through its detection in symptomatic, graft-inoculated seedlings and virus-infected scions used for graft inoculation [[7\]](#page-16-6) and has a wide geographic distribution in Norway, Finland, and Sweden [\[7](#page-16-6)]. Gallmites are suspected as potential vectors after hints from initial experiments [\[7](#page-16-6)].

In the 1970s, the "chlorotic ringspot" disease of oaks previously observed in the USA [\[22](#page-17-5)] was reported in Europe. The disease etiology was unraveled using HTS technology (Table [1\)](#page-3-0). The novel *Emaravirus quercus* (prior CoRaV) was identifed in diseased oak trees and was genetically characterized. Diagnostic tools for reliable molecular detection are provided [[6](#page-16-5)•, [23•](#page-17-6)] and serological detection was recently developed. Specifc antibodies were generated (LOEWE, Sauerlach) based on recent research to create a kit for routine testing purposes for CoRaV. This serological test system is the frst to identify a novel tree virus. The disease was found to affect 11–19% of oak seedlings in propagation stations, posing a threat for forests due to the widespread of the disease through infected oak propagation material.



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Symptoms exhibited on virus-infected forest trees. **A1** Oak ◂leaf pattern on virus-infected *Acer pseudoplatanus*. **A2** Mottle, vein, and broad leaf chlorosis on MaMaV-infected *A. pseudoplatanus* leaves. **B1** Complex ringspot pattern on virus-infected *Betula pendula*. **B2** Leaf chlorotic pattern on CLRV-infected *B. pendula*. **B3** Declining *B. pendula* tree due to birch virome. **C** Ringspots and chlorotic spots on CoRaV-infected *Quercus robur.* **D1** Shoestring on ASaV-infected *Fraxinus excelsior*. **D2** Chlorotic ring spots on ASaVinfected *F. excelsior* seedlings in a natural rejuvenation stand. **E** Mottling and mosaic on AsMaV-infected *Populus* sp. **F** Chlorotic line pattern and ringspots on virus-infected *Fagus sylvatica*. **G** Chlorotic and necrotic ringspots on virus-infected *Ulmus laevi*

Other confrmed cases include "European mountain ash ringspot disease," the main disease afecting *Sorbus aucuparia*, which is caused by *Emaravirus sorbi* (prior EMARaV; Table [1](#page-3-0)) [[24–](#page-17-7)[27](#page-17-8)] that is widespread in central/northern Europe and England. Recently, EMARaV was detected in new hosts, including serviceberry (*Amelanchier* spp.) in Germany [[28\]](#page-17-9), Houston's whitebeam (*Karpatiosorbus*×*hybrid*) in Finland [\[29](#page-17-10)], and Swedish whitebeam (*S. intermedia*) in Sweden [[27\]](#page-17-8). Furthermore, *E. aceri* (prior MaMaV; Table [1\)](#page-3-0) was identifed in symptomatic maples in forested areas in Germany [\[30](#page-17-11)•]. Leaves show mottling and chlorotic line patterns, which turn necrotic under drought conditions (Fig. [2](#page-6-0) A1, A2). Vector transmission is assumed by *Aceria macrorhynchus*, but confrming studies are needed. In symptomatic elms, the elm carla virus was found through HTS [\[31](#page-17-12), [32](#page-17-13)]. This virus and the elm mottle virus  $[8\bullet, 33, 34]$  are strongly believed to be the two main causes of leaf symptoms (Fig. [2G](#page-6-0)) and dieback (Table [1\)](#page-3-0). In *Tilia* sp. trees, HTS investigation lately uncovered a new cytorhabdovirus associated with leaf symptoms in Germany [\[8](#page-16-7)•]. Novel viruses causing leaf symptoms have also been discovered lately in beeches (A. Rumbou, K. Köpke, and C. Büttner; personal communication) (Fig. [2F](#page-6-0)).

Occasionally, in certain samples analyzed by HTS, short viral sequences, belonging to persistent or latent virus families, have been identifed. Examples of such incidences are totiviruses found in BLR-diseased and asymptomatic birch samples [\[15](#page-16-13)]. In another symptomatic birch sample, a wide array of double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) viruses resembling toti-, reo-, and partitiviruses as well as (+) ssRNA viruses of the genera *Mitovirus* and *Benyvirus* were detected, while in symptomatic oaks, contigs of partitiviruses were found (C. Büttner and A. Rumbou, personal communication). These fndings indicate the presence of a much larger virome than currently known. The role of these viruses in the tree health remains unclear.

## **Transmission Routes of Plant Viruses in the Forest Ecosystem**

Transmission of viruses through vectors (arthropods, nematodes, fungi) is assumed to be the most common mode of viral transmission in nature [\[3•](#page-16-2)•]. The most important virus vectors are arthropods and Acari seem to be the dominant group of potential arthropod vectors of tree viruses [[3](#page-16-2)••]. Gall mites (Eriophydae) are assumed to transmit *Emaravirus sorbi*, as this virus has been detected in the pear leaf blister mite (*Phytoptus pyri*) [[29,](#page-17-10) [35](#page-17-16)•]. Transmission of viruses through gall mites was studied also in Eurasian aspen [[7\]](#page-16-6) and preliminary results for virus transmission through insect vectors in birch are also available [\[36](#page-17-17)]. The role of nematodes and fungi as vectors of novel tree viruses needs to be clarifed in future investigations [[3](#page-16-2)••].

Grafting and mechanical transmission are signifcant means of horizontal spread of viruses in nurseries, urban green spaces, and seed plantations [[3•](#page-16-2)•] and have been confrmed as a transmission route for viruses in the families *Betafexi*, *Bromo-*, *Caulimo*-, *Fimo*-, *Flexi*-, *Poty*-, *Seco*-, *Solemo*, and *Tombusviridae* [\[3•](#page-16-2)•]. Plant viruses can then be introduced from tree nurseries through diseased propagation material such as scions, buds, or chips into the wider environment where they spread and invade neighboring ecosystems through the vectors. The transmissibility of *E. sorbi* by grafting to European mountain ash and of *E. quercus* to oak was reported already in 1995 [[37](#page-17-18)], and recently transmission through grafting has been shown also for *E. populi* in poplar [[7](#page-16-6)], and for the badnavirus BLRaV in birch [\[16•](#page-16-14)•], and for the badnavirus ChMV in chestnut [[18\]](#page-17-1). Natural root grafts between adjacent plants may also occur in forests and orchards. Vertical transmission is not as common in forest tree viruses, but transmission through seed and pollen has been proved for cherry leaf roll virus (CLRV) [[38](#page-17-19)] and tomato black ring virus (ToBRV). Arabis mosaic virus (ArMV) and also the novel *E. quercus* seem to be transmitted only through seed  $[12\bullet\bullet]$  $[12\bullet\bullet]$  $[12\bullet\bullet]$ .

Additionally, plant viruses from at least seven diferent genera (including carmo-, cucumo-, diatho-, tobamo-, necro-, potex-, and tombusviruses) have been found in water bodies, such as oceans, streams, rivers, creeks, canals, ditches, ponds, and lakes [[3](#page-16-2)••]. It is likely that spread through water plays a signifcant role in the long-distance dispersal of forest viruses. In addition, virus spread through soil must be equivalently considered. So far there are only very few studies on soil transmission in the context of forest viruses [\[39\]](#page-17-20), but the risk of viruses spreading in soil and sewage is well documented in agriculture.

### **Economical Losses**

Economic implications due to a forest tree virus disease were frst reported in 1939 in the Ohio Valley, USA, when a fatal epidemic occurred among elm trees [\[40](#page-17-21)]. The outbreak appears to have been even more serious than that of the Dutch elm disease, as it killed 1000 out of about 1800 elms in one particular locality during 3 years [\[40](#page-17-21)]. Losses from

virus diseases are difficult to measure in trees unless they are visibly damaged, or wood quality defciencies are noticed. Despite these difficulties, estimation of losses is essential to determine the economic threshold for control measures in each virus-host system. While numerical data on the losses from virus diseased forest trees are lacking, current data on symptom appearance, disease dispersal, and epidemic severity provide evidence of this. Moreover, knowledge can be transferred from viral diseases of fruit trees in orchards like pome and stone fruit trees [\[41](#page-17-22)[–44](#page-17-23)]. The economic impact of seed transmission should also not be neglected. Barba et al. [\[41\]](#page-17-22) pointed out that losses are also expected due to poor survival of infected seed and to restricted growth of infected seeds in combination with long-distance spread of seeds.

A well-known example of losses due to forest tree viruses is the cherry leaf roll virus (CLRV) that leads to degeneration in *Betula* sp. and moreover in many species to decline [\[45](#page-17-24)]. In birch trees it was also confirmed after many years of monitoring that seedling decline and stepwise tree decline occurs due to virus infection  $[3\bullet\bullet, 16\bullet\bullet, 17]$  $[3\bullet\bullet, 16\bullet\bullet, 17]$  $[3\bullet\bullet, 16\bullet\bullet, 17]$ . It should also be mentioned that there are suggestions that viruses in birch pollen may afect human health in terms of allergic reactions [\[46•](#page-18-0)•].

In feld conditions, trees cannot be cured of a virus infection and remain infected throughout their lives. Thermotherapy-based methods are available for virus curing for propagative material for fruit trees, but there is no experience on forest trees [[47\]](#page-18-1). This viral inoculum can be further spread through various vertical or horizontal transmission pathways in the ecosystem. Plant viruses in tree nurseries can be particularly damaging, as infected propagative materials serve as a signifcant source of viral tree diseases that can rapidly spread throughout forest and urban ecosystems. Furthermore, plant viruses can make their hosts susceptible to abiotic and biotic stresses by altering their predisposition [\[3](#page-16-2)••].

The novel fndings in the feld of forest tree virology have raised new questions on the economic and ecological impacts of viral infections. While unraveling the complex virome of birch and the diverse nature of the detected viruses  $[16\bullet\bullet]$ , it remains unclear what is the role of these viruses in disease development, as each virus may interact with or disturb the virome, ultimately causing disease. This is also the case in domesticated tree species such as peach [[48\]](#page-18-2) or grapevine [[49](#page-18-3)]. What is the biological signifcance of such a diverse virome? And how could we establish a correlation between such viral complexes and the appearance of symptoms or how could we diferentiate symptomatology in cases of infection by a single virus or by two or more virus species?

Another topical issue is the discovery of the new genus *Emaravirus* involving currently around 24 worldwide emerging plant viruses  $[12\bullet]$  and frequently found in forest trees including oak, maple, European mountain ash, aspen, serviceberry, Houston's whitebeam, and Swedish whitebeam across Europe. Emaraviruses have recorded significant efects on the health of diverse plant species in agricultural, forest, and urban environments [\[50\]](#page-18-4). What could be the concrete consequences of the common presence and further spread of this genus on forest health?

Considering the current environmental conditions, the question raises whether the impending climate change may support the spread of forest pathogens and diseases and play a role in the dispersal of forest epidemics. Investigations have shown that higher temperatures, drought stress, and air pollution contribute to increases in viral transmission directly [[51](#page-18-5)] and indirectly via infuences on insect vectors [[52](#page-18-6), [53\]](#page-18-7). Recent results provide evidence that increased environmental stress may promote viral infections in birch trees and most probably contribute to severe economic losses [[3•](#page-16-2)•, [46](#page-18-0)••]. Furthermore, the pathogen–host–environment interplay may be affected by significant changes in the environment due to deforestation and logging, human encroachment of forests and increased interspecies contacts at the wildlife/agriculture interface. These factors may trigger alterations in the interactions within the holobiont and, consequently, may underlie future outbreaks of diseases [\[54](#page-18-8)].

