#### **PEATLANDS**





# **Vegetation and Peat Soil Characteristics of a Fire‑Impacted Tropical Peatland in Costa Rica**

**Ana Gabriela Pérez‑Castillo1,2  [·](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5075-5573) Mayela Monge‑Muñoz1,2 · Ana María Durán‑Quesada1,3 · Weynner Giraldo‑Sanclemente1 · Ana Cristina Méndez‑Esquivel4 · Néstor Briceño‑Soto<sup>4</sup> · Hinsby Cadillo‑Quiroz5,6**

Received: 23 November 2023 / Accepted: 6 March 2024 / Published online: 14 March 2024 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Society of Wetland Scientists 2024

#### **Abstract**

Tropical peatlands are highly vulnerable to anthropogenic alterations. In Costa Rica, riverine peatlands are understudied, and most are not included in protected areas. This study aims to generating information useful to assess the anthropogenic pressure in a riverine peatland in Los Robles Sector (LRS) of Medio Queso Wetland (MQW) complex. Evaluations of impacts of fres on vegetation and surface peat chemistry, and the post-2021 fre, makeup of dominant vegetation changes with the Cyperaceae species *Scleria melaleuca* replacing *Eleocharis interstincta* as the dominant species are presented. The topsoil (0–20 cm) total C content was quantified as lower than 300 g kg<sup>-1</sup> with no significant statistical differences in total C and N content between soil shortly after the fres or two years later. The species *E. interstincta* is observed to promote higher C stability during the dry season, and has a more recalcitrant composition of the root system compared to the post 2021-fre dominant *S. melaleuca*. To reduce the impact on C accumulation, measures to prevent grazing-originated fres, especially when the water table is low, are urgent. Hence, this work aims at proving information that can be a baseline for impacts assessment and to inform conservation measures and policies.

**Keywords** *Eleocharis interstincta* · Fire · Peat chemistry · Riverine peatland · *Scleria melaleuca* · Anthropogenic impact

## **Introduction**

The impact of anthropogenic disturbances on the dynamics of Carbon (C) sequestration in tropical peatlands is higher compared to that of climate variability and change

 $\boxtimes$  Ana Gabriela Pérez-Castillo ana.perezcastillo@ucr.ac.cr

- <sup>1</sup> Centro de Investigación en Contaminación Ambiental (CICA), Universidad de Costa Rica, Montes de Oca, Costa Rica
- <sup>2</sup> Escuela de Agronomía, Universidad de Costa Rica, Montes de Oca, Costa Rica
- <sup>3</sup> Escuela de Física, Universidad de Costa Rica, Montes de Oca, Costa Rica
- <sup>4</sup> Sistema Nacional de Áreas de Conservación, Ministerio de Ambiente y Energía de Costa Rica, San José, Costa Rica
- <sup>5</sup> School of Life Sciences, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, USA
- <sup>6</sup> Biodesign Institute, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, USA

(Leng et al. [2019;](#page-8-0) Ribeiro et al. [2020\)](#page-8-1). Tropical peatlands are vulnerable and signifcantly afected by drainage, fres, and land use change (Jauhiainen et al. [2016](#page-7-0); Kunarso et al. [2022](#page-8-2)). The impact of fre in riverine peatlands is understudied in tropical America with earlier studies in the Paraná River delta marshes (Salvia et al. [2012](#page-8-3)) and recent megafre records in the Pantanal wetlands (Couto-Garcia et al. [2021](#page-7-1)), but none in peatlands of Central America. During drought, fre increased frequency exacerbated by peat drainage has been observed to drive vegetation changes in Kalimantan, Indonesia (Page et al. [2009](#page-8-4)). Low-severity fres occur when vegetation is desiccated and soil moisture is high, a common condition during the transition between dry and rainy seasons. Fires destroy vegetation cover, enhancing erosion, nutrients loss and reducing soil fertility (González-Pérez et al. [2004](#page-7-2); Leng et al. [2019](#page-8-0)). Most studies on the efects of fres on soil chemistry are focused on Malaysia and Indonesia (Kunarso et al. [2022\)](#page-8-2).

In Costa Rica, the national wetland inventory reports an area of 307,315 ha, but peatlands extension has not been systematically quantifed and most riverine peatlands are

not included in the national protected areas (SINAC-PNUD-GEF [2018\)](#page-8-5), despite that their conservation is a cost-effective mitigation action for the national greenhouse gases emissions (Joosten et al. [2012](#page-8-6)) or would store the larger soil carbon repositories in the country. The Medio Queso Wetland (MQW) complex is a relevant transboundary peatland in Central America (Montes de Oca-Lugo [2006](#page-8-7)) and one of the most vulnerable wetlands in Costa Rica. The degradation of MQW has increased due to road construction, grazingrecovery fres, and small-scale drainage systems for agricultural farming (Camacho-Navarro et al. [2017](#page-7-3)). These risk factors contribute to increased soil C losses, compaction, drainage, and desiccation of the peatland (Peters and Tegetmeyer [2019](#page-8-8); Rodríguez-Vasquez [2021\)](#page-8-9). There is a major knowledge gap in assessing the local impacts on riverine peatlands, their current state, and potential management strategies for conservation. The objective of this study is to provide a frst approximation of short-term efect of fre from human activities on vegetation cover and surface peat chemistry.

