• Original Paper •

Impact of Initial Soil Conditions on Soil Hydrothermal and Surface Energy Fluxes in the Permafrost Region of the Tibetan Plateau

Siqiong LUO^{*1}, Zihang CHEN^{1,2}, Jingyuan WANG¹, Tonghua WU³, Yao XIAO³, and Yongping QIAO³

¹*Key Laboratory of Land Surface Process and Climate Change in Cold and Arid Regions*, *Northwest Institute of Eco-Environment and Resources*, *Chinese Academy of Sciences*, *Lanzhou* 730000, *China*

²*University of Chinese Academy of Sciences*, *Beijing* 100049, *China*

³*Cryosphere Research Station on the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau*, *State Key Laboratory of Cryospheric Science*, *Northwest Institute of Eco-Environment and Resources*, *Chinese Academy of Sciences*, *Lanzhou* 730000, *China*

(Received 17 May 2023; revised 22 August 2023; accepted 25 September 2023)

ABSTRACT

Accurate initial soil conditions play a crucial role in simulating soil hydrothermal and surface energy fluxes in land surface process modeling. This study emphasized the influence of the initial soil temperature (ST) and soil moisture (SM) conditions on a land surface energy and water simulation in the permafrost region in the Tibetan Plateau (TP) using the Community Land Model version 5.0 (CLM5.0). The results indicate that the default initial schemes for ST and SM in CLM5.0 were simplistic, and inaccurately represented the soil characteristics of permafrost in the TP which led to underestimating ST during the freezing period while overestimating ST and underestimating SLW during the thawing period at the XDT site. Applying the long-term spin-up method to obtain initial soil conditions has only led to limited improvement in simulating soil hydrothermal and surface energy fluxes. The modified initial soil schemes proposed in this study comprehensively incorporate the characteristics of permafrost, which coexists with soil liquid water (SLW), and soil ice (SI) when the ST is below freezing temperature, effectively enhancing the accuracy of the simulated soil hydrothermal and surface energy fluxes. Consequently, the modified initial soil schemes greatly improved upon the results achieved through the long-term spin-up method. Three modified initial soil schemes experiments resulted in a 64%, 88%, and 77% reduction in the average mean bias error (MBE) of ST, and a 13%, 21%, and 19% reduction in the average root-meansquare error (RMSE) of SLW compared to the default simulation results. Also, the average MBE of net radiation was reduced by 7%, 22%, and 21%.

Key words: initial soil conditions, soil temperature, soil liquid water, soil ice, surface energy fluxes, permafrost

Citation: Luo, S. Q., Z. H. Chen, J. Y. Wang, T. H. Wu, Y. Xiao, and Y. P. Qiao, 2024: Impact of initial soil conditions on soil hydrothermal and surface energy fluxes in the permafrost region of the tibetan plateau. *Adv. Atmos. Sci.*, **41**(4), 717−736,<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00376-023-3100-z>.

Article Highlights:

• The default initial soil schemes inaccurately represent the soil temperature and soil moisture of permafrost in the Tibetan Plateau.

• The modified initial soil schemes comprehensively incorporate the characteristics of permafrost.

• The modified initial soil schemes greatly improved upon the results achieved through the long-term spin-up method.

1. Introduction

The initial state of land surface models (LSMs) and regional climate models plays an important role in regional and global climate predictions due to their "memories" ([Koster and Suarez, 200](#page-17-0)1; [Hagemann and Stacke, 201](#page-17-1)5). Since the atmosphere memory is too short, the seasonal to

decadal predictability of the atmosphere depends on slowly varying underlying surface components, including oceans, glaciers, sea ice, soil, snow cover, and the terrestrial biosphere ([Koren et al., 1999](#page-17-2); [Rodell et al., 2018](#page-18-0)). Representative of the storage of soil heat and water, the initial soil temperature (ST) and soil moisture (SM) have long-term influences on land-atmosphere interaction on different time and spatial scales. The ST integrates the effects of energy exchange at the air-ground interface, continuously recording the energy balance at the surface ([Beltrami, 2002\)](#page-16-0). The initial ST mem-

^{*} Corresponding author: Siqiong LUO

Email: lsq@lzb.ac.cn

[©] Institute of Atmospheric Physics/Chinese Academy of Sciences, and Science Press 2024

ory can last several months to one year or more and it is stronger in deep soil layers than in subsurface soil layers [\(Hu and Feng, 200](#page-17-3)4; [Yang and Zhang, 201](#page-19-0)6; [Qiu et al.](#page-18-1), [2021;](#page-18-1) [Xue et al., 2021](#page-18-2)). Winter and spring ST can influence summerprecipitation ([Tang et al., 198](#page-18-3)7; [Hu and Fen](#page-17-3)g, [2004;](#page-17-3) [Xue et al., 2012](#page-18-4), [2022](#page-18-5)), further noting that arid and semiarid areas in the northwestern part of China have a longer ST memory than the humid and semi-humid areas of the southeastern part of China [\(Yang and Zhang, 2016\)](#page-19-0). The role of initial SM in the land–atmosphere interaction and the climate system also has been widely investigated by observations and simulations. Soil can "remember" the wet or dry conditions that control the partitioning of the available energy into latent and sensible heat fluxes and link the energy, water, and carbon fluxes [\(Entin et al., 2000](#page-16-1); [Guo](#page-17-4) [et al., 2011](#page-17-4); [Koster et al., 2011](#page-17-5); [Liu and Mishra, 2017;](#page-17-6) [Song](#page-18-6) [et al., 2019](#page-18-6); [Zhang et al., 2021](#page-19-1)). The memory of the initial SM can last 2-3 months and demonstrate spatial and seasonal [variations. \(](#page-18-8)[Vinnikov et al., 1996](#page-18-7); [Entin et al., 2000](#page-16-1); [Wu](#page-18-8) [and Dickinson, 2004](#page-18-8)). Compared to atmospheric conditions, the initial SM anomaly [has a greater infl](#page-18-6)uence on the decay rate of SM anomalies [\(Song et al., 2019](#page-18-6)). Therefore, SM is considered to be an importa[nt predictor in monthly to](#page-18-9) in[teran](#page-18-6)[nual clima](#page-18-6)te prediction([Seneviratne et al., 200](#page-18-9)6; [Song](#page-18-6) [et al., 2019](#page-18-6)).

Permafrost represents a complex, integrated res[ponse to](#page-18-10) [the w](#page-18-10)ater and energy balance at Earth's surface [\(Nelson,](#page-18-10) [2003\)](#page-18-10). As permafrost underlies about one-q[uarter of the](#page-19-2) [expos](#page-19-2)ed land in the Northern Hemisphere [\(Zhang et al.](#page-19-2), [2008\)](#page-19-2), it is imperative to study and accurately model its [behavior under cur](#page-16-2)rent and future climate conditions [\(Elshamy et al., 2020\)](#page-16-2). In the permafrost region, SM consists of two parts, soil liquid water (SLW) and soil ice (SI). SI, together with freeze-thaw cycl[es, can also act as agents](#page-18-11) of [long-](#page-18-11)term climate memory([Matsumura and Yamazak](#page-18-11)i, [2012\)](#page-18-11). Liquid water and ice have very different physical and hydraulic properties. For example, the heat capacities of liquid water and ice are 4.2 MJ m⁻³ K⁻¹ and 1.9 MJ m⁻³ K⁻¹, respectively, while their thermal conductivities are 0.57 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹ and 2.29 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹, respectively. As the active layer changes and freeze-thaw processes occur, liquid water and ice can frequently transition and stron[gly affect](#page-17-7) [the th](#page-17-7)[ermal and hydraulic pro](#page-16-3)[perties of the soil](#page-18-12) [\(Joha](#page-18-13)[ns](#page-17-7)[en,](#page-16-4) [1975; Côté](#page-16-4) [and Konrad, 2005](#page-16-3); [Luo et al., 2009b](#page-18-12), [2017;](#page-18-13) [Dai](#page-16-4) [et al., 2019b](#page-16-4)). A large amount of latent heat of SI phase change is released or absorbed, which has a specific influence on the ground heat flux and also links the [surface energy bu](#page-17-8)d[get during the freez](#page-17-9)e-[thaw processes \(](#page-16-5)[Lu](#page-17-8)[o et](#page-16-6)[al., 2009a](#page-16-6); [Lawrence et al.,](#page-18-14) [201](#page-17-9)[2; Ch](#page-16-7)[e](#page-16-5)[n et al., 2014; D](#page-16-7)[obi](#page-16-6)[ń](#page-16-6)[ski, 2020](#page-16-6); [Wang et al., 2021](#page-18-14); [Cuesta-Valero et al., 2023](#page-16-7)). M[oreover,](#page-16-8) [due to the](#page-16-8) ["heat reservoir" eff](#page-18-15)ect of deep soils([Alexeev](#page-16-8) [et al., 2007](#page-16-8); [Stevens et al., 2007](#page-18-15)), the memories of initial ST and SM have be[en further amplified](#page-19-3) [by ice-rich soils in p](#page-16-2)[er](#page-17-10)[mafrost reg](#page-17-10)ions ([Zhang et al., 2000](#page-19-3); [Elshamy et al., 2020](#page-16-2); [Ji](#page-17-10) [et al., 2022](#page-17-10)). In contrast to SLW, studies of the SI memory together with freeze-thaw processes and its impacts on climate are relatively scarce due to the observational and model uncertainties in permafrost region.

The Tibetan Plateau (TP) hosts the largest and thickest frozen soil at the middle and low latitudes, including permafrost and seasonally frozen ground [\(Cheng et al., 2019](#page-16-9); [Wang et al., 201](#page-18-16)9; [Yang et al., 201](#page-19-4)9; [Luo et al., 202](#page-17-11)0), which play important roles in the surface energy budget and in the local and global climate through the memory of frozen soil [\(Wang et al., 2003](#page-18-17); [Chen et al., 2014](#page-16-5); [Yang and](#page-19-5) [Wang, 2019\)](#page-19-5). In recent years, to better simulate the hydrothermal characteristics and climate effects of frozen soil on the TP, the input of atmospheric forcing data, soil hydrothermal parameterization schemes, and initial values of soil have been widely investigated and tested in LSMs. With the establishment of observation networks and the emergence of various assimilation and other new techniques, the accuracy of the input atmospheric forcing data in time and space has been continuously improved upon in the TP ([Huang et al.,](#page-17-12) [2014;](#page-17-12) [He et al., 2020](#page-17-13); [Ma et al., 2020](#page-18-18); [Zhao et al., 2021](#page-19-6)). Much work has been done to improve the soil hydrothermal parameterization schemes of permafrost and seasonally frozen ground, including the development of a soil thermal conductivity parameterization scheme, gravel parameterizat[ion scheme, orga](#page-17-8)n[ic](#page-18-12) [matter](#page-18-13) [param](#page-18-19)[eterization schem](#page-18-20)[e, etc.](#page-19-7) [\(](#page-19-7)[Luo et al., 2009](#page-17-8)[a,](#page-16-10) [b](#page-18-12)[,](#page-16-10) [2017](#page-18-13)[,](#page-16-10) [2018](#page-18-19)[;](#page-17-14) [Pan et al., 201](#page-18-20)[7;](#page-17-15) [Yang](#page-19-7) [et al., 201](#page-19-7)[8;](#page-16-11) [Dai et al., 2019](#page-16-10)[a;](#page-19-8) [Gao et al., 2019](#page-17-14); [Li et al.](#page-17-15), [2020;](#page-17-15) [Deng et al., 2021](#page-16-11); [Yang et al., 2021](#page-19-8)). Furthermore, many soil characteristics, including soil texture, gravel content, and organic matter content, w[ere also investiga](#page-18-12)te[d and](#page-16-12) [applied to la](#page-16-12)[nd surface mode](#page-16-13)l[ing \(](#page-18-21)[Luo et al., 2009](#page-18-12)[b;](#page-18-21) [Chen](#page-16-12) [et al., 2012b](#page-16-12);[Dai et al., 2013](#page-16-13)[;](#page-17-16) [Shangguan et al., 2013](#page-18-21); [Fang](#page-16-14) [et al., 2016](#page-16-14); [Liu et al., 2021b](#page-17-16)). These studies dramatically improve the accuracy of the simulation results. However, there are still significant gaps in the model's accuracy in simulating the hydro[thermal state of](#page-17-15) [the soil, especially](#page-19-8) [in the per](#page-18-22)[mafro](#page-18-22)st region [\(Li et al., 2020](#page-17-15); [Yang et al., 2021](#page-19-8); [Ma et al.,](#page-18-22) [2023\)](#page-18-22). The inaccuracy of the initial soil conditions is still an important f[actor regarding th](#page-18-6)e [large deviation](#page-17-10)s in land surface modeling ([Song et al., 2019](#page-18-6); [Ji et al., 2022](#page-17-10)). In general, SI cannot be observed in field measurements. To avoid the biases of the initial SI, the simulatio[n of seasonally fr](#page-17-8)oz[en](#page-18-12) [soil i](#page-18-13)susually started in summer ([Luo et al., 2009](#page-17-8)a, [b](#page-18-12), [2017\)](#page-18-13). However, deep soil ice in permafrost exists yearround on the TP, making it particularly important to set the initial SI conditions when simulating permafrost.