# **Fungal and Oomycete Viruses as Potential Biocontrol Agents Against Forest Tree Diseases**

Forest pathogenic fungi cause devastating losses to the forest industry and natural ecosystems [\[1](#page-16-0), [2\]](#page-16-1). They are currently controlled by silvicultural practices and biological and chemical control agents [[55,](#page-18-9) [56](#page-18-10)]. However, the majority of the treatments are prophylactic, and cannot eradicate a pathogen once the infection is already present at the stand. In turn, introducing mycoviruses as antimicrobials that debilitate the growth or dispersal of their host pathogen could be used to mitigate the established disease [\[57](#page-18-11)[–60](#page-18-12)].

Cryphonectria hypovirus 1 (CHV-1, representing the species *Alphahypovirus cryphonectriae*) is the textbook example of a mycovirus used as a biocontrol agent [[61](#page-18-13)]. The virus signifcantly reduces the virulence of its host fungus, *Cryphonectria parasitica*, the causal agent of chestnut blight in feld conditions, and the infection seems to be stable, with a predictable outcome. Moreover, CHV-1 is efficiently transmitted to native isolates and continues to spread naturally in Europe. After the discovery of hypovirulence in *C. parasitica*, there has been an expansion in research on viruses that could be used as biocontrol agents against other major fungal forest pathogens, such as *Ophiostoma novo-ulmi* [\[62\]](#page-18-14), *Gremmeniella abietina* [[63](#page-18-15)], *Fusarium circinatum* [\[64\]](#page-18-16), *Heterobasidion annosum* and

*H. parviporum* [[65](#page-18-17), [66](#page-18-18)], *Hymenoscyphus fraxineus* [[67](#page-18-19)], *Armillaria* spp. [\[68\]](#page-18-20), and the fruit tree pathogen *Rosellinia necatrix* [[69\]](#page-18-21). This task requires a substantial amount of virus screening because most mycoviruses known to date are apparently asymptomatic, and some even mediate increased host virulence (hypervirulence) in tree pathogens, as observed in *F. circinatum*, *Cronartium ribicola*, and *Thielaviopsis basicola* [[70–](#page-18-22)[73](#page-18-23)]. However, the discovery of mycoviruses has been revolutionized during the last few years due to HTS. This has not only altered our view of mycovirus diversity and prevalence [\[74,](#page-18-24) [75•](#page-18-25)] but also their host ranges, transmission routes, and host effects. Below, we will discuss critical properties that afect utilizing them for biocontrol of major fungal and oomycete pathogens of forest trees.

#### **Efect of Mycoviruses on Their Fungal Hosts**

As a result of extensive virus screening studies, highly diverse virus communities have been revealed in major pathogens affecting forest trees [[4•](#page-16-3)•] (Fig. [3](#page-8-0); Table S2), including also several cases of debilitation-associated mycoviruses. Diferent mycoviral isolates belonging to the same virus species may have contrasting efects on their host fungi, and therefore, the viruses are referred to in this review with abbreviations of their common names as appearing in the cited literature, not by referring to the viral species name as a taxonomic entity. Table [2](#page-9-0) lists examples of mycoviruses that alter the phenotype of tree pathogens and their taxonomic classifcation.

After the discovery of *C. parasitica* hypoviruses, also other hypovirulence-associated viruses have been identifed in the chestnut blight fungus, including the mitovirus CpMV-1 and mycoreovirus MyRV1 [[76•](#page-18-26)•]. Hypovirulenceassociated mitoviruses called d-factors were identifed in the Dutch elm disease pathogen (*Ophiostoma novo-ulmi*) already during early decades of mycovirus research [[62](#page-18-14)]. More recent studies have discovered alphapartitiviruses that signifcantly reduce the growth of *Heterobasidion* root rot pathogens: HetPV13-an1 effects were demonstrated both in vitro and in living spruce trees [[65](#page-18-17)] and HetPV15-pa1 in vitro [[77](#page-18-27)]. The alphapartitivirus RsPV2 has been shown to cause hypovirulence in *Rhizoctonia solani*, the causative agent of damping-off in conifer nursery seedlings and a pathogen of many agricultural crops [[78](#page-19-0)]. Moreover, the fusagravirus CnFGV1 strongly reduces conidiation and in



<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Fig. 3** Sankey diagram summarizing connections among mycovirus families, their fungal and oomycete hosts, and tree species. Host plants designated as "other" include cases from unknown woody or soil sources, re-isolated fungal strains (e.g., isolates of *R. necatrix* originally isolated from *Pyrus* and inoculated on *Malus*), or strains from herbaceous host plants (see Table S2; only the original host tree is considered in the diagram, not the full host range of the pathogens). Viral family names are shown in italics for classifed families, regular text if suggested in official taxonomical proposals for the International Committee on the Taxonomy of Viruses, and in quotation marks if the name has been proposed in scientifc literature but not officially recognized. Viruses affiliated with classified families include both classifed species and unclassifed viruses with clear taxonomic status based on sequence similarity



<span id="page-9-0"></span> $\underline{\textcircled{\tiny 2}}$  Springer





**Table 2**

some cases vegetative growth of *Cryphonectria carpinicola* involved in the European hornbeam disease [\[79](#page-19-1) •]. The origi nal host of CnFGV1 is *Cryphonectria naterciae*, which is hypothesized to be involved in cork oak decline. In turn, in vitro tests suggest that the bunya-like viruses PcBV1 and PcBV2 reduce hyphal growth and sporangia production of the oomycete *Phytophthora cactorum* that infects both [agri](#page-19-2)cultural crops and woody ornamentals and birch trees [[80](#page-19-2)]. The above-mentioned cases represent diverse RNA virus families with members residing in host cytosol (most mycoviruses) or mitochondria (*Mitoviridae* members).

The infuence of mycoviruses on the host gene expression can be substantial. In *C. parasitica*, CHV-1 can afect the expression of over a thousand fungal genes [[81](#page-19-3) •]. Many of these genes are regulated by the papain-like protease, p29 gene of the virus known to suppress orange pigmentation, [spo](#page-19-4)rulation, and laccase accumulation in the host fungus [\[82](#page-19-4)]. In the pine pathogen *Heterobasidion annosum*, the alphapartitivirus HetPV13-an1 alters the expression of nearly 700 genes [[65\]](#page-18-17). A virus infection can leave its trace, and the asymptomatic mitovirus FcMV1 causes a residual efect in *Fusarium circinatum*, affecting 14 host genes even in a host spontaneously cured of the virus [\[83](#page-19-5)].

### **The Defenses and Tolerance of Fungal Pathogens Against Viral Infection**

Similar to plants and insects, fungi have an intracellular defense system against dsRNA, a hallmark of virus infec tion, called RNA silencing (RNAi). RNAi is a defense mechanism against both viruses and "genomic parasites" such as transposable elements (TEs), and it can be triggered by endogenous or exogenous dsRNA that will be cleaved to small RNAs of approximately 12–25 nt (reviewed in [[60\]](#page-18-12)). In *C. parasitica*, the enzymes participating in RNA silenc ing have been characterized in detail and their functionality has been examined by generating gene disruptions in the host and testing their effects on mycovirus infection [[84](#page-19-6)]. More recently, production of viral small RNA (vsRNA) was reported in *Heterobasidion* spp. [[85\]](#page-19-7), and Muñoz-Adalia et al. [[86\]](#page-19-8) demonstrated that *F. circinatum* processes viral RNAs into vsRNA in a similar manner as other plant patho genic fungi. Certain mycoviruses, most notably CHV-1 and the mycoreovirus MyRV3 of *R. necatrix*, are capable of circumventing the RNAi machinery by producing RNA silencing suppressor proteins [[84,](#page-19-6) [87](#page-19-9)]. A new research line is aiming to combat plant pathogens or pest insects by utiliz ing the RNAi system for silencing essential host genes. In forestry, only a few studies have addressed the possibilities of RNAi on pests [[88\]](#page-19-10) or diseases [[60\]](#page-18-12).

The outcome of a mycovirus-host interaction is not always predictable even among strains of a single host spe cies. For example, HetPV13-an1 induces a strong growth reduction in some *H. annosum* strains while being practically asymptomatic on others [\[65](#page-18-17), [89\]](#page-19-11). A recent report also describes that infection by the mitovirus HfMV2 had contrasting efects on the growth of *H. fraxineus* in vitro, ranging from cryptic to detrimental or benefcial outcomes [\[90\]](#page-19-12). This type of host tolerance can make the assessment of virocontrol applicability very difficult. Fortunately, in the case of *C. parasiti*ca, host tolerance seems not to play a major role [\[91\]](#page-19-13).

It should also be noted that the overall outcome of a virus infection and its suitability for biocontrol purposes can only be reliably assessed in feld conditions. For example, the biocontrol success of CHV-1 is evident in practical forestry even though in laboratory conditions certain CHV-1 strains do not mediate growth reduction, and their effects are dependent on temperature and host strain [[92\]](#page-19-14). In a recent study, application of HetPV13-an1 to tree stumps with *Heterobasidion* rot seemed to enhance the control effect obtained by treatments with the commercial biocontrol fungus, *Phlebiopsis gigantea* [[93\]](#page-19-15).

## **Virus Stability and Distribution Within the Host Mycelium**

The success of a virocontrol method relies on virus infection stability. Mycovirus infections are typically highly persistent, and we have detected viruses in laboratory stock cultures maintained for decades in the refrigerator (some dating from the 1950s; E. Vainio, personal communication). However, cultures can be cured of viruses in unfavorable conditions such as elevated temperature and prolonged storage if the culture dries out, or during deep freezing [e.g. 68, 83]. Moreover, evidence from virus community analyses in feld conditions show that some virus loss occurs in nature during mycelial spread. Tree root pathogens that spread clonally by root contacts or within soil may form very large and long-living clonal individuals, where diferent sections of the mycelium may be exposed to diferent conditions and coexisting fungal communities. This may lead to fragmented virus distribution, as observed in the fruit tree pathogens *Helicobasidium mompa* [[94\]](#page-19-16) and *R. necatrix* [\[95](#page-19-17)], and the conifer root rot fungi *Heterobasidion parviporum* and *H. annosum* [\[96](#page-19-18), [97](#page-19-19)].

Interestingly, the dispersal of mycoviruses within a fungal mycelium depends on the virus species. Suzuki et al. [[76](#page-18-26)••] found that the hypoviruses CHV-1, CHV-2, and CHV-3 transmitted much more efficiently to established *C*. *parasitica* cankers than the mycoreovirus MyRV1 or the partitivirus RnPV6 originating from a heterologous host, *R. necatrix*. Earlier research showed that viruses difer in their transmission rates in mycelial colonies of *R. necatrix*, leading to unequal virus distribution [[98\]](#page-19-20). The concentration of CHV-1 may also vary in diferent parts of the *C. parasitica* mycelium and on diferent culturing media [\[99\]](#page-19-21).

Notably, virus concentration may afect symptom severity, and low-titer infections may be asymptomatic. This has been observed with several viruses infecting ascomycetous pathogens of crop plants, for example, black *Aspergillus* spp. (reviewed in [[59\]](#page-18-28)). Also in *H. annosum*, reduced concentration of HetPV13-an1 leads to phenotypic recovery of the host [[100\]](#page-19-22).

#### **Mycoviral Co‑infections (Mixed Infections)**

One factor afecting virus infection stability and outcome is the presence of co-infecting viruses. In the case of mixed virus infections, it may be difficult to identify the causative agent(s) of host debilitation, and virus efects are not necessarily cumulative [[101](#page-19-23)]. For example, HetPV13-an1 reduces the growth rate and alters the gene expression of *H. annosum* when infecting its host alone or with co-infecting mitoviruses HetMV1–3 [\[65\]](#page-18-17), whereas co-infecting partitiviruses and orthocurvulaviruses may suppress the virus efects, leading to recovery of host growth and morphology [[102\]](#page-19-24). Vice versa, there are cases where host hypovirulence is exclusively mediated by a mixed virus infection and not by the same viruses in single infection, as observed with the megabirnavirus RnMBV2 and partitivirus RnPV1 in *R. necatrix* [[103\]](#page-19-25). In the conifer pathogen *Gremmeniella abietina*, isolates harboring the orthocurvulavirus GaRV6 with co-infecting mito- and partitiviruses were shown to have reduced growth rates [\[104\]](#page-19-26).