## **Materials and Methods**

#### **Medio Queso Wetland**

The MQW is in the middle and low basin of the Medio Queso River (MQR, [1](#page-1-0)1°02′ 07" 'N, 84° 41′ 16" W) (Fig. 1a). It has a 2 km wide protected area along the border with Nicaragua, known as the Wildlife Refuge North Border Corridor of Costa Rica, that adjoins the Nicaraguan Wildlife Reserve Los Guatuzos (Montes de Oca-Lugo [2006\)](#page-8-7). A peatland area of 3800 ha was reported in 1988 for MQW with most of the peat formed on the west of the river (Peters and Tegetmeyer [2019\)](#page-8-8). Long-term average annual rainfall is 1864 mm and mean temperature is approximately 25.56 ºC, based on the 1995–2021 record of Los Chiles meteorological station operated by the Costa Rica National Meteorological Institute (IMN). The rainy season spans from April to November and exhibits a bimodal distribution, and the dry season from December to April. Based on the Köppen-Geiger



<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Location of area of study and details of plot distribution. (**a**) MQW's area in light green shade along with the location of the LRS and Route 1856. (**b**) Experimental area set up with plots, and wells in

LRS. (**c**) Transects conducted for LRS Digital photographic survey of macrophyte species and surrounding landscape (d) Study site location in Costa Rican map

classifcation, the climate of the region is defned as Tropical Montane Forest. MQW is a topogenous peatland where water is sourced from precipitation, the MQR, and other tributaries (Montes de Oca-Lugo [2006](#page-8-7); Flores [2014](#page-7-4)). It exhibits significant water level (WL) fluctuations, with fooding reaching up to 2 m above surface level during the rainy season and dry soils with few permanent ponds at the end of the dry season (Moreno-Casasola and Warner [2009](#page-8-10)).

#### **Study Area**

A study area of 12 500 m<sup>2</sup> (250 m $\times$  50 m) was delimitated in LRS of MQW (Fig. [1a](#page-1-0)), 600 m away from the central fll built for Route 1856 road to protect the plots from the efect of permanent channels. The area was subdivided into ten plots of 50 m $\times$ 25 m, arranged in five pairs along a 250 m transect in the direction of the river. Three WL monitoring wells were installed at 26 m, 442 m and 840 m from the river (Fig. [1](#page-1-0)b).

The WL was monitored using water-level sensors (model U20-001–04, Onset Computer Corporation, USA) between May 2019 and May 2022 (Fig. [2a](#page-2-0)). In absence of a WL sensor for the Cuba palm -*Acoelorraphe wrightii*- islet (S1, Fig. [1b](#page-1-0)) WL measured with a scale was found to be 25 cm higher than the rest of the plots. Meteorological data used was from IMN station Los Chiles, located 1.3 km from the site.

The LRS was devoid of trees except along the edge of the river and its vegetation was characterized primarily by reeds, sedges, bushes, few underdeveloped palms, and other small plants, condition reported by Obando and Malavassi [\(1993](#page-8-11)).

In the rainy season, LRS area is fooded, and fshes, seeds and marshy sediments are transported from the MQR. From January to August, dry season and frst leg of the rainy season, extensive cattle grazed in the LRS with similar livestock charge during the study period. Grazing-recovery fres had been reported by Obando and Malavassi in 1993, however, fre frequency has increased since 2019 according to local newspapers. Fires that afected the study area in the last nine years are shown in Fig. [3.](#page-3-0)

In LRS, the dike built for National Route 1856 restricted the water flow, formed two permanent channels along the two segments of the central fll, and increased people transit, leading to higher anthropogenic pressure (Vega-García and Gómez-Navarro [2012](#page-8-12)). Impact of Route 1856 to a shorter fre turnover in recent years (four fres between 2019–2023) might exist but is difficult to confirm due to lack of historical fire information.

### **Fire and State of Vegetation**

Digital photographic survey of macrophyte species and surrounding landscape was conducted in three transects in LRS (Fig. [1](#page-1-0)c). The species inventory was compiled six and fve months after the 2019 and 2021 fres, respectively (Fig. [3](#page-3-0)). The inventory is provided in Online Resource 1 (Table 1S and 2S). In response to an observed change in the dominant species, nine complete plants of *S. melaleuca* (navajuela) and *E. interstincta* (spikerush) were randomly selected and extracted preserving the roots to analyze their diferences in their biomass C:N ratio. Aerial parts and roots were separated and dried at 70 °C until constant weight. For each



<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Daily rain and average water level (WL) in LRS in the MQW  $(n=3)$ . WL data from September 7, 2020 to February 20, 2021 were lost because of Covid-19 pandemic restrictions and after May

2022 because sensors were damaged. Rainfall data were obtained by Instituto Meteorológico Nacional (IMN) station Los Chiles, located 1.3 km from the site



<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Fig. 3** Fires occurred in LRS from 2015 to 2023 (sources: local newspaper reports and 2019–2022 monitored registers), and samplings events dates from May 15, 2019 to September 21, 2022. Post-2021

tissue, three plants were used to prepare a composite sample  $(n=3)$ , these samples were milled and analyzed by dry combustion for total C and N content (Bertsch and Ostinelli [2019](#page-7-5)) using a C-N analyzer (Vario Macro cube model, Elementar Analysensysteme GmbH, Germany).

As fres develop at the end of the dry season, the efect of seasonality can be assessed from dry and green biomass, which were harvested in a 1  $m<sup>2</sup>$  grid protected from grazing, at the soil level, in each plot. Biomass collected included foliage within the sampling surface of plants rooted inside or outside the  $1 \text{ m}^2$  frame. Dry and green biomass fresh samples were weighed and quartered to obtain 300 g subsamples, which were cut and dried at 70 °C until constant weight was reached (Santos and Esteves [2004](#page-8-13)).

