ORIGINAL INVESTIGATION



Kinetics of inflammatory biomarkers in plasma predict the occurrence and features of cytomegalovirus DNAemia episodes in allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients

Alberto Talaya¹ · Estela Giménez¹ · Víctor Vinuesa¹ · Ariadna Pérez² · Paula Amat² · José Luis Piñana² · Eliseo Albert¹ · Juan Carlos Hernández-Boluda² · Carlos Solano^{2,3} · David Navarro^{1,4}

Received: 20 February 2019 / Accepted: 9 March 2019 / Published online: 25 March 2019 © Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2019

Abstract

Cytomegalovirus (CMV) DNAemia occurs frequently in CMV-seropositive allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant (allo-HSCT) recipients, and usually results from reactivation of latent infection established in the recipient. Predicting the occurrence of CMV DNAemia may be helpful in managing CMV infection in allo-HSCT recipients. Here, the kinetics of several inflammatory biomarkers in plasma were characterized and assessed for their potential value in anticipating the development and features of active CMV infection in allo-HSCT recipients, as documented using real-time PCR assays. The cohort consisted of 46 non-consecutive adult patients who underwent T-cell replete allo-HSCT at our center. Plasma levels of C-reactive protein (CRP), soluble tumor necrosis factor receptor type 2 (sTNF-R2), transforming growth factor- β 1 (TGF- β 1), and interferon-inducible protein 10 (IP-10/CXCL10) were measured in consecutive specimens obtained from conditioning either by nephelometry (CRP) or by specific immunoassays (the rest). Of the 46 patients, 22 had a first episode of CMV DNAemia at a median of 34 days after allo-HSCT (range, day 19–day 50). We found that both the TGF- β 1 area under a curve (AUC) and peak levels were significantly lower in patients who subsequently developed CMV DNAemia than in patients with no CMV DNAemia. Interestingly, CRP but not TGF- β 1 AUC and peak levels predicted the occurrence of CMV DNAemia episodes requiring preemptive antiviral therapy. The data presented herein suggest that kinetics of inflammatory biomarkers in plasma might be useful to anticipate post-engraftment CMV DNAemia episodes and predict the need for preemptive antiviral therapy in allo-HSCT recipients.

Keywords Cytomegalovirus (CMV) \cdot Transforming growth factor- β 1 (TGF- β 1) \cdot C-reactive protein (CRP) \cdot CMV DNAemia \cdot Allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (allo-HSCT)

Edited by: Sebastian Voigt.

This article is part of the Special Issue on Immunological Imprinting during Chronic Viral Infection.

David Navarro david.navarro@uv.es

- ¹ Microbiology Service, Hospital Clínico Universitario, INCLIVA Research Institute, Av. Blasco Ibáñez 17, 46010 Valencia, Spain
- ² Hematology Service, Hospital Clínico Universitario, INCLIVA Research Institute, Valencia, Spain
- ³ Department of Medicine, School of Medicine, University of Valencia, Valencia, Spain
- ⁴ Department of Microbiology, School of Medicine, University of Valencia, Av. Blasco Ibáñez 17, 46010 Valencia, Spain

Introduction

Active cytomegalovirus (CMV) infection develops frequently in the allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation setting (allo-HSCT) and may lead to end-organ disease if effective antiviral therapy is not initiated in a timely fashion [1]. Precise identification of allo-HSCT recipients particularly prone to developing active CMV infection may become the cornerstone for optimal use of antiviral phrophylaxis strategies with new anti-CMV agents [2, 3], whereby only patients at highest risk would be targeted. CMV reactivation may occur through allogeneic stimulation of peripheral blood mononuclear cells [4]. In addition, pro-inflammatory cytokines, most notably tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α), are known to trigger CMV reactivation from its latent state [5, 6]. Increased post-transplantation TNF- α levels have been shown to be associated with the development of active CMV infection in the allo-HSCT setting [7, 8]. In these studies, the CMV pp65 antigenemia assay was used for active CMV infection surveillance; in this respect we previously showed that a commercially available plasma real-time PCR assay (CMV Real-Time PCR Kit; Qiagen GmbH, Hilde, Germany) was more sensitive than the antigenemia assay (98.9% versus 47.2%) for detection of CMV in blood [9]. Here, we investigated the kinetics of several inflammatory biomarkers in plasma and assessed their potential value in anticipating the occurrence and features of active CMV infection, as diagnosed by means of a highly sensitive real-time PCR assay, in allo-HSCT recipients. Cytokines chosen for the analyses included a general downstream inflammatory marker (C-reactive protein [CRP]), two markers of upstream inflammatory pathways (soluble tumor necrosis factor receptor type 2 [sTNF-R2] and transforming growth factor-\u00b31 [TGF-\u00b31]), and a proinflammatory chemokine (interferon-inducible protein 10 [IP-10/CXCL10]). These soluble proteins circulate at much higher levels than conventional inflammatory markers such as TNF- α and IL-6, and may thus provide more reliable information on the net state of inflammation at a given time point [10, 11].

Materials and methods

Patients

This cohort consisted of 46 non-consecutive adult patients who underwent T-cell replete allo-HSCT at the Hematology Service of the Hospital Clínico Universitario of Valencia between May 2011 and June 2014. The only criterion for patient exclusion was lack of available consecutive specimens, arbitrarily defined as less than three, for the analyses described below. The cohort was representative of the entire patient population undergoing allo-HSCT at our center within the study period (not shown). The median age of patients at the time of allo-HSCT was 51 years (range 18-66 years). Patients' demographic, baseline, and posttransplant clinical data are summarized in Table 1. The study period comprised the first 100 days after allo-HSCT. This study was approved by the Hospital Clínico Fundación INCLIVA Ethics Committee. Informed consent was signed by all participants.

Management of active CMV infection

Plasma CMV DNA load was monitored using the Artus CMV Real-Time PCR Kit (produced by Qiagen GmbH, Hilde, Germany for Abbott Molecular Diagnostics Abbott) until May 2012 and the CMV RealTime CMV PCR (Abbott Table 1 Patient demographic and clinical characteristics

Parameter	No. of patients (%)
Sex	
Male	29 (63.0%)
Female	17 (37.0%)
Underlying disease	
Acute leukemia	15 (32.6%)
Chronic leukemia	8 (17.4%)
Lymphoma	18 (39.1%)
Myelodysplastic syndrome/myelofibrosis	3 (6.5%)
Multiple myeloma	2 (4.3%)
HLA-matching	
Matched	32 (69.6%)
Mismatched	14 (30.4%)
Donor type	
Related	28 (60.9%)
Unrelated	18 (39.1%)
Stem cell source	
Peripheral blood	37 (80.4%)
Umbilical cord blood	6 (13.0%)
Bone marrow	3 (6.5%)
Conditioning regimen	
Reduced intensity	30 (65.2%)
Standard intensity	16 (34.8%)
Graft-vs-host disease prophylaxis	
Regimen with cyclophosphamide	8 (17.4%)
Regimen with cyclosporin A	25 (54.3%)
Regimen with sirolimus	13 (28.3%)
ATG prophylaxis	
Yes	8 (17.4%)
No	38 (82.6%)
CMV serostatus	
D+/R+	24 (52.2%)
D+/R-	8 (17.4%)
D-/R+	11 (23.9%)
D-/R-	3 (6.5%)
Acute graft-vs-host disease	
Grades 0–I	34 (73.9%)
Grades II–IV	12 (6.1%)

ATG anti-thymocyte globulin, CMV cytomegalovirus, HLA human leukocyte antigen, D donor, R recipient

Molecular, Des Plaines, IL, USA) thereafter. The limit of detection and quantitation of both assays is approximately 20 copies/ml-31 IU/ml-(95% CI) [12]. CMV DNA monitoring was scheduled to be conducted on a weekly basis through day + 100, and twice a week in patients with CMV DNAemia, as per our center protocol. Antiviral therapy with (val)ganciclovir or foscarnet at conventional doses was initiated when the plasma CMV DNA load reached levels of > 500 (until May 2012) or 1000 copies/ml (thereafter)

[13]. Approximately, 500 copies/ml measured by the Artus CMV Real-Time PCR Kit and 1000 copies/ml quantitated by the CMV real-time CMV PCR assay correspond to around 1500 IU/ml [12].