Co-infecting mycoviruses may have an antagonistic, neutral, or synergistic interaction with each other [\[105•](#page-19-27)]. Very closely related mycoviruses typically exclude each other, and the ability to co-exist stably in co-infection has been considered a species delimitation criterion, for example, in families *Totiviridae* and *Narnaviridae* [[106\]](#page-19-28). This phenomenon could cause some hindrance for the spread of a virocontrol agent in case highly similar virus variants with less biocontrol potential would be common in the treated host population. In species of *Heterobasidion*, conspecifc members of virus families *Curvulaviridae* and *Partitiviridae* have been observed to have an antagonistic relationship [[77,](#page-18-27) [96](#page-19-18)]. However, even congeneric mycoviruses can stably infect the same fungal strain as found with mitoviruses infecting *O. novo-ulmi* [[107\]](#page-19-29) and *F. circinatum* [[64](#page-18-16), [108](#page-19-30)], and betapartitiviruses of *R. necatrix* [[109\]](#page-19-31). Interestingly, the victorivirus RnVV1 originally isolated from *R. necatrix* can replicate in *C. parasitica* when co-infected with CHV-1, but not in the virus-free *C. parasitica* strain [[110](#page-20-0)]. Even strictly mutualistic virus-virus associations exist in fungi: the yadokariviruses lack their own capsid proteins but are instead encapsidated by co-infecting yado-nushi viruses [reviewed in 75].

## **Transmissibility of Mycoviruses Among Fungal Strains (Horizontal Transmission) and Through Spores (Vegetative Transmission)**

Mycoviruses are transmitted among fungal strains horizontally through cell-to-cell contacts that are regulated by host processes governing cellular fusions between species (intersterility), between strains (vegetative incompatibility), and between strains with diferent mating types. Therefore, these processes also greatly affect the success of a virocontrol approach [[58](#page-18-29)]. For example, CHV-1 has spread efficiently in the European *C. parasitica* population due to a low number of introduced host genotypes [[61](#page-18-13)], whereas its spread in North America is limited due to a high number of somatically incompatible host strains originating from multiple introductions of the pathogen from Asia. Zamora et al. [[111\]](#page-20-1) used dual fungal cultures to demonstrate that the conversion rates of virulent *C. parasitica* isolates into hypovirulent ones were signifcantly afected by the vegetative compatibility (vc) type of both the donor and the recipient isolate, and by the interaction between them. However, in some fungal genera, virus transmission between incompatible strains seems to be relatively relaxed. In the ash dieback fungus *H. fraxineus*, the mitovirus HfMV2 can be successfully introduced via co-culturing into conspecifc isolates [[90\]](#page-19-12). In *Heterobasidion* sp., many partitiviruses transmit readily between incompatible isolates, and even between congeneric species, although less efficiently  $[102]$  $[102]$  $[102]$ . Interestingly, co-culturing of fungal strains has led to cross-species transmission of CHV-1 to *C. japonica* [\[112](#page-20-2)], and the fusagravirus CnFGV1 from *Cryphonectria naterciae* to *C. carpinicola* and *C. radicalis*, but not to *C. parasitica* [[79](#page-19-1)•].

The transmissibility of mycoviruses may also be afected by pre-existing viral infections in the mycelium [see 58, 105]. In *R. necatrix*, presence of the mycoreovirus RnMyRV3 restricts the transmission of the partitivirus RnPV1 to the same host strain [[98\]](#page-19-20), whereas partitiviruses of *Heterobasidion* sp. may promote each other's transmission [\[77](#page-18-27)]. The mechanism behind viruses enhancing each other's transmission may be related to the ability of some viruses to suppress host defense reactions (see above) or host somatic incompatibility reactions, as demonstrated in the soilborne plant pathogen *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* [[113](#page-20-3)].

Long-range dispersal capability is one of the essential properties of a biocontrol agent — both concerning dispersal success but also risk assessment — and is enabled by vertical virus transmission into sexual asco- or basidiospores. Basidiospores of *H. mompa* have been shown to be virusfree [[114\]](#page-20-4), whereas orthocurvulaviruses of *Heterobasidion* spp. [\[96](#page-19-18)] and endornaviruses of *R. solani* occur in basidiospores [\[115](#page-20-5)]. In ascomycetes, including *C. parasitica*, viruses are not typically transmitted to sexual spores [\[116](#page-20-6)]. However, the ascospores of *H. fraxineus* serve as an efficient means of dispersal for mitoviruses [[117,](#page-20-7) [118\]](#page-20-8).

Some short-range virus dispersal may occur via vegetative spores. For example, CHV-1 has very high prevalence in host conidia [[91\]](#page-19-13), while CHV-2 is poorly transmitted to conidiospores [\[119](#page-20-9)]. In turn, CnFGV1 is readily transmitted to vegetative spores of *C. naterciae* and *C. parasitica* [\[79•](#page-19-1)], and FcMV1 and FcMV2-2 in microconidia of *F. circinatum* [[120\]](#page-20-10). Unspecified dsRNA viruses also have been detected in conidia of *Heterobasidion* spp. [[121](#page-20-11)]. To assess putative virocontrol strategies, there is an urgent need to update knowledge about the diversity of viruses present in fungal spores with the aid of HTS.

## **Virus Transmission Between Fungal Species: Opportunities and Risk Assessment**

The vegetative incompatibility barrier is effective in preventing permanent cell-to-cell fusions and virus transmission between diferent fungal species, but this has been successfully overcome in laboratory conditions by using protoplasts as virus recipients (reviewed in [\[58](#page-18-29)]). The fusarivirus FgV1- DK21 associated with hypovirulence on *Fusarium boothii* was transmitted into other *Fusarium* species and into *C. parasitica* and caused hypovirulence in the new hosts [\[122](#page-20-12)]. In the same way, purifed particles from the fusagravirus CcFGV1 of *C. carpinicola* were used to transfect protoplasts of JS13VF (a Japanese virus-free isolate) as well as *C. parasitica* [[123\]](#page-20-13). This and other artifcial conversion strategies such as using viral infectious clones (reviewed in [\[124•](#page-20-14)]) could be of great interest for future integrated management of forest pathogens [[57\]](#page-18-11).

It has been observed that a heterologous virus may alter the phenotype of its new host species even more than an indigenous virus, probably due to lacking adaptation. Thus, the megabirnavirus RnMBV1 and betapartitivirus RnPV6 originating from *R. necatrix* have been found to cause hypovirulence in *C. parasitica* [[125](#page-20-15), [126](#page-20-16)], and even in *Heterobasidion* sp. with common interspecies virus transmission; partitiviruses transmitted from another *Heterobasidion* species cause host growth debilitation more often than indigenous partitiviruses [\[127\]](#page-20-17). Therefore, before any virus can be utilized on a large scale as a biocontrol agent, its capability of infecting other fungal species in the environment needs to be assessed. Fortunately, natural transmission of mycoviruses between distantly related fungi is considered to be very uncommon, although a few examples have been described recently in tree root associated fungal communities. Partitiviruses of *H. parviporum* were occasionally detected in the fruiting body tissues of other fungal species inhabiting the same forest stand [[128\]](#page-20-18), and Arjona-Lopez and colleagues [[129\]](#page-20-19) found that viruses of *R. necatrix* were similar to viruses of distantly related but sympatric fungal

species, such as *Fusarium* spp., and suggested horizontal virus transfer between the soil-inhabitant fungi.

## **Mycoviruses in Agroforestry Systems and Generalist Plant Pathogens**

Above we have concentrated on mycoviruses afecting major forest pathogens, including both indigenous fungi afecting native forest trees (e.g., most *Heterobasidion* spp.) or invasive fungal and oomycete species afecting native forest trees (e.g., *C. parasitica*, *O. novo-ulmi*, *H. fraxinaeus*). Some of these forest pathogens also afect tree species that are utilized in agroforestry; for example, species of *Armillaria* and *Phytophthora* commonly infect poplars, alders, and birches used in silvopasture and riparian forest bufers.

*Rosellinia necatrix* provides an example of a tree pathogen with a global distribution and a very wide host range including both forest trees and fruit trees such as avocado, apple, and pear. Notably, domesticated crop trees harboring many generalist pathogens may provide new pathways for interspecies virus transmission. For example, in avocado orchards in Spain, a new alphahypovirus tentatively named Entoleuca hypovirus 1 (EnHV1) was detected both in *R. necatrix* and *Entoleuca* sp. A population analysis of EnHV1 strains showed two main clades with members from both fungal species, suggesting intraspecifc and interspecifc virus transmission in the feld [\[130](#page-20-20)]. Similarly, in an apple orchard in China, an alphahypovirus named AaHV1 (isolate of *Alphahypovirus alternariae*) from *A. alternata* f. sp. *mali* could replicate and confer hypovirulence in *Botryosphaeria dothidea*, a fungal pathogen causing apple white rot disease [\[131\]](#page-20-21).

Beyond *R. necatrix*, we will not present a detailed review of mycovirus diversity in pathogens of domesticated crop trees and plantation-grown trees (e.g., rosaceous fruit trees, acacia, citrus, cocoa, eucalyptus, olive, rubber tree, or nutproducing crop trees). Briefy, some of the major pathogens of these tree species include various fungal species of genera *Botryosphaeria*/*Diplodia*, *Colletotrichum*, *Fusarium*, *Monilinia*, *Thielaviopsis*, and *Verticillium*, and oomycetes of genus *Phytophthora* (see [\[2](#page-16-1)] and the EPPO global database at [https://gd.eppo.int\)](https://gd.eppo.int). Mycoviral diversity in most of these fungal genera have been compiled thoroughly elsewhere [[132•](#page-20-22)•], and HTS-based investigations of mycoviral diversity have recently been conducted, for example, for pathogens of cacao and stone and pome fruits [\[133–](#page-20-23)[135](#page-20-24)]. Mycoviruses possibly associated with debilitated host phenotypes have been recently identifed in the pome fruit pathogen *Diplodia seriata* [\[136](#page-20-25)] and *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides* causing leaf anthracnose in mango trees [\[137](#page-20-26)]. Notably, human-mediated transport of plants together with their microbes and viruses may lead to long-range dispersal of viruses and globally uniform virus communities as seen in *Phytophthora cactorum* [\[138](#page-20-27)•].

It should also be noted that nursery seedlings of forest trees are susceptible to many generalist soilborne fungal and oomycete pathogens causing damping-off or root dieback, such as *Alternaria*, *Fusarium*, *Neonectria*, *Rhizoctonia*, *Pythium*, and *Phytophthora* (see Chapter 17 in [[1\]](#page-16-0) and [\[74](#page-18-24)]), as well as gray mold caused by *Botrytis cinerea*. Recent HTS-based investigations have detected diverse viruses in *B. cinerea* [[139•](#page-21-0)] and also many tree-associated *Phytophthora* microbes such as *P. castaneae* [[140\]](#page-21-1) and *P. condilina* [[141\]](#page-21-2) (see above for viruses of *P. cactorum* and *P. ramorum*). Considering generalist pathogens with tens or even hundreds of diferent host species, the possible outcomes of a mycovirus infection in field conditions may be manifold and difficult to predict.

# **Possibilities for Horizontal Virus Transmission Between Kingdoms**

Recent studies have demonstrated cross-kingdom virus transmission events between fungi or oomycetes and plants. This has so far been investigated mostly in agroecosystems, where Cao et al. [[142\]](#page-21-3) found that filamentous fungal strains (*Alternaria*, *Lecanicillium*, and *Sarocladium*) isolated from leaf samples of vegetable crops commonly harbored plant viruses. The same authors had earlier identifed the plant virus cucumber mosaic virus in *Rhizoctonia solani* isolated from a potato plant  $[115]$  $[115]$ . In the case of oomycetes, Mascia et al. [\[143\]](#page-21-4) showed that diverse plant viruses can replicate and persist in the potato pathogen *Phytophthora infestans* at least temporarily. Vice versa, it has been experimentally demonstrated that fungal partiti- and victoriviruses are able to replicate in plant cells [\[144](#page-21-5)]. Moreover, Bian et al. [\[145](#page-21-6)•] showed by laboratory infection assays that horizontal transfer of CHV-1 from fungus to plant was enabled by the presence of a pre-existing tobacco mosaic virus infection. In all the above-mentioned studies, the cross-kingdom virus transmission events have been unstable, and the risk of introducing stable virus infections from fungi to host plants seems negligible considering practical biocontrol applications.