In permafrost, deeper soil profiles have larger hydraulic and thermal memor[y thereby requiring m](#page-16-2)ore effort to properly initialize an LSM ([Elshamy et al., 2020](#page-16-2)). Spin-up becomes a plausible way to provide initial states for LSM simulations [when they are n](#page-17-17)o[t supplied with](#page-18-13) re[liable initial c](#page-17-10)onditions [\(Gao et al., 2015](#page-17-17); [Luo et al., 2017](#page-18-13); [Ji et al., 2022](#page-17-10)). Studies have shown that many LSMs reached a state of r[ough equilib](#page-18-23)[rium](#page-18-23) [within several months](#page-16-15) [or 1 to 2 years](#page-18-13)([Ta](#page-18-23)[kle et al.](#page-17-17), [1](#page-18-23)[999;](#page-17-17) [Cosgrove et al., 2003](#page-16-15); [Luo et al., 2017](#page-18-13)). [Gao et al](#page-17-17). [\(2015](#page-17-17)) found a slightly longer spin-up time (4 years) due to the cryospheric processes in the permafrost region in the

TP. Because of the long soil memory of permafrost, the strategy of creating the spin-up forcing including the total spinup length and cycling scheme inevitably affects subsequent simulations [\(Schlosser et al., 2000](#page-18-24)). A recent study reveals that the impacts of total spin-up length on the resulting initial field of ST are relatively small in the permafrost region on the TP ([Ji et al., 2022\)](#page-17-10). Even when the LSMs reached equilibrium, they still experienced some gaps between the real soil states and the spun-up ST and SM due to the inaccuracies of forcing variables, soil parameters, and modeled physical processes. One study shows that the spun-up ST differed from the observed value by $1^{\circ}C - 3^{\circ}C$, while the spun-up SLW differed from the observed value by $0.02-0.16$ m³ m⁻³ at one permafrost site in the TP [\(Gao et al., 2015](#page-17-17)). Inaccurate initial ST and SM obtained by spin-up methods is another important factor related to inaccurate simulated results of LSMs on the TP.

To improve the simulation ability of LSMs in permafrost regions on the TP, accurate initial ST and SM are necessary. If the spin-up method is relatively limited, is there a better way to obtain a better initialization of ST and SM in the permafrost region on the TP? In this paper, we first apply one observation site in the TP to evaluate the performance of the Community Land Model version 5.0 (CLM5.0) in simulating ST, SLW, SI, and surface energy fluxes. To address the bias in CLM5.0, we conducted three modified initial soil

schemes experiments alongside two long-term spin-up experiments to improve the accuracy of CLM5.0. Also, the difference in simulation results between these two types of methods was analyzed. The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the data, model, default and modified initial soil schemes; section 3 presents the experiment design and statistical method in this study; section 4 analyzes the impacts of different initial soil schemes and long-term spin-up methods on simulated soil hydrothermal and surface energy fluxes in permafrost areas. The conclusions and discussions are presented in section 5.

2. Data and initial soil schemes

2.1. *Study area and data*

The simulations were conducted for one typical permafrost site, the Xidatan station (XDT, 94.13°E, 35.72°N, Alt.: 4538 m a.s.[l\) in](#page-2-0) the Three River Source Region (TRSR) on the TP [\(Fig. 1\)](#page-2-0). It is located in a faulted valley at the northern foot of the eastern Kunlun Mountains near the northern boundary of the permafrost zone in the TP and is repr[esentative of the](#page-17-18) [characteristics of d](#page-19-6)iscontinuous permafrost ([Liu et al., 2021a;](#page-17-18) [Zhao et al., 2021\)](#page-19-6).

The XDT station is one of the automatic meteorological stations in the permafrost monitoring network. Meteorologi-

Fig. 1. The Tibetan Plateau (TP), Three River Source Region (TRSR) and the location of the Xidatan (XDT) station.

cal data, including precipitation, air temperature, radiation, relative humidity, and wind speed, were recorded every half hour with a CR1000/CR3000 data acquisition instrument from 2004 ([Zhao et al., 2021\)](#page-19-6). The ST and SM data were collected from an integrated observation system in the active layer. The ST for the active layer monitoring system was measured at different depths with a 105T/109 thermocouple probe([Zhao et al., 2021](#page-19-6)). The SLW was measured by a Hydra SM sensor ([Zhao et al., 2021\)](#page-19-6). The above meteorological, ST, and SM data can provide basic information for both the analysis of physical processes and LSM research.

This station is mainly covered by an alpine meadow, and the vegetation coverage is about 85%([Yang et al.](#page-19-8), [2021](#page-19-8)). The annual mean air temperature varied from −4.4°C to −2.4°C during the period between years 2005 and 2016, with a multiyear average of −3.6°C ([Liu et al., 2021a](#page-17-18); [Zhao](#page-19-6) [et al., 2021\)](#page-19-6). During the same period, the annual accumulated precipitation varied between 341 and 492 mm, with an average of 384.5 mm, of which summer precipitation accounted for 50%–70% ([Liu et al., 2021a;](#page-17-18) [Zhao et al., 2021\)](#page-19-6). The average active layer thickness of the XDT site from year 2005 to 2017 was 1.54 m [\(Liu et al., 2021a](#page-17-18)).

The 2-m air temperature, wind speed, atmospheric pressure, humidity, precipitation, and downward longwave and shortwave radiation at the XDT station was used as the atmospheric forcing data with a time step of 30 minutes [\(Xiao](#page-18-25) [and Qiao, 2020](#page-18-25)). The forcing data spanned 1 July 2015 to 31 August 2016, for a total of 14 months. The ST and SLW in 8 layers (5 cm, 20 cm, 40 cm, 80 cm, 120 cm, 140 cm, 180 cm, 240 cm, respectively) at the XDT site were selected asvalidation data for the model $(Wu, 2020)$ $(Wu, 2020)$ $(Wu, 2020)$. The dataset was provided by National Cryosphere Desert Data Center [\(http://www.ncdc.ac.cn](http://www.ncdc.ac.cn)). The soil texture data were obtained from the measured soil texture data in this area [\(Luo et al., 2009b](#page-18-12)).

2.2. *Description of CLM5.0*

The Community Land Model (CLM) is the land component of the Community Earth System Model (CESM) and is used in several global and regional modeling systems [\(Lawrence et al., 2019](#page-17-19)). CLM5.0 is the latest version of the CLM. It builds upon progress made in CLM4.0 and CLM4.5. Scientific topics that have driven CLM5.0 development include the following: (1) improved understanding of carbon and nitrogen cycle interactions and their influence on the long-term trajectory of the terrestrial carbon sink; (2) assessment of the response and vulnerability of ecosystems to climate change and disturbances (human and natural) and the possibility for ecosystem management to mitigate climate change; (3) quantification of the role of terrestrial processes in diurnal to interannual weather and climate variability including influence on droughts, floods, and extremes; (4) establishment of the availability of water resources under climate variability and climate change; (5) quantification of key land feedbacks to climate change including the permafrost climate-carbon feedback and snow- and vegetationalbedo feedbacks; (6) examination of the impact of model

structure and parameter uncertainty and exploration of parameter optimization techniques ([Lawrence et al., 2019](#page-17-19)).

Compared to CLM4.5 and previous versions, CLM5.0 incorporates many new and updated processes and parameterizations: (1) dynamic weights of land units and plant functional type distribution; (2) an updated structure and parameterizations for soil hydrology; (3) new parameterizations for snow, glaciers, and ice sheets; (4) a plant hydraulics and hydraulic redistribution; (5) revised carbon dynamics and nitrogen cycling; (6) a demographically structured dynamic vegetation model [\(Lawrence et al., 2019\)](#page-17-19). CLM5.0 and previous versions have been widely used to simulate land surface processes of the seasonally frozen ground over the TP, showing good applicability([Chen et al., 2012a](#page-16-16), [2017](#page-16-17); [Guo and](#page-17-20) [Wang, 2013;](#page-17-20) [Fang et al., 2016](#page-16-14); [Luo et al., 2017](#page-18-13); [Deng et al.,](#page-16-18) [2020\)](#page-16-18). A new study shows that CLM5.0 can also be used to simulate the surface energy budget and soil hydrothermal regime in the permafrost regions of the TP([Ma et al.](#page-18-22), [2023\)](#page-18-22).

 $(z_i(m))$ the thickness of each layer, $(\Delta z_i(m))$, and the depths at the layer interfaces $(z_i(m))$. When the vertical discretization The soil column in CLM5.0 can be discretized into an arbitrary number of layers. It provides 4 types of soil layer settings for users, including 15 soil layers (3.5 m), 23 soil layers (3.5 m), 53 soil layers (10 m), and 25 soil layers (8.5 m). The default vertical discretization uses 25 soil layers. The layer structure of the soil is described by the node depth uses 15 soil layers, 10 soil layers are hydrologically and biogeochemically active, and the deepest 5 layers are only included in the thermodynamical calculations [\(Lawrence](#page-17-21) [et al., 2008](#page-17-21), [2011](#page-17-22))

2.3. *Default initial soil temperature and soil moisture schemes*

ST T_i , for all 15 soil layers, of 274 K (0.85°C), and the and ice) θ_i , for the first 10 soil layers, is 0.15 m³ m⁻³, and of SLW ($w_{\text{liq},i}$) and SI ($w_{\text{ice},i}$) are initialized as: In CLM5.0, the initial schemes of ST and SM are the same as in CLM4.5. Soil points are default initialized with default volumetric soil water content (including liquid water for the last 5 soil layers of bedrock, is $0.0 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$. The mass

$$
w_{\text{liq},i} = \begin{cases} 0, & T_i \le T_f \\ \Delta z_i \rho_{\text{liq}} \theta_i, & T_i > T_f \end{cases},\tag{1}
$$

$$
w_{\text{ice},i} = \begin{cases} \Delta z_i \rho_{\text{ice}} \theta_i, T_i \le T_f \\ 0, & T > T_f, \end{cases}
$$
 (2)

where ρ_{liq} and ρ_{ice} are the densities of liquid water and ice $(1.0 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ and $0.9 \text{ kg m}^{-3})$, and T_f is the freezing temperature of water (273.15 K).

 STT_1 , for each soil layer in CLM5.0, is greater than the freez-It can be seen from the above description that the initial ing temperature, which is inconsistent with the actual situation of permafrost. Moreover, the initial states of SM in CLM5.0 is also inconsistent with the actual situation of permafrost. Since the initial ST is set higher than the freezing temperature, the initial mass of SI is zero and all soil water is SLW. From Eqs. (1) and (2), if the initial ST is set lower than the freezing temperature, the initial mass of SLW is zero; at that moment, all soil water is SI. It can be seen from the above analysis that the default initialization conditions of ST and SM in CLM5.0 is too simple and it does not adequately describe the actual conditions of permafrost.

2.4. *Modified initial soil temperature and soil moisture schemes*

In this paper, to assess the impact of the initial soil states on simulated ST, SM, and surface energy fluxes in permafrost areas on the TP, the initial soil conditions, including ST, SLW, and SI were modified.