Quantitation of inflammatory biomarkers in plasma

Leftover plasma specimens primarily used for CMV DNA load monitoring obtained from the time of conditioning to day + 50 after allo-HSCT were retrieved (thawed for the first time) for measurements. All plasma specimens from a given patient were analyzed in triplicate (mean values are used throughout the study) in the same run. Analyses were performed in several batches within 2015. CRP was quantitated by nephelometry using the high sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP) assay manufactured by Siemens Healthineers (Erlangen, Germany). The sensitivity of the assay is 0.10 mg/l, the assay range 0.10-50 mg/l, and the reproducibility 6.8% at 1.16 mg/l. sTNF-R2, TGF-\u00b31, and IP-10 were quantitated by a multiplex antibody- and magnetic bead-based system using the Luminex instrument platform (ProcartaPlex immunoassays; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA), following the manufacturer's recommendations. The sensitivity of the sTNF-R2 assay is 0.1 pg/ml, the assay range 1.27-5200 pg/ml and the inter- and intraassay coefficients of variation less than 10%. The TGF-β1 assay displays a sensitivity of 0.1 pg/ml, the assay range is 1.1-4500 pg/ml and the inter- and intra-assay coefficients of variation less than 10%. Finally, the IP-10 assay has limit of detection of 0.3 pg/ml, the range of quantitation is 1.95-8000 pg/ml and the inter- and intra-assay coefficients of variation 5.5% and 6.6%, respectively.

Blood cell counts

Enumeration of absolute leukocyte and lymphocyte was performed by hemocytometry and flow cytometry using the BD FACSCanto II Flow Cytometer (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA), respectively. Values for both cell populations determined at the time points selected for plasma biomarkers measurements were taken into consideration for the analyses described below.

Definitions

Active CMV infection and CMV DNAemia are used interchangeably throughout the text. CMV DNAemia was defined as detection of CMV DNA at any level in one or more plasma specimens. The overall duration of a given episode of viral DNAemia was the time elapsed between the day of first detection of viral DNA in plasma and the day of first negative (undetectable) PCR result. Acute graft-versus-host disease (aGvHD) was diagnosed and graded as previously reported [14].

Statistical analysis

Differences between medians were compared using the Mann-Whitney U test (for two independent variables). The Spearman rank test was used to assess the correlation between continuous variables. Qualitative variables were compared using the Chi-square test. Two-sided exact *P* values are reported. A *P* value ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression models were built to assess the impact of several clinical and biological factors on the risk of CMV DNAemia. These statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 20.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). The area under the plasma concentration-time curve (AUC) \log_{10} for each cytokine (in mg [CRP] or pg [sTNF-R2, TGF- β 1, IP-10] × days/1 [CPR] or /ml [sTNF-R2, TGF- β 1 and IP-10]) and for absolute leukocytes and lymphocytes (10⁶ cells × days/ml) was calculated applying the curve trapezoid rule, using the GraphPad Prism Software (La Jolla, CA, USA), as previously reported [15]. All plasma and blood counts measurements were taken into consideration for AUCs calculations. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analyses were performed using the same statistical package.

Results

CMV DNAemia episodes in the study population

Of the 46 patients included in the study, 22 had a first episode of CMV DNAemia at a median of 34 days after allo-HSCT (range, day 19-day 50). The initial and peak median CMV DNA loads were 80.5 IU/ml (range 30.5 IU/m-398 IU/ ml) and 3141 IU/ml (range 80.5-123,393 IU/ml), respectively. CMV DNAemia episodes lasted a median of 42 days (range 18-142 days). Fourteen of the 22 patients received preemptive antiviral therapy with (val)ganciclovir. There were no significant differences between patients with or without CMV DNAemia regarding most baseline and posttransplant patient characteristics including sex, underlying disease, type of allograft, source of hematopoietic stem cells, conditioning regimen, acute GvHD prophylaxis regimen, CMV serostatus of donors and cumulative incidence of aGvHD (Table 2). Only recipient CMV serostatus was significantly different (P = 0.003) across comparison groups, CMV seropositive having a predictably higher prevalence among patients who went on to develop CMV DNAemia.

 Table 2
 Demographic and clinical characteristics of patients with or without CMV DNAemia

Parameter	No. of patients (%)				
	$\frac{1}{(n=22)}$	No CMV DNAemia (n=24)	P value		
Sex					
Male	14 (48.3%)	15 (51.7%)	0.936		
Female	8 (47.1%)	9 (52.9%)			
Underlying disease					
Acute leukemia	8 (53.3%)	7 (46.7%)	0.589		
Chronic leukemia	3 (37.5%)	5 (62.5%)			
Lymphoma	9 (50.0%)	9 (50.0%)			
Myelodysplastic syndrome/myelofibrosis	2 (66.7%)	1 (33.3%)			
Multiple myeloma	0 (0.0%)	2 (100.0%)			
HLA-matching					
Matched	14 (43.8%)	18 (56.2%)	0.403		
Mismatched	8 (57.1%)	6 (42.9%)			
Donor type					
Related	11 (39.3%)	17 (60.7%)	0.148		
Unrelated	11 (61.1%)	7 (38.9%)			
Stem cell source					
Peripheral blood	17 (45.9%)	20 (54.1%)	0.605		
Non-peripheral blood	5 (55.6%)	4 (44.4%)			
Conditioning regimen					
Reduced intensity	15 (50.0%)	15 (50.0%)	0.686		
Standard intensity	7 (43.8%)	9 (56.3%)			
Graft-vs-host disease prophylaxis					
Regimen with cyclophosphamide	5 (62.5%)	3 (37.5%)	0.215		
Regimen with cyclosporin A	9 (36.0%)	16 (64.0%)			
Regimen with sirolimus	8 (61.5%)	5 (38.5%)			
ATG prophylaxis					
Yes	5 (62.5%)	3 (37.5%)	0.361		
No	17 (44.7%)	21 (55.3%)			
Donor CMV serostatus					
D+	14 (43.8%)	18 (56.3%)	0.403		
D-	8 (57.1%)	6 (42.9%)			
Recipient CMV serostatus					
R+	21 (60.0%)	14 (40.0%)	0.003		
R-	1 (9.1%)	10 (90.9%)			
Acute graft-vs-host disease					
Grades 0–I	15 (44.1%)	19 (55.9%)	0.397		
Grades II–IV	7 (58.3%)	5 (41.7%)			

ATG anti-thymocyte globulin, CMV cytomegalovirus, HLA human leukocyte antigen, D donor, R recipient

Kinetics of inflammatory biomarkers in plasma and occurrence of CMV DNAemia

We investigated whether plasma levels of inflammatory biomarkers measured from the time of conditioning predicted the development of CMV DNAemia. A median of four specimens per patient were available for these analyses (range 3–4). The time points at which samples were drawn from patients who eventually developed CMV DNAemia are shown in Fig. 1a and overlapped with those from patients with no documented CMV DNAemia throughout the study period—Fig. 1b—(range, day -7 through day 50 from patients with CMV DNAemia, and range, day -3 through day 50 from patients with no CMV DNAemia). Specifically, a total of 75 and 81 specimens from patients with or without CMV DNAemia were analyzed, respectively. We chose to consider two kinetics parameters, the AUC, which reflects the actual exposure to the selected biomarker, and the peak