At an evolutionary time scale, there is ample evidence of cross-kingdom virus transfer [[146](#page-21-7)••]. Thus, several virus families (for example, *Partitiviridae*, *Endornaviridae*, and *Chrysoviridae*) accommodate both plant and fungal and/or oomycete viruses, and in many cases viruses of plants and plant pathogenic fungi are found intermingled in the same phylogenetic clade [\[124•](#page-20-14), [146](#page-21-7)••, [147•](#page-21-8)•]. Viral infections may also lead to horizontal gene transfer to the host genome, i.e., endogenization of viral elements [\[148](#page-21-9), [149](#page-21-10)]. The origin of several endogenized viral elements in plants appears to be

in mycoviruses of pathogenic or endophytic fungi that have at some point colonized the plants [\[150\]](#page-21-11).

Although insects are the main vectors transmitting plant viruses, studies on viruses associated with forest insects are very scarce. In a few cases, arthropods have been shown to vector or carry mycoviruses, as is the case of SsHADV-1, a ssDNA virus of the *Genomoviridae* family [[151\]](#page-21-12) and possibly the unclassifed phlegivirus TtV1 of the mycorrhizal fungus *Thelephora terrestris* [\[152\]](#page-21-13).

There is a long tradition for utilizing insect DNA viruses as biocontrol agents, and many have been already commercialized [see 153]. Concerning forest insects, large dsDNA viruses of family *Baculoviridae* have been successfully used to control populations of the European pine sawfly (*Neodiprion sertifer*), and baculoviruses are also found in lepidopteran species including, e.g., *Lymantria monarcha*, *Lymantria dispar*, and *Leucoma salicis* [[154](#page-21-14)]. These DNA viruses are specific to insects, and no spillover to plants or fungi has been reported. However, analysis of RNA viruses by HTS may reveal a very different view, as demonstrated in a groundbreaking study by Shi et al. [[155\]](#page-21-15) that described numerous novel RNA viruses in arthropods, many of which were related to plant and mycoviruses. Indeed, the first transcriptomics analyses have revealed some new RNA viruses in the lepidopteran forest pests *Epirrita autumnata* and *Thaumetopoea pityocampa* [\[156](#page-21-16), [157](#page-21-17)], and also in *I. typographus* [\[158](#page-21-18)], in which only dsDNA poxviruses were known earlier. More novel RNA viruses have been recently detected in the European spruce bark beetle, most resembling members of virus families containing also fungal and/or plant viruses, such as *Partitiviridae*, *Narnaviridae*, *Virgaviridae Tombusviridae*, and proposed *Spiciviridae* (E. Vainio, personal communication). Similarly, working with three types of Mediterranean forests several insect-borne viruses from *Picornavirales* order were found in fungi [[159](#page-21-19)]. In the latter work a total of 158 viruses were found in fungi, insects, and trees, some of them not matching known viruses in available databases and others from families *Botourmiaviridae*, *Chrysoviridae*, *Totiviridae*, and *Partitiviridae* with high cross-kingdom transmission potential.

Although our current knowledge is limited, we can conclude that virus flows between species and kingdoms infuence the balance of forests and, very often, that of the global ecosystem, including humans. Moreover, forest tree viruses have a high risk of being introduced and established in forests and crops, which results mainly from the wide distribution of susceptible plant germplasm, the often extended host range and the rapid emergence of new genotypes (virus strains or variants). With these precedents, and assuming that RNA virus host jumping can occur at any level of the forest ecosystem, the study of forests' virome is essential not only for understanding virus evolution and diversity but also for improving our ability to manage and control viral diseases that affect economically important plants and benefcial insects, and to prevent possible outbreaks of plant, animal, and/or human diseases in the future.

### **Future Prospects and Recommendations**

Recent studies have signifcantly increased our understanding on plant virus diversity and disease etiology in forest trees and identifed several new mycoviruses that restrict the growth or virulence of forest pathogenic fungi. These findings offer us hope for better control of forest diseases in the future. However, despite advancements in methodology and virus discovery over the past decade, we are far from being able to fully describe the essential forest virome. Future investigations should focus on studying the proportion of pathogenic, persistent, and mutualistic viruses that infect trees. Knowing the types of viruses that infect forests and urban trees, as well as how they are transmitted and spread, allows for the development of control measures and recommendations. As we look ahead to the future of forest tree production, viruses have to be considered as potential disease agents afecting trees. Symptomatology, knowledge on epidemiology, and reliable diagnostic systems will be essential in meeting phytosanitary requirements and ensuring the growth of healthy trees.

In order to gain a deeper understanding, we recommend the following:

- 1. Continue determining the essential forest virome in diferent tree species, forest types and geographical regions.
- 2. Shifting the research focus from mere diversity analyses to functional studies on efects and disease etiology of the newly discovered viruses.
- 3. Conducting studies in laboratory and nursery conditions to evaluate the mechanisms of virus-virus interaction.
- 4. Performing studies in feld conditions to assess the true potential of mycovirus biocontrol applications, due to the manifold factors regulating the host-virus interaction.
- 5. Intensify investigations on the plant virus epidemiology with focus on vector and seed transmission followed by modeling their economic and ecological impact.
- 6. Investigating potential cross-species and cross-kingdom virus transmission events in forest ecosystems to evaluate the impacts of possible future virus invasions/outbreaks and to ensure safeness of biocontrol strategies.
- 7. Investigating how climate change is afecting emerging plant virus diseases and the mycovirus-host balance.

The realization of these activities could help the sustainable management of a greater number of forest diseases in the near future.

**Supplementary Information** The online version contains supplementary material available at<https://doi.org/10.1007/s40725-024-00214-8>.

**Author contributions** E.V and J.D. were mainly responsible for writing section 3 concerning fungal viruses and C.B and A.R. for section 2 concerning plant viruses. E.V. wrote the original draft on section 4. The Introduction and section 5 were written by all authors, and all authors reviewed the manuscript.

**Funding** Open access funding provided by Natural Resources Institute Finland. Prof. Diez received funding from the projects VA208P20 funded by Junta Castilla y León (JCYL, Spain), co-fnanced by EU budget (JD). Funding for plant viruses in trees was provided by German research foundation (DFG, grant no: BU 890/31–1, BU890/35–1), Hamburg Ministry for Economics and Innovation (BWI, grant no AZ 734.650.004/014A, AZ 734.650.004/014B), and German Federal Ministry of food and agriculture (BMEL, FNR 2220WK40B4) to C. Büttner, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Division Phytomedicine. Einstein Foundation, grant EJS-2018-476.

#### **Compliance with Ethical Standards**

**Competing interests** The authors declare no competing interests.

**Conflict of Interest** E. J. Vainio, J. J. Diez, A. Rumbou, and C. Büttner declare that they have no conficts of interest.

**Human and Animal Rights and Informed Consent** This article does not contain any studies with human or animal subjects performed by any of the authors.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

## **References**

Papers of particular interest, published recently, have been highlighted as:

- Of importance
- •• Of major importance
- <span id="page-16-0"></span>1. Asiegbu FO, Kovalchuk A, editors. Forest microbiology: volume 2: forest tree health. Academic Press. 2022. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/C2020-0-02235-8) [1016/C2020-0-02235-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/C2020-0-02235-8)
- <span id="page-16-1"></span>2. Asiegbu FO, Kovalchuk A. editors. Forest microbiology volume 3: tree diseases and pests. Elsevier, Academic Press; 2022. [https://doi.org/10.1016/C2022-0-00966-1.](https://doi.org/10.1016/C2022-0-00966-1)
- <span id="page-16-2"></span>3.•• Büttner C, Landgraf M, Colino HL, von Bargen S, Bandte M. Virus diseases of forest and urban trees. In: Asiegbu FO, Kovalchuk A, editors. Forest Microbiology Academic Press; 2023. (pp 61–97). [https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-443-18694-3.00011-](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-443-18694-3.00011-0) [0](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-443-18694-3.00011-0). (**Latest review on all so far detected plant viruses in trees covering symptomatology, diagnostics, epidemiology and case studies.**)
- <span id="page-16-3"></span>4.•• Rumbou A, Vainio EJ, Büttner C. Towards the forest virome: high-throughput sequencing drastically expands our understanding on virosphere in temperate forest ecosystems. Microorganisms. 2021;9(8):1730. (**Latest review on forest virome, the frst one including plant and fungal viruses.**)
- <span id="page-16-4"></span>5. Atanasoff D. Old and new virus diseases of trees and shrubs. Phytopathol Z. 1935;8:197–223.
- <span id="page-16-5"></span>6.• Rehanek M, von Bargen S, Bandte M, Karlin DG, Büttner C. A novel emaravirus comprising fve RNA segments is associated with ringspot disease in oak. Adv Virol. 2021;166:987–90. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-021-04955-w>. (**Novel plant virus in oak.**)
- <span id="page-16-6"></span>7. von Bargen S, Kubrusli Al, Gaskin T, Fürl S, Hüttner F, Blystad DR, Karlin DG, Jalkanen R, Büttner C. Characterisation of a novel emaravirus identifed in mosaic-diseased Eurasian aspen (*Populus tremula*). Ann App Biol. 2020;176:210–22. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/aab.12576) [org/10.1111/aab.12576.](https://doi.org/10.1111/aab.12576)
- <span id="page-16-7"></span>8.• Köpke K, Rumbou A, von Bargen S, Büttner C. Identifcation of the coding-complete genome of a novel cytorhabdovirus infecting *Tilia cordata* showing extensive leaf chloroses. Microbiol Resour Announc. 2023;12(4):1–4. [https://doi.org/10.1128/mra.](https://doi.org/10.1128/mra.00052-23) [00052-23](https://doi.org/10.1128/mra.00052-23). (**A novel plant virus in lime tree.**)
- <span id="page-16-8"></span>9. Hadidi A, Barba M. Economic impact of pome and stone fruit viruses and viroids. In: Hadidi A, Barba M, Candresse T, Jelkmann W, editors. Virus and virus-like diseases of pome and stone fruits. APS Publications: The American Phytopathological Society (APS). 2011:1–7. [https://doi.org/10.1094/9780890545](https://doi.org/10.1094/9780890545010.001) [010.001](https://doi.org/10.1094/9780890545010.001).
- 10. Jones RAC, Naidu RA. Global dimensions of plant virus diseases: current status and future perspectives. Annu Rev Virol. 2019;6:387–409. [https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-virol](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-virology-092818-015606) [ogy-092818-015606](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-virology-092818-015606).
- <span id="page-16-9"></span>11. Jones RAC. Global plant virus disease pandemics and epidemics. Plants. 2021;10:1–41.<https://doi.org/10.3390/plants10020233>.
- <span id="page-16-10"></span>12.•• Rehanek M, Karlin DG, Bandte M, Al Kubrusli R, Nourinejhad Zarghani S, Candresse T, Büttner C, von Bargen S. The complex world of emaraviruses—challenges, insights, and prospects. Forests. 2022;13(11):1868. [https://doi.org/10.3390/f13111868.](https://doi.org/10.3390/f13111868) (**A comprehensive review on the summarized state of knowledge of the emaraviruses.**)
- <span id="page-16-11"></span>13 Gaskin TR, Tischendorf M, Günther I, Rehanek M, Büttner C, von Bargen S. Characterization of a novel emaravirus afecting ash species (Fraxinus spp.) in Europe. Forests. 2021;12:1574. [https://doi.org/10.3390/f12111574.](https://doi.org/10.3390/f12111574)
- <span id="page-16-12"></span>14. Rumbou A, von Bargen S, Demiral R, Langer J, Rott M, Jalkanen R, Büttner C. High genetic diversity at the inter-/intra-host level of cherry leaf roll virus population associated with the birch leafroll disease in Fennoscandia. Scand J For Res. 2016;31(6):546– 60.<https://doi.org/10.1080/02827581.2016.1165283>.
- <span id="page-16-13"></span>15 Rumbou A, Candresse T, Marais A, Theil S, Langer J, Jalkanen R, Büttner C. A novel badnavirus discovered from Betula sp. affected by birch leaf-roll disease. PLoS ONE. 2018;13:e0193888.
- <span id="page-16-14"></span>16.•• Rumbou A, Candresse T, Marais A, Svanella-Dumas L, Landgraf M, von Bargen S, Büttner C. Unravelling the virome in birch: RNA-Seq reveals a complex of known and novel viruses. PLoS ONE. 2020;15:e0221834. (**First detection of the plant virome in a forest tree and description of diverse virus communities in birches, the complex of induced symptoms**)
- <span id="page-17-0"></span>17. Opoku EB, Landgraf M, Pack K, Bandte M, vonBargen S, Schreiner M, Jaekel B, Büttner C. Emerging viruses in urban green — detection of the virome in birch (Betula sp.). J Hortic. 2018;5:2.
- <span id="page-17-1"></span>18. Marais A, Murolo S, Faure C, Brans Y, Larue C, Maclot F, Massart S, Chiumenti M, Minafra A, Romanazzi G, Lefebvre M, Barreneche T, Robin C, Petit RJ, Candresse T. Sixty years from the frst disease description, a novel badnavirus associated with chestnut mosaic disease. Phytopathology. 2021;111(6):1051–58. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO-09-20-0420-R>.
- <span id="page-17-2"></span>19. Nouri S, Zarghani SN, von Bargen S, Büttner C. Genetic diversity and phylogeny of ash shoestring-associated virus (ASaV) from *Fraxinus* spp. based on RNA3. 63. Deutsche Pfanzenschutztagung: Pfanzenschutz morgen - Transformation durch Wissenschaft; 2023. [https://doi.org/10.5073/20230](https://doi.org/10.5073/20230803-074309-0) [803-074309-0.](https://doi.org/10.5073/20230803-074309-0)
- <span id="page-17-3"></span>20. Porfdo C, Köpke K, Allegretta I, Bandte M, von Bargen S, Rybak, M, Falkenberg G, Mimmo T, Cesco S, Büttner C, Terzano R. Combining micro- and portable-XRF as a tool for fast identifcation of virus infections in plants: the case study of ASa-Virus in *Fraxinus ornus* L. Talanta 2023;262:124680. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2023.124680) [org/10.1016/j.talanta.2023.124680](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2023.124680).
- <span id="page-17-4"></span>21. Rehanek M, Al Kubrusli R, Fernandez H, Eisen A-K, Buchner L, Köbölkuti Z, Böhm JW4, Fussi B, Kube M, von Bargen S, Jochner-Oette S, Büttner C. Erfassung der Virusdiversität in Sonderbeständen von *Fraxinus excelsior* – ein Teilvorhaben innerhalb des FraxVir Projektes. 63. Deutsche Pfanzenschutztagung, Göttingen; 2023. [https://www.openagrar.de/receive/opena](https://www.openagrar.de/receive/openagrar_mods_00088713) [grar\\_mods\\_00088713](https://www.openagrar.de/receive/openagrar_mods_00088713).
- <span id="page-17-5"></span>22. Nienhaus F, Castello JD. Viruses in forest trees. Annu Rev Phytopathol. 1989;27:165–86.
- <span id="page-17-6"></span>23.• Bandte M, Rehanek M, Leder, B, von Bargen S, Büttner C. Identifcation of an emaravirus in a common oak (*Quercus robur* L.) conservation seed orchard in Germany: implications for oak health. Forests. 2020;11:e1174. [https://doi.org/10.3390/F1111](https://doi.org/10.3390/F11111174) [1174.](https://doi.org/10.3390/F11111174) (**A frst survey on virus epidemiology in a oak seed plantage with a data set of 20 years.**)
- <span id="page-17-7"></span>24 Benthack W, Mielke N, Büttner C, Mühlbach HP. Doublestranded RNA pattern and partial sequence data indicate plant virus infection associated with ringspot disease of European mountain ash (Sorbus aucuparia L.). Archives of Virology. 2005;150:37–52.
- 25. Kallinen AK, Lindberg IL, Tugume AK, Valkonen JPT. Detection distribution and genetic variability of European mountain ash ringspot-associated virus. Phytopathology. 2009;99(4):344– 52. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO-99-4-0344>.
- 26. Mielke-Ehret N, Mühlbach HP. Emaravirus: a novel genus of multipartite, negative strand RNA plant viruses. Viruses. 2012;4:1515–36.
- <span id="page-17-8"></span>27. von Bargen S, Dieckmann HL, Candresse T, Mühlbach HP, Roßbach J, Büttner C. Determination of the complete genome of European mountain ash ringspot-associated emaravirus from *Sorbus intermedia* reveals two additional genome segments. Adv Virol. 2019;164:1937–41. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-019-04275-0) [s00705-019-04275-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-019-04275-0).
- <span id="page-17-9"></span>28. von Bargen S, Tischendorf M, Büttner C. First report of European mountain ash ringspot-associated virus in serviceberry (Amelanchier spp.) in Germany. New Dis Rep. 2018;37:19. <https://doi.org/10.5197/j.2044-0588.2018.037.019>.
- <span id="page-17-10"></span>29. von Bargen S, Bandte M, Al Kubrusli R, Jalkanen R, Büttner C. First report of *European mountain ash ringspot-associated virus* in *Karpatiosorbus × hybrida* in Finland. New Dis Rep. 2020;42:1.<https://doi.org/10.5197/j.2044-0588.2020.042.001>.
- <span id="page-17-11"></span>30.• Rumbou A, Candresse T, von Bargen S, Büttner C. Nextgeneration sequencing reveals a novel emaravirus in diseased maple trees from a German urban forest. Front Microbiol.

 $\circled{2}$  Springer

2021;11:621179. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.621179>. (**Novel plant virus in maple.**)

- <span id="page-17-12"></span>31. Jurke I, von Bargen S, Rumbou A, Büttner C. Detection of Elm mottle virus (EMoV) and a putative novel Carlavirus in the genus *Ulmus* in northern Germany. In: Proceedings of the 125th IUFRO Anniversary World Congress, Freiburg, Germany, 18–22. 2017. [https://www.iufro.org/fleadmin/material/publi](https://www.iufro.org/fileadmin/material/publications/proceedings-archive/iufro17-abstracts.pdf) [cations/proceedings-archive/iufro17-abstracts.pdf](https://www.iufro.org/fileadmin/material/publications/proceedings-archive/iufro17-abstracts.pdf).
- <span id="page-17-13"></span>32. Eisold AM. Molecular characterization of a new Carlavirus detected in German Ulmus laevis (Pall.) by Illumina high throughput sequencing. Commun Agric App Biol Sci. 2017;82(3):337–46. [https://doi.org/10.18452/19940.](https://doi.org/10.18452/19940)
- <span id="page-17-14"></span>33. Scott SW, Zimmerman MT, Ge X. Viruses in subgroup 2 of the genus *Ilarvirus* share both serological relationships and characteristics at the molecular level. Adv Virol. 2003;148:2063–75.
- <span id="page-17-15"></span>34. Eisold AM. Molecular characterization of the movement and coat proteins of a new Elm mottle virus isolate infecting European white elm (Pall). Int J Plant Pathol. 2018;08(01):01–7. <https://doi.org/10.33687/phytopath.008.01.2742>.
- <span id="page-17-16"></span>35.• Mielke-Ehret, N. Thoma J, Schlatermund N, Mühlbach HP. Detection of European mountain ash ringspot-associated virusspecifc RNA and protein P3 in the pear leaf blister mite *Phytoptus pyri* (Eriophyidae). Arch Virol. 2010;155(6):987–91. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S00705-010-0667-3>(**First detection of vector transmission of the novel group of emaraviruses.**)
- <span id="page-17-17"></span>36. Bandte M, Schuster AK, von Bargen S, Büttner, C. Viren an *Betula pendula* (Roth. Analyse des Artenspektrums der Ordnung Hemiptera und Nachweis von Cherry leaf roll virus (CLRV) in potentiellen Vektoren. In: Jahrbuch Der Baumpfege [Ed. by Dujesiefken]. Braunschweig, Germany: Haymarket Media. 2011;(4):215–21.
- <span id="page-17-18"></span>37. Führling M, Büttner C. Transmission experiments of viruses to woody seedlings (Quercus robur L. and Sorbus aucuparia L.) by grafting and mechanical inoculation. Europ J For Pathol. 1995;1995(25):129–35.
- <span id="page-17-19"></span>38. Rumbou A, von Bargen S, Büttner C. A model system for plant-virus interaction—infectivity and seed transmission of cherry leaf roll virus (CLRV) in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Eur J Plant Pathol. 2009;124:527–32.
- <span id="page-17-20"></span>39. Büttner C, Nienhaus E. Virus contamination of soils in forest ecosystems of the Federal Republic of Germany. Eur J For Pathol. 1989;19(1):47–53.
- <span id="page-17-21"></span>40. A virus disease of the elm. Nature 143, 406. 1939. [https://](https://www.nature.com/articles/143406c0) [www.nature.com/articles/143406c0](https://www.nature.com/articles/143406c0).
- <span id="page-17-22"></span>41. Barba M, Ilardi V, Pasquini G. Control of pome and stone fruit virus diseases. Adv Virus Res. 2015;91(1):47–83. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.aivir.2014.11.001) [org/10.1016/bs.aivir.2014.11.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.aivir.2014.11.001).
- 42. EFSA Panel on Plant Health (PLH); Bragard C, Dehnen-Schmutz K, Gonthier P, Jacques MA, Jaques Miret JA, Justesen AF, MacLeod A, Magnusson CS, Milonas P, Navas-Cortes JA, Parnell S, Potting R, Reignault PL, Thulke HH, der Werf WV, Vicent Civera A, Yuen J, Zappalà L, Candresse T, Chatzivassiliou E, Winter S, Chiumenti M, Di Serio F, Kaluski T, Minafra A, Rubino L. List of non-EU viruses and viroids of *Cydonia* Mill., *Fragaria* L., *Malus* Mill., *Prunus* L., *Pyrus* L., *Ribes* L., *Rubus* L. and *Vitis* L. EFSA J. 2019;17(9):e05501. [https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2019.5501.](https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2019.5501)
- 43. Umer M, Liu J, You H, Xu C, Dong K, Luo N, et al. Genomic, morphological and biological traits of the viruses infecting major fruit trees. Viruses. 2019;11(6):515. <https://doi.org/10.3390/v11060515>.
- <span id="page-17-23"></span>44. Németh, MV. The virus, mycoplasma and rickettsia diseases of fruit trees. Akadémiai Kiado, Budapest, Hungary. Springer Dordrecht. 1986:750
- <span id="page-17-24"></span>45. Büttner C, von Bargen S, Bandte M, Myrta A. Cherry leaf roll virus. In: Hadidi A, Barba M, Candresse T, Jelkmann W,

editors. Virus and virus-like diseases of pome and stone fruits. St. Paul/USA: APS Press; 2011. p. 119–25.