In permafrost regions, ST fluctuates above or below the freezing temperature (273.15 K) in the active layers, while remaining consistently below freezing temperature in the permafrost layers throughout the year. In modified initial soil schemes, to better characterize ST in permafrost, the initial ST can be higher or lower than the freezing temperature. Here, we set the initial ST to either 274 K (0.85°C) or 272.3 K $(-0.85^{\circ}C)$. Moreover, we modified the initial mass of SLW and SI. In two of the experiments designed in this paper, the mass of SLW and SI are initialized as:

$$
w_{\text{liq},i} = \begin{cases} \Delta z_i \rho_{\text{liq}} \theta_{\text{liqmax},i}, T_i \le T_f \\ \Delta z_i \rho_{\text{liq}} \theta_{\text{liq},i}, & T_i > T_f \end{cases},
$$
(3)

$$
w_{\text{ice},i} = \begin{cases} \Delta z_i \rho_{\text{ice}} \left(\theta_i - \theta_{\text{liqmax},i} \right), T_i \le T_f \\ 0, & T_i > T_f \end{cases}, \tag{4}
$$

where θ_i is the initial volumetric soil water content (including liquid water and ice water), $\theta_{\text{liqmax,i}}$ is the maximum liquid water content when the ST is below the freezing temperature. The latter is calculated by:

$$
\theta_{\text{liqmax,i}} = \theta_{\text{sat,i}} \left\{ \frac{10^3 L_f (T_f - T_{\text{i}})}{g T_{\text{i}} \psi_{\text{sat}}} \right\}^{-1/B_i},\tag{5}
$$

where L_f is the latent heat of fusion (3.337 \times 10⁵ J kg⁻¹K⁻¹), *g* is the gravitational acceleration (9.806 m s⁻²). $\theta_{\text{sat,i}}$ is the porosity of the soil, $\Psi_{\text{sat,i}}$ is the saturated hydraulic potential, and B_i is the exponent. They are defined as weighted averages based on mineral soil and organic soil [\(Lawrence](#page-17-23) [and Slater, 2008\)](#page-17-23):

$$
\theta_{\text{sat,i}} = (1 - f_{\text{om,i}}) \theta_{\text{sat,min,i}} + f_{\text{om,i}} \theta_{\text{sat,om}} ,\qquad (6)
$$

$$
\Psi_{\text{sat,i}} = (1 - f_{\text{om,i}}) \Psi_{\text{sat,min,i}} + f_{\text{om,i}} \Psi_{\text{sat,om}} ,\tag{7}
$$

$$
B_{\rm i} = (1 - f_{\rm om,i}) B_{\rm min,i} + f_{\rm om,i} B_{\rm om} . \tag{8}
$$

In Eqs. (6–8), $\theta_{\text{sat,min,i}}$, $\Psi_{\text{sat,min,i}}$ and $B_{\text{min,i}}$ are the porosity, saturated hydraulic potential, and the exponent at soil layer i

for mineral soil, respectively. They are calculated by soil texture ([Cosby et al., 1984](#page-16-19)):

$$
\theta_{\text{sat,min,i}} = 0.489 - 0.00126(\% \text{ sand})_{i},\tag{9}
$$

$$
\varPsi_{\text{sat,min,i}} = -10.0 \times 10^{1.88 - 0.0131(\% \text{ sand})_i} \,, \tag{10}
$$

$$
B_{\min,i} = 2.91 + 0.159(\%clay)_i.
$$
 (11)

In Eqs. (6–8), $\theta_{\text{sat,om}}$, $\Psi_{\text{sat,om}}$, and B_{om} are the porosity, satu-2.7, respectively [\(Farouki, 1981](#page-17-24); [Letts et al., 2000](#page-17-25)). % sand and *%clay* are the percentage of sand and clay in the soil is defined as $f_{\text{om},i} = \rho_{\text{om},i}/\rho_{\text{om},\text{max}}$, where $\rho_{\text{om},i}$ is the soil organic density for the soil layer and $\rho_{\text{om,max}}$ is the bulk denrated hydraulic potential, and the exponent for organic soil, respectively. They are set to be $0.9 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$, -10.3 mm and and they are obtained from the measured soil texture data in this area ([Luo et al., 2009b\)](#page-18-12). The soil organic matter fraction sity of peat (130 kg m^{-3}) .

3. Experiment design and statistical methods

3.1. *Experiment design*

The default vertical discretization uses 25 soil layers with a depth of 8.5 m in CLM5.0. Recent studies have indicated the need to have a deeper soil column in LSMs to properly capture changes in freeze-thaw [cycles and active-la](#page-16-8)yer [dynamics in perma](#page-17-9)frost areas [\(Alexeev et al., 20](#page-16-8)07; [Lawrence et al., 201](#page-17-9)2). However, a deeper soil column implies larger soil hydraulic memory and, more importantly, thermal memory that require proper initialization to be able to [capture the evolutio](#page-16-2)n of past, current, and future changes [\(Elshamy et al., 202](#page-16-2)0). The wrong setting may increase the deviation of the simulation, as little is known about the soil hydrothermal state below 2.4 m without observed data. By testing different soil layers in CLM5.0, we found that for the simulation of permafrost on the XDT site, the simulated ST and SM were closer to the observation by selecting 15 soil layers with a depth of 3.5 m in CLM5.0. Meanwhile, this setting can be adapted to simulations of permafrost at this site since the average active layer thickness of the [XDT site was a](#page-17-18)bout 1.5 m over the most recent 10 years [\(Liu et al., 2021a\)](#page-17-18). Therefore, in this paper, the vertical discretization used 15 soil layers with a depth of 3.5 m.

water content θ_i was set as the default value of CLM5.0 [We](#page-5-0) designed six simulation experiments in this study ([Table 1](#page-5-0)). TEST1 was run by the default initial schemes of ST, SLW, and SI, while TEST2 to TEST4 was run b[y modi](#page-5-0)fied initial schemes of ST, SLW, and SI. As shown in [Table 1](#page-5-0), the initial ST was set as the default value of CLM5.0 (274 K or 0.85°C) in TEST1 and it was set as 272.3 K (–0.85°C) in TEST2. In these two experiments, the volumetric soil (0.15 m³ m−3) and the mass of SLW and SI were initialized by Eqs. (1) and (2). Since the initial ST was higher than the

Experiment	Initial soil temperature (ST) design	Initial soil moisture (SM) design					
TEST ₁	Default initial ST (274 K)	Default initial volumetric soil water content $(0.15 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3})$, the mass of SLW and SI by Eqs. (1) and (2)					
TEST ₂	Modified initial ST (272.3 K)	Default initial volumetric soil water content $(0.15 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3})$, the mass of SLW and SI by Eqs. (1) and (2)					
TEST3	Modified initial ST (272.3 K)	Modified initial volumetric soil water content $(0.25 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3})$, the mass of SLW and SI by Eqs. (3) and (4)					
TEST ₄	Default initial ST $(274 K)$ in the active layers $(1st-7th)$	Modified initial volumetric soil water content (0.25 m ³ m ⁻³),					
	layer), Modified initial ST (272.3 K) in the permafrost	the mass of SLW and SI by Eqs. (3) and (4)					
	layers $(8th-10th)$						
TEST1-SP	The same as TEST1, but for 100 years of spin-up						
TEST2-SP		The same as TEST2, but for 100 years of spin-up					

Table 1. Design of the experiments.

volumetric soil water content, θ_i , to 0.25 m³ m⁻³ in TEST3 freezing temperature in TEST1, the initial mass of SI was zero and all soil water was SLW; in TEST2, since the initial ST was lower than the freezing temperature, the initial mass of SLW is zero and all soil water was SI. In TEST3, the initial ST was set as $272.3 \text{ K } (-0.85^{\circ}\text{C})$, and the mass of SLW and SI were initialized by the modified soil conditions (see Eqs. (3) and (4). In TEST4, in the active layers (1st–7th layer for XDT), the initial ST was set as 274 K (0.85°C), while in the permafrost layers (8th–10th layer for XDT), the initial ST was set as 272.3 K (-0.85 $^{\circ}$ C). The mass of SLW and SI were also calculated by Eqs. (3) and (4) in TEST4. It can be concluded from the calculation of Eq. (5) that in TEST3 and TEST4, the maximum liquid water content at 272.3 K can reach $0.17 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$ for the XDT station. Here, we set the initial and TEST4. From TEST1 to TEST4, the model was run with 14 months of forcing data (from 1 July 2015 to 31 August 2016). In practice, we found CLM4.5 reached a state of rough equilibrium in the first two months when it was forced by observational data in the seasonally frozen soil on the TP [\(Luo et al., 2017\)](#page-18-13). In this paper, the simulations of the last 12 months (1 September 2015 to 31 August 2016) for these four experiments were compared with the observations (equal to a two-month spin-up in the model).

In another two experiments (TEST1-SP and TEST2- SP), the model was designed for long-term spin-up. A study showed that LSMs need such a long term to achieve strict convergence of ST and SM [\(Ji et al., 2022](#page-17-10)). Here, we designed 100-year spin-up experiments. The forcing data of spin-up experiments which usually comes from gridded datasets or observed data has a certain effect on the simulation results. Despite many available reanalyses of gridded datasets that can provide long-term forcing data, most of them are substantially biased on the TP [\(Zhang et al., 202](#page-19-9)0; [Zhou et al.](#page-19-10), [2021](#page-19-10)). Meanwhile, it is very difficult to obtain continuous long-term observational data as the long-term forcing data in the permafrost region on the TP. As a compromise, the long-term spin-up simulation can be driven by spin-up cycling with time and correspond to an average meteorological condition. There are three common spin-up cycling schemes for generating the forcing 1) observations from a single year, 2) observations from multiple years or their averages, and 3) all available observations([Ji et al., 2022](#page-17-10); [Li](#page-17-26) [et al., 2023](#page-17-26)). Here, we use a single-year cycling scheme. In TEST1-SP and TEST2-SP, the model was repeatedly run for over 100 years by forcing data from one year (1 July 2015 to 30 June 2016), then the simulation continued for 14 months using 14 months of forcing data (from 1 July 2015 to 31 August 2016). Also, the simulations of the last 12 months (1 September 2015, to 31 August 2016) in TEST1- SP and TEST2-SP were used for comparison with the observations as well as the simulated results of TEST1 to TEST4. In short, the initial ST and SM conditions in TEST1-SP and TEST2-SP were the same as TEST1 and TEST2, but for 100 years of spin-up using one year of forcing data (1 July 2015 to 30 June 2016).

3.2. *Statistical methods*

In this paper, the active layer of permafrost was divided into two periods, the freezing period and the thawing period. To avoid the influence of random fluctuations on ST, the freezing and thawing onsets were determined based on the average of the daily STs taken for five consecutive days; they were below and above 0° C, respectively, and varied depending on the soil layer [\(Guo and Wang, 2014;](#page-17-27) [Yang](#page-19-8) [et al., 2021](#page-19-8)).

The simulation results from six tests were compared with the observed data using the root-mean-square error (RMSE), mean bias error (MBE), and the Nash–Sutcliffe efficiency (NSE). The methods used to calculate RMSE, MBE, and NSE are given as follows:

$$
\text{MSE} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (M_i - O_i)^2}, \tag{12}
$$

$$
MBE = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (M_i - O_i),
$$
 (13)

$$
NSE = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (O_i - M_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (O_i - \overline{O})^2},
$$
 (14)

where *N* is the time series length, $M_i(i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N)$ is the simulation, $O_i(i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N)$ is the observation, and *O* represents the average of observations. Normally, MBE (smaller values are desireable) has a minimum value of zero under the hypothetical situation that the model is capable of perfect (long-term) simulations of the system. An NSE $= 1$ indicates a perfect correspondence between simulations and observations; an $NSE = 0$ indicates that the model simulations have the same explanatory power as the mean of the observations; and an NSE < 0 indicates that the model is a worse pre-dictor than the mean of the observations [\(Schaefli and](#page-18-27) [Gupta, 2007;](#page-18-27) [Knoben et al., 2019\)](#page-17-28).

4. **Results**

4.1. *Spin-up impacts on TEST1-SP and TEST2-SP convergence*

The equilibrium conditions in TEST1-SP and TEST2- SP were defined as follows. If ST (year *N*+1) minus ST (year N) is within 1°C and SLW (year $N+1$) minus SLW (year *N*) is within of 0.1 m³ m⁻³, the soil is said to reach a rough equilibrium condition. If ST (year *N*+1) minus ST (year *N*) is within 0.1°C and SLW (year *N*+1) minus SLW (year *N*) is within of 0.01 m³ m⁻³, the soil is said to reach a strict equilibrium condition. [Figure 2](#page-6-0) shows the convergence in ST and SLW during the first 10 years of 100-year spin-up time for 10 soil layers for TEST1-SP and TEST2-SP. We found that the equilibrium conditions were established relatively quickly in these two long-term spin-up experiments. As shown in [Figs. 2a](#page-6-0) and [b](#page-6-0) in TEST1-SP, the equilibrium conditions of ST and SLW were established in the second year for all soil layers regardless of whether the constraint of a rough equilibrium condition or a strict equilibrium condition is used. As shown in [Figs. 2c](#page-6-0) and [d](#page-6-0), given a rough equilibrium condition in TEST2-SP, the equilibrium conditions of ST and SLW for all soil layers were also quickly established in the second year; however, there were slight differences when given a strict equilibrium condition; ST needed 3 years and SLW needed 7 years. From [Figs. 2a](#page-6-0) and [c](#page-6-0), though ST reached the strict threshold of 0.1°C in the second or third year, it did not change consistently. As shown in [Fig. 2a](#page-6-0), after 6 years of spin-up, the ST in layers 1 to 6 was not stable, and ST (year *N*+1) minus ST (year *N*) was larger than the threshold of 0.1°C in some years. The possible reasons for this instability are that the summer of 2016 was the end of the spin-up cycle, and it was used to reinitialize the model in the summer of 2015. This approach makes the whole study highly dependent on the climate within this year. But ST has a reasonable fluctuation within 1°C until the end of the 100-year spin-up. To summarize, in both experiments, CLM5.0 only took one year to reach a rough equilibrium in ST and SLW at the XDT site.