Aboveground primary productivity (APP) was analyzed to evaluate the effect of fire. The four periods sampled are shown in Fig. [3](#page-3-0). The samplings at the end of the dry season (March 2020 and April 2021) were conducted

fre, *S. melaleuca* replaced *E. interstincta* as the dominant species. Created with BioRender.com

in the same area when water was at the soil surface level, enabling plant regrowth. In April 2022, sampling was not carried out because fire just happened the week, we planned to harvest biomass. The sampling in the middle of the rainy season were randomized in each plot and conducted under flood conditions (WL on September 9th, 2021:  $32 \pm 3$  cm and on September 21st, 2022:  $92 \pm 5$  cm). In September 2020, biomass harvest was not carried out due to Covid-19 pandemic restrictions.

Aboveground primary productivity per area (APP) was calculated as

$$
APP = \frac{(DW_{GB}) + (DW_{DB})}{A \times t} \tag{1}
$$

where  $DW_{GB}$  is dry-weight green biomass,  $DW_{DB}$  dryweight dry biomass, A the sampled area  $(m<sup>2</sup>)$ , and t the foliage growth period (days).

#### **Surface Peat Chemistry**

Soil samplings were conducted during the dry season of each year. Since fre occurrence escapes the control of this study, samplings were conducted after the 2019 fre, six weeks before the 2021 fre, and 10 days after the 2022 fre (Fig. [3](#page-3-0)). In each sampling, one composite soil sample was collected from 10 points of each plot at the surface level (0–20 cm) when the water table (WT) was the lowest. Total C and N concentrations were determined by dry combustion and pH was measured at a soil water suspension with a 1:2.5 ratio. The content of calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg), potassium (K), and total phosphorus (P) was determined by KCl-Olsen modifed method; and zinc (Zn), copper (Cu), iron (Fe), and manganese (Mn) were quantifed using atomic absorption spectroscopy (novAA 400p, Analytik Jena, Germany). To analyze the medium-term efect of fre on pH, it was also measured in situ at 10 cm depth with a handheld pH meter (model HI99121, Hanna Instruments, USA) from June 2019 to November 2021.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Data are reported as the mean $\pm$ standard deviation. Normality was tested with the Shapiro–Wilk test and outliers of topsoil variables were corrected. Homogeneity of variances was tested using Levene's test. APP for dry and rainy season harvests were analyzed with the Student's t-test with paired and unpaired samples, respectively. Two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted to compare a) C and N content, the C:N ratio of *E. interstincta* and *S. melaleuca* for the root and aerial part, and b) topsoil composition variables. Tukey's HSD test was used to fnd diference between means, with significantly different values at  $p < 0.05$ .

#### **Results**

#### **Fires and State of Vegetation**

Fires left the LRS devoid of vegetation with roots beneath an ash layer. Based on visual inspection, over 90% of vegetation cover was lost after each event, and the decline of Cuban palm islets has been observed since 2019. The post-2019 fre vegetation structure included the highly dominant species *E. interstincta* with less than an estimated of 5% of open water area*.* Post-2021 fre, makeup of dominant vegetation changed with the Cyperaceae species *S. melaleuca* replacing *E. interstincta* as the dominant species and a visually observed increment of open water area (Fig. [4\)](#page-4-0).

The C:N ratio of aerial biomass and roots of *E. interstincta* were lower and higher respectively compared to *S. melaleuca* (Table [1\)](#page-5-0). The percentage of green and dry biomass was observed to depend on rainfall seasonality. During the dry season, when *E. interstincta* was highly dominant, aboveground dry biomass varied between  $827 \pm 67$ and  $761 \pm 174$  g biomass m<sup>-2</sup> (See data in Online Resource 2, Fig. 1S).

The harvests in the dry season, both after fre on May 15, 2019, showed non-statistically signifcant diferences for APP of herbaceous vegetation. The sampling interval of approximately one year could induce errors on biomass estimates (Online Resource 2, Fig. 2Sa).

In the rainy season, APP measured in September 2021, unafected by the fre event as plots had been cut at soil level just few days before the fre, was signifcantly larger  $(p=0.02)$  than in September 2022 (Online Resource 2, Fig. 2Sb).



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig. 4** Scheme contrasting the condition in the LRS of MQW in the dry season of 2020 and 2021 when the dominant species was *E. interstincta,* and in the 2022 dry season when the dominant species was *S. melaleuca.* Created with BioRender.com

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Table 1** Diferences of total C and N content (%) and C:N ratio  $(n=3)$  in the structural composition between *E. interstincta* and *S. melaleuca,* in the LRS of MQW



Values with a different letter in the same column are significantly different at  $p < 0.05$  (\*) or at  $p < 0.001$ (**\*\***)

#### **Surface Peat Chemistry**

A dark-colored matter associated with the occurrence of carbonization was observed in the surface layer of LRS. The total C content of the topsoil (0–20 cm) was lower than 300 g kg<sup> $-1$ </sup> (Table [2](#page-5-1)) with no significant statistical differences in total C and N content shortly after the fres (2019 and 2022) or two years later (2021). However, C:N ratios of 2019 (post-fre) and 2021(pre-fre) showed a statistically significant difference  $(p < 0.05)$ . Exchangeable soil acidity and Ca (-17% and -77%), Mg (-20% and -75%), and K (+10%) and -63%) content decreased from 2019 to 2021 and from 2021 to 2022. Metals (Fe, Zn, Cu, and Mn) concentration decreased sharply after the 2022 fre. Other results of composite samples are shown in Table [2](#page-5-1).