- <span id="page-18-0"></span>46.•• Gilles S, Meinzer M, Landgraf M, Kolek F, von Bargen S, Pack K. Charalampopoulos A, Ranpa S, Luschkova D, Traidl-Hofmann C, Jochner-Oette S, Damialis A, Büttner C. *Betula pendula* trees infected by birch idaeovirus and cherry leaf roll virus: impacts of urbanisation and NO2 levels. Environ Pollut. 2023;327:121526. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2023.121526) [2023.121526](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2023.121526). (**First insides into pollen transmissible plant viruses in trees under environment stress factors and their impact on human health.**)
- <span id="page-18-1"></span>47. Wang MR, Cui ZH, Li JW. et al. In vitro thermotherapybased methods for plant virus eradication. Plant Methods. 2018;14:87. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13007-018-0355-y>
- <span id="page-18-2"></span>48. Jo Y, Lian S, Chu H, et al. Peach RNA viromes in six diferent peach cultivars. Sci Rep. 2018;8:1844. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-20256-w) [s41598-018-20256-w.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-20256-w)
- <span id="page-18-3"></span>49. Beuve M, Hily JM, Alliaume A, Reinbold C, Le Maguet J, Candresse T, Herrbach E, Lemaire O. A complex virome unveiled by deep sequencing analysis of RNAs from a French Pinot Noir grapevine exhibiting strong leafroll symptoms. Arch Virol. 2018;163:2937–46. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-018-3949-9) [s00705-018-3949-9.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-018-3949-9)
- <span id="page-18-4"></span>50. Lozano I, Morales FJ, Martinez AK, Pena EA. Molecular characterization and detection of African oil palm ringspot virus. J Phytopathol. 2010;158(3):167–72.
- <span id="page-18-5"></span>51. Chung BN, Canto T. Tenllado F, Choi KS, Joa JH, Ahn JJ, Kim CH, Do KS. The effects of high temperature on infection by potato virus Y, potato virus A, and potato leafroll virus. Plant Pathol J. 2016;32(4):321–8. [https://doi.org/10.5423/ppj.oa.12.](https://doi.org/10.5423/ppj.oa.12.2015.0259) [2015.0259](https://doi.org/10.5423/ppj.oa.12.2015.0259)
- <span id="page-18-6"></span>52. van Munster M, Yvon M, Vile D, Dader B, Fereres A, Blanc S. Water deficit enhances the transmission of plant viruses by insect vectors. PLoS ONE. 2017;12:e0174398. [https://doi.org/10.1371/](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0174398) [journal.pone.0174398.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0174398)
- <span id="page-18-7"></span>53. Szczepaniec A, Finke D. Plant-vector-pathogen interactions in the context of drought stress. Front Ecol Evol. 2019;7:262. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2019.00262>
- <span id="page-18-8"></span>54. Rosenberg E, Zilber-Rosenberg I. The hologenome concept of evolution after 10 years. Microbiome. 2018;6:78. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-018-0457-9) [10.1186/s40168-018-0457-9](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-018-0457-9).
- <span id="page-18-9"></span>55. Prospero S, Botella L, Santini A, Robin C. Biological control of emerging forest diseases: how can we move from dreams to reality? For Ecol Manage. 2021;496:119377.
- <span id="page-18-10"></span>56. Zaluma A, Sherwood P, Bruna L, Skola U, Gaitnieks T, Rönnberg J. Control of *Heterobasidion* in Norway spruce stands: the impact of stump cover on efficacy of urea and *Phlebiopsis gigantea* and implications for forest management. Forests. 2021;12:679. <https://doi.org/10.3390/f12060679>.
- <span id="page-18-11"></span>57. García-Pedrajas MD, Cañizares MC, Sarmiento-Villamil JL, Jacquat AG, Dambolena JS. Mycoviruses in biological control: from basic research to feld implementation. Phytopathology. 2019;109(11):1828–39.
- <span id="page-18-29"></span>58. Hillman BI, Milgroom MG. The ecology and evolution of fungal viruses. In: Hurst CJ, Editor. Studies in Viral Ecology, 2nd edn. John Wiley & Sons Ltd; 2021. p. 139–182. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119608370.ch5) [1002/9781119608370.ch5.](https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119608370.ch5)
- <span id="page-18-28"></span>59. van Diepeningen AD. Biocontrol via mycoviruses: a neglected option for bioprotection? In: Jürgen Köhl J, Willem Ravensberg W, editors. Microbial bioprotectants for plant disease management (pp 541–584). Burleigh Dodds Science Publishing Limited. 2021. <https://doi.org/10.19103/AS.2021.0093.20>
- <span id="page-18-12"></span>60. Bocos-Asenjo IT, Niño-Sánchez J, Ginésy M, Diez JJ. New insights on the integrated management of plant diseases by RNA strategies: mycoviruses and RNA interference. Int J Mol Sci. 2022;23(16):9236.
- <span id="page-18-13"></span>61. Rigling D, Prospero S. *Cryphonectria parasitica*, the causal agent of chestnut blight: invasion history, population biology and disease control. Mol Plant Pathol. 2018;19(1):7–20. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1111/mpp.12542) [doi.org/10.1111/mpp.12542](https://doi.org/10.1111/mpp.12542).
- <span id="page-18-14"></span>62. Webber JF. D Factors and their potential for controlling Dutch elm disease. In: Sticklen MB, Sherald JL (eds) Dutch Elm Disease Research. Springer, New York, NY. 1993. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-6872-8_24) [10.1007/978-1-4615-6872-8\\_24](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-6872-8_24)
- <span id="page-18-15"></span>63. Botella L, Hantula J. Description, distribution, and relevance of viruses of the forest pathogen *Gremmeniella abietina*. Viruses. 2018;10(11):654.
- <span id="page-18-16"></span>64. Martínez-Álvarez P, Vainio EJ, Botella L, Hantula J, Diez JJ. Three mitovirus strains infecting a single isolate of *Fusarium circinatum* are the frst putative members of the family *Narnaviridae* detected in a fungus of the genus *Fusarium*. Adv Virol. 2014;159:2153–5. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-014-2012-8.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-014-2012-8)
- <span id="page-18-17"></span>65. Vainio EJ, Jurvansuu J, Hyder R, Kashif M, Piri T, Tuomivirta T, Poimala A, Xu P, Mäkelä S, Nitisa D, Hantula J. *Heterobasidion* partitivirus 13 mediates severe growth debilitation and major alterations in the gene expression of a fungal forest pathogen. J Virol. 2018;92(5):e01744-e1817. [https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01744-17) [01744-17](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01744-17).
- <span id="page-18-18"></span>66. Sutela S, Piri T, Vainio EJ. Discovery and community dynamics of novel ssRNA mycoviruses in the conifer pathogen *Heterobasidion parviporum*. Front Microbiol. 2021;12:70787. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.770787) [org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.770787.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.770787)
- <span id="page-18-19"></span>67. Shamsi W, Kondo H, Ulrich S, Rigling D, Prospero S. Novel RNA viruses from the native range of *Hymenoscyphus fraxineus*, the causal fungal agent of ash dieback. Virus Res. 2022;320:198901.
- <span id="page-18-20"></span>68. Linnakoski R, Sutela S, Coetzee MP, Duong TA, Pavlov IN, Litovka YA, Hantula J, Wingfeld BD, Vainio EJ. *Armillaria* root rot fungi host single-stranded RNA viruses. Sci Rep. 2021;11(1):7336.
- <span id="page-18-21"></span>69. Suzuki N. Frontiers in fungal virology. J Gen Plant Pathol. 2017;83:419–23.
- <span id="page-18-22"></span>70. Flores Pacheco JA, Muñoz Adalia EJ, Martínez Álvarez P, Pando Fernández V, Díez Casero JJ, Martín GJ. Efect of mycoviruses on growth, spore germination and pathogenicity of the fungus *Fusarium circinatum*. Forest Syst. 2017;26(3):7.
- 71. Muñoz-Adalia EJ, Flores-Pacheco JA, Martínez-Álvarez P, Martín-García J, Fernández M, Diez JJ. Efect of mycoviruses on the virulence of *Fusarium circinatum* and laccase activity. Physiol Mol Plant Pathol. 2016;94:8–15.
- Liu JJ, Xiang Y, Sniezko RA, Schoettle AW, Williams H, Zamany A. Characterization of *Cronartium ribicola* dsRNAs reveals novel members of the family *Totiviridae* and viral association with fungal virulence. Virol J. 2019;16(1):118. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12985-019-1226-5) [doi.org/10.1186/s12985-019-1226-5](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12985-019-1226-5).
- <span id="page-18-23"></span>73. Bottacin AM, Levesque CA, Punja ZK. Characterization of dsRNA in *Chalara elegans*. Phytopathology. 1994;84:303–12.
- <span id="page-18-24"></span>74 Sutela S, Poimala A, Vainio EJ. Viruses of fungi and oomycetes in the soil environment. FEMS Microbiol Ecol. 2019;95(9):119.
- <span id="page-18-25"></span>75.• Kondo H, Botella L, Suzuki N. Mycovirus diversity and evolution revealed/inferred from recent studies. Annu Rev Phytopathol. 2022;60:307–36. [https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-phyto-](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-phyto-021621-122122)[021621-122122.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-phyto-021621-122122) (**Thorough review on mycovirus diversity and novel genome organizations.**)
- <span id="page-18-26"></span>76.•• Suzuki N, Cornejo C, Aulia A, Shahi S, Hillman BI, Rigling D. In-tree behavior of diverse viruses harbored in the chestnut blight fungus, Cryphonectria parasitica. J Virol. 2021;95(6):e01962-e2020. (**The paper shows differential transmission of** *C. parasitica* **mycoviruses in chestnut cankers.**)
- <span id="page-18-27"></span>77. Kashif M, Jurvansuu J, Vainio EJ, Hantula J. Alphapartitiviruses of *Heterobasidion* wood decay fungi afect each others

transmission and host growth. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2019;9:64.<https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2019.00064>.