[Table 2](#page-7-0) shows the values of ST, SLW, and SI after 100 years of spin-up in the TEST1-SP and TEST2-SP as the initialization of the simulation. It can be seen that after the CLM5.0 reaches equilibrium, the difference between ST, SLW and SI at each layer in these two experiments was

Fig. 2. Convergence in soil temperature (a and c) (ST, units: $°C$) and soil liquid water (b and d) (SLW, units: m³ m⁻³) at 10 soil layers in TEST1-SP (a and b) and TEST2-SP (c and d).

		$ST(^{\circ}C)$		$SLW(m^3 m^{-3})$		SI (m ³ m ⁻³)	
Layer	Depth (m)	TEST1-SP	TEST2-SP	TEST1-SP	TEST2-SP	TEST1-SP	TEST2-SP
	0.0175	7.60	7.64	0.10	0.10	0.00	0.00
$\overline{2}$	0.0451	9.11	9.17	0.19	0.19	0.00	0.00
3	0.0906	10.52	10.59	0.21	0.21	0.00	0.00
4	0.1655	11.66	11.75	0.22	0.22	0.00	0.00
5	0.2891	11.17	11.28	0.15	0.15	0.00	0.00
6	0.4929	8.68	8.82	0.14	0.14	0.00	0.00
\mathbf{r}	0.8289	6.16	6.34	0.11	0.11	0.00	0.00
8	1.3828	3.42	3.60	0.08	0.07	0.00	0.00
9	2.2961	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.07	0.01	0.01
10	3.8019	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.07	0.03	0.03

Table 2. States of three variables (ST: Soil Temperature; SLW: Soil Liquid Water Content; SI: Soil Ice) for simulated statements for TEST1-SP and TEST2-SP as the initialization of the simulation.

really small. Regardless of whether the simulation started with an above-freezing temperature of 0.85°C or a belowfreezing temperature of –0.85°C, after 100 years of spin-up, the ST of 8 layers was higher than the freezing temperature at the initialization of the simulation, and the ST in the deepest two layers was equal to the freezing temperature which was different from the actual situation of permafrost layers. After reaching equilibrium, the difference between the ST at each layer was really small, while the SLW and SI at each layer were almost the same in these two spin-up experiments. Since the initialization ST was higher than the freezing temperature from the surface to 140 cm, the initial SI was zero for these layers. As can be seen from the above, the initial fields of ST, SLW, and SI obtained by the long-term spinup method was relatively limited at the XDT site, especially in the permafrost layers.

4.2. *Soil temperature*

[Figure 3](#page-8-0) and [Table 3](#page-9-0) show a comparison of the observed and six experimental results of ST in different soil layers at the XDT site. It can be seen from the observation (red line in [Fig. 3](#page-8-0)) that in summer and autumn, the STs at 0 to 120 cm were higher than 0°C (the shaded areas in [Figs. 3a–d](#page-8-0)), and the soil at these depths were the active layers of permafrost. The STs at 120 cm to 240 cm([Figs. 3e](#page-8-0)–[h\)](#page-8-0) were below 0°C for the whole year, which represents the permafrost layers. The default CLM5.0 simulation (TEST1, black solid line in [Fig. 3](#page-8-0)) greatly amplified the annual amplitude of ST, especially in the active layers, that is there was a significant underestimation during the freezing period, and conversely a significant overestimation during the thawing period in the active layers. This is also a common problem in the CLM4.5 and CLM5.0 simulations regarding the seasonally frozen ground and permafrost on the TP([Fang et al.](#page-16-14), [2016](#page-16-14); [Luo et al., 2017](#page-18-13); [Deng et al., 2020](#page-16-18); [Ma et al., 2023](#page-18-22)). In the deep layers, the STs at 140 to 240 cm were significantly higher than the observation in the whole year. The RMSE of ST in these eight layers was between 2.68°C and 3.99°C. The MBE increased with depth, from 0.85°C at 5 cm to 2.42°C at 240 cm while the NSE decreased with depth,

from 0.74 in the topsoil to -12.43 in the deep soil. It can be inferred through the MBE and NSE values, that the simulation effect of ST gradually decreased with increasing depth, in TEST1.

Compared with TEST1, the annual amplitude of ST in TEST2 (orange solid line in [Fig. 3](#page-8-0)) was smaller and it was closer to the observed values, especially in four permafrost layers. As shown in [Table 3](#page-9-0), the RMSE (between 0.47°C and 3.70°C) and MBE (between 0.19°C and 1.10°C) of each layer were all smaller than that in TEST1 while the NSE (between 0.75 and –1.49) of each layer were all higher than that in TEST1. In the four permafrost layers, as soil depth increased, the RMSE and MBE decreased while the NSE increased, which was quite different from TEST1. The simulation of ST showed significant improvement, with the average RMSE and MBE reduced to only 1.44°C and 0.49°C, respectively, in these four permafrost layers. In contrast, these values were as high as 3.08°C and 2.33°C in TEST1. The resultant ST in the permafrost layers of TEST2 were significantly better than those in TEST1, evidenced by a reduction of RMSE and MBE by 53% and 79%, respectively, indicating that the simulated ST of permafrost is more accurate when the initial ST is below freezing temperature. This is because when the initial ST is below freezing temperature $(-0.85^{\circ}C)$, according to the default initial soil conditions (Eqs. (1) and (2)), all soil water becomes ice, which is more consistent with the ice-rich characteristics of permafrost. The results presented in [Table 3](#page-9-0) demonstrate that improving the simulation of soil hydrothermal processes in permafrost layers also has a significant impact on the accuracy of simulating soil hydrothermal characteristics in active layers. In TEST2, compared to TEST1, there was a reduction in both RMSE and MBE for ST, while NSE increased in the active layers.

The simulated results of ST in TEST3 (purple solid line in [Fig. 3\)](#page-8-0) and TEST4 (blue solid line in [Fig. 3\)](#page-8-0) were very similar. Compared with TEST1 and TEST2, more substantial improvements occurred in TEST3 and TEST4 both in active layers and permafrost layers. As shown in [Table 3](#page-9-0), TEST3 and TEST4 exhibited significantly lower RMSE and MBE values, along with higher NSE values in seven soil layers.

Fig. 3. The observed and simulated daily soil temperature (ST, units: \degree C) at (a) 5 cm, (b) 20 cm, (c) 40 cm, (d) 80 cm, (e) 120 cm, (f) 140 cm, (g) 180 cm, and (h) 240 cm at the XDT site. Shaded areas in the figures denote the thawing period on observed soil temperature.

In the four active layers, TEST3 had the smallest RMSE and MBE with an average of 2.78°C and 0.53°C which is consistent with a reduction of 21% and 61% compared to TEST1 and it had the largest NSE with an average of 0.51. In four permafrost layers, TEST4 had the smallest RMSE with an average of 1.21°C which was consistent with a reduction of 62% compared to TEST1 and it also had the largest NSE which was close to 0. In TEST4, the NSE of ST in six layers was higher than that in TEST3. It can be seen from the above analysis that modification of initial SM schemes (Eqs. (3) and (4)) will greatly impact the ST simulation. Due to the more reasonable distribution of the initial SLW and SI, the soil heat transfer was also closer to the actual state, which greatly improved the simulation of ST.

Since the initialization of ST and SM by long-term spinup were very close [\(Table 2](#page-7-0)), the simulated ST in TEST1- SP (black dotted line in [Fig. 3\)](#page-8-0) and TEST2-SP (orange dotted

line in [Fig. 3](#page-8-0)) were basically consistent. Compared with TEST2, TEST3, and TEST4, the simulated results in these two long-term spin-up experiments were relatively limited. The MBE of ST was between 0.79°C and 2.02°C, and it was slightly smaller than that in TEST1 but much larger than that in TEST2, TEST3, and TEST4 in all eight layers. The NSE of each soil layer in these two long-term spin-up experiments was also smaller than that in the simulations of the three modified initial schemes.

Overall, in the active layers, the default CLM5.0 simulation greatly underestimated ST during the freezing period while overestimating it during the thawing period. In the permafrost layers, the default CLM5.0 overestimated ST for the entire year. When modified, the initial ST and SM schemes can effectively reduce the bias of ST. This improvement has proven to be significantly more profound than long-term spin-up methods. The simulated ST of permafrost

Depth/cm	Statistical variable	TEST1	TEST ₂	TEST3	TEST ₄	TEST1-SP	TEST2-SP
5	RMSE/ °C	3.20	3.14	2.70	2.73	3.39	3.42
	MBE/ °C	0.85	0.64	0.46	0.49	0.79	0.79
	NSE	0.74	0.75	0.81	0.81	0.70	0.70
20	RMSE/ °C	3.57	3.42	2.95	2.99	3.91	3.96
	MBE/°C	1.35	0.91	0.59	0.67	1.24	1.24
	NSE	0.49	0.53	0.65	0.64	0.38	0.37
40	RMSE/ °C	3.99	3.70	3.35	3.38	4.46	4.51
	MBE/°C	1.75	1.10	0.67	0.79	1.60	1.60
	$_{\rm NSE}$	-0.12	0.04	0.21	0.20	-0.40	-0.43
80	RMSE/ °C	3.23	2.36	2.12	2.10	3.58	3.64
	MBE/ °C	2.14	0.95	0.40	0.62	1.95	1.95
	$_{\rm NSE}$	-0.50	0.20	0.36	0.37	-0.84	-0.91
120	RMSE/ °C	3.55	2.45	2.29	2.10	3.83	3.89
	MBE/ °C	2.23	0.82	0.24	0.50	2.02	2.01
	NSE	-4.24	-1.49	-1.17	-0.84	-5.08	-5.28
140	RMSE/ °C	3.15	1.73	1.68	1.34	3.18	3.22
	MBE/°C	2.30	0.59	0.01	0.31	2.01	2.00
	NSE	-4.86	-0.76	-0.68	-0.06	-4.98	-5.12
180	RMSE/ °C	2.93	1.13	1.29	0.79	2.70	2.72
	MBE/ °C	2.36	0.36	-0.23	0.11	2.01	1.99
	NSE	-7.23	-0.23	-0.59	0.41	-6.02	-6.10
240	RMSE/ °C	2.68	0.47	0.99	0.61	2.16	2.14
	MBE/ °C	2.42	0.19	-0.21	0.10	1.99	1.97
	NSE	-12.43	0.59	-0.83	0.31	-7.68	-7.55
Active Layer Avg.	RMSE/ °C	3.50	3.16	2.78	2.80	3.84	3.88
	MBE/ $\,^{\circ}$ C	1.52	0.90	0.53	0.64	1.40	1.40
	$_{\rm NSE}$	0.15	0.38	0.51	0.50	-0.04	-0.07
Permafrost Avg.	RMSE/ °C	3.08	1.44	1.56	1.21	2.97	2.99
	MBE/ °C	2.33	0.49	-0.05	0.25	2.01	1.99
	NSE	-7.19	-0.47	-0.82	-0.05	-5.94	-6.01

Table 3. Statistical results of simulated and observed soil temperature (ST) in the six experiments.

was more accurate when the initial ST is sub-freezing. Modified initial SM schemes provide a reasonable distribution of the initial SLW and SI, which greatly improves the simulation of ST, especially in the four permafrost layers. On average, the RMSE was reduced from 3.29°C in TEST1 to 2.30°C in TEST2 to 2.17°C in TEST2 to 2.00°C in TEST4; MBE was reduced from 1.92°C in TEST1 to 0.70°C in TEST2 to 0.24°C in TEST3 to 0.45°C in TEST4. Meanwhile, the average NSEs in TEST2, TEST3, and TEST4 were closer to zero, especially in TEST4, within which it was 0.23, while it was only about –3 in the default and two long-term spinup experiments. Compared to the default CLM5.0 simulation, the TEST2, TEST3, and TEST4 experiments improved the ST simulation, effectively reducing the average RMSE by 30%, 34%, and 39%. Compared to the results of TEST1, the average MBE was reduced by 64%, 88%, and 77% in the TEST2, TEST3 and TEST4 experiments, respectively, whereas it only experienced an 11% reduction in both the TEST1-SP and TEST2-SP experiments.