Δ
_

Patient 1xxxxfPatient 2xxxfPatient 3xxxfPatient 6xxxfPatient 6xxxfPatient 6xxxfPatient 6xxxfPatient 7xxxfPatient 8xxxfPatient 9xxxfPatient 10xxxfPatient 11xxxfPatient 12xxxfPatient 13xxxfPatient 14xxxfPatient 15xxxfPatient 16xxxfPatient 17xxxfPatient 18xxxfPatient 19xxxfPatient 19xxxfPatient 21xxxfPatient 22xxxfPatient 23xxxfPatient 24xxxfPatient 25xxxfPatient 28xxxfPatient 30xxxfPatient 31xxxfPatient 32xxxf </th <th>Day rank</th> <th>-7 – 0</th> <th>1-10</th> <th>11 - 20</th> <th>21 – 30</th> <th>31-40</th> <th>41 – 50</th>	Day rank	-7 – 0	1-10	11 - 20	21 – 30	31-40	41 – 50
Patient2xxx \uparrow Patient3xx \uparrow Patient4xx \uparrow Patient5xxxPatient5xxxPatient5xxxPatient5xxxPatient6xxxPatient10xxxPatient11xxxPatient11xxxPatient12xx \uparrow Patient13xxxPatient14xxxPatient15xx \uparrow Patient16xxxPatient17xxxPatient18xx \uparrow Patient20xxxPatient21xxxPatient22xx \uparrow Patient23xx \uparrow Patient24xxxPatient25xxxPatient26xxxPatient27xxxPatient31xxxPatient32xxxPatient33xxxPatient34xxxPatient35xxxPatient36xxxPatient37xxxPatient38xxxPatient39xxxPatient31 <t< td=""><td>Patient 1</td><td></td><td>×</td><td></td><td>×</td><td>× ↑</td><td></td></t<>	Patient 1		×		×	× ↑	
Patient.1xxxxfPatient.5xxxfPatient.5xxxfPatient.5xxxfPatient.5xxxfPatient.5xxxfPatient.6xxxfPatient.1xxxfPatient.11xxxfPatient.12xxfPatient.13xxxfPatient.14xxxfPatient.15xxxfPatient.16xxxfPatient.17xxxfPatient.18xxxfPatient.19xxxfPatient.10xxxfPatient.12xxxfPatient.13xxxfPatient.14xxxfPatient.17xxxfPatient.18xxxfPatient.21xxxfPatient.22xxxfPatient.23xxxfPatient.24xxxxPatient.25xxxxPatient.24xxxxPatient.25xxxx<	Patient 2	×		×		× ↑	
Paisent 4xxx \uparrow Paisent 5xxx \uparrow Paisent 8xxx \uparrow Paisent 8xxx \uparrow Paisent 8xxx \uparrow Paisent 10xxx \uparrow Paisent 10xxx \uparrow Paisent 11xxx \uparrow Paisent 13xx \uparrow \uparrow Paisent 13xx x \uparrow Paisent 14xxx \uparrow Paisent 15xxx \uparrow Paisent 18xxx \uparrow Paisent 18xxx \uparrow Paisent 18xxx \uparrow Paisent 19xxx \uparrow Paisent 19xxx \uparrow Paisent 20xxx \uparrow Paisent 21xxx \uparrow Paisent 22xxx \uparrow Paisent 23xxx \uparrow Paisent 24xxx x Paisent 25xxx x Paisent 26xxx x Paisent 28xxx x Paisent 28xxx x Paisent 29xxx x Paisent 30xxx x Paisent 31xxx x	Patient 3		×		×	×	^
Patient 5xx × \uparrow Patient 6xxx × \uparrow Patient 7x×××Patient 8x×××Patient 10××× \uparrow Patient 10××× \uparrow Patient 11××× \uparrow Patient 12××× \uparrow Patient 13××× \uparrow Patient 15××× \uparrow Patient 15××× \uparrow Patient 15××× \uparrow Patient 15××× \uparrow Patient 16××××Patient 18××× \uparrow Patient 19××××Patient 20××× \uparrow Patient 21××× \uparrow Patient 22××× \uparrow Patient 23××× \land Patient 24××××Patient 25××××Patient 26××××Patient 27××××Patient 28×××Patient 31×××Patient 32×××Patient 33×××Patient 34×××Patient 35×××Patient 36× <t< td=""><td>Patient 4</td><td>×</td><td></td><td>×</td><td>×</td><td>1</td><td></td></t<>	Patient 4	×		×	×	1	
Patient 6xxxxfPatient 7xxxxfPatient 7xxxfPatient 9xxxfPatient 10xxxfPatient 11xxxfPatient 12xxfPatient 13xxxfPatient 14xxxfPatient 16xxxfPatient 16xxxfPatient 18xxxfPatient 18xxxfPatient 19xxxfPatient 20xxxfPatient 21xxxfPatient 22xxxfPatient 23xxxfPatient 24xxxxPatient 25xxxxPatient 28xxxPatient 28xxxPatient 31xxxPatient 33xxxPatient 34xxxPatient 35xxxPatient 36xxxPatient 37xxxPatient 38xxxPatient 39xxxPatient 30xxxPatient 39x	Patient 5	×		x x		1	
Patient 7xxxxfPatient 8xxxxfPatient 10xxxfPatient 10xxxfPatient 11xxxfPatient 12xxfPatient 13xxxfPatient 14xxxfPatient 15xxxfPatient 16xxxfPatient 17xxxfPatient 18xxxfPatient 19xxxfPatient 19xxxfPatient 21xxxfPatient 22xxxfPatient 23xxxfPatient 24xxxxPatient 25xxxPatient 26xxxPatient 27xxxPatient 28xxxPatient 33xxxPatient 34xxxPatient 35xxxPatient 36xxxPatient 37xxxPatient 38xxxPatient 39xxPatient 38xxPatient 39xxPatient 38xxPatient 39x	Patient 6	×	×	× ×	1		
Patient 8xxxxPatient 10xxx \uparrow Patient 11xxx \uparrow Patient 12xx \uparrow Patient 13xxx \uparrow Patient 13xxx \uparrow Patient 14xxx \uparrow Patient 15xx \uparrow Patient 16xxx \uparrow Patient 16xxx \uparrow Patient 16xxx \uparrow Patient 17xxx \uparrow Patient 19xxx \uparrow Patient 19xxx \uparrow Patient 20xxx \uparrow Patient 21xxx \uparrow Patient 22xxx \uparrow Patient 23xxx \uparrow Patient 24xxxxPatient 25xxxxPatient 26xxxxPatient 28xxxxPatient 29xxxxPatient 31xxxxPatient 33xxxxPatient 34xxxxPatient 35xxxxPatient 36xxxxPatient 37xxxxPatient 39xxx <td>Patient 7</td> <td>×</td> <td>×</td> <td>x x</td> <td>^</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Patient 7	×	×	x x	^		
Patient 10xxxx \uparrow Patient 10xxx \uparrow Patient 11xxx \uparrow Patient 12xxx \uparrow Patient 13xxx \uparrow Patient 14xxx \uparrow Patient 15xxx \uparrow Patient 16xxx \uparrow Patient 17xxx \uparrow Patient 18xxx \uparrow Patient 19xxx \uparrow Patient 20xxx \uparrow Patient 21xxx \uparrow Patient 22xxx \uparrow Patient 23xxx \uparrow Patient 24xxxxPatient 25xxxxPatient 26xxxxPatient 27xxxxPatient 38xxxxPatient 30xxxxPatient 31xxxxPatient 33xxxxPatient 34xxxxPatient 35xxxxPatient 36xxxxPatient 37xxxPatient 38xxxPatient 39xxxPatient 34xxx </td <td>Patient 8</td> <td>×</td> <td>×</td> <td>× ×</td> <td></td> <td>^</td> <td></td>	Patient 8	×	×	× ×		^	
Patient 10xxxx \uparrow Patient 11xxx \uparrow Patient 12xxx \uparrow Patient 13xxx \uparrow Patient 14xxx \uparrow Patient 15xxx \uparrow Patient 16xxxxPatient 17xxxxPatient 18xxx \uparrow Patient 19xxxxPatient 20xxx \uparrow Patient 21xxx \uparrow Patient 22xxx \uparrow Patient 23xxx \uparrow Patient 24xxxxPatient 25xxxxPatient 26xxxxPatient 27xxxxPatient 28xxxxPatient 29xxxxPatient 31xxxxPatient 32xxxxPatient 33xxxxPatient 34xxxxPatient 35xxxxPatient 36xxxxPatient 37xxxxPatient 38xxxxPatient 39xxxPatient 30xx	Patient 9	×	×	× × ↑			