- <span id="page-19-0"></span>78. Zheng L, Zhang M, Chen Q, Zhu M, Zhou E. A novel mycovirus closely related to viruses in the genus *Alphapartitivirus* confers hypovirulence in the phytopathogenic fungus Rhiz*octonia solani*. Virology. 2014;456:220–6.
- <span id="page-19-1"></span>79.• Cornejo C, Hisano S, Bragança H, Suzuki N, Rigling D. A new double-stranded RNA mycovirus in Cryphonectria naterciae is able to cross the species barrier and is deleterious to a new host. Journal of Fungi. 2021;7(10):861. (**Interesting cross-species virus transmission study.**)
- <span id="page-19-2"></span>80. Poimala A, Raco M, Haikonen T, Černý M, Parikka P, Hantula J, Vainio EJ. Bunyaviruses affect growth, sporulation, and elicitin production in *Phytophthora cactorum*. Viruses. 2022;14(12):2596.
- <span id="page-19-3"></span>81.• Chun J, Ko YH, Kim DH. Transcriptome analysis of Cryphonectria parasitica infected with Cryphonectria hypovirus 1 (CHV1) reveals distinct genes related to fungal metabolites, virulence, antiviral RNA-silencing, and their regulation. Front Microbiol. 2020;11:1711. (**One of the frst works on CHV-1 interference on the host based on transcriptomics.**)
- <span id="page-19-4"></span>82. Craven MG, Pawlyk DM, Choi GH, Nuss DL. Papain-like protease p29 as a symptom determinant encoded by a hypovirulence-associated virus of the chestnut blight fungus. J Virol. 1993;67(11):6513–21.
- <span id="page-19-5"></span>Zamora-Ballesteros C, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ, Martín-García J, Diez JJ. Residual effects caused by a past mycovirus infection in *Fusarium circinatum*. Forests. 2021;12:11. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3390/f12010011) [doi.org/10.3390/f12010011](https://doi.org/10.3390/f12010011).
- <span id="page-19-6"></span>84. Segers GC, Zhang X, Deng F, Sun Q, Nuss DL. Evidence that RNA silencing functions as an antiviral defense mechanism in fungi. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2007;104:12902–6. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0702500104) [org/10.1073/pnas.0702500104.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0702500104)
- <span id="page-19-7"></span>85. Vainio EJ, Jurvansuu J, Streng J, Rajamäki M, Jarkko Hantula J, Valkonen JPT. Diagnosis and discovery of fungal viruses by deep sequencing of small RNAs. J Gen Virol. 2015;96:714–25.
- <span id="page-19-8"></span>86. Muñoz-Adalia EJ, Diez JJ, Fernández M, Hantula J, Vainio EJ. Characterization of small RNAs originating from mitoviruses infecting the conifer pathogen *Fusarium circinatum*. Adv Virol. 2018;163(4):1009–18. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-018-3712-2) [s00705-018-3712-2.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-018-3712-2)
- <span id="page-19-9"></span>87. Yaegashi H, Yoshikawa N, Ito T, Kanematsu S. A mycoreovirus suppresses RNA silencing in the white root rot fungus, Rosellinia necatrix. Virology. 2013;444(1–2):409–16.
- <span id="page-19-10"></span>88. Joga MR, Mogilicherla K, Smagghe G, Roy A. RNA interference-based forest protection products (FPPs) against wood-boring coleopterans: hope or hype? Front Plant Sci. 2021;12:733608. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.733608.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.733608)
- <span id="page-19-11"></span>89. Hyder R, Pennanen T, Hamberg L, Vainio EJ, Piri T, Jarkko HJ. Two viruses of *Heterobasidion* confer beneficial, cryptic or detrimental effects to their hosts in different situations. Fungal Ecol. 2013;6:387–96.
- <span id="page-19-12"></span>90. Shamsi W, Mittelstrass J, Ulrich S, Kondo H, Rigling D, Prospero, S. Possible biological control of ash dieback using the mycoparasite Hymenoscyphus fraxineus mitovirus 2. Phytopathology. 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO-09-23-0346-KC>
- <span id="page-19-13"></span>91. Peever TL, Liu YC, Cortesi P, Milgroom MG. Variation in tolerance and virulence in the chestnut blight fungus-hypovirus interaction. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2000;66(11):4863–9.
- <span id="page-19-14"></span>92. Bryner SF, Rigling D. Temperature-dependent genotype-bygenotype interaction between a pathogenic fungus and its hyperparasitic virus. Am Nat. 2011;177(1):65–74.
- <span id="page-19-15"></span>93 Piri T, Vainio EJ, Hantula J. Preventing mycelial spread of *Heterobasidion annosum* in young Scots pine stands using fungal and viral biocontrol agents. Biol Control. 2023;184:105263. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2023.105263) [doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2023.105263](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2023.105263).
- <span id="page-19-16"></span>94. Ikeda KI, Nakamura H, Arakawa M, Koiwa T, Matsumoto N. Dynamics of double-stranded RNA segments in a *Helicobasidium mompa* clone from a tulip tree plantation. FEMS Microbiol Ecol. 2005;51(2):293–301.
- <span id="page-19-17"></span>95. Yaegashi H, Nakamura H, Sawahata T, Sasaki A, Iwanami Y, Ito T, Kanematsu S. Appearance of mycovirus-like double-stranded RNAs in the white root rot fungus, *Rosellinia necatrix*, in an apple orchard. FEMS Microbiol Ecol. 2013;83(1):49–62.
- <span id="page-19-18"></span>96. Vainio EJ, Müller MM, Korhonen K, Piri T, Hantula J. Viruses accumulate in aging infection centers of a fungal forest pathogen. ISME J. 2015;9:497–507.
- <span id="page-19-19"></span>97. Hyder R, Piri T, Hantula J, Nuorteva H, Vainio EJ. Distribution of viruses inhabiting *Heterobasidion annosum* in a pine-dominated forest plot in southern Finland. Microb Ecol. 2018;75:622–30.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-017-1027-6>.
- <span id="page-19-20"></span>98. Yaegashi H, Sawahata T, Ito T, Kanematsu S. A novel colonyprint immunoassay reveals diferential patterns of distribution and horizontal transmission of four unrelated mycoviruses in *Rosellinia necatrix*. Virology. 2011;409(2):280–9.
- <span id="page-19-21"></span>99. Romon-Ochoa P, Lewis A, Gorton C, van der Linde S, Pérez-Sierra A. Efects of growth medium, temperature and mycelium age on CHV-1 accumulation and transmission. For Ecol Manag. 2023;529:120705.
- <span id="page-19-22"></span>100. Kashif M, Jurvansuu J, Hyder R, Vainio EJ, Hantula J. Phenotypic recovery of a *Heterobasidion* isolate infected by a debilitation-associated virus is related to altered host gene expression and reduced virus titer. Front Microbiol. 2021;12:661554. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.661554>.
- <span id="page-19-23"></span>101. Jian J, Lakshman DK, Tavantzis SM. Association of distinct double-stranded RNAs with enhanced or diminished virulence in *Rhizoctonia solani* infecting potato. Mol Plant Microbe Interact. 1997;10(8):1002–9.
- <span id="page-19-24"></span>102. Hantula J, Mäkelä S, Xu P, Brusila V, Nuorteva H, Kashif M, Hyder R, Vainio EJ. Multiple virus infections of *Heterobasidion* sp. Fungal Biol. 2020;124:102–9. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.funbio.2019.12.004) [1016/j.funbio.2019.12.004.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.funbio.2019.12.004)
- <span id="page-19-25"></span>103. Sasaki A, Nakamura H, Suzuki N, Kanematsu S. Characterization of a new megabirnavirus that confers hypovirulence with the aid of a co-infecting partitivirus to the host fungus, Rosellinia necatrix. Virus Res. 2016;219:73–82.
- <span id="page-19-26"></span>104. Botella L, Dvořák M, Capretti P, Luchi N. Efect of temperature on GaRV6 accumulation and its fungal host, the conifer pathogen *Gremmeniella abietina*. Forest Pathol. 2017;47(1):e12291.
- <span id="page-19-27"></span>105.• Thapa V, Roossinck MJ. Determinants of coinfection in the mycoviruses. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2019;9:169. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2019.00169) [doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2019.00169.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2019.00169) (**An important and compact review on factors regulating mixed mycovirus infections.**)
- <span id="page-19-28"></span>106. King AM, Adams MJ, Lefkowitz EJ, Carstens EB. Virus taxonomy: IXth Report of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses. Waltham, MA, USA: Elsevier, Academic Press. 2011;9:639–650 and 1055–1057.
- <span id="page-19-29"></span>107. Doherty M, Coutts RH, Brasier CM, Buck KW. Sequence of RNA-dependent RNA polymerase genes provides evidence for three more distinct mitoviruses in *Ophiostoma novo-ulmi* isolate Ld. Virus Genes. 2006;33:41–4.
- <span id="page-19-30"></span>108. Vainio EJ, Martínez-Álvarez P, Bezos D, Hantula J, Diez JJ. *Fusarium circinatum* isolates from northern Spain are commonly infected by three distinct mitoviruses. Arch Virol. 2015;160(8):2093–8. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-015-2462-7) doi. org/ 10. 1007/ [s00705-015-2462-7.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-015-2462-7)
- <span id="page-19-31"></span>109. Telengech P, Hisano S, Mugambi C, Hyodo K, Arjona-López JM, López-Herrera CJ, Kanematsu S, Kondo H, Suzuki N. Diverse partitiviruses from the phytopathogenic fungus,

Rosellinia necatrix. Front Microbiol. 2020;11:1064. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.01064) [org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.01064](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.01064).