[Figure 4](#page-10-0) shows the spatial and temporal variation in the daily mean ST based on observations and simulations from TEST1 to TEST4. From the observations([Fig. 4a](#page-10-0)), the

active layer at the XDT site began to thaw in early April and thawed completely in December, with a maximum thawing depth of approximately 130 cm. Before the active layer soil thawed completely, the surface soil entered a new freezethaw cycle in early November. At the depth of 130 cm to 320 cm in permafrost, the annual variation of ST was small, between -4° C and 0° C. As can be seen from [Fig. 4b](#page-10-0), TEST1 could not adequately simulate the characteristics of ST in permafrost. The results of TEST1 showed that the characteristics of seasonally frozen ground, and the ST below 300 cm were greater than 0°C for the whole year. The ST profiles in TEST1-SP and TEST2-SP were very similar to the results of TEST1 and also showed the characteristics of seasonally frozen ground (figures not shown). After modifying the initial ST as -0.85° C (TEST2), the model could simulate the characteristics of permafrost, but the simulated active layer thickness (about 280 cm) was obviously larger than the observation in 2016. After further modification of the initial SLW and SI conditions (TEST3), the model could simulate the characteristics of permafrost and also significantly improve the thickness of the active layer (about 170 cm), and the annual variation of the ST further decreases, which

Fig. 4. The spatial-temporal variation in the daily soil temperature (ST, units:°C) for the (a) observation, (b) TEST1, (c) TEST2, (d) TEST3, and (e) TEST4.

was more consistent with the observed value. In the TEST4 experiment, ST in permafrost was further increased compared with the TEST3 experiment and was closer to the observed value.

4.3. *Soil liquid water*

[Figure 5](#page-11-0) shows the simulated and observed daily SLW in different soil layers at the XDT site. It can be seen from the observation (red solid line) that the SLW in the shallowest 20 cm corresponded well with precipitation, while it had a great relationship with the soil freeze-thaw processes in the soil below 40 cm. In the default CLM5.0 simulation (black solid line), the initial SLW between 20 cm and 120 cm was much lower than in the observation, which allowed for a substantial underestimation of SLW during the thawing period in active layers. The SLW between 5 cm and 140 cm decreased earlier than the observed values during the freezing period while increasing earlier than the observed values during the thawing period. The main reason was that the ST in the active layers in TEST1 was lower than the observed value during the freezing period, so the soil froze earlier; while the ST was higher during the thawing period, so it thawed earlier. The RMSE ranged from $0.032 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$ to 0.162 m³ m⁻³ while the MBE ranged from -0.098 m³ m⁻³ to $0.050\,\mathrm{m^3\,m^{-3}}$. The results of SLW in active layers were generally better than those in permafrost layers, with a smaller RMSE and greater NSE. The simulated results of SLW at 5 cm and 140 cm were better than other layers, with NSEs greater than 0.32. However, the simulated result at 240 cm was poor, with an NSE of up to –46.72. The simulations of the other five soil layers had the same explanatory power as the mean of the observations.

In the active layer, the results in TEST2 (orange solid line) were generally consistent with those in TEST1, while in the permafrost layers, the results in TEST2 were better than those in TEST1, with a smaller RMSE and MBE, and a larger NSE, especially at 240 cm. Compared with TEST1, the average RMSE and MBE of SLW in four permafrost layers were reduced by 20% and 21%, respectively. The results in TEST2 also indicated that the simulated SLW of permafrost is more accurate when the initial ST is below freezing.

From [Table 4](#page-12-0), TEST3 and TEST4 provide a better fit for the observed SLW in all eight layers compared to the results of TEST1 and TEST2. The SLW in TEST3 and TEST4 was larger than that in TEST1 and TEST2 during the thawing period in the active layers, effectively reducing the dry biases of SLW. On average, in these two modified experiments, the RMSE of these four active layers was 0.0121 m³ m⁻³ and 0.0122 m³ m⁻³ respectively, while the average MBEs were -0.028 m³ m⁻³ and -0.029 m³ m⁻³, representing a reduction of nearly 10% compared to the default experiment. Meanwhile, the average NSE in the active layers

Fig. 5. The observed and simulated daily soil liquid water (SLW, units: $m^3 m^{-3}$) at (a) 5 cm, (b) 20 cm, (c) 40 cm, (d) 80 cm, (e) 120 cm, (f) 140 cm, (g) 180 cm, and (h) 240 cm at the XDT site. The blue bar is observed daily precipitation (units: mm).

of these two experiments reached 0.26, which was higher than the results in TEST1 (0.09) and TEST2 (0.06). Moreover, the first date of soil thawing in active layers occurred later than those in TEST1 and TEST2, aligning more closely with the observation. In the four permafrost layers, TEST3 and TEST4 still demonstrated a simulation performance that was better than TEST1, with average RMSEs of 0.052 m³ m⁻³ and 0.054 m³ m⁻³, respectively, consistent with a reduction of approximately 15%. Additionally, the average MBE for TEST3 and TEST4 were -0.020 m³ m⁻³ and -0.011 m³ m⁻³, indicating a decrease of around 30%.

The simulated SLW in TEST1-SP (black dotted line) and TEST2-SP (orange dotted line) were also consistent since the initialization of ST and SM using a long-term spinup are very close([Table 4](#page-12-0)). Compared with TEST2, TEST3, and TEST4, the simulated results in these two longterm spin-up experiments were relatively limited. During the thawing period, the simulated SLW was found to be even lower than the results from TEST1, [resultin](#page-12-0)g in a larger RMSE and a smaller NSE as shown in [Table 4.](#page-12-0)

In general, the default CLM5.0 simulation greatly dried

the SLW during the thawing period at the XDT site. The SLW simulation was significantly improved and the average RMSE was effectively reduced by 13%, 21%, and 19% in the TEST2, TEST3, and TEST4 experiments compared to the default CLM5.0 simulation. However, only a 5% reduction was found in the TEST1-SP and TEST2-SP experiments. Meanwhile, the average NSEs in TEST2, TEST3, and TEST4 were also closer to zero, especially in TEST3, which was –0.07. In contrast, the NSE was only about –5.85 in the default experiment and -1 in the two long-term spinup experiments. Overall, TEST2 significantly improved the SLW in the permafrost, while both TEST3 and TEST4 showed significant improvements in SLW throughout the whole soil column.

From the spatial-temporal variation of observed SLW [\(Fig. 6a](#page-13-0)), it can be seen that during summer and autumn, there is an increase in SLW within the active layers due to permafrost thawing, reaching a maximum value of $0.35 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$. In the vertical direction, two high-value centers were observed at approximately 20 cm and 80 cm, respectively. Below 120 cm, SLW drastically decreased due to the

Depth/cm	Statistical variable	TEST1	TEST ₂	TEST3	TEST4	TEST1-SP	TEST2-SP
5	RMSE/ $m^3 m^{-3}$	0.097	0.097	0.102	0.102	0.097	0.096
	MBE/ m^3 m ⁻³	0.050	0.049	0.063	0.062	0.048	0.048
	NSE	0.31	0.32	0.25	0.24	0.32	0.32
$20\,$	RMSE/ $m^3 m^{-3}$	0.161	0.164	0.139	0.140	0.164	0.164
	MBE/ m^3 m ⁻³	-0.083	-0.087	-0.056	-0.057	-0.087	-0.087
	NSE	0.06	0.03	0.30	0.29	0.03	0.02
40	RMSE/ $m^3 m^{-3}$	0.122	0.127	0.098	0.100	0.128	0.128
	MBE/ m^3 m ⁻³	-0.061	-0.067	-0.033	-0.034	-0.069	-0.069
	NSE	0.09	0.01	0.41	0.39	0.00	-0.01
80	RMSE/ $m^3 m^{-3}$	0.162	0.165	0.143	0.146	0.182	0.183
	MBE/ m^3 m ⁻³	-0.098	-0.103	-0.086	-0.088	-0.118	-0.119
	NSE	-0.10	-0.13	0.14	0.10	-0.38	-0.40
120	RMSE/ $m^3 m^{-3}$	0.100	0.100	0.090	0.093	0.116	0.117
	MBE/ m^3 m ⁻³	-0.052	-0.054	-0.047	-0.049	-0.070	-0.072
	NSE	0.10	0.09	0.26	0.22	-0.22	-0.25
140	RMSE/ $m^3 m^{-3}$	0.032	0.037	0.042	0.035	0.043	0.044
	MBE/ m^3 m ⁻³	-0.013	-0.022	-0.022	-0.012	-0.032	-0.034
	NSE	0.42	0.22	-0.02	0.30	-0.06	-0.13
180	RMSE/ $m^3 m^{-3}$	0.048	0.040	0.044	0.057	0.030	0.029
	MBE/ m^3 m ⁻³	0.037	0.022	0.013	0.036	0.016	0.015
	NSE	-0.95	-0.35	-0.64	-1.77	0.22	0.26
240	RMSE/ $m^3 m^{-3}$	0.149	0.029	0.032	0.030	0.066	0.062
	MBE/ m^3 m ⁻³	0.148	-0.019	-0.024	-0.021	0.065	0.060
	NSE	-46.72	-0.85	-1.23	-1.00	-8.42	-7.19
Active Layer Ave	RMSE/ $m^3 m^{-3}$	0.135	0.138	0.121	0.122	0.143	0.143
	MBE/ m^3 m ⁻³	-0.048	-0.052	-0.028	-0.029	-0.056	-0.057
	NSE	0.09	$0.06\,$	0.27	0.26	-0.01	-0.01
Permafrost Ave	RMSE/ $m^3 m^{-3}$	0.082	0.051	0.052	0.054	0.064	0.063
	MBE/ m^3 m ⁻³	0.030	-0.018	-0.020	-0.011	-0.005	-0.008
	NSE	-11.79	-0.22	-0.41	-0.56	-2.12	-1.83

Table 4. Statistical results of simulated and observed soil liquid water (SLW) in six experiments.

reduced permeability of ice-rich soils near the permafrost table that impeded SLW migration.

In TEST1, the initial value of SLW throughout the profile was evidently underestimated, resulting in lower simulated SLW levels during the study period compared to observations across the entire profile ([Fig. 6b\)](#page-13-0). Upon modifying the initial ST to –0.85 °C (TEST2), a large dry bias also existed throughout the entire profile. After further modification of the initial SLW and SI schemes (TEST3 and TEST4), the simulated SLW in the active layer increased during the thawing period, thereby demonstrating that the model was capable of accurately simulating the spatial distribution characteristics of two prominent high-value centers of SLW in 2016. The long-term memory of SLW significantly impacted the ST simulation. During the thawing period, TEST3 and TEST4 had more SLW in the active layer compared to TEST1 and TEST2, resulting in a lower ST from 5 cm to 180 cm ([Fig. 3](#page-8-0)).

4.4. *Soil ice*

The simulated spatial-temporal variation of daily SI is presented in [Fig. 7](#page-13-1). In TEST1 [\(Fig. 7a](#page-13-1)), the model exhibited limitations in accurately simulating year-round permafrost

with continuous ice, indicating a constraint on its simulation capability. The results of TEST2 [\(Fig. 7b](#page-13-1)), TEST3 ([Fig. 7c](#page-13-1)), and TEST4 [\(Fig. 7d](#page-13-1)) differed significantly from TEST1. In TEST2, SI was consistently present in the soil below 1.1 m throughout the entire year, while in TEST3 and TEST4, SI was found at depths below 1.3 m and 1.4 m, respectively, for the entire year duration of the study. The high center ($SI \ge$ $0.10 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$) in TEST1 was located at a depth of 1.5–2.0 m in the soil from February 2016 to July 2016, while the high center (SI ≥ 0.13 m³ m⁻³) in TEST2 was situated at a depth of deeper than 1.5 m from February 2016 to June 2016. The SI values in TEST3 and TEST4 were higher compared to those in TEST1 and TEST2. The profiles of TEST3 and TEST4 exhibited two prominent centers of SI. The first center with $SI \ge 0.10$ m³ m⁻³ was located in the active layer, while the second center with $SI \ge 0.24$ m³ m⁻³ was found in the permafrost layer. In TEST2, it is evident that the adjustment of the initial ST to –0.85°C resulted in the detection of multiyear ice within the permafrost soil layers at various depths. In TEST3 and TEST4, subsequent modifications to the initial SLW and SI schemes led to a further increase in SI throughout the entire soil column. SI also has a great influence on the

Fig. 6. The spatial-temporal variation in the daily soil liquid water (SLW, units: m^3 m^{-3}) for the (a) observation, (b) TEST1, (c) TEST2, (d) TEST3, and (e) TEST4.