Patient 11xxxxfPatient 12xxxfPatient 13xxxfPatient 14xxxfPatient 15xxxfPatient 16xxxfPatient 17xxxfPatient 18xxxfPatient 19xxxfPatient 20xxxfPatient 21xxxfPatient 22xxxfPatient 22xxxfPatient 23xxxfPatient 24xxxfPatient 25xxxxPatient 26xxxPatient 27xxxPatient 28xxxPatient 31xxxPatient 31xxxPatient 31xxxPatient 33xxxPatient 34xxxPatient 35xxxPatient 36xxxPatient 37xxxPatient 38xxxPatient 39xxxPatient 34xxxPatient 35xxxPatient 36xxxPat	Patient 10		×	×	× ↑		
Patient 12xxx \uparrow Patient 13xxx \uparrow Patient 13xxx \uparrow Patient 15xxx \uparrow Patient 15xxx \uparrow Patient 16xxxx \uparrow Patient 17xxxx \uparrow Patient 18xxx \uparrow Patient 20xxx \uparrow Patient 21xxx \uparrow Patient 22xxx \uparrow Patient 22xxx \uparrow Patient 23xxx \uparrow Patient 24xxx \uparrow Patient 25xxx x Patient 26xxx x Patient 27xxx x Patient 28xx x x Patient 30xx x x Patient 31x x x x Patient 32x x x Patient 33 x x x Patient 34 x x x Patient 35 x x x Patient 36 x x x Patient 37 x x x Patient 38 x x x Patient 34 x x x Patient 35 x x x Patient 34 x	Patient 11		×	×		×	1
Patient 13xxxx \uparrow Patient 14xxxx \uparrow Patient 15xxx \uparrow Patient 16xxx \uparrow Patient 17xxxxPatient 18xxx \uparrow Patient 19xxx \uparrow Patient 20xxx \uparrow Patient 21xxx \uparrow Patient 22xxx \uparrow Patient 23xxx \uparrow Patient 23xxx x Patient 25xxx x Patient 26xxx x Patient 27xxx x Patient 28xx x x Patient 29xx x x Patient 30x x x x Patient 31 x x x Patient 33 x x x Patient 34 x x x Patient 35 x x x Patient 36 x x x Patient 37 x x x Patient 38 x x x Patient 39 x x x Patient 31 x x x Patient 33 x x x Patient 34 x x x Patient 40 x x	Patient 12	×			×		^
Patient 14xxxx \uparrow Patient 15xxx \uparrow Patient 16xxx \uparrow Patient 17xxx \uparrow Patient 18xxx \uparrow Patient 19xxx \uparrow Patient 10xxx \uparrow Patient 21xxx \uparrow Patient 22xxx \uparrow Patient 22xxx \uparrow Patient 23xxx \uparrow Patient 24xxx x Patient 25xxx x Patient 26xxx x Patient 27xxx x Patient 28xx x x Patient 31xx x x Patient 31xx x x Patient 31x x x Patient 32 x x x Patient 33 x x x Patient 34 x x x Patient 37 x x x Patient 38 x x x Patient 40 x x x Patient 41 x x x Patient 42 x x x Patient 44 x x x Patient 45 x x x Patient 44 x x x	Patient 13		×	×		× ↑	-
Patient 15xxxxPatient 16xxxxPatient 17xxxxPatient 18xxx1Patient 19xxxxPatient 20xxxxPatient 21xxxxPatient 22xxx \uparrow Patient 22xxx \uparrow Patient 22xxx \uparrow Patient 23xxx \uparrow Patient 24xxxxPatient 25xxxxPatient 26xxxxPatient 27xxxxPatient 28xxxxPatient 30xxxxPatient 31xxxxPatient 32xxxxPatient 33xxxPatient 34xxxPatient 35xxxPatient 37xxxPatient 39xxxPatient 40xxxPatient 41xxxPatient 42xxPatient 44xxPatient 45xxPatient 46xxPatient 46xxPatient 46xxPatient 46xx	Patient 14	×	×	×	× ↑		
Patient 16xxxxxfPatient 17xxxxxfPatient 18xxxxfPatient 19xxxxfPatient 20xxxxfPatient 21xxxxfPatient 22xxxfPatient 22xxxfPatient 23xxxfPatient 24xxxxPatient 25xxxxPatient 26xxxxPatient 27xxxxPatient 28xxxxPatient 30xxxxPatient 31xxxxPatient 33xxxxPatient 34xxxxPatient 35xxxxPatient 39xxxPatient 39xxxPatient 40xxxPatient 41xxxPatient 44xxxPatient 46xxxPatient 46xxxPatient 46xxxPatient 46xxxPatient 46xxxPatient 46xxxPatient 46	Patient 15	×			× ×		^
Patient 17xxxxxfPatient 18xxxxfPatient 19xxxxfPatient 20xxxxfPatient 21xxxxfPatient 22xxxfPatient 22xxxfPatient 23xxxfPatient 24xxxfPatient 25xxxxPatient 26xxxxPatient 27xxxxPatient 28xxxxPatient 30xxxxPatient 31xxxxPatient 32xxxxPatient 33xxxxPatient 34xxxxPatient 35xxxxPatient 37xxxxPatient 38xxxxPatient 39xxxxPatient 41xxxxPatient 43xxxxPatient 44xxxxPatient 44xxxxPatient 45xxxxPatient 46xxxxPatient 46xxxx<	Patient 16	×	×	×	×	Λ	•
Patient 18xxxxfPatient 19xxxxfPatient 20xxxxfPatient 21xxxxfPatient 22xxxfPatient 22xxxfPatient 23xxxfPatient 23xxxfPatient 24xxxxPatient 25xxxxPatient 26xxxxPatient 27xxxxPatient 28xxxxPatient 29xxxxPatient 30xxxxPatient 31xxxxPatient 33xxxxPatient 34xxxxPatient 35xxxxPatient 36xxxxPatient 38xxxxPatient 39xxxxPatient 40xxxxPatient 41xxxPatient 42xxxPatient 44xxxPatient 45xxxPatient 46xxxPatient 46xxxPatient 46xxxPatient 46	Patient 17	×	×	×	×	<u>↑</u>	
Patient 19xxxxxfPatient 20xxxxxfPatient 21xxxxfPatient 22xxxxfB $21-30$ $31-40$ $41-50$ Patient 23xxxPatient 24xxxPatient 25xxxPatient 26xxxPatient 27xxxPatient 28xxxPatient 29xxxPatient 20xxxPatient 23xxxPatient 24xxxPatient 25xxxPatient 26xxxPatient 27xxxPatient 28xxxPatient 30xxxPatient 31xxxPatient 32xxxPatient 33xxxPatient 34xxxPatient 35xxxPatient 36xxxPatient 37xxxPatient 40xxxPatient 41xxxPatient 42xxPatient 43x ×xPatient 44x ×xPatient 45xxPatient 46xx <t< td=""><td>Patient 18</td><td></td><td>×</td><td>×</td><td>×</td><td><u>↑</u></td><td></td></t<>	Patient 18		×	×	×	<u>↑</u>	
Patient 20xxxxxfPatient 21xxxxfPatient 22xxxfPatient 22xxxfPatient 22xxxfPatient 23xxxxPatient 24xxxPatient 25xxxPatient 26xxxPatient 27xxxPatient 28xxxPatient 29xxxPatient 30xxxPatient 31xxxPatient 33xxxPatient 34xxxPatient 35xxxPatient 36xxxPatient 37xxxPatient 38xxxPatient 39xxxPatient 40xxxPatient 41xxxPatient 42xxxPatient 44xxxPatient 44xxxPatient 44xxxPatient 46xxxPatient 46xxxPatient 46xxxPatient 46xxxPatient 46xxxPatient 46xxxPatient 46x	Patient 19	×	×	×	×	<u>↑</u>	
Patient 21xxxx \uparrow Patient 22xxxx \uparrow BDayrank-7-01-1011-2021-3031-4041-50Patient 23xxxxPatient 24xxxxPatient 25xxxxPatient 26xxxxPatient 27xxxxPatient 28xxxxPatient 29xxxxPatient 31xxxxPatient 32xxxxPatient 33xxxxPatient 34xxxxPatient 35xxxxPatient 36x ×xxPatient 37xxxPatient 38xxxPatient 39xxxPatient 41xxxPatient 42xxxPatient 43xxxPatient 44xxxPatient 45xxxPatient 46xxxPatient 46xxx	Patient 20	×	×	×	×	^ ↑	