In the LRS, the medium-term efect of fre on pH at 10 cm depth was characterized by a relatively constant mildly acidic pH (in situ)  $(4.83 \pm 0.22)$  from October 2019 to April

<span id="page-5-1"></span>**Table 2** Properties of the topsoil (0–20 cm) based on dry soil throughout four years in the LRS of MQW, Los Chiles, Costa Rica, with the following conditions: May 2019, just after the frst fre, April 2021, 6 weeks before the second fre and in May 2022, 10 days after the third fire. Mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (n = 10)

Chemical properties	Units	May 2019	April 2021	May 2022
$C_{\text{tot}}$	$g kg^{-1}$	246±13a	$241 \pm 13a$	$249 \pm 18a$
$N_{\text{tot}}$	$g kg^{-1}$	$16 \pm 1a$	$16 \pm 1a$	$16 \pm 1a$
$C:N$ ratio*		$15.8 \pm 1.1a$	$15.1 \pm 0.5b$	$15.4 \pm 0.8$ ab
$pH**$		$4.75 \pm 0.07a$	$4.78 \pm 0.10a$	$4.53 \pm 0.14b$
$Ca**$	$g kg^{-1}$	$10.4 \pm 2.0a$	$8.6 \pm 1.1$	$2.0 \pm 0.3c$
$Mg^{**}$	$g kg^{-1}$	$1.5 \pm 0.3a$	$1.2 \pm 0.2b$	$0.3 \pm 0.1c$
$K^{**}$	$g\ kg^{-1}$	$0.39 \pm 0.04a$	$0.43 \pm 0.05a$	$0.16 \pm 0.06$
$E A^{a**}$	$g kg^{-1}$	$6.4 \pm 0.8a$	$5.4 \pm 0.5$ b	$1.2 \pm 0.5c$
$Fe*$	$g kg^{-1}$	$4.7 \pm 1.1$	$5.2 \pm 0.6a$	$0.8 \pm 0.5c$
$Zn*$	$mg \text{ kg}^{-1}$	$4.4 \pm 2.6$ ab	$9.7 \pm 7.7a$	$2.1 \pm 1.0$
$Cu**$	$mg \, kg^{-1}$	$289 + 99a$	$181 \pm 45b$	$26 \pm 16c$
$Mn^{**}$	$mg \, kg^{-1}$	$188 + 29a$	$160 \pm 20b$	$29 \pm 19c$
P	$mg \text{ kg}^{-1}$	$40 \pm 10a$	$27 + 7a$	$37 + 23a$

Values with a diferent letter in the same line are signifcantly diferent at  $p < 0.05$  (\*) or significantly different at  $p < 0.01$  (\*\*)

a EA: exchangeable acidity

2021. There was a slight increase after the May 2021 fre  $(5.00 \pm 0.19)$  on June 2nd, 2021, and  $5.23 \pm 0.11$  on July 19th, 2021, which had already returned to the mean value on September 7th, 2021 (Online Resource 3, Fig. 3S).

## **Discussion**

#### **Fire and State of Vegetation**

Based on visual inspection of vegetation lost, the fres can be classifed of high severity according to Salvia et al. [\(2012](#page-8-3)). The short fre turnover (annual frequency) caused Cuban palm islets to decline, although microtopography enabled some to survive in areas with more water supply and saturated soil (Sandi et al. [2020](#page-8-14)). The pressure from livestock production for grassland suckers, high fammability of dominant secondary vegetation, and channels on both sides of the dike that decreased the water level, have likely boosted short fre turnover (Siegert et al. [2001](#page-8-15); Jauhiainen et al. [2016](#page-7-0)).

The change in the dominant species from *E. interstincta* to *S. melaleuca,* after May 2021 fre, was likely caused by high-frequency fres and enhanced by WL variations. Fire events might produce changes in species dominance because of species-specifc responses (Salvia et al. [2012\)](#page-8-3) which are supported or neutralized by interannual variability of WL, as observed in LRS. In this case, WL was above 50 cm for a shorter period during the 2021 rainy season compared to 2019 (Fig. [2](#page-2-0)), meaning that lower WL may have enhanced the impact of the fres on *E. interstincta* dominant distribution. The relationship between fres and WL on vegetation is relevant to assess the impact precipitation anomalies may have on the fre-vegetation dynamics under ENSO impact and warming climate conditions.

Changes in the dominant peatland's species can indicate alterations in LRS C dynamics (Leroy et al. [2017\)](#page-8-16) threatening peat formation. The composition of vegetation impacts fooding duration through hydrodynamic resistance (Sandi et al. [2020\)](#page-8-14), and soil temperature which alters C losses as  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  or dissolved C (Noble et al. [2019](#page-8-17)). For instance, compared to *S. melaleuca*, *E. interstincta* phenology left a layer of dry plant material, in the driest months. This layer can contribute to soil saturation, mitigate temperature increases,

and reduce atmospheric oxygen exchange (Agus et al. [2019](#page-7-6)). The loss of the dry material layer can afect peat formation, SOM fast decomposition by oxidation, rather than productivity, is the principal factor reducing peat accumulation in tropical wetlands (Chimner and Ewel [2005](#page-7-7); Bernal and Mitsch [2013\)](#page-7-8).