Fig. 7. The spatial-temporal variation in the daily soil ice (SI, units: $m^3 m^{-3}$) for (a) TEST1, (b) TEST2, (c) TEST3, and (d) TEST4.

APRIL 2024 **LUO ET AL. LUO ET AL.** *COLLECTERS COLLECTERS COLLECTERS COLLECTERS COLLECTERS COLLECTERS COLLECTERS COLLECTERS COLLECTERS*

ST simulation due to its long-term memory. Throughout the simulation period, three modified experiments demonstrated higher SI values across the entire soil column, particularly in the permafrost layers, and resulted in a reduced annual amplitude of ST fluctuations in TEST2, TEST3, and TEST4 ([Figs. 3](#page-8-0), [4](#page-10-0)). The SI profiles in TEST1-SP and TEST2-SP were similar to the results of TEST1, and they also showed the characteristic features associated with seasonally frozen ground (figures not shown).

4.5. *Surface energy fluxes*

Simulated differences in topsoil ST, SLW, and SI resulted in significant variations in simulated net radiation and surface energy fluxes, as shown in [Fig. 8](#page-14-0). The average and statistical results of the simulations and observations are presented in [Tables 5](#page-14-1) and [6.](#page-15-0) Due to the lack of observations regarding sensible and latent heat fluxes, we only compared the net radiation and ground heat flux in this study. The results of TEST1-SP and TEST2-SP have been omitted in [Fig. 8](#page-14-0) as they exhibited negligible differences when compared to the results of TEST1 and TEST2.

Compared to the observation, six experiments effectively simulated the annual characteristics of net radiation. The observed annual mean net radiation was 81.43 W m^{-2} , while it ranged from 74.02 to 75.68 W m^{-2} in the six simulated results. The RMSE ranged from 21.01 to 22.74 W m⁻², while the MBE ranged from -7.42 to -5.76 W m⁻² across these experiments. Moreover, all simulations showed NSE values close to 1, ranging from 0.83 to 0.85. During autumn and winter, a clear underestimation of net radiation was found in six experiments, resulting in significantly higher STs in the active layers ([Fig. 3](#page-8-0)). Among these experiments, TEST3 and TEST4 demonstrated superior simulation results for net radiation with lower RMSE and MBE values and a higher NSE.

The observed ground heat flux at a depth of 5 cm had negative values during the freezing period, with an average of -5.45 W m⁻², while it showed positive values during the thawing period, averaging 10.96 W m^{-2} . The offsetting of both positive and negative values throughout the year resulted in an annual mean ground heat flux of only 2.67 W m⁻² ([Table 5\)](#page-14-1). As shown in [Fig. 8](#page-14-0), the seasonal varia-

Fig. 8. The observed and simulated daily surface energy flux (units: W m−2), including net radiation (Rn), sensible heat flux (*H*), latent heat flux (LE), and ground heat flux (*G*). Shaded areas in the figure denote the thawing period based on observed ST at a depth of 5 cm.

Table 5. The average values of simulated and observed net radiation (Rn), ground heat flux (*G*), sensible heat flux (*H*), and latent heat flux (LE).

Variable	TEST1	TEST ₂	TEST3	TEST ₄	TEST1-SP	TEST2-SP	Obs
$Rn/W m^{-2}$	74.02	74.57	75.68	75.61	74.03	74.07	81.43
G/W m ⁻²	1.71	3.36	3.49	3.11	1.77	1.78	2.67
H/W m ⁻²	48.59	47.94	44.16	44.59	48.85	48.88	$\qquad \qquad -$
LE/W m^{-2}	23.74	23.31	28.06	27.95	23.43	23.44	$\overline{}$

tion of ground heat flux was successfully captured in six experiments. However, the simulated ground heat fluxes from TSET1, TSET1-SP, and TSET2-SP were found to be underestimated compared to the observed values, with an MBE ranging from -0.96 to -0.89 W m⁻². Conversely, the simulated ground heat fluxes from three modified experiments were slightly overestimated, with an MBE ranging from 0.44 to 0.69 W m^{-2} . Among all experiments, TEST4 demonstrated superior simulation results for ground heat flux with the smallest MBE and largest NSE.

The simulated annual mean value of sensible heat flux ranged from 44.59 to 48.88 W m^{-2} , while the range for latent heat flux was between 23.31 to 28.06 W m ⁻² ([Table 6](#page-15-0)). TEST3 and TEST4 simulated relatively lower values for sensible heat flux and higher values for latent heat flux, whereas the remaining four experiments demonstrated relatively higher values for sensible heat flux and lower values for latent heat flux.

The modified initial soil schemes as a whole significantly improved the estimations of net radiation and ground heat flux. Comparing the result of TSET1, the average MBE of net radiation was reduced by 7%, 22%, and 21%, respectively, while it was only reduced by less than 1% in the two spin-up experiments. Furthermore, the average MBE of ground heat flux was reduced from -1.78 W m⁻² to -1.64 W m⁻².

5. Conclusion and discussion

Permafrost soil profiles possess larger hydraulic and thermal memories that necessitate greater effort to initialize in LSMs modeling. Accurate initialization of ST and SM, along with robust parameterization schemes for soil hydrothermal processes and accuracy of forcing data, are equally crucial in simulating LSMs in the permafrost region on the TP. This study emphasizes the significant impact of initial ST and SM conditions on simulated soil hydrothermal dynamics and surface energy fluxes in the permafrost region on the Tibetan Plateau. The following conclusions can be drawn:

1) The default initial schemes for ST and SM in CLM5.0 were simplistic and inaccurately represented the soil initial conditions of permafrost on the TP. This resulted in the underestimation of ST during the freezing period, while significantly overestimating ST and underestimating SLW during the thawing period at the XDT site. It also resulted in the underestimation of net radiation and soil heat fluxes. The long-term spin-up method remained challenging

in its ability to generate a relatively accurate initial field of ST and SM in permafrost regions on the TP. Consequently, applying this method to obtain initial field values has only led to limited improvement in simulating hydrothermal properties of the soil, with a reduction of 11% in MBE for ST and a reduction of 5% in RMSE for SLW compared to the results obtained from default simulation.

2) The coexistence of SLW with SI, in conjunction with sub-freezing ST, is more indicative of permafrost characteristics. The modified initial soil schemes proposed in this study comprehensively considered the aforementioned characteristics of permafrost, effectively enhancing the accuracy of ST and SLW simulations by reducing RMSE and MBE, as well as improving NSE. This improvement in the statistical results greatly exceeded those achieved through the longterm spin-up method. Compared to the results of default simulation, the average RMSE of ST was reduced by 30%, 34%, and 39% in the three modified initial soil schemes experiments, respectively, while the respective average MBE of ST was reduced by 64%, 88%, and 77%. The average RMSE of SLW was also reduced by 13%, 21%, and 19% in these experiments.

3) The ST and SM profiles in the default and two longterm spin-up experiments showed the characteristics of seasonally frozen ground, while three modified initial soil schemes experiments were able to simulate the characteristics of ST and SM profiles in permafrost. In TEST3 and TEST4, the active layer displayed a shallower depth, with two highvalue centers of SLW that closely resembled observation. TEST3 and TEST4 exhibited higher levels of SLW and SI compared to TEST1 and TEST2, resulting in a reduced annual amplitude of ST in these modified experiments. The simulated STs are significantly influenced by the variables SLW and SI, while conversely, ST has a profound impact on the distribution of SLW and SI.

4) The modified initial soil schemes experiments significantly improved the estimations of net radiation and ground heat flux, surpassing the efficacy of the long-term spin-up method. There was a reduction in the average MBE of net radiation by 7%, 22%, and 21%, respectively, while the average MBE of ground heat flux decreased from -1.78 W m⁻² to -1.64 W m^{-2} .

The modified initial ST and SM schemes proposed in this study contribute to improving the accuracy of land surface energy and water simulation in the permafrost region on the TP, thereby potentially influencing the advancement of LSMs. However, simulation experiments in this paper are

Table 6. Statistical results of simulated and observed net radiation (Rn) and ground heat flux (*G*).

Variable	Statistical variable	TEST1	TEST ₂	TEST3	TEST ₄	TEST1-SP	TEST2-SP
Rn	RMSE/W m^{-2}	22.30	21.90	21.01	21.08	22.45	22.74
	MBE/W m^{-2}	-7.42	-6.86	-5.76	-5.82	-7.41	-7.36
	NSE	0.83	0.84	0.85	0.85	0.83	0.83
G	RMSE/W $m-2$	9.99	10.07	10.30	10.25	10.48	10.36
	MBE/W m ⁻²	-0.96	0.69	0.82	0.44	-0.90	-0.89
	NSE	-1.78	-1.69	-1.64	-1.66	-1.81	-1.81

based on data from a single permafrost observation site, and it is necessary to validate relevant conclusions with data from multiple sites in the permafrost region of the TP. The strong coupling effect between soil heat and water should be considered, as the inaccuracy of SLW and SI significantly contributes to the deviation of ST simulation. Additionally, the long-term memories of ST and SM are further amplified by ice-rich soils in the permafrost region. Therefore, it is imperative to enhance our comprehension of SI in the permafrost region on the TP for future research endeavors.

Acknowledgements. This work was supported jointly by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. U20A2081), West Light Foundation of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Grant No. xbzg-zdsys-202102), and the Second Tibetan Plateau Scientific Expedition and Research (STEP) Project (Grant No. 2019QZKK0105). We also thank the National Cryosphere Desert Data Center and Cryosphere Research Station on the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau, Chinese Academy of Sciences for providing data.

Data Availability Statement. The dataset is provided by the National Cryosphere Desert Data Center. [\(http://www.ncdc.ac.cn](http://www.ncdc.ac.cn)) and Cryosphere Research Station on the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau, Chinese Academy of Sciences. The input atmospheric forcing data at the Xidatan site can be assessed at [https://doi.org/10.12072/ncdc.](https://doi.org/10.12072/ncdc.CCI.db0017.2020) [CCI.db0017.2020.](https://doi.org/10.12072/ncdc.CCI.db0017.2020)([http://www.ncdc.ac.cn/portal/metadata/b2745](http://www.ncdc.ac.cn/portal/metadata/b274594b-e6c1-444a-8399-5767b4cef62d) [94b-e6c1-444a-8399-5767b4cef62d\)](http://www.ncdc.ac.cn/portal/metadata/b274594b-e6c1-444a-8399-5767b4cef62d). Soil temperature and soil liquid water at the Xidatan site can be assessed at [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.12072/ncdc.CCI.db0014.2020) [12072/ncdc.CCI.db0014.2020](https://doi.org/10.12072/ncdc.CCI.db0014.2020). ([http://www.ncdc.ac.cn/portal/meta](http://www.ncdc.ac.cn/portal/metadata/fbd8e9c7-0ae7-4bd7-b295-7de62208be5f)[data/fbd8e9c7-0ae7-4bd7-b295-7de62208be5f\)](http://www.ncdc.ac.cn/portal/metadata/fbd8e9c7-0ae7-4bd7-b295-7de62208be5f).