Patient 22xxx	Patient 21	×	×	×	× ↑		
B Patient 23 x x x Patient 23 x x x Patient 24 x x x Patient 25 x x x Patient 26 x x x Patient 26 x x x Patient 27 x x x Patient 28 x x x Patient 29 x x x Patient 30 x x x Patient 31 x x × x x Patient 33 x x × x x Patient 35 x x x Patient 36 x × x x x Patient 37 x x × x x Patient 38 x x × x x Patient 40 x x x Patient 41 x	Patient 22			×	×	×	^
Patient 23xxxPatient 24xxxPatient 25xxxPatient 25xxxPatient 26xxxPatient 27xxxPatient 28xxxPatient 29xxxPatient 30xxxPatient 31xxxPatient 32xxxPatient 33xxxPatient 34xxxPatient 35xxxPatient 36x xxxPatient 37xxxPatient 38xxxPatient 39xxxPatient 40xxxPatient 41xxxPatient 42xxPatient 44xxPatient 44xxPatient 45xxPatient 46xxPatient 46xx	B Day rank	-7 – 0	1-10	11 – 20	21 – 30	31 - 40	41 – 50
Patient 24xxxPatient 25xxxPatient 25xxxPatient 26xxxPatient 27xxxPatient 28xxxPatient 29xxxPatient 30xxxPatient 31xxxPatient 32xxxPatient 33xxxPatient 34xxxPatient 35xxxPatient 37xxxPatient 38xxxPatient 39xxxPatient 34xxxPatient 35xxxPatient 36xxxPatient 37xxxPatient 38xxxPatient 39xxxPatient 40xxxPatient 41xxxPatient 42xxxPatient 44xxxPatient 45xxxPatient 46xxxPatient 46xxxPatient 46xxxPatient 46xxxPatient 46xxxPatient 46xxxPatient 46xxx	Patient 23		×	×		×	
Patient 25xxxPatient 26xxxPatient 27xxxPatient 28xxxPatient 28xxxPatient 29xxxPatient 30xxxPatient 31xxxPatient 32xxxPatient 33xxxPatient 34xxxPatient 35xxxPatient 36x xxxPatient 37xxxPatient 38xxxPatient 39xxxPatient 40xxxPatient 41xxxPatient 44xxxPatient 44xxxPatient 45xxxPatient 46xxxPatient 46xxx	Patient 24		×		×	×	
Patient 26xxxPatient 27xxxPatient 28xxxPatient 29xxxPatient 30xxxPatient 31xxxPatient 32xxxPatient 33xxxPatient 34xxxPatient 35xxxPatient 36x xxxPatient 37xxxPatient 38xxxPatient 39xxxPatient 40xxxPatient 41xxxPatient 44xxxPatient 44xxxPatient 45xxxPatient 46xxxPatient 46xxxPatient 46xxxPatient 46xxPatient 46xx </td <td>Patient 25</td> <td></td> <td>×</td> <td>×</td> <td></td> <td>×</td> <td></td>	Patient 25		×	×		×	
Patient 27xxxxPatient 28xxxxPatient 29xxxxPatient 30xxxxPatient 31xxxxPatient 32xxxxPatient 33xxxxPatient 34xxxxPatient 35xxxxPatient 36x xxxPatient 37xxxPatient 38xxxPatient 39xxxPatient 40xxxPatient 41xxxPatient 42xxxPatient 44xxxPatient 45xxxPatient 46xxxPatient 46xxPatient 46xxPatient 46xx	Patient 26		×		×	×	
Patient 28xxxPatient 29xxxPatient 30xxxPatient 31xxxPatient 31xxxPatient 32xxxPatient 33xxxPatient 34xxxPatient 35xxxPatient 36xxxPatient 37xxxPatient 38xxxPatient 39xxxPatient 40xxxPatient 41xxxPatient 42xxxPatient 44xxxPatient 44xxxPatient 44xxxPatient 45xxxPatient 46xxx	Patient 27		×	×		×	
Patient 29xxxPatient 30xxxPatient 31xxxPatient 32xxxPatient 32xxxPatient 33xxxPatient 34xxxPatient 35xxxPatient 36xxxPatient 37xxxPatient 38xxxPatient 39xxxPatient 40xxxPatient 41xxxPatient 42xxxPatient 44xxxPatient 44xxxPatient 45xxxPatient 46xxx	Patient 28		×		×		×
Patient 30xxxPatient 31xxxPatient 32xxxPatient 33xxxPatient 34xxxPatient 35xxxPatient 36xxxPatient 37xxxPatient 38xxxPatient 39xxPatient 40xxPatient 41xxPatient 42xxPatient 43x xxPatient 44xxXxxPatient 45xxXxxPatient 46xx	Patient 29	×	×		×		
Patient 31xxxxPatient 32xxxxPatient 33xxxxPatient 34xxxxPatient 34xxxxPatient 35xxxxPatient 36x xxxPatient 37xxxPatient 38xxxPatient 39xxxPatient 40xxxPatient 41xxxPatient 42xxxPatient 43x xxxPatient 44x xxxPatient 45xxxPatient 46xxx	Patient 30	×		×		×	
Patient 32xxxxPatient 33xxxxPatient 34xxxxPatient 34xxxxPatient 35xxxxPatient 36xxxxPatient 37xxxxPatient 38xxxxPatient 39xxxPatient 40xxxPatient 41xxxPatient 42xxxPatient 43xxxPatient 44xxxPatient 45xxxPatient 46xxx	Patient 31	×	×	× ×			
Patient 33xxxPatient 34xxxxPatient 35xxxxPatient 36xxxxPatient 37xxxxPatient 38xxxxPatient 39xxxPatient 40xxxPatient 41xxxPatient 42xxxPatient 43xxxPatient 44xxxPatient 45xxxPatient 46xxx	Patient 32	×	×	× ×			
Patient 34××××Patient 35××××Patient 36××××Patient 37××××Patient 38××××Patient 39×××Patient 40×××Patient 41×××Patient 42×××Patient 43×××Patient 44×××Patient 45×××Patient 46×××	Patient 33		×	×		×	
Patient 35xxxxPatient 36xxxxPatient 36xxxxPatient 37xxxxPatient 38xxxxPatient 39xxxPatient 40xxxPatient 41xxxPatient 42xxxPatient 43xxxPatient 44xxxPatient 45xxxPatient 46xxx	Patient 34	×	×	× ×			
Patient 36XXXXPatient 37XXXXPatient 38XXXXPatient 39XXXPatient 40XXXPatient 41XXXPatient 42XXXPatient 43XXXPatient 44XXXPatient 45XXXPatient 46XXX	Patient 35	×	×	×	×		
Patient 37xxxxPatient 38xxxxPatient 39xxxPatient 40xxxPatient 41xxxPatient 42xxxPatient 43x ×xPatient 44x ×xPatient 45xxPatient 46x ×x	Patient 36		× ×	×	×		
Patient 38××××Patient 39××××Patient 40××××Patient 41××××Patient 42××××Patient 43××××Patient 44×××Patient 45×××Patient 46×××	Patient 37	×	×	× ×			
Patient 39xxxPatient 40xxxPatient 41xxxPatient 41xxxPatient 42xxxPatient 43x ×xPatient 44x ×xPatient 45xxPatient 46x ×x	Patient 38	×	×	× ×			
Patient 40xxxPatient 41xxxPatient 42xxxPatient 42xxxPatient 43x ×xPatient 44x ×xPatient 45xxPatient 46x ×x	Patient 39			×	×	×	
Patient 41×××Patient 42×××Patient 43××Patient 44××Patient 45××Patient 46××	Patient 40			×	×	×	
Patient 42 x x x Patient 43 x × × Patient 44 x × × Patient 45 × × Patient 46 × × ×	Patient 41			×	×	×	
Patient 43 X X Patient 44 X X Patient 45 X X Patient 46 X X X	Patient 42			×	×	×	
Patient 44 X X Patient 45 X X Patient 46 X X X	Patient 43		× ×	×			
Patient 45 × × × Patient 46 × × ×	Patient 44		× ×		×		
Patient 46 × × × ×	Patient 45		×	×	× ×		
	Patient 46		× ×	×	×		