Local producers have noticed livestock consumes *E. interstincta* but not *S. melaleuca*. The comparison of the C:N ratio of these plants tissues suggests *E. interstincta's* foliage may be more palatable for livestock (Moretto and Distel [2002\)](#page-8-18). While its root composition, being more recalcitrant, favors peat formation (Chimner and Ewel [2005](#page-7-7); Sonnier et al. [2020](#page-8-19)). This study emphasizes the role of *E. interstincta* in the ecosystem functionality and suggests fre occurrence during years with precipitation defcit and shallow WL to favor the dominance of *S. melaleuca* over *E. interstincta* in MQW.

Seasonal variations among dry and green biomass coincide with reported variability for other ecosystems (Villar et al. [1996;](#page-8-20) Santos and Esteves [2004](#page-8-13)). APP diference between rainy seasons  $(p=0.02)$ , could be either attributed to changes in WL by interannual diferences in precipitation (Santos and Esteves [2004\)](#page-8-13), the negative efect of plant material removal by the fre on April 2022, that could afect rhizomes which supply N and P after a fre event (Amado et al. [2005;](#page-7-9) Snyder and Rejmankova [2015\)](#page-8-21), or the combination of both.

#### **Surface Peat Chemistry**

SOC depletion below 300 g  $kg^{-1}$  can occur due to peat oxidation in fre events resulting in organic matter losses (Wasis et al. [2019;](#page-8-22) Sulaeman et al. [2021](#page-8-23)). This hypothesis is supported by the black coloration of the topsoil strata, which is associated with an oxidized and carbonized peat mixed with clay from MQR and its correspondence with the WT during the 2019 and 2020 dry seasons (approximately -60 cm). In MQW topsoil, no statistically significant diferences in total C and N content between soil after the fres (2019 and 2022) and two years later (2021) suggests a low capacity of organic material sequestration by this fre degraded peatland. This result is consistent with Salvia et al. ([2012](#page-8-3)), who observed no recovery of C and N following one growing season, and the small C sequestration values  $(0.05-3 \text{ mm year}^{-1})$  reported by Bernal and Mitsch  $(2008)$  $(2008)$ ; Dommain et al. ([2011\)](#page-7-11) and Warren et al. [\(2017\)](#page-8-24) in areas with more extended fooding periods. Post-fre stability of C and N content can refect the relatively C-poor nature of LRS soil caused by previous fres and its lower thermal conductivity compared to preserved peatlands, as these experiment a notable decrease in SOC and N content when burned for the frst time (Salvia et al. [2012;](#page-8-3) Sulaeman et al. [2021](#page-8-23)).

The low value of C:N ratios observed also can be associated with the fast oxidation of organic matter by fre, which is known to reduce C:N ratio (Itoh et al. [2017](#page-7-12); Leifeld et al. [2020](#page-8-25)). This contrasts with the high C:N ratios observed in preserved tropical peat soils, a feature associated with recalcitrant organic matter, such as humic acids, formed under water saturation (Bernal and Mitch 2013). On the other hand, the lower C:N ratio two years after a fire can reflect the input of a labile C pool in the topsoil necromass layer through the quick vegetation recovery of pioneer species like *E. interstincta* (Lupascu et al. [2020\)](#page-8-26).

The impact of fire on peat soils has been linked to increases in calcium (Ca) and magnesium (Mg), making it a potential factor for enhancing fertility (Wasis et al. [2019](#page-8-22)). However, our results show fres reduce the availability of these macronutrients during the monitoring period in LRS. This reduction could be attributed to rain washing off elements to water courses after fres left the LRS devoid of vegetation (Salvia et al. [2012](#page-8-3)) or due to low ash production in regions with highly oxidized organic compounds.

The observed reductions in exchangeable acidity and Fe and Mn content can be explained by the reduction of SOM content and its transformation into new aromatic compounds as peat is burned (Terzano et al. [2021](#page-8-27)) which alters the structure of humic acids, reducing hydrogen and oxygen, increasing the carbon proportion in their structure and their cationcomplexing ability (Yustiawati et al. [2015](#page-8-28)). In addition, it is known that peat burning related increased in humic acids leads to pH decrease along with a reduction in exchangeable bases because the  $H<sup>+</sup>$  ions released into the soil solution are replaced by cation salts (Yang and Antonietti [2020](#page-8-29)).

The topsoil analysis revealed a decrease in soil pH 10 days after the fre in 2022 (Table [2\)](#page-5-1), consistent with previous studies (Salvia et al. [2012;](#page-8-3) Sulaeman et al. [2021](#page-8-23)). This may suggest a lack of sufficient fresh ash at the field site or a rapid pH recovery after the ash removal by leaching (Marcotte et al [2022\)](#page-8-30). Conversely, the medium-term pH increased at a 10 cm depth in June and July 2021 may highlight a decrease in soil acidity due to the combustion of SOM, resulting in organic acids losses and carbonates and oxides released from ash in peatland soil (Wasis et al. [2019](#page-8-22); Lupascu et al. [2020](#page-8-26); Marcotte et al. [2022\)](#page-8-30). The mediumterm pH measurements showed that the increase in peat pH after the addition of ash is transient, due to leaching and the fact that aged ash is more acid than fresh ash owing to its neutralization (Marcotte et al. [2022\)](#page-8-30).

## **Conclusions**

Evidence of changes in vegetation, topsoil chemical properties variability, and the black coloration of the topsoil strata can inform about the impacts of grazing fres on riverine peatlands and raise awareness of the need for public policies to preserve the functionality MQW as a C sink.

The sensitivity of the ecosystem to the impact of fres is strongly linked to the WT depth. Increasing awareness and promoting efforts by authorities and communities to prevent fres and monitor WL are key to reducing fre damage to the dry aerial biomass and contributing to the reduction of impacts on species dominance, C, N, and soil nutrient pools.