REFERENCES

- Alexeev, V. A., D. J. Nicolsky, V. E. Romanovsky, and D. M. Lawrence, 2007: An evaluation of deep soil configurations in the CLM3 for improved representation of permafrost. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 34, L09502. [https://doi.org/10.1029/](https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GL029536) [2007GL029536.](https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GL029536)
- Beltrami, H., 2002: Earth's long-term memory. *Science*, 297, 206−207, [https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1074027.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1074027)
- Chen, B. L., S. H. Lü, and S. Q. Luo, 2012a: Simulation analysis on land surface process at Maqu Station in the Qinghai-Xizang Plateau using community land model. *Plateau Meteorology*, 31, 1511−1522. (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Chen, B. L., S. Q. Luo, S. H. Lü, Y. Zhang, and D. Ma, 2014: Effects of the soil freeze-thaw process on the regional climate of the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau. *Climate Research*, 59, 243−257, [https://doi.org/10.3354/cr01217.](https://doi.org/10.3354/cr01217)
- Chen, B. L., S. Q. Luo, S. H. Lü, X. W. Fang, and Y. Chang, 2017: Land surface characteristics in soil freezing and thawing process on the Tibetan Plateau based on Community Land Model. *Journal of Glaciology and Geocryology*, 39, 760−770, [https://doi.org/10.7522/j.issn.1000-0240.2017.00](https://doi.org/10.7522/j.issn.1000-0240.2017.0086) [86.](https://doi.org/10.7522/j.issn.1000-0240.2017.0086)
- Chen, Y. Y., K. Yang, W. J. Tang, J. Qin, and L. Zhao, 2012b: Parameterizing soil organic carbon's impacts on soil porosity and thermal parameters for Eastern Tibet grasslands. *Science China Earth Sciences*, 55, 1001−1011, [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11430-012-4433-0)

[1007/s11430-012-4433-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11430-012-4433-0).

- Cheng, G. D., and Coauthors, 2019: Characteristic, changes and impacts of permafrost on Qinghai-Tibet Plateau. *Chinese Science Bulletin*, 64, 2783−2795, [https://doi.org/10.1360/TB-](https://doi.org/10.1360/TB-2019-0191)[2019-0191.](https://doi.org/10.1360/TB-2019-0191)
- Cosby, B. J., G. M. Hornberger, R. B. Clapp, and T. R. Ginn, 1984: A statistical exploration of the relationships of soil moisture characteristics to the physical properties of soils. *Water Resour. Res.*, 20, 682−690, [https://doi.org/10.1029/](https://doi.org/10.1029/WR020i006p00682) [WR020i006p00682.](https://doi.org/10.1029/WR020i006p00682)
- Cosgrove, B. A., and Coauthors, 2003: Land surface model spinup behavior in the North American Land Data Assimilation System (NLDAS). *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.*, 108, 8845. [https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JD003316.](https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JD003316)
- Côté, J., and J.-M. Konrad, 2005: Thermal conductivity of basecourse materials. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 42, 61−78,<https://doi.org/10.1139/t04-081>.
- Cuesta-Valero, F. J., and Coauthors, 2023: Continental heat storage: Contributions from the ground, inland waters, and permafrost thawing. *Earth System Dynamics*, 14, 609−627, <https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-14-609-2023>.
- Dai, Y. J., W. Shangguan, Q. Y. Duan, B. Y. Liu, S. H. Fu, and G. Y. Niu, 2013: Development of a China dataset of soil hydraulic parameters using pedotransfer functions for land surface modeling. *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, 14, 869−887, <https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-12-0149.1>.
- Dai, Y. J., N. Wei, H. Yuan, S. P. Zhang, W. Shangguan, S. F. Liu, X. J. Lu, and Y. F. Xin, 2019a: Evaluation of soil thermal conductivity schemes for use in land surface modeling. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 11, 3454−3473, [https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001723.](https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001723)
- Dai, Y. J., and Coauthors, 2019b: A global high-resolution data set of soil hydraulic and thermal properties for land surface modeling. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 11, 2996−3023, [https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001784.](https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001784)
- Deng, M. S., X. H. Meng, Y. Q. Lyv, L. Zhao, Z. G. Li, Z. Y. Hu, and H. Jing, 2020: Comparison of soil water and heat transfer modeling over the Tibetan Plateau using two community land surface model (CLM) Versions. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 12, e2020MS002189. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1029/2020MS002189) [org/10.1029/2020MS002189](https://doi.org/10.1029/2020MS002189).
- Deng, M. S., and Coauthors, 2021: Impact and sensitivity analysis of soil water and heat transfer parameterizations in community land surface model on the Tibetan Plateau. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 13, e2021MS002670. [https://doi.org/10.1029/2021MS002670.](https://doi.org/10.1029/2021MS002670)
- Dobiński, W., 2020: Permafrost active layer. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 208, 103301. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2020.103301) [2020.103301](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2020.103301).
- Elshamy, M. E., D. Princz, G. Sapriza-Azuri, M. S. Abdelhamed, A. Pietroniro, H. S. Wheater, and S. Razavi, 2020: On the configuration and initialization of a large-scale hydrological land surface model to represent permafrost. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 24, 349−379, [https://doi.org/10.5194](https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-24-349-2020) [/hess-24-349-2020](https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-24-349-2020).
- Entin, J. K., A. Robock, K. Y. Vinnikov, S. E. Hollinger, S. X. Liu, and A. Namkhai, 2000: Temporal and spatial scales of observed soil moisture variations in the extratropics. *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos*., 105, 11 865−11 877, [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JD900051) [1029/2000JD900051](https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JD900051).
- Fang, X. W., S. Q. Luo, S. H. Lyu, B. L. Chen, Y. Zhang, D. Ma, and Y. Chang, 2016: A simulation and validation of CLM dur-
- Farouki, O. T., 1981: The thermal properties of soils in cold regions. *Cold Regions Science and Technology*, 5, 67−75, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-232X\(81\)90041-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-232X(81)90041-0).
- Gao, J. Q., and Coauthors, 2019: A new frozen soil parameterization including frost and thaw fronts in the community land model. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 11, 659−679,<https://doi.org/10.1029/2018MS001399>.
- Gao, Y. H., K. Li, F. Chen, Y. S. Jiang, and C. G. Lu, 2015: Assessing and improving Noah-MP land model simulations for the central Tibetan Plateau. *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.*, 120, 9258−9278,<https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD023404>.
- Guo, D. L., and H. J. Wang, 2013: Simulation of permafrost and seasonally frozen ground conditions on the Tibetan Plateau, 1981−2010. *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.*, 118, 5216−5230, https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrd.50457.
- Guo, D. L., and H. J. Wang, 2014: Simulated change in the nearsurface soil freeze/thaw cycle on the Tibetan Plateau from 1981 to 2010. *Chinese Science Bulletin*, 59, 2439−2448.
- Guo, Z. C., P. A. Dirmeyer, and T. DelSole, 2011: Land surface impacts on subseasonal and seasonal predictability. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 38, L24812. [https://doi.org/10.1029/](https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GL049945) [2011GL049945](https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GL049945).
- Hagemann, S., and T. Stacke, 2015: Impact of the soil hydrology scheme on simulated soil moisture memory. *Climate Dyn.*, 44, 1731−1750, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-014-2221-6>.
- He, J., K. Yang, W. J. Tang, H. Lu, J. Qin, Y. Y. Chen, and X. Li, 2020: The first high-resolution meteorological forcing dataset for land process studies over China. *Scientific Data*, 7, 25. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-020-0369-y.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-020-0369-y)
- Hu, Q., and S. Feng, 2004: A role of the soil enthalpy in land memory. *J. Climate*, 17, 3633−3643, [https://doi.org/10.1175/](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(2004)017<3633:AROTSE>2.0.CO;2) [1520-0442\(2004\)017<3633:AROTSE>2.0.CO;2.](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(2004)017<3633:AROTSE>2.0.CO;2)
- Huang, C. C., X. G. Zheng, A. Tait, Y. J. Dai, C. Yang, Z. Q. Chen, T. Li, and Z. L. Wang, 2014: On using smoothing spline and residual correction to fuse rain gauge observations and remote sensing data. *J. Hydrol.*, 508, 410−417, [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2013.11.022) doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2013.11.022.
- Ji, H. L., Z. T. Nan, J. N. Hu, Y. Zhao, and Y. N. Zhang, 2022: On the spin-up strategy for spatial modeling of permafrost dynamics: A case study on the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 14, e2021MS002750. [https://doi.org/10.1029/2021MS002750.](https://doi.org/10.1029/2021MS002750)
- Johansen, O., 1975: Thermal conductivity of soils. PhD dissertation, University of Trondheim.
- Knoben, W. J. M., J. E. Freer, and R. A. Woods, 2019: Technical note: Inherent benchmark or not? Comparing Nash–Sutcliffe and Kling–Gupta efficiency scores. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 23, 4323−4331, [https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-](https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-23-4323-2019)[23-4323-2019](https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-23-4323-2019).
- Koren, V., J. Schaake, K. Mitchell, Q. Y. Duan, F. Chen, and J. M. Baker, 1999: A parameterization of snowpack and frozen ground intended for NCEP weather and climate models. *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos*., 104, 19 569−19 585, [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JD900232) [10.1029/1999JD900232](https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JD900232).
- Koster, R. D., and M. J. Suarez, 2001: Soil moisture memory in climate models. *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, 2, 558−570, [https://doi.org/10.1175/1525-7541\(2001\)002<0558:](https://doi.org/10.1175/1525-7541(2001)002<0558:SMMICM>2.0.CO;2) [SMMICM>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1525-7541(2001)002<0558:SMMICM>2.0.CO;2).
- Koster, R. D., and Coauthors, 2011: The second phase of the global land–atmosphere coupling experiment: Soil moisture

contributions to subseasonal forecast skill. *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, 12, 805−822, [https://doi.org/10.1175/2011JHM](https://doi.org/10.1175/2011JHM1365.1) [1365.1](https://doi.org/10.1175/2011JHM1365.1).

- Lawrence, D. M., and A. G. Slater, 2008: Incorporating organic soil into a global climate model. *Climate Dyn.*, 30, 145−160, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-007-0278-1>.
- Lawrence, D. M., A. G. Slater, and S. C. Swenson, 2012: Simulation of present-day and future permafrost and seasonally frozen ground conditions in CCSM4. *J. Climate*, 25, 2207−2225, [https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00334.1.](https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00334.1)
- Lawrence, D. M., A. G. Slater, V. E. Romanovsky, and D. J. Nicolsky, 2008: Sensitivity of a model projection of near-surface permafrost degradation to soil column depth and representation of soil organic matter. *J. Geophys. Res.: Earth Surf.*, 113, F02011. [https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JF000883.](https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JF000883)
- Lawrence, D. M., and Coauthors, 2011: Parameterization improvements and functional and structural advances in Version 4 of the Community Land Model. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 3, M03001. [https://doi.org/10.1029/](https://doi.org/10.1029/2011MS000045) [2011MS000045](https://doi.org/10.1029/2011MS000045).
- Lawrence, D. M., and Coauthors, 2019: The community land model version 5: Description of new features, benchmarking, and impact of forcing uncertainty. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 11, 4245−4287, [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1029/2018MS001583) [10.1029/2018MS001583](https://doi.org/10.1029/2018MS001583).
- Letts, M. G., N. T. Roulet, N. T. Comer, M. R. Skarupa, and D. L. Verseghy, 2000: Parametrization of peatland hydraulic properties for the Canadian land surface scheme. *Atmosphere-Ocean*, 38, 141−160, [https://doi.org/10.1080/](https://doi.org/10.1080/07055900.2000.9649643) [07055900.2000.9649643](https://doi.org/10.1080/07055900.2000.9649643).
- Li, R. C., J. B. Xie, Z. H. Xie, B. H. Jia, J. Q. Gao, P. H. Qin, L. H. Wang, and S. Chen, 2023: Coupling of the calculated freezing and thawing front parameterization in the earth system model CAS-ESM. *Adv. Atmos. Sci.*, 40, 1671−1688, [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00376-023-2203-x) doi.org/10.1007/s00376-023-2203-x.
- Li, X. F., and Coauthors, 2020: Improving the Noah-MP model for simulating hydrothermal regime of the active layer in the permafrost regions of the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau. *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.*, 125, e2020JD032588. [https://doi.org/10.1029/](https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JD032588) [2020JD032588](https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JD032588).
- Liu, D., and A. K. Mishra, 2017: Performance of AMSR_E soil moisture data assimilation in CLM4.5 model for monitoring hydrologic fluxes at global scale. *J. Hydrol.*, 547, 67−79, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.01.036>.
- Liu, G. Y., C. W. Xie, L. Zhao, Y. Xiao, T. H. Wu, W. Wang, and W. H. Liu, 2021a: Permafrost warming near the northern limit of permafrost on the Qinghai–Tibetan Plateau during the period from 2005 to 2017: A case study in the Xidatan area. *Permafrost and Periglacial Processes*, 32, 323−334, <https://doi.org/10.1002/ppp.2089>.
- Liu, Y. G., S. H. Lyu, C. L. Ma, Y. Xu, and J. X. Luo, 2021b: Gravel parameterization schemes and its regional assessment on Tibetan Plateau using RegCM4. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 13, e2020MS002444. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1029/2020MS002444) [org/10.1029/2020MS002444.](https://doi.org/10.1029/2020MS002444)
- Luo, S. Q., S. H. Lü, and Y. Zhang, 2009a: Development and validation of the frozen soil parameterization scheme in Common Land Model. *Cold Regions Science and Technology*, 55, 130−140, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coldregions.2008.07.009.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coldregions.2008.07.009)
- Luo, S. Q., J. Y. Wang, J. W. Pomeroy, and S. Lyu, 2020: Freeze–Thaw changes of seasonally frozen ground on the Tibetan Plateau from 1960 to 2014. *J. Climate*, 33,