Fig. 1 Time points (x) at which plasma specimen were drawn for analyses in **a** patients subsequently developing active CMV infection (arrows) or not (**b**)

level. We found that both the TGF- β 1 AUC_s and peak levels were significantly lower (P = 0.020 and P = 0.026, respectively) in patients who subsequently developed CMV DNAemia than in patients with no CMV DNAemia (Tables 3, 4, respectively). Overall, peak levels of this biomarker were reached at a median of 14.5 days (range - 6 to 39 days) after allo-HSCT (median of 14; range -6 to 35 days in patients with subsequent CMV DNAemia; median of 16 days; range, -3 to 39 days in patients with no CMV DNAemia; P = 0.890). Neither AUCs nor peak concentrations of the remaining biomarkers differed significantly across comparison groups. ROC curve analyses were next performed to determine optimal cutoff values for the TGF-B1 AUC and peak levels that best discriminated between patients who did, or did not go on to develop CMV DNAemia (Fig. 2); optimal thresholds were found to be 3.75 $pg \times days/ml^{-1}$ (AUC) and 437 pg/ml (peak level), both displaying a sensitivity of 83.3% (95% CI 68.4-98.2%) and an specificity of 50% (95% CI 20.4–79.5%). TGF-β1 AUC and TGF-β1 peak levels below the aforementioned optimal thresholds were found to be independently associated with the occurrence of CMV DNAemia (OR 7.21; 95% CI 1.31-42.7) in multivariate logistic regression models adjusted for sex, donor type, source of hematopoietic stem cells, HLA-matching,

conditioning regimen, prophylaxis for aGvHD and donor/ recipient CMV serostatus (not shown).

Nevertheless, we found no correlation between either the TGF- β 1 AUC or the TGF- β 1 peak levels and the duration of CMV DNAemia ($\rho = -0.093$; P = 0.681, and $\rho = -0.211$; P = 0.345).

Interestingly, CRP but not TGF- β 1 AUC and peak levels predicted the occurrence of CMV DNAemia episodes requiring inception of PET (8 out of 14 episodes). ROC curve analyses (Fig. 2) indicated that the optimal cutoff values for CRP AUC and peak levels best discriminating between patients who did or did not subsequently develop CMV DNAemia were 2.5 mg × days/l and 16.7 mg/l, respectively, with a sensitivity of 100% (95% CI 100%) and a specificity of 50% (95% CI 10–90%).

Of note, patients who developed CMV DNAemia and patients who did not were comparable regarding: (1) the incidence of bacterial infections of any origin (occurring in 10 out of 22 patients with CMV DNAemia and in 12 out of 24 patients without CMV DNAemia; P = 0.40); (2) the AUC log₁₀ values for absolute leukocytes and lymphocytes, whose calculation took into consideration blood cell counts measured at the same time points than those selected for plasma biomarker measurements (Fig. 3); (3) the incidence

Table 3Area under a curveB(AUC) of pro-inflammatorygcytokines in plasma inallogeneic hematopoietic stemcell transplant recipients withcor without subsequent CMVDNAemia

Biomarker/outcome	Median AUC log ₁₀ ^a	AUC log ₁₀ range ^a	P value
CRP			
CMV DNAemia	2.72	2.25-3.39	0.317
No CMV DNAemia	2.87	2.25-3.41	
CMV DNAemia preemptively treated with antivirals	2.92	2.51-3.39	0.002
Self-resolving CMV DNAemia	2.54	2.25-2.74	
IP-10			
CMV DNAemia	2.99	1.43-3.91	0.291
No CMV DNAemia	3.11	2.01-4.12	
CMV DNAemia preemptively treated with antivirals	3.35	1.43-3.76	0.525
Self-resolving CMV DNAemia	2.85	3.39-3.91	
sTNF-R2			
CMV DNAemia	4.03	2.72-4.36	0.226
No CMV DNAemia	4.06	3.36-4.41	
CMV DNAemia preemptively treated with antivirals	4.09	2.72-4.36	0.526
Self-resolving CMV DNAemia	3.78	3.38-4.26	
TGF-β1			
CMV DNAemia	3.81	1.32-4.64	0.020
No CMV DNAemia	4.17	1.64-4.92	
CMV DNAemia preemptively treated with antivirals	3.95	1.32-4.64	0.764
Self-resolving CMV DNAemia	3.25	1.32-4.46	

Bold indicates a statistically significant difference with a *p*-value less than 0.05

CMV cytomegalovirus, *CRP* C-reactive protein, *IP-10* interferon-inducible protein 10, *sTNF-R2* soluble tumor necrosis factor receptor type 2, *TGF-\beta1* transforming growth factor- β 1

^amg (CRP) or pg (sTNF-R2, TGF- β 1, IP-10)×days/l⁻¹ (CPR) or /ml⁻¹ (sTNF-R2, TGF- β 1 and IP-10)

Table 4Peak levels ofpro-inflammatory cytokinesin plasma in allogeneichematopoietic stem celltransplant recipients with orwithout subsequent CMVDNAemia

Biomarker (units)/outcome	Median peak levels	Peak levels range	P value	
CRP (mg/l)				
CMV DNAemia	45.85	8.70-294.70	0.636	
No CMV DNAemia	42.90	9.40-186.70		
CMV DNAemia preemptively treated with antivirals	76.65	17.50-294.70	0.005	
Self-resolving CMV DNAemia	21.70	8.70-68.00		
IP-10 (pg/ml)				
CMV DNAemia	66.29	2.52-828.29	0.262	
No CMV DNAemia	99.14	8.49-2363.45		
CMV DNAemia preemptively treated with antivirals	119.38	2.52-828.29		
Self-resolving CMV DNAemia	38.46	14.46-527.13		
sTNF-R2 (pg/ml)				
CMV DNAemia	521.84	29.53-1123.91	0.301	
No CMV DNAemia	619.49	148.56-1682.93		
CMV DNAemia preemptively treated with antivirals	630.35	29.53-1123.91	0.267	
Self-resolving CMV DNAemia	291.26	126.52-781.20		
TG-β1 (pg/ml)				
CMV DNAemia	438.94	1.00-2852.25	0.026	
No CMV DNAemia	1423.58	5.57-4965.15		
CMV DNAemia preemptively treated with antivirals	798.89	1.00-2852.25	0.330	
Self-resolving CMV DNAemia	107.05	1.00-1630.10		

Bold indicates a statistically significant difference with a p-value less than 0.05

CMV cytomegalovirus, *CRP* C-reactive protein, *IP-10* interferon-y inducible protein 10, *sTNF-R2* soluble tumor necrosis factor receptor type 2, *TGF-\beta1* transforming growth factor- β 1

of aGvHD (Table 2); (4) the immunosuppresion regimen used for the prevention of aGVHD (Table 2).