Preliminar evidence on changes in species dominance driven by fre events and the infuence of interannual variability of WL in this impact is presented. In MQW topsoil, total C and N soil content between soil after the fres (2019 and 2022) bellow 300 g  $kg^{-1}$  suggests a low capacity of organic material sequestration by this fre degraded peatland.

Contrasting with fndings reporting that fre on peat soils may be related to increases in calcium (Ca) and magnesium (Mg), our results suggests that recurrent fres reduce the availability of these macronutrients in the long term.

**Supplementary Information** The online version contains supplementary material available at<https://doi.org/10.1007/s13157-024-01797-5>.

**Acknowledgements** We kindly acknowledge the support of the Research Vice Presidency of the Universidad de Costa Rica (Grant number 802-B9-460), and Jacklyn Rivera Wong from the National System of Conservation Areas, Ministry of Environment and Energy of Costa Rica. Climate information was considered according to graduation project research by Bach. Fernanda Cordero using data provided by the Costa Rica National Meteorological Institute (IMN-DIM-CM-044-2023). Support of students Cristhofer Méndez and Andrés Hernández for samples preparation, and Helber Guillén for the elaboration of the map included in this paper is acknowldged.

**Author Contributions** Ana Gabriela Pérez-Castillo, Ana María Durán-Quesada and Hinsby Cadillo-Quiroz designed the study. Ana Gabriela Pérez-Castillo, Mayela Monge-Muñoz and Weynner Giraldo-Sanclemente conducted the feld work with essential contributions from Ana Cristina Méndez-Esquivel and Néstor Briceño. Laboratory and isotopic analyses were performed by Ana Gabriela Pérez-Castillo and Mayela Monge-Muñoz. Weynner Giraldo-Sanclemente supported the feld imagen and statistical analysis. Ana Gabriela Pérez-Castillo, Mayela Monge-Muñoz and Ana María Durán-Quesada performed the data analysis. Ana Gabriela Pérez-Castillo, Mayela Monge-Muñoz, Ana María Durán-Quesada and Hinsby Cadillo-Quiroz wrote the manuscript, and all co-authors contributed to the fnal version of the paper.

**Funding** This work was supported by Fondo Semilla (Grant number 802-B9-460) from Universidad de Costa Rica.

**Data Availability** The datasets generated during sampling and laboratory analysis throughout this study are available from the corresponding author by request.

#### **Declarations**

This research was approved by National System of Conservation Areas (SINAC) of the Ministry of Environment and Energy of Costa Rica (MINAE).