9427−9446, [https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-19-0923.1.](https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-19-0923.1)

- Luo, S. Q., X. W. Fang, S. H. Lyu, Y. Zhang, and B. L. Chen, 2017: Improving CLM4.5 simulations of land-atmosphere exchange during freeze-thaw processes on the Tibetan Plateau. *J. Meteor. Res.*, 31, 916−930, [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13351-017-6063-0) [1007/s13351-017-6063-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13351-017-6063-0).
- Luo, S. Q., S. H. Lü, Y. Zhang, Z. Y. Hu, Y. M. Ma, S. S. Li, and L. Y. Shang, 2009b: Soil thermal conductivity parameterization establishment and application in numerical model of central Tibetan Plateau. *Chinese Journal of Geophysics*, 52, 919−928, [https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.0001-5733.2009.04.](https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.0001-5733.2009.04.008) [008.](https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.0001-5733.2009.04.008)
- Luo, S. Q., and Coauthors, 2018: An improvement of soil temperature simulations on the Tibetan Plateau. *Sciences in Cold and Arid Regions*, 10, 80−94, [https://doi.org/10.3724/SP.J.](https://doi.org/10.3724/SP.J.1226.2018.00080) [1226.2018.00080.](https://doi.org/10.3724/SP.J.1226.2018.00080)
- Ma, J. J., and Coauthors, 2023: Evaluation of CLM5.0 for simulating surface energy budget and soil hydrothermal regime in permafrost regions of the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 332, 109380. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2023.109380) [1016/j.agrformet.2023.109380](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2023.109380).
- Ma, Y. M., and Coauthors, 2020: A long-term (2005−2016) dataset of hourly integrated land–atmosphere interaction observations on the Tibetan Plateau. *Earth System Science Data*, 12, 2937−2957,<https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12-2937-2020>.
- Matsumura, S., and K. Yamazaki, 2012: A longer climate memory carried by soil freeze–thaw processes in Siberia. *Environmental Research Letters*, 7, 045402. [https://doi.org/10.1088/](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/7/4/045402) [1748-9326/7/4/045402](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/7/4/045402).
- Nelson, F. E., 2003: (Un)frozen in Time. *Science*, 299, 1673−1675, [https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1081111.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1081111)
- Pan, Y. J., S. H. Lyu, S. S. Li, Y. H. Gao, X. H. Meng, Y. H. Ao, and S. J. Wang, 2017: Simulating the role of gravel in freeze–thaw process on the Qinghai–Tibet Plateau. *Theor. Appl. Climatol.*, 127, 1011−1022, [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-015-1684-7) [s00704-015-1684-7.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-015-1684-7)
- Qiu, Y., J. M. Feng, J. Wang, Y. K. Xue, and Z. F. Xu, 2021: Memory of land surface and subsurface temperature (LST/SUBT) initial anomalies over Tibetan Plateau in different land models. *Climate Dyn*., [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-021-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-021-05937-z) [05937-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-021-05937-z).
- Rodell, M., J. S. Famiglietti, D. N. Wiese, J. T. Reager, H. K. Beaudoing, F. W. Landerer, and M. H. Lo, 2018: Emerging trends in global freshwater availability. *Nature*, 557, 651−659, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0123-1>.
- Schaefli, B., and H. V. Gupta, 2007: Do Nash values have value?. *Hydrological Processes*, 21, 2075−2080, [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.6825) [1002/hyp.6825](https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.6825).
- Schlosser, C. A., and Coauthors, 2000: Simulations of a boreal grassland hydrology at Valdai, Russia: PILPS Phase 2(d). *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, 128, 301−321, [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(2000)128<0301:SOABGH>2.0.CO;2) [0493\(2000\)128<0301:SOABGH>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(2000)128<0301:SOABGH>2.0.CO;2).
- Seneviratne, S. I., and Coauthors, 2006: Soil moisture memory in AGCM simulations: Analysis of global land–atmosphere coupling experiment (GLACE) data. *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, 7, 1090−1112,<https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM533.1>.
- Shangguan, W., and Coauthors, 2013: A China data set of soil properties for land surface modeling. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 5, 212−224, [https://doi.org/10.1002/](https://doi.org/10.1002/jame.20026) [jame.20026](https://doi.org/10.1002/jame.20026).
- Song, Y. M., Z. F. Wang, L. L. Qi, and A. N. Huang, 2019: Soil moisture memory and its effect on the surface water and

heat fluxes on seasonal and interannual time scales. *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos*., 124, 10 730−10 741, [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD030893) [10.1029/2019JD030893.](https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD030893)

- Stevens, M. B., J. E. Smerdon, J. F. González-Rouco, M. Stieglitz, and H. Beltrami, 2007: Effects of bottom boundary placement on subsurface heat storage: Implications for climate model simulations. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 34, L02702. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GL028546) [doi.org/10.1029/2006GL028546.](https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GL028546)
- Takle, E. S., and Coauthors, 1999: Project to intercompare regional climate simulations (PIRCS): Description and initial results. *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos*., 104, 19 443−19 461, [https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JD900352.](https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JD900352)
- Tang, M. C, J. X. Wang, and J. Zhang, 1987: A primary method for predicting the spring rainfall by the winter soil temperature depth 80cm. *Plateau Meteorology*, 6, 244−255. (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Vinnikov, K. Y., A. Robock, N. A. Speranskaya, and C. A. Schlosser, 1996: Scales of temporal and spatial variability of midlatitude soil moisture. *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.*, 101, 7163−7174, [https://doi.org/10.1029/95JD02753.](https://doi.org/10.1029/95JD02753)
- Wang, C. H., W. J. Dong, and Z. W. Wei, 2003: Study on relationship between the frozen-thaw process in Qinghai-Xizang Plateau and circulation in East-Asia. *Chinese Journal of Geophysics*, 46, 309−316, [https://doi.org/10.3321/j.issn:0001-](https://doi.org/10.3321/j.issn:0001-5733.2003.03.005) [5733.2003.03.005](https://doi.org/10.3321/j.issn:0001-5733.2003.03.005).
- Wang, J. Y., S. Q. Luo, Z. B. Lv, W. J. Li, X. Q. Tan, Q. X. Dong, and Z. H. Chen, 2021: Improving ground heat flux estimation: Considering the effect of freeze/thaw process on the seasonally frozen ground. *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.*, 126, e2021JD035445.<https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JD035445>.
- Wang, T. H., D. W. Yang, B. J. Fang, W. C. Yang, Y. Qin, and Y. H. Wang, 2019: Data-driven mapping of the spatial distribution and potential changes of frozen ground over the Tibetan Plateau. *Science of the Total Environment*, 649, 515−525, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.08.369.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.08.369)
- Wu, T. H., 2020: Data of permafrost active layer in Xidatan, Qinghai Tibet Plateau, 2014−2016. [https://doi.org/10.12072/nc](https://doi.org/10.12072/ncdc.CCI.db0014.2020) [dc.CCI.db0014.2020](https://doi.org/10.12072/ncdc.CCI.db0014.2020). (in Chinese).
- Wu, W. R,, and R. E. Dickinson, 2004: Time scales of layered soil moisture memory in the context of land–atmosphere interaction. *J. Climate*, 17, 2752−2764, [https://doi.org/10.1175/](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(2004)017<2752:TSOLSM>2.0.CO;2) [1520-0442\(2004\)017<2752:TSOLSM>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(2004)017<2752:TSOLSM>2.0.CO;2).
- Xiao, Y., and Y. P. Qiao, 2020: Meteorological data set of permafrost in Xidatan, Qinghai-Tibet Plateau, 2014−2016. <https://doi.org/10.12072/ncdc.CCI.db0017.2020>. (in Chinese).
- Xue, Y. K., R. Vasic, Z. Janjic, Y. M. Liu, and P. C. Chu, 2012: The impact of spring subsurface soil temperature anomaly in the western U.S. on North American summer precipitation: A case study using regional climate model downscaling. *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.*, 117, D11103. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1029/2012JD017692) [1029/2012JD017692](https://doi.org/10.1029/2012JD017692).
- Xue, Y. K., and Coauthors, 2021: Impact of initialized land surface temperature and snowpack on subseasonal to seasonal prediction project, Phase I (LS4P-I): Organization and experimental design. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 14, 4465−4494, [https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-14-4465-2021.](https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-14-4465-2021)
- Xue, Y. K., and Coauthors, 2022: Spring land temperature in Tibetan Plateau and global-scale summer precipitation: Initialization and improved prediction. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, 103, E2756−E2767, [https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-21-](https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-21-0270.1) [0270.1.](https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-21-0270.1)
- Yang, K., and J. Y. Zhang, 2016: Spatiotemporal characteristics of soil temperature memory in China from observation. *Theor. Appl. Climatol.*, 126, 739−749, [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-015-1613-9) [1007/s00704-015-1613-9.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-015-1613-9)
- Yang, K., and C. H. Wang, 2019: Water storage effect of soil freeze-thaw process and its impacts on soil hydro-thermal regime variations. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 265, 280–294, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2018.11.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2018.11.011) [011.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2018.11.011)
- Yang, K., C. H. Wang, and S. Y. Li, 2018: Improved simulation of frozen-thawing process in land surface model (CLM4.5). *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.*, 123, 13 238-13 258, [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1029/2017JD028260) [org/10.1029/2017JD028260.](https://doi.org/10.1029/2017JD028260)
- Yang, M. X., X. J. Wang, G. J. Pang, G. N. Wan, and Z. C. Liu, 2019: The Tibetan Plateau cryosphere: Observations and model simulations for current status and recent changes. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 190, 353−369, [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2018.12.018) [1016/j.earscirev.2018.12.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2018.12.018).
- Yang, S. H., and Coauthors, 2021: Evaluation of soil thermal conductivity schemes incorporated into CLM5.0 in permafrost regions on the Tibetan Plateau. *Geoderma*, 401, 115330. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2021.115330>.
- Zhang, H. X., N. M. Yuan, Z. G. Ma, and Y. Huang, 2021: Understanding the soil temperature variability at different depths: Effects of surface air temperature, snow cover, and the soil memory. *Adv. Atmos. Sci.*, 38, 493−503, [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00376-020-0074-y)

[1007/s00376-020-0074-y.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00376-020-0074-y)

- Zhang, L., D. Ren, Z. T. Nan, W. Z. Wang, Y. Zhao, Y. B. Zhao, Q. M. Ma, and X. B. Wu, 2020: Interpolated or satellitebased precipitation? Implications for hydrological modeling in a meso-scale mountainous watershed on the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau. *J. Hydrol.*, 583, 124629. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2020.124629) [1016/j.jhydrol.2020.124629](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2020.124629).
- Zhang, T., J. A. Heginbottom, R. G. Barry, and J. Brown, 2000: Further statistics on the distribution of permafrost and ground ice in the Northern Hemisphere. *Polar Geography*, 24, 126−131, [https://doi.org/10.1080/10889370009377692.](https://doi.org/10.1080/10889370009377692)
- Zhang, T., R. G. Barry, K. Knowles, J. A. Heginbottom, and J. Brown, 2008: Statistics and characteristics of permafrost and ground-ice distribution in the Northern Hemisphere. *Polar Geography*, 31, 47−68, [https://doi.org/10.1080/108893](https://doi.org/10.1080/10889370802175895) [70802175895.](https://doi.org/10.1080/10889370802175895)
- Zhao, L., and Coauthors, 2021: A synthesis dataset of permafrost thermal state for the Qinghai–Tibet (Xizang) Plateau, China. *Earth System Science Data*, 13, 4207−4218, [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-13-4207-2021) [10.5194/essd-13-4207-2021.](https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-13-4207-2021)
- Zhou, X., K. Yang, L. Ouyang, Y. Wang, Y. Z. Jiang, X. Li, D. L. Chen, and A. Prein, 2021: Added value of kilometer-scale modeling over the third pole region: A CORDEX-CPTP pilot study. *Climate Dyn.*, 57, 1673−1687, [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-021-05653-8) [1007/s00382-021-05653-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-021-05653-8).