Discussion

A number of baseline and post-transplant clinical factors, as well as host genetic traits and immunological biomarkers have been recognized to impact on the risk of CMV DNAemia in allo-HSCT recipients [1, 16]. Here, we assessed the predictive value of plasma levels of CRP, sTNF-R2, TGF- β 1, and IP-10 in anticipating the occurrence and features of CMV DNAemia in allo-HSCT recipients, which was monitored by means of a highly sensitive real-time PCR assay. The rationale for the selection of these biomarkers in our study was: (1) plasma levels of IP-10 and CRP were found to be independently associated with the presence of cytomegalovirus DNAemia and with tissue-invasive cytomegalovirus disease in the solid organ transplantation setting [11]; (2) the potential role of TGF-β1 in the pathogenesis of CMVinduced organ injury [17]; (3) the reliability of sTNF-R2 as a surrogate marker of inflammation mediated by TNF- α [18], as discussed below.

Here we show that the kinetics of TGF- β 1 in plasma could be used as an ancillary parameter to anticipate the occurrence of CMV DNAemia. In effect, both TGF- β 1 AUC and peak levels were found to be significantly lower in patients who eventually developed first episodes of CMV DNAemia following engraftment and relatively early after transplantation (up to day 50 after allo-HSCT) in comparison with those who did not. In fact, TGF-B1 AUC and peak levels below optimal thresholds were independently associated with the development of CMV DNAemia. Note that patients with or without CMV DNAemia did not differ significantly in terms of demographics or baseline and post-transplant characteristics, including among the latter the immunosuppresive regimen used for the prevention of aGvHD, and the incidence of aGvHD and bacterial infections of any origin, which may conceivably impact on plasma levels of inflammatory biomarkers. In fact, the AUC log₁₀ values for absolute leukocytes and lymphocytes counts measured at the same time points than those selected for plasma biomarker measurements were comparable across comparison groups.

TGF- β 1 is a multifunctional cytokine that participates in the control of cell growth and differentiation, induces fibrosis, suppresses NK and cytotoxic T-cell responses and may counteract the biological activity of certain inflammation mediators [19–21]. Regarding the latter effect, which may account for our finding, TGF- β 1 can inhibit the secretion and activity of many cytokines, including TNF- α , and hamper the proliferation of macrophages and monocytes, thus preventing them from producing reactive





Fig. 2 Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves to establish the optimal cutoff of **a** TGF- β 1 area under a curve (AUC) and **b** TGF- β 1 peak level in plasma discriminating between patients subsequently developing CMV DNAemia from those who did not, and

of **c** CRP area under a curve (AUC) and **d** CRP peak level discriminating between patients who eventually developed CMV DNAemia that required preemptive antiviral therapy from those displaying self-resolving episodes



Fig. 3 Area under a curve (AUC) for \log_{10} absolute leukocyte and lymphocyte counts measured at the time points chosen for plasma biomarkers measurements. Comparative *P* values are shown

oxygen [e.g., superoxide (O2–)] and nitrogen [e.g., nitric oxide (NO)] intermediates [19–21]; in this context, both TNF- α and reactive oxygen species levels in plasma have been shown to directly correlate with risk of CMV DNAemia [8, 9, 23].

It was somewhat surprising that sTNF-R2 levels were not predictive of CMV DNAemia, given that concentrations of soluble circulating forms of TNF- α receptors (sTNFRs) are thought to reflect long-term exposure to this pro-inflammatory cytokine [18]. However, it has in fact been shown that assays measuring TNF- α are often of questionable reliability, resulting in inconsistent results. This is likely due to the presence of biological forms of TNF- α in plasma that remain undetectable because of complex formation with sTNFRs, whose dissociation rate constant appears to be assay-dependent, or monomeric conformation [24]. In line with this, failure to detect TNF- α is not uncommon in healthy subjects, whereas sTNF-R2 is systematically quantifiable [25].

CRP is an acute phase protein produced by hepatocytes and behaves as a reliable marker of systemic inflammation [26]. Increased levels of CRP in blood have been shown to occur in association with bacterial infections and aGVHD, and, ultimately, with transplant-related mortality in allo-HSCT recipients [27-29]. Nevertheless, to our knowledge, no previous link has been reported between CRP levels in plasma and active CMV infection in this clinical setting. Here, we found no association between CRP AUCs and peak levels and the occurrence of CMV DNAemia; nevertheless, both parameters appeared to be of higher magnitude in patients who developed first CMV DNAemia episodes that required PET using a relatively conservative threshold for antiviral initiation (1500 IU/ml). The low number of patients in the current cohort of CMV DNAemia requiring PET precludes drawing robust conclusions and invites further speculation as to the underlying pathophysiological mechanism on this issue. In this sense, the net state of inflammation, as inferred by plasma CRP levels, at CMV DNAemia onset may directly influence the viral rate of growth and hence the eventual need of PET.

In our view, the present study has several limitations that deserve comment. First, the low number of patients included precluded performing robust statistical analyses; second, the relative heterogeneity of patients in the cohort; third, cryopreserved rather than fresh specimens were used for analyses; nevertheless, this last is unlikely to have had an impact on our results given the stability of the measured biomarkers in frozen-stored specimens [25]; Fourth, several factors that may impact on the risk of CMV DNAemia (i.e., early CMV-specific T-cell response) [1] were not considered. In addition, the use of two different real-time PCR assays could be viewed as a limitation; however, in our experience both perform comparably in terms of sensitivity and linear range of quantitation [13].

Prospective measurement of biomarkers of inflammation and immune activation in blood have proven useful for predicting the occurrence of CMV end-organ disease in solid organ transplant recipients and guiding antiviral prophylaxis for the prevention of late-onset CMV disease in high-risk solid kidney and liver transplant recipients [10, 11, 30, 31]. In line with the previous studies [8, 9]; the data presented herein suggest that it may also find its spot in the management of CMV infection in the allo-HSCT setting. Precise risk stratification for CMV-related clinical events early after allo-HSCT may be of use in the near future for identification of patients tributary of antiviral prophylaxis with new agents (targeted prophylaxis) [3]. In this sense, peak levels of TGF- β 1 were found to be reached within this time frame. Nevertheless, it is unlikely that a single parameter, regardless of its nature may do so; on the contrary, we envision a weighted risk score incorporating immunological (including inflammatory biomarkers), genetic and clinical factors that may improve our capacity to predict CMV-related events and to individualize prevention strategies. Work addressing this issue is currently underway.

Acknowledgements Estela Giménez holds a Río Hortega research contract from the Carlos III Health Institute (Ref. CM16/00200). All authors declare no conflict of interest.

Funding This work was supported by a Grant from Fondo de Investigaciones Sanitarias (FIS) form Carlos III Health Institute (15/0060).