**Competing Interests** The authors have no competing interests to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.

#### **References**

- <span id="page-7-6"></span>Agus C, Azmi FF, Ilfana ZR, Wulandari D, Rachmanadi D, Harun MK, Yuwati TW (2019) The impact of forest fre on the biodiversity and the soil characteristics of tropical peatland. In: Leal Filho W, Barbir J, Preziosi R (eds) Handbook of climate change and biodiversity. Climate Change Management. Springer, Cham. 287–303. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-98681-4\\_18](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-98681-4_18)
- <span id="page-7-9"></span>Amado AM, Esteves FA, Figueiredo-Barros MP, Santos AM (2005) Shot-term and seasonal efects of water level variations on Eleocharis interstincta (VAHL) nutrient content in a tropical coastal lagoon. Acta Limnologica Brasiliensia 17:91–99
- <span id="page-7-10"></span>Bernal B, Mitsch W (2008) A comparison of soil carbon pools and profles in wetlands in Costa Rica and Ohio. Ecological Engineering 34:311–323. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2008.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2008.09.005) [09.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2008.09.005)
- <span id="page-7-8"></span>Bernal B, Mitsch W (2013) Carbon sequestration in freshwater wetlands in Costa Rica and Botswana. Biogeochemistry 115:77–93. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-012-9819-8>
- <span id="page-7-5"></span>Bertsch F, Ostinelli M (2019) Standard operating procedure for soil total carbon: dumas dry combustion method. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Global Soil Laboratory Network GLOSOLAN, Rome
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>Camacho-Navarro A, Herrera-Zeledón JM, Vargas-Alpízar P, Jiménez-Arce R, Veas-Ayala N, Acuña-Piedra F, Miranda-Quirós M (2017) Estado de los humedales: nuevos desafíos para su gestión. In: Informe estado de la Nación en desarrollo humano sostenible, CONARE, pp 1–30
- <span id="page-7-7"></span>Chimner RA, Ewel KC (2005) A tropical freshwater wetland: II. Production, decomposition, and peat formation. Wetlands Ecology and Management 13:671–684. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11273-005-0965-9) [s11273-005-0965-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11273-005-0965-9)
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>Couto-Garcia L, Szabo JK, de Oliveira-Roque F, Martins-Pereira A, Nunez-da Cunha C, Damasceno-Júnior GA, Gonçalves-Morato R, Moraes-Tomas W, Libonati R, Bandini- Ribeiro D (2021) Recordbreaking wildfres in the world's largest continuous tropical wetland: Integrative fre management is urgently needed for both biodiversity and humans. Journal of Environmental Management 293:112870.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.112870>
- <span id="page-7-11"></span>Dommain R, Couwenberg J, Joosten H (2011) Development and carbon sequestration of tropical peat domes in south-east Asia: Links to post-glacial sea-level changes and Holocene climate variability. Quaternary Science Reviews 30:999–1010. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.QUASCIREV.2011.01.018) [doi.org/10.1016/J.QUASCIREV.2011.01.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.QUASCIREV.2011.01.018)
- Drollinger S, Kuzyakov Y, Glatzel S (2019) Efects of peat decomposition on δ13C and δ15N depth profles of Alpine bogs. Catena 178:1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2019.02.027>
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>Flores RM (2014) Origin of coal as gas source and reservoir rocks. Elsevier, Coal and coalbed gas. Amsterdam, pp 120–127
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>González-Pérez JA, González-Vila FJ, Almendros G, Knicker H (2004) The efect of fre on soil organic matter - A review. Environment International 30:855–870. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2004.02.003) [envint.2004.02.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2004.02.003)
- <span id="page-7-12"></span>Itoh M, Okimoto Y, Hirano T, Kusin K (2017) Factors afecting oxidative peat decomposition due to land use in tropical peat swamp forests in Indonesia. Science of The Total Environment 609:906–915.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.07.132>
- <span id="page-7-0"></span>Jauhiainen J, Page S, Vasander H (2016) Greenhouse gas dynamics in degraded and restored tropical peatlands. Mires and Peat 17:1–12. <https://doi.org/10.19189/MaP.2016.OMB.229>
- Jiménez-Morillo NT, Almendros G, González-Vila FJ, Jordán A, Zavala LM, De la Rosa JM, González-Pérez JA (2020) Fire efects on C and H isotopic composition in plant biomass and soil: Bulk and particle size fractions. Science of The Total Environment 749:141417.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141417>
- <span id="page-8-6"></span>Joosten H, Tapio-Biström ML, Tol S (2012) Peatlands: guidance for climate change mitigation through conservation, rehabilitation and sustainable use. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome
- <span id="page-8-2"></span>Kunarso A, Bonner MT, Blanch EW, Grover S (2022) Diferences in tropical peat soil physical and chemical properties under diferent land uses: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition 22:4063–4083. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s42729-022-01008-2) [10.1007/s42729-022-01008-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s42729-022-01008-2)
- <span id="page-8-25"></span>Leifeld J, Klein K, Wüst-Galley C (2020) Soil organic matter stoichiometry as indicator for peatland degradation. Scientifc Reports 10:1–9.<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-64275-y>
- <span id="page-8-0"></span>Leng LY, Ahmed OH, Jalloh MB (2019) Brief review on climate change and tropical peatlands. Geoscience Frontiers 10:373–380. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gsf.2017.12.018>
- <span id="page-8-16"></span>Leroy F, Gogo S, Guimbaud C, Bernard-Jannin L, Hu Z, Laggoun-Défarge F (2017) Vegetation composition controls temperature sensitivity of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  emissions and DOC concentration in peatlands. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 107:164–167. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.01.005) [doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.01.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.01.005)
- <span id="page-8-26"></span>Lupascu M, Akhtar H, Smith TEL, Sukri RS (2020) Post-fre carbon dynamics in the tropical peat swamp forests of Brunei reveal longterm elevated CH4 fux. Global Change Biology 26:5125–5145. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15195>
- <span id="page-8-30"></span>Marcotte AL, Limpens J, Stoof CR, Stoorvogel JJ (2022) Can ash from smoldering fres increase peatland soil pH? International Journal of Wildland Fire 31:607–620.<https://doi.org/10.1071/WF21150>
- <span id="page-8-7"></span>Montes de Oca-Lugo J (2006) Los humedales transfronterizos de Nicaragua y Costa Rica: documento informativo. UICN, Oficina Regional para Mesoamérica, San José, Costa Rica
- <span id="page-8-10"></span>Moreno-Casasola P, Warner B (2009) Breviario para describir, observar y manejar humedales. Serie Costa Sustentable no 1. RAM-SAR, Instituto de Ecología A.C., CONANP, US Fish and Wildlife Service, US State Department, México
- <span id="page-8-18"></span>Moretto AS, Distel RA (2002) Soil nitrogen availability under grasses of diferent palatability in a temperate semi-arid rangeland of central Argentina. Austral Ecol 27:509–514. [https://doi-org.ezproxy.](https://doi-org.ezproxy.sibdi.ucr.ac.cr/10.1046/j.1442-9993.2002.01207.x) [sibdi.ucr.ac.cr/10.1046/j.1442-9993.2002.01207.x](https://doi-org.ezproxy.sibdi.ucr.ac.cr/10.1046/j.1442-9993.2002.01207.x)
- <span id="page-8-17"></span>Noble A, Palmer SM, Glaves DJ, Crowle A, Holden J (2019) Peatland vegetation change and establishment of re-introduced Sphagnum moss after prescribed burning. Biodiversity and Conservation 28:939–952.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-019-01703-0>
- Nykänen H, Rissanen AJ, Turunen J, Tahvanainen T, Simola H (2020) Carbon storage change and δ13C transitions of peat columns in a partially forestry-drained boreal bog. Plant and Soil 447:365–378. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-019-04375-5>
- <span id="page-8-11"></span>Obando LG, Malavassi LR (1993) Geology of peat deposits of Costa Rica. Revista Geológica de América Central. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.15517/rgac.v0i15.13233) [15517/rgac.v0i15.13233](https://doi.org/10.15517/rgac.v0i15.13233)
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>Page S, Hosciło A, Wösten H, Jauhiainen J, Silvius M, Rieley J, Ritzema H, Tansey K, Graham L, Vasander H, Limin S (2009) Restoration ecology of lowland tropical peatlands in Southeast Asia: current knowledge and future research directions. Ecosystems 12:888–905. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-008-9216-2>
- <span id="page-8-8"></span>Peters J, Tegetmeyer C (2019) Inventory of peatlands in the Caribbean and frst description of priority areas. Proceedings of the Greifswald Mire Centre 05/2019
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>Ribeiro K, Pacheco FS, Ferreira JW, Sousa-Neto ER, Hastie A, Krieger Filho GC, Alvalá PC, Forti MC, Ometto JP (2020) Tropical peatlands and their contribution to the global carbon cycle and climate change. Global Change Biology 27:489–505. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15408) [1111/gcb.15408](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15408)
- <span id="page-8-9"></span>Rodríguez-Vasquez MJ (2021) Evaluation of diferent peatland management scenarios to reduce GHG emissions from fres. A case study in tropical peatlands in Ogan Komering Ilir, Indonesia. Bois