- <span id="page-20-0"></span>110. Chiba S, Suzuki N. Highly activated RNA silencing via strong induction of dicer by one virus can interfere with the replication of an unrelated virus. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2015;112(35):E4911–8. [https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.15091](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1509151112) [51112](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1509151112).
- <span id="page-20-1"></span>111. Zamora P, Martín AB, Dueñas M, Martin RS, Diez JJ. *Cryphonectria parasitica* isolates of the same vegetative compatibility type display diferent rates of transfer of CHV1 hypovirus. Eur J Plant Pathol. 2015;43(4):767–77. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-015-0727-3) [s10658-015-0727-3.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-015-0727-3)
- <span id="page-20-2"></span>112. Liu YC, Linder-Basso D, Hillman BI, Kaneko S, Milgroom MG. Evidence for interspecies transmission of viruses in natural populations of flamentous fungi in the genus *Cryphonectria*. Mol Ecol. 2003;12(6):1619–28.
- <span id="page-20-3"></span>113. Wu S, Cheng J, Fu Y, Chen T, Jiang D, Ghabrial SA, Xie J. Virus-mediated suppression of host non-self recognition facilitates horizontal transmission of heterologous viruses. PLoS Pathog. 2017;13(3):e1006234.
- <span id="page-20-4"></span>114. Ikeda KI, Nakamura H, Arakawa M, Matsumoto N. Diversity and vertical transmission of double-stranded RNA elements in root rot pathogens of trees, *Helicobasidium mompa* and *Rosellinia necatrix*. Mycol Res. 2004;108(6):626–34.
- <span id="page-20-5"></span>115. Andika IB, Wei S, Cao C, Salaipeth L, Kondo H, Sun L. Phytopathogenic fungus hosts a plant virus: a naturally occurring cross-kingdom viral infection. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2017;114(46):12267–72. [https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.17149](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1714916114) [16114](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1714916114).
- <span id="page-20-6"></span>116. Carbone I, Liu YC, Hillman BI, Milgroom MG. Recombination and migration of Cryphonectria hypovirus 1 as inferred from gene genealogies and the coalescent. Genetics. 2004;166(4):1611–29.
- <span id="page-20-7"></span>117. Schoebel CN, Botella L, Lygis V, Rigling D. Population genetic analysis of a parasitic mycovirus to infer the invasion history of its fungal host. Mol Ecol. 2017;26(9):2482–97.
- <span id="page-20-8"></span>118. Čermáková V, Kudláček T, Rotková G, Rozsypálek J, Botella L. *Hymenoscyphus fraxineus* mitovirus 1 naturally disperses through the airborne inoculum of its host, *Hymenoscyphus fraxineus*, in the Czech Republic. Biocontrol Sci Tech. 2017;27(8):992–1008.
- <span id="page-20-9"></span>119. Hillman BI, Suzuki N. Viruses in the chestnut blight fungus. Adv Virus Res. 2004;63:423–73.
- <span id="page-20-10"></span>120. Romeralo C, Bezos D, Martínez-Álvarez P, Diez JJ. Vertical Transmission of *Fusarium circinatum* mitoviruses FcMV1 and FcMV2-2 via microconidia. Forests. 2018;9:356. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.3390/f9060356) [10.3390/f9060356](https://doi.org/10.3390/f9060356).
- <span id="page-20-11"></span>121. Ihrmark K, Johannesson H, Stenström E, Stenlid J. Transmission of double-stranded RNA in *Heterobasidion annosum*. Fungal Genet Biol. 2002;36(2):147–54. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1087-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1087-1845(02)00011-7) [1845\(02\)00011-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1087-1845(02)00011-7).
- <span id="page-20-12"></span>122. Lee K-M, Yu J, Son M, Lee Y-W, Kim K-H. Transmission of *Fusarium boothii* mycovirus via protoplast fusion causes hypovirulence in other phytopathogenic fungi. PLoS ONE. 2011;6(6):e21629. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.00216](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0021629) [29.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0021629)
- <span id="page-20-13"></span>123. Das S, Hisano S, Eusebio-Cope A, Kondo H, Suzuki N. A Transfectable fusagravirus from a Japanese strain of *Cryphonectria carpinicola* with spherical particles. Viruses. 2022;14(8):1722. [https://doi.org/10.3390/v14081722.](https://doi.org/10.3390/v14081722)
- <span id="page-20-14"></span>124• Ayllón MA, Vainio EJ. Mycoviruses as a part of the global virome: diversity, evolutionary links and lifestyle. Advances in Virus Research. 2023;115:1–86 [https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.aivir.](https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.aivir.2023.02.002) [2023.02.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.aivir.2023.02.002) (**Thorough review on the diversity of mycoviruses, mixed viral infections in fungi and synthetic viruses.**)
- <span id="page-20-15"></span>125. Chiba S, Lin YH, Kondo H, Kanematsu S, Suzuki N. A novel betapartitivirus RnPV6 from *Rosellinia necatrix* tolerates host RNA silencing but is interfered by its defective RNAs. Virus Res. 2016;219:62–72.
- <span id="page-20-16"></span>126. Salaipeth L, Chiba S, Eusebio-Cope A, Kanematsu S, Suzuki N. Biological properties and expression strategy of *Rosellinia necatrix* megabirnavirus 1 analysed in an experimental host, *Cryphonectria parasitica*. J Gen Virol. 2014;95(3):740–50.
- <span id="page-20-17"></span>127. Jurvansuu J, Kashif M, Vaario L, Vainio EJ, Hantula J. Partitiviruses of a fungal forest pathogen have species-specifc quantities of genome segments and transcripts. Virology. 2014;462–463:25–33.
- <span id="page-20-18"></span>128. Vainio EJ, Pennanen T, Rajala T, Hantula J. Occurrence of similar mycoviruses in pathogenic, saprotrophic and mycorrhizal fungi inhabiting the same forest stand. FEMS Microbiol Ecol. 2017;93(3):003. [https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fx003](https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fix003).
- <span id="page-20-19"></span>129. Arjona-Lopez JM, Telengech P, Jamal A, Hisano S, Kondo H, Yelin MD, Arjona-Girona I, Kanematsu S, Lopez-Herrera CJ, Suzuki N. Novel, diverse RNA viruses from Mediterranean isolates of the phytopathogenic fungus, *Rosellinia necatrix:* insights into evolutionary biology of fungal viruses. Environ Microbiol. 2018;20(4):1464–83.
- <span id="page-20-20"></span>130. Velasco L, Arjona-Girona I, Ariza-Fernández MT, Cretazzo E, López-Herrera C. A novel hypovirus species from *Xylariaceae* fungi infecting avocado. Front Microbiol. 2018;8(9):778. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.00778) [doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.00778](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.00778).
- <span id="page-20-21"></span>131. Li H, Bian R, Liu Q, Yang L, Pang T, Salaipeth L, Andika IB, Kondo H, Sun L. Identifcation of a novel hypovirulenceinducing hypovirus from *Alternaria alternata*. Front Microbiol. 2019;15(10):1076. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.01076.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.01076)<br>132.•• Hough B. Steenkamp E. Wingfield B. Read D. Funga
- <span id="page-20-22"></span>Hough B, Steenkamp E, Wingfield B, Read D. Fungal viruses unveiled: a comprehensive review of mycoviruses. Viruses. 2023;15:1202. <https://doi.org/10.3390/v15051202>. (**Thorough review listing publications on mycoviruses and their host species.**)
- <span id="page-20-23"></span>133. Espinal RBA, de Santana SF, Santos VC, Lizardo GNR, Silva RJS, Corrêa RX, Loguercio LL, Góes-Neto A, Pirovani CP, Fonseca PLC, et al. Uncovering a complex virome associated with the cacao pathogens *Ceratocystis cacaofunesta* and *Ceratocystis fmbriata*. Pathogens. 2023;12:287.
- 134. De Miccolis Angelini RM, Raguseo C, Rotolo C, Gerin D, Faretra F, Pollastro S. The mycovirome in a worldwide collection of the brown rot fungus *Monilinia fructicola*. Journal of Fungi. 2022;8(5):481.
- <span id="page-20-24"></span>135. Wang W, Wang X, Tu C, Yang M, Xiang J, Wang L, Hong N, Zhai L, Wang G. Novel mycoviruses discovered from a metatranscriptomics survey of the phytopathogenic *Alternaria* fungus. Viruses. 2022;14:2552. [https://doi.org/10.3390/v1411](https://doi.org/10.3390/v14112552) [2552](https://doi.org/10.3390/v14112552).
- <span id="page-20-25"></span>136. Khan HA, Telengech P, Kondo H, Bhatti MF, Suzuki N. Mycovirus hunting revealed the presence of diverse viruses in a single isolate of the phytopathogenic fungus *Diplodia seriata* from Pakistan. Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology 2022:12: Front Cell Infec Microbiol. 2022;12:913619. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2022.913619) [doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2022.913619](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2022.913619)
- <span id="page-20-26"></span>137. Suharto AR, Jirakkakul J, Eusebio-Cope A, Salaipeth L. Hypovirulence of *Colletotrichum gloesporioides* associated with dsRNA mycovirus isolated from a mango orchard in Thailand. Viruses. 1921;2022:14. <https://doi.org/10.3390/v14091921>.
- <span id="page-20-27"></span>138.• Poimala A, Parikka P, Hantula J, Vainio EJ. Viral diversity in Phytophthora cactorum population infecting strawberry. Environ Microbiol. 2021;23(9):5200–21. (**A study indicating that viral communities of an introduced pathogen are globally undiferentiated.**)
- <span id="page-21-0"></span>139.• Ruiz-Padilla A, Rodríguez-Romero J, Gómez-Cid I, Pacifco D, Ayllón MA. Novel mycoviruses discovered in the mycovirome of a necrotrophic fungus. MBio. 2021;12:e03705-e3720. (**Numerous new mycoviruses with interesting genome organizations and phylogenetic affiliations described in a major plant pathogen.**)
- <span id="page-21-1"></span>140. Raco M, Vainio EJ, Sutela S, Eichmeier A, Hakalová E, Jung T, Botella L. High diversity of novel viruses in the tree pathogen *Phytophthora castaneae* revealed by high-throughput sequencing of total and small RNA. Front Microbiol. 2022;13:911474.
- <span id="page-21-2"></span>141. Botella L, Jung T. Multiple viral infections detected in *Phytophthora condilina* by total and small RNA sequencing. Viruses. 2021;13(4):620.
- <span id="page-21-3"></span>142. Cao X, Liu J, Pang J, Kondo H, Chi S, Zhang J, Sun L, Andika IB. Common but nonpersistent acquisitions of plant viruses by plant-associated fungi. Viruses. 2022;14(10):2279. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3390/v14102279) [org/10.3390/v14102279.](https://doi.org/10.3390/v14102279)
- <span id="page-21-4"></span>143. Mascia T, Vučurović A, Minutillo SA, Nigro F, Labarile R, Savoia MA, Palukaitis P, Gallitelli D. Infection of *Colletotrichum acutatum* and *Phytophthora infestans* by taxonomically diferent plant viruses. Eur J Plant Pathol. 2019;153:1001–17.
- <span id="page-21-5"></span>144. Nerva L, Varese GC, Falk BW, Turina MJ. Mycoviruses of an endophytic fungus can replicate in plant cells: evolutionary implications. Sci Rep. 2017;7(1):1908. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-02017-3) [s41598-017-02017-3](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-02017-3).
- <span id="page-21-6"></span>145.• Bian R, Andika IB, Pang T, Lian Z, Wei S, Niu E, Wu Y, Kondo H, Liu X, Sun L. Facilitative and synergistic interactions between fungal and plant viruses. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2020;117(7):3779–88. [https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.19159](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1915996117) [96117](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1915996117). (**A paper describing how virus-virus interactions between plant and mycoviruses in an experimental setting.**)
- <span id="page-21-7"></span>146.<sup>••</sup> Roossinck MJ. Evolutionary and ecological links between plant and fungal viruses. New Phytol. 2019;221(1):86–92. (**An important review discussing potential inter-kingdom virus transmission events and their possibilities.**)
- <span id="page-21-8"></span>147.•• Dolja VV, Krupovic M, Koonin EV. Deep roots and splendid boughs of the global plant virome. Annu Rev Phytopathol. 2020;58:23–53. [https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-phyto-](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-phyto-030320-041346)[030320-041346.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-phyto-030320-041346) (**An important review discussing plant virus evolution.**)
- <span id="page-21-9"></span>148. Kryukov K, Ueda MT, Imanishi T, Nakagawa S. Systematic survey of non-retroviral virus-like elements in eukaryotic genomes. Virus Res. 2019;262:30–6. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virusres.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virusres.2018.02.002) [2018.02.002.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virusres.2018.02.002)
- <span id="page-21-10"></span>149. Nibert ML, Vong M, Fugate KK, Debat HJ. Evidence for contemporary plant mitoviruses. Virology. 2018;518:14–24. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2018.02.005) [doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2018.02.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2018.02.005).
- <span id="page-21-11"></span>150. Chiba S, Kondo H, Tani A, Saisho D, Sakamoto W, Kanematsu S, Suzuki N. Widespread endogenization of genome sequences of non-retroviral RNA viruses into plant genomes. PLoS Pathog. 2011;7(7):e1002146.
- <span id="page-21-12"></span>151. Liu S, Xie J, Cheng J, Li B, Chen T, Fu Y, Li G, Wang M, Jin H, Wan H, Jiang D. Fungal DNA virus infects a mycophagous insect and utilizes it as a transmission vector. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2016;113(45):12803–8. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1608013113>.
- <span id="page-21-13"></span>152 Petrzik K, Sarkisova T, Starý J, Koloniuk I, Hrabáková L, Kubešová O. Molecular characterization of a new monopartite dsRNA mycovirus from mycorrhizal Thelephora terrestris (Ehrh.) and its detection in soil oribatid mites (Acari: Oribatida). Virology. 2016;489:12–9. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2015.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2015.11.009) [11.009.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2015.11.009)
- 153.• Wagemans J, Holtappels D, Vainio E, Rabiey M, Marzachì C, Herrero S, Ravanbakhsh M, Tebbe CC, Ogliastro M, Ayllón MA, Turina M. Going viral: virus-based biological control agents for plant protection. Annu Rev Phytopathol. 2022;60:21–42. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-phyto-021621-114208>. (**Very complete review on utilizing viruses for biocontrol (phage therapy, insect viruses and mycoviruses).**)
- <span id="page-21-14"></span>154. Pilarska D, Georgiev G, Dobreva M, Takov D, Mirchev P, Doychev D, Georgieva M, Nachev R, Dermendzhiev P, Draganova S, Linde A. Pathogens and parasitoids of forest pest insects in the region of the Forest Protection Station Plovdiv (Bulgaria), during the period 1990–2017. Silva Balcanica. 2018;19(3):49–59.
- <span id="page-21-15"></span>155. Shi M, Lin XD, Tian JH, Chen LJ, Chen X, Li CX, Qin XC, Li J, Cao JP, Eden JS, Buchmann J, Wang W, Xu J, Holmes EC, Zhang YZ. Redefning the invertebrate RNA virosphere. Nature. 2016;540(7634):539–43. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature20167>.
- <span id="page-21-16"></span>156. Jakubowska AK, Nalcacioglu R, Millán-Leiva A, Sanz-Carbonell A, Muratoglu H, Herrero S, Demirbag Z. In search of pathogens: transcriptome-based identifcation of viral sequences from the pine processionary moth (*Thaumetopoea pityocampa*). Viruses. 2015;7(2):456–79.
- <span id="page-21-17"></span>157. De Miranda JR, Hedman H, Onorati P, Stephan J, Karlberg O, Bylund H, Terenius O. Characterization of a novel RNA virus discovered in the autumnal moth *Epirrita autumnata* in Sweden. Viruses. 2017;9(8):214.
- <span id="page-21-18"></span>158. Paraskevopoulou S, Käfer S, Zirkel F, Donath A, Petersen M, Liu S, Zhou X, Drosten C, Misof B, Junglen S. Viromics of extant insect orders unveil the evolution of the favi-like superfamily. Virus Evol. 2021;7(1):30.
- <span id="page-21-19"></span>159. Diez-Hermano S, Pérez-Alonso PL, Morell WA, Niño-Sanchez J, de la Peña M, Diez JJ. Diversity of RNA viruses in declining Mediterranean forests. bioRxiv 2023.10.28.564537. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.28.564537) [org/10.1101/2023.10.28.564537](https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.28.564537)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.