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- Romero PP, Blanco P, Giménez E, Solano C, Navarro D (2015) An update on the management and prevention of cytomegalovirus infection following allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Future Virol 10:113–134
- Chemaly RF, Ullmann AJ, Stoelben S, Richard MP, Bornhäuser M, Groth C, Einsele H, Silverman M, Mullane KM, Brown J, Nowak H, Kölling K, Stobernack HP, Lischka P, Zimmermann H, Rübsamen-Schaeff H, Champlin RE, Ehninger G (2014) Letermovir for cytomegalovirus prophylaxis in hematopoietic-cell transplantation. N Engl J Med 370:1781–1789
- Marty FM, Ljungman P, Chemaly RF, Maertens J, Dadwal SS, Duarte RF, Haider S, Ullmann AJ, Katayama Y, Brown J, Mullane KM, Boeckh M, Blumberg EA, Einsele H, Snydman DR, Kanda Y, DiNubile MJ, Teal VL, Wan H, Murata Y, Kartsonis NA, Leavitt RY, Badshah C (2017) Letermovir prophylaxis for cytomegalovirus in hematopoietic-cell transplantation. N Engl J Med 377:2433–2444
- Söderberg-Nauclér C, Fish KN, Nelson JA (1997) Reactivation of latent human cytomegalovirus by allogeneic stimulation of blood cells from healthy donors. Cell 91:119–126

- Docke WD, Prosch S, Fietze E, Kimel V, Zuckermann H, Klug C, Syrbe U, Krüger DH, von Baehr R, Volk HD (1994) Cytomegalovirus reactivation and tumor necrosis factor. Lancet 343:268–269
- Fietze E, Prosch S, Reinke P, Stein J, Döcke WD, Staffa G, Löning S, Devaux S, Emmrich F, von Baehr R (1994) Cytomegalovirus infection in transplant recipients. The role of tumor necrosis factor. Transplantation 58:675–680
- Reddy V, Meier-Kriesche HU, Greene S, Schold JD, Wingard JR (2005) Increased levels of tumor necrosis factor alpha are associated with an increased risk of cytomegalovirus infection after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Biol Blood Marrow Transpl 11:698–705
- Humar A, St Louis P, Mazzulli T, McGeer A, Lipton J, Messner H, MacDonald KS (1999) Elevated serum cytokines are associated with cytomegalovirus infection and disease in bone marrow transplant recipients. J Infect Dis 179:484–488
- Gimeno C, Solano C, Latorre JC, Hernández-Boluda JC, Clari MA, Remigia MJ, Furió S, Calabuig M, Tormo N, Navarro D (2008) Quantification of DNA in plasma by an automated realtime PCR assay (cytomegalovirus PCR kit) for surveillance of active cytomegalovirus infection and guidance of preemptive therapy for allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients. J Clin Microbiol 46:3311–3318
- Rollag H, Asberg A, Ueland T, Hartmann A, Jardine AG, Humar A, Pescovitz MD, Bignamini AA, Aukrust P (2012) Treatment of cytomegalovirus disease in solid organ transplant recipients: markers of inflammation as predictors of outcome. Transplantation 94:1060–1065
- Rollag H, Ueland T, Asberg A, Hartmann A, Jardine AG, Humar A, Pescovitz MD, Bignamini AA, Aukrust P (2013) Characterization of cytomegalovirus disease in solid organ transplant recipients by markers of inflammation in plasma. PLoS One 8:e60767
- Clari MA, Bravo D, Costa E, Munoz-Cobo B, Solano C, Remigia MJ, Gimenez E, Benmarzouk-Hidalgo OJ, Perez-Romero P, Navarro D (2013) Comparison of the new Abbott Real Time CMV assay and the Abbott CMV PCR Kit for the quantitation of plasma cytomegalovirus DNAemia. Diag Microbiol Infect Dis 75:207–209
- 13. Solano C, Muñoz-Cobo B, Giménez E, Remigia MJ, Amat P, Clari MA, Bravo D, Benet I, Montoro J, Navarro D (2013) Pre-emptive antiviral therapy for active CMV infection in adult allo-SCT patients guided by plasma CMV DNAemia quantitation using a real-time PCR assay: clinical experience at a single center. Bone Marrow Transpl 48:1010–1012
- Glucksberg H, Storb R, Fefer A, Buckner C, Neiman P, Clift R, Lerner K, Thomas E (1974) Clinical manifestations of graftversus-host disease in human recipients of marrow from HL-Amatched sibling donors. Transplantation 18:295–304
- 15. Giménez E, Solano C, Vinuesa V, Hernández-Boluda JC, Albert E, Pérez A, Piñana JL, Navarro D (2018) Cytomegalovirus DNAemia burden and mortality following allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: an area under a curve-based investigational approach. Clin Infect Dis 67:805–807
- Navarro D (2016) Expanding role of cytomegalovirus as a human pathogen. J Med Virol 88:1103–1112
- Shimamura M, Murphy-Ullrich JE, Britt WJ (2010) Human cytomegalovirus induces TGF-β1 activation in renal tubular epithelial cells after epithelial-to- mesenchymal transition. PLoS Pathog 6(11):e1001170
- Gohda T, Niewczas MA, Ficociello LH, Ficociello LH, Walker WH, Skupien J, Rosetti F, Cullere X, Johnson AC, Crabtree G,

Smiles AM, Mayadas TN, Warram JH, Krolewski AS (2012) Circulating TNF receptors 1 and 2 predict stage 3 CKD in type 1 diabetes. J Am Soc Nephrol 23:516–524

- Letterio JJ, Roberts AB (1998) Regulation of immune responses by TGF-beta. Annu Rev Immunol 16:137–161
- Blobe GC, Schiemann WP, Lodish HF (2000) Role of transforming growth factor beta in human disease. N Engl J Med 342:1350–1358
- Wahl SM (2007) Transforming growth factor-beta: innately bipolar. Curr Opin Immunol 19:55–62
- Prud'homme GJ (2007) Pathobiology of transforming growth factor beta in cancer, fibrosis and immunologic disease, and therapeutic considerations. Lab Invest 87:1077–1079
- 23. Talaya A, Solano C, Giménez E, García Giménez JL, Vinuesa V, Alberola J, Pallardó FV, Navarro D (2017) Assessing the risk of cytomegalovirus DNAaemia in allogeneic stem cell transplant recipients by monitoring oxidative- stress markers in plasma. J Gen Virol 98:1855–1863
- 24. Corti A, Poiesi C, Merli S, Cassani G (1994) Tumor necrosis factor (TNF) alpha quantification by ELISA and bioassay: effects of TNF alpha-soluble TNF receptor (p55) complex dissociation during assay incubations. J Immunol Methods 177:191–198
- Aziz N, Nishanian P, Mitsuyasu R, Detels R, Fahey JL (1999) Variables that affect assays for plasma cytokines and soluble activation markers. Clin Diagn Lab Immunol 6:89–95
- Schultz DR, Arnold PI (1990) Properties of four acute phase proteins: C-reactive protein, serum amyloid A protein, alpha 1-acid glycoprotein and fibrinogen. Sem Arthritis Rheum 20:129–147
- 27. Schots R, Kaufman L, Van Riet I, Lacor P, Trullemans F, De Waele M, Van Camp B (1998) Monitoring of C-reactive protein after allogeneic bone marrow transplantation identifies patients at risk of severe transplant-related complications and mortality. Bone Marrow Transpl 22:79–85
- Schots R, Van Riet I, Othman TB, Trullemans F, De Waele M, Van Camp B, Kaufman L (2002) An early increase in serum levels of C-reactive protein is an independent risk factor for the occurrence of major complications and 100-day transplant-related mortality after allogeneic bone marrow transplantation. Bone Marrow Transpl 30:441–446
- 29. Schots R, Kaufman L, Van Riet I, Ben Othman T, De Waele M, Van Camp B, Demanet C (2003) Proinflammatory cytokines and their role in the development of major transplant-related complications in the early phase after allogeneic bone marrow transplantation. Leukemia 17:1150–1156
- Weseslindtner L, Görzer I, Küng E, Roedl K, Jaksch P, Klepetko W, Puchhammer-Stöckl E (2014) High CXCL-16 levels correlate with symptomatic disease in lung transplant recipients with human cytomegalovirus replication in the allograft. Am J Transpl 14:2406–2411
- Limaye AP, La Rosa C, Longmate J, Diamond DJ (2016) Plasma IL-10 levels to guide antiviral prophylaxis prevention of late-onset cytomegalovirus disease, in high risk solid kidney and liver transplant recipients. Transplantation 100:210–216

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.