Et Forets Des Tropiques 347:107–108. [https://doi.org/10.19182/](https://doi.org/10.19182/bft2021.347.a36352) [bft2021.347.a36352](https://doi.org/10.19182/bft2021.347.a36352)

- <span id="page-8-3"></span>Salvia M, Ceballos D, Grings F, Karszenbaum H, Kandus P (2012) Post-fre efects in wetland environments: Landscape assessment of plant coverage and soil recovery in the paraná river delta marshes, Argentina. Fire Ecology 8:17–37. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.4996/fireecology.0802017) [4996/freecology.0802017](https://doi.org/10.4996/fireecology.0802017)
- <span id="page-8-14"></span>Sandi SG, Rodriguez JF, Saintilan N, Wen L, Kuczera G, Riccardi G, Saco PM (2020) Resilience to drought of dryland wetlands threatened by climate change. Scientifc Reports 10:1–14. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-70087-x) [doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-70087-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-70087-x)
- <span id="page-8-13"></span>Santos AM, Esteves FA (2004) Infuence of water level fuctuation on the mortality and aboveground biomass of the aquatic macrophyte eleocharis interstincta (vahl) roemer et schults. Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technology 47:281–290. [https://doi.org/10.1590/](https://doi.org/10.1590/S1516-89132004000200016) [S1516-89132004000200016](https://doi.org/10.1590/S1516-89132004000200016)
- <span id="page-8-15"></span>Siegert F, Ruecker G, Hinrichs A, Hofmann AA (2001) Increased damage from fres in logged forests during droughts caused by El Niño. Nature 414:437–440. <https://doi.org/10.1038/35106547>
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>SINAC-PNUD-GEF (2018) Proyecto Humedales. Inventario Nacional de Humedales Costa Rica. SINAC/PNUD/GEF. Costa Rica
- <span id="page-8-21"></span>Snyder J, Rejmankova E (2015) Macrophyte root and rhizome decay: the impact of nutrient enrichment and the use of live versus dead tissue in decomposition studies. Biogeochemistry 124:45–59. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-015-0080-9>
- <span id="page-8-19"></span>Sonnier G, Quintana-Ascencio PF, Bohlen PJ, Fauth JE, Jenkins DG, Boughton EH (2020) Pasture management, grazing, and fre interact to determine wetland provisioning in a subtropical agroecosystem. Ecosphere 11:e03209.<https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.3209>
- <span id="page-8-23"></span>Sulaeman D, Sari E, Westhoff T (2021) Effects of peat fires on soil chemical and physical properties: a case study in South Sumatra. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science. 648:012146. IOP Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/648/1/012146>
- <span id="page-8-27"></span>Terzano R, Rascio I, Allegretta I, Porfdo C, Spagnuolo M, Khanghahi MY, Crecchio C, Sakellariadou F, Gattullo CE (2021) Fire efects on the distribution and bioavailability of potentially toxic elements (PTEs) in agricultural soils. Chemosphere 281:130752. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.130752) [doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.130752](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.130752)
- <span id="page-8-12"></span>Vega-García H, Gómez-Navarro J (2012) Encuentros y desencuentros de la dinámica fronteriza y de las relaciones políticas Costa Rica-Nicaragua. ITSMICA 15:89–105. ISSN 1023–0890
- <span id="page-8-20"></span>Villar CA, de Cabot L, Bonetto CA (1996) Macrophytic primary production and nutrient concentrations in a deltaic foodplain marsh of the Lower Paraná River. Hydrobiologia 330:59–66. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00020824) [org/10.1007/BF00020824](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00020824)
- <span id="page-8-24"></span>Warren M, Frolking S, Dai Z, Kurnianto S (2017) Impacts of land use, restoration, and climate change on tropical peat carbon stocks in the twenty-frst century: implications for climate mitigation. Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change 22:1041– 1061. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11027-016-9712-1>
- <span id="page-8-22"></span>Wasis B, Saharjo BH, Putra EI (2019) Impacts of peat fre on soil fora and fauna, soil properties and environmental damage in riau province, Indonesia. Biodiversitas 20:1770–1775. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d200639) [10.13057/biodiv/d200639](https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d200639)
- <span id="page-8-29"></span>Yang F, Antonietti M (2020) Artifcial humic acids: sustainable materials against climate change. Advanced Science 7:1902992
- <span id="page-8-28"></span>Yustiawati KY, Sazawa K, Kuramitz H, Kurasaki M, Saito T, Hosokawa T, Syawal MS, Wulandari L, Hendri I, Tanaka S (2015) Efects of peat fres on the characteristics of humic acid extracted from peat soil in Central Kalimantan, Indonesia. Environmental Science and Pollution Research 22:2384–2395. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-014-2929-1) [s11356-014-2929-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-014-2929-1)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.